

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Lücke, Matthias

Working Paper — Digitized Version Technical progress and the pattern of specialization in world trade in manufactures, 1965 to 1987

Kiel Working Paper, No. 534

Provided in Cooperation with: Kiel Institute for the World Economy – Leibniz Center for Research on Global Economic Challenges

Suggested Citation: Lücke, Matthias (1992) : Technical progress and the pattern of specialization in world trade in manufactures, 1965 to 1987, Kiel Working Paper, No. 534, Kiel Institute of World Economics (IfW), Kiel

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/615

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Kieler Arbeitspapiere Kiel Working Papers

۰.

Working Paper No. 534

Technical Progress and the Pattern of Specialization in World Trade in Manufactures, 1965 to 1987

by

Matthias Lücke

Institut für Weltwirtschaft an der Universität Kiel The Kiel Institute of World Economics

ISSN 0342-0787

Kiel Institute of World Economics Department IV Düsternbrooker Weg 120 D-2300 Kiel 1

Working Paper No. 534

Technical Progress and the Pattern of Specialization in World Trade in Manufactures, 1965 to 1987

by

Matthias Lücke

October 1992

A93999 192/2 Mental Market

The authors themselves, not the Kiel Institute of World Economics, are responsible for the contents and distribution of Kiel Working Papers.

Since the series involves manuscripts in a preliminary form, interested readers are requested to direct criticisms and suggestions directly to the authors and to clear any quotations with them.

<u>Abstract</u>:

It has been hypothesized that technical progress will erode the competitiveness of the manufactured exports of developing countries. The paper tests two assumptions underlying this hypothesis. First, limited technological competence might prevent developing countries from competing effectively in industries with rapid technological change. Secondly, increases in labour productivity might reduce the importance of low labour costs as a determinant of competitiveness. This paper presents a crosscountry, cross-industry econometric analysis of the determinants of specialization in trade in manufactures, covering 37 industrialized and developing countries. Neither hypothesized relationship is supported by the data.

Zusammenfassung:

Es wurde vermutet, daß der technische Fortschritt die Wettbewerbsfähigkeit von Industriegüterexporten aus Entwicklungsländern beeinträchtigt. In diesem Arbeitspapier werden zwei Annahmen empirisch überprüft, die dieser Hypothese zugrundeliegen. Zum einen könnte begrenzte technologische Kompetenz es Produzenten in Entwicklungsländern erschweren, sich in Industrien mit raschem technischen Fortschritt zu behaupten. Zum anderen könnte die Steigerung der Arbeitsproduktivität durch technischen Fortschritt die Bedeutung niedriger Lohnkosten als Standortvorteil schmälern. Eine ökonometrische Analyse der Spezialisierungsmuster im Industriegüterhandel, die sich auf 37 Industrie- und Entwicklungsländer erstreckt, findet keine Bestätigung für den vermuteten Zusammenhang zwischen dem technischen Fortschritt und der Wettbewerbsfähigkeit der Entwicklungsländer.

Keywords (JEL Classification):

033 Technical Change - F14 Country and Industry Studies of Trade

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to test the hypothesis that technical progress will lead to the relocation of manufacturing industries from developing to industrialized countries (cf., among many others, Kaplinsky, 1984; UNCTAD, 1986; Henke, 1990, pp. 8ff.). At least two arguments have been put forward to support this view: First, increasingly rapid technological advance in areas like microelectronics may result in a widening productivity gap between industrialized and developing countries. This could be due either to limited technological competence on the part of developing country firms, preventing them from adopting innovations timely, or to "technological protectionism" on the part of the industrialized countries (Ernst, O'Connor, 1989).¹

Secondly, there is some evidence that the introduction of microelectronics in industrialized countries has made many industrial processes less labour-intensive (e.g. Vickery and Campbell, 1991, p. 66). It has been argued, furthermore, that the new techniques of production provide only limited opportunities for the substitution of labour for capital (i.e. they represent "localized" technical progress according to Lapan, 1975).² At the same time, the competitive edge of firms based in developing countries continues to hinge upon low unit labour costs, because the per-unit costs of other inputs are fairly similar across countries.³ If this argument is valid, developing country producers face a choice between Scylla and Charybdis: Either they stick to their traditional, labour-intensive, but relatively inefficient technique. In that case their competitiveness is bound to deteriorate in the face of the improved efficiency of their industrialized country competitors. Alternatively, they may adopt the new, more efficient, but also more capital-intensive technique. Then their competitive edge, based on low unit labour costs, will be eroded by reduced labour intensity.⁴

Empirical tests of the relocation hypothesis have been based mainly on case studies of particular industries or countries.⁵ These studies have remained inconclusive because, on the one hand, isolated instances of relocation do not constitute evidence of a general trend. On the other hand, the absence so far of a trend towards relocation does not in itself preclude this possibility for the future. Areas such as microelectronics, biotechnology, and new materials hold a vast potential for innovations that could conceivably exert a profound influence on the division of labour between industrialized and developing countries.

The present paper therefore tests the empirical foundation of the assumptions on which the relocation hypothesis is based. The focus is on how technical progress in individual manufacturing industries has affected the specialization patterns of industrialized vs. developing countries in trade in manufactures. If the productivity gap between industrialized and developing countries has indeed become larger, the competitive position of developing countries should have deteriorated most (ceteris paribus) in industries with relatively fast technical progress. A similar consideration applies regarding the possible effects of "localized", labour-saving technical progress: Developing countries should have become less specialized (ceteris paribus) in those industries where a relatively large share of the technology-induced cost decrease has been due to a reduction in the use of (unskilled) labour.

Evidence of either relationship would imply that certain necessary conditions for a more general trend towards relocation are satisfied. Whether such a development actually occurs would of course depend on the nature of future technical progress as well as other determinants of the international division of labour. On the other hand, if no well-defined relationship between technical progress and the pattern of specialization has existed in the past, a significant trend towards relocation in the future could be considered rather unlikely.

- 2 -

An empirical test of the above hypotheses has to be based on a model of the determinants of the pattern of specialization in international trade. Recent studies have demonstrated that models along Heckscher-Ohlin lines ("capital-rich countries specialize in exporting capital-intensive goods") are capable of explaining a large proportion of the inter-country, inter-industry variation in net exports of manufactures (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988; Claque, 1991). The present analysis is based on the approach developed by Balassa and Bauwens (1988, esp. pp. 27ff.) for mainly three reasons. First, their procedure allows for a sample of countries at different levels of economic development, which is essential for the proposed test of the relocation hypothesis.⁶ Secondly, industry categories should not be too highly aggregated for the measurement of technical progress at the industry level to be meaningful. Otherwise the calculated rates of technical progress might reflect predominantly economic rather than technological change. This requirement precludes the use of models such as Leamer's (1984) and Sautter's (1984) where the number of goods must equal the number of factors of production. Lastly, Balassa and Bauwens (1988) restrict their analysis to the pattern of specialization in manufacturing industry. This limitation avoids serious measurement problems related to the factors of production used extensively in agriculture and mining (cf. Bowen, Leamer, Sveikauskas, 1987). Besides, the distortions which may result from this restriction in the case of resource-rich countries are probably quite small, given the high explanatory power of Balassa's and Bauwens's estimates.

In the present paper the model developed by Balassa and Bauwens (1988) is modified and extended in several respects. Various specifications of human and physical capital intensities are tested and a version different from Balassa's and Bauwens's is adopted. It is also found that the explanatory power of the model increases substantially when a higher level of aggregation is chosen for the industry categories. Finally, testing for the impact of technical progress on the pattern of specialization requires not only the inclusion of technology-related variables,

- 3 -

but also the transformation of the original static model into a comparative-static framework.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 introduces the econometric model, Section 3 presents the estimates, and Section 4 contains a brief summary. Abbreviations of variables, data sources, etc. are listed in the Appendix.

2. Econometric Specification

Balassa and Bauwens (1988) use a two-stage estimating procedure that can be looked upon as a variable coefficient model. In the first stage the pattern of specialization of each country in the sample is described by a regression of normalized net exports on the capital intensity of each product:⁷

$$[1] NNX_{jk} = a_k + b_k \ln KI_j$$

where

- NNX jk normalized net exports as an indicator of the degree of
 specialization of country k in industry j (k = 1,..., K)
- KI capital intensity of industry j (may be disaggregated into human and physical capital intensity - cf. Section 3)

Capital intensity data are drawn from only one country (the USA) and are assumed to be representative of the sample as a whole. This procedure is necessitated by the scarcity of data, and justified by the high inter-country correlation of capital intensities (cf. Footnote 2).

 b_k in equation [1] increases with the degree to which country k specializes in exporting capital-intensive products. Hence Heckscher-Ohlin theory suggests that b_k should be a positive

- 4 -

function of the capital endowment of each country. This hypothesis is tested in the second stage of Balassa's and Bauwens's model:

 $[2] \quad b_k = \alpha + \beta K E_k$

where

 KE_{ν} capital endowment (per capita) of country k

[1] and [2] may be combined into one equation:

[3] NNX_{jk} = $a_k + \alpha \ln KI_j + \beta KE_k \ln KI_j$

In the present paper this static model is used primarily for specification tests relating to the definition of human and physical capital intensities and to the degree of sectoral aggregation. In addition, the technology-related explanatory variables that are later used to test the relocation hypothesis are included in the static model as a point of reference for the comparative-static framework developed below. For that purpose the estimated model becomes (neglecting the residual):

[4] NNX
$$_{jk} = a_1 + D_2 + \dots D_K + \alpha \ln KI_j + \beta KE_k \ln KI_j + \gamma T_j + \delta T_j RGDP_k$$

where

D_k country dummies

T_j several alternative indicators of the rate of technical progress or technology intensity (cf. below)

The country dummies are included in [4] to account for the fact that a_k in equation [3] is country-specific. A positive and significant estimate of δ would constitute evidence that

countries at a higher level of economic development tend to specialize (ceteris paribus) in "technology-intensive" exports. The indicators of the rate of technical progress and technology intensity relate to the USA on the assumption that during most of the period of observation the U.S. could be considered the world technological leader.

The present analysis focusses on the changes in the pattern of specialization due to technical progress, rather than on the pattern of specialization at a given point in time. Therefore a comparative-static model corresponding to equations [1], [2], and [3] is derived by taking differences of [1] and [2]:

[5]
$$\Delta NNX_{jk} = \Delta a_k + b_k^{(1)} \Delta lnKI_j + \Delta b_k lnKI_j^{(0)}$$

(first stage)

$$[6] b_k^{(1)} = \alpha^{(1)} + \beta^{(1)} KE_k^{(1)}$$

[7]
$$\Delta b_k = \Delta \alpha + \beta^{(1)} \Delta K E_k + \Delta \beta K E_k^{(0)}$$

(second stage)

[8]
$$\Delta NNX_{jk} = \Delta a_k + \alpha^{(1)} \Delta \ln KI_j + \beta^{(1)} (KE_k^{(1)} \Delta \ln KI_j + \Delta KE_k \ln KI_j^{(0)}) + \Delta \alpha \ln KI_j^{(0)} + \Delta \beta KE_k^{(0)} \ln KI_j^{(0)}$$

(first and second stage combined)

where

(0), (1) first and last year of the period of observation

When indicators of the rate of technical progress or of technology intensity (T_j) are included to test the assumptions underlying the relocation hypothesis, the estimated model becomes (still neglecting the residuals):

- 6 -

$$[9] \quad \Delta NNX_{jk} = \Delta a_{1} + D_{2} + \dots D_{k} + \Delta \alpha \ln KI_{j}^{(0)} + \alpha^{(1)} \Delta \ln KI_{j} + \Delta \beta KE_{j}^{(0)} \ln KI_{j}^{(0)}$$
$$+ \beta^{(1)}(KE_{k}^{(1)} \Delta \ln KI_{j} + \Delta KE_{k} \ln KI_{j}^{(0)}) + \gamma' T_{j} + \delta' T_{j} \otimes RGDP_{k}$$

where

Econometric estimation of [4] and [9] has to deal with the problem of heteroskedastic residuals, because the second-stage dependent variables are themselves random coefficients from the first-stage regressions (Amemiya, 1978). Balassa and Bauwens (1988) estimate their model alternatively by Weighted Least Squares (pp. 30ff.), by an error components approach (pp. 55ff.), and by OLS with standard errors corrected for heteroskedasticity of unknown form. Their results, however, are not materially affected by the choice of the estimation technique. The present analysis therefore uses only OLS corrected for heteroskedasticity.

The indicators of the inter-branch dispersion of technical progress (T, in equations [4] and [9]) are based on annual average growth rates of various factor productivities. Data on total factor productivity growth (TFP) are alternatively drawn from Jorgenson and Kuroda (1990; TFPJK), and calculated as Törnqvist indices with the value of production in the numerator and a fairly disaggregated set of inputs in the denominator (cf. the appendix).⁸ Following Nelson (1989), the growth rate of total factor productivity is interpreted as the percentage decrease in unit costs under the (hypothetical) assumption of constant factor prices. The first part of the relocation hypothesis implies that developing countries should have lost competitive strength (ceteris paribus) in those industries where unit costs have decreased particularly fast due to technical progress. In equation [9] this would be reflected in a significantly positive coefficient for the interaction variable TFP • \$\phiRGDP\$.

- 7 -

The second part of the relocation hypothesis relates to the reduction in unit costs that is due to an increase in labour This is measured by the growth rate of productivity. labour productivity multiplied by the share of labour in total costs before, the (hypothetical) assumption of unchanged (LS). As factor prices is maintained in accordance with Nelson (1989). Alternatively, an explanatory variable LSK is defined as the difference between LS and the reduction in unit costs due to greater productivity of all other inputs. LSK is included in the regressions along with TFP, and may be thought of as an indicator of the relative contributions of labour and other factor productivities to the unit cost decrease (which, in turn, is measured by TFP). If technical progress has indeed eroded the comparative advantage of developing countries based on low unit labour costs, positive and significant coefficients should show up for LS • ϕ RGDP and LSK • ϕ RGDP, respectively.

In addition to TFP, LS, and LSK, the (unweighted) growth rate of labour productivity in production is used as an indicator of the rate of technical progress. In spite of its well-known shortcomings this measure is still found frequently in the literature. Also included is the share of scientists and engineers engaged in research and development in the total workforce (SERD). An obvious drawback of this measure lies in the fact that the technology intensity of an industry may differ across countries if research and production activities can be located separately. As a rather simple indicator, however, SERD circumvents many of the conceptual and measurement problems associated with factor productivity growth rates.⁹

All indicators based on factor productivity growth rates are calculated as Törnqvist indices from data for the first and last year of the period of observation. This raises the question of whether production function estimates would provide more reliable estimates of the rate and bias of technical progress. Recent studies have demonstrated, however, that production function estimates are extremely sensitive to the specification of the input and output variables, even when the methodology and data sources are essentially the same (Bergström, Panas, 1985; 1987). When the present model was estimated with West German data for the industry-specific variables, no substantial differences have surfaced in the regression results depending on whether rates of technical progress based on production function estimates (Unger, 1986) or conventionally estimated factor productivity growth The present study rates were used. relies exclusively on Törngvist indices because no production function estimates are available for individual manufacturing industries in the US for the period of observation. It seems highly unlikely, however, that this limitation materially affects the results.

3. Estimation Results¹⁰

The estimates are based on a data set containing the normalized net exports of resource-free manufactures of 37 industrialized and developing countries, the capital endowments and per capita GDP of these countries, and industry-specific variables relating to the USA. The data cover the years 1965, 1978, and 1987. Thus they reflect the expansion of manufactured exports in a number of developing countries since the mid-1960s. The period of observation is sub-divided in 1978 (the year before the second oil price shock) in order to account for possible differences in the pattern of specialization in the 1980s. The country sample is the same as in Balassa and Bauwens (1988, p. 6), except for the exclusion of Taiwan due to problems of data availability. Sample countries have been selected on the basis of the value and relative importance of their manufactured exports (cf. Balassa and Bauwens, 1988, p. 5).

Table 1 presents regression results used to test alternative specifications of the capital intensity variables and the level of sectoral aggregation. A distinction is made between human capital per head of production workers (HKIP) and other staff (HKINP), as well as between physical capital per head in the form of own machinery (PKIMO), own buildings (PKIBO) and rented

Depe Data	endent Variable/	lnHKIP·HKE	Explana lnHKINP•HKE	atory Varia InPKIMO	ables (β-coefficier ·PKE lnPKIBO·PKE	nts) ^a lnPKIBR·PKE	₹²
(1)	NNX-ISIC 1965		(constant ar	nd country	dummies only)		.352
(2)	(N=814)	1.2	26***		.54***		.539
(3)		.90*** .91***	06	23	.67*** .47***	. 22***	.533
(5)	NNX-ISIC 1978		(constant ar	nd country	dummies only)		.221
(6)	(N=814)	1.3	35***	-	1.01***		.534
(7)		1.11***	72	04	.93***		.527
(8)		.97***			.88***	.18***	.534
(9)	NNX-ISIC 1987		(constant ar	nd country	dummies only)		.191
(10)	(N=814)	1.4	,7***	•	1.51***		.512
(11)		.87***	. 39	.42	.97***		.502
(12)		1.02***			1.14***	.60***	.536
(13)	NNX-Sectors ^b 1965		(constant ar	nd country	dummies only)		.333
(14)	(N=5252)	.9)7***	,	.20***		.417
(15)		.76***	23	01	.15***		.414
(16)	、	.72***			.16***	.05**	.413
(17)	NNX-Sectors ^b 1978		(constant an	nd country	dummies only)		.218
(18)	(N=5332)	1.1	5***		.51***		.361
(19)		.74***	.30**	20*	** .62***		.356
(20)		.82***			.51***	.14***	.360
(21)	NNX-Sectors ^b 1987		(constant an	d country	dummies only)		.176
(22)	(N=5363)	1.4	2***		. 72***		. 320
(23)	(.90***	.14***	.261	*** 38***		. 309
(24)		.94***	· <u> </u>	. 20		.26***	. 323

Table 1: Regression Results for the Static Model: Specification Tests

***(**,*) Significantly different from 0 at the .01 (.05, .10) confidence level (2-tailed test). - OLS estimates with standard errors corrected for heteroskedasticity of unknown form. ^a All regressions contain, in addition, a constant, 36 country dummies, and all industry-specific variables separately. - ^D Estimates not corrected for heteroskedasticity.

Source: Data cf. the Appendix; own calculations with TSP Version 4.2A software.

1 د 0 Ŧ

building (PKIBR). Capital endowments distinguish only between human capital (HKE), which is proxied by the Harbison Myers Index, and physical capital (PKE), which is defined as per capita gross domestic investment accumulated over 15 years. Net exports of resource-free manufactures and capital intensities are calculated alternatively for 147 "sectors" (adopted from Balassa and Bauwens, 1988, pp. 10 ff.), and for 22 ISIC 3-digit industries (cf. Table A1).

The explanatory power of the econometric model may be gauged from the increase in $\bar{\mathtt{R}}^2$ when the genuinely economic variables are included in the regressions in addition to the constant and country dummies (cf. regression (1), (5), (9), (13), (17), (21) vs. the rest). Overall \bar{R}^2 rises substantially, independent of the specification of the explanatory variables. This result confirms the findings of many previous studies which also attributed significant explanatory power to Heckscher-Ohlin-type variables (recent contributions include Clague, 1991; Balassa, Bauwens, 1988). The increase, however, is much larger at the higher level of aggregation (i.e. ISIC 3-digit industries). This finding suggests the presence of close linkages between subsectors within the more broadly defined ISIC 3-digit industries. The competitive position of each subsector is apparently determined largely by the competitiveness of the industry as a whole. Therefore, the following analysis concentrates on the estimates for ISIC 3-digit industries.

The differences in the explanatory power of the regressions for different definitions of capital intensity are comparatively small. The specification finally adopted focusses on human capital in production and physical capital in the form of own and rented buildings.¹¹ Other specification tests which are not reported here demonstrate that omitting physical capital intensity decreases \bar{R}^2 considerably. This may appear surprising since physical capital is frequently considered a mobile factor of production, and the physical capital stock at a given point in time should therefore not determine the pattern of specialization. One interpretation of the result is that the value of immobile, depreciable assets per head (PKIB) is an indicator of the extent to which industries rely on the physical infrastructure of a country. The availability and quality of infrastructure service, in turn, can be expected to be highly correlated with accumulated gross domestic investment (PKE).¹²

The inclusion of technology-related explanatory variables in the static model increases \overline{R}^2 marginally in some cases (Table 2). Frequently, however, the coefficients for the interaction variables (TFP • RGDP, etc.) are insignificant or show unexpected (i.e. negative) signs. There is limited evidence of the hypothesized relationship between technology intensity and the pattern of specialization only in the case of SERD. Overall, however, the β coefficients pertaining to the technology-related small compared with variables remain rather those for the "Heckscher-Ohlin" variables. Hence the impact of technical progress on the pattern of specialization is at least extremely limited.

The same conclusion applies, a forteriori, to the regressions based on the comparative-static model (Table 3). Overall, the explanatory power of these regressions with the changes in normalized net exports as the dependent variable is considerably smaller than in the static model. The interaction between changes in factor intensities and factor endowments, which is reflected by the variables VA4 through VA6 (cf. the appendix), has only a modest impact on the changes in the patterns of specialization. Nevertheless the coefficients for VA5, as well as those for VA3 from 1965 to 1978 and for VA6 from 1965 to 1987, are all positive as expected and significant.

A similarly clear pattern does not emerge for the technologyrelated variables. The coefficients for the interaction variables are mostly insignificant, and of the few significant coefficients more than half are negative. Neither technology-related decreases in unit costs as such, nor cost reductions due to greater labour

- 12 -

Дере	ndent Variable/			Explanatory	Variables	(ß coef:	ficients) ^a		Ē ²
Data	·	lnHKIP•HKE	lnPKIBO•PKE	1nPKIBR•PKE	TFP	LSK	LS • RGDP	LPDP	SERD	
(1)	NNX 1965	.91***	.47***	.22***						. 536
(2)	(TFPJK 1960-70)	.94***	.44***	.22***	.09					.541
(3)		.77***	.42***	.19**					.20***	.551
(4)	NNX 1978	.97***	.88***	.18***						.534
(5)	(TFPJK 1970-80)	.90***	1.01***	.18***	.18***					.540
(6)		.96***	.88***	.19***	.04					.533
(7)		.82***	.62***	.13**	.06	27**	*			.536
(8)		.97***	.88***	.18***			.00			.533
(9)		.91***	.81***	.19***				.12		.535
(10)		.86***	.77***	.15***					.26***	.552
(11)	NNX 1987	1.02***	1.14***	.60***						.536
(12)	(TFPJK 1970-85)	1.00***	1.15***	.59***	.07					.536
(13)		1.00***	1.15***	.58***	06					.536
(14)		1.00***	1.16***	.64***	06	.06				.536
(15)		.93***	.93***	.66***			27***			.545
(16)		1.01***	1.11***	.70***			-	.17**		.541
(17)		1.01***	1.10***	.57***					.06	.538

Table 2: Regression Results for the Static Model: Technology-Related Variables (ISIC 3-digit industries)

***(**,*) Significantly different from 0 at the .01 (.05, .10) confidence level (2-tailed test). - OLS estimates with standard errors corrected for heteroskedasticity of unknown form.

^a All regressions contain, in addition, a constant, 36 country dummies, and all industry-specific variables separately.

Source: Data cf. the Appendix; own calculations with TSP Version 4.2A software.

Dene	ndent Variahle/				Explana	tory Var	iahles (ß	coefficients)	а	<u></u> 2
Data	nacht variabit;	VA4	VA5	VA6	TFP	LSK		GDP	SERD	ĸ
$\overline{(1)}$	ΔNNX 1965-78			onstant and	l country	dummies	only)			.327
(2)		.25*	.52***	.02						.364
(3)	(TFPJK 1960-80)	.25*	.56***	.03	11*					.368
(4)	•	.25*	.54***	.02	.02 -					.363
(5)		.31**	.59***	.07	.01	.16				.368
(6)		.26**	.52***	.02			03			.363
(7)		.24*	.53***	.01				.03		.363
(8)		.25*	.52***	.02					.00	.363
(9)	∆NNX 1978-87		(c	onstant and	l country	dummies	only)			.395
(10)		.09	.22**	.04	·		-			.420
(11)	(TFPJK 1970-85)	.13	.26***	.09	15					.424
(12)		.10	.23**	.13	.14**					.422
(13)		.11	.24***	.17	.14**	.07				.423
(14)		.08	.21**	.03			.06			.418
(15)		.08	.21**	.03				.04		.418
(16)		.10	.27***	.16					18***	.422
(17)	ΔNNX 1965-87		(c	onstant and	l country	dummies	only)			.384
(18)		.22	.61***	.14**	•					.423
(19)	(TFPJK 1960-85)	.26	.74***	.12*	22***					.432
(20)		.22	.61***	.16**	.06					.424
(21)		.34*	.68***	.28***	.04	.28**	**			.436
(22)		.23	.62***	.15**			06			.424
(23)		.26	.66***	.18**				12		.427
(24)		.22	.75***	.24***					24***	.428

Table 3: Regression Results for the Comparative-Static Model (ISIC 3-digit industries)

***(**,*) Significantly different from 0 at the .01 (.05, .10) confidence level (2-tailed test). - OLS estimates with standard errors corrected for heteroskedasticity of unknown form.

^a All regressions contain, in addition, a constant, 36 country dummies, VA1, VA2, VA3, and all industryspecific variables separately.

Source: Data cf. the Appendix; own calculations with TSP Version 4.2A software.

14 -

productivity have undermined the competitive position of relatively poor countries. Thus no empirical support is found for the assumptions underlying the relocation hypothesis.

4. Summary

This paper has tested the hypothesis that technical progress had a differential impact on the trade specialization of industrialized vs. developing countries. A cross-country, cross-industry econometric analysis has been undertaken of the determinants of normalized net exports of resource-free manufactures, relating to 37 industrialized and developing countries in 1965, 1978 and 1987. No evidence has been found of a link between technical progress and the patterns of specialization. This applies both to the rate of technical progress in individual branches of manufacturing as such, and to the unit cost reductions due to improved labour productivity.

This finding implies, first, that the productivity gap between industrialized and developing countries has not widened; otherwise developing countries should have become less competitive, ceteris paribus, in industries with fast technical progress. Secondly, the competitive edge of developing countries, which continues to hinge up on low unit labour costs, has not been eroded by improved labour productivity. Either the capitalintensive innovations introduced in industrialized countries have predominantly substituted capital for ever-more-expensive labour, with less important improvements in efficiency. Or else, developing country firms have been able to adapt the new techniques to local conditions, i.e. increase labour intensity in peripheral activities without losing efficiency in core processes.

For the relocation hypothesis to be plausible, either a widening productivity gap or an erosion of the competitive edge of developing countries on the basis of low unit labour costs should have been observed. It may be concluded, therefore, that the two assumptions underlying the relocation hypothesis are lacking empirical support. A future large-scale relocation of manufacturing industries from developing to industrialized countries thus appears rather unlikely.

Two further findings of this study bear mentioning. The results of previous studies are confirmed that the interaction of capital endowments and capital intensities explains a substantial proportion of the inter-country variation in trade specialization in a static framework. The same does not apply, however, when the focus is on changes in the pattern of specialization over time. Although the modified "Heckscher-Ohlin" variables remain significant, the limited explanatory power of the comparative-static model points to an important role played by country and industry-specific factors.

Secondly, studies similar to the present one frequently use a fairly low level of sectoral aggregation of industry categories. This approach probably underestimates the extent to which the competitiveness of a particular sub-sector depends on the competitiveness of the pertinent industry as a whole. In the present paper, at least, the estimation results for the three-digit level of the International Standard Industrial Classification with 22 individual industries were much more satisfactory than those for a total of 147 sectors.

- Grossman and Helpman (1991, pp. 310ff.) have recently demonstrated how production of a particular good may even shift back and forth between industrialized and developing countries, depending on the patterns of innovation in the "North" (leading to higher quality goods) and of imitation in the "South". In this particular case of vertical product differentiation, product innovations are equivalent to process innovations (Grossman, Helpman, 1991, p. 87).
- 2 This assertion is supported by the fact that the capital intensities of ISIC 3-digit industries are highly correlated across countries at different levels of economic development (Lücke, 1992; Ballance, Forstner, 1990, pp. 98ff.). Recently, however, the technology blending literature has emphasized the wide scope for substitution in peripheral activities, as opposed to relatively fixed factor proportions in the core processes of each industry (Rosenberg, 1988, pp. 29 ff.; Bhalla, James, 1991).
- 3 Cf., for example, Fischer, Nunnenkamp et al. (1988, Figure 2) for an international comparison of steel production costs, and Lücke (1990, Figures 1, 2 and 3) for similar data relating to the textile and clothing industries.
- 4 The competitiveness of developing country firms will deteriorate less sharply if local wages decline in response to reduced world demand for the countries' exports and, therefore, reduced domestic demand for labour.
- 5 Hoffman and Rush (1988) on the world clothing industry is a prominent example. The most comprehensive study to date is Jungnickel (1990), covering 11 "sensitive" branches of manufacturing industry in West Germany. Based on a careful evaluation of official statistics as well as numerous interviews he concludes that there is no sign of a general tendency

towards relocation. Even in those industries where relocation did occur in some instances, these have been more than compensated for by a continuing shift of production activities to developing countries.

- 6 This is in contrast to Clague (1991) who considers only a small group of Asian developing countries.
- 7 Net exports are used in preference to alternative indicators of specialization (cf. Ballance, Forstner, Murray, 1987) because they exclude intra-industry trade between countries of similar capital endowments (Deardorff, 1984). Normalized net exports are calculated for each country and industry as the value of net exports divided by the sum of export and import values. Further adjustment for inter-country differences in the balance of trade in manufactures is not required because the first-stage regressions are country-specific.
- 8 Factor productivity growth rates have also been calculated with value added in the numerator and only the factors of production (labour and capital) in the denominator. The estimated regression coefficients, however, are fairly similar.
- 9 Other quantitative indicators (patent output, research and development expenditures) have been used in additional regressions with rather similar results.
- 10 A list of the abbreviations of variables, definitions and data sources is found in the appendix.
- 11 The values of own and rented buildings could not be added because the underlying data (book values in historical prices, current rents) are not comparable.
- 12 On the determinants of "efficiency differences" between industrialized and developing countries cf. Clague (1991).

Appendix: Variable Definitions and Data Sources

Trade Data

NNX normalized net exports for each country and industry: value of net exports divided by sum of export and import values (UN, COMTRADE database; based on SITC Rev. 1)

Country-specific variables

- HKE human capital endowment: Harbison Myers Index, defined as secondary school enrolment ratio plus five times the tertiary education enrolment ratio, lagged six years (UNESCO, Statistical Yearbook, various issues)
- PKE physical capital endowment per capita: gross domestic investment measured in constant prices, aggregated over 15 years (UN, National Accounts Statistics, various issues)
- RGDP real per capita GDP measured in 1988 international prices (RGDP1 in Heston, Summers, 1988)

Industry-specific variables

All industry-specific variables except those for which a data source is indicated below, are calculated from US Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Annual Survey of Manufactures, various issues; -, -, 1987 Census of Manufactures.

HKI human capital per employee: total compensation per employee minus hypothetical compensation for unskilled labour, deflated by US Wholesale Price Index (WPI); hypothetical compensation is defined as 80 per cent of the average wage in retail trade (wage data from US Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Monthly Labor Review, various issues)

- HKIP human capital per production worker
- (HKINP) (per non-production employee)
- PKIBR hypothetical value of rented buildings per employee, calculated as rental payments divided by hypothetical capital costs (treasury bill rate plus 2 per cent annual depreciation), deflated by WPI (interest rates from IMF, International Financial Statistics)
- TFP Törnqvist index of total factor productivity growth minus 1, with the value of production in the numerator and the following inputs in the denominator: production labour, non-production labour, human capital in production, human capital outside production, electricity consumption, fuel consumption, consumption of other materials, inventories, own and rented buildings, own and rented machinery
- TFPJK growth rates of total factor productivity for the USA from Jorgensen, Kuroda (1990)
- LS hypothetical reduction in unit costs due to improved labour productivity during the period of observation: index of labour productivity (1.00 for first year of period of observation) weighted by (i.e. to the power of) the share of labour costs in the value of production (average of first and last year of period of observation) minus 1
- LSK LS divided by the weighted indices of productivity growth of the remaining inputs (cf. the list of inputs under TFP)
- LPDP growth rate of labour productivity in production

SERD share of scientists and engineers in all employees in 1978 (NSF, 1990)

Industry-Country Interaction Variables (cf. Table 3)

- VA1 $HKE^{(0)} \cdot In HKIP^{(0)}$ VA2 $PKE^{(0)} \cdot In PKIBO^{(0)}$ VA3 $PKE^{(0)} \cdot In PKIBR^{(0)}$ VA4 $HKE^{(1)} \cdot \Delta In HKIP + \Delta HKE \cdot In HKIP^{(0)}$ VA5 $PKE^{(1)} \cdot \Delta In PKIBO + \Delta PKE \cdot In PKIBO^{(0)}$
- VA6 $PKE^{(1)} \cdot \Delta ln PKIER + \Delta PKE \cdot ln PKIER^{(0)}$

- AMEMIYA, Takeshi, "A Note on a Random Coefficient Model". International Economic Review, Vol. 19, 1978, pp. 793-796.
- BALASSA, Bela, Luc BAUWENS, Changing Trade Patterns in Manufactured Goods: An Econometric Investigation. Contributions to Economic Analysis, 176, Amsterdam, etc. 1988.
- BALLANCE, Robert H., Helmut FORSTNER, Competing in a Global Economy. An Empirical Study on Specialization and Trade in Manufactures. London, etc. 1990.
- --, Tracy MURRAY, "Consistency Tests of Alternative Measures of Comparative Advantage". Review of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 69, 1987, pp. 157-161.
- BERGSTRÖM, Villy, Epaminondas E. PANAS, How Robust is the Capital-Skill Complementarity Hypothesis? Fackföreningsrörelsens Institut för Ekonomisk Forskning, Working Paper No. 32, Stockholm, March 1987.
- BHALLA, Ajit S., Dilmus D. JAMES, "Integrating New Technologies with Traditional Economic Activities: An Evaluative Look at 'Technology Blending'". Journal of Developing Areas, Vol. 25, 1991, pp. 477-496.
- BOWEN, Harry P, Edward F. LEAMER, Leo SVEIKAUSKAS, "Multicountry, Multifactor Tests of the Factor Abundance Theory". American Economic Review, Vol. 77, 1987, pp. 791-809.
- CLAGUE, Christopher K., "Factor Proportions, Relative Efficiency, and Developing Countries' Trade". Journal of Development Economics, Vol. 35, 1991, pp. 357-380.
- DEARDORFF, Alan V., Testing Trade Theories and Predicting Trade Flows. In: Ronald W. JONES, Peter B. KENEN (Hrsg.), Handbook of International Economics, Vol. 1; Amsterdam usw. 1984, pp. 465-517.
- ERNST, Dieter, David O'CONNOR, Technology and Global Competition. The Challenge for Newly Industrializing Economies. OECD, Paris 1989.
- FISCHER, Bernhard, Juan-Carlos HERKEN-KRAUER, Matthias LÜCKE, Peter NUNNENKAMP, Capital-Intensive Industries in Newly Industrializing Countries - The Case of the Brazilian Automobile and Steel Industries. Kieler Studien, 221, Tübingen 1988.
- GROSSMAN, Gene M., Elhanan HELPMAN, Innovation and Growth in the Global Economy. Cambridge, Mass. and London 1991.
- HENKE, Jürgen, Moderne Hochtechnologie und nationale Selbständigkeit. Berlin 1990.

- HESTON, Alan, Robert SUMMERS, "A New Set of International Comparisons of Real Product and Price Levels - Estimates for 130 Countries, 1950-1985". The Review of Income and Wealth, Vol. 34, No. 1, March 1988.
- HOFFMAN, Kurt, Howard RUSH, Micro-Electronics and Clothing The Impact of Technical Change on a Global Industry. A Study prepared for the International Labour Office within the Framework of the World Employment Programme. New York 1988.
- JORGENSON, Dale W., Masahiro KURODA, Productivity and International Competitiveness in Japan and the United States, 1960-1985. Discussion Paper No. 1510, Harvard Institute of Economic Research, Cambridge, Mass., August 1990.
- JUNGNICKEL, Rolf, Neue Technologien und Produktionsverlagerung. Hamburg 1990.
- KAPLINSKY, Raphael, Automation. The Technology and Society. Harlow/Essex 1984.
- LEAMER, Edward E., Sources of International Comparative Advantage - Theory and Evidence. Cambridge, Mass. und London 1984.
- LÜCKE, Matthias, Traditional Labour-Intensive Industries in Newly Industrializing Countries. The Case of Brazil. Kieler Studien No. 231, Tübingen 1990.
- --, Empirical Evidence on Factor Intensity Reversals in Manufacturing Industry - A Note. Institut für Weltwirtschaft, Kiel, February 1992 (mimeo).
- NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (NSF), National Patterns of R&D Resources: 1990, Final Report (John E. Jankowskki, Jr., Principal Author). Surveys of Science Resources Series, Washington, D.C. 1990.
- NELSON, Richard R., "Industry Growth Accounts and Production Functions when Techniques are Idiosyncratic". Journal of Economic Behaviour and Organization, Vol. 11, 1989, pp. 323-341.
- ROSENBERG, Nathan, "On Technology Blending". Gestion 2000, Vol. 4, 1988, pp. 17-44.
- SAUTTER, Herrmann, Die Aussagefähigkeit von Hypothesen zur Spezialisierungsstruktur des Außenhandels. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Verein für Socialpolitik, Travemünde, September 1984 (mimeo).
- UNGER, Ralph, Messung und Analyse der Totalen Faktorproduktivität für 28 Sektoren der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, 1960 bis 1981. Frankfurt/Main, Bern, New York 1986.

- UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE ON TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT (UNCTAD), Impact of New and Emerging Technologies on Trade and Development. A Review of the UNCTAD Secretariat's Research Findings. New York 1986.
- VICKERY, Graham, Duncan CAMPBELL, "Advanced Manufacturing Technology and the Organization of Work". STI Review (OECD), 6, December 1989.

.

· .

.

Secto (Balassa, Bau	or wens, 1988)	USSIC 1967 (Balassa, Ba Censu	USSIC 1972 Nuwens, 1988 - Is of Manufact	USSIC 1987 - 1972, 1987 :ures)	SITC Rev. 1 (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988)	ISIC-3
001	Cotton Pabrice (Gray)	2211	2111	2111	652.1	321
002	Sunthetic Fabrice	2221	2221	2221	653 (less	321
002	Syntuetic rabiits	2262	2262	2262	653.2.3.4.9)	
003	Woolen Fabrics	2231	2231	2231	653.2	321
004	Narrow Fabrics	2241	2241	2241	655.5, 655.9	321
005	Hosiery & Knit Fabrics	2251,	2251,	2251,	841.4 {less	321
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2252,	2252,	2252,	841.43,.44}	
		2256,	2257,	2257,		
		2259	2258,	2258,		
			2259	2259		
006	Knit Outwear	2253	2253	2253	841.44	321
007	Knit Underwear	2254	2254	2254	841.43	321
008	Cotton Fabrics (Finished)	2261	2261	2261	652.2	321
009/010	Carpets & Rugs	2271,	2271,	2273	657.5,	321
		2272	2272		657.6, 657.8	
011/012/014	Yarn & Thread, Lace &	2281,	2281,	2281,	651 (less	321
	Embroidery	2282,	2282,	2282,	651.5,.8,.9), 654	
		2284,	2284,	2284,		
		2283,	2283,	2395,		
		2292,	2292,	2396,		
		2395,	2395,	2397		
		2396,	2396,			
A1.C	Mannubbaning Carbod	2397	2397	1345	611 7 CEE A	311
010	Robrudderized Coated Fabrics	2295	2295	2295	611.2, 635.4	321
017	Cordage & Twine	2298	2298	2298	655.6	321
013/015/018	Textile Goods nes	2291,	2291,	2297,	651.5, 651.9,	321
		2293,	2293,	2299	653.3, 653.4,	
		2299	2297, 2299		653.9, 655.1, 655.	. 8
019	Men's and Boys' Outer	2311.	2311.	2311.	841.11	322
	Apparel	2321.	2321,	2321,		
	••	2327,	2327,	2326,		
		2328,	2328,	2329		
		2329	2329			
020	Nonknit Underwear	2322,	2322,	2322,	841.1 (less	322
		2341	2341	2341	841.11, .12)	
021	Ties, Corsets & Gloves	2323,	2323,	2323,	841.2	322
		2342,	2342,	2342,		
		2381,	2381,	2381.		
		2389	2389	2389		
022	Womens and Childrens	2331,	2331,	2331,	841.12	322
	Clothing	2335,	2335,	2335,		
		2337,	2337,	2337,		
		2339,	2339,	2339,		
		2361,	2361,	2301,		
	0-1- 0 0	2369	2369	2369	686 7 641 S	122
023	Hats & Caps	2352	2352	2333	639.1, 841.3	322
024	Fur Goods	2311	23/1	2371	844	322
025	Leather Clothing	4380,	2300,	2300,	841.3	344
0.76	Curtains & Duemouiss	2201	2201	2201	666 6 666 Q	322
020	Curtains & praperies	2331,	2331,	4J71, 1707	657 7	344
027	Tartila Bare & Santa	0076 9302	2392	2224	656 1	122
028	fanyas Products	2323	2394	2333	656 2	322
029/030	Vooden Boree & Cratee	2334	2334	2374	637 1	331
voj/ vju	Congrage Broducte	2843	2441,	4941, 7880	632.2	271
	cooperage rioudets	4444, 7887	6997	4447	VJ4+4	
		244 <i>3,</i> 9446				
031	Wood Products nes	2400	2448	2449	631.42 632 7	331
	"TAU ILOUNCE BED	6433	2492	2440,	632.8 633	
			2499	2499	000.07 000	
032	Furniture & Fixtures	25	25	25	821. 895-1	332
033	Paper, including Building	2621	2621	2621	641 (less	341
	Paper and Products	2661	2661		641.5)	
	saber and tronders	2001	2001		UME + VI	

Synoptical Table A1 (continued)

.

.

Sec (Balassa, B	ctor Bauwens, 1988)	USSIC 1967 (Balassa, Cen	USSIC 1972 Bauwens, 1988 - sus of Manufact	SITC Rev. 1 ISIC-3 (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988)		
034	Paperboard	2631, 2641	2631,		641.5	341
035/037	Stationery, Paper	2642	2642.	2675.	642.2. 642.3. 642.	.9 341
	Products nes	2645	2645.	2676.		
		2649.	2648.	2677		
		2761.	2649.	2678.		
		2646.	2646.	2679.		
		2647,	2647,	2761.		
		2655,	2655.	2782		
		2782	2761,			
			2782			
036	Paper Bags & Containers	2643.	2643.	2657,	642.1	341
		2651.	2651	2652		
		2652.	2652	2653.		
		2651	2651	2656		
		2654	2654	2673		
		2004	2034	2674		
019	Newenaners & Periodicals	2711	2711	2711	892 2	142
019	newspapers & reliburcars	2721	2711,	2721	892.4	744
040	Booke	2711	2721	3731	697 1 697 3	142
040	DUOKS	2722	2731, 2731	2732,	074.1, 074.1	244
0.41	Wissellaneous Bublishing	2732	2732	2734	902 4 902 0	349
V41	AISCELLANEOUS FUDILISHING	2741,	2741,	2/41,	872.4, 872.7	342
		4/31,	4731,	4707,		
		2732,	<i>41</i> 34, 1767	4134,		
		2771	2132.	4154,		
			2795,	2796,		
			2771,	2771		
			2753			
042	Engineering & Printing	3555	3555	3555	718.2	382
043	Inorganic Chemicals	2812,	2812,	2812,	513 (less	351
		2813,	2813,	2813,	513.27), 514,	
		2816,	2816,	2816,	515, 533.1, 561.1	
		2819,	2819,	2819,		
			2873	2873		
044	Organic Chemicals	2815,	2865,	2865,	512, 521, 531,	351
		2818	2869	2869	532.3, 551.2	
045	Plastic Materials &	2821,	2821,	2821.	581, 893	351 °
	Products	3079	3079	3081,		
				3082,		
				3083,		
				3084,		
				3085,		
				3086,		
				3087,		
				3088.		
				3089		
046	Synthetic Rubber	2822	2822	2822	231.2	351
047	Cellulosic Manmade Fibers	2823	2823	2823	266.3	351
048	Synthetic Fibers	2824	2824	2824	266.21. 266.22	351
049	Biological & Medicinal	2831.	2831.	2835.	541 (less 541.9)	352
•••	Products	2833.	2833.	2836.		
		2814	2834	2814		
050	Soan & Cleansers	2841	2841	2841	554	152
0.70		2842	2842	2842.		
		2042, 2012	2841	2843		
A51	Toilet Prenarations	2043 9014	2043	2R44	553	383
454	torret rieparations Daint <i>a</i>	2044 9051	2044 1961	2851	533 3	224
052	raints Taints	4001	4671 2670	20JL 2070	233.3	352
053	nise, Agricultural Charicale	2819	2813	2017	272.2	352
	Chemicals			2002		17.0
U54	Explosives	2892	2892	2892	571.1, 571.2,	352
					571.4	
055	Printing Ink	2893	2893	2893	533.2	352
056	Misc. Chemical Preparations	2899	2899	2833	551.1, 571.3,	352
					599.7, 599.9	
057	Tires & Tubes	3011	3011	3011	629.1	322

•

Synoptical Table A1 (continued)

.

Sec (Balassa, E	ctor Sauwens, 1988)	USSIC 1967 (Balassa, I Cens	USSIC 1972 Bauwens, 1988 - sus of Manufact	USSIC 1987 1972, 1987 ures)	SITC Rev. 1 (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988)	1 51 C-
058	Footwear	3021,	3021,		851	324
		3141,	3143,	3143,		
		3142	3144,	3144,		
			3149,	3149,		
			3142	3142		
059/060	Reclaimed Rubber,	3031,	3031,	3052,	231.3, 231.4	355
	Misc. Rubber Products	3069	3041,	3053,	621, 629 (less	355
			3069	3061	629.1), 841.6	
				3069		
061	Leather	3111	3111	3111	611 (less 611.2)	323
062/65	Industrial Leather Belting,	3121	3199	3199	612.1	
	Misc. Leather Goods	3199			612.2, 612.9	
363	Leather Uppers	3131	3131	3131	612.3	323
964	Leather Bags & Purses	3161,	3161,	3161,	831	323
		3171,	J171,	3171,		
	N -	3172	3172	3172		
100	riat Glass	3211	3211	3211	bb4.2, b64.4,	362
	61	1211	5051	\$2.21	004.5	
J0 [GIASS CONTAINÈES	3211,	3221.	3221,	051.8, 064 (less	362
		3229,	3229,	3229,	004.2, 4, 5), 665	
	Baiak (Chaushung) (1	1576	3231	3231	662 4	160
800	Brick & Structural Clay	J251,	3231,	162L	002.4	169
	11162	J43J, 2260	3233,	J23\$, 3354		
160	Pofractoriae	3237	J277 2955	3237	667 3 667 7	350
109	Vellaciólies	3433, 1707	3433,	J237, 1907	V02.J, U0J./	203
170	Vitecous Divebing Sisturas	3251	3637	3251	913 3	261
171	Vitroous Chipa Food Atensile	3262	3262	3261	614.4 666 A	161
172	Farthenware Food Utensils	1263	1263	3263	666 5	361
171	Porcelain Products	3264	3263	3264	563 9. 666 6.	361
	FOLCETER FLORACCE	1269	1269	3269	721.2	201
74	Concrete & Brick Products	1271.	1271	1271	663.6	169
		3272	3272	3272		
75	Abrasive Products	3291	3291	3291	663.1. 663.2.	369
					697.9	
076	Asbestos Products	3292	3292	3292	663.8	369
77	Mineral Wool	3296	3296	3296	663.5	369
078	Misc. Nonmetallic Mineral	3299	3299	3299	663.4	369
	Products					
79/080	Steel & Steel Products,	3312,	3312,	3312,	67 (less 671.3,	371
	Iron Foundries	3313,	3313,	3313,	679.2, 679.3),	
		3315,	3315,	3315,	693.2, 693.3,	
		3316,	3316,	3316,	694.1, 698.3,	
		3317,	3317,	3317,	698.6, 719.93	
		3481,	3495,	3495,		
		3493,	3496,	3496,		
		3566,	3493,	3491,		
			3566,	3566,		
			3568,	3568,		
		3321,	3321,	3321,	679.1, 719.92	371
		3322,	3322,	3322,		
		3494,	3494,	3394,		
		3497	3497	3497		
\$1	Steel Foundries	3323	3324,	3324,	679.2	371
	14 h h m		3325	1325	544 A	
82	wrought Copper	3351	3351	3351	682.2	372
183	wrought Aluminum	3352	3353,	3353,	684.2	372
			3354,	3354,		
	Man Francis Million .	3454	3355	7722	*** *** * *** *	
104	MUBLEFFOUS METALS NES	2250, 2257	,0ČLL r=cc	1320,	081, 083.2, 685.2,	372
			1221	1221	689 (less 689.31), 693.1, 723.1	

.

Synoptical Table AI (continued)

-

Se (Balassa, I	ctor Bauwens, 1988)	USSIC 1967 (Balassa, B Cens	USSIC 1972 auwens, 1988 - us of Manufact	USSIC 1987 - 1972, 1987 :ures)	SITC Rev. 1 (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988)	1516-3
085	Aluminum Castings &	1361	3361	1363	697 2	<u>-</u>
000	Aluminum castings æ Stampinge	3461	3465	3365	091.2	572
	Scampings	5401	3466	3365		
			3469	1466		
			5405	3469		
086	Brace Bronze & Conner	1162	1162	3364	698 8 698 9	372
000	Castinge	1169	3360	1166	0,0.0, 0,0.0	
	casttaðs	3393	3463	3369		
		5576	5405	1463		
007	Tray & Steel Porginge	1301	3462	2462	670 3 609 4	371
087 088	Drinsty Matall Products Das	1199	3198	3402	671 3	371
000	LITERIA MECRIT LICANCES HER	2222	3309	1399	0/1.5	571
n øa	Notal Containard	3411	2411	3411	692.2	101
003	Hetal Containets	3461	3412	2412	072.2	201
400	Cutland	3431,	3412	2421	696	301
090	Cuttery Nord C Edge Moole	3423	3421	3421	676 1 645 22	201
091	Hand & Fodde tools	3423	3423	3423	695.1, 695.22,	301
	n 1	2405			693.23	
092	bandsaws & SawDlades	J#67	3423	J420	070.4L (00.1	181
093	Bardware nes	3429	3429	3429	698.1	381
094	Sanitary & Plumbing	3431,	3431,	3431,	812.3	181
	Fixtures	3432	3432	3432		
J95	Nonelectric Heating	3433	3433	3433	719.13, 812.1	381
	Equipment					
096	Structural Netal Products	3441,	3441,	3441,	691, 693.4	381
		3442,	3442,	3442,		
		3444,	3444,	3444,		
		3446,	3446,	3446,		
		3449	3448,	3448,		
			3449	3449		
)97	Platework & Boilers	3443	3443	3443	692.1, 692.3,	381
					711.1, 711.2,	
					711.7	
998	Bolts & Nuts	3452	3452	3452	694.2	381
099/100	Safes & Vaults,	3492,	3499	3499	698.2,	381
	Fabricated Metal Products	3499			719.66, 729.91	
	nes					
101	Steam Engines & Turbines	3511	3511	3511	711.3. 711.6.	382
	•••••	+			711.8	
02	International Combustion	3519.	3519.	3519.	711.5	384
	Engines	3714	3714	3714		
103	Farm Machinery	3522	3523.	3523.	712, 719,64	382
	ratm indefiner;	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3524	3524		•••
04	Construction & Drilling	25 21	3531	1511	695.24. 695.25	382
	Hachipern	3532	3632	3512	695 26 718 A	182
	Hachibery	3534,	3532,	3534,	719 61 719 97	242
		3033, 3644	3033, 3844	3644	710.01, 717.71, 710 64	
		2044, 3546	3044, 2646	JJ44, 2646	112.34	
	A	5040	3040	3543	710 31	202
.05	LODVeying & Carrying	3534,	3534,	3534,	113-31	382
	squipment	3535,	3535,	3535,		
	• • • • • • • • •	1036	3536	96GL	310 34	104
LQ6	Industrial Trucks &	3537	3537	1211	/19.32	382
	Tractors				THE .	344
107	Machine Tools	3541,	3541,	3541,	715.1	382
		3542	3542	3542		
08/115	Metal and Woodworking,	3548,	3546,	3546,	715.22, 715,23	382
	Machinery, Industrial	3553,	3547,	3547.	719.14, 719.52,	
	Furnaces & Ovens	3567,	3549,	3549,	719.53, 729.6,	
		3623	3553,	3548	729.92	
			3567,			
			3623			
09/116	Food Products Machinerv.	3551,	3551.	3556.	718.3, 719.11,	382
	General Industrial	3569	3569	3565.	719.23, 719.62	
	Wachinery nes			3569		
10	Towtils & Landry	3552	1552	1552	717.1 (less	382
	Vachinery Vachinery	3582	1582	3587	717 14) 725.02	
	vacuthera	1622	3624	3631	719 61 710 9	
		1011	3033	2022	113.01, 113.0	

Synoptical Table A1 (continued)

Sector (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988)		USSIC 1967 (Balassa, Ba Censo	USSIC 1972 auwens, 1988 us of Manufact	SITC Rev. 1 (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988)	ISIC-)	
111	Paper Naking Machinery	3554	3554	3554	718.1	382
112/131	Special Industry	3559,	3559,	3559	715.21, 717,14,	382
	Nachines nes,	3636	3636		717_2, 717.3,	
	Sewing Machines				718.52, 719.19,	
					719.51, 719.61,	
					719.8	
113	Air Compressors & Pumps	3561,	3561,	3561,	719.21, 719.22	382
		3564,	3563,	3563,		
		3586	3564,	3564,		
			3586	3586,		
				3594		
114	Ball & Roller Bearing;	3562	3562	3562	719.7	382
117/121	Typewriters,	3572,	3579	3579	714.1	382
	Office Machinery nes	3579			714.9	
118/119	Computers,	3573,	3573,	3571,	714.3	382
	Calculating & Accounting	3574	3574	3572.	714.2	
	Machines			3575,		
				3577.		
				3578		305
120	Scales & Balances	3576	3576	3596	719.63	C8t
122	Automatic Merchandising	3581	3581	3581	113.02	382
	Machines		35.65	35.05	710 10 710 16	100
123	Keirigeration Machinery	3585	3585	1203	719.12, 719.13	302
124	Nonelectrical Machinery nes	3222	3592,	3592	119.94, 119.99	382
			3233	3599,		
				3593	700 6 700 00	202
125	Electric Measuring	3611	3825	3825	129.5, 129.99	383
***	Instruments			1610	709.1	203
126	Transformers, Motors &	3612,	3612.	3612,	322.1	383
4.0.7	Generators	3621	3621	1621	700 06	202
127	Carbon & Graphice Products	3624	3624	3624	729.96	303
128	Household Cooking	3631	3631	1031	091.1	303
100	Equipment	3633	2622	2612	719 4 725 01	393
129	Household Kerrigerators &	3632,	3632,	3634,	119.4, 125.01	202
	Ireezers	3639	3639	1039	705 (Jaco 705 A1	202
130	Siectricai Housewares &	3634,	3634,	1034,	/25 (1855 /25.VI,	101
	Fans Slasson	3635	3635	3635	.04/	202
132	Electric Lamps	3641	3641	3641	129.6, 129.42	202
133	Lighting fixtures	3642	3045,	3645,	129.94, 812.4	101
			3646,	3640,		
			3647,	J647,		
			1648	1648	704 (2++= 704 03)	202
134	Radio & TV Equipment	3651,	3651,	3651,	124 (1855 124.91),	202
		3662	3662	3663,	129.1, 129.93,	
				1009,	891.1	
	-			3699		202
135	Phonographic Records	3652	3652	3652	891.2	202
136	Telephone & Telegraph	3001	3661	3661	724.91	363
	Apparatus			2671	711 2 726 1	202
137	Electronic Components &	3671,	3671,	3671,	144.4, 149.3,	747
	Accessories	3672,	3672,	3572,	129.95, 129.98	
		3673,	3673,	3695,		
		3674,	3674,	3674.		
		3679	3675,	3675,		
			3676,	3676,		
			3677,	3677,		
			3678.	3678,		
		2000	1679	3679	700 10	303
138	Storage Batteries	3691	3691	3691	729.12	585
139	Primary Batteries	3692	3692	369Z	729.11	383
140	X-Ray Apparatus & Tubes	7693	3693	3844	120	101
	1	200-	3641	3845	728 41	202
141	Automotive Electrical	3694	3694	3094	167.41	202
	Equipment				730 /3	30.4
142	notor vehicles & Bodies	J/11,	3/11,	J/11,	734 (less /32.9)	104
		3712,	\$113	\$115		
		3713				

.

Synoptical Table A1 (continued)

Sec Balassa, B	tor auwens, 1988)	USSIC 1967 (Balassa, B Cens	USSIC 1972 auwens, 1988 - us of Manufact	USSIC 1987 1972, 1987 ures)	SITC Rev. 1 (Balassa, Bauwens, 1988)	1510-3
143	Trailers	3715, 3791, 3799	3715, 2451, 3792,	3715, 2451, 3799,	733.3	384
144	Aircraft	3721	3799 3721	3721	734 (less 734.92)	384
145	Aircraft Engines & Equipment	3722, 3723, 3729	3724, 3764, 3728, 3769	3724, 3764. 3728, 3769,	711.4, 734.92	384
146	Ships & Boats	3731, 3732	3731, 3732	3731, 3732	735	384
147/148	Locomotives and Parts, Railroad Cars	3741, 3742	3743	3743	731	384
149	Motocycles, Bicycles & Parts	3751	3751,	3751	732.9, 733.1	384
150	Scientifíc Instruments & Control Equipment	3811, 3821, 3822	3811. 3823, 3824, 3829, 3822	3812, 3821, 3823, 3824, 3829, 3829,	861.8, 861.9 (less 861.92, .94)	385
151	Optical Instruments	3831	3832	3826. 3827	861.1, 861.3	385
152	Medical Appliances & Equipment	3841, 3842, 3843	3841. 3842. 3843	3841, 3842, 3843	541.9, 733.4, 861.7, 899.6	385
153	Ophtalmic Goods	3851	3851	3851	861.2	385
154	Photographic Equipment & Supplies	3861	3861	3861	861.4, 861.5, 861.6, 862	385
155	Watches & Clocks	3871	3873	3873	864	390
156	Jewelry & Silverware	3911, 3912,	3911, 3915,	3911, 3915, 3914	897.1	390
157	Musical Instruments	3914, 3931	3931	3931	891 (less 891.12)	390
158/159	a Parts Games & Toys, Childrens Vehicles	3941, 3942, 3943	3944, 3942	3944 3942	894.2, 894.1	390
160	Misc. Sporting Goods	3949	3949	3949	894.3, 894.4	390
161	Writing Instruments & Materials	3951, 3952, 3953,	3951, 3952, 3953,	3951, 3952, 3953,	895 (less 895.1)	390
		3955	3955	3955		390
162	Costume Jewelry	3961	3901	394E 330T	07/. <u>4</u> 000 5	390
165/164/	Buttons, Needles,	5963	3963	3303	698.5	390
103/101	FIDS & FASTEDETS, Broome & Brushas	3304	3991	3991	899.2	390
	proves é Brusnes, Misc. Nanufactures nes	3999	3999	3999	613, 861.92, 861.94, 894.5, 899 (less 899.2, .5, .6)	390

nes = not elsewhere specified. ^a Sector 045 contains parts of ISIC 351 and 356, which could not be separated congruously according to USSIC and SITC. Therefore ISIC 356 is included with ISIC 351.

Quelle: Balassa, Bauwens (1988, Tab. 1.2); United States, Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 1972/1987 Census of Manufactures; United Nations, International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities. Statistical Papers, Series M, No. 4, Rev. 2, New York, 1968; own compilation.