

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Hall, Peter A.; Franzese, Robert J.

Working Paper

Mixed signals: central bank independence, coordinated wage bargaining, and European Monetary Union

WZB Discussion Paper, No. FS I 97-307

Provided in Cooperation with:

WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Suggested Citation: Hall, Peter A.; Franzese, Robert J. (1997): Mixed signals: central bank independence, coordinated wage bargaining, and European Monetary Union, WZB Discussion Paper, No. FS I 97-307, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB), Berlin

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/44086

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



discussion paper



SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH CENTER BERLIN

FS I 97 - 307

Mixed Signals: Central Bank Independence, Coordinated Wage Bargaining, and European Monetary Union

Peter A. Hall Robert J. Franzese, Jr.

November 1997 ISSN Nr. 1011-9523

Research Area: Labour Market and Employment

Research Unit: Economic Change and Employment Forschungsschwerpunkt: Arbeitsmarkt und Beschäftigung

Abteilung: Wirtschaftswandel und Beschäftigung

ZITIERWEISE / CITATION

Peter A. Hall Robert J. Franzese, Jr.

Mixed Signals: Central Bank Independence, Coordinated Wage Bargaining, and European Monetary Union

Discussion Paper FS I 97 - 307 Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung 1997

Forschungsschwerpunkt: Research Area:
Arbeitsmarkt und Labour Market and
Beschäftigung Employment

Abteilung:Research Unit:Wirtschaftswandel undEconomic Change andBeschäftigungEmployment

Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung Reichpietschufer 50

D-10785 Berlin

Abstract

Plans for European Monetary Union are based on the conventional postulate that increasing the independence of the central bank can reduce inflation without any real economic effects. However, the theoretical and empirical bases for this claim rest on models of the economy that make unrealistic information assumptions and omit institutional variables other than the central bank. When the signaling problems between the central bank and other actors in the political economy are considered, we find that the character of wage bargaining conditions the impact of central bank independence by rendering the signals between the bank and the bargainers more or less effective. Greater independence can reduce inflation without major employment effects where bargaining is coordinated, but it brings higher levels of unemployment where bargaining is uncoordinated. Thus, currency unions like the EMU may require higher levels of unemployment to control inflation than their proponents envisage; they will have costs as well as benefits, costs which will be distributed unevenly among and within the member nations based on the changes induced in the status of the bank and of wage coordination.

Zusammenfassung

Die Konzepte für die Europäische Währungsunion basieren auf dem allgemein vertretenen Postulat, daß mit größerer Unabhängigkeit der Zentralbank die Inflation ohne reale ökonomische Effekte verringert werden kann. Allerdings beruht die theoretische wie empirische Basis für diesen Anspruch auf Modellvorstellungen einer Volkswirtschaft, die auf unrealistischen Annahmen der Bedeutung von Informationen beruhen und institutionelle Variable - mit Ausnahme der Zentralbank - außer acht lassen. Wird allerdings die wechselseitige Wahrnehmung und Interpretation von Informationen ("signaling problems") zwischen Zentralbank und den anderen Akteuren in der politischen Ökonomie in die Analyse einbezogen, dann ist festzustellen, daß die Art der Lohnfindung die Intensität der Auswirkungen der Zentralbankunabhängigkeit beeinflußt je nachdem, wie wirksam die wechselseitige Wahrnehmung und Interpretation von Informationen zwischen der Zentralbank und den Tarifpartnern vermittelt ist.

Im Falle *koordinierter* Tarifverhandlungen kann eine größere Unabhängigkeit der Zentralbank die Inflation in der Tat ohne größere Beschäftigungseffekte vermindern, im Falle *unkoordinierter* Tarifverhandlungen führt dies allerdings zu einem höheren Niveau der Arbeitslosigkeit. Daraus leitet sich die Überlegung ab, daß eine Währungsunion vom Typ "Europäische Währungsunion" ein höheres Maß an Arbeitslosigkeit erfordert, um die Inflation unter Kontrolle zu halten, als es ihre Befürworter erwarten. Bei den sich dann einstellenden Vor- und Nachteilen werden die Nachteile zwischen und innerhalb der Mitgliedstaaten ungleich verteilt sein, abhängig von dem letztendlich verwirklichten Grad der Unabhängigkeit der Zentralbank und der Form der Lohnfindung.

Table of Contents

		page
Mixed Sig	gnals: Central Bank Independence,	
Coordina	ted Wage-Bargaining, and European Monetary Union	1
II. Theor	ries of Central Bank Independence	2
III. The F	Role of Coordinated Wage Bargaining	4
IV. The C	German Model Reconsidered	7
V. A Cro	oss-National Analysis	9
VI The Ir	nplications for Comparative Political Economy	20
VII. The I	mplications for European Monetary Union	21
Data App	endix	25
Reference	es	26
Notes		32
Table 1	Postwar-Average Inflation and Unemployment Rates under the Various Institutional Arrangements of the OECD Countries, 1955-90	12
Table 2	Average Inflation and Unemployment Rates Secured in OECD Countries under Alternative Institutional Arrangements, 1955-90	13
Table 3	Parameter Estimates for Our Models of Inflation and Unemployment in OECD Countries from 1955-90	16
Table 4	The Estimated Impact of a Unit Increase in Central Bank Independence at Various Degrees of Coordination in the Wage-Bargaining System	18

Table 5	Estimated Inflation and Unemployment Rates at Different Levels of Central Bank Independence and Wage Coordination (at means of other variables, using the "decade" equation	19
Table 6	National Economic Well-Being under Different Institutional Arrangements Assessed by the Inflation Rate, the Unemployment Rate, and Okun Misery Index, 1955-90	21
Data Appe	endix	25

Mixed Signals: Central Bank Independence, Coordinated Wage-Bargaining, and European Monetary Union

The European Union provides the most developed case in the post-war world of economic and political integration among nation-states. Some forty years after its founding, the EU is planning to take a major step toward further integration by constructing a monetary union that will provide some of its members with a common currency administered by a new European central bank. Although there has been debate about the advantages of such an enterprise and especially about the costs incurred in transition to it, there is widespread agreement among the governing elites of Europe that European Monetary Union (EMU) is a desirable objective.

Intrinsic to their view is the understanding that the new Union will be managed by a central bank that is considerably independent of political control. Current expectations about the operation and benefits of EMU are partially based on a set of contentions about the advantages of central bank independence that have become widely-accepted among economists and policy-makers. As one influential financial publication concluded: "The argument for central bank independence...appears overwhelming." If these contentions are accurate, EMU may function well and in time become a model toward which other free-trade regimes aspire. *Inter alia*, this would reinforce a world-wide widespread trend toward the transfer of functions once seen as important attributes of political authority to supranational agencies that are relatively insulated from political control.

The object of this article is to question several features of the consensus that has emerged in favor of independent central banks and to present a new perspective on the economic performance that can be expected from European Monetary Union. We focus on three issues. How should the way in which the actions of the central bank affect the economy be conceptualized? Do higher levels of central bank independence invariably result in better economic performance? Will the creation of a European Monetary Union equipped with such a bank improve economic well-being in its member states? If the analysis we present is correct, the economic performance secured under EMU may well disappoint many of its proponents; pressure can be expected to arise for renegotiation of its arrangements; and the general usefulness of currency unions to free-trade areas may have to be reassessed.

We proceed by reexamining each of three pillars on which the case for an independent central bank rests. The first is a body of economic theory that explains why the independence of the central bank should enhance economic performance. The second is a critical case, that of the Federal Republic of Germany, whose *Bundesbank* provides the model for the new European central bank in large measure because its independence has been deemed central to

Germany's historic ability to secure low rates of inflation. The third is an influential set of empirical studies which appear to substantiate the proposition that, by making the central bank more independent, a nation can secure lower rates of inflation without any adverse economic effects by making its central bank more independent. Dank more independent.

Our analysis is driven by the contention that most existing analyses of central bank independence fail to specify properly the way in which central banks operate on the economy because they make unrealistic assumptions about the information available to the key actors and neglect other institutional features of the political economy. In that respect, this article is an effort to bring the insights of comparative political economy to bear on an issue that is often treated in more narrow economic terms. It can be read as a critique of the central bank independence literature, as a reevaluation of the consequences of European Monetary Union, and as an argument about the importance of institutional interaction within political economies.

II. Theories of Central Bank Independence

A standard neoclassical model underpins most of the literature on central bank independence. It assumes that the rate of inflation is determined primarily by the rate of growth of the money supply, which is controlled by the central bank, while the rate of unemployment is affected by the level of real wages and unanticipated changes in policy.^{ix} Within this framework, a variety of theories currently attribute advantages to central bank independence. Some argue that an independent central bank can stimulate the economy more effectively because economic actors are less likely to anticipate monetary expansion from it than they would from a central bank more dependent on politicians.^x Others argue that central bank independence may reduce the political business cycles that result from pre-electoral manipulation of monetary policy or post-electoral partisan shocks.^{xi} However, the claim on which we focus here is the one most frequently cited in favor of central bank independence, namely the one that derives from the time-inconsistency problem associated with monetary policy in the context of nominal-wage contracting.

This theory specifies that, given nominal wages and/or prices which must be fixed for some duration before monetary policy is set, uncertainty about the future stance of monetary policy (and hence the rate of inflation) will lead contractors to agree on higher nominal wages and prices than they desire in order to guard against the possibility that future inflation will lower real wages and returns. As a result, wage/price settlements will be more inflationary than might otherwise be the case. Although the central bank can offer assurances that it will refrain from generating such inflation, the credibility of those assurances will be undermined to the degree the bank is responsible to politicians who are known to be sensitive to electoral pressures that might incline them toward more expansionary policy. Thus, rendering the central bank more independent of political control will increase the credibility of its assurances that monetary policy will remain tight, thereby allowing wage/price

bargainers to lower their nominal contracts by reducing their fears about the real-wage and real-return losses that would be generated by unanticipated inflation. The result will be a lower rate of inflation without any adverse effects on the real economy. xii

This theory is now one of the most widely-accepted in economics. However, it has both strengths and weaknesses that can best be appreciated by seeing the central issues here as those of signaling and coordination. In short, this is a theory about the effectiveness of the process whereby signals transmitted from the central bank lead economic actors to coordinate on Pareto-superior forms of equilibrium behavior. The independence of the central bank matters primarily because it alters (i) the content of the signals that the bank sends about the course of monetary policy (a 'conservatism effect') and (ii) the credibility of those signals (a 'credibility effect'). xiii If credible signals are sent from the bank and the relevant economic actors are able to coordinate their behavior in light of them, nominal wage/price settlements will be lower than they would otherwise be and the bank can pursue the monetary policy it has announced without dampening the economy. On the other hand, if these signals do not inspire appropriate wage/price behavior, either because they lack credibility or because the relevant actors cannot coordinate on appropriate behavior, the monetary policy announced by the bank will occur in a context of relatively excessive nominal wages and prices, thereby dampening the economy and generating unemployment. Therefore, the conventional theory of central bank independence has the great merit of drawing our attention, first, to the importance of signaling in central bank behavior, second, to the importance of the credibility of those signals and, third, to the significance of independence for such credibility.

However, the model of signaling and coordination underpinning this theory is deficient in some other important respects. In general, it models the process of signaling as a highly diffuse one in which the central bank's announcement of a monetary rule will by itself lead a vast number of actors in the economy to modify their wage/price settlements. This is based on standard rationalexpectations assumptions that each actor will be able to predict the effects of an announced monetary policy on the economy, the behavior of all other relevant actors in the face of such an announcement, and the effects of that behavior. Under these conditions, rationality alone leads actors to coordinate their behavior on the optimal equilibrium. But such a model may not be appropriate for many of the cases it is meant to cover. It suffers from two problems. First, it assumes that the actors have unrealistically-high levels of prescience and information. As Eichengreen has observed in another context, the effects of monetary policy are often the subject of considerable disagreement even among experts.xiv When there are many wage and price bargainers^{xv} in the economy, it is highly unlikely that they will all be able to predict the multiple effects of monetary policy with precision, let alone predict the behavior that will follow from the predictions made by other actors. Second, this approach assumes away the collective-action problems often present when the behavior of a large number of actors, facing some uncertainty about the behavior of others, must be coordinated. Such problems are well-known to be endemic to wage bargaining in particular.xvi In the circumstances of most industrial economies, we think it more realistic to posit actors (a) with bargaining power so that they must condition their wage and price settlements on expected settlements elsewhere but (b) with less-than-complete information about the effects of monetary policy and/or other's reactions to it. This means that there will be substantial collective-action problems associated with securing coordination on a Pareto-optimal equilibrium, which rationality alone will not address. In such circumstances, the achievement of an effective signaling and coordination process will depend more heavily on the presence of an appropriate set of institutional arrangements of the sort to which the 'new political economy' draws our attention, i.e. institutions that provide the actors with a basis for making credible commitments, monitoring the behavior of each other, and the like. xvii From this perspective, the problem with most conventional analyses of central bank independence is that, by considering the characteristics of only one institution, i.e. the central bank, they fail to appreciate the role that other institutions might play in the overall signaling and coordination process.

In this article, we seek, first, to render the analysis of central bank independence more realistic by assuming actors with less-than-complete information about the effects of monetary policy and the behavior of other actors and, second, to broaden the analysis by including other institutions relevant to this signaling and coordination process. We focus, in particular, on the institutions associated with wage bargaining.^{xviii}

III. The Role of Coordinated Wage Bargaining

Our choice of variables is not coincidental. A substantial literature in comparative political economy suggests that the institutional variables associated with wage bargaining can have large effects on economic performance. To date, scholars seeking institutional explanations for the rate of inflation have been confronted with two separate literatures that deserve to be integrated, one emphasizing central bank independence and the other wage bargaining.

We focus here on *the coordination of wage bargaining*, a phrase that refers to the degree to which the determination of wage settlements is actively coordinated across the economy by trade-union and/or employer organizations. That, in turn, depends heavily on the organizational structures for wage bargaining, which vary from country to country. The full set of institutional arrangements required for coordinated wage-bargaining are complex because they must support cooperative outcomes in five nested sets of strategic interactions. The first is the interaction that takes place within each dyad of bargainers between the organizations representing workers and those representing employers. A second takes place between the leaders of bargaining organizations and the rank and file members whose support they must retain. We focus here on the interaction between the bargainers in each dyad and their counterparts in other dyads and on the interaction between

wage bargainers as a group and the authorities controlling economic policy. A fifth interaction occurs between the authorities controlling monetary policy and those controlling fiscal policy.

With regard to the interactions examined here, an early literature associated wage coordination entirely with highly centralized trade-union movements bargaining with employer confederations at the peak level. In recent years, however, two important amendments have been made to this view. First, it has been shown that employers' organizations can play an equally important role in the coordination of wage bargaining. Second, it has been noted that effective coordination can take place within either of two organizational structures. In one, the principal locus of bargaining is at the economy-wide level, where negotiations occur among highly centralized tradeunion and employers confederations. In the other, wage negotiation takes place primarily among trade unions and employer organizations highly concentrated at the sectoral level but equipped with sufficient economy-wide linkages to transmit the settlement reached in a leading sector across the economy. XXIII

To appreciate the impact of wage coordination on the economy, consider initially the case in which bargaining is not coordinated but conducted by many units acting separately. In this setting, each bargaining unit, generally a dyad of employer and union, must reach a settlement in the context of considerable uncertainty about what the settlements reached by other bargaining units will be. This is conducive to three behavioral consequences.

First, the union in each dyad will be tempted to seek an extra "inflation increment" on top of the real wages it desires in order to protect itself from the real-wage losses it will incur if other settlements are more inflationary than its own. Because employers can expect such inflation to erode any nominal-wage concessions they make, they will also be more likely to accede to high settlements. Second, the actors in any one bargaining unit are unlikely to let considerations about the effects of their settlement on the overall economy influence their decision-making because any one bargaining unit is normally too small to have a noticeable impact of its own on the economy. Their This posture will be reinforced by the fact that other bargaining units can be expected to take a similar view; such that, if one union moderates its nominal-wage settlement in the national economic interest, it may suffer real-wage losses from the failure of other units to do so.xxiii Third, when the economy-wide level of wage settlements proves inflationary, the fiscal or monetary authorities may respond with deflationary policies. In an uncoordinated setting, however, the actors in any one bargaining units are unlikely to let the prospect of such a response influence their own settlement very much because they know that the monetary authority will be producing a policy, not in response to it, but to settlements across the economy as a whole, which they cannot control. Thus, in uncoordinated settings, wage bargainers are unlikely to be highly responsive to threats from the fiscal or monetary authorities to respond to inflationary settlements with deflation.

Compare now the case in which wage bargaining is coordinated. In such settings, a central or lead bargain has great influence over the level of wage settlements in the economy as a whole. Several implications follow from this. First, since the members of each bargaining unit, especially the lead unit, know what the level of subsequent wage settlements is likely to be once they have settled on their own, they need not build an increment for unanticipated inflation arising from other higher settlements into their own agreement. Second, because the lead bargaining unit knows that its settlement is likely to be generalized to the whole economy, the actors within it have a strong incentive to take the impact of their settlement on the economy into account when negotiating it. Thus, we can expect their concerns about levels of inflation, unemployment and national competitiveness to influence wage settlements more strongly in coordinated systems of wage bargaining. An important empirical hypothesis follows from these observations: where wage bargaining is more coordinated, we should see lower rates of inflation, whether or not the central bank is independent.

Most central to our argument, however, is the way in which the system of wage bargaining interacts with the character of the central bank. Because the lead settlement in a system of coordinated wage bargaining is likely to be copied by other bargaining units, with direct effects for the entire economy, those negotiating it know that the central bank is likely to respond directly to it. This renders the principal wage-bargainers highly sensitive to signals from the central bank about the appropriateness of pending wage settlements and the likely stance of monetary policy in the face of them. In short, the signals sent from the central bank are more likely to affect the level of wage settlements in settings where wage bargaining is coordinated than in settings where it is not.

The important implication of this is that, where wage bargaining is coordinated, the central bank may be able to influence the level of settlements and reduce inflation simply by signaling its policy intentions so that monetary policy does not raise the level of unemployment. Where wage bargaining is uncoordinated, however, such that small bargaining units have no reason to expect a direct response to *their* settlement and disincentives to exercise general moderation lest others fail to do so, the central bank may have to apply very tight monetary policies that induce substantial increases in unemployment before wage and price contracts will respond.

In sum, we contend (i) that the effectiveness of the signaling and coordination process that links the central bank and wage contractors is an important determinant of national levels of inflation and unemployment and (ii) that the character of the wage-bargaining system is intrinsic to the effectiveness of this process. Increasing the independence of the central bank is likely to reduce the rate of inflation in all systems through a combination of 'conservatism' and 'credibility' effects. *xxiv* However, this analysis suggests that credibility effects, which allow an increase in the independence of the central bank to reduce inflation without large increases in unemployment, are likely to dominate occur only where the signaling and coordination process is effective, namely in systems where wage bargaining is coordinated. Where wage bargaining is less coordinated, an increase in the independence of the central

bank is likely to reduce inflation only at the cost of corresponding increases in unemployment.

In the sections that follow, we use a cross-national empirical analysis to test the validity of these propositions. First, however, we examine their plausibility in a crucial national case, that of Germany.

IV. The German Model Reconsidered

The Federal Republic of Germany has long been one of the most prominent cases adduced to support arguments for the economic effects of central bank independence. Its *Bundesbank* is considered one of the most independent central banks in the world and, for most of the postwar period, the German economy has been able to achieve low rates of inflation at relatively low rates of unemployment. Thus, it is tempting to conclude, as many do, that the principal factor accounting for this outstanding economic record is the independence of the *Bundesbank*. This may have been one of the reasons why the European Central Bank is to be modeled on the *Bundesbank*.

A closer examination of the German case, however, suggests that the *Bundesbank* is not the only institutional feature of the German economy contributing to the achievement of low inflation and unemployment. In what follows, we argue that the institutional arrangements for wage bargaining have also greatly enhanced the capacity of the German economy to attain low rates of inflation at relatively low rates of unemployment. An examination of the German case also allows us to explore in more detail the nature and operation of institutional arrangements for coordinated wage-bargaining and how they operate in conjunction with monetary policy, although these details will vary, of course, to some extent from nation to nation.

We begin by outlining the principal institutions that underpin wage bargaining. The German workforce is organized into 17 large unions, often covering entire industries, which also belong to an overarching union confederation, the DGB, (*Deutscher Gewerkschaftsbund*). These unions bargain with employer associations, also organized by industrial sector, representing 80 percent of German employers. Thus, collective bargaining is relatively centralized at the industry level. Both the unions and employers associations are strongly positioned *vis-à-vis* their rank and file by virtue of the control they exercise over a range of resources important to their members, such as skill certification, vocational-training schemes, and strike funds.

The system is supported by a legal framework that regulates many aspects of the bargaining process, specifies that only legally-recognized unions can conclude collective wage-agreements, and allows industry settlements to be extended to cover all companies in a sector by agreement between the union, the employers association, and the regional governments. At the plant level, the

system is underpinned by a system of elected works councils on which the unions are generally represented., Works councils which can negotiate local working conditions and, informally, local pay structures.

Equally central to the operation of the system is the less-formal arrangement whereby the settlements of most industries follow the precedent set by the bargain reached in a leading sector each year. For most of the postwar period, these lead bargains have been concluded between *IG Metall*, the massive metalworkers union that organizes a range of industries including automobiles, engineering and steel, and the corresponding employers federation, *Gesamptmetall*.** A variety of factors converge to give *IG Metall* this role and to ensure that other industries will follow its lead. Since it is the largest and one of the strongest German unions, the others can follow its lead knowing they would be unlikely to improve on its settlement, and the powerful employers' associations tend to resist increases beyond what it secures.**

It is clear that these institutional arrangements constitute a system for highly coordinated wage-bargaining and tend to promote low rates of inflation. Since the lead bargainers in metalworking know that their settlement is likely to be generalized to the whole economy, *IG Metall* need not seek an additional increment to guard against unanticipated levels of inflation that might follow from subsequent settlements. Both *IG Metall* and *Gesamptmetall*, have strong incentives to take the overall economic impact of any potential settlement into account when determining it. Thus, the system of wage bargaining itself tends to reduce rates of inflation.

In addition, the German system also features a particular kind of interaction between wage bargainers and the central bank. The highly public *pas de deux* between the *Bundesbank* and the principal wage bargainers, which occurs at the time of every annual wage round in Germany, is a prominent feature of politics there. The bank often issues pointed comments on the wage demands of the unions, accompanied by detailed commentary on the state of the economy and warnings about the likely monetary-policy consequences of overly-inflationary wage settlements. Because bargaining is relatively centralized, the principal negotiators are not left in much doubt about whether the bank intends to respond to their particular settlement; and it is not uncommon for them to issue counter-statements about the likely effect of their demands on the state of the economy.*

In short, the coordination of German wage-bargaining helps to make the process of signaling that takes place between the central bank and wage bargainers highly effective. The system does not work perfectly: occasionally, wage bargainers defy the bank, whether to test its resolve or to satisfy their rank and file; but, over the long run, they have paid careful attention to its pronouncements. As a result, the *Bundesbank* has often been able to use this signaling mechanism to induce more moderate wage settlements, limiting the extent to which it has had to rely on *real* monetary constriction.

Two other factors also enhance the effectiveness of the signaling process in Germany. First, the independence of the central bank increases the credibility of its pronouncements, which in turn helps to ensure that subsequent industry settlements do not exceed the lead bargain. This suggests that there may be a reciprocal effect between central bank independence and wage coordination, whereby each augments the impact of the other, especially when bargaining is coordinated at the industry level. xxxiv Second, the effectiveness of the signaling mechanism may also be enhanced by the fact that a sector with high export concentration, metalworking, negotiates the lead bargain in most years. Wage bargainers in export sectors tend to favor lower settlements because they are concerned to maintain unit labor costs at internationallycompetitive levels. However, they are also especially sensitive to signals from the central bank because the restrictive monetary policies that the bank wields tend not only to depress general economic activity but also to appreciate the exchange rate, thereby threatening the level of economic activity in export sectors especially severely.xxxv

In sum, there are good reasons to believe that the capacity of postwar Germany to secure low rates of inflation at low rates of unemployment cannot be attributed solely to the independence of the *Bundesbank* but derives, instead, from that presence of an effective signaling process that is based on the *combination* of central bank independence and coordinated wage-bargaining.

V. A Cross-National Analysis

We turn now to cross-national empirical investigation of the propositions advanced here. As noted above, one of the most important bases for contemporary enthusiasm about central bank independence is a set of simple yet influential empirical studies which, using postwar-average cross-sections, conclude that a nation can reduce its rate of inflation without any adverse real economic consequences simply by increasing the independence of its central bank. One such article concludes that "having an independent central bank is almost like having a free lunch; there are benefits but no apparent costs in terms of macroeconomic performance."

However, most of these studies suffer from a serious flaw. In keeping with neoclassical models that portray the economy as <u>largely</u> institutionally-homogenous across nations, the only institutional variable included in them is one reflecting the degree of independence of the central bank. Here, we propose including a further institutional variable, representing the degree to which wage bargaining is coordinated. Once it is brought into the analysis, two new possibilities arise. We may find that the independence of the central bank is only partially responsible for the effects hitherto attributed to it and/or we may find that the precise impact of increasing the independence of the central bank

depends on the configuration of other institutions in the political economy. The analysis presented in the preceding section suggests three specific hypotheses.

First, nothing in our account contradicts the proposition that an increase in the independence of the central bank will lower the rate of inflation experienced by a nation. Thus, we expect to see a negative relationship between central bank independence and the rate of inflation in cross-national data.

Second, we expect the level of wage coordination to have an effect on the rate of inflation independent from the effects of central bank independence. This follows from the argument that, where wage bargaining is more coordinated, individual bargaining units will face more institutional incentives to avoid inflationary wage settlements.

Third, our theoretical perspective leads us to expect interaction effects between the level of central bank independence and the level of wage coordination with respect (especially) to the rate of unemployment. In nations where wage bargaining is coordinated, increasing the independence of the central bank may reduce the rate of inflation without adverse real economic consequences because the signaling system connecting the central bank to economic actors should be highly efficient there. In nations where wage bargaining is less coordinated, however, we expect to find that increasing the independence of the central bank lowers the rate of inflation only at the cost of substantially higher rates of unemployment because the signaling mechanisms there are not efficient enough to allow the bank to reduce the rate of inflation without actually implementing restrictive *real* monetary policies that increase unemployment. Thus we expect the unemployment cost of central bank independence to increase as the coordination of wage bargaining decreases. The corollary is that the unemployment benefit of coordinateding wage bargaining should increase with the independence of the central bank.

In order to test these hypotheses, we have assembled a data set data covering all the OECD nations for which comparable data could be secured for the period from 1955 to 1990. To measure central bank independence, we use an average of the five most commonly used indices, which assess both the legal status of the central bank and its reputation for independence. To measure the degree to which wage bargaining is coordinated across the economy, we construct an index based on the one devised by Soskice, extrapolated to a wider range of cases using the assessments Layard *et al.* make of trade-union and employer coordination and standard accounts of industrial-relations systems. This index codes each nation at one of five points (0, .25, .50, .75, 1.0) based on the degree to which wage bargaining has been coordinated by trade unions and/or employer associations over the course of the 1955-1990 period.

The studies from which the central bank independence literature has drawn its empirical support have been cross-sectional analyses of the average postwar experience of the developed democracies. Accordingly, in order to ensure comparability with such analyses, we adopt the same approach in the

first part of this investigation. Although this obviously limits the degrees of freedom, we think the argument underlying the approach—namely that postwar-average cross-sections are especially appropriate for assessing durable relationships between economic outcomes and structural variables that exhibit little or no temporal variation over the time-period studied—has considerable merit in this case, since the independence of the central bank and the coordination of wage bargaining are clearly such variables.xlii The premise is that the effects of such variables show up most clearly when assessed over a long period of time and that greater confidence can be placed in any relationships if they persist over a wide variety of economic contexts, extending from the years of postwar growth when inflation and unemployment were generally low, through the high-inflation period of the 1970s, to the high unemployment decade of the 1980s. Still, to the degree that cross-temporal variation which can be usefully measured and modeled exists, disaggregating the data may improve the empirical analysis. Accordingly However, wein order to supplement the extend previous enquiry with an analysis that provides additional degrees of freedom, we also utilize by considering decade-frequency and annual data as well. worthwhile subjecting the hypotheses to this additional test.

We begin with some simple cross-tabulations that display the broad patterns in the data. Table One reports the rates of GDP-deflator inflation and (internationally comparable) unemployment as well as the Okun misery index that sums the two to reflect the overall level of "economic misery" for nations that feature different levels of central bank independence and wage-bargaining coordination. It is apparent that countries with more independent central banks tend to have lower rates of inflation, as conventional analyses of central bank independence predict. In addition, as our second hypothesis predicts, increasing the level of coordination in the wage bargaining system also seems to reduce the rate of inflation, although some exceptions exist.

Finally, looking at the movement from a low to a medium level of central bank independence, for example, we can see that increasing the independence of the central bank has smallernegative effects on unemployment where wage bargaining is more coordinated. At low levels of wage coordination, this increase in independence is associated with a rise in the average rate of unemployment of 1.7 percent (from 4.7 to 6.4). At medium levels of wage coordination, however, a similar move increases unemployment by 1.1 percent (from 3.5 to 4.6), and at high levels of wage coordination by only 0.2 percent (from 2.0 to 2.2). This is consistent with our third contention that increasing the coordination of wage bargaining improves the signaling and coordination mechanism between the central bank and wage bargainers, thereby making it possible for a nation to secure roughly equivalent levels of inflation at lower levels of unemployment.

<u>Table One:</u> Postwar-Average Inflation and Unemployment Rates under the Various Institutional Arrangements of the OECD Countries, 1955-90

Central Bank Independence	Coordination of Wage Bargaining	Average Inflation	Average Unemployment	Misery Index
LOW	LOW (6 countries)	7.5	4.7	12.2
	MEDIUM (3 countries)	5.5	3.5	9.0
	HIGH (2 countries)	6.3	2.0	8.3
MEDIUM	LOW (1 country)	5.1	6.4	11.5
	MEDIUM (2 countries)	5.8	4.6	10.5
	HIGH (1 country)	4.4	2.2	6.6
HIGH	LOW (1 country)	4.4	5.8	10.2
	MEDIUM (2 countries)	3.9	2.0	5.9
	HIGH (0 countries)			

Notes: Inflation and unemployment rates are in percentages. The Okun misery index is the sum of the inflation and unemployment rates. For the purposes of this table, cases were coded as follows. CWB: low = 0 and 0.25, medium = 0.5 and 0.75, high = 1; CBI: low = below 0.5, medium = 0.5 to 0.75, high = 0.75 and above. For sources and detailed data see the notes and Data Appendix.

Such effects can be seen even more clearly in Table Two where we divide the OECD nations differently, this time according to whether they have a low or high level of central bank independence and a low or high level of wage coordination. The results are striking. In all cases, an increase in the level of central bank independence is associated with a substantial drop in the level of inflation. An increase in the coordination of wage bargaining also produces a decline in the level of inflation, albeit less substantial and only when central bank independence is low. However, the effects on unemployment of increasing the level of central bank independence vary according to the degree to which wage bargaining is coordinated. In nations where wage coordination is high, an increase in the independence of the central bank is associated with a very small increase in the rate of unemployment (0.5 points). Where wage coordination is low, however, an increase in the independence of the central bank is associated with a substantial increase in the rate of unemployment (1.4 points—or nearly three times as much).

<u>Table Two:</u> Average Inflation and Unemployment Rates Secured in OECD Countries under Alternative Institutional Arrangements, 1955-90

INFLATION RATES					UN	EMPLOYMENT	RATES
Coord-			ıl Bank ndence	Coord-		Central Bank I	ndependence
inated		LOW	HIGH	inated		HIGH	
Wage	LOW	7.5 (6)	4.8 (2)	Wage	LOW	4.7 (6)	6.1 (2)
Barg.	HIGH	6.2 (4)	4.8 (4)	Barg.	HIGH	2.3 (4)	2.8 (4)

Notes: Cases were coded as follows: CWB: low = 0 and 0.25, high = 0.75 and 1; CBI: low = below 0.50, high = above 0.50. Cases where CWB = medium (0.5) are omitted here. The number of countries in each category is given in parentheses after the postwar-average inflation or unemployment.

In order to provide more complete tests of these hypotheses, we turn now to regression analysis which can assess the effects of the institutional variables (CBI and CWB) while controlling for a number of other economic and political variables that might be expected to influence the level of inflation or unemployment. In these regressions we control for: (a) the economic openness of the economy, on the premise that more open economies may experience greater pressure to moderate the level of inflation and more (less) unemployment induced by adverse (favorable) fluctuations in the international economy, (b) the natural log of the level of real per-capita gross domestic product, on the premise that less-developed nations may be more tempted to rely on seignorage for revenue and more susceptible to high levels of unemployment; (c) the representation of left parties in the cabinet to reflect the widely-accepted view that social democratic governments are more likely to tolerate inflation and less likely to tolerate unemployment than their conservative counterparts; and (d) union density (percent of the labor force unionized) on the premise that, ceteris paribus, greater unionization (controlling for coordination) produces less wage restraint and therefore more inflation and unemployment.xli

The basic format of the regressions to be reported here are:

$$\pi = C_{\pi}'\alpha^{\pi} + \beta_{cbi}^{\pi}CBI + \beta_{cwb}^{\pi}CWB + \beta_{cc}^{\pi}CBI \cdot CWB + \varepsilon^{\pi}$$

$$U = C_{\mu}'\alpha^{\mu} + \beta_{cbi}^{\mu}CBI + \beta_{cwb}^{\mu}CWB + \beta_{cc}^{\mu}CBI \cdot CWB + \varepsilon^{\mu}$$

where $p_{\underline{\pi}}$ is inflation and U is unemployment, C is a vector of controls (as mentioned above and including a constant), $a_{\underline{\alpha}}$ is a vector of coefficients on

those controls, and CWB and CBI are our measures of coordinated wagebargaining and central bank independence respectively. Our primary hypotheses, as stated above, are three. First, central bank independence generally reduces inflation: $\partial \pi / \partial CBI = \beta_{cbi}^{\pi} + \beta_{cc}^{\pi} CWB < 0$. Second, coordination wage bargaining also generally decreases inflation: $\partial \pi / \partial CWB \equiv \beta_{cwb}^{\pi} + \beta_{cc}^{\pi} CBI < 0$. Third, and most centrally, coordination of wage bargaining reduces the unemployment cost of central bank independence: $\partial(\partial U/\partial CBI)/\partial CWB \equiv \beta_{cc}^u < 0$. The view developed here also leads us to expect three ancillary results. Fourth, we expect, not at all originally, that coordination wage bargaining generally lowers unemployment, $\partial U / \partial CWB \equiv \beta_{cwb}^u + \beta_{cc}^u CBI < 0$. Fifth, because we have argued that central bank independence has unemployment costs when coordination of wage bargaining low, this implies that, at least for low values of CWB. $\partial U / \partial CBI \equiv \beta_{chi}^u + \beta_{cc}^u CWB$ is positive, which in turn means that β_{chi}^u must be sufficiently positive given that β_{cc}^u is expected to be negative. Sixth, our discussion suggests that central bank independence and coordination of wage bargaining may interact in determining inflation as well as unemployment. Accordingly, β_{cc}^{π} may not be zero, but we do not have strong priors on its sign or magnitude.

In the data analysis that follows, we report, first, results from postwar-average data which regress 1955-90 averages of inflation and unemployment each on a constant, and the 1955-90 averages of the independent variables. The equation is estimated by using OLS with White's heteroskedasticity-consistent variance-covariance matrices.

Second, we estimate regressions with decade-<u>frequencylevel</u> data—<u>and dummy variables for each decade, to allow for shared time-trends and supply shocks, providing which provides</u> 72 observations. <u>Dummy variables for each decade are included to allow for cross-nationally shared time-trends and decade-specific supply shocks.</u> To allow for the temporal dependence in them <u>observations</u>, we incorporate an AR(1) process in the residuals. The <u>temporal disaggregation nowgreater degress of freedom also make it possible permits us</u> to model the impact of international economic conditions on unemployment more accurately by controlling for the terms of trade (ToT = export-price index divided by import-price index) and terms of trade times trade openness (ToT OPEN). The equations are estimated using weighted least squares and White's heteroskedasticity-consistent variance-covariance matrix. Striii

Finally, although we consider the post-war average and decade-level analyses most appropriate here given the temporal invariance of our key structural variables, for the purposes of comparison, we also report regressions employing annual-frequency data. This which allows us to use the for annual variation present in all but the institutional variables, using a Annual dummies are added to treat the data set as a pooling of cross-sections rather than as a pooling of time-series, increasing comparability of the estimates with those obtained from the other two levels of analysis. We estimate these equations by OLS as "pseudo-error-correction" models with Beck-Katz panel-corrected covariance (PCSE) matrices. xiviii

The results for all three sets of regressions are reported in Table Three. They exhibit remarkable stability across the various units of temporal aggregation and, together, provide strong confirmation for most of our hypotheses. In all models, the level of central bank independence and the level of wage coordination both have a negative and statistically-significant relationship to the rate of inflation over the 1955-90 period (our first and second hypotheses). Taking the decade-level data as a base for estimates, if Belgium or the Netherlands (CWB=0.5), for instance, had increased CBI by 0.3 points (an increase roughly equivalent to the distance between the Bank of England and the U.S. Federal Reserve), we estimate that they could have reduced their rate of inflation by about 1.16 percentage points. Conversely, if Denmark or Finland (CBI≈.5) had increased CWB by .25 points (to the level of Norway or Sweden), we estimate they could have reduced their inflation rate by about 0.44 points.

<u>Table Three:</u> Parameter Estimates for Our Models of Inflation and Unemployment in OECD Countries from 1955-90

	DEPENDENT VARIABLE							
	Int	flation Rat	es	Unemployment Rates				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	avg.	Dec.	ann. ^l	avg.	dec.	ann. ⁵⁰		
Real GDP per Capita (RGDPC) (in natural logs)	-2.1 (1.5) ^{.19}	-1.3 (0.8) ^{.11}	-2.6 (1.1) ^{.02}	-4.9 (1.4) ^{.01}	-3.5 (0.5) ^{.00}	-3.1 (1.3) ^{.01}		
Trade Openness (OPEN) ((exports+imports)/GDP)	-1.8 (1.4) ^{.23}	-2.1 (1.1) ^{.06}	-1.2 (1.0) ^{.24}	+2.0 (1.0) ^{.06}	+19.5 (6.9) ^{.01}	+28.9 (13) ^{.02}		
Terms of Trade (ToT) (Export Prices / Import Prices)					+4.3 (2.1) ^{.04}	+8.0 (4.7) ^{.09}		
Interaction Term (ToT x OPEN)					-14.6 (6.4) ^{.03}	-24.9 (12) ^{.04}		
Left Cabinet Participation (LCAB) (left party percent of cabinet seats)	+1.87 (2.7) ^{.51}	-1.4 (0.7) ^{.05}	+0.8 (0.8) ^{.30}	+2.3 (1.4) ^{.12}	+1.4 (0.6) ^{.02}	+1.0 (0.8) ^{.21}		
Union Density (UDEN) (percent of labor force unionized)	+3.2 (2.8) ^{.28}	+6.6 (1.8) ^{.00}	+4.5 (2.0) ^{.02}	+0.9 (3.1) ^{.79}	+1.1 (1.8) ^{.54}	+2.2 (2.2) ^{.31}		
Degree of Central Bank Independence (CBI)	-4.2 (1.7) ^{.03}	-6.2 (2.1) ^{.00}	-3.9 (2.8).17	+11.5 (2.8).00	+9.7 (1.9) ^{.00}	+8.3 (4.2).05		
Degree of Coordination in Wage Bargaining (CWB)	-4.6 (2.3).07	-4.1 (1.6) ^{.01}	-4.4 (2.6).09	+1.0 (1.5) ^{.53}	-1.4 (1.5) ^{.37}	-1.6 (2.0).43		
Interaction Term (CBI x CWB)	+3.2 (2.7) ^{.25}	+4.7 (2.8) ^{.10}	+3.3 (3.8) ^{.39}	-13.1 (3.3).00	-10.8 (2.5).00	-9.3 (4.7).05		
Number of Observations (Degrees of Freedom)	18 (10)	72 (60)	612 (566)	18 (10)	72 (58)	612 (562)		
Adjusted R ²	0.55	0.73	0.31	0.81	0.86	0.40		
Standard Error of the Regression	1.06	1.76	2.31	0.90	1.21	0.59		
Durbin-Watson Statistic		2.25	1.96		1.65	1.94		

Notes: Estimated long-run effects in bold, the standard error of those estimates in parentheses below that, and the p-level from the two-sided t-test that these long-run effects are zero is superscripted to the standard error. All other estimates omitted to conserve space; complete results available upon request. The dependent variables are in percentage units; all independent variables potentially vary from 0-1 except ToT and LRGDPC (see Data Appendix for detailed summary statistics).

Similarly, our third, and most important hypothesis—namely that the unemployment costs of increasing central bank independence are not zero but rather depend (negatively) on the degree of coordination of wage bargaining—receives very strong support here. The coefficients on the interaction term for CBIxCWB are negative, of substantial magnitude, and statistically significant in all three equations (p≈.0001 in the "decade" equation, p≈.0025 in the postwaraverage equation, and p≈.047 in the annual equation). $^{\text{iii}}$

Although not a less central hypothesis from this analysis, one further implication of these regressions should be noted. They suggest that there is a tendency for increases in central bank independence to raise the level of unemployment in at least some settings. We interpret this as to be the result of the 'conservatism' effect noted above; namely, more independent central banks may give more weight to securing low inflation over securing low unemployment than more dependent banks. As Table Four suggests, however, these effects are likely to be more pronounced in settings where wage bargaining is relatively uncoordinated. Where it is highly coordinated, we have argued that an increase in central bank independence may actually help to lower unemployment by reinforcing the process of wage coordination, alandthough these figures provide someonly weak confirmation support for that contention. In general, Table Four, which reports the estimated long-run impact of a unit increase in central bank independence in settings that vary according to the level of wage coordination, shows that central bank independence tends to lower the rate of inflation in all settings but has the greatest effects where wage coordination is too low to have an impact of its own on inflation. Conversely, central bank independence tends to increase the rate of unemployment, but this cost diminishes as coordination increases, perhaps even becoming a benefit at very high coordination.

<u>Table Four:</u> The Estimated Impact of a Unit Increase in Central Bank Independence at Various Degrees of Coordination in the Wage-Bargaining System

	Conditional Parameter Estimates for Effect of								
	Unit Increase in Central Bank Independence on								
Level of Wage-	I.	nflation Rate	S	Une	Unemployment Rates				
Bargaining Coordination	avg.	dec.	ann.	avg.	dec.	ann.			
0.00 (US, UK, Ireland)	-4.6 (2.3).07	-6.2 (2.1) ^{.00}	-3.9 (2.8) ^{.17}	+12 (2.8).00	+9.7 (1.9) ^{.00}	+8.3 (4.2).05			
0.25 (France, Italy, New Zealand)	-3.8 (1.8) .063	-5.0 (1.6) .00	-3.1 (2.0) <u>.06</u> 43	+8.3 (2.0) ^{.00}	+7.0 (1.4) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+6.0 (3.1) .052			
0.50 (Belgium, Netherlands)	-3.0 (1.5) ^{.074}	-3.9 (1.3) ^{.00}	-2.3 (1.4) ^{.40<u>5</u>}	+5.0 (1.4).040	+4.3 (1.0) ^{.00}	+3.7 (2 1) .084			
0.75 (Japan, Germany, Denmark, Finland, Switzerland)	-2.2 (1.5) ^{.46<u>08</u>}	-2.7 (1.3) ^{.04} 2	-1.5 (1.2) ^{.124}	+1.7 (1.2) ^{.4<u>08</u>6}	+1.6 (0.9).40 <u>5</u>	+ 1.4 (1.5).1735			
1.00 (Austria, Norway, Sweden)	-1.4 (1.7) ^{.4221}	-1.5 (1.6) ^{35<u>18</u>}	-0.6 (1.7) -7435	-1.5 (1.4) ⁻²⁹ 15	-1.2 (1.2) · 1836	-1.0 (1.6).5427			

Notes: Estimated long-run effect of a unit increase in CBI at that level of CWB in bold; conditional standard-errors at that level of CWB in parentheses; p-level of onetwo-sided t-test at that point superscripted in italics.

Finally, the general patterns in these results can be seen in Table Five, which reports the estimated rates of inflation and unemployment (according to the decade-level equations of Table Three) that can be expected to occur at different levels of central bank independence and wage coordination and at the sample means of the other variables. The first columns in the table indicate that, when wage bargaining is entirely uncoordinated, a 0.25 increase in central bank independence (about the gap from the Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US bank or from the-Danish-Denmark to the US <a href="the-Dani

<u>Table Five:</u> Estimated Inflation and Unemployment Rates at Different Levels of Central Bank Independence and Wage Coordination (at means of other variables, using the "decade" equations)

	Level of Coordinated Wage-Bargaining								
	0.	00	0.	50	1.00				
Central Bank Independence	Infl Unem		Infl	Infl Unem		Unem			
0.00	10.04	2.50	8.01	1.83	5.97	1.15			
0.25	8.50	4.92	7.04	2.89	5.59	0.86			
0.50	6.95	7.33	6.08	3.95	5.21	0.58			
0.75	5.40	9.75	5.11	5.02	4.83	0.29			
1.00	3.85	12.16	4.15	6.08	4.45	0.00			

We interpret the findings reported in Tables Three through Five as follows. Starting from some level of central bank independence and some level of wage coordination, an increase in the coordination of wage-bargaining improves the signaling process thereby providing the central bank with the opportunity to get a lower unemployment rate at the same rate of inflation, or to secure a lower inflation rate at the same unemployment rate, or to obtain some intermediate combination of these outcomes (and it appears that, in practice, the banks tend to take a little of both). In short, increases in the coordination of wage bargaining expand the "possibility frontier" in unemployment-inflation space for the better. This implies that increases in coordinated wage-bargaining are generally "Pareto-improving". liv

Similarly, when wage bargaining is highly coordinated, so that the bargainers have the incentive and capacity to respond effectively to signals from the central bank, an increase in the independence of the bank is also Pareto-improving because, by rendering those signals more credible, it can reduce the rate of inflation without increases in unemployment. However, when wage bargaining is uncoordinated, increasing the independence of the central bank is *not* Pareto-improving because, although it lowers the rate of inflation, it does so only at some unemployment cost because since the bargainers lack the incentive and capacity to respond effectively to signals from the bank however credible they may be.

VI. The Implications for Comparative Political Economy

These findings have important implications for our understanding of the political economy. First, they lend strong support to the contention that economic performance is deeply affected by the institutional organization of the political economy and cannot be explained well without reference to variation in it.

Second, this analysis speaks to the problem of how coordination may be secured in the economy. Many neoclassical analyses assume that the behavior of economic actors will be coordinated almost exclusively by competitive market mechanisms and that non-market organizations should be seen primarily as factors that interfere with effective coordination. However, by focusing on the signaling mechanisms that link central banks to bargaining units and the latter to each other, we have argued that non-market organizations can make a significant contribution to the effective coordination of behavior and thus to economic performance. Our analysis suggests that approaches to economic problems that posit highly competitive markets and assume they will generate cooperative outcomes are empirically fragile at best. Instead, more attention should be paid to the way in which diverse sets of institutional arrangements resolve the coordination problems of the economy and, in particular, to the kinds of interaction effects that occur among them.\(^{\mathbb{N}}\)

More specifically, we challenge the influential claim that, by increasing the independence of its central bank, a nation can improve its rate of inflation without any other adverse economic effects. Once the character of the wage-bargaining system is incorporated into the analysis, we find that this proposition holds only for nations with coordinated wage-bargaining systems. Where wage bargaining is not coordinated, increasing the independence of the central bank lowers the rate of inflation only at the cost of significant increases in unemployment. We arrive atln support of this conclusion by, we provide a theoretical rationale considering the signaling process between bank and economy more closely, and we support it with a close inspection of the critical German case, and results from an analysis of cross-national data at three levels of temporal aggregation.

These findings have important implications for national policy-makers. In particular, they suggest that enhancing the independence of the central bank may not be the economic *panacea* that many believe it to be. Independence of the bank may provide the full gains it promises only when it is combined with coordinated wage-bargaining. But, unlike central bank independence, which can be legislated relatively easily, wage coordination is difficult to secure and substantially beyond the control of government policy. A nation's capacity for wage coordination depends on the character of a variety of societal organizations, such as trade unions and employer confederations, which emerge out of a long historical process and may not be highly amenable to political engineering. Thus, many governments that enhance the

independence of their central bank may find the results somewhat disappointing.

VII. The Implications for European Monetary Union

Finally, this analysis has especially interesting implications for the monetary union that Europe is currently contemplating. EMU is to be built around a European central bank whose general structure and level of independence are modeled on the German *Bundesbank*. Many hope that, as a consequence, the new union will emulate the historic performance of the German system.

Our analysis suggests that such aspirations are unlikely to be realized, because German levels of performance have depended on levels of wage coordination that the European Union is unlikely ever to acquire. On the one hand, its leaders have yet to show any real interest in acquiring such institutions, as the halting nature of the steps toward a Social Charter indicates. On the other, even if they did so, such institutions would be difficult to secure. Wide disparities in the organization of workers and employers across the EU mean that wage bargaining could not be coordinated across the continent without large-scale reorganization; and the few efforts made by trade unions or employers to reorganize wage bargaining on a European level have been singularly unsuccessful. As a result, in order to secure low rates of inflation, a European central bank may have to resort to relatively high levels of unemployment because it will lack the effective signaling process provided by a continent-wide system of wage coordination.

More important yet, the common view that all nations will gain from European monetary union may be wrong. Our analysis suggests that the move to EMU may improve the economic performance of some nations relative to their past experience but is likely to erode the economic performance of others. The precise effects experienced by each will be determined by the effectiveness of its existing institutions relative to those it acquires by virtue of joining the monetary union.

<u>Table Six:</u> National Economic Well-Being under Different Institutional Arrangements
Assessed by the Inflation Rate, the Unemployment Rate, and Okun Misery Index, 1955-90

			Level of Central Bank Independence				
			LOW		HIGH		
		I.	MI: 12.2	II.	MI: 10.9		
Degree of Coordination	LOW		<u>π</u> p : 7.5		<u>π</u> p: 4.8		
			UE: 4.7		UE: 6.1		

in Wage Bargaining		III.	MI: 8.9	IV.	MI: 7.6	
	HIGH		p <u>π</u> : 6.2		p <u>π</u> : 4.8	
			UE: 2.3		UE: 2.8	

Notes: MI = Misery Index, $\underline{\pi}p$ = Inflation Rate (%), UE = Unemployment Rate (%). See note to Table Two for coding of CBI and CWB.

Some sense of these effects can be gleaned from Table Six, which reports the average postwar performance of nations possessing different combinations of institutions. Although realized performance under EMU will differ from these historical levels, the table does suggest how performance under the institutional conditions it provides is likely to compare relative to the performance that can be secured under the different institutional conditions found in its member states. | EMU will create an economic unit characterized by a highly independent central bank and uncoordinated wage-bargaining. That is the situation represented by quadrant II in Table Six whose figures display the average historical performance of OECD nations with that mix of institutions. Whether a nation will gain or lose over the long run from EMU, in terms of both inflation and unemployment, will depend on the quadrant of the table from which it is moving. Nations that have long had relatively dependent central banks and uncoordinated bargaining systems, such as Britain, Ireland and France (in quadrant I), may gain slightly, at least in terms of the Okun misery index, by virtue of acquiring a more independent central bank. Although they are not included in our empirical analysis, Greece, Portugal and Spain probably also fall into this category. However, if they expect to replicate Germany's historic levels of performance, even these countries may be disappointed because they are moving to quadrant II rather than to quadrant IV.

By contrast, the table suggests that virtually all other member states in the EU may experience a deterioration in economic performance as a result of the move to monetary union because they are shifting from the institutional conditions of quadrants III or IV to those of quadrant II. Ironically, one of the biggest losers from this perspective will be Germany, a prime mover behind the establishment of EMU. It has long benefited from the smooth interaction between its independent central bank and its coordinated wage-bargaining system. But this interaction will be disrupted because the Bundesbank will be replaced by a European central bank that faces a wide range of organizationally-disparate and uncoordinated wage bargaining units. It cannot be expected to respond directly to German bargainers any more than to French or Dutch bargainers lxiii. Indeed, most nations that once had a coordinated wagebargaining system will suffer because they will become part of a common currency area with a multiplicity of uncoordinated bargaining units. In the German case, Table Six suggests a relative deterioration in economic performance equivalent to the movement from an Okun score of about 7 to one that is closer to 11. Thus, the move to EMU may not be an unmitigated blessing: its effects on economic performance willshould be distributed unevenly across countries.

Aside from such cross-national effects, the establishment of EMU may also have significant distributive consequences across the different social groups inside each nation. It is well-established that changes in rates of inflation and unemployment have more adverse effects on some groups than on others. Although it is difficult to identify all such effects with precision, lower-skilled manual and clerical workers tend to suffer disproportionately from rising rates of unemployment. In this context, it is important that, even when the move to EMU improves the aggregate economic performance of a nation as measured by the Okun index, it may shift the mixture of inflation and unemployment experienced there. Even those nations in quadrant I that should gain the most from entry can expect to experience higher levels of unemployment as a result. Indeed, from an institutional perspective, there is reason to expect EMU to conduce toward rates of unemployment higher than those that most of its member nations have historically enjoyed, either because the new European central bank will be more independent than their own has been (and thus more likely to privilege inflation over unemployment) or because it will seek rates of inflation commensurate with past experience but without the efficient signaling mechanism provided by systems of coordinated wage bargaining. This suggests that those at the margins of the labor market may bear the greatest costs associated with the creation of European monetary union.

Of course, we emphasize that one must treat these inferences with caution. EMU may have other economic effects not modeled here that could offset some of the distributive consequences on which we focus; and, because the figures in Table Six are based on historical levels of performance, the actual levels of economic performance that will realize in the EU may diverge from them for a wide variety of reasons. However, thise theory and evidence provided here suggest that, even if the precise outcomes diverge from those estimated here, European monetary union should have more uneven distributive effects within and across countries than is conventionally acknowledged.

To return finally to the German case, it may be that the better guide to what we can expect from EMU is not the familiar image of *Modell Deutschland* but the experience that Germany had with unification in the years just after 1989. After all, the creation of a European monetary union is analogous in some respects to the process of German unification. High-wage and highly-skilled economies will be joined to less-developed regions under a single monetary authority. That authority will have to cope with a greater variety of economic shocks than did its national predecessors. New modalities for wage bargaining and fiscal coordination across the disparate regions of the union will have to be developed; and the various kinds of economic integration that should follow from monetary integration may generate substantial economic dislocation, as they did in Germany, albeit to a lesser degree.

In this context, the lessons that follow from the example of German unification are not altogether encouraging. The German system itself experienced severe strain as a result of unification. Two sources of strain deserve emphasis here. First, efforts to incorporate East Germany into the existing industrial relations system proved highly taxing and only partly successful. One result was high levels of industrial conflict, notably in the

spring of 1993 when employers challenged the extension of the wage-bargaining system to the East. Second, unification also provoked conflict between the federal government and the *Bundesbank*, which customarily responds not only to wage bargains, as we have emphasized here, but also to the fiscal policies of the government. When the efforts of the latter to finance unification resulted in fiscal and monetary expansion, the *Bundesbank* responded with high interest rates to encourage fiscal restraint and dampen inflationary pressures. The consequences were far from ideal for the German or European economies.

European monetary union will pose similar, if less severe, challenges. It will disrupt the processes of signaling and coordination long-established between central banks and wage bargainers in some nations, which may inspire broader changes in their industrial relations systems. It will require the development of new relationships between the European central bank and the fiscal authorities of each nation, which have already been the subject of considerable controversy. Moreover, in the context of continuing high unemployment, many member governments may seek more expansionary policies precisely when the new European central bank is seeking to establish its credibility with relatively-rigorous monetary policies. One effect is likely to be higher levels of unemployment than many proponents of European monetary union currently envisage. Another may be intensified pressure for further institution building to cope with the dilemmas of coordinating fiscal and monetary policy.

The larger point here is that the creation of a European monetary union will generate a variety of new coordination problems that will not automatically be solved by the presence of a relatively independent central bank. The principal argument of this paper is that the resolution of such problems depends on the development of a larger system of institutional arrangements. An independent central bank trying to impose its will on a reluctant government or recalcitrant workforce may be only a second-best —second-besolution solution—solution to problems that could be tackled more effectively through a broader range of institutions. In this respect, the creation of a European Monetary Union is likely to be only the first step in a more extensive process of institution—building, bearing on both the coordination of monetary and fiscal policy at the European level and the character of collective bargaining within its member states. Ixviii It is on this wider process that the success of European Monetary Union will ultimately depend.

Data Appendix

We list here summary statistics for the data and all the data necessary to replicate the postwar-average results presented in the text. Data analysis conducted in *Econometric Views* 2.0; *Stata* 5.0; and *Gauss-386i* v. 3.01. All of the data are available from [author's homepage address withheld].

COUNTRY	МІ	UE	р	СВІ	CWB	GDP	Open	Uden	Lcab
United States	10.17	5.76	4.41	0.75	0.00	9.43	0.11	0.24	0.00
Japan	6.34	1.97	4.42	0.41	0.75	8.49	0.20	0.32	0.00
Germany	6.80	3.13	3.68	0.93	0.75	8.92	0.39	0.34	0.29
France	10.79	4.16	6.63	0.43	0.25	8.91	0.29	0.18	0.17
Italy	14.19	5.576	8.62	0.37	0.25	8.72	0.30	0.34	0.18
U. K.	12.25	4.88	7.37	0.42	0.00	8.95	0.37	0.43	0.33
Canada	11.49	6.43	5.06	0.61	0.00	9.25	0.39	0.30	0.00
Austria	6.57	2.18	4.39	0.65	1.00	8.71	0.46	0.55	0.65
Belgium	9.94	5.48	4.46	0.41	0.50	8.88	0.95	0.48	0.24
Denmark	11.51	4.85	6.65	0.53	0.75	8.94	0.52	0.67	0.64
Finland	10.75	3.10	7.66	0.49	0.75	8.78	0.43	0.54	0.39
Ireland	16.10	8.10	8.00	0.46	0.00	8.38	0.79	0.51	0.09
Netherlands	9.05	4.27	4.78	0.56	0.50	8.91	0.93	0.34	0.16
Norway	8.00	2.23	5.76	0.23	1.00	8.96	0.54	0.55	0.72
Sweden	8.46	1.73	6.73	0.30	1.00	9.03	0.45	0.73	0.85
Switzerland	5.00	0.89	4.11	0.84	0.75	9.32	0.53	0.32	0.23
Australia	10.56	3.95	6.61	0.47	0.25	9.10	0.28	0.46	0.22
New Zealand	9.22	1.34	7.88	0.14	0.25	8.97	0.43	0.58	0.27
Mean	9.96	4.01	5.96	0.50	0.49	9.15	0.46	0.44	0.31
Std. Dev.	2.88	2.038	1.578	0.20	0.37	0.20	0.23	0.15	0.24
Maximum	16.5	8.46	8.62	0.93	1.00	9.51	0.95	0.73	0.83
Minimum	4.98	0.87	3.68	0.15	0.00	8.60	0.11	18.3	0.00

Notes: MI = MIsery Index; UE = Unemployment; p=Inflation; CBI = Central Bank Independence; CWB = Coordination of Wage Bargaining; GDP = Natural Log of Real GDP *per Capita*; Open = (Exports+Imports)/GDP; Uden = Fraction of Labor Force Unionized; Lcab = Fraction of Cabinet Seats Held by Left Parties. See footnotes <u>in the text for sources</u>.

References

- Al-Marhubi, Farim and Thomas D. Willett. *n.d.* The anti-inflationary influence of corporatist structures and central bank independence: The importance of the hump-shaped hypothesis. Mimeo, Claremont McKenna College.
- Alesina, Alberto. 1988. Macroeconomics and politics. NBER Macroeconomics Annual, 13-52.
- Alesina, Alberto and Vittorio Grilli. 1993. The European central bank: Reshaping monetary politics in Europe. In *The creation of a central bank* edited by Matthew Canzoneri, *et al.* New York: Cambridge UP.
- Alesina, Alberto and Lawrence Summers. 1993. Central bank independence and macroeconomic performance. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*.
- Alt, James and Kenneth Shepsle, eds. 1990. *Perspectives on Positive Political Economy*. New York: Cambridge UP.
- Alvarez, R. Michael, Geoffrey Garrett and Peter Lange. 1991. Government partisanship, labor organization and macroeconomic performance. *American Political Science Review*. 85(2): 539-556.
- Bade, Robin and Michael Parkin. 1982. Central bank laws and monetary policy. Mimeo, Department of Economics, University of Western Ontario.
- Baglioni, Guido and Colin Crouch, eds. 1990. European industrial relations: The challenge of flexibility. London: Sage.
- Barro, Robert and David Gordon. 1983. Rules, discretion and reputation in a model of monetary policy.
- Bartels, Larry M. 1991. Instrumental and "quasi-instrumental" variables. *American Journal of Political Science* 35:777-800.
- Bean, R., ed. 1989. International labour statistics: A handbook, guide, and recent trends. London: Routledge.
- Beck, Nathaniel. 1982. Presidential influence on the federal reserve in the 1970s. American Journal of Political Science. (August): 415-445.
- Beck, Nathaniel. 1991. Comparing dynamic specifications: The case of presidential approval. *Political Analysis.* 3: 51-89.
- Beck, Nathaniel, and Jonathan Katz. 1995. What to do (and not to do) with time-series-cross-section data in comparative politics. *American Political Science Review*. 89(3):634-47.
- Beck, Nathaniel, and Jonathan Katz. 1996. Nuisance *versus* substance: Specifying and estimating time-series-cross-section models. *Political Analysis*. 6:1-36.
- Beck, Nathaniel, Jonathan Katz, R. Michael Alvarez, Geoffrey Garrett, and Peter Lange. 1993. Government partisanship, labor organization, and macroeconomic performance: A corrigendum. *American Political Science Review* 87(4):945-8.
- Berghahn, Volker and Detlev Karsten. 1987. Industrial relations in West Germany. Oxford: Berg.
- Bleaney, Michael. 1996. Central Bank Independence, Wage-Bargaining Structure, and Macroeconomic Performance in OECD Countries. *Oxford Economic Papers* 48: 20-38.
- Bruno, Michael and Jeffrey Sachs. 1985. *Economics of worldwide stagflation*. Cambridge: Harvard UP.

- Calmfors, Lars. 1993. Centralization of wage bargaining and economic performance—a survey. Stockholm: *Institute for International Economic Studies Seminar Paper*, # 536.
- Calmfors, Lars and John Driffill. 1988. Centralization of wage bargaining. *Economic Policy* 6(April): 13-61.
- Cameron, David. 1984. Social democracy, corporatism, labor quiescence, and the representation of economic interest in advanced capitalist society. In *Order and conflict in contemporary capitalism*, edited by John H. Goldthorpe, 143-78. New York: Oxford UP.
- Clark, William Roberts and Sandra Lynn Lomas and Kevin L. Parker. 1995. International and domestic constraints on the political business cycles in OECD economies. Mimeo, Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Committee for the Study of Economic and Monetary Union. 1989. *Report on economic and monetary union in the European community*. Brussels: European Community.
- Crouch, Colin. 1993. Industrial relations and European state traditions. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Cukierman, Alex. 1992. Central bank strategy, credibility and independence: Theory and evidence. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- de la Dehesa, Guillermo, *et al.*, eds. 1993. *The monetary future of Europe*. London: Centre for Economic Policy Research.
- Eichengreen, Barry. 1990. One money for Europe? Lessons from the U.S. currency and customs union. *Economic Policy*. (April): 117-87.
- Eichengreen, Barry. 1992. Should the Maastricht treaty be saved? *Princeton Studies in International Finance*, # 74: 38 ff.
- Eichengreen, Barry. 1994. International Monetary Arrangements for the 21st Century. Washington: Brookings.
- Eichengreen, Barry. 1996. European Monetary Unification and International Monetary Cooperation. Paper prepared for the Council on Foreign Relations Study Group on Transatlantic Economic Relations.
- Eichengreen, Barry and Jeffry Frieden. Eds. 1997. *The Political Economy of European Integration*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Eijffinger, S.C.W. and J. De Haan, 1996, *The political economy of central bank independence*, Special Papers in International Economics #19, International Finance Section, Department of Economics, Princeton University.
- European Commission. 1990. One Market, One Money. European Economy, 44 (October).
- Ferner, Anthony and Richard Hyman, eds. 1992. *Industrial relations in the new Europe*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Flanagan, Robert J., David W. Soskice, and Lloyd Ulman. 1983. *Unionism, economic stabilization and incomes policies*. Washington: Brookings Institution.
- Franzese, Robert J., Jr. 1994. Central bank independence, sectoral interest and the wage bargain. *Harvard Center for European Studies Working Paper*, # 56.
- Franzese, Robert J., Jr. 1996. The political economy of over-commitment: A comparative study of democratic management of the Keynesian welfare state. Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University.

- Fratianni, Michele and Jürgen von Hagen and Christopher Waller. 1992. The Maastricht way to EMU. *Princeton Essays in International Finance*, (June): 187.
- Fratianni, Michele and Jürgen von Hagen. 1992. *The European monetary system and the European monetary union*, 341-92. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Frieden, Jeffry, et al. (forthcoming). Towards economic and monetary union: Problems and prospects. Oxford: Oxford UP.
- Garrett, Geoffrey and Christopher Way. 1995a. Labor market institutions and the economic consequences of central bank independence. Paper presented to the 1995 American Politics Science Association Conference, Chicago.
- Garrett, Geoffrey and Christopher Way. 1995b. The rise of the public-sector unions and the decline of corporatism. Paper presented at the 1995 Midwest Political Science Association Conference, Chicago.
- George, Michael. 1992. Euro-corporatism after 1992. Paper presented to the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association.
- Golden, Miriam. 1993. The dynamics of trade unionism and national economic performance. *American Political Science Review.* (June) 87: 439-54.
- Golden, Miriam and Michael Wallerstein. (forthcoming). Trade union organization and industrial relations in the postwar era in 12 countries. In *Change and continuity in contemporary capitalism*, edited by Herbert Kitschelt, *et al.*
- Goodhart, Charles A. E. 1995. The political economy of monetary union. In *Understanding interdependence*, edited by Peter B. Kenen, 448-506. Princeton: Princeton UP.
- Goodman, John. 1992. Monetary sovereignty: The politics of central banking in Western Europe. Ithaca: Cornell UP.
- Grilli, Vittorio and Donato Masciandaro and Guido Tabellini. 1991. Political and monetary institutions and public finance policies in the industrial countries. *Economic Policy* 1991: 341-92
- Gros, Daniel and Neils Thygesen. 1992. European monetary integration. New York: St. Martin's.
- Gros, Daniel. 1996. *Towards economic and monetary union: Problems and prospects*. Brussels: Center for European Policy Studies, esp. p. 26.
- Hall, Peter A. 1994. Central bank independence and coordinated wage bargaining: Their interaction in Germany and Europe. *German Politics and Society*. (Autumn): 1-23.
- Hall, Peter A. 1986. Governing the Economy. New York: Oxford UP.
- Havrilesky, Thomas and James Granato. 1993. Determinants of inflationary performance: Corporatist structures vs. central bank autonomy. *Public Choice* 76:249-61.
- Hibbs, Douglas. 1977. Political parties and macroeconomic policy. *American Political Science Review* 71:1467-87.
- Hirsch, Fred and John Goldthorpe, eds. 1978. *The political economy of inflation*. London: Martin Robertson.
- Iversen, Torben. 1994. Wage bargaining, monetary regimes and economic performance in organized market economies: Theory and evidence. *Harvard Center for European Studies Working Paper*, # 59.

- Iversen, Torben. 1996. The real effects of money. Mimeo, Harvard University.
- Katzenstein, Peter. 1987. Policy and politics in West Germany. Philadelphia: Temple UP, ch. 3.
- Kenen, Peter B. 1995. *Economic and monetary union in Europe*. New York: Cambridge UP, ch 4.
- Krugman, Paul. 1989. Exchange-rate instability. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Kydland, Finn and Edward Prescott. 1977. Rules rather than discretion: The inconsistency of optimal plans. *Journal of Political Economy*. (June): 473-86.
- Lange, Peter and Geoffrey Garrett. 1985. The politics of growth: Strategic interaction and economic performance in the advanced industrial democracies, 1974-1980. *Journal of Politics* 47: 792-827.
- Lange, Peter. 1993. Maastricht and the social protocol: Why did they do it? *Politics and Society*. 21(1): 5-36.
- Lane, Jan-Eric, David McKay and Kenneth Newton. 1991. *Political Data Handbook: OECD countries*. New York: Oxford UP.
- Layard, Richard and Stephen Nickell and Richard Jackman. 1991. *Unemployment: Macroeconomic performance and the labor market*. Oxford: Oxford UP.
- Levy, Jonah. 1993. Tocqueville's revenge: Dilemmas of institutional reform on postwar France. Doctoral Dissertation, MIT.
- Leibfried, Stephan and Paul Pierson, eds. 1995. *European social policy : Between fragmentation and integration*. Washington: Brookings Institution.
- Lindberg, Leon and Charles Maier, eds. 1985. *The politics of inflation and economic stagnation*. Washington: Brookings.
- Locke, Richard M. and Wade Jacoby. 1995. The dilemmas of diffusion: Institutional transfer and the remaking of vocational training practices in Eastern Germany. Mimeo.
- Lohmann, Susanne. 1992. Optimal commitment in monetary policy. *American Economic Review*, 273-86.
- Lohmann, Susanne. 1994. Federalism and central bank autonomy: The politics of German monetary policy, 1957-1992. Mimeo, University of California at Los Angeles.
- Markovits, Andrei S. 1986. *The politics of the West German trade unions*. New York: Cambridge UP.
- Mayer, Thomas, ed. 1990. *The political economy of American monetary policy*. New York: Cambridge UP.
- Milgrom, Paul and John Roberts. 1992. *Economics, Organization and Management*. New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Nordhaus, William. 1975. The political business cycle. Review of Economic Studies 42: 169-90.
- Olson, Mancur. 1965. The logic of collective action: Public goods and the theory of groups. Cambridge: Harvard UP.
- Olson, Mancur. 1982. The rise and decline of nations: Economic growth, stagflation, and social rigidities. New Haven: Yale UP.
- Persson, Torsten and Guido Tabellini, eds. 1994. *Monetary and Fiscal Policy*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

- Posen, Adam. 1995a. Central bank independence and disinflationary credibility: A missing link? Brookings Papers on Economic Activity.
- Posen, Adam. 1995b. Is central bank independence (and low inflation) the result of financial opposition to inflation? *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*.
- Regini, Marino. 1984. The conditions for political exchange: How concertation emerged and collapsed in Italy and Great Britain. In Goldthorpe, ed., *Order and conflict in contemporary capitalism*. New York: Oxford UP.
- Rogoff, Kenneth. 1985. The optimal degree of commitment to an intermediate monetary target. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 11: 1169-90.
- Scharpf, Fritz. 1988. Game theoretical interpretations of inflation and unemployment in Western Europe. *Journal of Public Policy*. 7(1): 227-57.
- Scharpf, Fritz. 1991. Crisis and choice in European social democracy. Ithaca: Cornell UP.
- Silvia, Stephen J. 1994. A house divided: German employers' associations after unification. Paper presented to the Industrial Relations Research Seminar, MIT.
- Smith, Mark. 1995. Time-serial designs and cross-sectional designs: Uncovering the structural logic of pooled analyses. Paper presented at the 1995 American Political Science Association conference, Chicago.
- Soskice, David. 1990. Wage determination: The changing role of institutions in advanced industrialized countries. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 6 (4): 36-61.
- Soskice, David. 1991. The institutional infrastructure for international competitiveness: A comparative analysis of the UK and Germany. In *The economics of the New Europe*, edited by A. B. Atkinson and R. Brunetta. London: Macmillan.
- Soskice, David 1997. The Future Political Economy of EMU: Rethinking the Effects of Monetary Integration on Europe. *Wissenschaftszentrum* Berlin, Discussion Paper.
- Streeck, Wolfgang. 1984a. Industrial relations in West Germany. London: Heinemann.
- Streeck, Wolfgang. 1984b. Pay restraint without incomes policy: Institutionalized monetarism and industrial unionism in Germany. In *The return of incomes policy*, edited by Ronald Dore, Robert Boyer and Zoe Marn, 118-140. London: Pinter.
- Streeck, Wolfgang. 1995. From market-making to state-building" Reflections on the political economy of European social policy. In *European Social Policy*, edited by Stephan Leibfried and Paul Pierson, 389-431. Washington: Brookings Institution.
- Streeck, Wolfgang and Philippe Schmitter. 1991. From national corporatism to transnational pluralism. *Politics and Society*. (June): 133-64.
- Swank, Duane. 1989. Partisan policy: Political parties, economic interest representation, and fiscal policies in the capitalist democracies, 1970-85. Mimeo, Marquette University.
- Swenson, Peter. 1989. Fair shares. Ithaca: Cornell UP.
- Thelen, Kathleen. 1991. Union of parts: Labor politics in postwar Germany. Ithaca: Cornell UP.
- Thelen, Kathleen. 1992. Union structure and strategic choice: The politics of flexibility in the German metalworking industries. In *Union politics in comparative perspective*, edited by Miriam Golden and Jonas Pontusson. Ithaca: Cornell UP.
- Thelen, Kathleen. 1994. Beyond corporatism: Toward a new framework for the study of labor in advanced capitalism. *Comparative Politics* 27:107-24.

- Tsebelis, George. 1990. Nested games. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Traxler, F. 1994. Collective bargaining: Levels and coverage. *OECD Employment Outlook*, July:167-94.
- Visser, Jelle. 1992. Trade union membership data base. Department of Sociology, University of Amsterdam. (as cited in Golden and Wallerstein, forthcoming)
- Walsh, Carl E. 1995. Central bank independence and the short-run output-inflation trade-off in the European Community. In *Monetary and fiscal policy in an integrated Europe*, edited by Barry Eichengreen, Jeffrey Frieden and Jürgen von Hagen. Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Webber, Douglas. 1994. The end of solidarity: The German solidarity pact. *West European Politics*.
- Woldendorp, J., H. Keman, and I. Budge. 1994. Party government in 20 Democracies. *European Journal of Political Research* 24(1): Special Issue.
- Wood, Adrian. 1994. North-south trade, employment and inequality. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Wooley, John. 1984. Monetary politics: The federal reserve and the politics of monetary policy. New York: Cambridge UP.

NOTES:

 See, e.g., European Commission 1990. For more general discussion of advantages and disadvantages, see: Eichengreen 1992; Eichengreen and Frieden 1997; and Goodhart 1995.

- ii. Indeed, some European nations are enhancing the independence of their central banks because the Maastricht Treaty requires such a step in the second stage of movement toward EMU. See: Eichengreen 1990, 117-87; de la DeHesa et al. 1993; Gros and Thygesen 1992; Goodhart 1995, 448-506; Fratianni, et al. 1992; and Fratianni and von Hagen 1992, 187-88.
- iii. The Financial Times 12 November 1992, 20.
- iv. Many nations, such as Italy, Belgium, France, New Zealand and Sweden, have recently made their central banks more independent and many others are considering similar moves.
- v. Here we consider only those aspects of economic performance likely to be affected by thecentral bank independence of the proposed European Central Bank. For a more general discussion of other factors bearing on the performance of EMU, see Eichengreen 1992, 1994.
- vi. Some of the basic literature is collected in: Persson and Tabellini, eds., 1994; for the most extensive treatment, see: Cukierman 1992.
- vii. Fratianni et al. 1992; Alesina and Grilli 1993.
- viii. Among the best of these is Alesina and Summers 1993. See also Grilli, et al. 1991 and Cukierman 1992, and Eijffinger and De Haan 1996 for a review.
- ix. Although some of these postulates may be contentious, we do not take issue with them here as our own arguments hold under a variety of economic assumptions including those of the standard neoclassical framework.

Cf. Posen 1995a and 1995b, however.

- x. See Cukierman 1992 on this argument and a variety of others that go somewhat beyond the present discussion.
- xi. See: Nordhaus 1975; Beck 1982; Alesina 1988; and, most recently, Clark, Lomas, and Parker 1995.
- xii. The classic source is Rogoff 1985, which builds on Barro and Gordon 1983 and Kydland and Prescott 1977. See also Lohmann 1992 and Cukierman 1992.
- xiii. The general presumptions are that, the more independent the central bank, the more restrictive monetary policy is likely to be and the more credible its commitment to a given policy announcement is likely to be.

- xiv. Eichengreen 1996.
- xv. Since prices can be defined as a mark-up on wages, any wage bargaining is by definition also bargaining over the price and profit rate as well. Henceforth, whenever we say "wage bargaining" we mean wage cum price bargaining. For simplicity, we will not continue to carry around both terms, but it is important to remember that it is restraint in wage cum price bargaining that is essential and that coordination of such bargaining can come as easily (or perhaps more easily) from the employer as from the labor side.
- xvi. For two of the most complete recent treatments, see Layard, et al. 1991, esp. ch. 2, and Calmfors 1993.
- xvii. See, e.g., Milgrom and Roberts 1992 and Alt and Shepsle 1990.
- xviii. See also Hall 1994. Franzese 1994 and 1996 adds variation in the sectoral (structural) position of the actors to this sort of analysis. Cf. Iversen 1994 and 1996, which adds consideration of wage disparity and wage-equalization goals on the part of labor within a somewhat different framework.
- xix. The classic early references are Bruno and Sachs 1984 and Cameron 1984; See also: Calmfors 1993; Calmfors and Driffill 1988; Lange and Garrett 1985; Layard et al. 1991; and Soskice 1990;. The other variable most often cited as important in this literature is the partisan composition of the government, which we also include in our regression models but, strictly speaking, it is not an institutional feature of the political economy.
- xx. See: Scharpf 1988 and 1991; Thelen 1991; and Tsebelis 1990.
- xxi. Thus wage bargaining can be coordinated in Japan, where the unions are company-based, because bargaining is concentrated into a single "spring offensive" and employers can utilize their dense network of business associations to coordinate the negotiations. See: Soskice 1990; Swenson 1989; and Thelen 1994.
- xxii. Golden 1993; Iversen 1994.
- xxiii. This parallels the arguments in Olson 1965; and 1982.
- xxiv. We use the term 'conservatism effects' to refer to the tendency of banks that are more independent to be less tolerant of higher levels of inflation and the term 'credibility effects' to refer to the effects that follow from the greater credibility of a more independent central bank's commitment to announced policy targets, as outlined above.
- xxv. Lohmann 1994.
- xxvi. Alesina and Grilli 1993; Eichengreen 1992, 38 ff.
- xxvii. Although the focus of this analysis is on the organization of the political economy, other factors may have contributed to Germany's good inflation record, including the strong growth of the economy and a more general cultural aversion to inflation born of the experience of hyperinflation in the 1920s. We are inclined to see the latter as a minor

- contributor to the outcome, but others accord it a more prominent role. See Hirsch and Goldthorpe, eds., 1978; and Lindberg and Maier, eds., 1985.
- xxviii. For analyses that explore the German case more fully than we can here, see: Soskice 1990; Scharpf 1991; Streeck 1984a and 1984b. See also: Hall 1986, ch. 9 for an early formulation of similar arguments.
- xxix. Two smaller union confederations, the DAG and DBB, are not in a position to have much influence on the overall outcomes, particularly the former which is very small, while the DBB represents civil servants whose pay is set by legislation, but cf. Franzese 1994, 1996, and Garrett and Way 1995b on public-sector workers and wage bargaining.
- xxx. On the importance of works councils in the overall system, see Thelen 1992; and Streeck 1984. More generally, see Markovits 1986; Katzenstein 1987, ch. 3; and Berghahn and Karsten 1987.
- xxxi. The notable exception occurred in 1974 when, ÖTV, the public-sector union, took the lead in the negotiating round with less-than-ideal results. For a description of the events, see Goodman 1992, 71. See also Garrett and Way 1995b, and Franzese 1994, 1996.
- xxxii. See: Flanagan, et al. 1983, ch. 5; Markovits 1986; and Thelen 1991.
- xxxiii. See, e.g.: Streeck 1984a and 1984b; Scharpf 1988 and 1991, ch. 7; and Berghahn and Karsten 1987.
- xxxiv. See also Iversen 1996 on this point (though the rationale there provided for this observation differs to some extent from the present).
- xxxv. See also Franzese 1994 and 1996 on this point.
- xxxvi. Grilli et al. 1991, 375.
- xxxvii. For notable exceptions, see Havrilesky and Granato 1993; Bleaney 1996; and Al-Marhubi and Willett n.d. For a survey including a review of previous empirical studies, see Eijffinger and De Haan 1996.
- xxxviii. As noted above, the reasoning behind the corollary is that, by virtue of being able to make more crediblee pronouncements, a more independent central bank can place more pressure on the unions and firms in a coordinated bargaining system to exercise restraintfollow the lead settlement, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of the systemwage coordination.
- xxxix. These 18 cases represent all the major developed democracies from which Greece, Spain, and Portugal are excluded because they had undemocratic regimes for substantial portions of the period and it is difficult to compare a central bank's "independence" from authoritarian regimes to democratic regimes. Obviously, the credibility of any nominal/legal degree of central bank independence ought to be discounted when the ruling regime is authoritarian, but it is not clear by how much. Similar considerations

plague the coding of wage bargaining systems comparably across authoritarian and democratic regimes.

- xl. The five indices are those most commonly employed in the literature: LVAU, an unweighted average of several legal characteristics, and QVAU, an unweighted average of survey results for CBI, from Cukierman 1992; EC, the rating of the economic independence of the central bank, and POL, the rating for political independence from Grilli et. al. 1991; and the original index from Bade and Parkin 1982.
- xli. Soskice 1990, 55; Layard, et al. 1991, 52; Flanagan, et al. 1983; Ferner and Hyman, eds., 1992; Baglioni and Crouch, eds., 1990; Crouch 1993. Some scholars prefer an index based on union organization but this violates the important observation of Soskice 1990, Swenson 1989 and others that employers associations also contribute to wage coordination. A significant but unavoidable limitation of the data derived from Soskice 1990 and Layard et al. 1991 is that they do not vary over time.
- xlii. Alesina and Summers 1993 employ a similar approach and offer a similar defense. Close inspection of such time-sensitive indices of central bank independence and trade-union characteristics as do exist suggests that these variables did not shift substantially over time and not enough to affect country rankings in the 1955-1990 period. The recent widespread movement toward more independent central banks came after- our sample ends in 1990. For example, and our postwar averages capture 96.6% of the country-decade variance in Cukierman's (1992) LVAU index (the only time-variant index available) is solely crosssectional (cross-country). Since time-variant measures of wage-/price-bargaining coordination do not exist, we can look only at rougherude proxies such as Golden and Wallerstein's (forthcoming) annual-level data for union confederation involvement in wage bargaining in six high-coordination countries. Onlyur data miss the 33% of the variation in this indextheirs that is unique to country-year., which may introduce some measurement error and depress the significance measures for our coefficients, but v_Variation in the effective coordination of wage bargaining over this period is likely to be lower than variation in union-confederal involvement and far lower in low-coordination countries than in these six. Thus, 33% might serve as a very generous estimate of the upper bound on the share of total variation of coordination in wage-/price-bargaining that is country-time unique.
- xliii. Time-variant indices for the coordination of wage bargaining do not exist; central bank independence has been measured by 'decade' (Cukierman's LVAU is measured 1950-59, 1960-72, 1973-79 and 1980-89); all the rest of our data can be measured annually. Although none of these levels of analysis is unambiguously dominant on statistical grounds, we view the decade-level analysis as the best compromise over degrees-of-freedom, data-limitations, and match-of-theory-to-empirical-specification considerations. We nonetheless report the results of all three levels of analysis for comparison.
- xliv. Economic openness is measured by exports plus imports as a percentage of gross domestic product; terms of trade are measured as the export-price index divided by the import-price index (data from the IMF International Financial Statistics, CD-ROM 6/96). The representation of the left in the cabinet is based on data from Lane, et al. 1991 and Woldendorp, et al. 1994 and classification of and uses the classification of left parties as inemployed by Swank 1989 in his data base of government partisanship. Per capita GDP is from the Penn World Tables Mach Vversion 5.6. Unemployment and inflation are the internationally comparable figures compiled from OECD sources by Layard, et al. 1991.

35

Union density figures are from Golden et al. 1995, who worked from Visser 1992, here supplemented by Lane et al. 1991, Bean 1989, and Traxler 1994.

- xIv. Since the first period is essentially the first postwar period, we feel comfortable assuming that the residual from the previous decade does not have much lingering effect on the 1955-9 outcome. Accordingly, we begin the AR(1) process allowing the 1955-9 residual to affect the 1960-72 outcome but being unaffected itself. This intuitively-sensible procedure increases the sample size by 25% since it renders the data from 1955-9 usable. When a more orthodox Prais-Winston AR(1) process is estimated, the results are virtually identical. Both procedures have the limitation of assuming a constant serial-correlation parameter which cannot be fully expected here because the adjacent data are not averages of the same number of years. We have estimated decade-specific AR(1) parameters by Monte Carlo simulation using the results from the annual data as estimates of the year-on-year correlation, but this makes little difference to our estimates and no difference to our substantive conclusions so we opt for the simpler and more familiar procedure.
- xlvi. Our expectation is that domestic unemployment benefits (suffers) from positive (negative) terms-of-trade shocks to the degree the economy is open to foreign trade. The interaction is an efficient and substantively meaningful wayThis also allows us to control for oil boons in Norway and the UK, for example. We do not control for the terms of trade in the inflation equations because this is tantamount to putting the dependent variable on the right-hand side: domestic inflation relative to foreign inflation practically defines terms-of-trade movements.
- xlvii. Following standard practice to ease endogeneity-endogenity concerns, each of the independent variables (except the CBI and CWB indices) are measured in the year prior to the 'decade' start. WLS is needed because the data for the dependent variables are averages over a different number of years (to accommodate the periodization of Cukierman's Cukerman's LVAU index) and so should exhibit heteroskedasticity that is inversely proportional to the number of years in each decade. The weights are the square roots of the number of years in each 'decade'. White's matrix is then appliedalso used because the weights may not account for all the heteroskedasticity, although this does not substantively affect the results.
- xlviii. See Smith 1995 on the interpretation of annual dummies asuse of a pooleding cross-sections—in this kind of case, Beck 1991 on "pseudo-error-correction" and dynamic-model specification, and Beck and Katz 1995 and 1996 on PCSEs. Specifically, the "pseudo-error-correction" model here simply regresses (OLS) the change in the dependent variable on the lagged change and the lagged level of the dependent variable (this being found to be the dynamics), changes in the independent variables (with the exception of the institutional variables which do not change) and the first lag of the independent variables.
- xlix. Note that these are interactive models so that the estimated effect of a unit increase in CWB or in CBI is not given by the coefficient on that variable alone but by bcwb+bccCBI and bcbi+bccCWB respectively. The estimated standard errors of these effects, in turn, depend on: (a) the estimated variance of bcwb (or bcbi), (b) the estimated variance of bcc, (c) the estimated covariance of bcwb (or bcbi) with bcc, and (d) the level of CBI (or CWB) at which the effect and its standard error are being evaluated. Accordingly, a test that the effect of CBI on inflation is negative, say, cannot be read from the usual report of coefficient standard errors and t-statistics but must be calculated over the range of the other variable. In this case, the effects of CBI and CWB on inflation are negative at statistically significant

levels (p=.01) over the entire or nearly the entire sample range of the other variable in all three <u>inflation sets of equations</u>. The effects of CBI <u>and (CWB)</u> on unemployment are positive <u>and (negative)</u>, <u>respectively</u>, at even better levels over even more of the sample range of the other variable in all three <u>unemployment equations</u>.

- A few notes about pseudo-error-correction models are in order. Mathematically, the models estimated here are equivalent to lagged-dependent-variable (partial-adjustment) models with two lagged levels of the dependent variable and the current and lagged levels of the independent variables except for real GDP per capita which enters only at a lag (to sidestep some of the endogeneity issues) and except for CBI and CWB which are not effectively time-variant and so enter only in levels (CBI with a one-year lag just for consistency with the rest of the variables). Since the dependent variable in these equations is the change in inflation or unemployment, it is to be expected that R2 is lower than in the "decade" and postwar-average models which are in levels or, for that matter, than R2 would be in the mathematically equivalent partial-adjustment model in annual levels. Finally, the estimated long-run effects in pseudo-error-correction models are given by (bo/|bl|) where bo is the coefficient on the lagged level of the variable in question and |bl| is the absolute value of the coefficient on the lagged level of the dependent-variable. The estimated standard errors of these estimated long-run effects are then calculated as indicated in Greene 1997, 360-3. Details of the estimated short-run dynamics are available upon request.
- li. Deleting all insignificant variables from the equations (or all those with |t|<1 so as to minimize the standard error of the regression), as is commonly done, does not change any of our conclusions; this sort of step-unwise regression tends to overstate confidence levels so we have avoided it.
- We subjected these statistical estimations to a large number of sensitivity and robustness tests, which included (i) searching for 'influential points' (ii) consideration of alternative measures, and (iii) alternative estimation techniques. Potential outliers were sought using DFbetas, Cook's D, procedures and leveraged-to-squared—residual plots. "Robust" and estimators which sequentially eliminated such outliers produce little substantive difference without substantive effects on the findings in our core findingsthat bear on our hypotheses. Substituting Cukierman's LVAU for our averaged index of CBlindependence or Soskice's EWC and wage-pushfulness indices for our CWB index of wage coordination produced similar findings, as did a variety of alternative estimation techniques (details available from the authors). In general, the results reported in Table 4 appear highly robust with the possible exception of those regarding the ancillary hypothesis six, the more marginal statistical support for which is also evident from the table.
- liii The results of the sort of calculation suggested in note 49 show that the impact of CBI on unemployment is negative at a statistically-significant level (p=.01) for 89% of the range of CWB in the decade data, 88% in the postwar average data, and 85% in the annual data.
- liv. By Pareto improvement we mean simply that both unemployment and inflation fall (or that one falls while the other remains unchanged). This is a simpler and less precise concept that the one normally used in microeconomics, but it has intuitive appeal in this context.
- lv. See, e.g.: Alvareze.g.: Alvarez, et al. 1991; Beck et al. 1993; and Soskice 1991.

- lvi. Conversely, our arguments and evidence also suggest that coordinated wage bargaining may work better when it is combined with an independent central bank.
- lvii. See Levy 1993 and Regini 1984.
- Iviii. Lange 1993; Leibfried and Pierson 1995; Streeck and Schmitter 1991; and Streeck 1995.
- lix. See Streeck and Schmitter 1991 and George 1992.
- lx. This conclusion is reinforced by the finding that economies with more independent central banks tend to have higher sacrifice ratios. See, e.g., Walsh 1995.
- lxi. Cf. Committee for the Study of Economic and Monetary Union, EC 1989; Gros 1996, esp. 26.
- lxii. Since we focus here on the economic effects of institutional context, ceteris paribus, this analysis ignores for the time being other effects, both positive and negative, that the move to EMU may have, such as those following from lower transaction costs or the need to adjust to asymmetrical demand and supply-side shocks. On these and other effects, see Eichengreen 1992 and Kenen 1995.
- lxiii. As Soskice 1997 points out, in the absence of a central bank that responds directly to them, the power of the German trade unions relative to employers is likely to be enhanced, which may in turn produce a variety of further effects inside the German system.
- lxiv. On this point there is a large literature. See, e.g., Hibbs 1977 and Wood 1994.
- lxv. See: Webber 1994; Silvia 1994; and Locke and Jacoby 1995.
- lxvi. At least some national governments have supported monetary union in the hope that it will allow them to implement more expansionary policies than were possible under a European Monetary System dominated by the Bundesbank, while others insist on greater fiscal and monetary strictness. See: Fratianni and Von Hagen 1992, chs. 8 and 9; Gros 1996, 88 ff.; Frieden et al. forthcoming; and Eichengreen 1992.
- lxvii. The case of the United States in the early 1980s, when the government ran high deficits while the Federal Reserve Bank pursued a tight monetary policy, suggests that significant employment effects, lasting up to ten years, can follow from this combination. See Krugman 1990, and on the political economy of American monetary policy more generally Mayer 1990 and Wooley 1984, and on potential fiscal/monetary conflict under EMU, Kenen 1995, ch. 4 and Gros and Thyugesen 1992, ch. 8.
- In the U.S. case, specifically, Reagan provided high deficits while Volcker kept tight money which combination produced sharp real-exchange appreciation. That allowed foreign competitors the "beach-head" which, even after the real exchange eventually returned, is not relinquished. See Krugman 1990 on this dynamic. The upshot for the labor market is

higher unemployment, at least until long-run structural adjustment can redeploy all the displaced workers (just under ten years going by the broad pattern of US unemployment over this period). More generally, on the political economy of US monetary policy, see, e.g., Mayer 1990 and Wooley 1984, on the potential fiscal/monetary struggle under EMU, see Kenen 1995, ch. 4 and Gros and Thygesen 1992, ch.8.

Ixviii. See Soskice 1997.