

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Lutz, Stefan; Turrini, Alessandro

Working Paper Skills, labour costs and vertically differentiated industries: A general equilibrium analysis

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 26-1999

Provided in Cooperation with: ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Lutz, Stefan; Turrini, Alessandro (1999) : Skills, labour costs and vertically differentiated industries: A general equilibrium analysis, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 26-1999, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39503

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Stefan Lutz and Alessandro Turrini

Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis orkinc

B99-26 1999

Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis

22 November 1999

Stefan Lutz^{*} and Alessandro Turrini (Purdue University and ZEI, University of Bergamo and CEPR)

Abstract

The effect of labour costs on industry profits, employment and labour income is at the heart of the current European debate on industry competitiveness. High wages paid in European countries such as Germany are generally considered harmful for industry profitability. Though, high wages appear also to be associated with high labour skills and then with superior product quality. Similarly, a reduction in labour taxes is often invoked as a tool to improve industry profitability, but this argument hardly takes into account the demand effects of such a tax reform. In this paper we analyse the trade-off between labour costs and industry profits by means of a simple general equilibrium model where one industry is oligopolistic and vertically differentiated. The manufacturing of products of a higher quality requires the employment of a larger amount of skilled labour. Given an underlying skills distribution, the model determines profits, wages and aggregate income and welfare. Results show that high net wages due to a low skills endowment in the economy are typically associated with low profits. Labour taxation unambiguously raises gross wages, but has little effect on net wages. Depending on how the tax revenue is redistributed, higher taxation may either depress or boost industry profits.

JEL classification: D4, D5, F1, F2, J2, L1

Keywords: Vertical intra-industry trade, Quality differentiation, skills, productivity, labour cost

^{*} Correspondence: Stefan Lutz, Purdue University, Department of Economics, 1310 Krannert Building, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1310, USA, Email: lutzs@mgmt.purdue.edu. This paper is based on an earlier version prepared for the ZEI/CEPR Workshop on Product Quality, Labour Productivity and Trade in Bonn on 23/24 January 1998. The hospitality of the ZEI and the comments of the workshop participants are gratefully acknowledged.

1. Introduction

The effect of labour cost on industry profits, employment and labour income is at the heart of the current European debate on industry competitiveness. Some argue that the high wages paid in European countries such as Germany harm the profitability of industries and will possibly lead to emigration of firms. Others maintain that the observed high wages are coupled with high workers' skills and then with high labour productivity and superior product quality, so that the cost of labour cannot be the only factor to look at when analysing firms' performance and locational incentives. Concerning labour taxation, it is a widespread opinion that too high tax wedges aggravate the competitive problems of the European industry and, consistently, many observers invoke for a reduction of tax rates on labour costs. Such an argument, though, does not take into account the distributional consequences of tax reforms, and the possible repercussions for demand and profitability of particular industries.

In general, the average wage paid in the manufacturing industry or the level of labour taxes can hardly be thought as sufficient statistics to assess the effects of labour costs on the performance of given industries. High wages keep firms' costs high, but also stimulate the supply of skilled labour. High labour taxation may involve a positive demand feedback that offsets the negative direct effect on costs for some industries. Analysing the effects of labour costs on industry performance requires then taking into account general equilibrium effects that are commonly disregarded in current policy debate.

Standard general equilibrium analysis is cast in a perfectly competitive framework, thus neglecting important features of modern industries. Giant corporations are hardly price-takers. Competition in technologically-advanced sectors does not only take place in prices, but also in all those factors that affect the level of product quality perceived by consumers (R&D, advertisement...). The profits accruing to some industries are a non-negligible part of national income and, from a dynamic perspective, they form the incentives for investment and innovation. Perfectly competitive models miss also important aspects of current trade flows among developed countries. A large and increasing share of modern international trade takes place in differentiated goods belonging to the same sector, but perfect competition is not consistent with the observed firms' incentives to differentiate their products. Hence, a useful model to analyse the effects of labour conditions on industry performance should be a general equilibrium one, but it should also incorporate imperfectly competitive features that are commonly disregarded in standard models.

In this paper we develop a simple general equilibrium model to analyse the relation between some features of the labour market and the performance of a vertically differentiated, oligopolistic industry. There are several reasons that lead us to focus on vertical differentiation. First, vertical differentiation models permit us to capture a crucial dimension of the competitiveness of advanced industries, namely, product quality, allowing for a convenient modelling of quality competition. Second, recent empirical evidence shows that vertical differentiation is at the heart of current developments of intra-European trade. European intraindustry trade (IIT) in vertically differentiated goods has increased significantly during the last decade, while IIT in horizontally differentiated varieties has been stagnating.¹ Empirical evidence also shows that differences in factor endowments are positively related with the share of IIT in vertically differentiated goods across European countries.² This leads to the presumption that the determinants of competitiveness in vertically differentiated industries are to be studied in a general equilibrium framework, something that is missing in standard

¹ See e.g. Fontagné et. al. (1995), Fontagné et. al. (1997).

²See Fontagné et al. (1997).

imperfectly competitive models (e.g., Gabszewicz and Thisse, 1979, Shaked and Sutton, 1982).

Empirical research indicates that the availability of skilled labour within a country influences the level of product qualities and the resulting international competitive position of domestic industries.³ Consistently, we assume in our model that producing higher quality products requires a higher amount of skilled labour. In order to analyse currently debated issues concerning labour taxation we also allow for the presence of taxes on labour and the redistribution of resulting revenues to consumers by the government. Firms in the vertically differentiated sector are oligopolistic and decide about prices and product qualities in a twostage industry game. Given an underlying skills distribution, the model determines the allocation of labour, the distribution of income, as well as the quality and prices of the goods produced in the oligopolistic sector. In such a framework, contrary to standard models of vertically differentiated oligopolies, firms' demand functions can only be determined at equilibrium, together with labour allocation and consumers' income. Therefore, firms' conjectures about their own demand functions when setting prices and product qualities must prove to be consistent with equilibrium values, a requirement that is absent in partial equilibrium models. Because of non-linearities, an explicit solution of the model is not possible, and simulations are necessary.

The model is used to examine the effects of changes in the endowment of labour skills and in non-wage labour costs on firms' prices, product qualities, profits, income and overall welfare. Results show that higher net wages due to a low skills endowment in the economy is typically coupled with lower industry competitiveness (measured by the price-quality ratio), profits, and overall welfare. A reduction in labour taxes unambiguously lowers gross wages, but has little effect on net wages. It may either increase or decrease industry profitability, depending on the redistributive income effect of taxation. If tax revenues where previously retained by the government, the positive cost effect related to a tax-cut tends to prevail, creating an advantage to the domestic industry. However, if tax revenues were previously fully redistributed, a negative demand effect offsets the cost effect, leading to reduced industry profits and welfare.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 develops the model, and presents its main analytical properties. Section 3 reviews and discusses the results of the simulations. Section 4 concludes.

2. A General Equilibrium Model with Vertically Differentiated Product Qualities, Skills, and Incomes.

The existing literature dealing with vertical product differentiation has focused on the strategic determinants of industry equilibrium. Gabszewicz and Thisse (1979) characterise the price-setting behaviour of a duopoly at given qualities and zero marginal costs, showing how "limit-pricing" strategies may endogenously arise, leading to the exclusion of the low-quality competitor. This result is the basis for the so-called "finiteness property" of vertically differentiated oligopolies, illustrated by Shaked and Sutton (1984). Holding fixed costs constant, the number of active firms in a differentiated industry may not increase even with increasing market size and free-entry, because price strategies adjust in such a way as not to allow positive market shares for potential entrants. Sutton (1991) also shows, with the support

³ Daly et. al. (1985), Steedman and Wagner (1987) or Mason et. al. (1996) present cross country evidence that endowments with vocational skills tend to affect quality levels in several national industries. Courakis (1991), Webster (1993), Oulton (1996), Torstensson (1996), Jansen (1997) present results indicating that countries with high endowments in skills trade high qualities in intra-industry trade.

of a large set of empirical industry studies, that an endogenous markets structure is likely to arise in vertically differentiated industries because firms build their own entry barriers, increasing the quality level of their goods (and the necessary sunk investments) as market size increases.

While the literature on vertical differentiation under oligopoly has fully developed the demand implications of a rankable product structure, showing how this may be sufficient "per-se" to generate industry concentration, the supply side of the story has so far been neglected. Oligopolistic models with vertical differentiation are indeed developed in a partial equilibrium framework, assuming an exogenous cost structure.⁴ In particular, what is missing in theoretical work is the channel linking factor endowments to product quality.

In this section we develop a model where the supply factors necessary to provide higher product quality in an oligopolistic industry are explicitly taken into account. We assume that the manufacturing of higher quality goods requires a more intense use of skilled labour.⁵ This is consistent with empirical evidence (Daly et. al., 1985, Mason et al., 1996), and in line with recently proposed trade models incorporating vertically differentiated as well as perfectly competitive industries (Copeland and Kotwal, 1996, Murphy and Shleifer, 1997). The supply of skilled labour within a country is then the factor limiting the quality level of the goods produced domestically. The qualities chosen by domestic firms determine demand for skilled labour, and then skilled workers' income, which, in turn, generates firms' demands. Clearly, incorporating supply determinants of quality into the analysis of a vertically differentiated market necessitates usage of a full-fledged general-equilibrium model in order to take into account the feedback from costs to demand. It is exactly this link between demand and costs that we want to highlight in our model.

We opt for the most parsimonious model capable of capturing the effects generated by the interaction between an imperfectly competitive, vertically differentiated product market and a vertically differentiated, though perfectly competitive labour market. An "outside", perfectly competitive industry also enters the picture, in order to capture competition in both product and labour markets *between* industries.

The oligopolistic industry (henceforth, the *x*-sector) is modelled borrowing from the standard approach of vertical product differentiation in partial equilibrium (Gabszewicz and Thisse 1979, Shaked and Sutton 1982, Motta 1993). Consumers have identical preferences and different incomes. Differences in income lead to differences in the willingness to pay for a product of a particular quality. Firms offer products of different qualities in one (domestic) market. The firms bear quality-dependent costs and compete in qualities and prices in a *two-stage game*. Since higher product differentiation reduces substitutability between variants supplied by different firms, even ex-ante identical firms will offer distinct qualities in the resulting market equilibrium in order to "reduce price-competition through product differentiation."

Both sectors use the only production factor: labour. Workers, though, are not homogenous. Each worker is endowed with a unique level of skills and a certain amount of unskilled labor; due to a time or capacity constraint, any worker can either utilize his skill or his unskilled labour, but not both together. As for the competitive industry (henceforth, the *z*-sector), it faces a technology with constant returns to scale. One unit of the good produced requires a constant amount of unskilled labour input, regardless of the skill level of the workers

⁴Among the very few exceptions, see Katsoulacos (1984).

⁵See also Gabszewicz and Turrini (1999) for an oligopolistic model where the employment of a higher fraction of skilled labour allows firms to supply higher quality goods.

employed. The oligopolistc industry, instead, faces increasing returns to scale. The manufacturing of a product of a given quality, requires a certain amount of skilled labour as an input, independent of the produced quantity.⁶ We further assume that the quality developed is increasing in the mass of skilled labour employed. Once a product of certain quality is developed, it is produced at constant variable cost. In the analysis we assume this marginal cost to be zero, as it is done in partial equilibrium models (Gabszewicz and Thisse, 1979, Motta, 1993). This assumption is highly useful in that it simplifies the determination of quality levels when they are strategically set by firms in a two-stage game, allowing for explicit solutions in a partial equilibrium framework (Motta, 1993). In our analysis, this assumption has also another important implication. While the competitive industry offers a wage per unskilled labour unit effectively tying wage to marginal cost, workers in the x-sector are paid in proportion to skills, tying wage to fixed cost.⁷ Therefore, the ratio of the wage paid in the x and in the z sector uniquely determines the allocation of labour between the two industries. We also allow the government to levy a proportional tax on labor for fiscal or redistributive purposes; the resulting tax revenue can be either retained or redistributed to households. While in the zsector taxes are completely transferred on to the consumer, in the x-sector, they may be partially paid by workers in terms of lower equilibrium wages and by shareholders in terms of lower profits.

Labour supply is rigid for each household. Income distribution is determined by the distribution of skills, the distribution of unskilled labour, the distribution of claims to firms' profits, the allocation of labour between sectors, and a rule for distributing tax revenue. Income distribution determines in turn the allocation of demand between industries and between firms within the *x*-sector.

2.1. Vertical Product Differentiation: The *x***-sector**

Both theoretical literature and empirical evidence show that the number of firms tends to be low in industries characterised by vertical product differentiation.⁸ We therefore limit the analysis to the duopoly case, gaining in model tractability, without losing too much in terms of generality and realism. From the assumption of Bertrand competition in the second stage, we know that the two firms will never select the same quality level at a first stage equilibrium. It is then possible to denote by *h* the firm supplying the good of higher quality, and by *l* the firm supplying the lower quality.⁹ If both firms remain in the market, then they produce distinct goods, sold at prices p_h and p_l , respectively. The two products carry a single quality attribute denoted by u_h and u_l , respectively. Either firm faces fixed production costs that are increasing functions of quality. We further assume that fixed costs are quadratic in the quality level (see, e.g., Motta, 1993 and Lutz, 1996). This corresponds to assuming that there are decreasing returns in quality design. The quality level supplied by firm *i*, *i=h*, *l*, increases in fact with the square root of the mass of skills (or, the effective labour units) employed, el_i :

$$u_i = \sqrt{el_i}, \qquad i = h, l \tag{1}$$

⁶Empirical evidence concerning the determinants of IIT in vertically differentiated industries is consistent with the assumption of the existence of relevant scale economies in the production of vertically differentiated goods (Fontagné et al., 1997).

⁷ Costs are marginal or fixed with respect to quantity produced. So the "fixed" costs of the x-sector change when quality produced is changed, but not in response to pure quantity changes in output. ⁸See, e.g., Sutton (1991).

⁹Quality differentiation will emerge as the result of quality competition in the first stage of the industry game. See Shaked/Sutton (1982).

Denoting total costs for firm *i*, *i*=*h*, *l*, by C_i , the wage rate per unit of skill in the *x*-sector by w_{x_i} , the fiscal wedge by (1+*t*), and recalling that variable costs are set to zero, we have:

$$C_i = w_x(1+t)u_i^2 = w_x(1+t)el_i, \qquad i=h,l$$
 (2)

Skills are uniformly distributed, with density d, on the interval [0, S] on which households¹⁰ (indexed by s) are ranked according to their skill level. Unskilled labour endowments m_s are weakly monotonically increasing in skills endowments. Households are uniformly distributed on [0, S] with density 1.¹¹ Households derive utility from consumption of the outside good z (produced in the competitive z-sector) and one variety, at the exclusion of the other, of the quality good x. Then, each consumer (household) purchases at most one unit of either firm h's product or firm l's product and spends the rest of her (his) income to buy some quantity of the outside good z. Income of each household s, y_s , contains a share of total profits in the x-sector, P_s and a share of the tax revenue t_s . The higher a consumer's income, the higher is her (his) income and skill level, we assume in the following that profits and tax revenue are redistributed in such a way to guarantee $y_{s'} \ge y_s \Leftrightarrow s' \ge s$. Let s_i (i = h, l) be the poorest consumer who buys one unit of good x supplied by firm i. Then the demands for the two firms supplying good x take the following form:

$$q_h = S - s_h, \qquad q_l = s_h - s_l \tag{3}$$

The two firms play a two-stage industry game. In the first stage, firms simultaneously determine qualities to be produced and incur costs C_i (i = h, l). In the second stage, firms choose prices (Bertrand competition). Labour allocation (between sectors and firms) and wage determination take place in the first stage of the game, while consumption occurs in the second stage.

2.1.1. Utility and Demand

Explicit derivation of firms' demand requires a parametric representation of preferences. For simplicity, and in analogy with existing work (e.g., Copeland (1997), Murphy and Shleifer (1997)), we assume that the consumption level of the homogenous good z and the quality level of the differentiated good x enter the utility of each consumer s by means of a Cobb-Douglas aggregator:

$$U_{s} = (u_{s} + u_{0})^{a} q_{z,s}^{1-a}, \qquad s \in [0, S]$$
(4)

where u_s denotes the *quality* of the *x*-good consumed by household *s* and $q_{z,s}$ the *quantity* of the *z*-good. $u_0, u_0 > 0$, is a parameter representing the utility level obtained when not buying good *x*. As usual, 0 < a < 1.

¹¹ A uniform distribution of skills does not have an empirical justification, but is desirable for its tractability. For this reason uniform distributions are common in vertical differentiation models. In our setting, the mass of households is equal to *S* and each household is endowed with skills *ds* The total mass of skills in the economy is $\frac{dS^2}{dS}$

thus equal to $\frac{dS^2}{2}$, while the average per-capita skill is given by $\frac{dS}{2}$. Varying *d* modifies proportionally the

supply of skills and the average skills level, widening also the variance of skills across the population.

¹⁰ We assume single-person households, acting as consumers and workers.

Consumers take as given quality levels and prices for the variants *h* and *l*. Denote, respectively, by $U_s(h)$, $U_s(l)$ and $\overline{U_s}$ the utility levels reached at equilibrium by household *s* when buying one unit of variant *h*, one unit of variant *l* and when not buying at all good *x*. The poorest household willing to buy the higher quality variant, s_h , satisfies with equality the condition $U_s(h) \ge U_s(l)$; analogously, household s_l satisfies with equality $U_s(l) \ge \overline{U_s}$. These cut-off individuals can be characterised in terms of their income, y_s , once their budget constraint is substituted into their utility function (recall that all residual income after the purchase of good *x* is spent in good *z*). Income of households s_h and s_l are, respectively, given by:

$$y_{s_h} = \frac{(u_0 + u_h)^{\frac{a}{1-a}} p_h - (u_0 + u_l)^{\frac{a}{1-a}} p_l}{(u_0 + u_h)^{\frac{a}{1-a}} - (u_0 + u_l)^{\frac{a}{1-a}}}$$
(5)

$$y_{s_{l}} = \max\left[y_{s=0}, \frac{(u_{0} + u_{l})^{\frac{a}{1-a}} p_{l}}{(u_{0} + u_{l})^{\frac{a}{1-a}} - (u_{0})^{\frac{a}{1-a}}}\right]$$
(6)

The cut-off income level for the individual indifferent between buying quality *l* and not buying cannot be lower than the income of the least skilled individual, namely, individual 0. If it happens that $\max\left[y_{s=0}, \frac{(u_0 + u_l)^{\frac{a}{1-a}}p_l}{(u_0 + u_l)^{\frac{a}{1-a}} - (u_0)^{\frac{a}{1-a}}}\right] = y_{s=0}$, then all households are willing to

purchase one unit of the x-good, namely, the market is said to be covered.¹²

From the definition of income, and denoting by t_{s_i} the amount of tax revenue redistributed to the cut-off household s_i , and by w_x and w_z , respectively, the wage rate in the *x* and *z*-sector, it is obtained that:

$$y_{s_i} = w_x ds_i + \prod_{s_i} + t_{s_i}, \qquad i = h, l$$
 (7)

if the cut-off household *i* is working in the *x*-sector, or

$$y_{s_i} = w_z m_{s_i} + \prod_{s_i} + t_{s_i}, \qquad i = h, l$$
 (8)

if household *i* is working in the *z*-sector.

It is to notice that equations (5)-(6) and (7)-(8) are not sufficient to determine firms' demands as functions prices and qualities. In fact, income levels y_{s_h} and y_{s_l} are endogenous to the model, being affected by the level of w_s and by the distribution of profits and tax revenue. So, contrary to the standard vertical differentiation models developed in partial equilibrium, the exact form of the demand function can only be determined once the full solution of the model is obtained. This means that after obtaining the full solution of the model the consistency of the assumed demand expressions for each firm has to be checked.¹³

¹²In our analysis we focus on the case where the market is uncovered.

¹³Firstly, it needs to be verified that resulting quantities demanded are consistent with the (un)covered market assumption. Secondly, depending on whether low quality is bought by workers in the x-industry or not, equation (5) has to be equalised with either equation (7) or (8), respectively.

2.1.2. Quality and price determination

Firms choose simultaneously the quality level of their product in the first stage and simultaneously set prices in the second stage¹⁴. Then, when setting prices, firms take qualities as given. It is further assumed that firms take as given households' income both in the quality and price-setting stage. Firms are therefore not aware of the repercussions that their actions have on income distribution, and then on their own demand. In other terms, we ignore "Ford effects", maintaining the standard assumption that firms, though strategic, neglect the feedback to their demand coming from changes in consumers' revenue.¹⁵ Firms, in any case, have to make conjectures on demand and then on households' income, which must prove to be correct at equilibrium.

Profit maximisation with respect to prices yields the following system of first order conditions:

$$p_{h} = \frac{S - s_{h}}{\frac{\P s_{h}}{\P p_{h}}} \qquad \qquad p_{l} = \frac{s_{h} - s_{l}}{\frac{\P s_{l}}{\P p_{l}} - \frac{\P s_{h}}{\P p_{l}}} \tag{9}$$

where $\frac{\P s_i}{\P p_j}$ *i,j=h,l* denotes partial derivatives. As for quality determination, first order conditions are as follows:

conditions are as follows:

$$u_{h} = \frac{\frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_{h}}{du_{h}}}{2w_{s}(1+t)}, \qquad \qquad u_{l} = \frac{\frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_{l}}{du_{l}}}{2w_{s}(1+t)}$$
(10)

where p_i denotes *operating* profits of firms *i* computed at a pair of prices that is the solution to (9) and $\frac{dp_i}{du_i}$ denotes total derivatives at given incomes.

Equilibrium in the industry is determined by a pair of prices that are mutual best replies in the second stage, a pair of quality levels that are mutual best replies in the first stage, and by a couple of conjectures concerning consumers' income that are consistent with actual values. This last condition derives from the general equilibrium framework in which the model is cast, and is absent in traditional models of vertical product differentiation. In the following we will make the assumption that firms know the rule according to which profits and the tax revenue are distributed among households. Wages (and then total tax revenue) are determined simultaneously with qualities, and are therefore taken as given by firms in the quality setting stage. *x*-sector profits are instead the firms' objective function in the quality-setting stage, and cannot be taken as given by firms. Yet, when deciding about their qualities, firms also need to make a conjecture about total profits, because they determine consumers' income, and then their own demand. So, in this set-up, making conjectures on consumers' income means making conjectures on aggregate profits in the *x*-sector.

¹⁴In this formulation, firm *i* not entering the market is equivalent to firm *i* choosing $u_i = 0$. The entry decision by firms is made simultaneously when choosing quality.

¹⁵It is well-known that keeping wages of his workers high has been an explicit strategy through which Ford was keeping demand for his own cars high. For a general equilibrium model with Ford effects we refer the interested reader to D' Aspremont et al. (1989)

Conjectured demands for each firm are obtained once incomes of the cut-off consumers are conjectured. Denoting by a hat conjectured variables and by a_{s_i} and b_{s_i} , respectively, the share of total profits (**P**) and total tax revenue (**T**) accruing to the cut-off consumer s_i conjectured incomes for cut-off consumers are obtained as:

$$\hat{y}_{s_i} = w_x ds_i + \boldsymbol{a}_{s_i} \hat{\Pi} + \boldsymbol{b}_{s_i} T, \qquad i = h, l$$
(11)

if the cut-off consumer s_i is employed in the x-sector, and

$$\hat{y}_{s_i} = w_z m_{s_i} + \boldsymbol{a}_{s_i} \hat{\Pi} + \boldsymbol{b}_{s_i} T, \qquad i = h, l$$
(12)

if the cut-off consumer is working in the *z*-sector.

2.2. The Homogenous "Outside" Good: The Z-Sector

This sector is perfectly competitive. A large number of firms produces a homogenous good z with a constant returns to scale technology, using one unit of unskilled labour to produce one unit of output. This implies the following relationship:

$$q_z = l_z \tag{13}$$

where q_z is quantity produced by the *z*-sector, and l_z is labour employed in the *z*-sector. Each worker *s* has an unskilled labour endowment of $m_s = (m_0 + ms)$ units¹⁶ of good *z*, where m_0 , *m* are parameters of the distribution of unskilled labour. As for employment in *z*, it is determined by the mass of workers (households) from the least skilled, up to the one that is indifferent between working in the *x* or in the *z*-sector. Denoting this cut-off worker by s_{xz} , we have:

$$s_{x,z} = \min\left[m_0 / (\frac{dw_x}{w_z} - m), S\right]$$
(14)

$$l_{z} = \int_{0}^{s_{x,y}} (m_{0} + ms) ds == m_{0} s_{x,z} + m s_{x,z}^{2} / 2$$
(15)

Since we allow for labour taxation, prices for the z good must not only cover the wage costs (normalised to 1) but also the taxes levied on labour. Therefore:

$$p_z = (1+t), \tag{16}$$

where p_z is price of one unit of z.

As far as expenditure on good z is concerned, it is simply obtained as the difference between aggregate income and total expenditure on good x. So, while aggregate supply of z is fully determined by the relative wage between sectors, aggregate demand is fully determined by equilibrium in the *x*-sector.

¹⁶With $m_0=1$ and m=0, each household s has the same unit unskilled labour endowment and $l_z=s_{x,z}$.

2.3. The Labour Market

Equilibrium in the labour market requires that w_x adjusts to equalise effective labour units demanded in the *x*-sector with effective labour units available. Demand for skills is obtained as the mass of effective labour units required by quality development by the two firms in the *x*-sector. The supply of skills is obtained as the mass of effective labour units forming the endowments of all the workers that choose to work in the *x*-sector:

$$el_{h} + el_{l} = d\int_{s_{x,y}}^{s} sds = \frac{d\left(S^{2} - s_{x,z}^{2}\right)}{2} = \frac{d\left(S^{2} - \left(m_{0}/\left(\frac{dw_{x}}{w_{z}} - m\right)\right)^{2}\right)}{2}$$
(17)

Whereas supply is only determined by inter-sectoral relative wages, demand for effective labour units is determined by optimal quality choice, which in turn depends on the wage rate in a complex way.

2.4. Solving the Model

Solving the model necessitates the solution of four equations in four unknowns. The unknowns are the wage rate in the *x*-sector, the quality levels of good *x* and total profits of industry *x*. The four equations are the two first-order conditions for quality choice (taking into account the Bertrand pricing rules in the *x*-sector), labour market equilibrium in the *x*-sector, and equality between actual profits and those that are conjectured by firms in the *x*-sector in making their decisions concerning quality and prices. Equilibrium in the market for good *z* obtains by Walras' law. The system is thus the following:

$$u_{h} = \frac{\frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_{h}}{du_{h}}}{2w_{s}(1+t)}, \qquad \qquad u_{l} = \frac{\frac{d\boldsymbol{p}_{l}}{du_{l}}}{2w_{s}(1+t)}$$
(18)

$$u_{h}^{2} + u_{l}^{2} = \frac{d\left(S^{2} - \left(m_{0} / \left(\frac{dw_{x}}{w_{z}} - m\right)\right)^{2}\right)}{2}$$
(19)

$$\hat{\Pi} = (S - s_h)p_h - C_h + (s_h - s_l)p_l - C_l$$
(20)

System (18)-(20) is non-linear and an analytical solution is not possible. The model behaviour is simple in some aspects and can be envisaged also without an explicit solution. It is evident, for instance, from equation (19) that the higher average quality of the *x*-good at equilibrium, the lower the supply of the *z*-good and the higher the wage rate in the *x*-sector. Though, the working of the model is more complex in other respects, and unambiguous relations cannot always be expected. For instance, a rising tax wedge has an ambiguous effect on supplied qualities because a negative cost effect may be compensated by a positive demand effect (redistributed tax revenue may generate demand from consumers that were previously

not buying). The only way to to resolve these ambiguities deriving from the non-linearity of the model is through numerical simulations.

3. Simulations

The paper presents two groups of simulations, corresponding to the baseline model without labour taxes (*t*=0), D1, and three "policy" models, D2, D3 and D4, where a uniform 100% tax on wage cost is applied in both industries (*t*=1). In D2, total tax receipts *T* are redistributed at a *flat rate*, i.e. each households receives *T/S*. In D3, total tax receipts *T* are redistributed proportional to wage income, i.e. each households receives the exact tax amount levied on her (his) wage bill. In D4, all tax receipts are retained by the government. The first case (D2) corresponds thus to a case where the government redistributes income from firms to workers and then tax revenue from rich to poor, while the second case (D3) is a simple redistribution from firms to workers. In all simulations, we impose u_0 =0.01, *S*=1, *a*=0.5, w_z =1, m_0 =0.25, m=0.75. Total profits are assumed to be distributed in proportion with workers' skills (*ds*). We choose a proportional distribution of profits in order to focus on cases in which the market appears to be uncovered at equilibrium. The same rationale underlies the choice of a distribution of unskilled labour that is monotonically increasing in workers' skills.¹⁷ Skill density *d* is let to vary between 1.0 and 1.8.¹⁸ The results are summarised in a table for each simulation.

The qualitative results are grouped into three classes. The effects of changes in the skills endowments are presented first. Taxation effects are grouped according to whether the negative cost effects or the positive demand effects dominate the results. All results are reported in Tables 1 and 2 in the Appendix.

3.1. Effects of Population-Wide Proportional Increases in Skills

A population-wide proportional increase in skills (higher *d*) increases product qualities while decreasing the wage per skill unit in the *x*-sector (see Table 1). The wage decrease per skill unit almost offsets the quantity increase in skills, hence employment (in number of workers) barely decreases in the *x*-sector. Consequently, there is a very small rise in employment and production in the *z*-sector. This result is strictly related to the assumptions of the model concerning technology. The earnings in the *x*-sector are proportional to the skills *ds* that workers possess, while workers' productivity in the *z*-sector rises less than proportionally with skills. At almost unchanged wages per person dw_s , then, increasing proportionally the skills endowments of workers will result in a rise in the mass of effective labour units that can be emplyed in the *x*-sector. So, the higher average skills, the higher *equilibrium* average product quality. This is a realistic feature of the model, consistent with the available evidence relating workers' skills and product quality (Daly at al., 1985, Mason et al., 1996).

Greater values of d entail a double effect on the wage rate in the *x*-sector. The first, is a direct supply effect. The higher d, the higher the supply of skills, and then, ceteris paribus, the lower the wage rate. The second is an indirect demand effect. Higher values of d produce higher income and then higher demand (at given prices and qualities) for the x good. Firms have

 $^{^{17}}$ If the resulting equilibrium income distribution is to flat, also the poorest consumer is willing to buy one unit of the *x*-good and a covered market results. Assumptions about the distributions of unskilled labour, skills and profits are designed to avoid this case.

 $^{^{18}}$ A change in the value of *d* changes numerical computations, but does not alter the qualitative results obtained concerning labour taxation.

rational conjectures about the higher consumers' income, and optimally choose to increase both prices and quality levels, generating a higher demand for skills. This second effect is just strong enough to almost offset the first one, resulting in a slight decrease of average wage income per person dsw_s .

While the additional skills endowment is almost fully used to upgrade qualities, the gains from these upgrades do not go directly to skilled workers but are partially retained as increased profits and partially passed on to consumers. While absolute prices rise with increases in the parameter d, quality-adjusted prices fall, since cost per quality level falls. Price and profit increases are possible, since competition is somewhat relaxed by an increase in the ratio of qualities, i.e. increased quality differentiation. Production quantities of both quality-varieties fall: since variable costs are constant and unchanged, cost of providing quality instead of quantity is reduced. Total profits, aggregate income, and aggregate utility increase as d rises.

Added (real) income is generated in the form of added profits, which are distributed proportional to skill level *s*, and reduced prices of quality goods, which are bought by the high-income segment of the population. Income and utility of the lowest-skill household stay unchanged, since they buy no quality goods and earn no share of the profits. Consequently, income and utility dispersion increase.

3.2. Effects of labour taxation

Labour taxation implies a redistribution from firms to households. If the tax revenue is redistributed at a flat rate there a redistribution from rich to poor households is added. In general, income is expected to be distributed more equally, at equilibrium, once taxation is introduced. Higher firms' costs due to the fiscal wedge on wages would lead, holding other conditions fixed, to lower profits, lower product qualities (see equations (18)) and, then, to lower demand for skills in the *x*-sector. Taxation, though, also increases households revenue, and then firms' demand, with positive consequences for profits, product qualities, and for the wage rate in the *x* industry. The qualitative net effect of taxation crucially depends on the redistributional effects on income of the tax policy rule applied, while it is only insignificantly affected by the average level of skills endowment of the economy.

3.2.1. Cost effects of labour taxes prevailing.

This scenario occurs for high taxation and no (or low) redistribution of tax revenues, represented by simulations D4 (see Table 2). Qualities, employment and profits in the *x*-sector decrease due to taxation, while employment and production in the *z*-sector increase. Labour taxation entails a reduction in demand for skills in the *x*-sector, which is reflected in a lower value for w_s . This decrease in w_s , however, is not nearly large enough to offset the tax cost increase, so, a tax wedge that increases labour costs leads to an increase in the gross wage rate but a slight reduction in the net wage rate for high-skilled workers. Income (weakly) falls for everybody in the economy, but higher skilled households take higher losses since profits decrease substantially. Due to this redistributional effect of taxation, income inequality falls, which in turn leads to increased sales of quality-goods to lower income households. However, this positive income effect on demand for the *x*-industry is not sufficient to outweigh the negative cost effect due to taxation. Hence, quality-adjusted prices must fall in spite of quality reductions. Aggregate firms' profits fall, and also the utility of consumers (formerly) buying quality-goods lose in welfare terms, since taxation leads to price increases in the *z*-sector not

compensated by tax redistribution. As a result, aggregate utility falls. So, labour taxation faces a trade-off between income inequality and aggregate utility, taken as a (utilitarian) welfare indicator.

3.2.2. Demand effects of labour taxes prevailing.

This scenario occurs in all cases where tax revenues are fully redistributed, represented by simulations D2 and D3 (see Table 2). Qualities, employment and profits in the *x*-sector increase, while employment and production in the *z*-sector decrease. Due to the redistribution effect of taxation, income inequality falls, leading to increased sales of quality-goods to lower income households. The positive income effect on demand of the *x*-industry appears to be strong, strong enough to increase equilibrium qualities. This is reflected in increased demand for skills in the *x*-sector, and then in a higher wage for workers employed in the *x*-industry. Counterintuitively, then, a tax-wedge that increases labour costs leads in this case the net wage rate for high-skilled workers to rise. Quality-adjusted prices rise, to offset taxation-induced cost effects. Firms' profits, aggregate income and utility rise, so that labour taxation in this case not only reduces income inequality, but also increases the value of our welfare indicator. Low-income households not buying quality-goods, weakly gain in welfare terms, because the price increase in the *z*-sector is compensated by income increases due to tax redistribution.

When the tax revenue is redistributed proportional to income (case D3), inequality falls less sharply after taxation and the rise in profits and income are of a lower magnitude (relative to the initial tax increase). Higher income inequality in case D3 is directly related to the rule of redistribution (indirect redistribution from rich to poor is absent in case D3). The different results with respect to profits and income are less intuitive, and are associated with a different impact of redistribution on demand for the *x*-good. There are two effects at play here. On one hand, the more equidistributed is the tax revenue, the higher the number of households that start buying from the x-sector (the higher the extent of market-coverage), and then the higher demand for the *x*-good, equilibrium profits, qualities and income. This effect is more prevalent in Case D2. On the other hand, the effects of additional demand for the *x*-industry generated by a given tax are stronger if the tax revenue is not too much dispersed across the population. Higher tax revenue for households endowed with higher skills would indeed concentrate demand in the high-income segment of the population, which is the one that buys from the *x*-sector. This second redistributive effect leads to quality upgrading in the simulations. It is dominant in Case D3.

4. Conclusions

High labour costs are often associated with a poor performance of industrial firms, and then with low industry demand, profits and employment. In this paper we develop a simple general equilibrium model to analyse this presumed trade-off between labour costs and firms' profitability. The model considers a vertically differentiated oligopolistic industry, where firms compete both in prices and in the quality level of their products. This captures basic features of advanced industrial sectors, where R&D, advertisement and product development are important dimensions along which competition is evolving. The general equilibrium structure of the model allows to build a link between product quality and factor endowments that is missing in partial equilibrium models with imperfect competition. Consistently with empirical evidence, we assume that higher product quality requires the employment of a higher amount of skilled labour. In the model, we distinguish between wages and labour taxes as different

components of labour costs. So, there is one component (the wage rate) that is endogenous to the model, and another (taxes) that is a policy variable.

Solving the model through simulations we show, first, that an increase in skills endowment hardly changes employment patterns (in terms of workers employed) though effective skill use in the imperfectly competitive industry increases. The additional skills endowment is used for quality upgrades. The gains from these upgrades are partially passed on to consumers through decreases in price per quality. Consequently, employment and production in the perfectly competitive sector hardly changes. Second we show, that the effect of taxation crucially depends on its redistributive consequences. With taxation, income inequality is generally reduced, even if tax revenues are retained by the government in full. When fully redistributed to households, taxes can lead to quality increases of varieties produced in the imperfectly competitive sector due to their effect of increasing income and demand. This income effect is the stronger the more redistributive the tax scheme. When taxes are retained by the government in full, cost effects dominate and income and welfare falls. When taxes are fully redistributed at a flat rate per household, demand effects dominate and income and welfare rises.

The model is oversimplified and the robustness properties of our results with respect to different model specifications have not yet been established, so that our exercise cannot safely be taken as a guide for policy analysis. We believe, though, that some lessons can be learnt even by our simple exercise. First, it shows formally that neglecting general equilibrium effects when studying the relation between labour costs and industry performance may have relevant consequences for policy prescriptions. Second, it shows that changes in factor endowments or relative factor prices affect the nature of internal competition in imperfectly competitive industries. This in turn leads to additional effects beyond those that can be inferred from using a Heckscher-Ohlin or Specific-Factor framework of analysis. Several specific predictions about changes in relative wages, market shares, demand and prices may be investigated further in empirical research.

We believe that the real potential of this modelling framework lies in applications to trade issues. The incorporation of a quality-differentiated goods sector allows for the analysis of vertical intra-industry trade within a general-equilibrium trade framework. For example, the effects of trade-opening on domestic profits in the imperfectly-competitive sector will depend on where the domestic industry ends up being situated on the international quality ladder. In this context, changes in labour taxation will have a strategic trade policy effect that can be studied using our model.

References

- d' Aspremont, C., Dos Santos Ferreira, R., and L.-A. Gerard-Varet (1989), "Unemployment in a Cournot Model with Ford Effects", <u>Recherches Economiques de Louvain</u> 55, 33-60.
- Copeland, B.R. and A. Kotwal, (1996), "Product Quality and the Theory of Comparative Advantage", <u>European Economic Review</u>, 40, 1745-61.
- Courakis, A. S. (1991). "Labour Skills and Human Capital in the Explanation of Trade Patterns," <u>Oxford Economic Papers</u>, Vol. 43, pp. 443-462.
- Daly, A., Hitchens, D. and K. Wagner (1985). "Productivity, Machinery and Skills in a Sample of British and German Manufacturing Plants," <u>National Institute Economic Review</u>, February.
- Fontagné, L., Freudenberg, M. and N. Péridy (1997). "Trade Patterns Inside the Single Market," CEPII Document de Travail No. 97-07.
- Fontagné, L., Freudenberg, M. and D. Ünal-Kesenci (1995). "Régionalisation et échanges de biens intermédiaires," CEPII Document de Travail No. 95-11.
- Gabszewicz, J. J. and J.-F. Thisse (1979). "Price Competition, Quality and Income Disparities," Journal of Economic Theory, Vol. 20, pp. 340-359.
- Gabszewicz, J. J. and A. Turrini (1999). "Workers' Skills, Product Quality and Industry Equilibrium,"<u>International Journal of Industrial Organization</u>, forthcoming.
- Jansen, M. (1997). "How to Compete in Quality: Factors Explaining Vertical Intra-Industry Trade," Faculta de Ciènces Econòmiques, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, mimeo.
- Katsoulacos, Y. (1984). "Product Innovation and Employment," <u>European Economic Review</u>, Vol. 26, pp. 83-108.
- Lutz, S. (1996). "Trade Effects of Minimum Quality Standards With and Without Deterred Entry," CEPR Discussion Paper No. 1384.
- Mason, G., Van Ark, B. and K. Wagner (1996). "Workforce Skills, Product Quality and Economic Performance," in: Booth, A. and D. J. Snower (eds.) <u>Acquiring Skills</u> (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK).
- Motta, M., (1993). "Endogenous Quality Choice: Price vs. Quantity Competition," Journal of Industrial Economics, Vol. 41, pp.113-129.
- Murphy, K., M. and A. Shleifer, (1997), "Quality and Trade", Journal of Development Economics, 53, 1-15.
- Oulton, N. (1996). "Workforce Skills and Export Competitiveness," in: Booth, A. and D. J. Snower (eds.) <u>Acquiring Skills</u> (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK).
- Shaked, A. and J. Sutton (1982). "Relaxing Price Competition Through Product Differentiation," <u>Review of Economic Studies</u>, Vol. 49, pp. 3-13.
- Shaked, A. and Sutton, J., (1983). "Natural Oligopolies", Econometrica 51, 1469-1483.
- Steedman, H. and K. Wagner (1987). "A Second Look to Productivity, Machinery and Skills in Britain and Germany, <u>National Institute Economic Review</u>, November.

Sutton, J. (1991). Sunk Costs and Market Structure (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA).

Torstensson, J. (1996). "Can Factor Proportions Explain Vertical Intra-Industry Trade?" <u>Applied Economic Letters</u>

Appendix: A GE-Model with Duopolistic X-Industry: Simulation Results

List of Variables

Variable	
d	skills scaling parameter, average skill level is d S/2
t	tax rate on wage costs
ws	skills wage in x-sector, per effective labour unit s
uh	quality of the high-quality good
ul	quality of the low-quality good
qh	quantity of the high-quality good
ql	quantity of the low-quality good
qz	quantity of good z, equals lz
ph	price of the high-quality good
pl	price of the low-quality good
Pih	profit of the high-quality firm
Pil	profit of the low-quality firm
ix	total effective labour units used in industry x, equals $(S^2-lz^2)/2$
U0	utility of household s=0
US	utility of household s=S
Ut	aggregate utilty
SZ	highest wage-earner s employed in the z-sector
sxl	lowest consumer s buying low quality, equals S-qh
sxl	lowest consumer s buying high quality, equals S-qh-ql
T	total tax revenue, equals t (lz wz + ix ws)
Pim	total profits in the x-sector, equals (Pih + Pil)
pz	price of good z
ruhl	ratio of qualities uh/ul
rphl	ratio of prices ph/pl
puh	price/qualty ratio for h: ph/uh
pul	price/quality ratio for 1: pl/ul
Yt	aggregate income
Y0	income of household s=0
YS	income of household s=S
Yinequ	ratio of incomes of household S and 0, equals YS/Yl
UtInequ	ratio of utilities of household S and 0, equals US/Ul

Appendix: A GE-Model with Duopolistic X-Industry: Simulation Results

(Legend: see List of Variables)

Table 1 - Cases withVarying Skills Endowments

	Case D1: No Taxation				
d	1	1.3	1.5	1.8	(1.8)-(1)%
Variable					
ws	1.0136	0.779266	0.675164	0.562427	-44.51%
uh	0.219772	0.246088	0.261685	0.282933	28.74%
ul	0.04446	0.0481145	0.0502007	0.0529568	19.11%
qh	0.596119	0.593736	0.592475	0.590907	-0.87%
ql	0.298059	0.296868	0.296237	0.295454	-0.87%
qz	0.574391	0.576328	0.577373	0.578691	0.75%
ph	0.861812	0.886587	0.899921	0.916718	6.37%
pl	0.367789	0.381928	0.389555	0.399181	8.54%
Pih	0.464785	0.479206	0.486946	0.496672	6.86%
Pil	0.107619	0.111578	0.113699	0.116362	8.12%
ix	0.0502763	0.0628744	0.0709998	0.0828553	64.80%
U0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.00%
US	0.545823	0.578766	0.597516	0.622234	14.00%
Ut	0.282095	0.296026	0.303915	0.314274	11.41%
dws	1.0136	1.0130458	1.012746	1.0123686	-0.12%
SZ	0.94840668	0.95040483	0.95148927	0.95285793	0.47%
sxl	0.105822	0.109396	0.111288	0.113639	7.39%
sxh	0.403881	0.406264	0.407525	0.409093	1.29%
Т	0	0	0	0	
Pim	0.572404	0.590784	0.600645	0.613034	7.10%
pz	1	1	1	1	0.00%
ruhl	3.92139126	3.60272342	3.43894759	3.2400533	8.08%
rphl	8.27235717	7.93789814	7.75995155	7.53786105	-1.99%
puh	3.92139126	3.60272342	3.43894759	3.2400533	-17.37%
pul	8.27235717	7.93789814	7.75995155	7.53786105	-8.88%
Yt	1.19774096	1.21610829	1.2259554	1.23832522	3.39%
Y0	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.00%
YS	2.158408	2.1946138	2.214036	2.2384366	3.71%
Yinequ	8.633632	8.7784552	8.856144	8.9537464	3.71%
UtInequ	10.91646	11.57532	11.95032	12.44468	14.00%

Appendix: A GE-Model with Duopolistic X-Industry: Simulation Results

(Legend: see List of Variables)

Table 2 - Cases with Different Taxation Schemes (d=1 for all cases)

	Flat Redistril	oution	Proportional	Redistrib.	No Redistrib	ution
Case	D2	D2-D1(%)	D3	D3-D1(%)	D4	D4-D1(%)
t	0.2		1		1	
Variable						
WS	1.01611	0.25%	1.02431	1.06%	1.01271	-0.09%
uh	0.237679	8.15%	0.286085	30.17%	0.212894	-3.13%
ul	0.0469659	5.64%	0.0533579	20.01%	0.0434744	-2.22%
qh	0.618459	3.75%	0.596798	0.11%	0.604055	1.33%
ql	0.30923	3.75%	0.298399	0.11%	0.302028	1.33%
qz	0.565845	-1.49%	0.539327	-6.10%	0.577494	0.54%
ph	1.016	17.89%	1.70034	97.30%	0.78386	-9.05%
pl	0.436444	18.67%	0.741011	101.48%	0.333604	-9.29%
Pih	0.559471	20.37%	0.84709	82.25%	0.381695	-17.88%
Pil	0.132272	22.91%	0.215284	100.04%	0.0969296	-9.93%
ix	0.0586972	16.75%	0.0846918	68.45%	0.0472137	-6.09%
U0	0.055909	11.82%	0.05	0.00%	0.0353553	-29.29%
US	0.558026	2.24%	0.605073	10.86%	0.363575	-33.39%
Ut	0.295699	4.82%	0.306543	8.67%	0.190319	-32.53%
dws	1.01611	0.25%	1.02431	1.06%	1.01271	-0.09%
SZ	0.93946113	-0.94%	0.91137764	-3.90%	0.95161966	0.34%
sxl	0.072311	-31.67%	0.104803	-0.96%	0.093917	-11.25%
sxh	0.381541	-5.53%	0.403202	-0.17%	0.395945	-1.96%
Т	0.12509756		0.62607766		0.62530779	
Pim	0.691743	20.85%	1.062374	85.60%	0.4786246	-16.38%
pz	1.2	20.00%	2	100.00%	2	100.00%
ruhl	5.06067168	2.38%	5.36162405	8.47%	4.89699685	-0.93%
rphl	2.32790461	-0.65%	2.29462181	-2.07%	2.34967207	0.28%
puh	4.27467298	9.01%	5.94347834	51.57%	3.68192622	-6.11%
pul	9.29278477	12.34%	13.8875593	67.88%	7.67357341	-7.24%
Yt	1.44233772	20.42%	2.314533	93.24%	1.10392865	-7.83%
Y0	0.37509756	50.04%	0.5	100.00%	0.25	0.00%
YS	2.52469356	16.97%	4.173368	93.35%	1.9699592	-8.73%
Yinequ	6.73076505	-22.04%	8.346736	-3.32%	7.8798368	-8.73%
UtInequ	9.98096907	-8.57%	12.10146	10.86%	10.2834653	-5.80%

2008		
2006 B01-08	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
2007	Laro Diplomatic dateir geneinsante "vertschartsregierung	
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2006	Financial Existing Caribal Deally action and Assesses Elec	
B03-06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluc- tuations	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005 B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von	Martin Seidel
D11 05	Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni-
		fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
2004		0 0 /
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
_	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
051 04	De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	Surgen von Hagen, Sizhong Zhou
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
	und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich-	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
D a a i	keiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem	
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
B27-04	ses Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni-	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
820 01	on with the EU	
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
·	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Cri-	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
D10.04	ses	
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas-	Martin Seidel
	sungsvertrag	

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in an Enlarged EMU	Iulia Traistaru
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non- traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B15-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Explain Local Patterns?	Christian Volpe Martincus
B14-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	Jiri Jonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Countries	Sami Yläoutinen
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte- gration	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi- ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition Countries	Laura Resmini
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Conver- gence	Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan
B07-04	The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to Human Well-being in Africa	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera- tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	Ulrich Bindseil
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications for EU Enlargement	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Bei- trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
2003		
B29-03 B28-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	
D20-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta- ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
621 00	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version of International Finance)	gen
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha- gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat- terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung	Martin Seidel
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for Inter-Firm Communication	William Pyle
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence from Asia and the Americas	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Pri- macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
	Export of Ukrainian Firms	ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and	lulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
	Policy Challenges	
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
	to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
	a Puzzle?	
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B03-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz
	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul,
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B22-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi- tion Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Schaber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
	Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su
003-02	and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
D00.01	That of the Europaen Central Bank	
B20-01	An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
_	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
D1C 01	in Latin American and Carribean Countries	
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
	Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European Union	
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD	Christian E. Weller
B14-01	Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen
01101	a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	non na otraden, surgen von nagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wa-	Lilia Cavallar
_	ge Setters	
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
D00.01	Empirical Evidence	
B09-01	Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?	Jan Fidrmuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne
D00-01	The functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
201 01	Countries and the European Union	
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
	Markets	tan
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	The Future of Banking.	schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac-
_		Culloch
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac-
D00.01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir-	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Ha-
B01-01	ty Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	gen Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
D01-01	licy	Ellenne i alvaque, Gael Lagauec
2000		
B20-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside	Etienne Farvaque
B19-00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, ge-	Jens Hölscher
	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner,
		Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung	Martin Seidel
	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	
D16 00	meinschaftsrechts	Christa Bandria Blath Tamagaa
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
D13 00	ration	Surgen von Hagen, Kan Hepp
B14-00	Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Eco-	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
-	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	<i>3</i> ,
B13-00	Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos
	nomies Reconsidered	

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli- chen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	How Belgium Qualified for EMU Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
	-	-
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition	Jan Fidrmuc
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
1999		0
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	General Equilibrium Analysis Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
P24 00	•	Debart MacCullach
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	Helmut Seitz
B19-99	The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies	Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor-
D10-99	else's are?	zuch
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von
DT2-33		-
D10 00	Wrong in Central European Banking?	Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
711-22	i mancial supervision and i oncy coordination in the EMO	
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland	schaftspolitisches Forum Christian Weller
		Valkar Mialand
B09-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning	Volker Wieland
B08-99	The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less	Christian Weller
	Real Credit in Transition Economies	

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B16-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU- Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98 B12-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi- nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Jürgen von Hagen Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Study of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB	Robert L. Hetzel
B08-98	Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla- den)	Bernd Hayo
B07-98	Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Ver- sion zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
1997 B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins- urance or Federalism?	Kenneth Kletzer
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 D-53113 Bonn Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 Fax: +49-228-73-1809 www.zei.de