

Offick, Sven; Wohltmann, Hans-Werner

Working Paper

A terminological note on cyclotomic polynomials and Blaschke matrices

Economics Working Paper, No. 2012-13

Provided in Cooperation with:

Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Department of Economics

Suggested Citation: Offick, Sven; Wohltmann, Hans-Werner (2012) : A terminological note on cyclotomic polynomials and Blaschke matrices, Economics Working Paper, No. 2012-13

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10419/66204>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

C | A | U

Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel

Department of Economics

Economics Working Paper
No 2012-13

a terminological note on cyclotomic polynomials and blaschke matrices

by Sven Offick and Hans-Werner Wohltmann

issn 2193-2476



A terminological note on cyclotomic polynomials and Blaschke matrices

Sven Offick[†], *Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel*
Hans-Werner Wohltmann[‡], *Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel*

October 25, 2012

Abstract

In a recent paper, Mertens and Ravn (2010) study the effects of anticipated fiscal policy shocks in a structural vector autoregressive model. The authors maintain that (i) the lag polynomial $\Theta^{(q-1)}(L) = \omega^{q-1} + \omega^{q-2}L + \dots + \omega L^{q-2} + L^{q-1}$ is a cyclotomic polynomial and (ii) the matrix $B(L)$ which transforms a non-fundamental MA representation into a fundamental one is a Blaschke matrix. Though, the results in Mertens and Ravn (2010) are correct, we find that the terms ‘cyclotomic’ and ‘Blaschke matrix’ are misused.

JEL classification: C32, E32

Keywords: Nonfundamentalness, Cyclotomic polynomial, Blaschke matrix

[†]Department of Economics, Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, Olshausenstr. 40, 24098 Kiel, Germany. Phone: ++49-431-880-1472, E-mail: offick@economics.uni-kiel.de

[‡]Department of Economics, Christian-Albrechts-University Kiel, Olshausenstr. 40, 24098 Kiel, Germany. Phone: ++49-431-880-1447, E-mail: wohltmann@economics.uni-kiel.de

1 Introduction

In a recent paper published in *The Economic Journal*, Mertens and Ravn (2010) study the effects of anticipated fiscal policy shocks in a structural vector autoregressive model. Due to the anticipation, the moving average (MA) representation of this model is nonfundamental, i.e. some of the roots of the MA determinant lie inside the unit circle. The associated lag polynomial of the anticipated shock is given by

$$\Theta^{(q-1)}(L) = \omega^{q-1} + \omega^{q-2}L + \dots + \omega L^{q-2} + L^{q-1}, \quad (1)$$

where $q \geq 1$ and $\omega \in (0, 1)$ are the length of anticipation and the anticipation rate, respectively. Based on Lippi and Reichlin (1993, 1994), the authors use the transformation matrix

$$B(L) = K M_1(L) M_2(L) \dots M_{q-1}(L) \quad (2)$$

to flip the roots of the MA determinant and transform the nonfundamental MA representation into a fundamental one. Mertens and Ravn (2010) maintain that $\Theta^{(q-1)}(L)$ is a *cyclotomic polynomial* and $B(L)$ is a *Blaschke matrix*. However, we find that $\Theta^{(q-1)}(L)$ is neither cyclotomic nor $B(L)$ of Blaschke-type.

2 Cyclotomic Polynomials

We begin by stating the definition of a cyclotomic polynomial as it can be found in many textbooks.¹

Definition 1 *Let n be a positive integer. Then the n th cyclotomic polynomial, denoted as $\Phi_n(x)$, is defined as*

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{\varphi(n)} (x - \zeta_k), \quad (3)$$

where $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\varphi(n)}$ are the $\varphi(n)$ primitive n th roots of unity and $\varphi(n)$ denotes Euler's totient function.

Remark 1 *A primitive n th root satisfies $\zeta_k^n = 1$ with $\text{ord}(\zeta_k) = n$, where $\text{ord}(\cdot)$ denotes the order of ζ_k , i.e. the smallest positive integer n that satisfies $\zeta_k^n = 1$.*

Remark 2 *Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$ can be written as*

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d} \quad (4)$$

¹See e.g. Lang (2002). Readers who are interested in the historical background of cyclotomic polynomials are referred to Sury (1999).

with $\mu(d)$ as Möbius function

$$\mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = 1 \\ (-1)^m & \text{if } d \text{ is squarefree and } m \text{ is the number of prime divisors of } d \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Clearly, the polynomial $\Theta^{(q-1)}(L)$ does not satisfy definition 1, but it can be related to cyclotomic polynomials in the following way: Let $x = L/\omega$, then the polynomial equation $\Theta^{(q-1)}(L) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\tilde{\Theta}^{(q-1)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} x^j = \frac{x^q - 1}{x - 1} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Obviously, the $q - 1$ roots of $\tilde{\Theta}^{(q-1)}(x) = 0$ are the q th roots of unity, satisfying $x^q - 1 = 0$. In Euler form, these roots can be explicitly expressed as

$$x_j^{(q-1)} = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{q} j\right) \quad (j = 1, \dots, q - 1), \quad (7)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit. The roots of $\Theta^{(q-1)}(L) = 0$ are then implicitly given by $\omega_j = \omega x_j^{(q-1)}$ ($j = 1, \dots, q - 1$).

From definition 1 and equation (6) follows that the polynomial $\tilde{\Theta}^{(q-1)}(x)$ can be written as the *product* of cyclotomic polynomials. Since $x^q - 1 = \prod_{d|q} \Phi_d(x)$, we can write

$$\tilde{\Theta}^{(q-1)}(x) = \prod_{d|q, d \geq 2} \Phi_d(x). \quad (8)$$

Note that (8) reduces to $\tilde{\Theta}^{(q-1)}(x) = \Phi_q(x)$ if q is *prime*.

If q is *not* prime, then $\tilde{\Theta}^{(q-1)}(x)$ is not cyclotomic. For example, if $q = 4$, then

$$\tilde{\Theta}^{(3)}(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 = \frac{x^4 - 1}{x - 1} = \Phi_2(x)\Phi_4(x) \quad (9)$$

with the cyclotomic polynomials $\Phi_2(x)$ and $\Phi_4(x)$ given by

$$\Phi_2(x) = \prod_{d|2, d \geq 1} (x^{2/d} - 1)^{\mu(d)} = (x^2 - 1)(x - 1)^{-1} = x + 1 \quad (10)$$

$$\Phi_4(x) = \prod_{d|4, d \geq 1} (x^{4/d} - 1)^{\mu(d)} = (x^4 - 1)(x^2 - 1)^{-1} = x^2 + 1 \quad (11)$$

3 Blaschke matrices

As in Lippi and Reichlin (1993, 1994), the Blaschke matrix is defined as follows:

Definition 2 $A(L)$ is a Blaschke matrix if it satisfies

1. $A(L)$ has no poles of modulus smaller or equal to unity.
2. If $A^*(L)$ denotes the transpose of the complex conjugate of $A(L)$, then $A(L)^{-1} = A^*(L^{-1})$.

In Mertens and Ravn (2010) K is a constant orthogonal matrix and $M_i(L)$ is given by

$$M_i(L) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1-\omega_i L}{L-\bar{\omega}_i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, q-1), \quad (12)$$

where ω_i are the roots of $\Theta^{(q-1)}(L) = 0$ and $\bar{\omega}_i$ denotes the complex conjugate of ω_i . The matrix $B(L)$ has the property to flip the stable roots of the MA representation. However, it is not a Blaschke matrix. Since all roots of $\Theta(L)$ are stable, i.e. $|\bar{\omega}_i| < 1$, the pole of $|M_i| = 0$ (i.e. $\bar{\omega}_i$) lies inside the unit circle. Hence, $B(L)$ does *not* satisfy condition 1 of definition 2 and therefore is *not* a Blaschke matrix.

A Blaschke matrix would be of the form

$$\tilde{B}(L) = \tilde{K} \tilde{M}_1(L) \dots \tilde{M}_{q-1}(L), \quad (13)$$

where, in line with Lippi and Reichlin (1994),

$$\tilde{M}_i(L) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{L-\omega_i}{1-\bar{\omega}_i L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, q-1).^2 \quad (14)$$

However, the Blaschke matrix $\tilde{B}(L)$ flips the *unstable* roots of the MA determinant and therefore transforms a fundamental MA representation into a nonfundamental one. Contrarily, the transformation matrix $B(L)$ used by Mertens and Ravn (2010) works in the opposite direction. It flips the *stable* roots of the MA determinant and therefore transforms a nonfundamental MA representation back to a fundamental one.

4 Conclusion

The purpose of this short terminological note is to clarify the terms cyclotomic polynomial and Blaschke matrix and relate it to Mertens and Ravn (2010) and to the topic of news shocks in general.

²Note that the term $\frac{L-\omega_i}{1-\bar{\omega}_i L}$ is a Blaschke factor which was first introduced by Blaschke (1915).

References

- Blaschke, W. (1915) "Eine Erweiterung des Satzes von Vitali über Folgen analytischer Funktionen" *Leipziger Berichte* 67, 194-200.
- Lang, S. (2002) *Algebra*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin.
- Lippi, M. and Reichlin, L. (1993) "The dynamic effects of aggregate demand and supply disturbances: comment" *American Economic Review* 83(3), 644-652.
- Lippi, M. and Reichlin, L. (1994) "VAR analysis, nonfundamental representations, Blaschke matrices" *Journal of Econometrics* 73(1), 307-325.
- Mertens, K. and Ravn, M.O. (2010) "Measuring the impact of fiscal policy in the face of anticipation: A structural VAR approach" *The Economic Journal* 120, 393-413.
- Sury, B. (1999) "Cyclotomy and cyclotomic polynomials" *Resonance* 4(12), 41-53.