

Seiler, Christian

**Working Paper**

## The Data Sets of the LMU-ifo Economics & Business Data Center - A Guide for Researchers

ifo Working Paper, No. 138

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

*Suggested Citation:* Seiler, Christian (2012) : The Data Sets of the LMU-ifo Economics & Business Data Center - A Guide for Researchers, ifo Working Paper, No. 138, ifo Institute - Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich, Munich

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/73812>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

# Working Papers

## The Data Sets of the LMU-ifo Economics & Business Data Center – A Guide for Researchers

Christian Seiler

Ifo Working Paper No. 138

September 2012

An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded from the Ifo website  
[www.cesifo-group.de](http://www.cesifo-group.de).

## The Data Sets of the LMU-ifo Economics & Business Data Center – A Guide for Researchers

### Abstract

The LMU-ifo Economics & Business Data Center was founded by the Ifo Institute and the Ludwig-Maximilian-University of Munich in 2008 to establish a central place for the collection and supply of research data on economic and business sciences. This joint initiative aims to increase synergies between empirical and theoretical research. Since its foundation in 1949, the Ifo Institute has collected relevant data for the Federal Republic of Germany with respect to cyclical trends, capital spending by firms and their innovation strategy. In addition to Ifo survey data, EBDC offers combined panel data sets of these surveys with balance sheet data from Amadeus and Hoppenstedt. These panels include both Ifo Institute survey data (Ifo panel data at firm level), as well as external accounting data and other structural enterprise information concerning corporate finance or investment activity.

JEL Code: C0, C8.

Keywords: Micro data, business surveys, data access, *Ifo DataPool*, *Ifo DataLit*.

Christian Seiler  
Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute  
for Economic Research  
at the University of Munich  
Poschingerstr. 5  
81679 Munich, Germany  
Phone: +49(0)89/9224-1248  
seiler@ifo.de

## 1. Ifo Survey Data

At the core of the data sets provided by the EBDC are the micro data sets of the Ifo surveys. The Ifo Institute conducted its Ifo Business Survey (IBS, *ifo Konjunkturtest*) for the first time in 1949. The most well-known result is the Ifo Business Climate Index (*ifo Geschäftsklimaindex*), which is closely observed by journalists, researchers and the general public. Abberger and Wohlrabe (2006) provide an overview of studies with respect to forecasting issues of the Ifo Business Climate Index. The survey initially covered the manufacturing sector, but was quickly extended in the 1950s to the trade (1950) and construction sector (1956). In 1995, the survey was also introduced in the service sector on a quarterly basis and has been performed monthly since 2004. The main characteristic of the survey is that nearly all of the questions constitute inherent tendency statements that make it possible to generate quick results on the current situation and expectations concerning the most interesting business parameters such as demand, prices or staff, see Becker and Wohlrabe (2008). In line with the Joint Harmonised EU Programme of Business and Consumer Surveys<sup>1</sup> these variables measure cyclical development over time. In addition to the four main sectors, Ifo also performs a quarterly business survey of the insurance industry.

Besides the IBS, the Ifo Institute also conducts other surveys on a less frequent basis. In 1955, the Ifo Investment Survey (IVS, *ifo Investitionstest*) was introduced in manufacturing, and was subsequently extended to trade (1956). Today, the IVS is regularly performed in its original form in manufacturing. However, the IVS in trade has been conducted in an abbreviated form since 1999 as special questions in the IBS for trade. Ifo also performs the Ifo Innovation Survey (INS, *ifo Innovationstest*) on an annual basis, which focuses on innovation activities, the aims of innovative developments and factors influencing the innovation process. Besides this extensive survey, the IBS features some special questions on the topic of innovation. Whereas in the IBS, IVS and INS German firms are questioned on their business parameters, the Ifo World Economic Survey (WES) launched by Ifo in 1981 asks experts in foreign countries about the economic situation and their expectations. Topics covered include trade balances, inflation rates and domestic share prices. More information on this survey can be found in Stangl (2007).

Not all data sets are available back to the first wave of the survey. Table 1 gives an overview of the first wave, the available data sets, the number of observations, as well as the number of different questions. All surveys were quickly introduced to former Eastern Germany after German reunification in 1990. Further information on the methodological foundations of all the Ifo Institute's surveys can be found in Goldrian (2007). However, all users should bear in mind that *the questions asked can differ enormously between sectors even if the survey is the same*. This is because many questions cannot be answered meaningfully in other sectors such as, for example, capacity utilization in trade. For the IBS, Table 2 gives an overview of selected questions that were asked in at least two out of four sectors. In general, we recommend that each analysis should start with the most interesting sector and it should subsequently be checked whether it is possible to extend the research question to the other sectors.

---

<sup>1</sup> The surveys conducted by the Ifo Institute are performed in similar way in all EU member states to calculate comparable results such as the EU Economic sentiment indicator.

| Survey     | Sector        | First wave | Frequency            | Available data sets | Number of questions <sup>2</sup> |
|------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>IBS</b> | manufacturing | 1949       | monthly              | since 1980          | 66                               |
|            | construction  | 1956       | monthly              | since 1991          | 113                              |
|            | trade         | 1950       | monthly              | since 1991          | 45                               |
|            | service       | 1995       | monthly <sup>3</sup> | since 1995          | 20                               |
|            | insurance     | 1999       | quarterly            | since 1999          | 33                               |
| <b>IVS</b> | manufacturing | 1955       | bi-annually          | since 1964          | 208                              |
|            | trade         | 1956       | yearly <sup>4</sup>  | since 2000          | 6                                |
| <b>INS</b> | manufacturing | 1979       | yearly               | since 1982          | 697                              |
| <b>WES</b> | -             | 1981       | quarterly            | since 1983          | 47                               |

Table 1: Overview of Ifo surveys

| Question              | Manufacturing | Construction | Trade | Service |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------|---------|
| Business expectations | X             | X            | X     | X       |
| Business situation    | X             | X            | X     | X       |
| Capacity utilization  | X             | X            |       |         |
| Demand                | X             |              |       | X       |
| Employees             | X             | X            | X     | X       |
| Orders                | X             | X            |       |         |
| Prices                | X             | X            | X     |         |

Table 2: Comparable questions in the IBS across sectors (selection)

## 2. Combined Data Sets

In order to increase the potential of microdata analyses, the EBDC provides combined data sets by merging Ifo survey and Amadeus/Hoppenstedt balance sheet data. This data merger is performed with record linkage. After this procedure, merges with higher uncertainty are checked for accordance. Hönig (2010) gives a more detailed introduction to this procedure. Notice that the merge is done if there exists at least one year for which both, survey and balance sheet data, are available for the same company. The merge of balance sheet data with the IBS leads to the *EBDC Business Expectations Panel* (BEP), while merging this data with the IVS gives the *EBDC Business Investment Panel* (BIP) and merging it with the INS (including special questions on innovation topics from the IBS) leads to the *EBDC Business Innovation Panel* (BINP). For all of these panels, combinations, e.g. all firms which appear in the BEP as well as in the BIP, exist and can be used in the EBDC. Figure 1 offers an overview of the construction of the EBDC business panels. However, as balance sheet data are usually on annual frequency and the Ifo survey data normally have higher frequencies, the analyst has to think about *how to join these different types of frequencies (aggregate or disaggregate information)* on the one hand, and has to *adjust the coverage of the balance sheet data* on the other, as the business year of a company may not correspond to the calendar year, e.g. a balance sheet may cover the period from April 2009 to March 2010. Balance sheet data in the EBDC panels therefore have their own row in the

<sup>2</sup> Including special questions that may be asked only in a single wave as of the last wave in 2010.

<sup>3</sup> On a quarterly basis between 1995 and 2004.

<sup>4</sup> Bi-annually until 1999.

data set marked by month = 99.<sup>5</sup> So, for any analysis including survey and balance sheet data, the user has to think about how to combine these data in order to perform a regression analysis.




Figure 1: Data sets provided in the EBDC

### 3. Some descriptive statistics

In order to get an idea of the structure and magnitude of the EBDC data sets, we provide some descriptive statistics in this section. As the EBDC business panels are a subset of the IBS, the question arises as to whether representativeness is still given. Figure 2 shows the Ifo Business Climate Index for the manufacturing sector for all companies in the IBS (black) and for the subset of firms for which balance sheet data is available in BEP (grey). It can clearly be seen that no structural difference exists, i.e. the BEP can be stated as a representative subset with respect to the IBS. Table 3 shows the number of enterprises and observations before and after merging Ifo survey with balance sheet data. For example, the IBS in manufacturing contains 1,458,240 observations from 16,830 enterprises as of the last wave in 2010. After merging these observations with balance sheet data, 606,024 observations from 4,896 enterprises remain. For every enterprise, a merge is done in cases where survey and balance sheet data exist in parallel for at least one year.

<sup>5</sup> The balance sheet data are assigned to the year that includes most of the fiscal year, e.g. a balance sheet from April 2009 to March 2010 is assigned to 2009.




Figure 2: Ifo Business Climate Index for the manufacturing sector 1994-2009 (black = original indicator from the whole IBS, grey = indicator calculated from the subset of firms included in the BEP).

| Survey | Sector        | Observations<br>before merge | Enterprises<br>before merge | Observations<br>after merge | Enterprises<br>after merge |
|--------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| IBS    | manufacturing | 1,458,240                    | 16,830                      | 606,024                     | 4,896                      |
|        | construction  | 775,609                      | 19,887                      | 338,953                     | 6,337                      |
|        | trade         | 705,371                      | 6,747                       | 299,882                     | 5,196                      |
|        | service       | 175,568                      | 6,936                       | 158,386                     | 5,217                      |
| IVS    | manufacturing | 344,462                      | 20,835                      | 193,957                     | 5,465                      |
|        | trade         | 9,869                        | 2,617                       | 11,896                      | 1,291                      |
| INS    | manufacturing | 38,471                       | 7,399                       | 69,705                      | 3,950                      |

Table 3: Number of observations and enterprises in the Ifo surveys and the combined data sets<sup>6</sup>

#### 4. Research Papers with EBDC data

Although the EBDC has existed since 2008, a lot of research papers were published prior to this date as the surveys of the Ifo Institute have been conducted for over 60 years. An overview of microdata analyses prior to the foundation of the EBDC is given in Becker and Wohlrabe (2008). Table 4 gives an overview of publications since 2008. It can clearly be seen that the range of topics is very broad. For example, Bachmann, Elstner and Sims (2010) analyse economic uncertainty by using the

<sup>6</sup> As of the last wave in 2010. The insurance sector in the IBS, as well as the construction sector in the IVS, are not combined due to a lack of appropriate balance sheet data. The higher number of observations in the IVS trade after the merge results due to the fact that balance sheet data back to 1998 are included if survey and balance sheet are available for at least one year.

“tandem”-questions (situation and expectations), which appear for various business parameters in the IBS. Schenkelberg (2011) analyses the price setting behaviour of German firms, Carstensen and Schenkelberg (2011) analyse different price setting and inflation theories with the IBS and Strasser (2012) looks at price setting behavior with respect to credit constraints. With the onset of the financial crisis in 2008, several papers appeared that deal with credit constraints, e.g. Engemann, Eck and Schnitzer (2011), Felbermayr, Heiland and Yalcin (2012) and Rottmann and Wollmershäuser (2013). Carstensen, Elstner and Paula (2011) analysed the effects of oil market developments on the 2008/2009 German recession.

In addition to answering economic issues, topics with respect to survey methodology have also been discussed. Abberger, Birnbrich and Seiler (2009) conducted a meta survey for the IBS in trade to evaluate information on the respondent and the questions asked in the standard IBS. Stangl (2008) compares the ordinal tendency answers in the IBS with continuous replies on a Visual Analog Scale. Seiler (2010) and Seiler and Heumann (2012) analyse the IBS with respect to nonresponse and evaluate their implications for macro level results.

| <b>Study</b>                          | <b>Main topic(s)</b>         | <b>Data set(s)</b> |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Abberger, Birnbrich and Seiler (2009) | Respondents                  | Special            |
| Bachmann, Elstner and Sims (2010)     | Economic uncertainty         | IVS                |
| Carstensen, Elstner and Paula (2011)  | Oil market, demand shocks    | IBS                |
| Carstensen and Schenkelberg (2011)    | Price setting                | IBS                |
| Engemann, Eck and Schnitzer (2011)    | Trade credits                | BEP                |
| Falck, Heblich and Kipar (2011)       | Process & product innovation | INS                |
| Felbermayr, Heiland and Yalcin (2012) | Export, credit constraints   | IBS, BEP           |
| Fidrmuc and Hainz (2009)              | Banking supervision          | IBS                |
| Kipar (2011)                          | Bank lending, innovation     | INS                |
| Pesaran and Timmermann (2009)         | Correlations, Markov chains  | IBS                |
| Paula (2010)                          | Innovation                   | INS                |
| Rottmann and Wollmershäuser (2013)    | Credit crunch                | IBS                |
| Schenkelberg (2011)                   | Price setting                | IBS                |
| Seiler (2010)                         | Nonresponse                  | IBS                |
| Seiler and Heumann (2012)             | Nonresponse, imputation      | IBS                |
| Stangl (2008)                         | Economic expectations        | IBS                |
| Strasser (2012)                       | Price setting                | IBS                |

Table 4: Overview of publications with EBDC data since 2008

## 5. Access and Service

Due to the high confidentiality and the obligation to maintain the secrecy of both survey results and panel member identity, the EBDC panels can only be used on the premises of the EBDC and are made available with a time lag. The data can only be accessed on computers which have no internet access, printer or other external storage media and which can only be used in the presence of an EBDC staff member. This person will ensure, on completion of the researcher’s stay, that the anonymised data do not allow the identification of individual firms and that no inferences can be drawn regarding panel composition. Moreover, after this examination has been successfully carried out, the EBDC staff member will send the results in Stata format.



Individuals can apply for access to EBDC datasets by completing a form at the Ifo website [www.ifo.de/ebdc](http://www.ifo.de/ebdc). In addition, a short description of the research project and accompanying information as to scheduling must be submitted to gain permission. Upon request the EBDC will send a test package by email containing an anonymised and randomized EBDC test panel in Stata-format, as well as the documentation on the respective original dataset. The EBDC expressly supports empirical research projects and is thus free-of-charge.

## Literature

*Abberger, K. / Birnbrich, M. / Seiler, C.*, “Der ‚Test des Tests‘ im Handel – eine Metaumfrage zum ifo Konjunkturtest”, ifo Schnelldienst 62 (21), 2009, 34-41

*Abberger, K. / Wohlrabe, K.*, “Einige Prognoseeigenschaften des ifo Geschäftsklimas - Ein Überblick über die neuere wissenschaftliche Literatur”, ifo Schnelldienst 59 (22), 2006, 19-26

*Bachmann, R. / Elstner, S. / Sims, E.*, “Uncertainty and Economic Activity: Evidence from Business Survey Data”, NBER Working Paper 16143, 2010

*Becker, S. O. / Wohlrabe, K.*, “European Data Watch: Micro Data at the Ifo Institute for Economic Research - The 'Ifo Business Survey', Usage and Access”, Journal of Applied Social Science Studies 128 (2), 2008, 307-319

*Carstensen, K. / Elstner, S. / Paula, G.*, “How Strongly Did the 2007/08 Oil Price Hike Contribute to the Subsequent Recession?”, CESifo Working Paper No. 3357, 2011

*Carstensen, K. / Schenkelberg, H.*, “Time- or State-Dependence? An Analysis of Inflation Dynamics using German Business Survey Data”, Munich Discussion Paper 2011-5, 2011

*Dischinger, M. / Riedel, M.*, “The Role of Headquarters in Multinational Profit Shifting Strategies”, Oxford University Center for Business Taxation WP 10/03, 2010

*Eidenmüller, H. / Engert, A. / Hornuf, L.*, “Where Do Firms Issue Debt? - An Empirical Analysis of Issuer Location and Regulatory Competition in Europe”, ECGI - Finance Working Paper No. 292/2010, 2010

*Engemann, M. / Eck, K. / Schnitzer, M.*, “Trade Credits and Bank Credits in International Trade: Substitutes or Complements?”, BGPE Discussion Paper No. 108, 2011

*Felbermayr, G. / Heiland, I. / Yalcin, E.*, “Beschäftigungseffekte der Exportkreditgarantien der Bundesrepublik Deutschland Hermesdeckungen”, ifo Schnelldienst 65 (1), 2012, 20-30

*Fidrmuc, J. / Hainz, C.*, “Financial Integration and Banking Regulation: Cross-Border Lending in Germany”, “Paolo Baffi” Centre Research Paper Series No. 2009-46, 2009

*Goldrian, G.*, “Handbook of survey-based business cycle analysis”, Ifo Economic Policy Series, Cheltenham, 2007.

*Hönig, A.*, “Linkage of Ifo Survey and Balance-Sheet Data: The EBDC Business Expectations Panel & the EBDC Business Investment Panel”, *Journal of Applied Social Science Studies* 130(4), 2010, 635-642

*Falck, O. / Heblich, S. / Kipar, S.*, “Incumbent innovation and domestic entry”, *Small Business Economics* 36 (2), 2011, 271–279

*Kipar, S.*, “The Effect of Restrictive Bank Lending on Innovation: Evidence from a Financial Crisis”, Ifo Working Paper No. 109, 2011

*Paula, G.*, “Financing Conditions, the Concept of Innovation Capacity and the Innovative Activity of Firms”, CESifo Working Paper No. 3296, 2010

*Pesaran, M. H. / Timmermann, A.*, “Testing Dependence Among Serially Correlated Multicategory Variables”, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 104 (485), 2009, 325-337

*Rottmann, H. / Wollmershäuser, T.*, "A Micro Data Approach to the Identification of Credit Crunches", *Applied Economics* 45 (17), 2013, 2423-2441

*Schenkelberg, H.*, “Why are Prices Sticky? Evidence from Business Survey Data”, Munich Discussion Paper No. 2011-2, 2011

*Seiler, C.*, “Dynamic Modelling of Nonresponse in Business Surveys”, Ifo Working Paper No. 93, 2010

*Seiler, C. / Heumann, C.*, “Microdata Imputations and Macrodata Implications: Evidence from the Ifo Business Survey”, Department of Statistics, Technical Report No. 119, 2012

*Stangl, A.*, “Essays on the Measurement of Economic Expectations”, Munich Dissertations in Economics, 2008

*Stangl, A.*, “European Data Watch: Ifo World Economic Survey Micro Data”, *Journal of Applied Social Science Studies* 127 (3), 2007, 487-496

*Strasser, G. H.*, "Exchange Rate Pass-Through and Credit Constraints: Firms Price to Market as Long as They Can", Boston College Working Papers in Economics 788, 2012

## **Ifo Working Papers**

- No. 137 Crayen, D., C. Hainz and C. Ströh de Martínez, Remittances, Banking Status and the Usage of Insurance Schemes, September 2012.
- No. 136 Crivelli, P. and J. Gröschl, The Impact of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures on Market Entry and Trade Flows, August 2012.
- No. 135 Slavtchev, V. and S. Wiederhold, Technological Intensity of Government Demand and Innovation, August 2012.
- No. 134 Felbermayr, G.J., M. Larch and W. Lechthaler, The Shimer-Puzzle of International Trade: A Quantitative Analysis, August 2012.
- No. 133 Beltz, P., S. Link and A. Ostermaier, Incentives for Students: Evidence from Two Natural Experiments, August 2012.
- No. 132 Felbermayr, G.J. and I. Reczkowski, International Student Mobility and High-Skilled Migration: The Evidence, July 2012.
- No. 131 Sinn, H.-W., Die Europäische Fiskalunion – Gedanken zur Entwicklung der Eurozone, Juli 2012.
- No. 130 Felbermayr, G.J., A. Hauptmann and H.-J. Schmerer, International Trade and Collective Bargaining Outcomes. Evidence from German Employer-Employee Data, March 2012.
- No. 129 Triebs, T.P. and S.C. Kumbhakar, Management Practice in Production, March 2012.
- No. 128 Arent, S., Expectations and Saving Behavior: An Empirical Analysis, March, 2012.
- No. 127 Hornung, E., Railroads and Micro-regional Growth in Prussia, March, 2012.
- No. 126 Seiler, C., On the Robustness of the Balance Statistics with respect to Nonresponse, March 2012.
- No. 125 Arent, S., A. Eck, M. Kloss and O. Krohmer, Income Risk, Saving and Taxation: Will Precautionary Saving Survive?, February 2012.

- No. 124 Kluge, J. and R. Lehmann, Marshall or Jacobs? Answers to an Unsuitable Question from an Interaction Model, February 2012.
- No. 123 Strobel, T., ICT Intermediates, Growth and Productivity Spillovers: Evidence from Comparison of Growth Effects in German and US Manufacturing Sectors, February 2012.
- No. 122 Lehwald, S., Has the Euro Changed Business Cycle Synchronization? Evidence from the Core and the Periphery, January 2012.
- No. 121 Piopiunik, M. and M. Schlotter, Identifying the Incidence of “Grading on a Curve”: A Within-Student Across-Subject Approach, January 2012.
- No. 120 Kauppinen, I. and P. Poutvaara, Preferences for Redistribution among Emigrants from a Welfare State, January 2012.
- No. 119 Aichele, R. and G.J. Felbermayr, Estimating the Effects of Kyoto on Bilateral Trade Flows Using Matching Econometrics, December 2011.
- No. 118 Heid, B., J. Langer and M. Larch, Income and Democracy: Evidence from System GMM Estimates, December 2011.
- No. 117 Felbermayr, G.J. and J. Gröschl, Within US Trade and Long Shadow of the American Secession, December 2011.
- No. 116 Felbermayr, G.J. and E. Yalcin, Export Credit Guarantees and Export Performance: An Empirical Analysis for Germany, December 2011.
- No. 115 Heid, B. and M. Larch, Migration, Trade and Unemployment, November 2011.
- No. 114 Hornung, E., Immigration and the Diffusion of Technology: The Huguenot Diaspora in Prussia, November 2011.
- No. 113 Riener, G. and S. Wiederhold, Costs of Control in Groups, November 2011.
- No. 112 Schlotter, M., Age at Preschool Entrance and Noncognitive Skills before School – An Instrumental Variable Approach, November 2011.
- No. 111 Grimme, C., S. Henzel and E. Wieland, Inflation Uncertainty Revisited: Do Different Measures Disagree?, October 2011.