

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Wolff, Guntram B.

Working Paper Measuring tax burdens in Europe

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 09-2005

Provided in Cooperation with: ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Wolff, Guntram B. (2005) : Measuring tax burdens in Europe, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 09-2005, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39644

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Guntram B. Wolff

Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe

Norkinc B 09 2005

Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe

Guntram B. Wolff*

Frankfurt, October 21, 2005

Abstract

This paper calculates effective macro-economic tax rates for the 25 EU countries following the methodology developed in Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994). The available Eurostat data allow to compute the tax wedge on consumption, labor and capital. We show that effective tax rates in the 10 new member states of the EU are on average 10 percentage points lower on labor, and 5 percentage points lower on capital and consumption. There is no tendency of convergence in effective tax burdens on capital. The newly computed tax rates are in line with the effective tax rates of the EU Commission for EU 15. Effective tax rates on capital are only weakly connected to statutory tax rates on corporate income. As they are calculated from macroeconomic data they provide only limited information on the actual tax burdens of individual corporations or households.

JEL: H20, E62, H24, H25 Keywords: Effective tax rates, Europe

^{*}ZEI-University of Bonn, UCIS-University of Pittsburgh; email: guntram.wolff@gmx.de; The opinions expressed in this paper are the author's personal.

1 Introduction

The appropriate measurement of tax burdens has recently attracted considerable attention of policy makers and academics alike. Different measures exist. The most prominent are (i) tax rates, (ii) tax to GDP ratios, (iii) implicit/effective tax rates on consumption, labor and capital according to Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994), and (iv) effective ex-ante tax rates according to Devereux and Griffith (2003).

Especially in the area of corporate taxation, the debate is vigorous. High tax rates are claimed to be a sign of high tax burdens, while others argue that the relevant measure is the effective tax rate on capital, while again others argue that the effective (forward-looking) average tax rates are relevant for investment decisions. According to the first and last measure, Germany is a high tax country, while the second measure shows that Germany is European average. After EU enlargement, the debate has gained additional momentum, as the 10 new member states have considerably lower tax rates. However, only few studies compare the different measures of tax burden in the enlarged EU. This is mostly due to the fact that comprehensive data for the 25 EU countries are missing.

The first two measures (tax rates and tax to GDP ratios) are widely used in cross country empirical research, e.g., Easterly and Rebelo (1993) and Kneller, Bleaney, and Gemmel (1999). The main advantage of these measures is that they are readily available and easy to compute. Tax rates, however, give no information on tax exemptions and therefore only partially reflect distortions in decision making. Empirical studies nevertheless find, that they influence investment decisions (Buettner and Ruf 2004). Tax to GDP ratios are difficult to interpret, as taxes are not levied on GDP and therefore the logical connection of the tax and the tax base, reflecting economic decision making, is absent. This measure nevertheless approximates the overall tax burden in the economy.

The basic idea of the approach by Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994) is to relate pre- and post-tax prices of goods and thereby to measure the distortion for economic decision making. Since pre-tax prices are not observable, the tax wedge has to be approximated by using spending data (i.e. prices times quantities p * q). In this approach, the tax payment is set in relation to the gross expenditure less the tax payment. For example, the tax wedge on tobacco products would be measured as aggregate tax revenue from tobacco taxes in relation to aggregate spending on tobacco products less the tax revenue. Devereux and Griffith (2003) further extend the concept of effective average tax rates for discrete, forward-looking, and mutually exclusive location choices of multinationals. These choices are shown to depend on effective average tax rates, which can be measured as a weighted average of the effective marginal tax rate and an adjusted statutory tax rate. The main advantage of this measure is that it precisely captures the distortion of the location decision resulting from taxes. It is forward looking by comparing the post- and pre-tax present value of an investment product. The computation of this measure is rather complex. Besides detailed information on tax rates levied on different investment assets and sources of finance, further information on tax exemption in the home and host country have to be gathered. In addition, one has to make an estimate of future changes of tax systems. This represents the main strength and at the same time the main weakness of the measure. It includes a lot of information, which makes it difficult to compute. In fact, this measure is not publicly available for all EU 25 countries.¹

The present paper contributes to the literature by computing effective tax rates on capital, labor and consumption for the EU 25 countries following the methodology of Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994). These measures have not been calculated previously for the 25 EU countries based on one consistent data set.² We then compare the newly calculated measure with the commissions' effective tax rates for the jointly available 15 EU countries and show that the measures are similar. The new measure is also compared to other measures of tax burden.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: The next section discusses the concept of effective tax rates. Section 3 discusses the computation of effective tax rates with Eurostat data and presents the tax rates and some summary information. Section 4 compares the newly computed data to other available tax measures. The last section concludes.

¹Jacobs, Spengel, Finkenzeller, and Roche (2004) present some of these data for the 10 new member states and Germany, Devereux, Griffith, and Klemm (2002) present data for most of the EU 15 countries. The definitions of the two measures differ however, so that they are not comparable.

 $^{^{2}}$ European Commission - DG Taxation and Customs Union (2004) present effective tax rates for the old EU countries based on a slightly different definition, however not for the 10 new member states.

2 Effective Tax Rates in Macroeconomics

The measurement of tax burdens at the macroeconomic level faces several problems. The complexity and variety of tax deductions and exemptions make it difficult to conclude from tax rates to actual tax burdens. Most aggregate revenue data do not themselves correspond to the theoretical concepts of tax burdens on consumption, labor and capital. Different taxes refer to the same tax base, e.g., social security payments and income taxes affect labor income. Finally, tax payments can be shifted inter-temporally, possibly distorting the actual tax burden.

In a seminal paper, Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994) develop a method to compute effective ex-post macroeconomic tax rates with national account data. Their method yields estimates of effective tax rates on factor incomes and consumption consistent with the tax distortions faced by a representative agent. The method has subsequently been applied in several publications, e.g., Mendoza, Milesi-Ferretti, and Asea (1997) and Mendoza and Tesar (2005).

In particular, Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994) (MRT) assume that the economy consists of three goods, labor, capital and a consumption good, for which preand post-tax prices exist. The ad valorem tax rate is the difference in the post- and pre-tax price of the good, normalized by the pre-tax price of the good,

$$\tau_i = \frac{p_i - q_i}{q_i}.\tag{1}$$

Since data on these prices are not directly available, the ad valorem tax rate can be approximated by the product of prices and quantities, using revenue statistics and data on the tax bases

$$\tau_i = \frac{p_i x_i - q_i x_i}{q_i x_i}.$$
(2)

The tax wedge on consumption can be calculated as:

$$\tau_c = \frac{T_c}{C + G - GW - T_c} \quad , \tag{3}$$

where T_c is the payment of taxes on goods and services and excise taxes, as given by national revenue statistics. C + G is private and government final consumption expenditure, GW is the compensation of government employees.³ Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994) provide the corresponding keys to the variables in the OECD statistics.

 $^{{}^{3}}GW$ needs to be deducted, as the government sector only pays indirect taxes on the purchase of goods and nonfactor services.

The tax wedge on labor income is calculated with one intermediate step. It is assumed that all household income is taxed with the same rate. This household's average tax rate is computed as

$$\tau_h = \frac{T_h}{W + OSP} \quad , \tag{4}$$

where T_h is the tax payment by households on income, profits, and capital gains, W are wages and salaries, OSP are operating surpluses of private unincorporated enterprises and entrepreneurial income. This average tax rate of households is used in the computation of the effective labor tax rate:

$$\tau_l = \frac{\tau_h W + SSC^t + T_{pw}}{W + SSC^e} \quad , \tag{5}$$

where SSC^t are total social security contributions, SSC^e are employer's contribution to social security and T_{pw} are taxes on payroll and workforce.

The tax wedge on capital income is defined by

$$\tau_k = \frac{\tau_h OSP + T^{corp} + T_{improp}}{OS} \quad , \tag{6}$$

where T^{corp} are taxes on income, profits, and capital gains of corporations, T_{improp} are taxes on immovable property and taxes on financial and capital transactions.

Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar (1994) employ OECD data and codes to compute these tax wedges. Since the OECD does not provide data for all EU countries, in the next section we approximate the OECD data with data provided by Eurostat.

3 Effective Tax Rates in the EU 25

3.1 Calculation of effective tax rates

To compute the effective average tax rate on consumption, we divide value added type taxes by private and government final consumption expenditure corrected for the payment of value added type taxes. More precisely, the effective tax rate on consumption is calculated as⁴:

$$\tau_c = \frac{D211 + D212 + D214 + D29}{P31_S14 + P3_S13 - D1_S13 - (D211 + D212 + D214 + D29)}$$
(7)

The thus calculated effective tax rates on consumption are given in the following Table 1. It can be debated whether "other taxes on production (d29)" should

 $^{^{4}}$ The codes for the variables are detailed in Table 6 in the appendix.

be included in the calculation of this effective tax rate. We include these taxes, since they contribute to the price wedge between producer and consumer prices. Alternatively, some of these taxes could be classified as lump-sum taxes on capital. However, since we aim to calculate the tax wedge on capital **income**, we add d29 to the indirect taxes.⁵

To compute the effective tax rates on capital and labor income, we first have to compute an intermediate tax rate: the household's average tax rate.

$$\tau_h = \frac{D51A + D51C1}{PITB} \tag{8}$$

where the personal income tax base PITB (pre-tax household income) is calculated as the total compensation of employees and the net operating surplus in the economy less actual social security contributions and taxes on income, profits, and capital gains of corporations.

$$PITB = D1 + B2n - D611 - D51B - D51C2 - D29$$
(9)

The resulting tax rate is given in Table 2.

The effective average tax rate on labor income can then be calculated as:

$$\tau_l = \frac{\tau_h D 11 + D 6 11 + D 29 C}{D 11 + D 6 111} \tag{10}$$

The labor income tax rate is the ratio of the average tax rate applied to wages and salaries plus the actual social contributions divided by the tax base, which are the wages and salaries plus the employers' social contribution. In this definition, we also include taxes on the total wage bill and payroll taxes.⁶

⁵Omitting d29 altogether gives significantly lower effective tax rates. The country ordering changes only little with a Spearman rank correlation coefficient of around 0.9 in most years.

⁶This last category could be omitted, since these taxes are not directly linked to the individual labor tax wedge. This does not change the results significantly.

		UK	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22\\ 0.22\\ 0.22\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.22\\ 0.23\\ 0.22\\$
ΙE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31\\ 0.32\\ 0.33\\ 0.33\\ 0.34\\ 0.31\\ 0.33\\ 0.31\end{array}$	SK	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.35\\ 0.31\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.24\\ 0.24\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\end{array}$
HU	$\begin{array}{c} 0.38\\ 0.35\\ 0.35\\ 0.35\\ 0.37\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\end{array}$	SI	$\begin{array}{c} 0.30\\ 0.35\\ 0.34\\ 0.38\\ 0.38\end{array}$
GR	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21\\ 0.23\\ 0.24\\ 0.26\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\end{array}$	SE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.38\\ 0.37\\ 0.37\\ 0.38\\ 0.39\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\\ 0.42\end{array}$
FR	$\begin{array}{c} 0.29\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.31\\ 0.32\\ 0.33\\ 0.33\\ 0.33\\ 0.33\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\end{array}$	RO	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18\\ 0.19\end{array}$
FI	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31\\ 0.34\\ 0.34\\ 0.34\\ 0.33\\ 0.33\\ 0.33\\ 0.33\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ \end{array}$	ΡT	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26\\ 0.26\\ 0.26\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.30\\ 0.31\end{array}$
ES	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18\\ 0.18\\ 0.19\\ 0.21\\ 0.22\\ 0.22\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\end{array}$	ΡL	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31\\ 0.30\\ 0.29\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.26\\ 0.26\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\end{array}$
EE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.24\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.26\end{array}$	NO	$\begin{array}{c} 0.43\\ 0.44\\ 0.45\\ 0.45\\ 0.43\\ 0.43\\ 0.42\\ 0.42\\ 0.37\\ 0.35\\ 0.35\end{array}$
DK	$\begin{array}{c} 0.42 \\ 0.43 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.46 \\ \end{array}$	NL	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21\\ 0.22\\ 0.23\\ 0.24\\ 0.24\\ 0.26\\ 0.25\end{array}$
DE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.22\\ 0.22\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\\ \end{array}$	ΜT	$\begin{array}{c} 0.23 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.27 \end{array}$
CZ	$\begin{array}{c} 0.24 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.21 \end{array}$	LV	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22\\ 0.19\\ 0.20\\ 0.21\\ 0.19\\ 0.18\\ 0.18\\ 0.18\\ 0.18\end{array}$
CY	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20\\ 0.23\\ 0.24\\ 0.25\\ 0.33\end{array}$	ΓΩ	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.31\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.33\\ 0.38\\ 0.38\\ 0.38\\ 0.36\\ 0.38\\ 0.36\\ 0.38\end{array}$
BE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22\\ 0.23\\ 0.24\\ 0.25\\ 0.26\\ 0.26\\ 0.26\\ 0.26\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\end{array}$	LI	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19\\ 0.18\\ 0.25\\ 0.24\\ 0.23\\ 0.20\\ 0.20\\ 0.21\\ 0.21\end{array}$
AT	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.32\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ 0.31\\ \end{array}$	LI	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21\\ 0.20\\ 0.22\\ 0.22\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.23\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.28\\ 0.27\\ 0.28\\ 0.27\\ 0.27\\ 0.27\end{array}$
	$\begin{array}{c} 1990\\ 1992\\ 1992\\ 1995\\ 1995\\ 1996\\ 1996\\ 1998\\ 1998\\ 1998\\ 1999\\ 2001\\ 2001\\ 2001\\ 2003\\ 2003\\ 2003\\ 2004\\ 2003\\ 2004\\ 2003\\ 2004\\ 2003\\$		$\begin{array}{c} 1990\\ 1991\\ 1992\\ 1993\\ 1995\\ 1995\\ 1997\\ 1998\\ 1999\\ 1999\\ 1999\\ 2000\\ 2001\\ 2003\\$

Source: Author's calculation, Eurostat data, * indicates that data are taken from Equation 12.

			r ca	~		• •	10	an		10			010	~80				, ,	ac	00.	- GI	8	,	· -	-9	100	10.	(
E					0.15	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.10		UK				0.19^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.16	
ΗU															SK				0.06^{*}	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	
GR					0.06	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08		SI				0.15	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	
FR			0.13^{*}	0.14^{*}	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.16			SE				0.30	0.30	0.29	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.33	0.31	0.32	
FI					0.27	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.25		PT						0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11						
ES					0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12		ΡL															
ΕE					0.16	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.12		ON						0.20	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.19	
DK					0.44^{*}	0.44^{*}	0.44^{*}	0.43^{*}	0.45^{*}	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.41		NL						0.14	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13		
DE			0.18^{*}	0.18^{*}	0.18^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.18^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.20^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.18^{*}	0.18^{*}		MT															
CZ					0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09		LV						0.12	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	
CY								0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07			ΓΩ															
BE			0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.25		LT						0.13	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.13	
AT			0.18	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.19		LI				0.22^{*}	0.20^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.20^{*}	0.21^{*}	0.22^{*}	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	
year	$1990 \\ 1991$	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

Table 2: Household's average tax rate, according to Equation 8. $\| \cdot \| = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$

Source: Author's calculation, Eurostat data, * indicates that rates are computed from Equation 14

I		1			3 *	_ `	1			1
Ē			UK	0.30^{*} 0.31^{*}	$0.29 \\ 0.28$	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.29
HU			SK	0.33^{*} 0.34^{*}	0.41^{*} 0.42^{*}	0.40^{*}	0.41^{*}	0.39^{*}		
GR	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \\ 0.41 \\ 0.42 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.44\\ 0.46\\ 0.45\\ 0.45\\ 0.46\\ 0.48\\ 0.48\end{array}$	SI					0.42	0.43	
FR	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48^{*} \\ 0.49^{*} \\ 0.49 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.49\\ 0.49\\ 0.49\\ 0.48\\ 0.48\\ 0.48\end{array}$	SE	$0.48 \\ 0.49$	$0.52 \\ 0.56$	0.58 0.61	0.64	0.61	0.57	0.58
Ы	$\begin{array}{c} 0.51 \\ 0.52 \\ 0.49 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48\\ 0.48\\ 0.49\\ 0.48\\ 0.47\\ 0.47\\ 0.45\end{array}$	PT		$0.34 \\ 0.34$	0.35 0.35	00.0			
ES	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \\ 0.36 \\ 0.35 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35\\ 0.35\\ 0.35\\ 0.36\\ 0.36\\ 0.36\\ 0.36\end{array}$	PL							
EE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36^{*} \\ 0.35^{*} \\ 0.35^{*} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \\ 0.35 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.33 \\ 0.33 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.35 \end{array}$	ON		$0.39 \\ 0.39$	0.39 0.40	0.40	$\begin{array}{c} 0.38 \\ 0.38 \\ 0.38 \end{array}$	0.39	
DK	$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.46^{*} \\ 0.49^{*} \\ 0.46 \\ 0.48 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.45 \end{array}$	NL		$0.49 \\ 0.47$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.46 \\ 0.44 \end{array}$	0.46	0.46 0.42	0.42	
DE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48^{*} \\ 0.49^{*} \\ 0.49^{*} \\ 0.51^{*} \\ 0.52^{*} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.52 \\ 0.52 \\ 0.52 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \end{array}$	TM							
CZ	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.39\\ 0.39\\ 0.40\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\\ 0.41\end{array}$	LV		0.36^{*} 0.34^{*}	$0.33^{\circ}_{0.35^{\circ}}$	0.35^{*}	0.33° 0.31°		
CY		0.24	ΓΩ							
BE	$\begin{array}{c} 0.65\\ 0.64\\ 0.65\\ 0.64\\ 0.64\\ 0.65\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.66\\ 0.65\\ 0.65\\ 0.66\\ 0.66\\ 0.66\end{array}$	LT		0.29^{*} 0.30^{*}	$0.30^{*}_{0.34^{*}}$	0.35^{*}	0.36^{*}	0.33	0.32
AT	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48\\ 0.48\\ 0.49\\ 0.51\\ 0.53\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.53\\ 0.52\\ 0.51\\ 0.53\\ 0.53\\ 0.52\\ 0.52\end{array}$	LI	0.50^{*} 0.48^{*}	0.49^{*} 0.52^{*}	$0.53^{*}_{-0.50^{*}}$	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.48
year 1990 1991 1992	$ \begin{array}{c} 1993 \\ 1994 \\ 1995 \\ 1996 \\ 1997 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1998 \\ 1999 \\ 2000 \\ 2001 \\ 2002 \\ 2003 \\ 2004 \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{year}}{1990}$ 1991 1992	1993 1994	$1995 \\ 1996$	1997	1999	2000 2001	2002	$2003 \\ 2004$

Table 3: Implicit tax rate on labor, according to Equation 10. $\| \cdot \| = \| \cdot \| \cdot \|$

 $Source: \mbox{Author's calculation}, \mbox{Eurostat data}, \ * \ \mbox{indicates that rates are computed from}$ Equation 15

Finally, the effective capital income tax can be computed from

$$\tau_k = \frac{\tau_h (B2n - D611 - D51B - D51C2 - D29) + D51B + D51C + D6113}{B2n}$$
(11)

This equation directly follows MRT in assuming that the whole income of self employed is capital income. Therefore, we also added the social contributions of self employed (D6113) in the denominator. Also, this definition takes as a basis the **net** operating surplus, since consumption of fixed capital is not taxed B2n = B2g + B3G - K1. The results for this measure are presented in Table 4.

IE		0.20	0.21 J	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.19						-)					,		1				
HU												UK				0.20^{*}	0.21^{*}	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.23	0.22	
GR		0.13	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.16		SK				0.24^{*}	0.16	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.16	
FR	$0.13^{*}_{-1.14*}$	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.16			SI				-0.05	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.18	
ΕI		0.24^{*}	0.25^{*}	0.28^{*}	0.30^{*}	0.30^{*}	0.35^{*}	0.30^{*}	0.29^{*}	0.26^{*}		SE				0.16	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.04	0.02	0.02	
ES		0.19	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.24^{*}			\mathbf{PT}						0.18	0.20	0.21	0.21						
ЕE								0.09^{*}	0.11^{*}	0.12^{*}		ON														0.46	
DK												NL						0.31	0.34	0.35	0.33	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.33		
DE	0.21* 0.00*	0.20°	0.23^{*}	0.22^{*}	0.22^{*}	0.23^{*}	0.23^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.20^{*}		MT															
CZ		0.21^{*}	0.17^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.17^{*}	0.19^{*}	0.18^{*}	0.20^{*}	0.21^{*}	0.23^{*}		LV						0.12	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	
CY					0.13							ΓΩ															
BE	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.22^{*}		LT						0.13	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.13	
AT	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.23	0.20	0.20		ΤI				0.26^{*}	0.25^{*}	0.20^{*}	0.21^{*}	0.21^{*}	0.20^{*}	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.20	
year	1990 1991 1992 1993 1993	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

Table 4: Implicit tax rate on capital income, according to Equation 11.

 $Source: \mbox{Author's calculation}, \mbox{Eurostat data}, \ * \ \mbox{indicates that rates are computed from}$ Equation 17

3.2 Discussion of the effective tax rates

The general pattern of taxation is broadly consistent with the literature. The effective tax burden on all three goods, consumption, labor and capital is higher in the EU 15 than the 10 NMS. In particular, the tax wedge on labor differs by 10 percentage points, while for consumption and capital the difference is roughly 5 percentage points. The average effective tax burdens do not change much in the period 1998 to

<u>and 10</u>	INMS.				
		10 NMS		EU15	
	tax on	Obs	Mean	Obs	Mean
	consumption	8	0.248	15	0.298
1998	labor	6	0.348	13	0.469
	$\operatorname{capital}$	6	0.151	13	0.214
	$\operatorname{consumption}$	10	0.255	15	0.306
2000	labor	6	0.374	12	0.481
	capital	5	0.138	12	0.230
	consumption	10	0.252	15	0.300
2002	labor	4	0.375	12	0.472
	capital	6	0.143	12	0.204
~		1 1 1	1 71		1

Table 5: Comparing the tax burden on consumption, labor, and capital in the EU15 and 10 NMS.

Source: Author's calculation based on Eurostat data

2002. We observe a slight increase in the effective tax on capital from 1998 to 2000 which decreases again in 2002 in the old EU countries. Taxes on capital slightly decrease in the 10 NMS.

The cross country standard deviation of effective tax burdens on capital has slightly increased from 6.6 to 7.1, while the standard deviation of top statutory tax rates taken from European Commission - DG Taxation and Customs Union (2004) has fallen from 8.4 to 6.6 together with a reduction in the mean of the top corporate tax rate. We take this as evidence, that tax competition has exercised a downward pressure on tax rate on corporate income together with a harmonization of rates. For the effective tax burden, this effect is not visible. This implies that the tax bases have probably widened.

4 Comparing Different Tax Measures

In this section, we compare our newly computed effective measures on capital, labor and consumption with different measures available in the literature. In a first step, we take other effective tax measures. We then compare our measure of the capital income tax wedge with the corporate tax rates.

4.1 The effective tax measures by the EU commission and our measure

To assess the general validity of the MRT approach in the calculation of the effective average tax rate, we compare our results with the effective average tax rate of the EU commission for those countries, for which both data are available. The effective tax rate on capital income computed with the MRT approach is highly correlated with the effective tax rates computed by the European commission (European Commission - DG Taxation and Customs Union 2004). We compute a country correlation coefficient above 0.7 for all EU 15 countries except BE, IE, NL, SE; for many countries the correlation is above 0.98. This results directly from the fact that similar definitions are used in the computation of this measure.⁷

Figure 1 plots the commission's and our estimate of the tax wedge on capital income. While a positive linear relationship between the two measures can be observed, there is some variation between the two measures due to differences in definition.

Concerning the effective tax on labor, the commission's measure and our measure closely co-move, as can be seen in Figure 2. A linear regression of our measure on the official measure yields an $R^2 = 0.69$ and a coefficient of 1 for the fixed effects regression. The two slightly different ways of computing effective average tax rates are thus very similar. We are therefore confident, that our measure for the enlarged EU is a reasonable measure of effective average tax rates.

Similarly, the comparison of our measure and the Commission's measure of the effective tax on consumption reveals a high similarity (Figure 3). The $R^2 = 0.64$ is also high. Overall, our measures are thus comparable to the effective tax burden computed by the European Commission.

⁷We define the denominator differently from the Commission and in line with MRT. The nominator is quite close to the Commission's definition.



Figure 1: Comparison of the effective tax rate on capital as computed by the EU Commission with our measure according to MRT for the EU 15 countries.



Figure 2: Comparison of the effective tax rate on labor as computed by the Commission with our measure according to MRT for the EU 15 countries.



Figure 3: Comparison of the effective tax rate on consumption as computed by the Commission with our measure according to MRT for the EU 15 countries.

4.2 Effective tax wedge on capital income and the effective ex-ante measures by Devereux et al

Comparing our ex-post effective average measure of the tax wedge on capital with the effective marginal tax rate as computed by Devereux, Griffith, and Klemm (2002) gives the Figure 4. The relationship between the effective average ex-ante tax rate



Figure 4: Comparison of the effective tax rate on capital with the Devereux et al (2002) effective marginal measure for the EU 15 countries.

and our measure is given in Figure 5. For both measures, the effective marginal and the effective average ex-ante tax levied on income from investment (computed by Devereux, Griffith, and Klemm (2002)), no significant correlation can be found to a broad measure of the tax wedge as proposed by MRT.



Figure 5: Comparison of the effective tax rate on capital with the Devereux et al (2002) effective average measure for the EU 15 countries.

4.3 Effective tax measures and other tax measures

The literature on the effects of fiscal policy on economic growth employs as a prominent measure the total government intervention in the economy. This is measured by the total tax burden inclusive of social security in percent of GDP. As Figure 6 shows this measure is highly correlated with the effective tax burden on labor. Similarly, effective taxes on consumption are strongly connected to the total tax



Figure 6: Comparison of the effective tax rate on labor according to the measure computed according to the methodology of MRT with the total tax burden in percent of GDP for the EU 25 countries.

burden measured in percent of GDP (Figure 7). Implicit taxes on capital, on the other hand, have little in common with the total tax burden in percent of GDP as Figure 8 shows. This probably indicates that the major sources of government revenue in the discussed EU countries come from taxing labor and consumption. The variation in the implicit tax burden on capital has on the other hand little in common with the overall tax burden on the economy.

This raises the question, whether labor and consumption taxes are used as supplementary revenue sources or substitutes. Figure 9 shows the relationship between the two measures, which is positive. This means that high labor tax countries have a tendency to also heavily tax consumption. This result is confirmed by a linear regression with a statistically significant coefficient of 0.4. However, there is a lot of unexplained variation as shown by an R^2 of 0.18, which implies that some countries might impose a heavy tax burden on labor while leaving consumption tax wedges low and vice versa.



Figure 7: Comparison of the effective tax rate on consumption according to the measure computed according to the methodology of MRT with the total tax burden in percent of GDP for the EU 25 countries.



Figure 8: Comparison of the effective tax rate on capital according to the MRT measure computed by the author with the total tax burden in percent of GDP for the EU 25 countries.



Figure 9: Comparison of the effective tax rate on labor with the effective tax rate on consumption for the EU 25 countries.

Finally, we would like to address the question, whether high effective tax rates on capital are found in countries with high coporate tax rates. Figure 10 reveals that while the effective tax rate on capital income is not well explained by the top statutory tax rate on corporate income, a positive relationship is clearly observable. The



Figure 10: Comparison of the effective tax rate on capital according to the MRT measure computed by the author with the top statutory tax rate on corporate income.

low degree of explained variance might result from the possibility of significant tax exemptions. It also reflects the fact, that capital income is only partly generated in corporations, unincorporated partnerships are not taxed with the corporate income tax. Nevertheless, there appears to be a connection between the two measures.

5 Conclusions

This paper computes effective tax rates on consumption, labor, and capital for 25 EU countries based on Eurostat data. These effective tax rates on factor income and consumption are consistent with the tax distortion faced by a representative agent in a general equilibrium framework (Mendoza, Razin, and Tesar 1994). They are well suited for macroeconomic models and broad cross-country comparisons. In particular, they are based on coherent national accounts definitions and take into account all existing exemption, tax credits and deductions. However, as they are calculated from macroeconomic data, they provide only limited information on the actual tax burdens of individual corporations or households.

Our newly computed effective tax rates are in line with the Commission's figures for the EU 15 taken from European Commission - DG Taxation and Customs Union (2004). We show that effective tax rates in the 10 new member states of the EU are on average 10 percentage points lower on labor, and 5 percentage points lower on capital and consumption. There is no tendency of convergence in effective tax burdens on capital, a result in line with Mendoza and Tesar (2005). However, top statutory tax rates on corporate income converge. Countries with large tax wedges on labor and consumption have large overall tax to GDP burdens, while the tax wedge on capital is only weakly related to the total tax burden. There is a tendency of using taxes on labor and consumption together in the EU and not one instead of the other.

References

- BUETTNER, T., AND M. RUF (2004): "Tax Incentives and the Location of FDI: Evidence from a Panel of German Multinationals," *ZEW working paper*.
- DEVEREUX, M. P., AND R. GRIFFITH (2003): "Evaluating Tax Policy for Location Decisions," *International Tax and Public Finance*, 10 (2), 107–26.
- DEVEREUX, M. P., R. GRIFFITH, AND A. KLEMM (2002): "Corporate Income Tax Reform and International Tax Competition," *Economic Policy*, 02, 451–495.
- EASTERLY, W., AND S. REBELO (1993): "Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth, An Empirical Investigation," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 32, 417–458.
- ESA (1995): COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 2223/96 of 25 June 1996 on the European System of National and Regional Accounts in the Community.
- EUROPEAN COMMISSION DG TAXATION AND CUSTOMS UNION (2004): Structures of the Taxation Systems in the European Union. European Commission, Brussels.
- JACOBS, O. H., C. SPENGEL, M. FINKENZELLER, AND M. ROCHE (2004): Company Taxation in the New EU Member States. Ernst and Young, ZEW.
- KNELLER, R., M. BLEANEY, AND N. GEMMEL (1999): "Fiscal Policy and Growth: Evidence from OECD Countries," *Journal of Public Economics*, 74, 171–190.
- MENDOZA, E. G., G. MILESI-FERRETTI, AND P. ASEA (1997): "On the Effectiveness of Tax Policy in Altering Long-Run Growth: Harberger's Superneutrality Conjecture," *Journal of Public Economics*, 66, 99–126.
- MENDOZA, E. G., A. RAZIN, AND L. L. TESAR (1994): "Effective Tax Rates in Macroeconomics, Cross-Country Estimates of Tax Rates on Factor Incomes and Consumption," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 34, 297–323.
- MENDOZA, E. G., AND L. L. TESAR (2005): "Why Hasn't Tax Competition Triggered a Race to the Bottom? Some Quantitative Lessons from the EU," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 52, 163–204.

6 Appendix

6.1 Definitions

	Table 6: ESA codes for variables used
B2g+B3G	gross operating surplus and gross mixed income, total economy
B2n	net operating surplus in the economy, not available online
K1	capital consumption at current prices, total economy, UKCT
	= UKCT from AMECO database
D1	compensation of employees, total economy
$D1_S13$	compensation of employees, general government
D11	wages and salaries
D2	taxes on production and imports
D211	value added type taxes
D212	taxes and duties on imports excluding VAT
D214	taxes on products, except VAT and import taxes
D214C	taxes on financial and capital transactions,
	payable on the purchase or sale of non-financial and financial assets
D29	other taxes on production
D29A	other taxes on production,
	taxes on the ownership or use of land, buildings, or other structures utilized
	by enterprises in production
D29B	other taxes on production,
	taxes on the use of fixed assets (vehicles, machinery, equipment) for production
D29C	other taxes on production, taxes on the total wage bill and payroll taxes
D51A+D51C1	taxes on income, profits, and capital gains of individuals
D51B+D51C2	taxes on income, profits, and capital gains of corporations
D611	actual social contributions received, general government
D611	social contributions by self- and non-employed persons
D6111	employers' actual social contribution
$P3_S13$	government final consumption expenditure
P31_S14	private final consumption expenditure

 $\frac{1}{Source: ESA (1995)}$

6.2 Implicit tax rate on consumption

The effective tax rate on consumption according to Equation 7 was presented above. Since for some countries, data availability was limited, we propose a broader measure. This measure of the effective tax rate on consumption is

$$\tau_c = \frac{D2}{P31_S14 + P3_S13 - D1_S13 - D2}$$
(12)

It differs only slightly from the first measure, the results are reported below in Table 8. The mean absolute deviation between the two measures is 0.011 for the common observations. The Spearman rank correlation is above 0.95 in all years.

HU					0.38	0.38	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.34	0.32	0.35		UK	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.22
GR					0.21	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25		SK			0.25	0.35	0.33	0.31	0.28	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.22	0.21
FR	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.30		\mathbf{SI}									0.30	0.35	0.34	0.37	0.38
FI					0.31	0.31	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.32		0.32		SE			0.38	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.39	0.41	0.45	0.39	0.39	0.41	0.42
EUROZONE					0.24	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.26			RO											0.18	0.19	
EU25										0.26	0.25	0.26			\mathbf{PT}					0.26	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.31
EU15					0.24	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.26			PL					0.31	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.28
ES					0.18	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.23		ΟN					0.43	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.40	0.37	0.35
ЕE					0.25	0.24	0.27	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.26		NL					0.21	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.25	
DK															TM										0.23	0.25	0.27	0.27
DE	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21		LV					0.22	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18
CZ					0.24	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.21		LU	0.25	0.28	0.31	0.32	0.29	0.29	0.32	0.33	0.38	0.44	0.38	0.36	0.38
CY								0.20	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.33		LT					0.19	0.18	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.20
BE	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.25		TI	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.28	0.27
AT	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.28	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.31	0.31		IE					0.31	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.31	0.33	0.34
year	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	$2003 \\ 2004$

Table 7: Implicit tax rate on consumption, according to Equation 7.

Source: Author's calculation, Eurostat data

HU				0.38	0.38	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.34	0.32	0.35		UK			0.24°	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25 o	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.23	
GR				0.23	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.25		SK			0.25	0.35	0.33	0.31	0.28	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.22	0.21	
FR		0.31	0.32	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.31		\mathbf{SI}									0.30	0.35	0.34	0.37	0.38	
FI				0.34	0.33	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.33	0.33	0.33		SE			0.38	0.37	0.39	0.40	0.41	0.43	0.47	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.43	
EUROZONE				0.26	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27			RO											0.18	0.19		
EU25									0.28	0.27	0.27			\mathbf{PT}					0.28	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.31	0.31	
EU15				0.26	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27			ΡL					0.31	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.28	
ES				0.20	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24		ΟN					0.43	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.40	0.37	0.35	
ЕE				0.25	0.24	0.27	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.26		NL					0.24	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.27		
DK				0.42	0.43	0.44	0.47	0.47	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.46		TM										0.23	0.25	0.27	0.27	
DE		0.23	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.22		LV					0.22	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18	
CZ				0.24	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.21		LU			0.35	0.35	0.32	0.32	0.35	0.36	0.40	0.46	0.40	0.38	0.39	
CY							0.20	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.33		LT					0.19	0.18	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.20	
BE		0.27	0.28	0.27	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.28		\mathbf{TI}			0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.32	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.28	
AT		0.32	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.32		IE					0.34	0.35	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.38	0.34	0.34	0.35	
year	$\frac{1991}{1992}$	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	7007

Table 8: Implicit tax rate on consumption, according to Equation 12.

Source: Author's calculation, Eurostat data

6.3 Household's average income tax

	1				-									<u> </u>				,				C	,		1	
E			0.15	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.10		UK				0.17	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.16	
GR			0.06	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08		SK			0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	
FR			0.11	0.12	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.16			SI		0.15	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	
FI			0.27	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.25		SE		0.30	0.30	0.29	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.33	0.31	0.32	
ES			0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12		\mathbf{PT}				0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11						
EE			0.16	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.12		ΡL													
DK								0.41	0.42	0.41	0.41		ON				0.20	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.19	
DE													NL				0.14	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13		
CZ			0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09		LV				0.12	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	
CY						0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07			ΓΩ													
BE	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.25		ΓT				0.13	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.13	
AT	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.19		LI								0.22	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	
year	$1992 \\ 1993$	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
So	urce:	Au	thc	or's	s ca	alc	ula	atic	on.	Εı	urc	osta	nt c	lat	a											

Table 9: Household's average tax rate, according to Equation 8. \parallel

Since the measure for the household average income has quite a lot of missing values, we calculate an approximate measure. Instead of D51A + D51C1, we only take D51A from the AMECO database. D51B + D51C2 is approximated with D51B from the AMECO database, where ^A indicates AMECO as a data source. For those countries, for which both data are available, we compared the difference in magnitude, which is minor.

$$PITB^{A} = D1 + B2n - D611 - D51B^{A} - D29$$
(13)

$$t_h^A = D51A^A / PITB^A \tag{14}$$

The correlation of the two measures of household's average tax rates is very high at around 0.9 for those countries, for which both measures are available.

year	AT	BE	CZ	DE	DK	ЕE	ES	FI	FR	GR
1991										
1992										
1993		0.25		0.18					0.13	
1994		0.25		0.18					0.14	
1995	0.18	0.26	0.09	0.18	0.44	0.16	0.13	0.28	0.13	0.06
1996	0.20	0.26	0.09	0.19	0.44	0.14	0.13	0.30	0.15	0.06
1997	0.21	0.26	0.09	0.18	0.44	0.14	0.12	0.28	0.15	0.07
1998	0.21	0.27	0.09	0.19	0.43	0.14	0.12	0.27	0.19	0.09
1999	0.21	0.26	0.08	0.19	0.45	0.15	0.12	0.27	0.19	0.10
2000	0.20	0.26	0.09	0.20	0.43	0.12	0.12	0.29	0.19	0.11
2001	0.22	0.27	0.09	0.19	0.43	0.11	0.12	0.28	0.19	0.10
2002	0.21	0.27	0.09	0.18	0.42	0.11	0.13	0.27	0.18	0.10
2003	0.20	0.26	0.10	0.18	0.42	0.13	0.12	0.26		0.09
2004										
year	IE	ΤI	LT	LV	NL	ON	\mathbf{PT}	SK	UK	
1991										
1992										
1993		0.22						0.06	0.19	
1994		0.20						0.05	0.19)
1995		0.19	0.12	0.11	0.17	0.21	0.11	0.10	0.19	
1996		0.20	0.12	0.09	0.16	0.21	0.12	0.10	0.18	
1997		0.21	0.10	0.11	0.15	0.21	0.12	0.11	0.17	
1998		0.22	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.22	0.12	0.10	0.19	C
1999		0.22	0.17	0.12	0.14	0.22		0.10	0.20) -
2000		0.22	0.16	0.10	0.15	0.20		0.08	0.21	
2001		0.22	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.20		0.08	0.21	.1
2002		0.21	0.13		0.15	0.21			0.20	
2003		0.21	0.12			0.21			0.19	
2004										

Table 10: Household's average tax rate, according to Equation 14.

 $Source: \mbox{Author's calculation},$ Eurostat data

Ε												UK					0.29	0.28	0.27	0.29	0.29	0.30	0.30	0.28	0.29	
HU												SK														
GR			0.40 0.41	0.42	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.48		\mathbf{SI}										0.42	0.43	0.43		
FR			0.50	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.48			SE			0.48	0.49	0.52	0.56	0.58	0.61	0.64	0.61	0.59	0.57	0.58	
Η		C L	0.52	0.49	0.48	0.48	0.49	0.48	0.47	0.45		ΡT					0.34	0.34	0.35	0.35						
ES		10	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.36			ΡL														
ЕE												NO					0.39	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.38	0.38	0.39		
DK							0.46	0.48	0.45	0.45		NL					0.49	0.47	0.46	0.44	0.46	0.46	0.42	0.42		
DE												MT														
CZ			0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.41	0.41		LV														
CY					0.24							ΓΩ														
BE	0.65	0.64	0.05 0.64	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.66			E												0.33	0.32	
AT	0.48	0.48	0.51	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.51	0.53	0.53	0.52		E					0.34	0.37	0.37	0.33	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.48	0.48	
year 1991	$1992 \\ 1993 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	1994	1996 1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

6.4 Implicit tax rate on labor

Table 11: Implicit tax rate on labor, according to Equation 10.

Source:Author's calculation, Eurostat data

Since the effective tax rate on labor could not be computed for several countries because of missing observation, we compute an approximate effective tax rate according to Equation 15.

$$t_l^A = \frac{t_h^A * D11 + D611}{D11 + D6111} \tag{15}$$

The correlation between this measure and the measure calculated from Equation 10 is 0.98 for those countries for which both observations are available. Spearman rank correlation gives a ρ greater 0.9 for most years. The mean absolute deviation

													ш				/				0			-			
IE													UK			0.30	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.32	
ΗŪ													SK			0.33	0.34	0.41	0.42	0.40	0.42	0.41	0.39	0.40			
GR			0.40	0.41	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.46	0.46	0.48	0.49		\mathbf{SI}														
FR	0.48	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.47			SE														
FI			0.52	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.47	0.46		\mathbf{PT}					0.34	0.35	0.36	0.36						
ES			0.36	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.37			\mathbf{PL}														
EE			0.36	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.35		ON					0.40	0.40	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.40	0.40		
DK			0.46	0.47	0.46	0.46	0.49	0.47	0.47	0.45	0.45		NL					0.51	0.50	0.48	0.46	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.44		
DE	0.48	0.49	0.49	0.51	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.50	0.50	0.50		MT														
CZ			0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.41		LV					0.36	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.31			
CY													ΓΩ														
BE	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66	0.66	0.67			Ц					0.29	0.30	0.30	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.33	0.32	
AT			0.46	0.47	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.50	0.49	0.48		ΤI			0.50	0.48	0.49	0.52	0.53	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.49	
$\frac{\text{year}}{1991}$	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

Table 12: Effective tax rate on labor, according to Equation 15.

the effective/ effective tax rate on labor accurately.

between the two measures of effective tax on labor is 0.017 for the 106 available joint observations. We are therefore confident, that the simplified measure captures the

 $Source: \mbox{Author's calculation},$ Eurostat data



6.5 Implicit tax on capital



To account for missing observations of especially Germany, we compute the following effective tax rate on capital income.

$$t_k^A = \frac{t_h^A * (PITB^A - D1) + D51B^A + D6113}{B2n}$$
(17)

This measure is highly correlated with the one according to 11, with a Spearman rank correlation greater 0.9 for most years. The mean absolute deviation is 0.018.

							T,						. i .		T	/				0			T					
GR				0.11	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.14		SK									0.17	0.16	0.14		0.15	
FR				0.22	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.29	0.26			\mathbf{SI}			-0.11	-0.01	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.13	
FI														SE			0.22	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.12	0.11	0.11	
ES				0.17	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.25		RO														
EE														ΡT					0.17	0.19	0.21	0.21						
DK									0.47	0.51	0.51	0.51		ΡL														
DE														ON					0.25	0.26	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.26	
CZ				0.21	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.22		NL					0.20	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.26		
CY							0.14	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.17			LV														
BE		0.22	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.28		LT														
AT		0.11	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.14		TI					0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.18	
year	1990 1991 1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	$1990 \\ 1991$	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

Table 14: Implicit tax rate on capital, according to Equation 16.

Source: Author's calculation, Eurostat data

We are therefore confident, that the computed tax rate is robust to the particular specification.

		-	LOU	010	, T	<i>J</i> .	111	r Pr	101	0 0	0123	10	000	01		^o P ¹		·, ·	<i>i</i> cc	01	un	-8	00		qu		011		•••	
ΙE															UK				0.20	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.24	0.23	
HU															SK				0.24	0.18	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.20	0.17			
GR					0.14	0.14	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.18	0.17		SI															
FR			0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.16			SE															
FI					0.25	0.26	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.36	0.30	0.30	0.27		PT						0.19	0.21	0.22	0.22						
ES					0.19	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.24			ΡL															
ЕE											0.09	0.10	0.11		NO														0.59	
DK															NL						0.31	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.32		
DE			0.21	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.19	0.19	0.20		MT															
CZ					0.21	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.23		ΓΛ						0.16	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.18	0.16	0.16			
BE			0.18	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.22		ΓŢ						0.15	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	
\mathbf{AT}					0.15	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.23	0.20	0.20		E				0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.21	
year	$\frac{1990}{1991}$	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

Table 15: Implicit tax rate on capital, according to Equation 17.

Source: Author's calculation, Eurostat data

2008		
B01-08 2007	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
2006	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2000 B03-06	Financial Frictions Capital Reallocation and Aggregate Fluc-	lürgen von Hagen Haining Zhang
000 00	tuations	Surgen von Hugen, Hulping Zhung
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	Martin Seidel
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni- fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05 2004	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun- tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	Martin Seidel
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich-	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
B07 04	ses Firm Porformance and Privatization in Ukraine	Caluna Churananka Stafan Lutz
B27-04 B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni-	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
R25 04	on with the EU Exchange Pate Pick and Convergence to the Euro	Lucian T. Orlowski
B25-04 B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler. Guntram B.
	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas- sungsvertrag	Martin Seidel

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in	Iulia Traistaru
_	an Enlarged EMU	
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
	Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	
B10-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pedussel
	traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	VVU Christian Value Martineus
D13-04	plain Local Datterns?	Christian Voipe Martineus
B14-04	Furn Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	liri lonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of	Sami Yläoutinen
010 01	Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Coun-	
	tries	
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte-	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
	gration	
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi-	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru,
	ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition	Laura Resmini
	Countries	
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
D a a a	Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	—
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Conver-	Taner M. Yıgıt, Alı M. Kutan
	gence	Mine Delienseure Lute Stafen II
B07-04	Human Woll being in Africa	Ivina Ballamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz Stefan H
D00 04	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	l utz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucian T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for	Orla Dovle, Jan Fidrmuc
	EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera-	Ulrich Bindseil
	tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok
_	for EU Enlargement	Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Bei-	Martin Seidel
	trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Storungen	
2002	durch die neuen witgliedstaaten	
2003 R20_03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	lürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign	losef C Brada Ali M Kutan Ta-
020 00	Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version	gen
	of International Finance)	
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna lara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat-	Iulia Iraistaru, Christian Volpe
	terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Martincus Martin Cailal
D22-U3 R01 02	Reformized and the Chargest of the Chargest for	wartin Seidel William Pyla
021-03	Inter-Firm Communication	vviilidili ryle
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence	Ronald I Moomaw Mohammed
520 05	from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Pri-	Ronald L. Moomaw. Mohammed
	macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
	Export of Ukrainian Firms	ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and	lulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
	Policy Challenges	
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
	to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
	a Puzzle?	
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B04-03 B03-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul,
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B01-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi- tion Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Ac- cession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Scha- ber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Havo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-01	I NAT OF THE EUROPAEN CENTRAL BANK An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
520 01	Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
_	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
D1C 01	in Latin American and Carribean Countries	
B10-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and	Selanattin Diboogiu, Ali Wi. Kutan
	Hungary: implications for Full Membership in the European	
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OFCD	Christian F. Weller
B13-01	Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R Strauch lürgen von Hagen
01101	a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	non n. ettaden, surgen von nagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wa-	Lilia Cavallar
	ge Setters	
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Alı M. Kutan, Nıına Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
R00.01	Empirical Evidence	Ion Fidrmuc
D09-01	Wheels of Growth?	Jan Flumnuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	lürgen von Hagen Susanne
200 01		Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
	Countries and the European Union	
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
	Markets	tan
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
D04.01	The Future of Banking.	schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Kafael di Tella, Kobert J. Mac-
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from	Rafael di Tella Robert I Mac-
200 01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir-	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Ha-
	ty	gen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
	licy	
0000		
2000 R20.00	Broakin up a Nation From the Inside	Etionno Eonroque
B20-00 B10_00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process ge-	Luenne Farvaque Jens Hölscher
D19-00	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart. Rov Gardner.
		Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung	Martin Seidel
	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	
	meinschaftsrechts	
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso
		Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jurgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
R1/ 00	ration Sources of Real Exchange Rate Eluctuations in Transition Fee	Salahattin Diboogly Ali M Kutan
D14-00	SUBJES OF NEAL EXCHANGE NALE FINCTUATIONS IN TRANSITION ECO-	JEIAHALLIH DIDUUYIU, AH IVI, NULAH
	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	
B13-00	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli- chen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition	Jan Fidrmuc
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99 B10.00	Subnational Government Ballouts in Germany	Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B19-99 B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor-
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von
_	Wrong in Central European Banking?	Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Sup- ply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99 B08-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Volker Wieland Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B10-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi- nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Stu- dy of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB	Robert L. Hetzel
B08-98	Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla- den)	Bernd Hayo
B07-98	Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Ver- sion zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
1997		
B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins- urance or Federalism?	Kenneth Kletzer
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 D-53113 Bonn Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 Fax: +49-228-73-1809 www.zei.de