

Lüchinger, Simon

**Conference Paper**

## Air Pollution and Infant Mortality: A Natural Experiment from Power Plant Desulfurization

Beiträge zur Jahrestagung des Vereins für Socialpolitik 2010: Ökonomie der Familie - Session: Economic Aspects of Health, No. B18-V2

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Verein für Socialpolitik / German Economic Association

*Suggested Citation:* Lüchinger, Simon (2010) : Air Pollution and Infant Mortality: A Natural Experiment from Power Plant Desulfurization, Beiträge zur Jahrestagung des Vereins für Socialpolitik 2010: Ökonomie der Familie - Session: Economic Aspects of Health, No. B18-V2, Verein für Socialpolitik, Frankfurt a. M.

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/37315>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

# Air Pollution and Infant Mortality: A Natural Experiment from Power Plant Desulfurization

Simon Luechinger\*

Swiss Federal Institute of Technology

March 1, 2010

## Abstract

The paper estimates the effect of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution on infant mortality in Germany, 1985-2003. To avoid simultaneity problems, I exploit the natural experiment created by the mandated desulfurization at power plants, with wind directions dividing counties into treatment and control groups. Instrumental variable estimates are larger than conventional estimates and translate into an elasticity of 0.08-0.13. The observed reduction in pollution implies an annual gain of 895-1528 infant lives. Estimates are robust to controls for economic activity, climate, reunification effects, rural/urban trends and TSP pollution and are comparable across subsamples. Excess mortality mainly accrues in the first month after birth. (100 words)

*JEL:* I12, Q53, J13

*Keywords:* health; infants; mortality; pollution; infant mortality; air pollution

---

\* Address for correspondence: KOF Swiss Economic Institute, ETH Zurich, Weinbergstrasse 35, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland, phone: +41-44-632-4219, fax: +41-44-632-12-18, email: sluechinger@iew.uzh.ch. I thank Wolfgang Bräuniger and Wolfgang Müller from the German Federal Environmental Agency for the pollution and power plant data, the operating companies for giving confidential information on their generating units and Hiltrud Bayer from the German Youth Institute and the state statistical agencies for the mortality data. For comments and suggestions, I thank Peter Nilsson, participants at the Annual Meeting of the Swiss Society of Economics and Statistics 2009, the European Economic Association 2009, the American Economic Association 2010, seminar participants at the ETH Zurich, University of Rotterdam [to be completed].

## Introduction

Extreme pollution events like the smog episode in the Meuse Valley, Belgium, in 1930, in Donora, Pennsylvania, in 1948, and in London in 1952 and 1956 caused a severalfold increase in mortality and, thereby, raised awareness of the detrimental health effects of pollution. Negative effects on human health are beyond doubt a major source of the overall welfare loss associated with pollution. Consequently, safety and health concerns are a primary rationale for air quality regulations such as the Clean Air Act in the U.S. and the Federal Immission Control Act in Germany. For example, the latter act has the stated purpose “to protect human beings [...] against harmful effects [...] and to take precautions against the emergence of any such harmful effects [...].”

These regulations brought about considerable improvements in air quality. As an example, Figure 1 depicts the sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) concentration in Germany in 1985-2003. Other developed countries experienced a similar decline in SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Of course, general trends mask considerable heterogeneity and people living, for instance, in the vicinity of coal-fired power plants can still be exposed to high and increasing pollution levels. Moreover, the pollution situation in developing countries looks less bright and is often getting worse. Therefore, it is natural to ask if the improvements in air quality were accompanied by improvements in health and, more generally, to assess the health effects of air pollution.

Mortality is arguably the most important health outcome. With regard to the health effects of air pollution, *adult* mortality it is also the longest and most widely studied health outcome (Anderson 2009). Epidemiologists typically rely either on time-series analyses, cross-section analyses or cohort analyses, i.e. longitudinal analyses of populations with different levels of long term exposure to air pollution. For example, analyzing daily data for London in the years 1958-1972, Schwartz and Marcus (1990) find highly significant relationships between mortality and SO<sub>2</sub> both over the whole period and in individual years. Similarly, Mendelsohn and Orcutt (1979) observe a positive and significant association between mortality and SO<sub>2</sub> in a cross-section of groups of U.S. counties in 1970. Or, in a large cohort of nonsmoking Californians followed over the period 1977-1992, SO<sub>2</sub> showed a strong relationship with lung cancer mortality (Abbey et al. 1999).

Even though it has already been observed in the London smog episodes that the death toll was relatively greatest among infants (e.g., Logan 1956), epidemiological studies concentrate

largely on adult mortality. This is all the more surprising because studies on adult mortality suffer from conceptual and methodological problems that studies on infant mortality obviate (Chay and Greenstone 2003b). First, the excess deaths attributable to air pollution may occur primarily among the old and infirm, who may not have lived long anyway. Of course, this “harvesting” is also important for studies on infant mortality that identify effects on the basis of short term variation in mortality and pollution. But if decreases in air pollution are found to reduce infant mortality rates overall, the number of life-years gained is large. Second, there is considerable uncertainty regarding the life-time exposure of adults. As Chay and Greenstone (2003b) argue, this uncertainty is greatly reduced by the low migration rates of pregnant women and infants. These advantages sparked a recent interest in the effects of air pollution, not only among epidemiologists but also among economists (see references below).

Concerns regarding “harvesting” and life-time exposure are specific to studies on mortality. But in addition, studies on mortality are also affected by omitted variable and simultaneity problems common in all non-experimental, correlational studies. For instance, local air pollution is correlated with local economic activity, demographic patterns and other unobserved factors that have independent effects on mortality. Intervention analyses go some way in addressing these concerns. The finding of a reduction in SO<sub>2</sub> pollution and mortality in the aftermath of an overnight introduction of low sulfur fuel in 1990 in Hong Kong provides certainly more convincing evidence than classical time-series studies (Hedley et al. 2002). Yet, without an adequate control group, it is difficult to rule out alternative explanations.

In two pioneering studies, Chay and Greenstone (2003b; a) use natural experiments to assess the effect of total suspended particulate (TSP) pollution on infant mortality. The natural experiments are provided by the comparatively harsh regulation imposed on U.S. counties that did not meet the Clean Air Act standards in the early 1970s (Chay and Greenstone 2003a) and by the large variation across U.S. counties in changes in TSP pollution caused by the 1980s recession (Chay and Greenstone 2003b). In both studies, the estimates based on regulation- or recession-induced changes in TSP concentration are stronger and more robust than either conventional cross-section or panel estimates; the estimated elasticity is 0.5 in the 1970s and 0.35 in the 1980s. Currie and Neidell (2005) address omitted variable and simultaneity problems by using the within zip-code month variation to identify the effect of air pollution on infant mortality. This identification strategy may make the results susceptible to concerns about “harvesting” and does not allow the authors to capture cumulative effects.

However, the strategy is particularly well suited for their purpose since they are interested in the effect of pollutants with potentially important short-term effects, notably carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>). Moreover, direct tests for “harvesting” reject related concerns. The results of Currie and Neidell (2005) imply an elasticity of 2.05 for CO; no effects are found for particulate matter (PM) and O<sub>3</sub>. This result is confirmed in a study by Currie et al. (2009). Using data that allow them to follow mothers over time, Currie et al. (2009) find that exposure to CO but not to Ozone or PM<sub>10</sub> increases the probability of infant death. Currie and Schmieder (2009) investigate the effect of emissions of a wider range of toxic chemicals in the U.S. The implied elasticities range from 1.82 for heavy metals to 6.11 for volatile organic compounds and 6.49 for chemicals known to affect child development. Foster et al. (2009) use a measure based on satellite imagery that is correlated with PM pollution to study the effects on respiratory mortality in infants in Mexico. They find evidence that improvements in air quality, largely attributable to a voluntary pollution reduction program, reduced infant mortality with an elasticity of 4.4.

This paper contributes to this literature in three respects. First, as in Chay and Greenstone (2003a), the paper uses regulation-induced changes in air pollution to identify the effects on infant mortality. At the same time, it recognizes that the consequences may extend far beyond the immediate vicinity of regulated sources because of the typically large transport distances of gaseous pollutants. Specifically, air pollution is instrumented with the estimated improvement in air quality caused by the mandated installation of scrubbers at power plants. The instrument is a difference-in-difference term with the retrofitting of power plants as treatment and the prevailing wind direction dividing counties into treatment and control groups.

Second, the paper analyzes the effect of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution. SO<sub>2</sub> pollution has been largely neglected by the epidemiological literature on infant mortality (in contrast to TSP pollution; for a review, see Glinianaia et al. 2004), especially by the recent economic literature on infant mortality. Cross-sectional analyses across U.S. counties in 1970 (Mendelsohn and Orcutt 1979) and in 1990 (Lipfert et al. 2000) as well as across Czech districts in 1989-1991 (Bobak and Leon 1999) yield insignificant and inconsistent results. An exception are Bobak and Leon (1992) who find a weakly significant association between SO<sub>2</sub> and postneonatal respiratory mortality in a sample of Czech districts in 1986-1988. Given the facts that SO<sub>2</sub> pollution is the focus of many air quality regulations, that there is considerable evidence for effects on adult

mortality (see references above) and that the strongest and most consistent effects on pregnancy outcomes are found for SO<sub>2</sub> and not for other pollutants (for a review, see Šram et al. 2005), this neglect is surprising.

Third, the paper uses data from Germany in 1985-2003. The recent literature mainly concentrates on the U.S. and, to a lesser extent, on developing countries. Therefore, evidence from another highly developed country validates findings from the U.S. At the same time, developed countries share many similarities, so that the results for Germany can be used to evaluate policies in other countries such as, for example, the SO<sub>2</sub> emission trading program in the U.S.

[Figure 1 about here]

The most important finding is that SO<sub>2</sub> pollution increases infant mortality. The co-movement of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution and infant mortality shown in Figure 1 anticipates this result. Particularly noteworthy is the sharp and simultaneous drops in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration and in infant mortality in 1987. The analysis in later sections of the paper suggests that this relationship is causal and quantifies the effect. In the whole sample, the IV estimates are around 1.7 times larger compared to the conventional estimates. According to the conventional estimates, 0.028 infant lives (per 1000 live births) are saved if SO<sub>2</sub> concentration falls by 1 µg/m<sup>3</sup>; according to the instrumental variable estimates, the same reduction in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration saves 0.047 infant lives (per 1000 live births). This translates into elasticities of 0.08 and 0.13, respectively. For most subsamples considered, the absolute and relative magnitudes are very similar. Further, the estimates are robust to controls for local economic and demographic development, climate, reunification effects and rural/urban trends as well as TSP pollution. Further, estimates suggests that between 50 and 80 percent of the excess mortality accrues in the first month after birth and around one third within the first day.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the pollution data and the strategy to instrument SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Section 3 presents the mortality data and control variables, the baseline regressions along with various robustness tests and the estimates for mortality within different time bands. Section 4 concludes.

## **2. Pollution: data, evolution and instrument**

The data on SO<sub>2</sub> concentration come from the German federal environmental agency (*Umweltbundesamt*; hereafter UBA for short). The UBA provides data on the annual mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentration measured at the monitors belonging to the monitoring networks of the 16 state environmental agencies and the UBA for the years 1985 to 2003. Data are available for 553 monitors or, in individual years, between 196 monitors in 1985 and 416 monitors in 1994. In order to estimate the SO<sub>2</sub> concentration at all other locations, I interpolate the monitor readings on a grid with cell size of 1 km<sup>2</sup> covering the whole area of Germany. The data are interpolated with the method of inverse distance weighting. The value of cell *i* of the grid is estimated as the weighted average over the readings at the 9 nearest monitors *j* using the inverse cubed distance ( $D_{ij}^{-3}$ ) as weights:

$$grid\ value_i = \sum_{j=1}^9 monitor\ reading_j \cdot D_{ij}^{-3} / \sum_{j=1}^9 D_{ij}^{-3} . \quad (1)$$

The parameters have been suggested by the UBA and have been determined by the UBA on the basis of empirical studies. However, both interpolated values and regressions results are very similar for slightly different parameters. Following the approach suggested in Currie and Neidell (2005), I assess the accuracy of the interpolation procedure by comparing the actual readings at each monitor with the pollution level that would be predicted by the interpolation if the monitor in question was not there. The correlation of 0.87 suggests that the interpolated values are reasonably accurate.

In order to match the pollution data with the survey data, I aggregate the interpolated values on the level of German counties and estimate annual mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations.<sup>1</sup> The mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentration per county for the years 1985, 1990, 1995 and 2000 is depicted in Figure 2.

[Figure 2 about here]

The pattern and evolution of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution reveals two striking features. First, in the mid-1980s, pollution was highly concentrated at three hotspots: the Ruhr area in the west, Northern Hesse in the centre and the area around Leipzig in the east, by then all important industrial centres and coal mining areas. Second, air quality improved dramatically between 1985 and 1990 in West Germany and after 1990 in East Germany. In large part, these

---

<sup>1</sup> In 1994, population per county was between 31,800 in Klingenthal and 2,170,000 in West Berlin with a median of 131,400. The number of counties fell from 543 in 1993 to 439 in 2001 as several counties in East Germany merged. The analysis in this paper is based on the 439 counties at the end of the merging process.

improvements reflect the effect of an amendment to the large combustion plant ordinance enacted in 1983 (the ordinance is based on the German Federal Immission Control Act cited in the introduction). The ordinance required fossil fuel fired power plants to be retrofitted with flue gas desulfurization. Time limits were in the range between three and nine years from 1986 on. It is important to note that time limits were statutorily fixed and depended on the capacity of a power plant and its actual emissions but that they were not in the discretion of the operating companies or regulatory bodies. The unification treaty of 1990 subjected power plants in East Germany to the same regulations. East German power plants had to install scrubbers from 1993 on. As in West Germany, the ordinance specified different time limits for different categories of power plants.

However, the pattern and evolution of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution also points at the potential simultaneity of local economic activity and pollution. Since 1980, the Ruhrgebiet undergoes structural change. New jobs in the service sector compensate only partially for job losses in the industrial sector. Similarly, the area around Leipzig is still recovering from the collapse of industrial production after reunifications. To address this potential source of bias, I instrument air pollution by exploiting the mandated retrofitting of power plants, coupled with information on the geography of power plants and wind directions.

I use the changes in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration caused by the large combustion plant ordinance and consequent retrofitting of power plants as an instrument for SO<sub>2</sub> pollution. My instrument in later stages of the analysis is the difference-in-difference term with desulfurization at power plants as the treatment and with counties assigned to control and treatment groups according to prevailing wind directions at power plants. In a standard difference-in-difference setting, this term would simply be the interaction of a dummy variable with value one if power plant  $j$  has installed a scrubber at time  $t$ ,  $1(\textit{scrubber})_{jt}$ , and a dummy variable and with value one if county  $c$  lies downwind of power plant  $j$ ,  $1(\textit{downwind})_{cj}$ . Hence, one would explain the SO<sub>2</sub> concentration in county  $c$  at time  $t$ ,  $P_{ct}$ , as follows:

$$P_{ct} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 1(\textit{scrubber})_{jt} \cdot 1(\textit{downwind})_{cj} + \chi_c + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{ct}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\chi_c$  and  $\tau_t$  are county and time specific effects respectively.

I depart from this idealized setting in three respects. First, treatment and control group status is a matter of degree rather than one of kind. Although there is everywhere a predominant



wind direction distinguishing counties into up- and downwind counties, wind directions can change. Therefore, the treatment group variable,  $f(R_{cj})$ , is the frequency that county  $c$  lies downwind of power plant  $j$  and the difference-in-difference term becomes  $1(\text{scrubber})_{jt} \cdot f(R_{cj})$ .

Second, since I consider simultaneously all power plants  $j$  and all counties  $c$ , the treatment variable is a weighted sum of desulfurization at all power plants. The weights are the uncleaned, pre-desulfurization, emissions of the plants,  $E_j$ , and a distance decay function,  $g(D_{cj})$ . The new difference-in-difference term thus is  $\sum_j E_j \cdot 1(\text{scrubber})_{jt} \cdot g(D_{cj}) \cdot f(R_{cj})$ .

The distance decay is modelled as an exponential curve with an implied characteristic decay distance of 480 km,  $g(D_{cj}) = \exp(-2.1\text{E-}6 \cdot D_{cj})$ , as suggested by field studies (Schwartz 1989; Summers and Fricke 1989). The decrease in concentration with distance captures both removal of material by deposition and dilution or dispersion caused by lateral or vertical mixing of air.

Third, some power plants shut down, others are newly built. Therefore, it is necessary to control for changes in the power plant population by introducing an additional term in equation 2 for the weighted sum of uncleaned emissions,  $\sum_j E_j \cdot 1(\text{active})_{jt} \cdot g(D_{cj}) \cdot f(R_{cj})$ , where  $1(\text{active})_{jt}$  is a dummy variable indicating whether power plant  $j$  is active at time  $t$ . Taking all three departures into account, the difference-in-difference setting thus becomes:

$$P_{ct} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \sum_j 1(\text{active})_{jt} \cdot E_j \cdot g(D_{cj}) \cdot f(R_{cj}) \quad (3)$$

$$- \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \sum_j 1(\text{active})_{jt} \cdot E_j \cdot 1(\text{scrubber})_{jt} \cdot g(D_{cj}) \cdot f(R_{cj}) + \chi_c + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{ct}.$$

In equation 3, the second term on the right hand side denotes the weighted sum of uncleaned SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the third term denotes the weighted sum of retained SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In later stages of the analysis, the weighted sum of retained SO<sub>2</sub> emissions – conditional on the weighted sum of uncleaned SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, county and time specific effects as well as on all the control variables introduced in section 3 – will be my instrument for air pollution. The identifying assumption is that there exists no systematic difference in the effect of retrofitting

of power plants on infant mortality between up- and downwind counties except through the effect on pollution.<sup>2</sup>

As can be seen from equation 3, I require information on the pre-desulfurization SO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the power plants, information on when plants installed scrubbers, wind directions at the plants as well as direction and distance vectors between counties and plants. For 303 fossil fuel fired generating units, i.e. all units active between 1985 and 2003 with an electricity capacity of 100 MW and more, I have information on the launching year, year of desulfurization, the year the unit was shut down, capacity, fuel and fuel efficiency. The data are from the UBA, information published by the operating companies and the technical literature, a survey mailed to operating companies and statutory provisions (the reader is referred to the appendix A.1 for details). I georeference power plants using a route planer. The locations of the power plants are depicted in panel A of Figure 3. With emission factors published in the literature and the plants characteristics, annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions can be estimated. Frequencies of wind directions in 12 30-degree sectors measured at 43 wind stations describe the wind situation at the power plants. From an originally larger sample of wind stations, I use for each plant the closest wind station. The stations are shown in panel B of Figure 3. The predominant wind direction is west-southwest. In order to relate the data at the plant level with the pollution data at the county level, I calculate the Euclidean distance and direction between every power plant and every county.

[Figure 3 about here]

The reason for instrumenting SO<sub>2</sub> concentration is its potential correlation with local economic activity, demographic patterns and other unobserved factors that have independent effects on mortality. In order to assess the importance of this issue and in order to provide support for my instrumenting strategy, Table 1 presents the results from ‘pseudo first stage’ regressions, i.e. from regressions of important economic (and demographic) variables on SO<sub>2</sub> concentration and on my instrument as well as on the full set of control variables introduced in section 3. The economic outcomes on the left hand side are GDP per capita, employment and population at the county level.

---

<sup>2</sup> It is worth noting that the costs of the regulation such as increased electricity prices and secondary benefits such as jobs created in the environmental industry are equally spatially distributed (or at least orthogonal to wind directions). Further, the statutory provisions were enacted before the period considered. Therefore, the actual installation of scrubbers does not reflect other changes such as a shift in political power from upwind to downwind regions.

[Table 1 about here]

Although the ultimate source of concern is a correlation between air pollution and *unobserved* economic and demographic outcomes, Table 1 confirms the conjecture that pollution and local economic and demographic activity are correlated and supports the instrumenting approach: While SO<sub>2</sub> concentration is correlated with GDP per capita and population, the instrument is not. Employment is neither correlated with SO<sub>2</sub> concentration nor with the instrument.

One might worry that counties lying downwind of a retrofitted power plant experienced improvements in air quality and infant mortality before the plant installed the scrubber. In this case, the difference-in-difference term in equation 3 that is used as an instrument would partly capture these pre-existing trends. In order to assess this issue, Figure 4, panels A. and C., plots for each county the differences in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration and infant mortality between the first year of the desulfurization process and the last year before the process against the estimated effect of desulfurization averaged over the whole sample period. The pre-desulfurization differences relate to the years 1986-1985 for West Germany and the years 1993-1992 for East Germany. Figure 4, panels B. and D., also plots the differences in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration and infant mortality between the second and the first year of desulfurization process against averaged values of the estimated effect of desulfurization. The post-desulfurization differences relate to the years 1988-1986 for West Germany and the years 1995-1993 for East Germany. These years mark the time frame during which the most polluting power plants were required to install scrubbers. Figure 4 both plots both actual values and Kernel-weighted local polynomial regression-smoothed values.

[Figure 4 about here]

As we can see from Figure 4, panels A. and C., in the years before the desulfurization process, average values of the estimated effect of desulfurization are not related to changes in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration. For the actual values, the correlation ( $\rho$ ) is -0.04 ( $p = 0.377$ ). In contrast, average values of the estimated effect of desulfurization are strongly related to changes in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration after the desulfurization process started ( $\rho = -0.50$ ;  $p < 0.0001$ ). The same pattern holds for infant mortality rates even though it may be less visible to the naked eye.

The correlation pre-desulfurization is -0.01 ( $p = 0.857$ ), post-desulfurization it is -0.13 ( $p = 0.010$ ).<sup>3</sup>

Having described the pollution data and the strategy to address omitted variable and simultaneity problems, we can now turn to the analysis on the effects of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution on infant mortality.

### **3. Effect of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution on infant mortality**

#### **3.1 Data and empirical strategy**

The data on infant mortality originally come from the 15 state statistical agencies (Hamburg and Schleswig-Holstein share one agency). The state agencies are required by federal laws to collect data on births and deaths in a standardized way. Aggregated values at the county level are then either published in state reports or available on request. The German Youth Institute, an independent research institute on children and families, compiled and courteously provided the data for the years 1986-2003. The data for the year 1985 come directly from the statistical agencies. Infant mortality is reported as the number of deaths per 1000 live births. As can be seen from the summary statistics reported in Table 2, 5.83 infants per 1000 live births died on average in the sample period. Until 1999, disaggregated data on the number of deaths within 1 day and 28 days are available. Due to changes in federal laws, states no longer reported detailed information on early infant deaths in later years.

[Table 2 about here]

The explanatory variable of interest is the annual mean concentration of SO<sub>2</sub> introduced in the Section 2. The mean concentration in the sample is 16.13  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .

A first set of control variables includes basic economic and demographic variables, namely GDP per capita, employment and population. The data are from Cambridge Econometrics. A second set of control variable includes climate or weather variables. Pollution and mortality are both likely to be correlated with climate or weather conditions. In particular, extreme conditions can be expected to be important drivers of increased infant mortality (Deschênes and Greenstone 2007). Therefore, some specifications will control for the mean temperature in the coldest month, the mean temperature in the hottest month, the mean precipitation in the driest month and the mean precipitation in the wettest month. The variables are constructed

---

<sup>3</sup> It is important to note that the actual development stacks the deck against finding the instrument to be valid. Even though power plants were not statutorily required to install scrubbers before 1986 and 1993 in West and East Germany, respectively, individual power plants (< 6 percent) were retrofitted before these dates to test desulfurization technologies or in connection with regular overhauls.

on the basis of daily data from the German meteorological office (*Deutscher Wetterdienst*) and the European Climate Assessment and Data. I choose for each county the nearest of 35 weather stations with continuous readings to describe the weather situation.

On the basis of this data set, I estimate different variants of the following empirical model:

$$IMR_{ct} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P_{ct} + \beta_2 Z_{ct} + \chi_c + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{ct}, \quad (4)$$

where  $IMR_{ct}$  is the infant mortality rate in county  $c$  in year  $t$ ,  $P_{ct}$  the SO<sub>2</sub> concentration in this county and year,  $Z_{ct}$  a vector of control variables,  $\chi_c$  and  $\tau_t$  county and year effects and  $\varepsilon_{ct}$  an error term. I estimate all variants of equation 4 with ordinary least squares and two stage least squares.

The functional form of the relationship between SO<sub>2</sub> concentration and infant mortality cannot be determined on an a priori basis. There is a widely held belief that the relationship between pollution and mortality may be non-linear. However, in the present case, F-tests clearly reject models with second or third order polynomials. Hence, the relationship is modelled linearly.

Throughout, a robust estimator of variance is used that allows for an unspecified form of correlation between observations from the same analytical region defined by the federal Office for Building and Regional Planning. In this way, serial and spatial correlations are accounted for. Each of the 92 analytical regions in Germany includes an economic centre with its hinterland. The regions are defined on the basis of commuted flows and often correspond to the planning regions of the states. Thus, counties within these regions are likely to be exposed to common shocks.

### 3.2 Basic results

Table 3 presents the basic results for the whole sample. It presents the result from three specifications for both the conventional and the instrumental variable panel estimates. Columns (1) and (4) present the results from the most parsimonious model that only controls for county and year effects. Columns (2) and (5) additionally include the economic variables. Columns (3) and (6) contain the richest models with controls for climate conditions.

[Table 3 about here]

The most important finding reported in Table 3 is that SO<sub>2</sub> concentration has a positive and highly statistically significant effect on infant mortality. According to the conventional

estimates, 0.028 infant lives are lost per 1000 live births for every 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  increase in  $\text{SO}_2$  concentration (weighted average of coefficients with the inverse of the robust standard errors as weights). The corresponding figure for the instrumental variable estimates is 0.047 infant lives. Hence, the instrumental variable estimates are around 1.7 times higher. This is consistent with the notion that conventional estimates are biased by omitted variables (and by measurement errors). The ratio of 1.7 is comparable to the corresponding ratio in Chay and Greenstone (2003b) for the years 1980-1982 amounting to 1.5.

The estimates are robust to changes in the specification. The conventional estimates are not more sensitive than the instrumental variable estimates, contrary to what might be expected and contrary to previous findings (Chay and Greenstone 2003b; a). However, this is not very surprising in light of the small and insignificant effects of most of the control variables. Only mean precipitation in driest month has a significant positive effect. An increase in employment seems to reduce infant mortality but, again, the effect is not statistically significant. All other variables have inconsistent effects.

Turning to the first stage regressions, we can see that the instrument has the expected effect on  $\text{SO}_2$  pollution. Further, the effect is strong and highly statistically significant. The F-test and the partial  $R^2$  suggest that the instrument is relevant and that it has reasonable explanatory power.

There are several ways to put the results into perspective. First, the marginal effects of 0.028 and 0.047 translate into an elasticity of 0.08-0.13. This elasticity can be compared to the elasticity from previous studies. However, even though elasticity is independent from measurement units and averaging times, vast differences between pollutants, locations, time periods and study designs imply that the comparison needs to be taken with a grain of salt. From a comparison with the elasticities reported in the introduction, it can be seen that my estimates are lower than the lowest estimate found in previous studies.

Second, one can calculate the number of infant lives saved in each year by the very large reduction in  $\text{SO}_2$  pollution over the sample period. The average decrease in  $\text{SO}_2$  concentration in counties between the first and the last year that they are in the sample is around 42  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . With around 746,000 live births each in year in Germany, the number of lives saved amounts to around 895 for the conventional estimates and to around 1528 for the instrumental variable estimates.

Third, the average decrease in infant mortality in counties over the sample period is 4.17. The estimates in table 3 suggest that between 29 percent and 49 percent of this decrease can be explained by the improvement in air quality.

Fourth, if we are prepared to monetize these gains in live years, we can compare the benefits in infant health to the costs of regulation. Chay and Greenstone (2003b; a) use a value of a statistical life (VSL) estimate of \$1.6 million (1997 US\$) based on a study by Ashenfelter and Greenstone (2004); Currie and Neidell (2005) use EPA's estimate of \$4.8 million (1999 US\$); the median VSL estimate for prime aged workers in the U.S. in the meta-analysis of Viscusi and Aldy (2003) is \$6.7 million. Hence, the estimates differ by an order of magnitude and so will the estimates of infant health benefits. The lowest annual benefit estimate for each of the around 27,793,000 households in the year 1989 based on the ordinary least square regressions and the VSL estimate from Ashenfelter and Greenstone (2004) is around \$51 (1997 US\$), the highest estimate based on the two stage least square regressions and the VSL estimate of Viscusi and Aldy (2003) amounts to US\$368 (2000 US\$).

These estimates can be compared to rough estimates of the private compliance costs (not social costs; see Hazilla and Kopp 1990) for West Germany in the range of between \$33 and \$165 per year and household (2000 US\$).<sup>4</sup> The comparison thus suggests that reduced infant mortality alone justifies the regulatory costs. Of course, not the entire improvement in air quality can be attributed to the large combustion plant ordinance. But the reduction in infant mortality is likely to be indicative of much larger health benefits in reduced morbidity and mortality. Adding aesthetic benefits and reduced material damages yields even larger overall benefits. Using the life satisfaction approach to the valuation of public goods, Luechinger (2009) finds that the overall social benefits of improved air quality exceed the health benefits presented here.

### 3.3 Robustness tests and extensions

Table 4 reports the results from a battery of robustness tests. On the one hand, I replicate the baseline regressions for several subsamples, namely for West Germany, for East Germany,

---

<sup>4</sup> Schaerer and Haug (1990) put the cost of installation of scrubbers at West German power plants at DM 14.2 billion (1988 DM). Doubling this value to account for operation costs, assuming a real long-term interest rate of 5 percent and dividing by 27,793,000 households (living in Germany in 1989), gives an estimate of \$33 (2000 US\$) per household and year. Another estimate of desulfurization at power plants provided by Schaerer and Haug (1990) is DM 0.0075 per kWh of electricity produced. In 1989, West German power plants produced 452.39 billion kWh of electricity. The costs per household and year are therefore \$80 (2000 US\$). Finally, Schulz' (1985) most pessimistic cost estimate is DM 9 per person and month (1984 DM assumed) or \$165 (2000 US\$) per household and year.

for the 1985-1990 period and for the 1990-2003 period.<sup>5</sup> On the other hand, I control for additional confounding variables.

The models presented in columns (4), (9), (14), (19) and (24) contain year specific distance-to-city polynomials and year specific close to the East-West German border effects as additional control variables. The year specific distance-to-city polynomials are intended to capture urban/rural trends. The year specific close to the East-West German border effects should capture reunification related effects. Closeness is defined as 75 kilometers, i.e. by a dummy variable with value one for all counties within 75 kilometers of the East-West German border. Redding and Sturm (2008) show that West German cities within this distance of the East-West German border experienced a substantial decline in population growth relative to other West German cities as a consequence of the German division after the Second World War. Similarly, in the aftermath of the German reunification these cities experienced a relative increase in the population growth, although this latter effect is smaller.

The models in columns (5), (10), (15), (20) and (25) control for the TSP concentration. SO<sub>2</sub> and TSP concentrations are often correlated. Hence, without controlling for the TSP concentration, SO<sub>2</sub> concentration may reflect air pollution more generally. In Bobak and Leon (1999), the effect of SO<sub>2</sub> concentration falls below conventional levels of statistical significance if both measures of air pollution are jointly included. It is important to note that some of the correlation between SO<sub>2</sub> concentration and TSP concentration is causal since SO<sub>2</sub> provides a reservoir from which sulfates and sulfuric acid, both components of TSP, derive. To the extent that the correlation is causally linked in this way, a decrease in the effect of the effect of SO<sub>2</sub> does not render SO<sub>2</sub> pollution irrelevant.

[Table 4 about here]

Generally, the estimates are robust across subsamples. An exception is the East German subsample in which no effect can be found with ordinary least squares. The two stage least square estimates are insignificant and sensitive to changes in the specification in the East German subsample, but the average effect across specifications is comparable to the results from other subsamples. For the conventional estimates, the average effects across specifications range from -0.004 in the East German subsample to 0.028 in the sample for the

---

<sup>5</sup> Two reasons explain the uneven partition of the time periods. First, this partition roughly separates the main period of desulfurization in the West from the main period of desulfurization in the East. This is only rough. Desulfurization continues after 1990 in the West and the West is also affected by desulfurization in the East. Second, starting from 1991, individual level data on deaths and births are available. Therefore, the subsample for the period 1991-2003 allows us to anticipate what results we may expect from an analysis of the individual level data.



1991-2003 period. The median is 0.025. For the instrumental variable estimates, the average effects range from 0.043 for the 1991-2003 period to 0.050 for East Germany. The median is 0.045. The instrumental variable estimates are more robust than the conventional estimates across subsamples: While the smallest average effect is around 85 percent of the largest average effect in the case of the instrumental variable estimates, even the second smallest average effect is only around 74 percent of the largest average effect in the case of the conventional estimates.

Within subsamples, the two stage least square estimates are slightly less robust than the conventional estimates. The only change in specification that has any real consequences is the inclusion of the controls for urban/rural trends and reunification related effects. The effect of SO<sub>2</sub> increases if these additional controls are included in three out of five samples with the effect being more pronounced for the two stage least square estimates.

Adding a variable for the TSP concentration has virtually no effect on the SO<sub>2</sub> coefficient. Conditional on the SO<sub>2</sub> concentration, only very small, statistically insignificant and across subsamples inconsistent effects are found the TSP concentration.<sup>6</sup> While the robustness of the SO<sub>2</sub> effect is noteworthy, not too much should be made of the non-result for TSP concentration: Conventional estimates for TSP pollution suffer from the same omitted variable and simultaneity problems that motivated the instrumental variable approach in this paper. Consequently, conventional estimates for TSP pollution have been found to be very small and sensitive before (Chay and Greenstone 2003b; a).

Table 5 presents the estimated effects of SO<sub>2</sub> concentration on infant mortality within 1 day (columns (1), (2) and (3)) and within the first 28 days (columns (4), (5) and (6)) after birth. Since disaggregated data are only available until 1999, Table 5 also reports the estimated effect for infant mortality within 1 year for this period. As can be seen from Table 5, between around 50 percent (conventional estimates) and 80 percent (instrumental variable estimates) of the excess mortality caused by SO<sub>2</sub> pollution can be attributed to an increase in excess mortality in the neonatal period (i.e. the first month after birth). Further, around 30 percent of the excess mortality caused by SO<sub>2</sub> pollution is due to increased infant deaths within the 1 day. In the sample, around 30 percent of all infants dying in the first year die within the first day and around 60 percent within the first month. Thus, the instrumental variable estimate suggests that SO<sub>2</sub> has a disproportionate effect on mortality within the first month. As Chay and Greenstone (2003b; a) argue this finding may be interpreted as evidence that SO<sub>2</sub> affects

---

<sup>6</sup> The results are not reported but are available on request.

infant mortality through fetal exposure in pregnancy and poor fetal development. However, since the other estimates for early infant deaths in Table 5 show no disproportionate effect, this interpretation remains tentative.

#### **4. Conclusion**

The most important finding of this paper is that SO<sub>2</sub> pollution increases infant mortality. Previous studies convincingly documented the detrimental effects on infant health of pollutants such as TSP, CO and various toxic chemicals. Other studies showed that SO<sub>2</sub> pollution can increase adult mortality and that SO<sub>2</sub> is one of the major pollutants leading to adverse pregnancy outcomes. However, evidence on the effects of SO<sub>2</sub> concentration on infant mortality is sparse and inconclusive. This paper contributes to filling this gap.

The estimated elasticity is smaller than the elasticities found for other pollutants. Yet, the very large reductions in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration experienced in many developed countries imply that the cumulative effects are large. The reduction in SO<sub>2</sub> concentration in Germany over the sample period results in a reduction of 895-1528 infant deaths each year.

This finding has immediate implications for the retrospective evaluation of air quality regulations such as the German large combustion plant ordinance or the U.S. SO<sub>2</sub> emission trading program. Further, the finding suggests that air quality regulations are likely to entail large welfare gains in developing countries with annual mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in urban areas often exceeding 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Two further considerations strengthen this conclusion. First, reduced infant mortality is only one benefit of clean air. The estimates presented in this paper are likely to be indicative for much broader benefits in terms of reduced morbidity and mortality. Further, improvements in air quality have aesthetic effects and lower material damages. Thus, the overall social benefits of clean air may far exceed the health benefits presented here (Luechinger 2009). Second, SO<sub>2</sub> is mainly emitted by large and stationary sources. This facilitates market-based approaches to air quality regulation.

Given the sparse evidence on the effect of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution on infant mortality, similar findings for other countries and periods would validate the results presented here. Further, there are some caveats to the present study that could usefully be addressed in future research. Most importantly, this study is based on aggregate data and, thus, cannot control for child and parent characteristics. Therefore, it would be worthwhile to replicate the study with individual level data for those years that these data are available.

#### **References**

- Abbey, David E., Naomi Nishino, William F. McDonnell, Raoul J. Burchette, Synnove F. Knutsen, W. Lawrence Beeson and Jie X. Yang (1999). Long-Term Inhalable Particles and Other Air Pollutants Related to Mortality in Nonsmokers. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 159(2): 373-382.
- Anderson, H. Ross (2009). Air Pollution and Mortality: A History. *Atmospheric Environment* 43(1): 142-152.
- Ashenfelter, Orley and Michael Greenstone (2004). Using Mandated Speed Limits to Measure the Value of a Statistical Life. *Journal of Political Economy* 112(S1): S226-S267.
- Bakkum, A., H. Bartelds, J. A. Duiser and C. Veldt (1987). *Handbook of Emission Factors, Part 3. Stationary Combustion Sources*. The Hague, NL: Ministry of Housing, Physical Planning and Environment.
- Bobak, Martin and David A. Leon (1992). Air Pollution and Infant Mortality in the Czech Republic, 1986-88. *Lancet* 340(8826): 1010-1014.
- Bobak, Martin and David A. Leon (1999). The Effect of Air Pollution on Infant Mortality Appears Specific for Respiratory Causes in the Postneonatal Period. *Epidemiology* 10(6): 666-670.
- Chay, Kenneth Y. and Michael Greenstone (2003a). Air Quality, Infant Mortality, and the Clean Air Act of 1970. NBER Working Papers No. 10053, Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Chay, Kenneth Y. and Michael Greenstone (2003b). The Impact of Air Pollution on Infant Mortality: Evidence from Geographic Variation in Pollution Shocks Induced by a Recession. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118(3): 1121-1167.
- Currie, Janet and Matthew Neidell (2005). Air Pollution and Infant Health: What Can We Learn from California's Recent Experience? *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 120(3): 1003-1030.
- Currie, Janet, Matthew Neidell and Johannes F. Schmieder (2009). Air Pollution and Infant Health: Lessons from New Jersey. *Journal of Health Economics*, forthcoming.
- Currie, Janet and Johannes F. Schmieder (2009). Fetal Exposures to Toxic Releases and Infant Health. *American Economic Review. Papers and Proceedings*, forthcoming.
- Deschênes, Olivier and Michael Greenstone (2007). Climate Change, Mortality, and Adaptation: Evidence from Annual Fluctuations in Weather in the US. NBER Working Paper No. 13178, Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Foster, Andrew, Emilio Gutierrez and Naresh Kumar (2009). Voluntary Compliance, Pollution Levels, and Infant Mortality in Mexico. Mimeo, Brown University.
- Glinianaia, Svetlana V., Judith Rankin, Ruth Bell, Tanja Pless-Mullooli and Denise Howel (2004). Does Particulate Air Pollution Contribute to Infant Death? A Systematic Review. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 112(14): 1365-1370.
- Hazilla, Michael and Raymond J. Kopp (1990). Social Cost of Environmental Quality Regulations: A General Equilibrium Analysis. *Journal of Political Economy* 98(4): 853-873.
- Hedley, Anthony Johnson, Chit-Ming Wong, Thuan Q. Tach, Stefan Ma, Tai-Hing Lam and H. Ross Anderson (2002). Cardiorespiratory and All-Cause Mortality after Restrictions on Sulphur Content of Fuel in Hong Kong: An Intervention Study. *Lancet* 360(9346): 1646-1652.
- Lipfert, Frederick W., Juan Zhang and Ronald E. Wyzga (2000). Infant Mortality and Air Pollution: A Comprehensive Analysis of U.S. Data for 1990. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association* 50(8): 1350-1366.
- Logan, W. P. (1956). Mortality from Fog in London, January, 1956. *British Medical Journal* 1(4969): 722-725.
- Luechinger, Simon (2009). Valuing Air Quality Using the Life Satisfaction Approach. *Economic Journal* 119(536): 482-515.
- Mendelsohn, Robert and Guy Orcutt (1979). An Empirical Analysis of Air Pollution Dose-Response Curves. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 6(2): 85-106.
- Redding, Stephen J. and Daniel M. Sturm (2008). The Costs of Remoteness: Evidence from German Division and Reunification. *American Economic Review* 98(5): 1766-1797.
- Schärer, Bernd and Norbert Haug (1990). Bilanz der Großfeuerungsanlagen-Verordnung. *Staub. Reinhaltung der Luft* 50: 139-144.
- Schulz, Werner (1985). *Der monetäre Wert besserer Luft: Eine empirische Analyse individueller Zahlungsbereitschaft und ihrer Determinanten auf der Basis von Repräsentativumfragen*. Frankfurt a.M., Bern and New York: Lang.

- Schwartz, Joel and Allan Marcus (1990). Mortality and Air Pollution in London: A Time Series Analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 131(1): 185-194.
- Schwartz, Stephen E. (1989). Acid Deposition: Unraveling a Regional Phenomenon. *Science* 243(4892): 753-763.
- Šram, Radim J., Blanka Binkova, Jan Dejmeš and Martin Bobak (2005). Ambient Air Pollution and Pregnancy Outcomes: A Review of the Literature. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 113(4): 375-382.
- Summers, Peter W. and Wolfgang Fricke (1989). Atmospheric Decay Distances and Times for Sulphur and Nitrogen Oxides Estimated from Air and Precipitation Monitoring in Eastern Canada. *Tellus* 41B(3): 286-295.
- Traup, Stephan and Burkhard Kruse (1996). *Wind und Windenergiepotentiale in Deutschland. Winddaten für Windenergienutzer*. Offenbach am Main, DE: Deutscher Wetterdienst.
- Viscusi, W. Kip and Joseph E. Aldy (2003). The Value of a Statistical Life: A Critical Review of Market Estimates Throughout the World. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 27(1): 5-76.

## Appendix

### A.1 Power plants and wind directions: data and data sources

This appendix provides a detailed description of the data on German power plants and wind directions used to estimate the causal effect of flue gas desulphurisation on annual mean SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at county level.

#### *Power plants*

The data for fossil fuel fired generating units with an electricity capacity of 100 MW and more are from the UBA, information published by the operating companies and the technical literature, a survey mailed to operating companies and statutory provisions. To a list of 396 generating units provided by the UBA, I add 56 units and then reduce the number of units to 390 by combining all units with identical location and characteristics. Of these 390 units, 7 units have a capacity of less than 100 MW, 351 were active in the period 1985 to 2003 and 303 units were active and are neither nuclear or hydroelectric power plants. The UBA list contains information on the plant name, operator and/or owner, zip code of contact address (which does not necessarily correspond to the plant's location), the launching year, the year the plant was shut down, capacity and fuel. I complement the data with the location, the year of desulphurisation, fuel efficiency and estimates of annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Location: If possible, I establish the exact address using information published by the operating companies, the technical literature or a route planner. Otherwise, the centroid of the zip code is assumed as a plant's location. I georeference the addresses with a route planner.

Year of refit: Published information and responses to my survey of operating companies allows me to determine the year scrubbers were installed for 224 units (61 percent). For the other units the year can be approximated on the basis of statutory provisions, the launching year, the year the plant was shut down and the capacity.

Fuel efficiency ( $\eta_j$ ): Published information and survey responses provide information on the fuel efficiency of 196 units (54 percent). For the other units fuel efficiency is predicted based on the following regression (t-values in parentheses):

$$\eta_j = 9.6E-4 \cdot \text{start year}_j + 9.9E-5 \cdot \text{capacity}_j - 0.035 \cdot 1(\text{lignite})_j + 0.008 \cdot 1(\text{sub-bituminous coal})_j +$$

(3.76)                      (6.98)                      (-1.25)                      (0.27)

$$0.054 \cdot 1(\text{natural gas})_j - 0.042 \cdot 1(\text{HEL})_j + 0.079 \cdot 1(\text{HS})_j - 0.103 \cdot 1(\text{uranium})_j + 0.185 \cdot 1(\text{hydro})_j -$$

(1.98)                      (-1.56)                      (2.32)                      (-2.73)                      (4.03)

$$-0.053 \cdot 1(\text{mixed fuel})_j - 0.027 \cdot 1(\text{desox})_j + 0.056 \cdot 1(\text{denox})_j - 1.589$$

(-1.72)                      (-3.37)                      (5.39)                      (-3.13)

$$R^2 = 0.727, \text{ Prob} > F = 0.000$$

Emissions: In order to estimate annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, I use emission factors, *EF*, from a time shortly before scrubbers were installed (Bakkum et al. 1987). Emission factors are defined as the industry wide average ratio between the emission rate and the actual load differentiated according to fuel and capacity. Assuming full utilization of capacities, the annual emission at plant *j*, *E<sub>j</sub>*, can be estimated as

$$E_j = EF(\text{fuel}, \text{capacity}) \cdot \text{capacity}_j \cdot \eta_j^{-1} \cdot \text{time period (31,536,000 seconds)}.$$

This calculation overstates emissions because the assumption of constant full utilization is not plausible but I lack data on utilization rates. Moreover, the procedure allows me to capture the important differences in emissions between fuels and plant sizes.

#### *Wind stations*

Frequencies of wind directions in 12 30-degree sectors measured wind stations are published in Traup and Kruse (1996). The wind atlas contains data on 107 wind stations of which 12 are not representative for a larger area. For each power plant the wind station closest to the plant is used to describe the wind situation at the plant, restricting the number of wind stations to 43. The frequency distributions are based on measurement series of at least 5 years, in most cases 15 years and in some cases more than 15 years in the period between 1976 and 1995.

*Table 1.* Partial correlation between economic variables and SO<sub>2</sub> and predicted  $\Delta$ SO<sub>2</sub>

Dependent variable	GDP p. c.	Employment	Population
<i>Main explanatory variable</i>			
SO <sub>2</sub>	-0.030 ** (0.006)	-0.006 (0.012)	0.090 ** (0.016)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.58	0.59	0.67
Number of observations	7519	7519	7519
Number of counties	439	439	439
Number of clusters	92	92	92
<i>Main explanatory variable</i>			
Predicted $\Delta$ SO <sub>2</sub>	0.123 (0.091)	-0.169 (0.198)	-0.317 (0.309)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.57	0.59	0.66
Number of observations	7519	7519	7519
Number of counties	439	439	439
Number of clusters	92	92	92
<i>Control variables</i>			
Economic variables	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate variables	Yes	Yes	Yes
County effects	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year effects	Yes	Yes	Yes

*Notes:* (1) OLS fixed-effects regressions; predicted  $\Delta$ SO<sub>2</sub> is the estimated effect of desulfurization at power plants. (2) Cluster robust standard errors in parentheses. (3) \*\* is significant at the 99 percent level.

*Table 2. Summary statistics*

	Obs.	Mean	Median	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1000 live births)	7519	5.83	5.50	2.89	0.00	23.30
SO <sub>2</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	7519	16.13	10.61	16.16	1.54	174.07
GDP per capita (in 1000 1995 euros)	7519	21.49	19.47	9.10	4.88	83.91
Employment (in 1000)	7519	87.37	59.00	113.91	16.00	1649.00
Population (in 1000)	7519	186.82	134.00	206.75	33.00	3474.00
Mean temperature in coldest month (in °C)	7519	-0.62	-0.39	2.69	-8.90	5.51
Mean temperature in hottest month (in °C)	7519	19.16	19.10	1.79	14.84	24.33
Mean precipitation in driest month (in mm)	7519	0.58	0.53	0.34	0.00	1.83
Mean precipitation in wettest month (in mm)	7519	4.10	3.97	1.22	1.67	11.64



Table 3. Basic results: Effect of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution on infant mortality

<b>A. Second stage regression</b>						
<i>Dependent variable</i>	Conventional Estimate			IV Estimate		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
<i>Infant mortality rate</i>						
<i>Pollution</i>						
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.028 ** (0.005)	0.028 ** (0.005)	0.027 ** (0.005)	0.046 ** (0.010)	0.047 ** (0.010)	0.048 ** (0.010)
<i>Economic variables</i>						
GDP per capita		-0.004 (0.021)	-0.003 (0.020)		0.010 (0.021)	0.013 (0.021)
Employment		-0.007 (0.006)	-0.008 (0.006)		-0.006 (0.006)	-0.007 (0.006)
Population		0.002 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)		-0.001 (0.005)	-0.001 (0.005)
<i>Climate variables</i>						
Mean temp. coldest month			0.008 (0.043)			0.007 (0.043)
Mean temp. hottest month			-0.013 (0.065)			0.004 (0.063)
Mean precip. driest month			0.270 * (0.133)			0.253 * (0.130)
Mean precip. wettest month			2E-4 (0.032)			0.003 (0.032)
<i>Pred. pre-duslf. SO<sub>2</sub></i>	-	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>County effects</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
<i>Year effects</i>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Prob > F	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
<b>B. First stage regression</b>						
<i>Dependent variable</i>						
SO <sub>2</sub>						
<i>Excluded instrument</i>						
Predicted ΔSO <sub>2</sub>				-5.134 ** (0.499)	-4.949 ** (0.465)	-4.997 ** (0.481)
<i>Pred. pre-duslf. SO<sub>2</sub></i>						
Pred. pre-duslf. SO <sub>2</sub>				14.044 ** (1.975)	13.183 ** (1.698)	13.219 ** (1.716)
<i>Included instruments</i>						
Number of observations	7519	7519	7519	7519	7519	7519
Number of counties	439	439	439	439	439	439
Number of clusters	92	92	92	92	92	92
Partial R <sup>2</sup> for SO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	-	0.16	0.16	0.16
F-test of excluded instruments	-	-	-	106.04	113.52	108.03
Prob > F	-	-	-	0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: (1) OLS fixed-effects and IV fixed-effects regressions. SO<sub>2</sub> is instrumented with the estimated effect of desulfurization at power plants. (2) Cluster robust standard errors in parentheses. (3) \*\* is significant at the 99 percent level and \* at the 95 percent level.

Table 4. Robustness tests

<i>Dependent variable</i>	Germany					West Germany				
Infant mortality rate	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
<i>Conventional estimates</i>										
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.028 ** (0.005)	0.027 ** (0.005)	0.027 ** (0.005)	0.029 ** (0.005)	0.027 ** (0.005)	0.020 * (0.008)	0.020 * (0.008)	0.021 * (0.008)	0.026 ** (0.009)	0.020 * (0.009)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.31
Number of observations	7519	7519	7519	7519	7519	6127	6127	6127	6127	5979
Number of counties	439	439	439	439	439	327	327	327	327	327
Number of clusters	92	92	92	92	92	72	72	72	72	72
<i>IV estimates</i>										
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.046 ** -(0.010)	0.047 ** -(0.010)	0.048 ** -(0.010)	0.062 ** -(0.011)	0.050 ** -(0.010)	0.039 ** -(0.012)	0.039 ** -(0.012)	0.041 ** -(0.012)	0.063 ** -(0.014)	0.040 ** -(0.013)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.30
Number of observations	7519	7519	7519	7519	7519	6127	6127	6127	6127	6127
Number of counties	439	439	439	439	439	327	327	327	327	327
Number of clusters	92	92	92	92	92	72	72	72	72	72
<i>Control variables</i>										
Economic variables	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate variables	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year spec. distance to city	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Year spec. close to E-W border	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
TSP concentration	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
County effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

*To be continued.*

Table 4, part 2

<i>Dependent variable</i>	East Germany						1986-1990			
Infant mortality rate	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
<i>Conventional estimates</i>										
SO <sub>2</sub>	-0.004 (0.012)	-0.005 (0.012)	-0.005 (0.012)	-0.004 (0.015)	-0.003 (0.011)	0.025 * (0.010)	0.025 * (0.010)	0.023 * (0.010)	0.031 ** (0.010)	0.021 * (0.010)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
Number of observations	1392	1392	1392	1392	1392	1954	1954	1954	1954	1954
Number of counties	112	112	112	112	112	326	326	326	326	326
Number of clusters	21	21	21	21	21	71	71	71	71	71
<i>IV estimates</i>										
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.081 (0.111)	0.085 (0.129)	0.064 (0.098)	0.016 (0.055)	0.043 (0.075)	0.043 ** (0.016)	0.043 ** (0.016)	0.041 * (0.017)	0.057 ** (0.019)	0.041 * (0.018)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.13	0.13	0.17	0.24	0.20	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09
Number of observations	1392	1392	1392	1392	1392	1954	1954	1954	1954	1954
Number of counties	112	112	112	112	112	326	326	326	326	326
Number of clusters	21	21	21	21	21	71	71	71	71	71
<i>Control variables</i>										
Economic variables	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate variables	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year spec. distance to city	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Year spec. close to E-W border	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
TSP concentration	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
County effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

*To be continued.*

Table 4, part 3

<i>Dependent variable</i>	1991-2003				
	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)
<i>Conventional estimates</i>					
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.031 ** (0.006)	0.028 ** (0.006)	0.027 ** (0.006)	0.029 ** (0.006)	0.028 ** (0.006)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12
Number of observations	5488	5488	5488	5488	5488
Number of counties	439	439	439	439	439
Number of clusters	92	92	92	92	92
<i>IV estimates</i>					
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.042 ** (0.013)	0.043 ** (0.015)	0.043 ** (0.015)	0.045 ** (0.017)	0.043 ** (0.015)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11
Number of observations	5488	5488	5488	5488	5488
Number of counties	439	439	439	439	439
Number of clusters	92	92	92	92	92
<i>Control variables</i>					
Economic variables	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Climate variables	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year spec. distance to city	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Year spec. close to E-W border	No	No	No	Yes	No
TSP concentration	No	No	No	No	Yes
County effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: (1) OLS fixed-effects and IV fixed-effects regressions. SO<sub>2</sub> is instrumented with the estimated effect of desulfurization at power plants. (2) Cluster robust standard errors in parentheses. (3) \*\* is significant at the 99 percent level and \* at the 95 percent level.

Table 5. So2 pollution and infant mortality within 1 day, 28 days and 1 year

<i>Dependent variable</i>	Deaths within 1 day			Deaths within 28 days			Deaths within 1 year		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
<i>Conventional estimates</i>									
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.007 *	0.008 **	0.008 **	0.013 **	0.013 **	0.013 **	0.027 **	0.028 **	0.028 **
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.26	0.26	0.27
Number of observations	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380
Number of counties	433	433	433	433	433	433	433	433	433
Number of clusters	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
<i>IV estimates</i>									
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.013 **	0.014 **	0.015 **	0.031 **	0.032 **	0.033 **	0.038 **	0.039 **	0.041 **
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.011)
R <sup>2</sup> within	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.26	0.26	0.26
Number of observations	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380	4380
Number of counties	433	433	433	433	433	433	433	433	433
Number of clusters	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
<i>Control variables</i>									
Economic variables	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Climate variables	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
County effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Notes: (1) OLS fixed-effects and IV fixed-effects regressions. SO<sub>2</sub> is instrumented with the estimated effect of desulfurization at power plants. (2) Cluster robust standard errors in parentheses. (3) \*\* is significant at the 99 percent level and \* at the 95 percent level.

Figure 1. SO<sub>2</sub> concentration and infant mortality in East and West Germany, 1985 - 2003





Figure 2. SO<sub>2</sub> concentration in German counties; 1985, 1990, 1995 and 2000



Legend:  $\square \leq 20 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,  $\square 20 - 40 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,  $\square 40 - 60 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,  $\square 60 - 80 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,  $\square 80 - 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,  $\square 100 - 125 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ,  $\square 125 - 150 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and  $\blacksquare > 150 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ; cities: D Dortmund in the Ruhr area, K Kassel in Northern Hesse, L Leipzig and B Berlin.

*Figure 3.* Locations of fossil fuel fired power plants and wind stations

---

A. Power plants

B. Wind stations








Figure 4. Pre- and post-desulfurization pollution and infant mortality differences and average estimated effect of desulfurization per county



Notes: (1) Pre-desulfurization differences relate to the years 1986-1985 for West Germany and the years 1993-1992 for East Germany, post-desulfurization differences to the years 1988-1986 for West Germany and 1995-1993 for East Germany. (2) The panels show the actual and Kernel-weighted local polynomial regression-smoothed values. Stata's default options are used for Kernel smoothing, i.e. an Epanechnikov kernel function, zero degree polynomial and rule-of-thumb bandwidth.