

Zhou, Su; Kutan, Ali M.

**Working Paper**

## Is there asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias? Multi-country evidence

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 06-2002

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

*Suggested Citation:* Zhou, Su; Kutan, Ali M. (2002) : Is there asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias? Multi-country evidence, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 06-2002, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39639>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung  
Center for European Integration Studies  
Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Su Zhou and Ali M. Kutan

**Is There Asymmetry in  
Forward Exchange Rate  
Bias? Multi-Country  
Evidence**

**Working Paper**

**B 06  
2002**

**Is there asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias?  
Multi-country evidence**

Su Zhou  
University of Texas at San Antonio

and

Ali M. Kutan  
Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville  
and  
Center for European Integration Studies, Bonn

**Abstract**

This study illustrates that the empirical rejection of the forward rate unbiasedness hypothesis is not sensitive to whether the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a premium or a discount. It is argued that the reported finding of so-called asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias in earlier work is simply due to the inclusion of a sample period during which a forward rate anomaly exists.

*Keywords:* Asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias; Forward rate anomaly

*JEL Classification:* F31; G15

## **1. Introduction**

The forward rate unbiasedness hypothesis (FRUH), which states that the forward premium is an unbiased predictor of the future change in the spot exchange rate, has been extensively studied in the empirical literature of international finance. While the FRUH suggests a proportionally positive relationship between the forward premium and the future change in the spot rate, empirical studies have often indicated a negative relation between the two. This finding has been referred to as the forward premium anomaly in the literature.<sup>1</sup> In an influential article by Wu and Zhang (1996), it is claimed that forward exchange rate bias is asymmetrical in the sense that "the unbiasedness hypothesis holds in periods when the forward US dollar is quoted at a premium but fails when it is quoted at a discount (p. 411)." No explanation is offered for such a puzzling result, however.

In contrast to the findings of Wu and Zhang (1996), a recent study by Zhou (2002) shows that the unbiasedness hypothesis does not hold in any period between 1980 and 1998, and the forward premium anomaly exists only for one period, namely, the 1980-87 period, where both the forward premia and the changes in the spot rates of the majority of countries contain a significant time trend component but with opposite signs. She argues that such a phenomenon could be explained by the peso problem in small samples associated with the uncertainty about the time of policy changes.

Building upon the earlier work of Zhou (2002), this paper demonstrates that the rejection of unbiasedness hypothesis does not depend on whether the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a premium or a discount and the test results of Wu and Zhang (1996) do not necessarily suggest asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias. Moreover, it is shown that empirical evidence reported in Wu and Zhang (1996) based on the U.S. dollar exchange rates of the German mark and Japanese yen may not hold for many other exchange rates, indicating that their results provide little empirical evidence for asymmetry in forward rate bias.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 addresses some methodological issues of

this study. The construction of data and the sample period are briefly described in Section 3, and the test results are reported and analyzed in the same section. The last section concludes the paper.

## 2. Methodological issues

We first explain why the test results of Wu and Zhang (1996) do not actually suggest that the unbiasedness hypothesis holds in periods when the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a premium. To begin with, the standard test of FRUH is usually represented by the following regression:

$$s_{t+1} - s_t = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}(f_t - s_t) + \mathbf{e}_{t+1} \quad (1)$$

with the null hypotheses of  $\mathbf{a} = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = 1$ , and  $E_t(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}) = 0$ , where  $s_t$  is defined as the natural logarithm of the spot exchange rate of the U.S. dollar at time  $t$ ,  $f_t$  denotes the natural logarithm of the one-period forward exchange value of the dollar contracted at time  $t$  for delivery at time  $t+1$ , and  $E_t(\cdot)$  denotes conditional expectation on the basis of information available at time  $t$ . Wu and Zhang (1996) reject the FRUH for the periods when the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a discount by rejecting both null hypotheses of  $\mathbf{b} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b} \geq 0$  for these periods. However, their evidence of failure to reject both null of  $\mathbf{b} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b} \geq 0$  for the periods when the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a premium does not provide empirical support for the FRUH during such premium periods. Instead, it simply implies that the forward premium cannot be considered as an unbiased predictor of the future change in the spot exchange rate because there is no statistically significant relationship found between the two when the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a premium.

Following Wu and Zhang (1996), our empirical results are based on the following regression:

$$s_{t+1} - s_t = \mathbf{a}^- d[(f_t - s_t) < 0] + \mathbf{a}^+ d[(f_t - s_t) \geq 0] + \mathbf{b}^- (f_t - s_t) d[(f_t - s_t) < 0] + \mathbf{b}^+ (f_t - s_t) d[(f_t - s_t) \geq 0] + \mathbf{e}_{t+1} \quad (2)$$

where

$$d[\cdot] = 1, \text{ if what is in bracket is true, and } d[\cdot] = 0, \text{ otherwise.}^2$$

Wu and Zhang (1996) define asymmetry in forward rate bias as the case where the unbiasedness hypothesis holds in periods when  $f_t - s_t \geq 0$  but fails when  $f_t - s_t \leq 0$ . We refer to this case as Asymmetry I hereafter. Strictly speaking, the rejection of the null hypotheses of  $\mathbf{b}^- \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{b}^- = 1$ , and  $\mathbf{b}^+ \geq 0$ , and the failure to reject the null of  $\mathbf{b}^+ = 1$  would be considered as the evidence in favor of Asymmetry I. Yet, the test results of Wu and Zhang (1996), which indicate rejection of the null hypotheses of  $\mathbf{b}^- \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{b}^- = 1$  but failure to reject both  $\mathbf{b}^+ \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{b}^+ = 1$ , do not suggest such asymmetry.

A different version of asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias, which we call as Asymmetry II, can be defined by that, although the FRUH does not hold in any periods, the relation between  $s_{t+1} - s_t$  and  $f_t - s_t$  is significantly negative when the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a premium but there is no significant relationship between the two when the dollar is quoted at a forward discount. This asymmetry, which would be supported by the rejection of  $\mathbf{b}^- = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b}^- \geq 0$  and the failure to reject  $\mathbf{b}^+ = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b}^+ \geq 0$ , is consistent with the results of Wu and Zhang (1996). Even so, we show that the evidence for Asymmetry II reported in Wu and Zhang (1996) with respect to the U.S. dollar exchange rates of German mark and Japanese yen cannot be extended to many other exchange rates. We also argue that the rejection of the null hypotheses of  $\mathbf{b}^- = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b}^- \geq 0$  is mainly driven by the 1980-87 period results.

### 3. Data and test results

Monthly series of the spot and forward exchange values of the U.S. dollar in terms of the currencies of eleven industrial countries are constructed from daily data for the period from September 1977 to June 1998. The eleven currencies are Belgian Franc (BF), British Pound Sterling (BP), Canadian dollar (CD), French Franc (FF), Dutch Guilder (DG), German Mark (GM), Italian Lira (IL), Japanese Yen (JY), Norwegian Kroner (NK), Swedish Krona (SK), and Swiss Franc (SF). The data are the average of London bid/offer spot exchange rates and one-month forward rates specified in terms of units of foreign currency per U.S. dollar.<sup>3</sup>

The results of the full sample period are reported in Table 1.<sup>4</sup> The reported test statistics indicate that Asymmetry I holds only for two exchange rates, BF/\$ and FF/\$, while Asymmetry II is supported by four exchange rates, DG/\$, GM/\$, JY/\$, and SF/\$.<sup>5</sup> For the rest of the five exchange rates, either there is no significant relation between  $s_{t+1} - s_t$  and  $f_t - s_t$  for the whole (or nearly whole) sample period regardless of whether  $f_t - s_t$  is positive or negative, or, opposite to Asymmetry II, the null hypotheses of  $\mathbf{b}^+ = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b}^+ \geq 0$  are rejected but the hypotheses of  $\mathbf{b}^- = 1$  and  $\mathbf{b}^- \geq 0$  are not. These results support the notion that there is little empirical evidence for Asymmetry I as defined in Wu and Zhang (1996).

It is possible that the evidence for Asymmetry I or II may simply reflect the existence of an inverse relation between  $s_{t+1} - s_t$  and  $f_t - s_t$  for some exchange rates for the 1980-87 period.<sup>6</sup> To check on this claim, Equation (2) is re-examined for those six exchange rates that have yielded evidence of Asymmetry I or Asymmetry II, for the 1980-87 period as well as for the period from 1977 to 1998 but excluding 1980-87. The test results, displayed in Table 2, reveal that once the 1980-87 period is excluded from the sample, the evidence for Asymmetry I or II disappears for all the exchange rates, except in one case as the results for BF/\$ favor Asymmetry I and those for JY/\$ lend support to Asymmetry II.

For the 1980-87 period,  $\mathbf{a}^+$  and  $\mathbf{b}^+$  are not estimated for the DG/\$, GM/\$, JY/\$, and SF/\$ rates because, within this period, only few observations (ranging from 0 to 5) out of a total of 96 are corresponding to  $f_t - s_t \geq 0$  for these four exchange rates. The reported test statistics provide no supporting evidence for Asymmetry I. Although the results based on the four exchange rates of DG/\$, GM/\$, JY/\$, and SF/\$ during this period may be considered as evidence supporting Asymmetry II, these results may also indicate that a forward rate anomaly existed during the same period. Indeed, Zhou (2002) finds that the forward premium anomaly existed in the 1980-87 period and it mainly stemmed from the trend movements of the forward premia and the changes in the spot rates in opposite directions. This phenomenon may reflect the peso problem that refers to biased expectations of the future exchange rates in small samples when there is uncertainty about when a future policy change will be implemented. Once

a time trend is included in the regression of  $s_{t+1} - s_t$  on  $f_t - s_t$ , Zhou (2002) reports that the slope coefficient  $b$  is no longer significantly negative for any one of the four exchange rates.

#### 4. Conclusions

Empirical evidence based on the eleven exchange rates lend little support to asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias, as it is defined in Wu and Zhang (1996). It is shown that the empirical rejection of the forward rate unbiasedness hypothesis is not sensitive to whether the forward U.S. dollar is quoted at a premium or a discount. We argue that the finding of Wu and Zhang (1996), that the relation between  $s_{t+1} - s_t$  and  $f_t - s_t$  is significantly negative for the forward discount periods but is not statistically significant for the forward premium periods, is essentially driven by the inclusion of the data for the 1980-87 period during which there existed a forward premium anomaly.



## Footnotes

1. Engel (1996) presents the most recent survey on testing the forward rate unbiasedness hypothesis.
2. Note that  $f_t - s_t$  is defined as the forward premium on the U.S. dollar in this study, yet it is the forward discount on the U.S. dollar in Wu and Zhang (1996). Therefore,  $\mathbf{a}^-$ ,  $\mathbf{b}^-$ ,  $\mathbf{a}^+$  and  $\mathbf{b}^+$  here are equivalent to  $\mathbf{a}^+$ ,  $\mathbf{b}^+$ ,  $\mathbf{a}^-$ , and  $\mathbf{b}^-$ , respectively, in Wu and Zhang (1996).
3. The sample period is limited to 1977-1998 due to the availability of daily data for all eleven exchange rates. We are grateful to Andreas Fischer and Michael Dueker for providing the original daily data.
4. In testing the unbiasedness hypothesis, it is required to properly match the forward rates with the future spot rates. Wu and Zhang (1996) do not explain whether and how their data of  $f_t$  and  $s_{t+1}$  are properly matched. This study uses a two-day before end-of-month approach of constructing the data set. The approach minimizes possible biases from the end-of-period overlapping and clumping problems. Interested readers are referred to Breuer and Wohar (1996) and Zhou (2002) for a further explanation of this procedure.
5. For the IL/\$ rate,  $\mathbf{a}^-$  and  $\mathbf{b}^-$  are not estimated because within the whole sample period only five out of 249 observations are corresponding to  $f_t - s_t < 0$ .
6. Zhou (2002) provides details why the 1980-87 period is different from others.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Michael Melvin for his helpful comments on an earlier draft.

## References

- Breuer, J.B. and M.E. Wohar, 1996, The road less traveled: Institutional aspects of data and their influence on empirical estimates with an application to tests of forward rate unbiasedness, *Economic Journal* 106, 26-38.
- Engel, C., 1996, The forward discount anomaly and the risk premium: a survey of recent evidence, *Journal of Empirical Finance* 3, 123-192.
- Wu, Y. and H. Zhang, 1996, Asymmetry in forward exchange rate bias: a puzzling result, *Economics Letters* 50, 407-411.
- Zhou, S., 2002, The forward premium anomaly and the trend behavior of the exchange rates, *Economics Letters*, forthcoming.

Table 1  
Regression results (Sample period: 77:9 - 98:6)

Exchange rate	$a^-$	$a^+$	$b^-$	$b^+$	$t$ -statistic $H_0$ :			
					$b^- = 1$	$b^- \geq 0$	$b^+ = 1$	$b^+ \geq 0$
BF/\$	-0.007 (0.005)	-0.010 (0.004)	-8.404 (3.030)	2.883 (1.248)	-3.104*	-2.774*	1.509	2.310*
BP/\$	0.004 (0.004)	-0.001 (0.007)	-5.259 (3.202)	-0.660 (1.361)	-1.955	-1.642	-1.220	-0.485
CD/\$	0.003 (0.002)	0.004 (0.002)	0.049 (1.957)	-1.912 (0.831)	-0.486	0.025	-3.830*	-2.195*
FF/\$	-0.002 (0.006)	-0.007 (0.003)	-5.391 (2.701)	1.828 (0.836)	-2.366*	-1.996*	0.990	2.186*
DG/\$	-0.014 (0.004)	-0.006 (0.008)	-5.635 (1.536)	2.019 (2.568)	-4.320*	-3.668*	0.397	0.786
GM/\$	-0.009 (0.005)	-0.005 (0.009)	-2.733 (1.497)	1.616 (2.830)	-2.494*	-1.826*	0.218	0.571
IL/\$	N.A.	0.002 (0.004)	N.A.	0.233 (0.679)	N.A.	N.A.	-1.130	0.343
JY/\$	-0.018 (0.005)	-0.010 (0.008)	-4.514 (1.114)	4.128 (6.082)	-4.995*	-4.099*	0.514	0.679
NK/\$	-0.001 (0.005)	-0.003 (0.003)	-2.810 (2.003)	0.808 (0.632)	-1.902	-1.403	0.304	1.278
SK/\$	0.011 (0.006)	-0.004 (0.004)	3.152 (4.005)	1.282 (0.817)	0.537	0.787	0.345	1.569
SF/\$	-0.014 (0.006)	0.002 (0.010)	-2.944 (1.180)	-1.800 (4.563)	-3.341*	-2.494*	-0.614	-0.394

Notes: Numbers in parentheses are the standard errors of the estimates. \* denotes significance at the 5% level. N.A. = Not applicable.

Table 2  
Regression results (Sub-samples)

Exchange rate	$a^-$	$a^+$	$b^-$	$b^+$	$t$ -statistic $H_0$ :			
					$b^- = 1$	$b^- \geq 0$	$b^+ = 1$	$b^+ \geq 0$
Sample: 77:9 - 98:6 excluding 80:1 - 87:12								
BF/\$	-0.017 (0.008)	-0.016 (0.007)	-13.546 (5.338)	4.151 (2.076)	-2.725*	-2.538*	1.518	2.000*
FF/\$	-0.007 (0.007)	-0.006 (0.005)	-7.209 (4.669)	1.133 (1.522)	-1.758	-1.544	0.087	0.744
DG/\$	-0.012 (0.007)	-0.006 (0.008)	-5.784 (3.535)	1.986 (2.557)	-1.919	-1.636	0.385	0.776
GM/\$	-0.004 (0.006)	-0.005 (0.010)	-0.628 (2.145)	1.565 (2.864)	-0.759	-0.293	0.197	0.546
JY/\$	-0.018 (0.007)	-0.009 (0.010)	-5.534 (1.711)	4.993 (10.895)	-3.820*	-3.236*	0.366	0.458
SF/\$	-0.006 (0.008)	0.002 (0.010)	-0.994 (1.676)	-1.800 (4.631)	-1.190	-0.593	-0.604	-0.389
Sample: 80:1 - 87:12								
BF/\$	0.005 (0.009)	-0.007 (0.006)	-6.220 (3.945)	2.310 (2.102)	-1.830	-1.577	0.618	1.090
FF/\$	0.026 (0.017)	-0.007 (0.005)	0.214 (4.515)	2.044 (1.084)	-0.174	0.047	0.963	1.886*
DG/\$	-0.016 (0.006)	N.A.	-5.649 (1.774)	N.A.	-3.747*	-3.184*	N.A.	N.A.
GM/\$	-0.015 (0.008)	N.A.	-4.559 (2.199)	N.A.	-2.528*	-2.073*	N.A.	N.A.
JY/\$	-0.016 (0.005)	N.A.	-2.925 (1.302)	N.A.	-3.014*	-2.246*	N.A.	N.A.
SF/\$	-0.025 (0.008)	N.A.	-5.113 (1.661)	N.A.	-3.680*	-3.078*	N.A.	N.A.

See notes to Table 1.

<b>2008</b>		
B01-08	<b>Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame „Wirtschaftsregierung“</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2007</b>		
B03-07	<b>Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B02-07	<b>Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B01-07	<b>The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutional Basis of a European Referendum.</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2006</b>		
B03-06	<b>Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluctuations</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
B02-06	<b>Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
B01-06	<b>A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
<b>2005</b>		
B11-05	<b>Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B10-05	<b>Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B09-05	<b>Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe</b>	<i>Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B08-05	<b>Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism</b>	<i>Gabriel González-König</i>
B07-05	<b>Economic Integration in a Multicore World?</b>	<i>Christian Volpe Martincus, Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B06-05	<b>Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Dinger</i>
B05-05	<b>Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B04-05	<b>Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B03-05	<b>Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?</b>	<i>Panu Poutvaara</i>
B02-05	<b>Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle</i>
B01-05	<b>Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru</i>
<b>2004</b>		
B33-04	<b>The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Tanner M. Yigit</i>
B32-04	<b>The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries: A Multinomial Panel Analysis</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B31-04	<b>Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Analysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B30-04	<b>Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B29-04	<b>Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglichkeiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem</b>	<i>Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger</i>
B28-04	<b>Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Causes</b>	<i>Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B27-04	<b>Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine</b>	<i>Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz</i>
B26-04	<b>Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Union with the EU</b>	<i>Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz</i>
B25-04	<b>Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orlowski</i>
B24-04	<b>The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem</b>	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B23-04	<b>Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?</b>	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B22-04	<b>Non-Discretionary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?</b>	<i>Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft</i>
B21-04	<b>The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage and Employment Effects in Business R+D</b>	<i>Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B20-04	<b>Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho</i>
B19-04	<b>Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfassungsvertrag</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>

B18-04	<b>Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in an Enlarged EMU</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru</i>
B17-04	<b>Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey</b>	<i>Sübüdey Togan, Hasan Ersel</i>
B16-04	<b>Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment</b>	<i>Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B15-04	<b>Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Explain Local Patterns?</b>	<i>Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B14-04	<b>Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?</b>	<i>Jiri Jonas</i>
B13-04	<b>The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Countries</b>	<i>Sami Yläoutinen</i>
B12-04	<b>Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Integration</b>	<i>Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B11-04	<b>Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activities: Evidence from MERCOSUR</b>	<i>Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B10-04	<b>Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition Countries</b>	<i>Laura Resmini</i>
B09-04	<b>Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Sovereign Bond Markets: A Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence</b>	<i>Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B08-04	<b>European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Convergence</b>	<i>Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B07-04	<b>The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to Human Well-being in Africa</b>	<i>Mina Balamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B06-04	<b>Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening</b>	<i>Mina Balamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B05-04	<b>Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B04-04	<b>Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda</b>	<i>Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B03-04	<b>Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Operations Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender</b>	<i>Ulrich Bindseil</i>
B02-04	<b>Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications for EU Enlargement</b>	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok Yang</i>
B01-04	<b>Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Beitrittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2003</b>		
B29-03	<b>Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann</i>
B28-03	<b>The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit</i>
B27-03	<b>The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version of International Finance)</b>	<i>Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen</i>
B26-03	<b>Sovereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)</b>	<i>Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen, Ludger Schulknecht</i>
B25-03	<b>How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?</b>	<i>Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru</i>
B24-03	<b>Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann</i>
B23-03	<b>Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Patterns: Evidence from Mercosur</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B22-03	<b>Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B21-03	<b>Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for Inter-Firm Communication</b>	<i>William Pyle</i>
B20-03	<b>Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence from Asia and the Americas</b>	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi</i>
B19-03	<b>An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Primacy Evidence from Asia and the Americas</b>	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi</i>

B18-03	<b>The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on Export of Ukrainian Firms</b>	<i>Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talavera, Sang-Min Park</i>
B17-03	<b>Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States</b>	<i>Mihails Hazans</i>
B16-03	<b>South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and Policy Challenges</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B15-03	<b>Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands</b>	<i>Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans</i>
B14-03	<b>FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence</b>	<i>Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann</i>
B13-03	<b>The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there a Puzzle?</b>	<i>Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann</i>
B12-03	<b>What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography</b>	<i>Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic</i>
B11-03	<b>Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zentralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine rechtliche Analyse</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B10-03	<b>Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík</i>
B09-03	<b>The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analysis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European Monetary Union</b>	<i>Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger</i>
B08-03	<b>The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Exchange Rate Regimes Play?</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B07-03	<b>Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und Prioritäten für die Zukunft</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B06-03	<b>Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the Stability and Growth Pact</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B05-03	<b>Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles Converging?</b>	<i>Michael Massmann, James Mitchell</i>
B04-03	<b>Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?</b>	<i>Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talavera</i>
B03-03	<b>Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz</b>	<i>Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B02-03	<b>Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains</b>	<i>Mihails Hazans</i>
B01-03	<b>Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2002</b>		
B30-02	<b>An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance</b>	<i>Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel</i>
B29B-02	<b>Trade Agreements as Self-protection</b>	<i>Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B29A-02	<b>Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets</b>	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B28-02	<b>Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth</b>	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B27-02	<b>Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference</b>	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B26-02	<b>Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B25-02	<b>Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B24-02	<b>The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B23-02	<b>Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B22-02	<b>Der Staat als <i>Lender of Last Resort</i> - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems</b>	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B21-02	<b>Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit</i>
B20-02	<b>The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers on Russian Financial Markets</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan</i>

B19-02	<b>East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B18-02	<b>Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transition Countries</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B17-02	<b>Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries</b>	<i>Laura Resmini</i>
B16-02	<b>Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Simonetta Longhi</i>
B15-02	<b>Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?</b>	<i>Matthias Brückner, Andreas Schaber</i>
B14-02	<b>The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch</i>
B13-02	<b>De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B12-02	<b>Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis</b>	<i>Jiri Jonas</i>
B11-02	<b>The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking</b>	<i>Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger</i>
B10-02	<b>National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B09-02	<b>Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years</b>	<i>Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo</i>
B08-02	<b>Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou</i>
B07-02	<b>Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B06-02	<b>Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi-Country Evidence</b>	<i>Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B05-02	<b>Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou</i>
B04-02	<b>Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU</b>	<i>Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo</i>
B03-02	<b>The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B02-02	<b>The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges</b>	<i>Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz</i>
B01-02	<b>Does Inflation Targeting Matter?</b>	<i>Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
<b>2001</b>		
B29-01	<b>Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?</b>	<i>Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Kutan, Michael L. Wyzan</i>
B28-01	<b>Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies</b>	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B27-01	<b>Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Returns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B26-01	<b>Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries</b>	<i>Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B25-01	<b>Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B24-01	<b>Disintegration and Trade</b>	<i>Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B23-01	<b>Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B22-01	<b>Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation</b>	<i>Matthias Brückner</i>
B21-01	<b>Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With That of the European Central Bank</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B20-01	<b>An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data</b>	<i>Anna Rubinchik-Pessach</i>
B19-01	<b>Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evidence</b>	<i>R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan</i>



B18-01	<b>Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central Bank in the Early Years</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias Brückner</i>
B17-01	<b>Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline in Latin American and Caribbean Countries</b>	<i>Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier</i>
B16-01	<b>Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European Union</b>	<i>Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B15-01	<b>Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller</i>
B14-01	<b>Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experience and Possible Lessons for EMU</b>	<i>Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B13-01	<b>German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Challenges</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch</i>
B12-01	<b>The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets. Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems</b>	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B11-01	<b>Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wage Setters</b>	<i>Lilia Cavallar</i>
B10-01	<b>Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and Empirical Evidence</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol</i>
B09-01	<b>Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B08-01	<b>The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne Mundschenk</i>
B07-01	<b>The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate Countries and the European Union</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B06-01	<b>Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial Markets</b>	<i>Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B05-01	<b>Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda. The Future of Banking.</b>	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B04-01	<b>The Determination of Unemployment Benefits</b>	<i>Rafael di Tella, Robert J. McCulloch</i>
B03-01	<b>Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from Surveys of Happiness</b>	<i>Rafael di Tella, Robert J. McCulloch, Andrew J. Oswald</i>
B02-01	<b>The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty</b>	<i>Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B01-01	<b>Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Policy</b>	<i>Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec</i>
<b>2000</b>		
B20-00	<b>Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside</b>	<i>Etienne Farvaque</i>
B19-00	<b>Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, general Reflections applied to the Czech Republic</b>	<i>Jens Hölscher</i>
B18-00	<b>Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence</b>	<i>Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner, Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser</i>
B17-00	<b>Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Gemeinschaftsrechts</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B16-00	<b>The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability</b>	<i>Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso Padoa-Schioppa</i>
B15-00	<b>Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Federation</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp</i>
B14-00	<b>Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Economies: The Case of Poland and Hungary</b>	<i>Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B13-00	<b>Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Economies Reconsidered</b>	<i>Nauro F. Campos</i>

B12-00	<b>Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitlichen Europäischen Wahrung</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B11-00	<b>A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B10-00	<b>The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU</b>	<i>Marc Hallerberg</i>
B09-00	<b>Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis</b>	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert MacCulloch</i>
B08-00	<b>The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises</b>	<i>Kenneth Kletzer</i>
B07-00	<b>Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU</b>	<i>Deutsch-Franzosisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B06-00	<b>The Demand for Money in Austria</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo</i>
B05-00	<b>Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B04-00	<b>A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB</b>	<i>Christa Randzio-Plath</i>
B03-00	<b>Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's</b>	<i>Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B02-00	<b>Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B01-00	<b>Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism</b>	<i>Kenneth Kletzer, Jurgen von Hagen</i>
<b>1999</b>		
B26-99	<b>Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini</i>
B25-99	<b>Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo</i>
B24-99	<b>What Makes a Revolution?</b>	<i>Robert MacCulloch</i>
B23-99	<b>Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State</b>	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert MacCulloch</i>
B22-99	<b>Partisan Social Happiness</b>	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert MacCulloch</i>
B21-99	<b>The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B20-99	<b>Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany</b>	<i>Helmut Seitz</i>
B19-99	<b>The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada</i>
B18-99	<b>Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller, Bernard Morzuch</i>
B17-99	<b>Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc</i>
B16-99	<b>Multinational Banks and Development Finance</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller and Mark J. Scher</i>
B15-99	<b>Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Circumstances or Structural Weakness?</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller</i>
B14-99	<b>Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock</i>
B13-99	<b>Financial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller and Jurgen von Hagen</i>
B12-99	<b>Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work</b>	<i>Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian</i>
B11-99	<b>Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU</b>	<i>Deutsch-Franzosisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B10-99	<b>Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland</b>	<i>Christian Weller</i>
B09-99	<b>Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning</b>	<i>Volker Wieland</i>
B08-99	<b>The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Christian Weller</i>

- B07-99 **Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evidence from the OECD** *Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-Uwe Wächter*
- B06-99 **Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach** *Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-Uwe Wächter*
- B05-99 **Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria** *Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch*
- B04-99 **The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evidence for Poland from Panel Data** *Christian Weller*
- B03-99 **The Macroeconomics of Happiness** *Rafael Di Tella, Robert McCulloch and Andrew J. Oswald*
- B02-99 **The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data** *Rafael Di Tella and Robert McCulloch*
- B01-99 **The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?** *Robert B.H. Hauswald*
- 1998**
- B16-98 **Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B15-98 **Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?** *Stefan Lutz*
- B14-98 **Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU-Russian Trade** *Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell*
- B13-98 **Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing** *Jürgen von Hagen*
- B12-98 **Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nominal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero** *Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland*
- B11A-98 **Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanzlage" der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU** *Rolf Strauch*
- B11-98 **Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Study of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997** *Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas*
- B10-98 **Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union** *Martin Seidel*
- B09-98 **U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB** *Robert L. Hetzel*
- B08-98 **Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Analysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)** *Bernd Hayo*
- B07-98 **Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: Some Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program** *John W. Maxwell*
- B06-98 **Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues** *Kenneth Kletzer*
- B05-98 **Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)** *Bernd Hayo*
- B04-98 **The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B03-98 **Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System** *Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender*
- B02-98 **Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality** *Jaleel Ahmad*
- B01-98 **Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline** *Jürgen von Hagen*
- 1997**
- B04-97 **Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Insurance or Federalism?** *Kenneth Kletzer*
- B-03-97 **Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunications: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry** *Tom Lyon / John Mayo*
- B02-97 **Employment and EMU** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B01-97 **A Stability Pact for Europe** *(a Forum organized by ZEI)*

---

ISSN 1436 - 6053

---

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung  
Center for European Integration Studies  
Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3  
D-53113 Bonn  
Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732  
Fax: +49-228-73-1809  
[www.zei.de](http://www.zei.de)