

Ber, Silke; Ruenzi, Stefan

**Working Paper**

## On the usability of synthetic measures of mutual fund net-flows

CFR Working Paper, No. 06-05

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Centre for Financial Research (CFR), University of Cologne

*Suggested Citation:* Ber, Silke; Ruenzi, Stefan (2006) : On the usability of synthetic measures of mutual fund net-flows, CFR Working Paper, No. 06-05, University of Cologne, Centre for Financial Research (CFR), Cologne

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/57755>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

**CFR-WORKING PAPER NO. 06-05**

**on the usability of  
synthetic measures of  
mutual fund net-flows**

**S. BER • S. RUENZI**

**centre for financial research**  
Look deeper

## On the Usability of Synthetic Measures of Mutual Fund Net-Flows

Silke Ber

Department of Finance

and Centre for Financial Research (CFR)

University of Cologne

Albertus-Magnus-Platz

50923 Koeln, Germany

[ber@wiso.uni-koeln.de](mailto:ber@wiso.uni-koeln.de)

Stefan Ruenzi<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Finance

and Centre for Financial Research (CFR)

University of Cologne

Albertus-Magnus-Platz

50923 Koeln, Germany

[ruenzi@wiso.uni-koeln.de](mailto:ruenzi@wiso.uni-koeln.de)

*JEL-Classifications:* G23, G20, G29, G24

*Keywords:* Mutual Funds; Performance Flow Relationship; Synthetic Flow Measures; Net-Flows

---

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author. Contact Information: Stefan Ruenzi, Centre for Financial Research (CFR) and Department of Finance, University of Cologne, Albertus-Magnus Platz, 50923 Koeln, Germany, e-mail: [ruenzi@wiso.uni-koeln.de](mailto:ruenzi@wiso.uni-koeln.de), Tel. ++49-221-4706966, Fax ++49-221-4703992.

## **On the Usability of Synthetic Measures of Mutual Fund Net-Flows**

### **Abstract**

Due to a lack of data availability, numerous empirical studies on mutual fund flows (e.g. Sirri/Tufano (1998)) analyze synthetically derived flow measures. We show how good these measures can explain actual flows. We compare the measures suggested in the literature with the actual net-flows of all German equity mutual funds. Our results show the appropriateness of the synthetic measures used in previous studies. Inference about the influence of past performance on flows is not biased by using synthetic instead of actual measures of fund flows. Thus, we offer a justification for the use of synthetic measures in performance flow studies.

## 1 Introduction

The examination of determinants of mutual fund flows has attracted considerable attention in the empirical literature. Most studies focus on the relationship between past performance and net-flows of new money (i.e. inflows - outflows).<sup>1</sup> Due to a lack of data availability, empirical studies regularly can not use actual net-flows into individual funds. Instead, they construct various synthetic measures of fund flows based on the total growth of the assets under management corrected for the internal growth due to the rate of return earned by the fund. These measures seem intuitive. Nevertheless, there is no study analyzing the appropriateness of these synthetic measures.

Our note fills this gap by examining how useful the different measures suggested in the literature are. We start by calculating measures of net-flows according to various methodologies suggested in the literature and correlate them with actual net-flows for a broad sample of German equity mutual funds for the years 1990 to 2003. Our results indicate that correlations between various synthetic flow measures and actual fund flows are very high and range from 90% to 97% for the various measures. This suggests that all synthetic measures used in the literature are good proxies for actual net-flows.

Then, we examine whether inferences drawn from regressions using synthetic measures are biased. To this end we conduct an examination of the performance flow relationship using standard techniques and the various synthetic measures of fund flows as well as actual net-flows as dependent variable. Again, our results indicate that all synthetic measures deliver results that are very similar to each other and very similar to the results we get using actual net-flows.

Overall our results justify the use of synthetic measures of fund flows in empirical studies.

The remainder of this note is organized in five sections. Section 2 presents the various

---

<sup>1</sup> A comprehensive list would include about 100 studies. Examples are Ippolito (1992), Patel/Zeckhauser/Hendricks (1994), Chevalier/Ellison (1997), Sirri/Tufano (1998), Fant/O'Neal (2000), DelGuerico/Tkac (2002), Deaves (2004), Elton/Gruber/Busse (2004), O'Neal (2004), Barber/Odean/Zheng (2005).

synthetic measures of net-flows suggested in the literature. In Section 3 we introduce the data, Section 4 contains the correlation analysis and in Section 5 we present results from a performance flow study using different measures of synthetic and actual net-flows. Section 6 concludes.

## 2 Measures of Net-Flows

We now present the various synthetic measures of yearly net-flows suggested in the literature. These measures use the funds' total net assets (TNA) and returns for calculating a measure of relative net fund growth, i.e. relative growth due to new money flow. The synthetic measures that are usually applied in the literature differ with respect to the assumptions made concerning the date of fund flows during the year. It is either assumed that all new money accrues at the end, the beginning or the middle of the year or that flows are evenly distributed across months.

### 2.1 Synthetic Measures of Relative Net-Flows

We start by deriving the most commonly used measure, which assumes that all new money accrues at the end of year  $t$ . In this case, the TNA of fund  $i$  at the end of year  $t$ ,  $TNA_{i,t}$ , is given by the TNA at the end of the previous year,  $TNA_{i,t-1}$ , plus the return on the assets during year  $t$  plus the flow of new money at the end of year  $t$ :

$$TNA_{i,t} = TNA_{i,t-1} (1 + r_{i,t}) + TNAFlow(end)_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

where  $TNAFlow(end)_{i,t}$  is the total dollar amount of net-flows of fund  $i$  in year  $t$  and  $r_{i,t}$  is the return of fund  $i$  in year  $t$ . While data on net-flows is often not available, data on TNA and returns are reported in databases like CRSP or Morningstar. Absolute net-flows can be computed by rearranging and evaluating (1) even if only yearly TNA and return data is available:

$$TNAFlow(end)_{i,t} = TNA_{i,t} - TNA_{i,t-1} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t}) \quad (2)$$

This allows us to calculate our first synthetic measure of relative net-flows,  $synFlow(end)_{i,t}$ , by dividing absolute net-flows,  $TNAFlow(end)_{i,t}$ , by the TNA of fund  $i$  at the end of the pervious year,  $TNA_{i,t-1}$ :

$$synFlow(end)_{i,t} = \frac{TNA_{i,t} - TNA_{i,t-1} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t})}{TNA_{i,t-1}}. \quad (3)$$

This measure is used e.g. by Barber et al. (2005), Cooper et al. (2004), Deaves (2004), Sirri and Tufano (1998), and Chevalier and Ellison (1997).

If we assume that all money accrues at the beginning instead of the end of year  $t$ , the rate of return earned on the new money has to be taken into account and (1) has to be modified accordingly:

$$TNA_{i,t} = TNA_{i,t-1} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t}) + TNAFlow(beg)_{i,t} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t}), \quad (4)$$

where  $TNAFlow(beg)_{i,t}$  denotes the total dollar amount of net-flows in this case. Relative fund growth is now given by:

$$synFlow(beg)_{i,t} = \frac{TNAFlow(beg)_{i,t}}{TNA_{i,t-1}} = \frac{TNA_{i,t} - TNA_{i,t-1} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t})}{TNA_{i,t-1} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t})}. \quad (5)$$

This measure is used e.g. by Sirri and Tufano (1998).

If fund returns are available on a semi-annual basis at least, we can also assume that all money flows in and out at the middle of the year. In this case TNA of fund  $i$  at the end of year  $t$  is given by:

$$TNA_{i,t} = (TNA_{i,t-1}) \cdot (1 + r_{i,t}) + TNAFlow(mid)_{i,t} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t}^{Jul-Dec}), \quad (6)$$

where  $TNAFlow(mid)_{i,t}$  denotes total dollar net-flows in the middle of year  $t$ . They now earn the return of the fund from the middle until the end of year  $t$ . This rate of return for fund  $i$  in

year  $t$  (from July until December) is denoted by  $r_{i,t}^{Jul-Dec}$ . Relative fund growth due to net-flows can now be calculated as:

$$synFlow(mid)_{i,t} = \frac{TNAFlow(mid)_{i,t}}{TNA_{i,t-1}} = \frac{TNA_{i,t} - TNA_{i,t-1} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t})}{TNA_{i,t-1} \cdot (1 + r_{i,t}^{Jul-Dec})}. \quad (7)$$

This measure is e.g. used by Ippolito (1992).

If monthly data on returns and TNA is available, we can also calculate a synthetic measure which assumes that new money flows into the fund evenly distributed across months. Following the logic from above, for each month  $m$  we calculate a synthetic growth rate and multiply these monthly rates to get the synthetic flow measure for fund  $i$  in year  $t$ :

$$synFlow(monthly)_{i,t} = \prod_{m=Jan}^{Dec} \left[ \left[ \frac{TNA_{i,t,m} - TNA_{i,t,m-1} (1 + r_{i,t,m})}{TNA_{i,t,m-1}} \right] + 1 \right] - 1, \quad (8)$$

where  $TNA_{i,t,m}$  denotes the TNA of fund  $i$  at the end of month  $m$  in year  $t$ .<sup>2</sup>

## 2.2 Measure of Actual Relative Net-Flows

If data on actual monthly net-flows is available, the total growth rate of fund  $i$  in year  $t$  due to flow of new money can easily be calculated as:

$$ActualFlow_{i,t} = \prod_{m=Jan}^{Dec} \left( 1 + \frac{ActualTNAFlow_{i,t,m}}{TNA_{i,t,m}} \right) - 1, \quad (9)$$

where  $ActualFlow_{i,t}$  represents the relative growth of fund  $i$  in year  $t$  caused by money flow and  $ActualTNAFlow_{i,t,m}$  is the difference between dollar money inflow and outflow of fund  $i$  during month  $m$  in year  $t$ .  $TNA_{i,t,m}$  represents the assets under management of fund  $i$  at the beginning of month  $m$  in year  $t$ .

---

<sup>2</sup> We implicitly assume that money accrues at the end of each month. In (8),  $TNA_{i,t,m-1}$  for  $m=Jan$  denotes the TNA of fund  $i$  at the end of December in year  $t-1$ .



### 3 Data

We use data provided by the German Investment and Asset Management Association (BVI), the German equivalent of the Investment Company Institute (ICI) in the US. We focus on pure equity mutual funds. Our database includes monthly return and TNA data as well as information on segment classifications and various fund characteristics for all open-end mutual funds offered by the members of BVI.<sup>3</sup> Particularly, this data also includes actual net-flows of new money for each fund on a monthly basis. Dead funds are included in the database, so our sample is free of survivorship bias. Our data is available from 1990 to 2003. Overall, it contains 3.199 fund year observations.

### 4 Correlation Analyses

The data available to us allows us to directly compute actual net-flows according to (9) and to compare them to the synthetic measures defined in (3), (5), (7) and (8) in Section 2. Table 1 shows the correlation coefficients between the flow measure based on actual flow data and the various synthetic measures of money flow.

*Please insert Table 1 here.*

Our results show that all synthetic measures are highly correlated with the actual flow measure. The correlation between actual net-flows and the measures based on yearly TNA observations ranges from 90 to 94%. Of these, the measure assuming net-flows at the middle of the year,  $synFlow(mid)_{i,t}$ , has the highest correlation with actual relative flows,  $ActualFlow_{i,t}$ . Not surprisingly, the availability of monthly data leads to a significant improvement of the flow proxy. Our synthetic measure based on monthly TNA data,

---

<sup>3</sup> Over 99% of all assets under management in German mutual funds are managed by funds that belong to families who are members of the BVI.

$synFlow(monthly)_{i,t}$ , offers the highest correlation with real flows. The correlation is nearly 97%. Thus, if monthly TNA data is available, this measure should be favoured.

## 5 Study on the Performance Flow Relationship

In this section we analyze whether different measures of net-flows lead to different conclusions in the context of a traditional performance flow study. To analyze the influence of the different measures introduced in Section 2, we in turn use all of them as dependent variable in the following performance flow regression, which is suggested in Sirri and Tufano (1998):

$$Flow_{i,t} = \sum_{k=1}^5 b_k \cdot \text{Quintile } k_{i,t-1} + c \cdot \text{Controls} + e_{i,t}, \quad (10)$$

where  $Flow_{i,t}$  represents the actual flow measure or one of the synthetic measures of relative net-flows. To capture the supposed convexity of the performance flow relationship documented in the literature, we employ the piecewise linear regression technique also used by Sirri and Tufano (1998).<sup>4</sup> This allows us to estimate distinct slope coefficients,  $b_k$ , for each of the five quintiles of past performance. Controls is a vector of variables used in earlier studies. It contains the fund's past return risk, its size and age, lagged flows and segment flows as well as load and management fees. We estimate (10) using time-fixed effects regressions. Results are presented in Table 2.

*Please insert Table 2 here.*

---

<sup>4</sup> The piecewise linear regression uses the following definitions:  $\text{Quintile } 1_{i,t-1} = \min(0, 2; \text{rank}_{i,t-1})$ ,  $\text{Quintile } 2_{i,t-1} = \min(0, 2; \text{rank}_{i,t-1} - \text{Quintile } 1_{i,t-1})$ , ...,  $\text{Quintile } 5_{i,t-1} = \text{rank}_{i,t-1} - [\text{Quintile } 1_{i,t-1} + \text{Quintile } 2_{i,t-1} + \text{Quintile } 3_{i,t-1} + \text{Quintile } 4_{i,t-1}]$ , where  $\text{rank}_{i,t-1}$  denotes the performance rank based on raw returns of a fund as compared to the other funds in the same segment.

Column (a) contains regression results with actual inflows as dependent variable, while Columns (b)-(e) contain the results for the various synthetic measures of fund flows as dependent variable. The positive and convex performance flow relationship documented in the numerous studies cited above is confirmed by our results for each specification. Investors chase good performance but do not punish bad performance to the same extent. Regarding the influence of our control variables, we find results that are broadly consistent with those reported for the U.S.

However, the focus of this note is on the appropriateness of different flow measures. Thus, in this context it is more interesting that results are very similar for all used measures of net-flows. Irrespective of which flow measure we use, we always find a pronounced convex performance flow relationship. Estimated coefficients, significance levels and  $R^2$  are very similar in all cases. Furthermore, the influence of the control variables is also very consistent across all specifications. This indicates that studies on determinants of fund flows are not biased by the calculation of synthetic flow measures.

## **6 Conclusion**

In this note we present different ways of constructing measures for calculating the flow of new money into mutual funds. These flow measures are based on actual flow data available to us as well as on synthetically calculated money flows. We concentrate on the measures most often used in studies conducted for the U.S. mutual fund market. We show that the synthetic measures of fund flows suggested in the literature are highly correlated with actual fund flow data. The correlation between synthetic measures based on monthly data and actual fund flows is higher than the correlation between synthetic measures based on yearly data and actual fund flows.

Furthermore, we conduct a basic performance flow study to determine the quality of synthetic measures of fund flows in this kind of analysis. The results of our study do not depend on the

particular flow measure used. This indicates that the inferences from studies based on synthetically calculated flow measures are not biased. Synthetic flow measures can be used whenever actual flow data is not available.

**Table 1: Correlation Coefficients between Actual and Synthetic Flow Measures**

	$synFlow(end)_{i,t}$	$synFlow(beg)_{i,t}$	$synFlow(mid)_{i,t}$	$synFlow(monthly)_{i,t}$
$ActualFlow_{i,t}$	0.9304	0.9008	0.9352	0.9688

**Table 2: Convex Performance Flow Relationship for Different Synthetic Measures of Fund Flows and one Measure Based on Actual Flow Data**

	<i>ActualFlow</i> <sub><i>i,t</i></sub> (a)	<i>synFlow(end)</i> <sub><i>i,t</i></sub> (b)	<i>synFlow(beg)</i> <sub><i>i,t</i></sub> (c)	<i>synFlow(mid)</i> <sub><i>i,t</i></sub> (d)	<i>synFlow(monthly)</i> <sub><i>i,t</i></sub> (e)
<i>Quintile 1</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	- 0.0804	0.0568	-0.1927	0.0592	-0.1268
<i>Quintile 2</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	0.0450	0.0804	0.1317	0.0172	0.1083
<i>Quintile 3</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	0.1703	0.0403	0.1611	0.1307	-0.1497
<i>Quintile 4</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	0.3226	0.3141	0.2636	0.3817	0.2068
<i>Quintile 5</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	0.6624**	0.6896**	0.8092***	0.6106**	0.6906**
<i>Risk</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	-0.3504	-0.1140	-0.1603	-0.0982	-0.2611
<i>ln age</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	-0.0034	-0.0080	-0.0116	-0.0124	-0.0066
<i>ln TNA</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	-0.0146**	-0.0223***	-0.0143**	-0.0147**	-0.0135**
<i>Flow</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	0.0381***	0.0229***	0.0108***	0.0176**	0.0363***
<i>Flow industry</i> <sub><i>i,t</i></sub>	0.0921***	0.1102***	0.1014***	0.1328***	0.0980***
<i>load</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	-11.0602**	-10.4638**	-10.1409**	-11.5993**	-9.6863**
<i>Management fees</i> <sub><i>i,t-1</i></sub>	4.7047*	7.0503**	3.0500	5.3003*	4.5041*
<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	9.93%	9.91%	8.11%	9.26%	8.99%
<i>Number of observations</i>	3199	3172	3194	3178	3142

\*\*\*, \*\*, \* significant at the 1%-, 5%-, 10%-level. Performance ranks are based on raw returns.

## REFERENCES

Barber, B.M., Odean, T., Zheng, L., 2005. Out of Sight, Out of Mind: The Effects of Expenses on Mutual Fund Flows. Forthcoming: *Journal of Business* 78, 2095-2119.

Chevalier, J., Ellison, G., 1997. Risk Taking by Mutual Funds as a Response to Incentives. *Journal of Political Economy* 105, 1167–1200.

Cooper, M., Gulen H., Rau P.R., 2005. Changing Names with Style: Mutual Fund Name Changes and Their Effects on Fund Flows. *Journal of Finance* 60, 2825-2858.

Deaves, R., 2004. Data-Conditioning Biases, Performance, Persistence and Flows: The Case of Canadian Equity Funds. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 28, 673–694.

DelGuercio, D., Tkac, P.A., 2002. The Determinants of the Flow of Funds of Managed Portfolios: Mutual Funds versus Pension Funds. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 37, 523–558.

Elton, E.J., Gruber, M.J., Busse, J., 2004. Are Investors Rational? Choices among Index Funds. *Journal of Finance* 59, 261-288.

Fant, L.F., O’Neal E.S., 2000. Temporal Changes in the Determinants of Mutual Fund Flows. *Journal of Financial Research* 23, 353–371.

Ippolito, R. A., 1992. Consumer Reaction to Measures of Poor Quality: Evidence from the Mutual Fund Industry. *Journal of Law and Economics* 35, 45–70.

O'Neal, E.S., 2004. Purchase and Redemption Patterns of US Equity Mutual Funds. *Financial Management* 33, 63-90.

Patel, J., Zeckhauser R.J., Hendricks, D., 1994. Investment Flows and Performance: Evidence from Mutual Funds, Cross-Border Investments, and New Issues In Sato, R., Levich, R.M., Ramachandran, R.V. (Eds.), *Japan, Europe, and International Financial Markets: Analytical and Empirical Perspectives*. Cambridge, 51–72.

Sirri, E.R., Tufano, P., 1998. Costly Search and Mutual Fund Flows. *Journal of Finance* 53, 1589–1622.



cfr working papers are available for download from [www.cfr-cologne.de](http://www.cfr-cologne.de).

hardcopies can be ordered from: centre for financial research (cfr), albertus magnus platz, 50923 koeln, germany.

## 2006

No.	Author(s)	Title
06-10	A. Kempf, P. Osthoff	The Effect of Socially Responsible Investing on Financial Performance
06-09	R. Wermers, T. Yao, J. Zhao	The Investment Value of Mutual Fund Portfolio Disclosure
06-08	M. Hoffmann, B. Kempa	The Poole Analysis in the New Open Economy Macroeconomic Framework
06-07	K. Drachter, A. Kempf, M. Wagner	Decision Processes in German Mutual Fund Companies: Evidence from a Telephone Survey
06-06	J.P. Krahnert, F.A. Schmid, E. Theissen	Investment Performance and Market Share: A Study of the German Mutual Fund Industry
06-05	S. Ber, S. Ruenzi	On the Usability of Synthetic Measures of Mutual Fund Net-Flows
06-04	A. Kempf, D. Mayston	Liquidity Commonality Beyond Best Prices
06-03	O. Korn, C. Koziol	Bond Portfolio Optimization: A Risk-Return Approach
06-02	O. Scaillet, L. Barras, R. Wermers	False Discoveries in Mutual Fund Performance: Measuring Luck in Estimated Alphas
06-01	A. Niessen, S. Ruenzi	Sex Matters: Gender and Mutual Funds


## 2005

No.	Author(s)	Title
05-16	E. Theissen	An Analysis of Private Investors' Stock Market Return Forecasts
05-15	T. Foucault, S. Moinas, E. Theissen	Does Anonymity Matter in Electronic Limit Order Markets
05-14	R. Kosowski, A. Timmermann, R. Wermers, H. White	Can Mutual Fund „Stars“ Really Pick Stocks? New Evidence from a Bootstrap Analysis
05-13	D. Avramov, R. Wermers	Investing in Mutual Funds when Returns are Predictable
05-12	K. Giese, A. Kempf	Liquiditätsdynamik am deutschen Aktienmarkt

No.	Author(s)	Title
05-11	S. Ber, A. Kempf, S. Ruenzi	Determinanten der Mittelzuflüsse bei deutschen Aktienfonds
05-10	M. Bär, A. Kempf, S. Ruenzi	Team Management and Mutual Funds
05-09	M. Hoffmann	Saving, Investment and the Net Foreign Asset Position
05-08	S. Ruenzi	Mutual Fund Growth in Standard and Specialist Market Segments
05-07	A. Kempf, S. Ruenzi	Status Quo Bias and the Number of Alternatives - An Empirical Illustration from the Mutual Fund Industry –
05-06	J. Grammig, E. Theissen	Is Best Really Better? Internalization in Xetra Best
05-05	H. Beltran, J. Grammig, A.J. Menkveld	Understanding the Limit Order Book: Conditioning on Trade Informativeness
05-04	M. Hoffmann	Compensating Wages under different Exchange rate Regimes
05-03	M. Hoffmann	Fixed versus Flexible Exchange Rates: Evidence from Developing Countries
05-02	A. Kempf, C. Memmel	On the Estimation of the Global Minimum Variance Portfolio
05-01	S. Frey, J. Grammig	Liquidity supply and adverse selection in a pure limit order book market

#### **2004**

No.	Author(s)	Title
04-10	N. Hautsch, D. Hess	Bayesian Learning in Financial Markets – Testing for the Relevance of Information Precision in Price Discovery
04-09	A. Kempf, K. Kreuzberg	Portfolio Disclosure, Portfolio Selection and Mutual Fund Performance Evaluation
04-08	N.F. Carline, S.C. Linn, P.K. Yadav	Can the Stock Market Systematically make Use of Firm- and Deal-Specific Factors when Initially Capitalizing the Real Gains from Mergers and Acquisitions
04-07	J.J. Merrick, Jr., N.Y. Naik, P.K. Yadav	Strategic Trading Behavior and Price Distortion in a Manipulated Market: Anatomy of a Squeeze
04-06	N.Y. Naik, P.K. Yadav	Trading Costs of Public Investors with Obligatory and Voluntary Market-Making: Evidence from Market Reforms
04-05	A. Kempf, S. Ruenzi	Family Matters: The Performance Flow Relationship in the Mutual Fund Industry
04-04	V. Agarwal, N.D. Daniel, N.Y. Naik	Flows, Performance, and Managerial Incentives in Hedge Funds
04-03	V. Agarwal, W.H. Fung, N.Y. Naik	Risks in Hedge Fund Strategies: Case of Convertible Arbitrage
04-02	A. Kempf, S. Ruenzi	Tournaments in Mutual Fund Families
04-01	I. Chowdhury, M. Hoffmann, A. Schabert	Inflation Dynamics and the Cost Channel of Monetary Transmission



centre for financial research  
cfr/university of cologne  
albertus-magnus-platz  
D-50923 cologne  
fon +49(0)221-470-6995  
fax +49(0)221-470-3992  
kempf@cfr-cologne.de  
www.cfr-cologne.de