

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Campos, Nauro F.

## Working Paper Back to the future: The growth prospects of transition economies reconsidered

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 13-2000

**Provided in Cooperation with:** ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

*Suggested Citation:* Campos, Nauro F. (2000) : Back to the future: The growth prospects of transition economies reconsidered, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 13-2000, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39542

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Nauro F. Campos

Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Economies Reconsidered

orkinc/ B 13 2000

# BACK TO THE FUTURE: THE GROWTH PROSPECTS OF TRANSITION ECONOMIES RECONSIDERED\*

Nauro F. Campos\*\* CERGE-EI, Prague, Centre for Economic Policy Research, London, and William Davidson Institute at the University of Michigan.

This version: August 15, 2000

Abstract. How many years will the average transition economy need to reach the income level of the average OECD country? The favored methodology in use to answer such questions is referred to as the *BLR approach*, because it uses specifications from Barro, and Levine and Renelt. The literature has so far refrained from identifying and testing the underlying assumptions of the *BLR approach*. This paper attempts to fill this gap. Our results contrast sharply with the assumptions and findings from the *BLR approach*, questioning its might and challenging our understanding of the transition process in its key dimension.

*Journal of Economic Literature* classification numbers: E23, O40, P20, P52. Keywords: economic growth, transition economies, growth prospects.

\* I thank John Bonin, Laszlo Csaba, Jan Fidrmuc, Randall Filer, Jürgen von Hagen, Byeongju Jeong, Ella Kallai, Jan Kmenta, Vincent Koen, Lubomir Lizal, Maxim Nikitin, Jeffrey Nugent, Vladimir Popov, James Robinson, Mark Schaffer, Viatcheslav Vinogradov, two anonymous referees and seminar participants at CERGE-EI, University of São Paulo, ZEI-University of Bonn, CEPR Summer Workshop on Transition Economics (Budapest), EACES (Varna), IMAD (Bled), and EPCS (Lisbon) Meetings for valuable comments on earlier versions. The paper benefited immensely from a visit of the author to the Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI) at the University of Bonn. Aurelijus Dabušinskas and Dana Zlabkova provided alacritous research assistance. The responsibility for all remaining errors is entirely mine. The data set constructed for this paper is available from the author upon request, or as *http://home.cerge.cuni.cz/ncampos/btfpanel.xls* 

\*\* CERGE-EI, P.O. Box 882, Politických vězňů 7, 111 21 Prague 1, Czech Republic. E-mail: nauro.campos@cerge.cuni.cz

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

How many years will the average transition economy need to reach the income level of the average OECD country? What will be the average growth rates of the transition economies for the next thirty years? How fast will the average transition economy "catch-up" with the poorest members of the European Union? How long will it take for all the "command economy features" to disappear from these economies? And once they disappear, which transition economies need be treated as developing countries? These are important interrelated questions. And difficult ones too because the transition experience, paradoxically, justifies *and* entraps the available answers. It justifies them by appealing to the fact that transition is temporary: after a while, the standard set of growth determinants will take over. On the other hand, the uniqueness of the transition experience entraps the available answers because it questions whether and how fast the transition —as well as the remaining command— features will disappear.<sup>1</sup>

The focus of the burgeoning literature addressing these questions is on growth prospects and hence concerns estimating, or forecasting, long-run growth rates. The methodology favored in this literature is here referred to as the Barro-Levine-Renelt (hereafter, *BLR*) *approach*. It proceeds in two steps, first coefficients from growth regressions (on large samples of developing countries) are estimated (or taken from specifications found in Barro, 1991, and/or Levine and Renelt, 1992), and second these coefficients are imposed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fisher et al. (1996a) point out that "a useful way to think about the current growth prospects of the transition economies is to consider them subject to two sets of forces: those arising from the transition and transformation process, and the basic neoclassical determinants of growth. The further along a country is in the transition process, the less weight on the factors that determine the transitional growth rate, and the greater the weight on the standard determinants of growth" (p. 231).

on transition economies' cross-sectional data. The literature refrained from highlighting and testing the assumptions buttressing the *BLR approach*. This paper attempts to fill this gap.

The objective of this paper is to discuss the limitations of the available methods for assessing the growth prospects transition economies face, and by doing so, investigate long-run economic growth determinants in these economies.<sup>2</sup> The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the literature on the growth prospects the transition countries face. Section 3 critically details the mechanics of the *BLR approach*. Section 4 presents the data on transition economies used to re-estimate the *BLR equations*, in Section 5. Our results contrast sharply with the assumptions and findings from the *BLR approach*, questioning its might and challenging our understanding of the transition process in its key dimension. Section 6 concludes.

#### 2. RELATED STUDIES

The objective of this section is to review the literature on the growth prospects the transition economies face. The emphasis is on cross-country studies, in particular, those paying attention not only to Central and Eastern Europe but also to former Soviet Union countries.

The first systematic analysis of growth prospects of transition economies, to the best of our knowledge, appeared in the *World Economic Outlook* (IMF, 1996) in the chapter "Long-Term Growth Potential in the Countries in Transition". It uses the *BLR approach* to simulate the effects of lowering the share of public expenditures (except on education) to 15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For excellent surveys of the growth literature, see Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1995) and Aghion and Howitt (1998). On econometric methodology and empirical evidence see Islam (1995), Lee et al. (1997, 1998), Temple (1999) and Durlauf and Quah (1999). For a survey of the literature on growth in transition, see Campos and Coricelli (2000).

percent of GDP and of raising investment rates to 30 percent of GDP. Not surprisingly, it finds that both changes would increase growth substantially.

Havlik (1996) bypasses the *BLR approach* by assuming a growth rate differential in real per capita GDP of 3 percentage points between the CEEC- $7^3$  and the European Union average. The question is: given the 1995 levels of real per capita GDP, how many years will the CEEC-7 countries need to catch-up with the EU or, more likely, with its poorer members? Havlik concludes that "convergence between the two most advanced CEEC countries and Spain (...) could not happen before 2005. For the other CEEC members to converge to the EU average by 2010 would require a growth differential of more than 5 per cent, a highly unrealistic assumption" (1996, pp.42-44).

Denizer (1997) stresses that initial conditions matter, as proxied by distance (in miles) from Vienna and whether the country was independent before socialism. For growth prospects, Denizer opts for using only the Levine-Renelt specification on the basis that it "includes variables that are shown to be robust in various specifications of the growth equation" (1997, p. 13). In addition, Denizer extends previous analyses by considering a broader sample of transition economies (adding Mongolia, China and Vietnam). Finally, as a simulation exercise, he evaluates the impact of raising the investment rate to 30 percent from current levels on the number of years these economies will need to reach current OECD income levels.

One important contribution to this literature is made in the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development's *Transition Report 1997* (EBRD, 1997, chapter 6). This Report contrasts the findings on the transition economies' growth prospects that originate from the Levine-Renelt specification with those that originate from an alternative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CEEC-7 is Hungary, Czech Republic, Poland, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Bulgaria and Romania.

specification that includes, *inter alia*, an index of institutional development.<sup>4</sup> This suggests a downward revision of the forecasted long-run growth rates: even for those transition economies with relatively high-quality institutions (and for which, institutional data are available), the absence of further institutional change should lower long-term growth rates by 1.5 percentage points.

Fisher, Sahay and Vegh (1997) use coefficients from Barro (1991) and from Levine and Renelt (1992) and cross-sectional data (for 1994) from 15 transition economies to forecast GDP and per capita GDP growth rates. They also conduct two simulation exercises. The first uses the Barro coefficients to investigate the consequences (in terms of the number of years needed to reach current OECD income levels) of lowering government consumption from current levels to 10 percent of GDP. The second uses the Levine and Renelt specification to look at the impact on growth of raising the investment rate to 30 percent of GDP from current levels. In subsequent work (1998), the authors use the BLR approach for a smaller sample of transition economies (Central European and Baltic countries) to assess their catching-up prospects with the European Union. They carry out two simulation exercises to estimate the number of years it will be needed to these transition economies to converge to the income levels of the three "low-income EU countries," Greece, Portugal and Spain, assuming that the latter will grow at 2 per cent per annum. The first simulation uses the Barro specification to investigate the consequences of lowering government consumption from current levels to 10 percent of GDP. The second uses the Levine and Renelt specification to look at the impact on growth of raising the investment rate to 30 percent of GDP, from its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This composite index encompasses "expropriation risk", "rule of law", "risk of contract repudiation by the government", "corruption", and "quality of the bureaucracy" (EBRD, 1997, p. 106). The "enlarged" Levine-Renelt specification includes enrollment rates in primary school, changes in international prices, and growth of labor force (instead of population growth).

current levels. One innovation this paper brings is a quantification of the income losses incurred during the socialist period: using 1937 data for 6 countries, they estimate that approximately two-thirds of GDP per capita were lost during the socialist experiment.

There are a number of important studies focusing on smaller samples of transition countries. Borenzstein and Montiel (1992) and Sachs and Warner (1996) both examine only three transition countries. The former uses the Mankiw-Romer-Weil framework to identify long term growth paths, while the latter uses three countries' experience to argue that harmonizing with the European Union policy standards will result in lower growth rates than following the policies of the group the authors define as "very fast growing developing economies." Barbone and Zalduendo (1997) modify the *BLR approach* in that they estimate their own theoretical model for a large sample of developing countries and then use the coefficients to discuss accession to the European Union of five candidates.<sup>5</sup>

### **3. THE BLR APPROACH**

The *BLR approach* is ubiquitous. This section discusses it in detail. The *BLR approach* consists of two steps. First, the coefficients from growth regressions on large samples of developing countries are estimated or, more often, "taken" from Barro (1991) and/or Levine and Renelt (1992). The "Barro equation" (and the ordinary least squares estimates) used in the papers reviewed above is:

GDPGROWTH = 0.0302 - 0.0075 \* Y0 + 0.025 \* PRIM + 0.0305 \* SEC - 0.119 \* GOV,

while the "Levine and Renelt equation" (and the ordinary least squares estimates) is:

*GDPGROWTH* = - 0.83 - 0.35 \* *Y*0 - 0.38 \* *POP* + 3.17 \* *SEC* + 17.5 \* *INV*,

٨

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See also Barta and Url (1996) and Fidrmuc (2000).

where *GDPGROWTH* is per capita real GDP growth, *Y0* is the initial level of per capita income, *PRIM* is the gross primary school enrollment rate, *SEC* is the gross secondary school enrollment rate, *POP* is the rate of population growth, *GOV* is the share of government consumption in GDP, and *INV* is the share of investment in GDP.

The second step in the *BLR approach* is to impose these coefficients on transition economies' data in the following manner. First, data for a set of transition economies are collected on all *BLR variables*, often for 1994 or 1995. Second, these values are, for each country, multiplied by their respective coefficients and summed to the constant term. The result is the estimated long-run growth rate.

The long-run growth rates the *BLR approach* generates average 5.2 percent and range from 1.8 percent (Bulgaria) to 11.57 percent (Turkmenistan). These rates are clearly too high and this is because transition economies have higher stocks of physical and human capital and lower rates of population growth vis-à-vis the market economies, at similar levels of development, upon which those least squares coefficients are estimated. By imposing these regression coefficients on transition economies' data, the approach implicitly assumes that the transition countries are structurally identical to market economies at similar levels of development. Indeed, that this crucial assumption remains untested is a major limitation of the *BLR approach*.<sup>6</sup> In order to test this assumption, one needs to estimate the *BLR equations* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> There are some other important problems. What the literature calls the "Barro specification" can not be found in Barro's 1991 paper. There is one specification that contains the coefficients shown above (equation 1 in Table 1, pp. 410-11), but it contains three other variables: the sum of the number of revolutions and coups per year, the number of political assassinations per capita per year, and "the magnitude of the deviation of the 1960 PPP value for the investment deflator (U.S.=1) from the sample mean" (Barro, 1991). Although the "Levine and Renelt specification" is in their 1992 paper, this specification does not solely includes variables that are robust in explaining growth. Indeed, the

using transition countries' data. If the resulting coefficients are similar to the ones presented above, then the approach is fully justified.

#### 4. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The data set constructed for this paper contains all the variables in the two equations underlying the *BLR approach* —namely, initial per capita income, per capita GDP growth rates, population growth,<sup>7</sup> gross domestic investment (as a share of GDP), gross enrollment ratios in primary and secondary school, and general government expenditures and consumption (as a share of GDP)— and covers the period 1989 to 1998. Table 1 gives basic statistics, sources, coverage, and number of missing observations per series, Table 2 shows the countries in the sample, and Table 3 has the correlation matrix.

#### [Insert Table 1 about here]

A caveat about data quality and comparability is needed. These problems are many and are well documented (Bartholdy, 1997). Socialist statistical offices had a comparative advantage in measuring quantities, and were ill equipped to deal with issues like price changes (let alone inflation) and unemployment. Moreover, the systemic transformation meant a radical change in incentives from fulfilling plan targets to evading taxes, from overreporting to under-reporting output. The combination of these difficulties in measuring quantity and prices has led De Broeck and Koen to note that, in transition, there is no "single, true real GDP series" (2000). Last, but not least, the initial years of the transition witnessed an

results in Levine and Renelt's Table 1 (1992, p. 947) indicate that population growth is not a "robust" growth determinant.

<sup>7</sup> Notice that population growth does not fully reflect changes in the labor force caused by *inter alia* differences in participation rates and migration. The latter was sizeable in some countries in the early 1990s, like Albania or Armenia. I am thankful to an anonymous referee for the latter point.

extraordinary explosion in size of the "hidden" economy. All these factors should be kept in mind when examining the results below.

One difficulty in identifying which countries are "at similar levels of development" is that while the transition economies started out clustered in the "upper-middle income" group<sup>8</sup>, ten years later they are found widely spread over the rank of countries (by their level of development). This can be fully grasped if we name the "new neighbors" of the transition economies. Among transition countries, Tajikistan and the Kyrgyz Republic have the lowest GDP per capita in 1998 (followed by Moldova), while Slovenia has the highest (followed by the Czech Republic and Croatia, respectively). The "median" transition economy is Kazakhstan. Bangladesh is the developing country with the same GNP per capita in 1998 as Tajikistan and the Kyrgyz Republic. Haiti and Mauritania are the countries with the same GNP per capita in 1998 as Moldova. At the other extreme of this distribution, the country immediately above Slovenia is Portugal, and the one immediately below is Argentina. The Czech Republic ranks between Uruguay and Chile, while Croatia ranks between Brazil and Hungary. The dispersion in the transition group increased substantially since 1989 and this list of countries in close positions clarifies the difficulty in establishing the relevant comparators or groups of countries at similar levels of development.<sup>9</sup> Most of the former

<sup>9</sup> As for levels of development, one can argue that income per capita alone does not do justice to the years of effort to improve social conditions (e.g., education and health) that characterized the socialist regimes. UNDP (1998) ranks 174 countries according to their "human development index" (which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The World Bank ranks countries by their level of economic development, using as criterion (1998) GNP per capita (exchange rates conversion). "The groups are: low-income, \$760 or less; lower-middle-income, \$761-\$3,030; upper-middle-income, \$3,031-\$9,630; and high-income: \$9,361 or more" (World Bank, *1999/2000 World Development Report*, p. 291). According to this *Report*, Slovenia is the only "high income" country in this sample of 25 transition economies.

Soviet Union countries end this period as "low income" or "lower-middle income," while the majority of the Central and Eastern Europeans (and Baltic) countries in the late 1990s are classified as "upper-middle income" economies.

Clearly, dispersion increased because of large differences in performance. Table 2 shows annual real GDP growth rates. A few remarks are in order. First, as it can be seen from the last column, so far only three countries have surpassed the 1989 level of per capita GDP. Second, the countries of Eastern Europe experienced output declines that turned out to be much smaller than the ones observed, at a *later* date, among the CIS economies. And finally, there seems to be a "Baltic puzzle": although Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania all had output contractions comparable to other CIS countries, their recovery was much faster.

#### [Insert Tables 2 and 3 about here]

What can explain these differences? The expectation is that at least part of the answer can be found in the variables underlying the *BLR approach*, namely in investment rates, population growth, school enrollment ratios,<sup>10</sup> and government consumption. Two remarks: one is that this set of variables does not fully capture policy differences, at least not as commonly understood in the literature reviewed above. The other refers to the share of government consumption in GDP. In the study of the effects of government consensus is

reflects, in addition to income, life expectancy and education attainment.) This sample of 25 transition economies stretches from the 37<sup>th</sup> (Slovenia, immediately preceded by Argentina and followed by Uruguay) to the 118<sup>th</sup> place (Tajikistan, immediately preceded by Cape Verde and followed by Honduras). The median country is Macedonia (in 80<sup>th</sup> place), immediately preceded by Lithuania and followed by Syria. In sum, the dispersion seems to have increased also along these lines.

<sup>10</sup> These are gross enrollment rates for "basic education" (ISCED 1 and 2) and "secondary education" (ISCED 3). The former is often called "compulsory schooling" and normally lasts from age 6 or 7 to age 14 or 15. Often divided into primary (to age 10) and lower secondary levels.

being built upon the notion that different types of expenditures have different effects on economic growth.<sup>11</sup> Yet notice that in the *BLR approach* (in the "Barro specification" in particular) it enters with a negative sign.

The *BLR approach* confines methodological choices: the two equations are to be estimated by ordinary least squares on cross-sectional data, correcting for heteroscedasticity. However, restricting the analysis to the cross-sectional dimension (or not extending it into the time-series dimension) clearly does no justice to "transition." How can we take into account "transition features" without leaving the *BLR framework*? In other words, how can we allow for the typically V-shaped short-run output dynamics as well as for the effects of different policy choices without adding variables? One solution is to re-base the *BLR variables* on different time scales,<sup>12</sup> another is to estimate the *BLR equations* for downturn and recovery phases separately. These allow using pooled OLS while attending to problems of simultaneity (between growth and policies as discussed by Heybey and Murrell, 1999), omitted variables as well as the capture of the "phase effect."

<sup>12</sup> The results discussed in the next section were subjected to four different of time scales: the first is "transition time" from Berg et al. (1999) with year zero denoting the "year in which central planning was decisively abandoned." The second is "years of transition" following Blanchard (1997), with year one indicating the year of the most significant fall in industrial output. Note that Blanchard studies just a few countries, so data from the U.N Economic Commission for Europe (1996) were used to identify this year for the complete sample. The third is "post-reform time" from Aslund et al. (1996), with zero marking the year of most intense reform. The fourth and last time scale used was "stabilization time" from Fisher et al. (1998), with year zero being the year of the introduction of the stabilization program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Devarajan, Swaroop and Zou (1996) and references therein. Analyses focusing on transition economies include Chu and Schwartz (1994) and Campos and Coricelli (2000).

#### **5. BACK TO THE FUTURE**

The objective of this section is to estimate the equations underlying the *BLR approach* using the data set discussed above. It is important to keep in mind that the results that follow are not extremely robust: the exclusion of certain countries in some runs, or the inclusion of some variables in certain specifications, alters the statistical significance levels of many coefficients. Therefore, we found it important to report in addition to the "original BLR equations," results for a number of stripped as well as enlarged versions of these equations to allow some latitude in judgement.

We start by exploring the cross-sectional dimension of our data set, for the case of the "Barro specification" (Table 4). We follow Barro (1991) and report ordinary least squares estimates on averages for all variables over the period 1990-1998. Reading the table from top to bottom, notice first the rather few statistically significant coefficients. This is surprising because, after all, these variables have been identified as long-run (growth) determinants and one would expect that they would play a role, at least in a cross-sectional frame. Examining the individual columns (variables), notice that the sign of the initial income coefficient is positive (although not often statistically significant) in all five specifications contrary to the expected sign and is statistically significant throughout.<sup>13</sup> Although not statistically significant, it is interesting to note that both "secondary education" and "government consumption" carry signs that are in stark contrast to the signs postulated by the *BLR approach*.<sup>14</sup> Also worth mentioning is that the CIS dummy variable (which assumes the value

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> However, if UNESCO or World Bank primary education figures are used instead, the coefficient becomes statistically insignificant. These are available from the author upon request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> If instead of government consumption, government expenditures is used, the relevant coefficient becomes statistically significant (and remains positive). These results are available from the author

of 1 for CIS countries, and zero otherwise) carries the expected sign and is statistically significant.

### [Insert Table 4 about here]

Table 5 shows results for the cross-sectional dimension of the "Levine and Renelt specification." Once again, the lack of statistically significant coefficients is evident. One exception is the coefficient on initial income, but it carries an unexpected positive sign and is statistically significant in the first two specifications. The sign for secondary education is also opposite to what we should expect from the *BLR approach*. Notice that the introduction of the CIS dummy (a step known to quiver most of the results in the literature) turns the coefficient on investment into statistical significance (a very rare result in the literature).<sup>15</sup>

### [Insert Table 5 about here]

Because the results above vigorously contradict the *BLR* findings, it is worth giving the data one more chance. This is accomplished by exploring the time-series dimension in the data in order to investigate whether in a shorter-run frame the *BLR results* would appear. The explicit cost of this choice is that the theoretical underpinnings that were guiding the previous findings do not hold here. The theory associated with the *BLR equations* focuses on the determinants of long-run economic growth and has very little to say about short-term fluctuations, making the findings that follow exploratory.

Table 6 shows how the Barro specification performs for a pooled ordinary least squares estimation on cross-section time-series annual data. The first noteworthy result is that the coefficient on initial income is always positive and (in one equation) statistically

upon request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Notice that these results do not change in any meaningful way for the two BLR equations if these averages are calculated only for the recovery period. These are available from the author upon request.

significant (against the *BLR* expectation). Note that the CIS dummy variable still carries the expected sign and is statistically significant. The major changes, vis-à-vis the cross-sectional results above, are that the coefficient on basic education is not statistically significant and the coefficient on secondary education becomes statistically significant (and shows the expected positive sign).<sup>16</sup>

### [Insert Table 6 about here]

Table 7 shows how the Levine and Renelt specification performs for cross-section time-series data. In light of our other results, it performs rather well and despite the very low Adjusted-R<sup>2</sup>'s, only one coefficient carries the unexpected sign (namely the one for initial income). The coefficients on secondary education, investment and on the CIS dummy are all statistically significant and carry the expected signs.<sup>17</sup>

#### [Insert Table 7 about here]

Until now, the analysis has not fully taken into account the "transition features" previously mentioned. In order to allow for the typically V-shaped short-run output dynamics as well as for the effects of different policy choices without leaving the *BLR framework* we estimate the *BLR equations* for downturn and recovery phases separately.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The results for data re-based using any of the four different time scales discussed in the previous section are qualitatively similar to these. They are not reported for the sake of space but are available from the author upon request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Notice that if UNESCO secondary education figures are used instead, the coefficient on secondary school and investment become statistically insignificant (and adding the CIS dummy makes only the coefficient on investment statistically significant). If World Bank secondary education figures are used instead, the coefficient on secondary school becomes statistically significant, but it carries a negative sign. These results are available from the author upon request.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> I am thankful to an anonymous referee for these suggestions.

Tables 8 and 9 show how the Barro's specification perform for the downturn and recovery phases, respectively. One first issue to notice is that the coefficients on secondary education and, surprisingly, the CIS dummy are statistically insignificant in the downturn as well as in the recovery. Also interesting is that that the coefficient of government consumption is always positive (although statistically significant only during the downturn phase) and that the coefficient on "basic education" is positive in the downturn (and often statistically significant) but negative in the recovery (also often statistically significant).

### [Insert Tables 8 and 9 about here]

Finally, Tables10 and 11 show how the Levine and Renelt's specification perform for the downturn and recovery phases, respectively. One first observation is the complete absence of statistically significant coefficients for the recovery phase. Also notice that when one separates downturn from recovery, the CIS looses explanatory power considerably. Last, it is noteworthy that the coefficient on investment is positive and statistically significant in the downturn, but in the recovery it is never statistically significant.

[Insert Tables 10 and 11 about here]

#### 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The objective of this paper was to discuss the limitations of the available methods for assessing the growth prospects transition economies face and, in doing so, investigate growth determinants for these economies. We surveyed the literature and identified the *BLR approach* as the favored methodology in use to estimate or forecast long-run growth rates in transition economies. Closer examination revealed many problems with the approach, to which the literature does not seem attentive. In particular, a crucial assumption remain untested, namely that the transition countries are structurally identical to market economies at similar levels of development. In this paper, we tested it and found little evidence in its support. As for the

*BLR approach* as a whole, we found that the coefficients in the *BLR equations* vary widely when estimated on transition countries' data. There are indeed very few robust results. There is some evidence that higher initial incomes are associated with higher rates of economic growth and there is also some evidence that basic education and investment have also been positively associated with economic growth. However, these are clearly exceptions: the *BLR approach* does not perform well for the transition countries at all. This strengthens the case for making its costs and shortcomings explicit all the more pressing.

#### REFERENCES

- Aghion, Philippe and Peter Howitt, *Endogenous Growth Theory*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 1998.
- Åslund, Anders, Boone, Peter and Simon Johnson, "How to Stabilize: Lessons from Postcommunist Countries", *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1, pp. 217-291, 1996.
- Barbone, Luca and Juan Zalduendo, "EU Accession and Economic Growth: The Challenge for Central and Eastern European Countries", Washington D.C., World Bank, WPS 1721 February 1997.
- Barro, Robert, "Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries", *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, pp. 407-444, 1991.
- Barro, Robert and Sala-i-Martin, Economic Growth, MacGraw Hill, 1995.
- Barta, Vit and Thomas Url, Growth Perspectives of Five Central European Transition Countries, Vienna, WIFO, 1996.
- Bartholdy, Kasper, "Old and New Problems in the Estimation of National Accounts in Transition Economies", *Economics of Transition*, 5 (1), pp.131-146, May 1997.
- Blanchard, Olivier, *The Economics of Post-Communist Transition*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1997.
- Berg, Andrew, Borenzstein, Eduardo, Sahay, Ratna and Jeromin Zettelmeyer, "The Evolution of Output in Transition Economies: Explaining the Differences," Washington D.C., IMF Working Paper No. 99/73, May 1999.
- Borenzstein, Eduardo and Peter Montiel, "Savings, Investment and Growth in Eastern Europe", in George Winkler (ed) *Central and Eastern Europe: Roads to Growth*, Washington D.C., IMF, 1992.
- Campos, Nauro and Fabrizio Coricelli, "Growth in Transition: What We Know, What We Don't and What We Should," CERGE-EI and University of Sienna, mimeo, 2000.
- Chu, Ke-young and Gerd Schwartz, "Output Decline and Government Expenditures in European Transition Economies," Washington D.C., IMF Working Paper No. 94/68, June 1994.
- De Broek, Mark and Vincent Koen, "The Great Contractions in Russia, the Baltics and the Other Countries of the Former Soviet Union: A View From the Supply Side," Washington D.C., IMF Working Paper No. 00/32, March 2000
- De Melo, Martha, Cevdet Denizer, and Alan Gelb, "From Plan to Market: Patterns of Transition", *World Bank Economic Review*, 10 (3) pp. 397-424, September 1996.

- De Melo, Martha, Cevdet Denizer, Alan Gelb and S. Tenev, "Circumstance and Choice: The Role of Initial Conditions and Policies in Transition Economies," Washington D.C., World Bank, WPS 1866, December 1997.
- De Melo, Martha and Alan Gelb, "Transition to Date: A Comparative Overview", in Salvatore Zecchini (ed) *Lessons from the Economic Transition: Central and Eastern Europe in the 1990s*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Publishers, 1997.
- Denizer, Cevdet, "Stabilization, Adjustment, and Growth Prospects in Transition Economies", Washington D.C., World Bank, WPS 1855 November 1997.
- Devarajan, S., Swaroop, V. and H. Zou, "The Composition of Public Expenditure and Economic Growth," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 37 (3), pp. 313-344, 1996.
- Durlauf, Steven and Danny Quah, "The New Empirics of Economic Growth," in John Taylor and Michael Woodford (eds) *Handbook of Macroeconomics: Volume 1A*, Amsterdam, Elsevier, 1999.
- European Bank for Reconstruction and Development [EBRD], *Transition Report*, London, European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, various issues.
- Fidrmuc, Jan, "Forecasting Growth in Transition Economies: A Reassessment," Bonn, Center for European Integration Studies, mimeo, 2000.
- Fisher, Stanley, Ratna Sahay and Carlos Vegh, "Economies in Transition: The Beginnings of Growth", *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings*, 86 (2), pp. 229-233, May 1996a.
- Fisher, Stanley, Ratna Sahay and Carlos Vegh, "Stabilization and Growth in Transition Economies: The Early Experience", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 10 (2), pp.45-66, Spring 1996b.
- Fisher, Stanley, Ratna Sahay and Carlos Vegh, "From Transition to Market: Evidence and Growth Prospects", in Salvatore Zecchini (ed) *Lessons from the Economic Transition: Central and Eastern Europe in the 1990s*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Publishers, 1997.
- Fisher, Stanley, Ratna Sahay and Carlos Vegh, "How Far is Eastern Europe from Brussels?" Washington D.C., IMF Working Paper No. 98/53, April 1998.
- Havlik, Peter, "Stabilization and Prospects for Sustainable Growth in the Transition Economies", in Mark Knell (ed) *Economies of Transition: Structural Adjustment and Growth Prospects in Eastern Europe*, Edward Elgar, 1996.
- Heybey, Berta and Peter Murrell, "The Relationship between Economic Growth and the Speed of Liberalization During Transition", *Journal of Policy Reform*, 3, 121-137, 1999.
- International Monetary Fund [IMF], *World Economic Outlook*, Washington D.C., International Monetary Fund, October 1996.

- International Monetary Fund [IMF], *World Economic Outlook*, Washington D.C., International Monetary Fund, May 1998.
- Islam, Nazrul, "Growth Empirics: A Panel Data Approach," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol.110, pp.1127-1170, 1995.
- Lee, Kevin, Pesaran, Hashem and Ron Smith, "Growth and Convergence in a Multi-country Empirical Stochastic Solow Model," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 1997, Vol.12 No.4, pp.357-392.
- Lee, Kevin, Pesaran, Hashem and Ron Smith, "Growth Empirics: A Panel Data Approach A Comment," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol.113, pp.319-323, 1998.
- Levine, Ross and David Renelt, "A Sensitivity Analysis of Cross-Country Growth Regressions", *American Economic Review*, 82 (4), pp. 942-963, September 1992.
- Sachs, Jeffrey and Andrew Warner, "Achieving Rapid Growth in the Transition Economies of Central Europe", Stockholm, Stockholm Institute of East European Economies Working Paper No. 116, June 1996.
- Temple, Jonathan, "The New Growth Evidence," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37, 112-56, March 1999.
- United Nations Development Program [UNDP], *Human Development Report*, New York, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- United Nations Economic Commission for Europe [ECE], *Trends in Europe and North America*, Geneve, 1995.
- United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, *Economic Survey of Europe in 1995-1996*, Geneve, 1996.
- UNESCO, UNESCO Statistical Yearbook, Paris, UNESCO, 1997.
- UNICEF, TransMONEE database, available on the internet at <u>http://www.unicef.org/</u>
- Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies, Countries in Transition 1997: WIIW Handbook of Statistics, Vienna, WIIW, 1997.
- World Bank, *Statistical Handbook: States of the Former USSR*, Washington, D.C., The World Bank, 21, 1996.
- World Bank, World Development Report [WDR], Washington D.C., World Bank, various issues.
- World Bank, World Development Indicators [WDI], Washington D.C., World Bank, various issues.

	Table 1       Basic statistics, sources, and coverage										
Variables	Period	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	No. Missing	Source(s)				
GNP per capita PPP, US\$	1989	5593	2111.8	1400	9200	0	De Melo, Denizer, Gelb, and Tenev, (1997)				
GDP per capita, current dollars	1989	2668	1397.3	723	6052	0	WDI 2000 and ECE 1995				
GDP per capita, current dollars	1990-1998	2135	1784.5	220	9851	5	WDI 2000, and ECE 1995.				
GDP growth, annual, %	1990-1998	-4.3	10.2	-52.6	12.7	0	EBRD				
Gross primary school enrollment (1), %	1990-1995	94.8	9.1	76.0	118.0	94	UNESCO (1997)				
Gross primary school enrollment (2), %	1990-1996	96.0	8.7	75.9	121.8	76	WDI 2000				
Basic education gross enrollment, (3) %	1990-1998	91.6	5.1	78.8	99.8	11	UNICEF's TransMONEE				
Gross secondary school enrollment, (1) %	1990-1995	80.8	12.9	35.0	102.0	84	UNESCO (1997)				
Gross secondary school enrollment, (2) %	1990-1997	85.1	11.8	37.5	103.8	76	WDI 2000				
General secondary gross enrollment, (3) %	1990-1998	26.5	7.6	8.8	45.6	15	UNICEF's TransMONEE				
Gross domestic fixed investment, % GDP	1990-1998	20.7	7.0	1.6	44.3	25	WDI 2000, WDI, WDR				
Population growth, annual, %	1990-1998	0.2	1.2	-4.9	6.9	0	WDI 2000				
Government consumption, % GDP	1990-1998	17.6	5.0	5.9	29.4	16	WDI 2000, WDR				
Government expenditure, % GDP	1990-1998	39.3	11.6	10.4	82.9	26	UNICEF's TransMONEE				

						Table 2					
			25 Transi	tion Cour	ntries, initia	al (1989) G	NP level a	and growth	rates		
	-	1	1		r	1	1	1		1	1
	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	Estimated level
	PPP level										of real GDP in 1998 (1989=100)
Albania	1400	-10.0	-27.7	-7.2	9.6	9.4	8.9	9.1	-7.0	8.0	86
Bulgaria	5000	-9.1	-11.7	-7.3	-1.5	1.8	2.1	-10.1	-7.0	3.5	66
Croatia	6171	-7.1	-21.1	-11.7	-8.0	5.9	6.8	6.0	6.5	2.3	78
Czech Republic	8600	-1.2	-11.5	-3.3	0.6	3.2	6.4	3.8	0.3	-2.3	95
Estonia	8900	-8.1	-13.6	-14.2	-9.0	-2.0	4.3	3.9	10.6	4.0	76
Macedonia	3394	-9.9	-7.0	-8.0	-9.1	-1.8	-1.2	0.8	1.5	2.9	72
Hungary	6810	-3.5	-11.9	-3.1	-0.6	2.9	1.5	1.3	4.6	5.1	95
Latvia	8590	2.9	-10.4	-34.9	-14.9	0.6	-0.8	3.3	8.6	3.6	59
Lithuania	6430	-5.0	-6.2	-21.3	-16.0	-9.5	3.5	4.9	7.4	5.2	65
Poland	5150	-11.6	-7.0	2.6	3.8	5.2	7.0	6.1	6.9	4.8	117
Romania	3470	-5.6	-12.9	-8.8	1.5	3.9	7.1	4.1	-6.9	-7.3	76
Slovakia	7600	-2.5	-14.6	-6.5	-3.7	4.9	6.9	6.6	6.5	4.4	100
Slovenia	9200	-4.7	-8.9	-5.5	2.8	5.3	4.1	3.5	4.6	3.9	104
CEEB		-6.6	-10.7	-3.6	0.4	3.9	5.5	4.0	3.6	2.4	95
Armenia	5530	-7.4	-17.1	-52.6	-14.8	5.4	6.9	5.8	3.1	7.2	41
Azerbaijan	4620	-11.7	-0.7	-22.6	-23.1	-19.7	-11.8	1.3	5.8	10.1	44
Belarus	7010	-3.0	-1.2	-9.6	-7.6	-12.6	-10.4	2.8	10.4	8.3	78
Georgia	5590	-12.4	-20.6	-44.8	-25.4	-11.4	2.4	10.5	110	2.9	33
Kazakhstan	5130	-0.4	-13.0	-2.9	-9.2	-12.6	-8.2	0.5	2.0	-2.5	61
Kyrgyztan	3180	3.0	-5.0	-19.0	-16.0	-20.0	-5.4	7.1	9.9	1.8	60
Moldova	4670	-2.4	-17.5	-29.1	-1.2	-31.2	-3.0	-8.0	1.3	-8.6	32
Russia	7720	-4.0	-5.0	-14.5	-8.7	-12.7	-4.1	-3.5	0.8	-4.6	55
Tajikistan	3010	-1.6	-7.1	-29.0	-11.0	-18.9	-12.5	-4.4	1.7	5.3	42
Turkmenistan	4230	2.0	-4.7	-5.3	-10.0	-18.8	-8.2	-8.0	-26.1	4.2	44
Ukraine	5680	-3.4	-11.6	-13.7	-14.2	-23.0	-12.2	-10.0	-3.2	-1.7	37
Uzbekistan	2740	1.6	-0.5	-11.1	-2.3	-4.2	-0.9	1.6	2.4	3.3	90
CIS		-3.7	-6.0	-14.2	-9.3	-13.8	-5.2	-3.5	0.9	-3.5	53
ALL		-5.0	-8.1	-9.5	-5.0	-6.0	-0.5	-0.2	2.0	-1.2	65
Source: see text.	•	•	•		•	•		•			•

Table 3   Correlation matrix (n=24)									
	Initial Income	Growth	Basic education	Secondary Education	Government Consumption	Government Expenditures	CIS dummy	Investment	
Growth	0.3811								
Basic education	0.3378	0.6915							
Secondary Education	-0.1464	-0.1870	-0.1287						
Government Consumption	0.4126	0.3682	0.1348	-0.1941					
Government Expenditures	0.3223	0.6009	0.4905	-0.3569	0.4499				
CIS dummy	-0.3906	-0.6389	-0.5011	0.2319	-0.2249	-0.4622			
Investment	0.2473	0.3099	0.4591	-0.0873	0.3446	0.3327	0.0019		
Population growth	-0.5327	-0.1450	-0.3084	0.1333	-0.0288	-0.1077	0.5196	0.1355	

Table 4       Cross sectional dimension, Barro specification       Dependent variable is GDP growth.								
	Constant	Initial Income	Basic education	Secondary Education	Government Consumption	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	N
Regression 1	-7.78 *** 1.59	.0011 ** .0004					0.1222	25
Regression 2	-60.17 *** 11.27	.0005 .0004	.59 *** .12				0.4671	25
Regression 3	-57.51 *** 12.68	.0004 .0004	.58 *** .13	063 .063			0.4535	25
Regression 4	-60.89 *** 12.01	.0002 .0005	.589 *** .12	055 .065	.177 .147		0.4609	25
Regression 5	-47.09 *** 11.85	.0001 .0005	.448 *** .11	018 .064	.172 .148	-2.854 * 1.489	0.5446	25

	Table 5       Cross sectional dimension, Levine and Renelt specification       Dependent variable is GDP growth.										
	Constant	Initial Income	Population growth	Secondary Education	Investment	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	N			
Regression 1	-7.53 *** 1.97	.001 * .0005	217 .829				0.0846	25			
Regression 2	-4.97 3.16	.001 * .0005	085 .811	095 .082			0.0678	25			
Regression 3	-8.49 * 4.29	.008 .0005	.041 1.23	075 .072	.161 .136		0.0430	24			
Regression 4	-7.02 ** 3.15	.0005 .0003	1.57 1.16	007 .074	.163 * .092	-5.54*** 1.64	0.4405	24			
Notes: *** den	otes statistically s	ignificant at the	1% level, ** der	notes statistically	significant at the	e 5% level, * der	notes statisticall	y			

Table 6Panel dimension, Barro specificationDependent variable is GDP growth.								
Constant	Initial Income	Basic education	Secondary Education	Government Consumption	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	Ν	
-5.79 *** 1.22	.0008 ** .0003					0.0154	195	
-32.87** 18.19	.0004 .0004	.301 .203				0.0399	184	
-32.16 * 18.46	.0007 .0004	.258 .202	.111 .084			0.0362	180	
-33.53 * 19.12	.0006 .0004	.254 .203	.176 ** .084	.038 .151		0.0361	169	
-17.72 21.28	.0003 .0004	.114 .222	.184 ** .083	003 .154	-3.906** 1.761	0.0553	169	
	Constant -5.79 *** 1.22 -32.87** 18.19 -32.16 * 18.46 -33.53 * 19.12 -17.72 21.28	Constant     Initial Income       -5.79 ***     .0008 **       1.22     .0003       -32.87**     .0004       18.19     .0004       -32.16 *     .0007       18.46     .0004       -33.53 *     .0006       19.12     .0003       21.28     .0004	Constant     Initial Income     Basic education       -5.79 ***     .0008 **	Table 6 Panel dimension, Barro s Dependent variable is GI       Constant     Initial Income     Basic education     Secondary Education       -5.79 ***     .0008 **	Table 6 Panel dimension, Barro specification Dependent variable is GDP growth.       Constant     Initial Income     Basic education     Secondary Education     Government Consumption       -5.79 ***     .0008 **     .     .     .     .       -5.79 ***     .0008 **     .     .     .     .       -5.79 ***     .0008 **     .     .     .     .       -32.87**     .0004     .301     .     .     .       -32.16 *     .0007     .258     .111     .     .       18.19     .0004     .202     .084     .     .       -33.53 *     .0006     .254     .176 **     .038     .       19.12     .0004     .203     .084     .151       -17.72     .0003     .114     .184 **    003       21.28     .0004     .222     .083     .154	Table 6 Panel dimension, Barro specification Dependent variable is GDP growth.ConstantInitial IncomeBasic educationSecondary EducationGovernment ConsumptionCIS dummy Clis dummy Consumption-5.79 ***.0008 ** 1.22	Table 6 Panel dimension, Barro specification Dependent variable is GDP growth.ConstantInitial IncomeBasic educationSecondary EducationGovernment ConsumptionCIS dummy Adj. R2-5.79 ***.0008 ** 1.22000300.0154-5.79 ***.0008 ** 1.2200030.00154-32.87**.0004.3010.0399-32.16 *.0007.258.1110.0362-32.16 *.0004.202.0840.038-33.53 *.0006.254.176 **.0380.036119.12.0004.203.084.1510.0553-17.72.0003.114.184 **003-3.906**0.055321.28.0004.222.083.1541.761	

Table 7       Panel dimension, Levine and Renelt specification       Dependent variable is GDP growth.								
	Constant	Initial Income	Population growth	Secondary Education	Investment	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	Ν
Regression 1	-5.36*** 1.18	.0007 **	736 .543				0.0169	195
Regression 2	-9.143*** 2.704	.001*** .0003	971* .544	.127 .085			0.0342	186
Regression 3	-14.29*** 3.659	.0007 * .0003	835 1.08	.173* .092	.242 ** .122		0.0483	170
Regression 4	-10.876*** 3.612	.0001 .0003	109 1.08	.175* .089	.251 ** .122	-5.02*** 1.57	0.0861	170

Significant at the 10% level. In the first rows are the coefficients, and below are standard errors (corrected for heteroskedasticity).

Table 8 Panel dimension, Barro specification, data for downturn only. Dependent variable is GDP growth.								
	Constant	Initial Income	Basic education	Secondary Education	Government Consumption	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	Ν
Regression 1	-12.67*** 1.518	.0007					0.0042	106
Regression 2	-44.06 ** 19.642	.0002 .0006	.356 .219				0.0219	104
Regression 3	-45.62** 20.40	.00006 .00089	.397 * .219	059 .108			0.0184	100
Regression 4	-52.91 ** 21.67	00004 .00084	.425 * .230	044 .114	.276 * .143		0.0330	91
Regression 5	-51.41** 22.96	0001 .0008	.412 * .241	039 .113	.273 * .144	436 1.762	0.0221	91

Table 9     Panel dimension, Barro specification, data for recovery only.     Dependent variable is GDP growth.								
	Constant	Initial Income	Basic education	Secondary Education	Government Consumption	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	N
Regression 1	5.18*** .491	0002* .0001					0.0054	89
Regression 2	17.45** 7.358	.0001 .0001	141 * .0819				0.0276	80
Regression 3	16.57 ** 7.51	.0001 .0001	149 * .0815	.0567 .0368			0.0395	80
Regression 4	17.94** 7.601	.0001 .0001	166** .0811	.0472 .0388	.038 .055		0.0367	78
Regression 5	17.12 * 9.705	.0001 .0001	159 .098	.0472 .0392	.043 .062	.194 1.24	0.0239	78

Table 10 Panel dimension, Levine and Renelt specification, data for downturn only. Dependent variable is GDP growth.									
	Constant	Initial Income	Population Growth	Secondary Education	Investment	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	N	
Regression 1	-12.67*** 1.52	.0007					0.0042	106	
Regression 2	-12.62*** 1.46	.0007 .0005	0651 .571				-0.0054	106	
Regression 3	-12.23*** 3.54	.0008 .0007	209 .573	014 .114			-0.0144	100	
Regression 4	-14.26*** 4.49	.00003 .00086	-1.58 1.38	079 .136	.267 * .139		0.0268	87	
Regression 5	-13.17*** 4.34	00038 .00092	-1.24 1.46	063 .137	.301 ** .138	-2.37 1.81	0.0287	87	

Table 11       Panel dimension, Levine and Renelt specification, data for recovery only.       Dependent variable is GDP growth.									
	Constant	Initial Income	Population growth	Secondary Education	Investment	CIS dummy	Adj. R2	Ν	
Regression 1	5.18*** .491	0001* .0001					0.0054	89	
Regression 2	5.18*** .497	0001 .0001	.012 .324				-0.0061	89	
Regression 3	3.31*** 1.21	0001 .0001	.063 .35	.061 .037			0.0050	86	
Regression 4	3.09 * 1.75	0001 .0001	.098 .39	.055 .038	.027 .051		-0.0031	83	
Regression 5	2.66 1.76	0001 .0001	.007 .426	.055 .038	.031 .05	.667 1.04	-0.0077	83	

2008		
B01-08 <b>2007</b>	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
2006	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2000 R03.06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluc	lürgen von Hagen, Haining Zhang
D03-00	tuations	
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	Martin Seidel
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni- fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05 <b>2004</b>	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun- tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	Martin Seidel
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich- keiten der einheitlichen Geldnelitik im Eurosystem	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
B27-04	Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	Galvna Grygorenko. Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni- on with the EU	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucian T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas- sungsvertrag	Martin Seidel

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in	Iulia Traistaru
B17 04	an Enlarged EMU Foreign Exchange Regime the Real Exchange Rate and Current	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
D17-04	Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	Subluey Togan, Masan Erser
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods. Non-	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel
510 01	traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	Wu
B15-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Ex-	Christian Volpe Martincus
	plain Local Patterns?	
B14-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	Jiri Jonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of	Sami Yläoutinen
	Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Coun-	
	tries	
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte-	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
	gration	
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi-	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru,
D10.04	ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition	Laura Resmini
	Countries	Auro V. Europeol Ali M. Kuton
D09-04	Initiad Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	Ayse F. Evrensel, All M. Kulan
B08-04	Furge an Integration Productivity Growth and Real Conver-	Taner M. Yigit Ali M. Kutan
D00 04	gence	
B07-04	The Contribution of Income. Social Capital. and Institutions to	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz. Stefan H.
201 01	Human Well-being in Africa	Lutz
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	Lutz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for	Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc
	EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera-	Ulrich Bindseil
	tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	
B02-04	Iotal Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok
D01 04	for EU Enlargement Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 29 und 20 des Bei	Yang Martin Saidal
D01-04	trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen	Martin Seider
	durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	
2003		
B29-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	Jürgen von Hagen. Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version	gen
	of International Finance)	
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Martincus
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung	Martin Seidel
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for	William Pyle
	Inter-Firm Communication	
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence	Konald L. Moomaw, Mohammed
D10 02	from Asia and the Americas	A. AIWOSADI Bonald I. Maamau Mahamurud
019-02	macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	A Alwosahi
	macy Evidence nom Asia and the Americas	/ 1. / 1///05001

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on Export of Ukrainian Firms	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
D17 02	Determinants of Inter Designal Migration in the Policie States	Mibaile Hazane
D17-03	South Fost European Food and Sufference Deven estimated and	Willians Mazans
B10-03	Policy Challenges	Iulia Traistaru, Jurgen von Hagen
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness to Pay for Attributos in Lithuania, the US and the Notherlands	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
R1/ 02	ECIs and Economic Activity Some International Evidence	Charles Coodhart Boris Hofmann
D14-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Believe Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
D13-03	a Duzzlo?	Charles Goodhart, Dons Honnann
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz. Oleksandr Talave-
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B04-03 B03-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b>	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn Ashok Kaul
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debaivoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28 02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality. Politics and Economic Growth	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27 02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Boverty Trans and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Manstern Comparison and Bick Dramiums in the EU Condi	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Deling, Institutional Van Economia Enstern	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B25-02 B24-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effecte of Ouetee on Vertical Inter Inductor Trade	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stafan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B25-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Days Steate els Lender of Lext Premiums in the Dir Addition	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steirer
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B22-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B22-02 B24-02 B22-02 B22-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B22-02 B22-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B22-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch Guntram B Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi-	Iulia Traistaru. Guntram B. Wolff
	tion Countries	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Ac- cession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	lulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Scha- ber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen. Bernd Havo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
Dac a:	That of the Europaen Central Bank	
В20-01	An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
D17 01	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner Mark Hallarbarg, Datriak Mariar
D17-01	in Latin American and Carribean Countries	Wark Hallerberg, Fatrick Warler
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
	Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European	<u> </u>
	Union	
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD	Christian E. Weller
B14-01	Pormal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R. Strauch, Jurgen von Hagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
D11 01	Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	schaftspolitisches Forum
D11-01	re Setters	Lilla Cavallar
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Ali M. Kutan. Niina Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
	Empirical Evidence	
B09-01	Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the	Jan Fidrmuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	lürgen von Hagen Susanne
000 01		Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
Doc of	Countries and the European Union	
B00-01	Upposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial Markets	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	The Future of Banking.	schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac-
D02 01		Culloch
D03-01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch Andrew I Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir-	Michele Fratianni. Jürgen von Ha-
	ty	gen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
	licy	
2000		
B20-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside	Etienne Farvaque
B19-00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, ge-	Jens Hölscher
	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner,
D17 00	Diel-Cibuure des Leudwisterbeftenelitik is die Verentwertung	Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	Martin Seidei
	meinschaftsrechts	
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso
		Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
R1/ 00	ration Sources of Roal Exchange Pate Eluctuations in Transition Fee	Selabattin Dibaarly Ali M. Kuta-
014-00	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	Seianattin Diboogiu, Ali Wi. Kulan
B13-00	Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos
	nomies Reconsidered	-

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli- chen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
D02-00	Transition	
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
<b>1999</b> B26-99	Skills Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A	Stefan Lutz Alessandro Turrini
020 33	General Equilibrium Analysis	
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99 B23-99	What Makes a Revolution? Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Robert MacCulloch Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99 B20-00	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz
B19-99	The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies	Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Wrong in Central European Banking?	Christian E. Weller and Jurgen von Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99 B08-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Volker Wieland Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-
	Production Function Approach	Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew I Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B16-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU- Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi-	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker
	nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Stu- dy of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98 B08-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla-	Robert L. Hetzel Bernd Hayo
B07-98	den) Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Ver- sion zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
<b>1997</b> B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does	Kenneth Kletzer
201 51	European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins- urance or Federalism?	
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 D-53113 Bonn Fax: +49-228-73-1809 Germany www.zei.de