

Dette, Holger; Reuther, Bettina

Working Paper

Some comments on quasi-birth-and-death processes and matrix measures

Technical Report, No. 2008,13

Provided in Cooperation with:

Collaborative Research Center 'Reduction of Complexity in Multivariate Data Structures' (SFB 475), University of Dortmund

Suggested Citation: Dette, Holger; Reuther, Bettina (2008) : Some comments on quasi-birth-and-death processes and matrix measures, Technical Report, No. 2008,13, Technische Universität Dortmund, Sonderforschungsbereich 475 - Komplexitätsreduktion in Multivariaten Datenstrukturen, Dortmund

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/36597>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Some Comments on Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes and Matrix measures

Holger Dette

Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Fakultät für Mathematik

44780 Bochum, Germany

e-mail: holger.dette@rub.de

FAX: +49 234 3214 559

Bettina Reuther

Ruhr-Universität Bochum

Fakultät für Mathematik

44780 Bochum, Germany

e-mail: bettina.reuther@rub.de

February 18, 2008

Abstract

In this paper we explore the relation between matrix measures and Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes. We derive an integral representation of the transition function in terms of a matrix valued spectral measure and corresponding orthogonal matrix polynomials. We characterize several stochastic properties of Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes by means of this matrix measure and illustrate the theoretical results by several examples.

AMS Subject Classification: 60J10, 42C05

Keywords and Phrases: Block tridiagonal infinitesimal generator, Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes, spectral measure, matrix measure, canonical moments.

1 Introduction

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (X_t)_{t \geq 0})$ be a continuous-time two-dimensional homogeneous Markov process with state space

$$(1.1) \quad E = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \{1, \dots, d\}\}, \quad d \in \mathbb{N}, \quad d < \infty$$

and infinitesimal generator

$$(1.2) \quad Q = (Q_{ij})_{i,j=0,1,\dots} = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & A_0 & & & 0 \\ C_1^T & B_1 & A_1 & & \\ & C_2^T & B_2 & A_2 & \\ & & C_3^T & B_3 & A_3 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_0, A_1, \dots, B_0, B_1, \dots, C_1, C_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. The transition rate from state (i, j) to state (k, ℓ) is given by the element in the position (j, ℓ) of the matrix Q_{ik} . Markov processes with an infinitesimal generator matrix of the form (1.2) are known as continuous-time Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes. These models have many applications in the evaluation of communicating systems and queueing systems [see e.g. Neuts (1981), Ost (2001), Dayar and Quessette (2002)] and have been analyzed by many authors [see e.g. Bright and Taylor (1995), Ramaswami and Taylor (1996), Latouche, Pearce and Taylor (1998)]. The case $d = 1$ corresponds to a “classical” Birth-and-Death process with a tridiagonal infinitesimal generator which has been investigated in great detail using the theory of orthogonal polynomials by Karlin and McGregor (1957, 1957a). Since this pioneering work several authors have used these techniques to derive interesting properties of Birth-and-Death processes in terms of orthogonal polynomials and the corresponding measure of orthogonality [see e.g. van Doorn (2002, 2003)].

It is the purpose of the present paper to extend some of these results to Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes with a generator of the form (1.2) using the theory of matrix measures and corresponding orthogonal matrix polynomials.

We associate to a matrix of the form (1.2) a sequence of matrix polynomials, recursively defined by

$$(1.3) \quad -xQ_n(x) = A_nQ_{n+1}(x) + B_nQ_n(x) + C_n^TQ_{n-1}(x)$$

with initial conditions $Q_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $Q_0(x) = I_d$. In Section 2 we formulate sufficient conditions on the infinitesimal generator (1.2) such that there exists a matrix measure Σ on the real line with

$$\langle Q_i, Q_j \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x) = \delta_{ij} I_d,$$

i.e. the matrix polynomials are orthonormal with respect to the matrix measure Σ [see Sinap and Van Assche (1996)]. In this case we derive an integral representation for the blocks of the transition function in terms of the orthogonal matrix polynomials Q_i and the matrix measure Σ , which generalize the representation of Karlin and McGregor (1957) to the case $d > 1$. We also investigate relations between the Stieltjes transforms of random walk measures corresponding to two Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes, where only a few blocks differ. In Section 3 we discuss several examples to illustrate the theory. Finally, in Section 4 the theoretical results are used

to characterize α -recurrence of Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes [for a definition see van Doorn (2006)].

2 Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes and matrix polynomials

A matrix measure $\Sigma = \{\sigma_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$ on the real line is a function for which $\Sigma(A) = \{\sigma_{ij}(A)\}_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$ is a symmetric and nonnegative definite matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ for each Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, where the entries σ_{ij} are finite signed measures. The moments of the matrix measure Σ are defined by the $d \times d$ matrices

$$S_k = \int x^k d\Sigma(x), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and throughout this paper we will only consider matrix measures with existing moments of all order. The 'left' inner product with respect to Σ of two matrix polynomials Q and P is defined by

$$\langle Q, P \rangle = \int Q(x) d\Sigma(x) P^T(x).$$

If $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of matrices such that the block Hankel matrices

$$\underline{H}_{2m} = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 & \cdots & S_m \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ S_m & \cdots & S_{2m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad m \geq 0,$$

are positive definite, then there exists a matrix measure Σ with moments $S_n, n \geq 0$, and a sequence of matrix polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ which is orthogonal with respect to Σ [see Marcellán and Sansigre (1993)]. The following theorem characterizes the existence of a matrix measure Σ such that there is a sequence of matrix polynomials which is orthogonal with respect to Σ . The proof follows by similar arguments as presented in Dette et al. (2006) and is therefore omitted.

Theorem 2.1 *Let the matrices $A_n, n \geq 0$, and $C_n^T, n \geq 1$, in (1.2) be nonsingular and assume that $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of matrix polynomials defined by recursion (1.3).*

There exists a matrix measure Σ with positive definite block Hankel matrices $\underline{H}_{2m}, m \geq 0$, such that the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is orthogonal with respect to Σ if and only if there is a sequence of nonsingular matrices $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with

$$R_n B_n R_n^{-1} \text{ symmetric } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$R_n^T R_n = C_n^{-1} \cdots C_1^{-1} (R_0^T R_0) A_0 \cdots A_{n-1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover,

$$R_0^{-1}((R_0^T)^{-1}) = (R_0^T R_0)^{-1} = S_0,$$

and the matrices $\{\tilde{R}_n\}_{n \geq 0} = \{U_n R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, where $U_n, n \geq 0$, are orthogonal matrices, also satisfy condition (2.1).

If condition (2.1) is satisfied, the corresponding measure Σ is called a spectral measure corresponding to $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and Q , respectively. The infinitesimal generator matrix (1.2) is called conservative, if

$$(A_0 + B_0)\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (A_n + B_n + C_n^T)\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ [see Anderson (1991)]. In this case there exists a transition function

$$(2.2) \quad P(t) = (P_{ii'}(t))_{i, i'=0, 1, \dots},$$

with $d \times d$ block matrices $P_{ii'}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$,

$$P(0) = I \text{ and } P'(0) = Q,$$

which satisfies the Kolmogorov forward differential equation

$$(2.3) \quad P'(t) = P(t)Q \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

and the Kolmogorov backward differential equation

$$P'(t) = QP(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The probability $P(X_t = (i', j') | X_0 = (i, j))$ of going from state (i, j) to (i', j') in time t is given by the element in the position (j, j') of the matrix $P_{ii'}(t)$.

The infinitesimal generator Q is called regular, if there exists only one transition function (2.2) such that the Kolmogorov differential equations are satisfied [see Anderson (1991)]. Throughout this paper we will assume that there exists a transition function $P(t)$ such that the Kolmogorov forward differential equation (2.3) is satisfied. If additionally a spectral measure Σ corresponding to the generator matrix (1.2) exists, we can derive an integral representation for the block of the transition function $P(t)$ in the position (i, j) in terms of the spectral measure and the corresponding matrix orthogonal polynomials, which generalizes the famous Karlin and McGregor representation.

Theorem 2.2 *Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and that there exists a transition function $P(t)$ which satisfies the Kolmogorov forward equation (2.3) for all $t \geq 0$. Then the following representation holds for the block $P_{ij}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ in the position (i, j) of the transition function $P(t)$*

$$(2.4) \quad P_{ij}(t) = \left(\int e^{-tx} Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x) \right) \left(\int Q_j(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x) \right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $Q(x) = (Q_0^T(x), Q_1^T(x), \dots)^T$ denote the vector of orthogonal matrix polynomials $Q_i(x)$ with respect to the spectral measure Σ . Then the recursive relation (1.3) is equivalent to the matrix equation

$$-xQ(x) = QQ(x).$$

Defining

$$F(x, t) := P(t)Q(x)$$

we obtain the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}F(x, t) = P'(t)Q(x) = P(t)QQ(x) = -xP(t)Q(x) = -xF(x, t),$$

and the condition $P(0) = I$ yields

$$F(x, 0) = P(0)Q(x) = Q(x).$$

Hence, it follows that

$$F(x, t) = e^{-tx}Q(x) = P(t)Q(x),$$

which implies (integrating with respect to $d\Sigma(x)$)

$$\int e^{-tx} Q(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x) = P(t) \int Q(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x).$$

Because of the orthogonality of the matrix polynomials $Q_n(x), n \geq 0$, we obtain for the blocks $P_{ij}(t)$ of the transition function the representation

$$P_{ij}(t) = \left(\int e^{-tx} Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x) \right) \left(\int Q_j(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x) \right)^{-1},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

In what follows we present two results, which relate the Stieltjes transforms of the spectral measures of two Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes, which have an infinitesimal generator of similar structure. The first result refers to the case where the entry B_0 has been replaced by the matrix $\bar{B}_0$. The proof is similar to a corresponding result in Dette et al. (2006) and is therefore omitted.

Theorem 2.3 Consider the infinitesimal generator defined by (1.2) and the matrix

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{B}_0 & A_0 & & & 0 \\ C_1^T & B_1 & A_1 & & \\ & C_2^T & B_2 & A_2 & \\ & & C_3^T & B_3 & A_3 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Σ be a spectral measure corresponding to the infinitesimal generator Q with positive definite block Hankel matrices such that the matrix $R_0 \bar{B}_0 R_0^{-1}$ is symmetric, and such that $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of matrix polynomials which satisfies condition (2.1). Then there exists a spectral measure $\bar{\Sigma}$ corresponding to $\bar{Q}$. If the spectral measures Σ and $\bar{\Sigma}$ are determined by their moments, then the Stieltjes transforms of the measures satisfy

$$\Phi(z) = \int \frac{d\Sigma(t)}{z-t} = \left\{ \left(\int \frac{d\bar{\Sigma}(t)}{z-t} \right)^{-1} - S_0^{-1}(\bar{B}_0 - B_0) \right\}^{-1}.$$

Given a sequence $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of matrix polynomials defined by recursion (1.3), the corresponding associated sequence of matrix polynomials $\{Q_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of order k , $k \geq 1$, is defined by a recursion of the form (1.3), in which the matrices A_n , B_n and C_n have been replaced by the matrices A_{n+k} , B_{n+k} and C_{n+k} , respectively [see van Doorn (2006)]. The following result gives a relation between the Stieltjes transform of the spectral measure corresponding to the sequence of matrix polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and the Stieltjes transform of the spectral measure corresponding to $\{Q_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$. The associated Quasi-Birth-and-Death process will be denoted by $(X_t^{(k)})_{t \geq 0}$ with state space E defined by equation (1.1) (throughout this paper we use the notation $X_t^{(0)} := X_t$).

Theorem 2.4 Consider the infinitesimal generator Q defined by (1.2) and the matrix

$$Q^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} B_k & A_k & & & 0 \\ C_{k+1}^T & B_{k+1} & A_{k+1} & & \\ & C_{k+2}^T & B_{k+2} & A_{k+2} & \\ & & C_{k+3}^T & B_{k+3} & A_{k+3} \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $Q^{(k)}$ is called the associated matrix of order k , $k \geq 1$, corresponding to Q . Assume that Σ is a spectral measure corresponding to Q with positive definite block Hankel matrices, that is, there exists a sequence $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of nonsingular matrices, which satisfies condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1. Then there exists a spectral measure $\Sigma^{(k)}$ corresponding to $Q^{(k)}$ with positive

definite block Hankel matrices. If the measures are determined by their moments, then the Stieltjes transforms of the measures are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{z-x} &= R_0^{-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_0 - D_1 \left\{ zI_d - E_1 - D_2 \left\{ zI_d - E_2 - \dots \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \dots - D_{k-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_{k-1} - D_k R_k \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k)}(x)}{z-x} R_k^T D_k^T \right\}^{-1} D_{k-1}^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \\ &\quad \dots D_2^T \left\{ D_1^T \right\}^{-1} (R_0^T)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad D_{n+1} = -R_n A_n R_{n+1}^{-1}, \quad E_n = -R_n B_n R_n^{-1}, \quad D_n^T = -R_n C_n^T R_{n-1}^{-1},$$

and the Stieltjes transforms of the matrix measures $\Sigma^{(k)}$ and $\Sigma^{(k+1)}$ are related by

$$\int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k)}(x)}{z-x} = R_k^{-1} \left\{ zI_d + R_k B_k R_k^{-1} - R_k A_k \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k+1)}(x)}{z-x} R_{k+1}^T R_{k+1} C_{k+1}^T R_k^{-1} \right\}^{-1} (R_k^T)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let the sequence of polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be defined by recursion (1.3) with corresponding spectral measure Σ . Then the polynomials $W_n(x) := R_n Q_n(x)$ are orthonormal with respect to the matrix measure Σ and satisfy the three term recurrence relation

$$xW_n(x) = D_{n+1}W_{n+1}(x) + E_nW_n(x) + D_n^T W_{n-1}(x)$$

with initial conditions $W_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $W_0(x) = R_0$, where

$$(2.7) \quad D_{n+1} = -R_n A_n R_{n+1}^{-1}, \quad E_n = -R_n B_n R_n^{-1}, \quad D_n^T = -R_n C_n^T R_{n-1}^{-1}.$$

From Zygmunt (2002) it follows that

$$(2.8) \quad \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{z-x} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_0^{-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_0 - D_1 \left\{ zI_d - E_1 - D_2 \left\{ zI_d - E_2 - \dots \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \dots - D_n \left\{ zI_d - E_n \right\}^{-1} D_n^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \right\}^{-1} D_2^T \right\}^{-1} D_1^T \right\}^{-1} (R_0^T)^{-1}.$$

Assume that the sequence of polynomials $\{Q_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by recursion (1.3), where the matrices B_n , A_n and C_n have been replaced by the matrices B_{n+k} , A_{n+k} and C_{n+k} , respectively, that is

$$-xQ_n^{(k)}(x) = A_{n+k}Q_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) + B_{n+k}Q_n^{(k)}(x) + C_{n+k}^T Q_{n-1}^{(k)}(x),$$

with $Q_0^{(k)}(x) = I$ and $Q_{-1}^{(k)}(x) = 0$. Define $A_n^{(k)} = A_{n+k}$, $B_n^{(k)} = B_{n+k}$, $C_n^{(k)} = C_{n+k}$ and $R_n^{(k)} = R_{n+k}$, $n \geq 0$. From Theorem 2.1 we obtain the symmetry of the matrices

$$-R_n^{(k)} B_n^{(k)} (R_n^{(k)})^{-1} = -R_{n+k} B_{n+k} R_{n+k}^{-1} \quad \forall n \geq 0$$

and the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
(R_n^{(k)})^T R_n^{(k)} &= R_{n+k}^T R_{n+k} \\
&= C_{n+k}^{-1} C_{n+k-1}^{-1} \cdots C_{k+1}^{-1} C_k^{-1} \cdots C_1^{-1} R_0^T R_0 A_0 A_1 \cdots A_{k-1} A_k \cdots A_{n+k-1} \\
&= C_{n+k}^{-1} C_{n+k-1}^{-1} \cdots C_{k+1}^{-1} R_k^T R_k A_k \cdots A_{n+k-1} \\
&= (C_n^{(k)})^{-1} (C_{n-1}^{(k)})^{-1} \cdots (C_1^{(k)})^{-1} (R_0^{(k)})^T R_0^{(k)} A_0^{(k)} \cdots A_{n-1}^{(k)} \quad \forall n \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2.1 it follows that there exists a spectral measure $\Sigma^{(k)}$ with positive definite block Hankel matrices corresponding to the sequence of polynomials $\{Q_n^{(k)}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$.

The polynomials $W_n^{(k)}(x) := R_n^{(k)} Q_n^{(k)}(x)$ are orthonormal with respect to the measure $\Sigma^{(k)}$ and satisfy the recursion

$$xW_n^{(k)}(x) = D_{n+1}^{(k)} W_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) + E_n^{(k)} W_n^{(k)}(x) + (D_n^{(k)})^T W_{n-1}^{(k)}(x), \quad W_0^{(k)}(x) = R_0^{(k)} = R_k,$$

where

$$D_{n+1}^{(k)} = D_{n+k+1}, E_n^{(k)} = E_{n+k} \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Therefore, it follows from Zygmunt (2002)

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k)}(x)}{z-x} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (R_0^{(k)})^{-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_0^{(k)} - D_1^{(k)} \left\{ zI_d - E_1^{(k)} - D_2^{(k)} \left\{ zI_d - E_2^{(k)} - \dots \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots - D_n^{(k)} \left\{ zI_d - E_n^{(k)} \right\}^{-1} (D_n^{(k)})^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \right\}^{-1} (D_2^{(k)})^T \right\}^{-1} (D_1^{(k)})^T \right\}^{-1} ((R_0^{(k)})^T)^{-1} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_k^{-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_k - D_{k+1} \left\{ zI_d - E_{k+1} - D_{k+2} \left\{ zI_d - E_{k+2} - \dots \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots - D_{n+k} \left\{ zI_d - E_{n+k} \right\}^{-1} D_{n+k}^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \right\}^{-1} D_{k+2}^T \right\}^{-1} D_{k+1}^T \right\}^{-1} (R_k^T)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

A combination of the equations (2.8) and (2.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{z-x} &= R_0^{-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_0 - D_1 \left\{ zI_d - E_1 - D_2 \left\{ zI_d - E_2 - \dots \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots - D_{k-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_{k-1} - D_k R_k \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k)}(x)}{z-x} R_k^T D_k^T \right\}^{-1} D_{k-1}^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots D_2^T \right\}^{-1} D_1^T \right\}^{-1} (R_0^T)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and from equations (2.9) and (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k)}(x)}{z-x} &= R_k^{-1} \left\{ zI_d - E_k - D_{k+1} R_{k+1} \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k+1)}(x)}{z-x} R_{k+1}^T D_{k+1}^T \right\}^{-1} (R_k^T)^{-1} \\
&= R_k^{-1} \left\{ zI_d + R_k B_k R_k^{-1} - R_k A_k \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(k+1)}(x)}{z-x} R_{k+1}^T R_{k+1} C_{k+1}^T R_k^{-1} \right\}^{-1} (R_k^T)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 2.5 Note that in the literature, many queueing models are considered, where the matrices C_n do not have full rank [see Latouche and Ramaswami (1999)]. Following the arguments used in Remark 2.7 in Dette et al. (2006) the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} R_n B_n &= E_n R_n, \quad n \geq 0, \\ C_{n+1} R_{n+1}^T R_{n+1} &= R_n^T R_n A_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

are sufficient for the existence of a spectral measure Σ corresponding to Q , where $\{E_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of symmetric matrices and

$$\int Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x) = \delta_{ij} R_j^T R_j.$$

In other words: the assumption of nonsingularity of the matrices C_n can be relaxed. The same arguments as used in Theorem 2.2 then imply

$$P_{ij}(t) R_j^T R_j = \int e^{-tx} Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_j^T(x).$$

3 Examples

Example 3.1 Dayar and Quessette (2002) considered a queueing system consisting of a $M/M/1$ -system and a $M/M/1/d-1$ -system. Both queues have Poisson arrival processes with rate $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2$, and exponential service distributions with rate $\mu_i, i = 1, 2$, and it was assumed that $\gamma = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2$. This system can be described by a homogeneous Markov process $X(t) = (L_1(t), L_2(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ with state space $E = \mathbb{N} \times \{0, \dots, d-1\}$, where $L_1(t)$ and $L_2(t)$ denote the length of the first queue at time t and the length of the second queue at time t , respectively. The entries of the corresponding infinitesimal generator (1.2) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} -(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & \lambda_2 & & & \\ \mu_2 & -(\gamma - \mu_1) & \lambda_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_2 & -(\gamma - \mu_1) & \lambda_2 \\ & & & \mu_2 & -(\lambda_1 + \mu_2) \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_i &= \begin{pmatrix} -(\gamma - \mu_2) & \lambda_2 & & & \\ \mu_2 & -\gamma & \lambda_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_2 & -\gamma & \lambda_2 \\ & & & \mu_2 & -(\gamma - \lambda_2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad i \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

$A_i = \lambda_1 I_d, i \geq 0$, and $C_i^T = \mu_1 I_d, i \geq 1$. It is easy to see that Q is conservative. A straightforward calculation shows that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with the matrices

$$R_0 = \text{diag} \left(1, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2}}, \left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2}} \right)^2, \dots, \left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2}} \right)^{d-1} \right),$$

$$R_i = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1}} \right)^i R_0, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies the existence of a spectral measure.

Example 3.2 Consider a homogeneous Markov process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with infinitesimal generator (1.2), where

$$B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_0 & \beta_{01} & & & \\ \beta_{10} & -\gamma_1 & \beta_{12} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{d-2,d-3} & -\gamma_{d-2} & \beta_{d-2,d-1} \\ & & & \beta_{d-1,d-2} & -\gamma_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}, \gamma_k \neq 0, k = 0, \dots, d-1,$$

$$B_i = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_0 & \beta_{01} & & & \\ \beta_{10} & -\delta_1 & \beta_{12} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \beta_{d-2,d-3} & -\delta_{d-2} & \beta_{d-2,d-1} \\ & & & \beta_{d-1,d-2} & -\delta_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}, i \geq 1, \delta_k \neq 0, k = 0, \dots, d-1,$$

$A_i = \alpha_1 I_d, i \geq 0$, and $C_i^T = \alpha_2 I_d, i \geq 1$. A generator matrix of this form can be associated to a queueing model which consists of d different $M/M/1$ -systems. Each $M/M/1$ -system has a Poisson arrival process with rate α_1 and an exponential service time distribution with rate α_2 . If the model is situated in system i , then it changes to the system $i-1$ and $i+1$ with the rate $\beta_{i,i-1}$ and $\beta_{i,i+1}$, respectively. This model can be described by the two dimensional homogeneous Markov process $(N_t, S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with state space $E = \mathbb{N}_0 \times \{0, \dots, d-1\}$, where N_t denotes the number of customers in the whole model at time t and S_t denotes the number of the system at time t .

If $\beta_{ij} \neq 0$ for all $i, j = 0, \dots, d-1$, then the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with

$$(3.1) \quad R_0 = \text{diag} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta_{d-1,d-2} \cdots \beta_{10}}{\beta_{01} \cdots \beta_{d-2,d-1}}}, \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{d-1,d-2} \cdots \beta_{21}}{\beta_{12} \cdots \beta_{d-2,d-1}}}, \dots, \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{d-1,d-2}}{\beta_{d-2,d-1}}}, 1 \right)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad R_n = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}} \right)^n R_0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

This implies the existence of a spectral measure Σ corresponding to Q .

Example 3.3 We now specify the situation of Example 3.2 to the case, where the parameters in the infinitesimal generator Q satisfy

$$\delta_i =: \delta, \gamma_i =: \gamma, \beta_{i,i+1} =: \beta_1 \text{ and } \beta_{i+1,i} =: \beta_2 \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, d-1, \beta_1, \beta_2 \neq 0.$$

Then the matrices in (2.6) have the form

$$D := D_n = -\sqrt{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} I_d, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & & & \\ -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & \gamma & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & \gamma & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} \\ & & & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$E := E_n = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & & & \\ -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & \delta & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & \delta & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} \\ & & & -\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix E are given by

$$\lambda_k = \delta + 2\sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{d+1}\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, d,$$

with corresponding eigenvectors given by $u^{(k)} = (u_1^{(k)}, \dots, u_d^{(k)})^T$, where

$$u_j^{(k)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{d+1}} \sin\left(\frac{kj\pi}{d+1}\right), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, d.$$

With the notation $H := \text{diag}(\lambda_1 - z, \dots, \lambda_d - z)$ and $U := (u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(d)})$, it follows that

$$E - zI_d = UHU^T \text{ and } U^T U = I_d.$$

Let $\bar{Q}$ be the infinitesimal generator obtained from Q by replacing the first diagonal block B_0 by the block B_1 (which coincides with all other blocks B_i , $i \geq 2$) and denote by $\bar{\Sigma}$ the spectral measure corresponding to $\bar{Q}$. From Duran (1999) we obtain for the Stieltjes transform $\bar{\Phi}(z)$ of the matrix measure $\bar{\Sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} D^{-2} (E - zI_d)^{1/2} \{I_d + \{I_d - 4D^2(E - zI_d)^{-2}\}^{1/2}\} (E - zI_d)^{1/2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha_1 \alpha_2} U H^{1/2} \{I_d + \{I_d - 4\alpha_1 \alpha_2 H^{-2}\}^{1/2}\} H^{1/2} U^T, \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 2.3 gives the Stieltjes transform $\Phi(z)$ of the measure Σ . Moreover, the results in Duran (1999) also show that the support of the spectral measure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{supp}(\Sigma) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : D^{-1/2}(xI_d - E)D^{-1/2} \text{ has an eigenvalue in } [-2, 2]\} \\ &= [-2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2} + \delta + 2\sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2}\cos(\frac{\pi d}{d+1}), 2\sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2} + \delta + 2\sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2}\cos(\frac{\pi}{d+1})].\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{supp}(\Sigma) \subset [0, \infty)$ if $\delta \geq \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2$.

4 α -recurrence

The decay parameter of continuous-time Quasi-Birth-and-Death processes was introduced by van Doorn (2006). To be precise assume that $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an irreducible Quasi-Birth-and-Death process with state space (1.1) and infinitesimal generator Q defined by (1.2), where

$$B_0 \mathbf{1} + A_0 \mathbf{1} < \mathbf{0}.$$

Then the decay parameter α of the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, is defined by

$$\alpha = \sup \left\{ s \geq 0 : e_j^T \int_0^\infty e^{st} P_{ii'}(t) dt e_{j'} < \infty \right\}, \quad (i, j), (i', j') \in E.$$

The process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called α -recurrent if and only if for some state $(i, \ell) \in E$ (and then for all states in E)

$$(4.1) \quad e_\ell^T \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha t} P_{ii}(t) dt e_\ell = \infty,$$

where $e_\ell = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the ℓ th unit vector. The process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is called α -positive if and only if for some state $(i, \ell) \in E$ (and then for all states in E)

$$(4.2) \quad e_\ell^T \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\alpha t} P_{ii}(t) e_\ell > 0.$$

The following results characterize α -recurrence of the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in terms of the spectral measure Σ , the corresponding orthogonal polynomials $Q_j(x)$ and the blocks of the infinitesimal generator. Throughout this section it will be assumed that condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied with a spectral measure supported in the interval $[\alpha, \infty)$, and that there exists a transition function, which satisfies the*

Kolmogorov forward differential equation (2.3). The process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is α -recurrent if and only if for some state $(i, \ell) \in E$ (and then for all states in E)

$$(4.3) \quad e_\ell^T \left(\int \frac{Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_i^T(x)}{x - \alpha} \right) \left(\int Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_i^T(x) \right)^{-1} e_\ell = \infty.$$

Proof. With the representation (2.4) and Fubini's Theorem condition (4.1) is equivalent to

$$e_\ell^T \left(\int \int_0^\infty e^{(\alpha-x)t} dt Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_i^T(x) \right) \left(\int Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_i^T(x) \right)^{-1} e_\ell = \infty,$$

which implies (4.3). $\square$

In the following we define for a matrix measure Σ with existing moments the $d \times d$ matrices $\zeta_0 = 0$ and $\zeta_k = (S_{k-1} - S_{k-1}^-)^{-1} (S_k - S_k^-) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, where $S_{2n} - S_{2n}^-$ and $S_{2n-1} - S_{2n-1}^-$ denote the Schur complement of S_{2n} and S_{2n-1} in the matrix $\underline{H}_{2n}$ and

$$\underline{H}_{2n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & \cdots & S_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ S_n & \cdots & S_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively [see Dette und Studden (2002)]. The next result gives a representation of the Stieltjes transform of the spectral measure Σ in terms of the quantities ζ_j and the blocks of the generator matrix (1.2).

Theorem 4.2 *Assume that the conditions (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Let $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ denote the corresponding orthogonal matrix polynomials defined by the recursion (1.3). Assume that the corresponding spectral measure Σ is supported in the interval $[0, \infty)$ and that it is determined by its moments. Then the Stieltjes transform of the measure Σ can be represented as*

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{z - x} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ z I_d - \left\{ I_d - \left\{ z I_d - \dots \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots - \left\{ z I_d - \zeta_{2n+1}^T \right\}^{-1} \zeta_{2n}^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \right\}^{-1} \zeta_2^T \right\}^{-1} \zeta_1^T \right\}^{-1} S_0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the following representations hold

$$(4.4) \quad \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{x} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (\zeta_{2j+1}^T \zeta_{2j-1}^T \cdots \zeta_1^T)^{-1} (\zeta_{2j}^T \zeta_{2j-2}^T \cdots \zeta_2^T) S_0$$

$$(4.5) \quad = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} T_{j+1}^{-1} A_j^{-1} C_j^T T_{j-1} T_j^{-1} A_{j-1}^{-1} C_{j-1}^T T_{j-2} T_{j-1}^{-1} \cdots T_0 T_1^{-1} A_0^{-1} T_0 S_0,$$

where $T_j = Q_j(0)$, $j \geq 0$.

Proof. From Dette and Studden (2002) it follows that the monic orthogonal matrix polynomials $\{\underline{P}_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with respect to a matrix measure Σ supported in $[0, \infty)$ satisfy the recursive relation

$$(4.6) \quad x\underline{P}_n(x) = \underline{P}_{n+1}(x) + (\zeta_{2n+1}^T + \zeta_{2n}^T)\underline{P}_n(x) + \zeta_{2n}^T \zeta_{2n-1}^T \underline{P}_{n-1}(x),$$

with $\underline{P}_{-1}(x) = 0$, $\underline{P}_0(x) = I_d$, $\zeta_0 = 0$ and $\zeta_k = (S_{k-1} - S_{k-1}^-)^{-1}(S_k - S_k^-)$, where the matrices

$$\Delta_{2n} := \langle \underline{P}_n, \underline{P}_n \rangle = (S_0 \zeta_1 \dots \zeta_{2n})^T$$

are positive definite. Then the polynomials

$$P_n(x) := \Delta_{2n}^{-1/2} \underline{P}_n(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

are orthonormal with respect to the matrix measure Σ and satisfy the recursion

$$xP_n(x) = A_{n+1}P_{n+1}(x) + B_nP_n(x) + A_n^T P_{n-1}(x)$$

with $P_{-1}(x) = 0$, $P_0(x) = S_0^{-1/2}$ and

$$(4.7) \quad A_{n+1} = \Delta_{2n}^{-1/2} \Delta_{2n+2}^{1/2},$$

$$(4.8) \quad B_n = \Delta_{2n}^{-1/2} (\zeta_{2n}^T + \zeta_{2n+1}^T) \Delta_{2n}^{1/2},$$

$$(4.9) \quad A_n^T = \Delta_{2n}^{-1/2} \zeta_{2n}^T \zeta_{2n-1}^T \Delta_{2n-2}^{1/2}.$$

From Zygmunt (2002) it follows that

$$(4.10) \quad F_n(z) = (P_{n+1}(z))^{-1} \tilde{P}_{n+1}^{(1)}(z) = S_0^{1/2} \{zI_d - B_0 - A_1\{zI_d - B_1 - A_2\{zI_d - B_2 - \dots \\ \dots - A_n\{zI_d - B_n\}^{-1} A_n^T\}^{-1} \dots A_1^T\}^{-1} S_0^{1/2},$$

where $\tilde{P}_n^{(1)}(z)$ denote the first associated polynomials for $P_n(z)$. An application of Markov's Theorem [see Duran (1996)], (4.7) - (4.9) and (4.10) now yields

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{z-x} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(z) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ zI_d - \zeta_1^T - \left\{ zI_d - \zeta_2^T - \zeta_3^T - \left\{ zI_d - \zeta_4^T - \zeta_5^T \dots \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots - \left\{ zI_d - \zeta_{2n}^T - \zeta_{2n+1}^T \right\}^{-1} \zeta_{2n}^T \zeta_{2n-1}^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \zeta_4^T \zeta_3^T \right\}^{-1} \zeta_2^T \zeta_1^T \right\}^{-1} S_0 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ zI_d - \left\{ I_d - \left\{ zI_d - \dots \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \dots - \left\{ zI_d - \zeta_{2n+1}^T \right\}^{-1} \zeta_{2n}^T \right\}^{-1} \dots \right\}^{-1} \zeta_2^T \right\}^{-1} \zeta_1^T \right\}^{-1} S_0. \end{aligned}$$

If $z = 0$, then we obtain from (4.11) and Fair (1971)

$$\int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{-x} = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} X_{j+1}^{-1} \zeta_{2j}^T \zeta_{2j-1}^T X_{j-1} X_j^{-1} \zeta_{2j-2}^T \zeta_{2j-3}^T X_{j-2} X_{j-1}^{-1} \cdots X_1 X_2^{-1} \zeta_2^T S_0,$$

where $X_0 = I_d$, $X_1 = -\zeta_1^T$ and

$$X_{n+1} = -(\zeta_{2n+1}^T + \zeta_{2n}^T) X_n - \zeta_{2n}^T \zeta_{2n-1}^T X_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

An induction argument yields $X_n = (-1)^n \zeta_{2n-1}^T \zeta_{2n-3}^T \cdots \zeta_1^T$, $n \geq 1$, and the first representation in (4.4) follows. For the second part we note that the polynomials $\underline{Q}_n(x) := (-1)^n A_0 \cdots A_{n-1} Q_n(x)$, $n \geq 0$, have leading coefficient I_d and because of (1.3) they satisfy the recursion

$$\underline{Q}_{n+1}(x) = x \underline{Q}_n(x) + A_0 \cdots A_{n-1} B_n A_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots A_0^{-1} \underline{Q}_n(x) - A_0 \cdots A_{n-1} C_n^T A_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots A_0^{-1} \underline{Q}_{n-1}(x).$$

A comparison with the polynomials $\underline{P}_n(x)$ in (4.6) now yields

$$(4.12) \quad A_0 \cdots A_{n-1} B_n A_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots A_0^{-1} = -(\zeta_{2n}^T + \zeta_{2n+1}^T),$$

$$(4.13) \quad A_0 \cdots A_{n-1} C_n^T A_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots A_0^{-1} = \zeta_{2n}^T \zeta_{2n-1}^T.$$

Define $T_n := Q_n(0)$, $n \geq 0$. Then (4.12) and (4.13) imply

$$T_n = A_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots A_0^{-1} \zeta_{2n-1}^T \zeta_{2n-3}^T \cdots \zeta_1^T \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we can define the polynomials $\hat{Q}_n(x) := T_n^{-1} Q_n(x)$. From (1.3) it follows that these polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation

$$x \hat{Q}_n(x) = \hat{A}_n \hat{Q}_{n+1}(x) + \hat{B}_n \hat{Q}_n(x) + \hat{C}_n^T \hat{Q}_{n-1}(x)$$

with

$$\hat{A}_n = T_n^{-1} A_n T_{n+1}, \quad \hat{B}_n = T_n^{-1} B_n T_n, \quad \hat{C}_n^T = T_n^{-1} C_n^T T_{n-1}$$

and $\hat{A}_n + \hat{B}_n + \hat{C}_n^T = 0$. Consequently we obtain from (4.12) and (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_0 \cdots \hat{A}_{n-1} \hat{B}_n \hat{A}_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots \hat{A}_0^{-1} &= -(\zeta_{2n}^T + \zeta_{2n+1}^T), \\ \hat{A}_0 \cdots \hat{A}_{n-1} \hat{C}_n^T \hat{A}_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots \hat{A}_0^{-1} &= \zeta_{2n}^T \zeta_{2n-1}^T \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{2n+1}^T &= \hat{A}_0 \cdots \hat{A}_n \hat{A}_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots \hat{A}_0^{-1}, \\ \zeta_{2n}^T &= \hat{A}_0 \cdots \hat{A}_{n-1} \hat{C}_n^T \hat{A}_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots \hat{A}_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (4.4) finally yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{x} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \hat{A}_j^{-1} \hat{C}_j^T \hat{A}_{j-1}^{-1} \cdots \hat{A}_1^{-1} \hat{C}_1^T \hat{A}_0^{-1} S_0 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} T_{j+1}^{-1} A_j^{-1} C_j^T T_{j-1} T_j^{-1} A_{j-1}^{-1} C_{j-1}^T T_{j-2} T_{j-1}^{-1} \cdots T_0 T_1^{-1} A_0^{-1} T_0 S_0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

In the following, the α -recurrence condition will be represented in terms of properties of the spectral measure, the corresponding orthogonal matrix polynomials and the blocks of the infinitesimal generator (1.2). For this purpose, consider the process $(X_{t,\alpha})_{t \geq 0}$ with state space E defined in (1.1) and infinitesimal generator matrix

$$Q_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} B_{0,\alpha} & A_{0,\alpha} & & & 0 \\ C_{1,\alpha}^T & B_{1,\alpha} & A_{1,\alpha} & & \\ & C_{2,\alpha}^T & B_{2,\alpha} & A_{2,\alpha} & \\ & & C_{3,\alpha}^T & B_{3,\alpha} & A_{3,\alpha} \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n,\alpha} &:= Q_n^{-1}(\alpha) A_n Q_{n+1}(\alpha), \quad n \geq 0, \\ B_{n,\alpha} &:= Q_n^{-1}(\alpha) B_n Q_n(\alpha), \quad n \geq 0, \\ C_{n,\alpha}^T &:= Q_n^{-1}(\alpha) C_n^T Q_{n-1}(\alpha), \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding sequence $\{Q_{n,\alpha}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ of matrix polynomials satisfies the recurrence relation

$$-x Q_{n,\alpha}(x) = A_{n+1,\alpha} Q_{n+1,\alpha}(x) + B_{n,\alpha} Q_{n,\alpha}(x) + C_{n,\alpha}^T Q_{n-1,\alpha}(x)$$

with initial conditions $Q_{-1,\alpha}(x) = 0$, $Q_{0,\alpha}(x) = I_d$. If the conditions (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, then the matrix Q_α can be symmetrized with the matrices

$$R_{n,\alpha} = R_n Q_n(\alpha), \quad n \geq 0.$$

An induction argument shows the representation

$$(4.14) \quad Q_{n,\alpha}(x) = Q_n^{-1}(\alpha) Q_n(x + \alpha), \quad n \geq 0,$$

and therefore

$$\int Q_{n,\alpha}(x) d\Sigma_\alpha(x) Q_{m,\alpha}^T(x) = 0, \quad n \neq m,$$

where the matrix measure Σ_α is defined by

$$\Sigma_\alpha((0, x]) = \Sigma((\alpha, \alpha + x]).$$

If representation (2.4) holds, it is easy to see that

$$(4.15) \quad e^{\alpha t} P_{00}(t) = \int e^{-tx} d\Sigma_\alpha(x) S_0^{-1},$$

and the following remark is a consequence of Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.3 *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied and that Σ is a corresponding spectral measure supported in the interval $[\alpha, \infty)$. The process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is α -recurrent if and only if*

$$e_j^T \int_0^\infty \frac{d\Sigma_\alpha(x)}{x} S_0^{-1} e_j = e_j^T \int_\alpha^\infty \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{x - \alpha} S_0^{-1} e_j = \infty$$

for some $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. The process is α -positive, if

$$e_\ell^T \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\alpha t} P_{00}(t) e_\ell > 0$$

for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. This is the case if and only if the measure $e_\ell^T d\Sigma(x) S_0^{-1} e_\ell$ has a jump in the point $x = \alpha$. $\square$

Theorem 4.4 *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied and that the corresponding matrix measure Σ is supported in the interval $[\alpha, \infty)$ and determined by its moments. If a transition function $P(t)$ satisfying $P'(t) = P(t)Q$ exists, then the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is α -recurrent if and only if for some state $(0, \ell) \in E$ (and then for all states in $(0, k) \in E$)*

$$e_\ell^T \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{j+1}^{-1} A_j^{-1} C_j^T H_{j-1} H_j^{-1} A_{j-1}^{-1} C_{j-1}^T H_{j-2} \cdots C_1^T H_1^{-1} A_0^{-1} H_0 S_0 e_\ell = \infty,$$

where $H_j := Q_j(\alpha)$, $j \geq 0$.

Proof. Because condition (2.1) holds for the polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$, this condition is also fulfilled for the polynomials $\{Q_{n,\alpha}\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $R_{n,\alpha} := R_n Q_n(\alpha)$, $n \geq 0$. From equation (4.14) it follows that $Q_{j,\alpha}(0) = I_d$ for all $j \geq 0$. Therefore we obtain with equation (4.5)

$$\int \frac{d\Sigma_\alpha(x)}{x} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j,\alpha}^{-1} C_{j,\alpha}^T A_{j-1,\alpha}^{-1} C_{j-1,\alpha}^T \cdots C_{1,\alpha}^T A_{0,\alpha}^{-1} S_0.$$

From the representation $A_{j,\alpha}^{-1}C_{j,\alpha}^T = Q_{j+1}(\alpha)A_j^{-1}C_j^T Q_{j-1}(\alpha)$, $j \geq 0$, it follows from Remark 4.3 that the state $(0, \ell)$ is α -recurrent if and only if

$$e_\ell^T \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{j+1}^{-1} A_j^{-1} C_j^T H_{j-1} H_j^{-1} A_{j-1}^{-1} C_{j-1}^T H_{j-2} \cdots C_1^T H_1^{-1} A_0^{-1} H_0 S_0 e_\ell = \infty,$$

where $H_j = Q_j(\alpha)$, $j \geq 0$. □

Remark 4.5 Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.4 are satisfied, and let $\Sigma^{(1)}$ be a spectral measure corresponding to the sequence of associated matrix polynomials $\{Q_n^{(1)}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$.

1. The state $(0, \ell) \in E$ is α -recurrent if and only if

$$e_\ell^T \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{x - \alpha} S_0^{-1} e_\ell = e_\ell^T \left\{ -\alpha I_d - B_0 - A_0 \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(1)}(x)}{x - \alpha} R_1^T R_1 C_1^T \right\}^{-1} e_\ell = \infty.$$

2. The state $(0, \ell) \in E$ is α -positive if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} e_\ell^T \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\alpha t} P_{00}(t) e_\ell &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z e_\ell^T \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{(z + \alpha) - x} S_0^{-1} e_\ell \\ &= e_\ell^T \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{z + \alpha}{z} I_d + \frac{1}{z} \left(B_0 - A_0 \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(1)}(x)}{(z + \alpha) - x} R_1^T R_1 C_1^T \right) \right\}^{-1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that conditions (4.1) and (4.2) reduce to recurrence and positive recurrence, if $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, with Theorem 4.2 we obtain the following conditions for recurrence and positive recurrence of a Quasi-Birth-and-Death process.

Corollary 4.6 Assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, that the corresponding matrix measure Σ is supported in the interval $[0, \infty)$ and determined by its moments. If a transition function $P(t)$ satisfying $P'(t) = P(t)Q$ exists, then the following statements hold.

1. The state $(i, \ell) \in E$ is recurrent if and only if

$$(4.16) \quad e_\ell^T \left(\int \frac{Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_i^T(x)}{x} \right) \left(\int Q_i(x) d\Sigma(x) Q_i^T(x) \right)^{-1} e_\ell = \infty,$$

where $e_\ell = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. In particular, the state $(0, \ell) \in E$ is recurrent if and only if

$$e_\ell^T \int_0^\infty \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{x} S_0^{-1} e_\ell = \infty.$$

2. The state $(0, \ell)$ is recurrent if and only if

$$e_\ell^T \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T_{j+1}^{-1} A_j^{-1} C_j^T T_{j-1} T_j^{-1} A_{j-1}^{-1} C_{j-1}^T T_{j-2} T_{j-1}^{-1} \cdots T_0 T_1^{-1} A_0^{-1} T_0 S_0 e_\ell = \infty$$

with $T_j = Q_j(0)$, $j \geq 0$.

3. The state $(0, \ell)$ is positive recurrent if and only if the matrix measure $e_\ell^T d\Sigma(x) S_0^{-1} e_\ell$ has a jump in the point $x = 0$.

Remark 4.7 1. Let $\Sigma^{(1)}$ be a spectral measure supported in $[0, \infty)$ corresponding to the associated polynomials $\{Q_n^{(1)}(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ introduced in Theorem 2.4. Then, a combination of Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 4.6 shows that the state $(0, \ell) \in E$ is recurrent if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} e_\ell^T \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{x} S_0^{-1} e_\ell &= - \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} e_\ell^T \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{z - x} R_0^T R_0 e_\ell \\ &= e_\ell^T \left\{ -B_0 - A_0 \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(1)}(x)}{x} R_1^T R_1 C_1^T \right\}^{-1} e_\ell = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

An induction argument shows that

$$Q_n^{(1)}(x) = -\tilde{Q}_{n+1}^{(1)}(x) S_0^{-1} A_0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\tilde{Q}_n^{(1)}(x)$ are the first associated polynomials corresponding to $Q_n^{(1)}(x)$, and $Q_n^{(1)}(x)$ are the associated polynomials of order $k = 1$ corresponding to $Q_n(x)$. Therefore it follows for the Stieltjes transform of the spectral measure corresponding to the associated orthogonal polynomials that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(1)}(x)}{x} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} A_0^{-1} S_0 Z_{j+1}^{-1} A_{j+1}^{-1} C_{j+1}^T Z_{j-1}^{-1} A_j^{-1} \dots \\ &\quad \dots A_2^{-1} C_2^T Z_1^{-1} A_1^{-1} Z_0 (R_1^T R_1)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_j := \tilde{Q}_{j+1}^{(1)}(0)$.

2. A straightforward calculation yields

$$e_i^T \Sigma(\{0\}) e_j = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z e_i^T \Phi(z) e_j.$$

From Theorem 2.4 it follows that the state $(0, \ell) \in E$ is positive recurrent, if the condition

$$\begin{aligned} e_\ell^T \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{00}(t) e_\ell &= e_\ell^T \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z \int \frac{d\Sigma(x)}{z - x} S_0^{-1} e_\ell \\ &= e_\ell^T \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z R_0^{-1} \{ z I_d + R_0 B_0 R_0^{-1} - R_0 A_0 \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(1)}(x)}{z - x} R_1^T R_1 C_1^T R_0^{-1} \}^{-1} R_0 e_\ell \\ &= e_\ell^T \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \{ I_d + \frac{1}{z} (B_0 - A_0 \int \frac{d\Sigma^{(1)}(x)}{z - x} R_1^T R_1 C_1^T) \}^{-1} e_\ell > 0 \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Acknowledgements. The work of the authors was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (De 502/22-1/2). The authors would like to thank Martina Stein, who typed parts of this paper with considerable technical expertise.

References

- W.J. Anderson (1991). Continuous time markov chains. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- L. Bright, P.G. Taylor (1995). Calculating the Equilibrium Distribution in Level Dependent Quasi-Birth-and-Death Processes. Commun. Statist. Stochastic Models 11, 497-525.
- T. Dayar, F. Quessette (2002). Quasi-birth and death processes with level geometric distribution. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 24, 281-291.
- H. Dette, B. Reuther, W.J. Studden, M. Zygmunt (2006). Matrix measures and random walks with a block tridiagonal transition matrix. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 29, 117-142.
- H. Dette, W.J. Studden (2001). Matrix measures, moment spaces and Favard's theorem for the interval $[0, 1]$ and $[0, \infty)$. Linear Algebra Appl. 345, 163-193.
- A.J. Duran (1996). Markov's Theorem for orthogonal matrix polynomials, Can. J. Math. 48, 1180-1195.
- A.J. Duran (1999). Ratio asymptotics for orthogonal matrix polynomials. Journal of Approximation Theory 100, 304-344.
- W. Fair (1971). Noncommutative continued fractions. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2, 226-232.
- S. Karlin, J.L. McGregor (1957). The differential equations of birth-and-death processes, and the Stieltjes moment problem. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 85, 489-546.
- S. Karlin, J.L. McGregor (1957a). The classification of birth and death processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 86, 366-400.
- G. Latouche, C.E.M. Pearce, P.G. Taylor (1998). Invariant Measures for Quasi-Birth-and-Death Processes. Comm. Statist. Stochastic Models 14, 443-460.
- G. Latouche, V. Ramaswami (1999). Introduction to Matrix Analytic Methods in Stochastic Modeling, ASA-SIAM Ser. Stat. Appl. Probab. 5, SIAM, Philadelphia, Chapter 12.
- F. Marcellán, G. Sansigre (1993). On a class of matrix orthogonal polynomials on the real line. Linear Algebra Appl. 181, 97-109 .
- M.F. Neuts (1981). Matrix Geometric Solutions in Stochastic Models. An Algorithmic Approach. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- A. Ost (2001). Performance of Communication Systems: A Model-Based Approach with Matrix-Geometric Methods. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- V. Ramaswami, P.G. Taylor (1996). Some properties of the rate operators in level dependent quasi-birth-and-death processes with a countable number of phases. *Commun. Statist. Stochastic Models* 12, 143-164.
- A. Sinap, W. Van Assche (1996). Orthogonal Matrix polynomials and applications. *Jour. Computational and Applied Math.* 66, 27-52.
- E.A. van Doorn (2002). Representations for the rate of convergence of birth-death processes. *Theory Probab. Math. Statist.* 65, 37-43.
- E.A. van Doorn (2003). On associated polynomials and decay rates for birth-death processes. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 278, 500-511.
- E.A. van Doorn (2006). On the α -classification of birth-death and quasi-birth-death processes. *Stoch. Models* 22, no. 3, 411-421.
- M.J. Zygmunt (2002). Matrix Chebyshev polynomials and continued fractions. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 340, 155-168.