

Sasaki, Masaru; Ohtake, Fumio

**Working Paper**

## Corporate Sports Activity and Work Morale: Evidence from a Japanese Automobile Maker

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 7836

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

*Suggested Citation:* Sasaki, Masaru; Ohtake, Fumio (2013) : Corporate Sports Activity and Work Morale: Evidence from a Japanese Automobile Maker, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 7836, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/89905>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

IZA DP No. 7836

**Corporate Sports Activity and Work Morale:  
Evidence from a Japanese Automobile Maker**

Masaru Sasaki  
Fumio Ohtake

December 2013

# **Corporate Sports Activity and Work Morale: Evidence from a Japanese Automobile Maker**

**Masaru Sasaki**

*Osaka University  
and IZA*

**Fumio Ohtake**

*Osaka University*

Discussion Paper No. 7836  
December 2013

IZA

P.O. Box 7240  
53072 Bonn  
Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0  
Fax: +49-228-3894-180  
E-mail: [iza@iza.org](mailto:iza@iza.org)

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit organization supported by Deutsche Post Foundation. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral program. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

## **ABSTRACT**

### **Corporate Sports Activity and Work Morale: Evidence from a Japanese Automobile Maker**

This paper estimates the factors affecting the relationship between the wins and losses of corporate sports club teams and the work morale of employees, using an original survey of employees from a selected Japanese automobile maker. We find that corporate sports club teams' performance is an important factor influencing the work morale of older employees and employees who work with colleagues belonging to those teams in the same division. We can say statistically that the impacts of teams' wins and losses on changes in work morale of older employees at the individual level are symmetric; that is, the work morale of employees is significantly raised by own teams' wins but reduced by own teams' losses.

JEL Classification: L62, M52, M54

Keywords: work morale, corporate sports, subjective well-being analysis, Japan

Corresponding author:

Masaru Sasaki  
Graduate School of Economics  
Osaka University  
1-7 Machikaneyama  
Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043  
Japan  
E-mail: [sasaki@econ.osaka-u.ac.jp](mailto:sasaki@econ.osaka-u.ac.jp)

## 1. Introduction

This paper aims to identify factors that determine the links between work morale and the performance of corporate sports club teams, using an original survey of employees of a selected Japanese automobile maker.

There is a different system of fostering world-class athletes in Japan. Companies have hired competitive athletes as employees while providing them with training environments. Many Japanese athletes are employees belonging to sports club teams owned by companies. Corporate sports team such as Panasonic Corporation, JT and Suntory participate in V. Premium League, Japanese top volleyball league. Sixteen corporate teams organize the Japan Rugby Top League during the 2013-14 season. Eighty nine corporate teams affiliate with the Japanese Association of Amateur Baseball in 2013. In general, they work with their colleagues for half a day and then spend the remaining half for training. It should be noted that they are not professional in the sense that their payments are not determined by evaluation of their sports performance but mainly of their labor performance, like ordinary workers. A number of major companies including Toyota, Tokyo Gas, Mitsubishi Motors, Hitachi, Fujitsu and so on own various sports clubs from baseball to *Ekiden* marathon relay races (track & field) and *Keirin* bicycle racing in Japan, as is also the case with schools and colleges supporting a variety of sports club activities such as American football, basketball, baseball and so on in the US.

Why do these companies own sports club teams? One of the reasons is that the good performance of their teams is expected to encourage employees to work hard and to feel united. Corporate sports club teams are considered as a symbol of unification. According to the survey conducted by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (2001), 64.3% (out of 115 firms) responded that one of the purposes to support corporate sports club teams was to unite employees and to boost their work morale.<sup>1</sup>

Although many Japanese companies have owned and supported sports club teams, the impact of these teams on employees' productivity or work morale has not been analyzed before. Is the work morale of ordinary workers really influenced by the wins and losses of their corporate sports club teams? If so, what types of employees are influenced? Although this paper has some drawbacks such as the use of subjective data and the limiting of the data from one firm, it is worthwhile explicitly and quantitatively

---

<sup>1</sup> Sasaki (2005) summarizes the trend of corporate sports in Japan.

to explore the link between the performance of corporate sports club teams and changes in work morale, and to estimate factors that affect this link. The results may be helpful of providing companies with guidelines on how to utilize corporate sports club teams for business strategies.

The company's personnel department and the authors collected original data from a leading Japanese automobile maker during March 8-16, 2005 by directly asking a sample of employees about how their work morale changes when selected corporate sports club teams win or lose. This analysis picks up the following three teams owned by this company: Rugby football, baseball and *Ekiden* marathon relay race (track & field).<sup>2</sup> The data contain various items of information on demographic and productivity characteristics.

There is recent literature on subjective well-being (SWB) analysis that determines the extent of happiness (Di Tella, MacCulloch and Oswald 2001; Frey and Stutzer 2002; Alesina, Di Tella and MacCulloch 2004; Ohtake 2004), job satisfaction (Clark 1997; Hamermesh 1977, 2001) and life satisfaction (Wolfers 2003; Frijters, Haisken-DeNew and Shields 2004), using subjective data.<sup>3</sup> We need to be aware that there have to be economic relationships between subjective independent variables and objective explanatory variables in the estimations, as suggested by Hamermesh (2004).

Empirical results are summarized below. We can say statistically that the effect of teams' performance on work morale of older employees is symmetric between wins and losses in that the work morale of older employees is raised by wins of their own Rugby football, baseball and *Ekiden* marathon relay race (track & field) teams, but is, although marginally, reduced by losses of these teams. These results suggest that competitive sports teams play an important role in raising the work morale of older employees.

To get to know colleagues belonging to one's own corporate sports club teams is a crucial factor affecting work morale. An employee who works with at least one colleague in the same division belonging to the Rugby football team, the baseball team or the *Ekiden* marathon relay racing team has significantly increased work morale after

---

<sup>2</sup> *Ekiden* marathon relay race is one of the most popular sports events. Races including individual marathon races are often held and televised. There are many corporate track & field club teams specializing in marathon and long-distance races in Japan.

<sup>3</sup> Kahneman and Krueger (2006) discussed how to treat and interpret subjective measures of well-being. They concluded that these measures represent respondents' perceptions of their behavior, but not the utility level obtained from their behavior.

wins of the respective team. However, the losses of a colleague's team (except in baseball) insignificantly reduce employees' work morale. Thus, we cannot say statistically that the effect of having athletic colleagues is symmetric on the work morale of individual employees (except in baseball).

The next two sections of this paper introduce corporate sports activities in Japan and a simple model with optimal choice of sports activity. Section 4 discusses our original survey of employees from a Japanese automobile maker. Section 5 presents estimated results. The final section provides concluding remarks.

## **2. Corporate Sports Activities in Japan**

We define corporate sports activity as a sports program carried out for corporate athletes with financial and physical support from companies. Corporate athletes are usually given the status of full-time employees and attend games or tournaments as representatives of their companies. To be easily understandable, corporate sports activity can be compared with college sports activity in the US. In the US, college athletes are essentially not professionals, but students, combining study with sports activity. In Japan, similarly, corporate athletes are not professionals, but employees, combining work with sports activity. For example, Panasonic Corporation, one of the leading electronics manufacturers, owns several sports club teams: baseball, American football, and volleyball. Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Co. Ltd. (MSIG), a major general insurance company, owns a women's track & field (Marathon and *Ekiden* marathon relay race) team and a women's *Judo* team. Supporting sports club teams and athletic employees is considered as one of corporate activities in Japan.<sup>4</sup>

Corporate sports activity, like school sports activity, has made a great contribution to fostering world-class competitive athletes in Japan. For example, 32 of 46 track and field athletes who participated in the 2012 London Olympics were selected from corporate sports club teams, and all of six were selected from corporate sports club teams in men's ski jumping for the 2010 Vancouver Olympic Winter Games, according to data from the Japan Olympic Committee.<sup>5</sup> It is clear that Japanese athletes would not have been competitive enough to advance to the Olympic Games without corporate support. Corporate athletes have also served as reserve sources of professional players

---

<sup>4</sup> Corporate sports club teams are active in Korea and Taiwan, too.

<sup>5</sup> Of course, the proportion of corporate athletes depends on the type of sport. Seventeen out of 29 swimmers who were selected in the Athens Olympic Games were college or high school athletes. Professional players were allowed to participate in baseball and men's soccer in the Olympic Games.

(in baseball in particular). In fact, 31 out of 89 drafted players of professional baseball clubs were from corporate sports club teams in the 2013 selection, according to Nippon Professional Baseball (NPB).<sup>6</sup> Corporate sports activity provides many athletes with the opportunities and environments to continue sports activity after school.

The institutional structures for training athletes in Japan and the US are different: in the US, athletes after graduating from high school or college have no way of continuing sports activity except as professionals, finding sponsors to provide financial support. In Japan, there has not been a business culture under which companies support professional athletes like the financial sponsorships (except in major sports such as soccer football, baseball and golf). Athletes who graduate from high school or college get a job in a company and join a corporate sports club team if they want to continue sports activity.

Athletic employees are usually hired as full-time employees. Despite having the status of a full-time employee, athletic employees are allowed to work only for half a day and then to train themselves for the remaining half.<sup>7</sup> After retiring from sports club teams, some athletic employees choose to stay in the same companies and pursue their careers in business, like other employees. Those retired athletes are not so productive in the beginning, but might be appreciated as potentially productive workers because they have spirit and endurance gained through hard training.

Dated back to the 1950s, the original purpose of supporting corporate sports activities was to provide ordinary employees with opportunities to do sports for a change, which promoted workers' health. It thus made a contribution to raising labor productivity by accumulating human capital (health) stocks and increasing work effort. In those days, when professional and college baseball and *Sumo* wrestling were only mass entertainment sports, corporate sports leagues such as volleyball or football (soccer) were popular not only among employees but among local people of the area where the corporate sports club teams were homed. Companies then took advantage of sports clubs as advertising media, and they began to recruit competitive athletes from high schools and colleges to strengthen their teams. Because of dramatic economic growth in the 1960s-70s, companies afforded to build more sports club teams and to hire more competitive athletes. The 1964 Tokyo Olympics promoted companies to

---

<sup>6</sup> College graduates, high school graduates, players belonging to regional professional leagues and corporate players are eligible to be drafted to the NPB.

<sup>7</sup> Rugby football, with its amateur spirit, is exceptional. Rugby football players in general work with other colleagues until closing time and then begin training, regardless of which team they belong to.



strengthen their athletes because the companies' name recognitions were increased if their athletes participated actively in the Olympics game.

Japanese companies expected corporate sports club teams to be a symbol of unification in the corporation and to play a crucial role in improving the team spirit and solidarity. Those are important to induce employees to raise their work morale, thereby leading to an increase in employees' productivity. Major Japanese companies used corporate sports club teams both as advertising media to increase own brand's recognitions and an incentive device to promote motivation of own employees.

In recent years, corporate sports activity has not been as strong as it used to be. A number of corporate sports club teams were disbanded during the severe economic slowdown in the 1990s after the burst of the Bubble Economy. The Japanese Association of Amateur Baseball reported that the number of corporate baseball club teams decreased from 142 in 1995 to 89 in 2013. There are other reasons why corporate sports club teams were disbanded. Now that they can enjoy so many sports entertainments such as MBL, NBA, Premier League on satellite broadcasting programs as well as Japanese professional baseball and J. League (professional soccer football league in Japan), the Japanese viewers are not so interested in the games of the corporate sports leagues as they used to be. This implies that corporate sports club teams are not valued as advertising media. In addition, as it is easier to switch companies, it may be true that employees become less loyal to their company and then do not care much about own teams' wins and losses. Therefore, there is the possibility that the impact of teams' performance on the work morale of employees is not as strong as it used to be.

The Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) reported the detrimental effects of the elimination of corporate sports club teams in 2001. It warned that elimination of corporate sports club teams leads to the worsening of sports competitiveness, a decline in junior club teams, and a decrease in sports events, particularly in local areas.

Recently, the role of corporate sports activity has changed to fulfilling Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) to employees and neighborhoods. The companies abandon full support of sports club teams, but instead share support of them with local governments and other companies at home. Athletic employees are then changed to contracted employees from full-time employees, so they do not need to work at all, but have to leave the company after they have retired as athletes. They are treated as professional players. Corporate sports club teams start afresh as local-community-oriented club teams in the sense that sports teams are not the private

goods for employees, but the public goods for the community on the one hand, and as professional club teams in the sense that players basically earn their living by showing their skills on the other hand. The *Sakai Blazers*, a men's volleyball team, is an appropriate example. It used to be one of the most competitive teams owned by Nippon Steel located at Sakai, the southern part of Osaka. After the 2000 season, it became the community-based and professional volleyball team sponsored by a subsidiary corporation of Nippon Steel, other companies and the local community.

Are corporate sports club teams really the burden for companies? It may be true that corporate sports club teams no longer serve as advertising media, but those teams are still expected to play a crucial role in motivating employees to work as a symbol of unification. Good performance of sports teams cheer employees up, which gives them more energy to work. We investigate the link between the work morale and performance of corporate sports club teams in the following sections.

### 3. Model

This section develops a simple model with the optimal choice of investment into corporate sports activities. We assume that for convenience, a firm owns one corporate sports club team and chooses the optimal number of employees and the optimal amount of sport inputs. The firm faces the following profit maximization problem:

$$\Pi = F(e(p(s), X)L) - wL - c(s)$$

where  $e(p(s), X)$  represents efficiency unit of labor,  $L$  employees,  $w$  the exogenous wage, and  $X$  a vector of the characteristics of employees.  $p(s)$  is the probability of own team's winning and is positively associated with the amount of sport inputs,  $s$ .

We assume  $e(\cdot, \cdot)$  depends positively on  $p$ ; that is employees are motivated to work harder as own team wins games. According to the report by the Recruit Works Institute, JX Nippon Oil & Energy's baseball team (JX-NENOS) was established in 1950, and the baseball team has since then attracted its employees. Mr. Fujii, manager of public relations, told in an interview that employees were motivated to work harder by own baseball team's wins.<sup>8</sup> The Recruit Works Institute also reported that since Mr. Yuki Ota, medalist of Men's team foil in the 2012 London Olympics, joined Morinaga & Co., Ltd., a Japanese confectionery maker, its employees have been motivated to work harder by Mr. Ota's success.<sup>9</sup>

$F(\cdot)$  is the production function with usual properties:  $F'(\cdot) > 0$  and  $F''(\cdot) < 0$ , and  $c(s)$  is the cost function featured by  $c'(\cdot) > 0$  and  $c''(\cdot) > 0$ .

---

<sup>8</sup> See the website, [http://www.works-i.com/works\\_navi/sports/5\\_jx/jx.html](http://www.works-i.com/works_navi/sports/5_jx/jx.html).

<sup>9</sup> See the website, [http://www.works-i.com/works\\_navi/sports/3\\_morinaga/morinaga.html](http://www.works-i.com/works_navi/sports/3_morinaga/morinaga.html)

Taking a differential with respect to  $L$  and  $s$  yields:

$$F'(e(p(s), X)L)e(p(s), X) = w, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } F'(e(p(s), X)L) \left( \frac{\partial e(p(s), X)}{\partial p(s)} \right) \left( \frac{\partial p(s)}{\partial s} \right) L = c'(s). \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) shows a familiar property determining the number of employees such that the marginal product of labor equals the wage. On the other hand, equation (2) indicates that the optimal sport inputs are determined where the marginal product of sport input ( $MR_s$ ) equals its marginal cost. If own team's wins do not raise the efficiency unit of labor, that is,  $\partial e/\partial p=0$ , the firm has no incentive to invest into its own team, so  $s^*=0$ . If  $\partial e/\partial p >0$ , on the other hand, it is worthwhile for the firm to spend the money for own team.

We now see how the characteristics of employees,  $X$  affects the determinant of sport inputs, assuming a positive effect of winning on the efficiency unit of labor ( $\partial e/\partial p >0$ ). Taking a differential of the left-hand side of equation (2), that is, the marginal product of sports input ( $MR_s$ ) with respect to  $X$  obtains:

$$\frac{\partial MR_s}{\partial X} = \left[ F''(\cdot) \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial X} \right) \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial p} \right) + F'(\cdot) \left( \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p \partial X} \right) \right] \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial s} \right) L. \quad (3)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of equation (3) represents the *compensation effect*. If  $\partial e/\partial p >0$ , an increase in  $X$  shifts the  $MR_s$  curve to the left, leading to lower sport inputs,  $s$ . In contrast, the second term is the *indirect effect* throughout the marginal efficiency unit of labor by the probability of winning. If  $\partial^2 e/\partial p \partial X >0$ , that is, if the marginal efficiency unit of labor by the probability of winning increases in  $X$ , the  $MR_s$  curve is shifted to the right, thus raising the optimal level of sport inputs. In this case, the overall effect of increasing  $X$  on  $s$  is ambiguous. If the second term dominates the first one, the firm should increase sports inputs,  $s$  in response to an increase in  $X$ . However, if  $\partial^2 e/\partial p \partial X <0$ , because the sign of the second term turns out to be negative, the overall effect is unambiguously negative. Therefore, the firm cuts sports inputs,  $s$  in response to an increase in  $X$ .

It is logical to assume that age represents one of the vectors of employee characteristics,  $X$ . Because older employees devote longer periods to working for the company and have stronger loyalty to the company, the efficiency unit of labor,  $e(p(s), X)$ , rises in age, that is,  $\partial e(p(s), X)/\partial X >0$ , thus shifting  $MR_s$  to the left. In addition, because those older employees have stronger loyalty to their own corporate sports club team as well, the marginal efficiency unit of labor with respect to the probability of winning,  $\partial e(p(s), X)/\partial p(s)$ , increases in age, that is,  $\partial^2 e(p(s), X)/\partial p(s) \partial X >0$ , shifting  $MR_s$

to the right. The work morale of older employees is increasingly raised by own team's wins, which thus leads to productivity improvement. In this case, whether or not to invest more in sport activity is not determined as employees are older.

This paper does not discuss whether or not to invest more in sports activities and does not estimate how much to increase or decrease investments in corporate sports activities, but rather explores the second term on the right-hand side of equation (3): in practice, identifying who in the corporation is motivated to work hard by own teams' wins, and simultaneously, who is not. This empirical study focuses on which factors in  $X$  affect the marginal efficiency unit of labor by the probability of winning,  $\partial e(p(s), X) / \partial p(s)$ . We test the null hypothesis  $\partial^2 e(p(s), X) / \partial p(s) \partial X = 0$ . We cover only the effect of the second term, but it may be a good start to investigate the role of corporate sports club teams.

#### **4. Data**

Our original survey of employees from a selected Japanese company was conducted during March 8-16, 2005 by the company's personnel department and the authors. This company is one of the leading automobile makers in the world and is listed on the first section of the Tokyo Stock Exchange. It is one of the most representative corporations in Japan. The survey covers 1,550 employees from the headquarters, two branch offices, one research division and 12 factories, 89% of whom responded to the questionnaire. This corporation has 35 active sports teams, five of which are designated as special club teams. They are baseball, Rugby football, track and field (*Ekiden* marathon relay race), men's basketball and women's basketball. These five sports' teams receive substantial financial support from the company, and the company relies on these club teams to win league matches and tournaments during the season. These club teams have been successful in each league and have trained excellent athletes.

The survey focuses on the effects on work morale in the five sports types mentioned above. In this study, we restrict ourselves to the link between work morale and the performance of Rugby football, baseball and track & field (*Ekiden* marathon relay race) teams. These teams were competitive in each league or in tournaments and were popular among employees for a long time before the conducted date. We do not use data on men's or women's basketball because there are many missing variables.

The survey asked sampled employees about subjective changes in their work morale by recognizing wins or losses by their own corporate sports club teams. Recently, there has been some literature on work morale in the subjective well-being (SWB) analysis. Bewley (1999) tested for downward wage rigidity, using his original survey. He found

that a wage cut reduces work morale and hence production, but that a wage rise increases work morale although its range is not as great as the range of a work morale decline due to a wage cut. Kawaguchi and Ohtake (2004) and Smith (2002) also tested for the effect of a wage cut on work morale and explored the cause of nominal wage rigidity. Ohtake and Karato (2003) and Ohta and Ohtake (2001) analyzed how work morale is influenced by the introduction of a pay-per-performance system adopted by many Japanese companies in recent years.

Here, the survey asked employees to answer the following question for each sport: "How does your work morale change when your own team wins a game?". We construct the dichotomous variable indicating 1 if the employee chooses "increase moderately" or "increase" in response to the question and 0 if the employee chooses "neither", "not increase moderately" or "not increases".<sup>10</sup> It should be noted that the dichotomous variable is not the one indicating the *level* of employees' work morale, but the *change* of their work morale when their own corporate sports club teams win. In other words, it represents not whether the work morale of employees is at a high level or at a low level, but whether or not their work morale rises when their own teams win.

Conversely, the survey also asked employees the question of how their work morale changed when their own club teams lost. In a similar manner, the dichotomous variable is constructed indicating 1 if the employee responds "decrease" or "decreases moderately" and 0 if the employee responds "neither", "not decrease moderately" or "not decrease". It is the variable indicating whether or not work morale of employees declines when their own teams lose.

These two-sided questions about increases and decreases in work morale enable us to identify consistently how employees' work morale responds to the performance of the sports club teams. The merit of conducting separate surveys for wins and losses is to investigate whether or not the effects on work morale are symmetric between wins and losses. They are not necessarily symmetric. If employees have a prejudice in favor of their own company and its teams, they are delighted at wins, which raises work morale when teams win, and they are generous toward losses, which does not reduce their motivation to work even though teams lose. This survey makes a contribution to measuring directly how employees' work morale changes by use of subjective data.

Table I summarizes the definitions of variables, and Table II shows data descriptions of the link between work morale and own teams' performance. The work morale of

---

<sup>10</sup> Conversion to the dichotomous variable enables us to estimate qualitative model (probit and logit models).

employees responded more to wins of the Rugby football team, the baseball team and the *Ekiden* marathon relay team than to losses of these teams. That is, employees' work morale is raised by own teams' wins more than it declines from losses. Therefore, the effects of club teams' performance on work morale are not symmetric between wins and losses. This result implies that employees have an attachment to their teams so they are delighted at wins, but generous toward losses. The change of work morale by the outcomes of games, regardless of wins or losses, is larger for Rugby football and baseball than for *Ekiden* marathon relay race. Employees are more concerned about the outcomes of games of the Rugby football team and the baseball team than those of the *Ekiden* marathon relay racing team in this corporation. There appears to be little difference between blue-collar and white-collar employees' changes of work morale as a result of their own teams' outcomes of games.

Attention is restricted to the following factors affecting the work morale of employees when own corporate sports club teams perform well or poorly: gender, age, occupational ranking, job types, experience of any sports, experience of Rugby football, baseball or track & field, whether or not they work with colleagues who are corporate athletes, and location of a current factory or office. It is expected that these factors are significantly correlated with the link between work morale changes and wins or losses by employees' own corporate sports club teams. A win by one's own team raises the work morale of male employees, who are generally more interested in sports than female employees, and the work morale of older employees and high-ranked employees, who are generally more loyal to their company. In the case of a loss, their work morale is correspondingly lowered. Employees who have had experience of any sports, Rugby football, baseball, and track & field in particular, are in turn the most happy or sad at the outcome of games involving their teams. It is easily predicted that their work morale is raised by their teams' wins, but lowered by their losses.

From the survey, employees were asked for each sport: "Do you have at least one colleague who belongs to a special sports club team?" If they answered yes, it means that the respondent has at least one athletic colleague. It is expected that their colleague's good performance puts the respondent on his or her mettle. Otherwise, employees are not necessarily influenced by the performance of their own teams. In cases where employees have at least one athletic colleague, their work morale is boosted by knowing that their colleagues play hard and their teams are winning. The definitions of the explanatory variables are in Table I, and summary statistics are in Table III.

We employ the univariate probit model to estimate the factors that affect the link between work morale and wins and losses by corporate sports club teams for selected

sports (Rugby, baseball, and track & field(*Ekiden* marathon relay race)).

## 5. Results

We begin to identify factors affecting the link between an increase in individual work morale and wins by the selected three sports club teams. Table IV displays the estimated results of each sport for blue-collar employees, white-collar employees and both. The coefficients represent marginal effects of independent variables.

As one would expect, the coefficients on age are significantly positive in the estimates of the three sports. Older employees' work morale is boosted when the Rugby football team, the baseball team and the *Ekiden* marathon relay racing team win games or tournaments. Our predictions are supported that older employees are more loyal to their company than young ones, so wins by own teams are more likely to encourage them to work hard. The same results appear when the sample is limited to blue-collar employees. However, age is insignificant in the estimates for white-collar employees. As seen in the first column for each sport, changes in work morale by wins do not statistically differ by collars, where the reference group is white-collar employees. However, the second and third columns for each sport show that the impact of age on changes in work morale is different between white-collar employees and blue-collar employees. This may be due to the fact that blue-collar employees have more frequent contact with athletic players because own teams' club houses are usually located within factories. Therefore, blue-collar older employees who are loyal to their company are encouraged to work hard by own teams' wins.

Whether or not employees work with at least one colleague belonging to corporate sports club teams in the same division is an important factor affecting their work morale. The coefficients on having colleagues are positively significant in the estimates of all the sports. For employees who work with at least one colleague in the same division belonging to the Rugby football team, the baseball team, or the *Ekiden* marathon relay racing team, their work morale increased with wins by the corresponding team. The colleague's good performance and wins impress employees of the same division, thereby inducing them to work harder. The same results are obtained for blue-collar employees in the three sports. However, the effects disappear for white-collar employees in Rugby football and *Ekiden* marathon relay race. Blue-collar employees are encouraged to work harder by their colleagues' performances and their teams' wins.

We test another side of the coin, the link between declining work morale of individual employees and losses by their teams to confirm whether or not the results are symmetric with those cited above. Table V displays the estimated results of each sport

for blue-collar employees, white-collar employees and both. Similarly to Table IV, the coefficients represent marginal effects of the independent variables. Because we cannot reject the null hypothesis that all the coefficients are zero in the estimates of the three sports for the white-collar employees according to the log likelihood test, the estimates for the white-collar employees are ignored here although the results are displayed in Table V.

Age is marginally significant for the relationship between losses by all the sports teams and declining work morale. When the sample is limited to blue-collar employees, age becomes 10% significantly positive for Rugby football and baseball. Combined with the result from the effects of wins (Table IV), we say the least that the effect of age on work morale is symmetric between wins and losses in the sense that the work morale of older employees is raised by wins by the Rugby football team, the baseball team and the *Ekiden* marathon relay racing team but declines with losses by these teams. Older employees are in turn happy or sad at wins or losses by the Rugby football, the baseball teams and the *Ekiden* marathon relay racing team. We conclude that these club teams play an important role in raising the work morale of older employees as an incentive device, although the team has to be a strong contender.

Why is work morale of older employees influenced strongly by wins or losses by their own club teams? As mentioned above, it might be true that older employees are more loyal to their company than young ones. Alternatively, it might be due to the fact that older people just take more interest in sports than young people. Therefore, the estimated results do not necessarily imply that older employees have greater company spirit more than young employees. Our survey asked employees to answer three questions as to how often they watched sports games, read sports newspaper, and watch TV programs on sports activities. In response, they were required to choose the following categories for each activity: (a) frequently [1], (b) little [2], (c) not much [3], (d) very few [4], and (e) not at all [5]. The correlations between increases in work morale by wins and the categories for each activity are around -0.2, and in addition, the correlations between decreases in work morale by losses and the categories for each activity are around -0.1. Apparently, these results do not support the hypothesis that older employees generally take more interest in sports than young employees, but rather we can say that older employees who have great company spirit alternate between job and sorrow by their own teams' results.<sup>11</sup>

The effects of other variables are worth reporting in Table V. The coefficients on

---

<sup>11</sup> To avoid a causality problem, we do not directly add the subjective variables regarding the three questions about sports-related activities in a vector of explanatory variables.



experience in any sport are positively significant in the estimates of baseball and *Ekiden* marathon relay racing team, and when the sample is limited to blue-collar employees, the coefficients are significantly positive in the three sports including Rugby football. Employees who have played or are playing any sport, regardless of the type, are discouraged from working hard by losses by their own teams.

The coefficients on athlete colleagues are insignificantly different from zero in all sports types except baseball in Table V. Compared with the results from Table IV, an interesting point is that employees who have at least one athlete colleague are encouraged by wins by the colleague's club team, but their work morale does not necessarily decline following a loss in Rugby football and *Ekiden* marathon relay racing. Employees who work with athlete colleagues are generous toward the colleague's loss.

## **6. Concluding Remarks**

This paper estimated factors determining the relationship between wins and losses by corporate sports club teams and the work morale of employees, using an original survey of employees from a selected Japanese automobile maker.

We find that corporate sports club teams are a symbol of unification in the corporation and play a role in improving the team spirit and solidarity that are important factors in the manufacturing sector. The corporate sports club teams' performance influences the work morale of older employees and those who work with colleagues belonging to corporate sports club teams in the same division. These employees are motivated to work harder by knowing that their own teams win. Older employees are discouraged from working hard if their own teams lose games. Therefore, we conclude statistically that the impact of teams' performance on work morale of older employees is symmetric between wins and losses at the individual level. However, employees who work with colleagues belonging to corporate sports club teams in the same division are not discouraged from working hard if their own teams lose games. In this automobile maker, employees working with athlete colleagues are generous toward the colleague's loss.

Finally, we briefly address policy implications of corporate sports club teams. How do companies use corporate sports club teams? They can be used as a useful incentive device to encourage employees to work hard and to improve solidarity and team spirit in the company on the condition that the teams are strong contenders. At the economy level, there is an externality in that a win by one team necessarily leads to a loss by another team. Therefore, it may not necessarily be a good idea to have corporate sports club teams. However, as shown in Table II, employees' work morale is raised by own

teams' wins more than it declines from losses. These results imply that this company's employees are delighted at wins but are generous toward losses. If employees in all companies are like those in this company, it is beneficial to have corporate sports club teams and to organize leagues at the economy level.

Here, we have just focused attention on one aspect of roles of corporate sports club teams, that is, the effect on work morale of employees. From our estimated results, we conclude that it is worthwhile to obtain corporate sports club teams to help raise the work morale of employees. However, it is reminded that companies expect sports clubs to operate as advertising media. Because the Japanese viewers do not pay much attention on corporate sports leagues as they used to do, corporate sports clubs cannot be much workable as advertising devices. Combined to severe economic recession in the 1990s and increased costs of maintaining teams, many corporate sports club teams have been disdained.

It should be reminded that we surveyed a specific firm in this study, so the results are not general. However, this paper contributes to an understanding of the role of corporate sports club teams in the Japanese economy.

#### **References:**

- Alesina, A., T.R. Di and R. MacCulloch, 2004. Inequality and happiness: Are europeans and americans different? *Journal of Public Economics* 88(9-10), 2009 - 2042.
- Bewley, T. F., 1999. *Why Wages Don't Fall During a Recession?* Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MT.
- Clark, A., 1997. Job satisfaction and gender: Why are women so happy at work? *Labour Economics* 4(4), 341-72.
- Di, T., R. MacCulloch, and A. Oswald, 2001. Preferences over inflation and unemployment: Evidence from surveys of happiness. *American Economic Review* 91(1), 335-341.
- Frey, B., and A. Stutzer, 2002. What Can Economists Learn from Happiness Research? *Journal of Economic Literature* 40(2), 402- 35.
- Frijters, P., J.P. Haisken-DeNew, and M.A. Shields, 2004. Investigating the Patterns and Determinants of Life Satisfaction in Germany Following Reunification. *Journal of Human Resources* 39(3), 649-74.
- Hamermesh, D. S., 1977. Economic aspects of job satisfaction. In O. Ashenfelter and W. Oates (ed.), *Essay in labor market and population analysis*. J. Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- Hamermesh, D. S., 2001. The Changing Distribution of Job Satisfaction. *Journal of*

- Human Resources 36(1), 1-30.
- Hamermesh, D. S., 2004. Subjective Outcomes in Economics. *Southern Economic Journal* 71(1), 2-11.
- Kahneman, D., and A.B. Krueger, 2006. Developments in the Measurement of Subjective Well-Being. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 20(1), 3-24.
- Kawaguchi, D., and F. Ohtake, 2007. Testing the Morale Theory of Nominal Wage Rigidity. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 61(1), 59-74.
- Ohta, S., and F. Ohtake, 2003. Kigyō Seichō to Rōdō Iyoku. *Financial Review* 63, 4-34 (in Japanese).
- Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan., 2001. Nippon no mirai wo sasaeru kigyō to supōtsu no paatōshippu wo motomete (in Japanese)  
<http://www.douwashoin.jp/04%20Information/details-kigyousports.htm>.
- The Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, Japan., 2001. kigyō spōtsu konndankai hōkokusho (in Japanese)  
<http://www.meti.go.jp/report/data/g11122aj.html>.
- Ohtake, F., 2004. Unemployment and happiness. *The Japanese Journal of Labour Studies* 528, 59-68 (in Japanese).
- Ohtake, F., and H. Karato, 2003. The Effects of Performance Pay on Work Motivation. *The Economic Review* 54(3), 193-205, (in Japanese).
- Sasaki, M., 2005. Is it of Value for Firms to Own Sports Club Teams? *The Japanese Journal of labour Studies* 537, 46-48 (in Japanese).
- Smith, J.C., 2002. Pay Cuts and Morale: A Test of Downward Nominal Rigidity. *Warwick Economic Research Papers* 649.
- Wolfers, J., 2003. Is Business Cycle Volatility Costly? Evidence from Surveys of Subjective Well-being. *International Finance* 6, 1-26.

**Table I: Definitions of the Variables**

Dependent Variables	
morale rise	=1 if an employee's work morale increases by corporate sports teams' wins. =0 if an employee's work morale does not increase by corporate sports
morale decline	=1 if an employee's work morale decreases by corporate sports teams' losses. =0 if an employee's work morale does not decrease by corporate sports teams' losses.
Explanatory Variables	
gender	=1 female employee, =0 male employee
age	employee's age
Rank (1)	=1 if an employee is the director, =0 otherwise.
Rank (2)	=1 if an employee is the section head, =0 otherwise.
Rank (3)	=1 if an employee is the upper level employee, =0 otherwise.
Rank (4)	=1 if an employee is the lower level employee, =0 otherwise.
Blue Collar	=1 if an employee is the blue-collar employee, =0 otherwise.
White Collar	=1 if an employee is the while-collar employee, =0 otherwise
Other Collar	=1 if an employee is the other-collar employee, =0 otherwise
Any Sports Experience	=1 if an employee has played or is playing any sports, =0 otherwise
Each Sports Experience	=1 if an employee has played or is playing Rugby football, baseball or track and field, =0 otherwise
Colleague	=1 if an employee has at least one colleague belonging to corporate sports club teams in the same division (Rugby football, baseball, or track & field). =0 otherwise
Work Site 1 (HQ factory)	=1 if working at the headquarter factory =0 otherwise
Work Site 2 (other factories)	=1 if working at one of other factories =0 other wise
Work Site 3 (HQ office)	=1 if working at the headquarter office =0 otherwise
Work Site 4 (other offices & R&D Center)	=1 if working at one or other offices or a the research institution =0 otherwise

**Table II: Work Morale and Corporate Sports Club Teams' Performance**

		Rugby football	baseball	track and field
work morale rise by teams' wins	Overall	0.319 (0.466)	0.283 (0.451)	0.255 (0.436)
	Blue-Collar	0.306 (0.461)	0.282 (0.450)	0.239 (0.426)
	White-Collar	0.340 (0.474)	0.281 (0.450)	0.201 (0.401)
work morale decline by teams' losses	Overall	0.103 (0.303)	0.101 (0.301)	0.069 (0.253)
	Blue-Collar	0.112 (0.316)	0.110 (0.312)	0.077 (0.267)
	White-Collar	0.079 (0.269)	0.079 (0.270)	0.050 (0.218)

Numbers without parentheses are mean values.

Numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

**Table III: Summary Statistics of Explanatory Variables**

Explanatory Variables	Mean	Standard Error	Obs
gender	0.149	0.356	1347
age	37.89	10.82	1340
Rank (1)	0.014	0.112	1327
Rank (2)	0.166	0.372	1327
Rank (3)	0.457	0.498	1327
Rank (4)	0.362	0.481	1327
Blue Collar	0.689	0.463	1343
White Collar	0.308	0.462	1343
Other Collar	0.004	0.061	1343
Any Sports Experience	0.781	0.414	1398
Sports Experience (Rugby football)	0.029	0.169	1398
Sports Experience (baseball)	0.215	0.411	1398
Sports Experience (track & field)	0.124	0.330	1398
Colleague (Rugby)	0.118	0.323	1276
Colleague (baseball)	0.102	0.303	1272
Colleague (track & field)	0.040	0.195	1265
Work Site 1	0.055	0.228	1398
Work Site 2	0.623	0.485	1398
Work Site 3	0.165	0.372	1398
Work Site 4	0.157	0.364	1398

**Table IV: The Link between an Increase in Work Morale and Wins of Corporate Sports Teams (Probit Estimations: Marginal Effects)**

Probit Estimation	Rugby Football			Baseball			Track & Field			
	Total	Blue Collar	White Collar	Total	Blue Collar	White Collar	Total	Blue Collar	White Collar	
gender	-0.063 (0.059)	-0.147 (0.119)	-0.162 (0.098)	-0.002 (0.061)	-0.114 (0.122)	-0.048 (0.098)	-0.097 * (0.044)	-0.063 (0.119)	-0.098 (0.080)	
age	0.007 *** (0.002)	0.007 *** (0.002)	0.005 (0.004)	0.006 *** (0.002)	0.008 *** (0.002)	0.001 (0.004)	0.006 *** (0.002)	0.008 *** (0.002)	0.002 (0.003)	
Rank (1)	0.004 (0.125)	- (-)	(a) -0.075 (0.156)	0.061 (0.131)	- (-)	(a) 0.097 (0.186)	-0.182 ** (0.031)	- (-)	(a) - (-)	(b)
Rank (2)	0.066 (0.070)	0.119 (0.094)	-0.040 (0.129)	0.098 (0.071)	0.084 (0.091)	0.117 (0.128)	-0.010 (0.059)	-0.034 (0.071)	0.048 (0.110)	
Rank (3)	0.007 (0.043)	0.015 (0.048)	-0.092 (0.092)	0.001 (0.041)	-0.003 (0.047)	-0.059 (0.089)	-0.010 (0.037)	-0.054 (0.045)	0.074 (0.095)	
White Collar	-0.007 (0.090)	- (-)	- (-)	-0.089 (0.080)	- (-)	- (-)	-0.037 (0.078)	- (-)	- (-)	
Other Collars	0.370 (0.267)	- (-)	- (-)	0.350 (0.286)	- (-)	- (-)	0.189 (0.328)	- (-)	- (-)	
Any Sports Experience	0.016 (0.035)	0.057 (0.040)	-0.072 (0.070)	0.038 (0.034)	0.073 * (0.039)	-0.045 (0.067)	0.021 (0.031)	0.021 (0.038)	0.016 (0.057)	
Each Sports Experience	0.114 (0.083)	0.043 (0.091)	0.292 * (0.172)	0.024 (0.033)	0.034 (0.037)	-0.020 (0.069)	0.108 *** (0.041)	0.111 *** (0.047)	0.114 (0.095)	
Colleague of Each sports	0.145 *** (0.049)	0.235 *** (0.074)	0.042 (0.066)	0.173 *** (0.050)	0.212 *** (0.070)	0.118 * (0.072)	0.115 * (0.074)	0.132 * (0.082)	0.122 (0.202)	
Work Site	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Predicted Prob.	0.306	0.291	0.320	0.270	0.264	0.262	0.199	0.208	0.175	
LR Chi Square	74.55	63.17	24.01	67.34	56.11	20.84	56.56	40.06	19.90	
LR test	0.000	0.000	0.0127	0.000	0.000	0.035	0.000	0.000	0.030	
Pseudo R2	0.049	0.061	0.0494	0.047	0.057	0.046	0.047	0.047	0.057	
Sample	1228	844	379	1220	838	377	1171	803	348	

\* 1%, \*\* 5%, \*\*\* 10% significant. Numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

The coefficients represent the change in the probability for an infinitesimal change of each explanatory variable (marginal effects).

(a) There are no blue-collar employees in Rank (1).

(b) The observations of the dummy variable are dropped because they are not varied over the dependent variable.

**Table V: The Link between a Decrease in Work Morale and Losses of Corporate Sports Teams (Probit Estimations: Marginal Effects)**

Probit Estimation	Rugby Football			Baseball			Track & Field			
	Total	Blue Collar	White Collar	Total	Blue Collar	White Collar	Total	Blue Collar	White Collar	
gender	0.032 (0.049)	- (-)	(b) -0.019 (0.056)	0.051 (0.051)	- (-)	(b) -0.030 (0.055)	0.012 (0.039)	- (-)	-0.018 (0.048)	
age	0.002 (0.001)	* 0.003 (0.002)	* -0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.001)	* 0.002 (0.001)	* -0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.001)	* 0.002 (0.001)	0.000 (0.002)	
Rank (1)	0.036 (0.103)	- (-)	(a) 0.024 (0.113)	0.015 (0.090)	- (-)	(a) -0.010 (0.080)	- (-)	(b) - (-)	(a) - (-)	(b)
Rank (2)	0.054 (0.052)	0.130 (0.085)	* -0.016 (0.067)	0.031 (0.047)	0.107 (0.081)	-0.042 (0.060)	0.016 (0.040)	0.065 (0.071)	-0.020 (0.056)	
Rank (3)	0.019 (0.028)	0.018 (0.034)	-0.011 (0.053)	0.010 (0.027)	0.018 (0.032)	-0.042 (0.039)	0.001 (0.023)	0.003 (0.029)	-0.013 (0.043)	
White Collar	-0.028 (0.052)	- (-)	- (-)	-0.023 (0.050)	- (-)	- (-)	-0.021 (0.048)	- (-)	- (-)	
Other Collars	- (-)	(b) - (-)	- (-)	- (-)	(b) - (-)	- (-)	- (-)	(b) - (-)	- (-)	
Any Sports Experience	0.034 (0.020)	0.047 (0.024)	* 0.016 (0.034)	0.047 (0.019)	** 0.057 (0.024)	** 0.036 (0.029)	0.038 (0.016)	* 0.045 (0.020)	0.023 (0.028)	
Each Sports Experience	0.070 (0.061)	0.011 (0.062)	0.212 (0.153)	* 0.029 (0.022)	0.026 (0.026)	0.023 (0.044)	0.032 (0.025)	0.040 (0.030)	0.003 (0.042)	
Colleague of Each sports	0.037 (0.033)	0.024 (0.046)	0.029 (0.040)	0.059 (0.034)	* 0.054 (0.048)	0.045 (0.043)	-0.001 (0.036)	0.006 (0.043)	- (-)	(b)
Work Site	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Predicted Prob.	0.099	0.110	0.072	0.094	0.104	0.067	0.069	0.077	0.051	
LR Chi Square	24.04	23.61	7.60	31.98	27.41	11.42	17.29	16.04	2.58	
LR test	0.020	0.003	0.748	0.001	0.001	0.409	0.100	0.025	0.979	
Pseudo R2	0.029	0.039	0.035	0.040	0.047	0.053	0.028	0.035	0.017	
Sample	1224	828	379	1218	824	377	1178	805	348	

\* 1%, \*\* 5%, \*\*\* 10% significant. Numbers in parentheses are standard errors.

The coefficients represent the change in the probability for an infinitesimal change of each explanatory variable (marginal effects).

(a) There are no blue-collar employees in Rank (1).

(b) The observations of the dummy variable are dropped because they are not varied over the dependent variable.