

Wagner, Joachim

**Working Paper**

## Why more West than East German firms export

Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 42

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Institute of Economics, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

*Suggested Citation:* Wagner, Joachim (2007) : Why more West than East German firms export, Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 42, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre, Lüneburg

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/28160>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

## Why more West than East German firms export

---

by  
Joachim Wagner

University of Lüneburg  
Working Paper Series in Economics

**No. 42**

March 2007

[www.uni-lueneburg.de/vwl/papers](http://www.uni-lueneburg.de/vwl/papers)

ISSN 1860 - 5508

# **Why more West than East German firms export**

**Joachim Wagner**

University of Lueneburg, Institute of Economics

Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena

[wagner@uni-lueneburg.de](mailto:wagner@uni-lueneburg.de)

## Abstract:

Using unique new data and a recently introduced non-linear decomposition technique this paper shows that the huge difference in the propensity to export between West and East German plants is to a large part due to differences in firm size and human capital intensity.

Keywords: Exports, micro data, West Germany, East Germany

JEL classification: F14

## Address for correspondence:

Prof. Dr. Joachim Wagner  
University of Lueneburg  
Institute of Economics  
PO Box 2440  
D-21314 Lueneburg  
Germany  
Phone: +49-4131-677-2330  
Fax: +49-4131-677-2026  
<http://www.uni-lueneburg.de/fb2/vwl/wifo>

Acknowledgement: Computations were done inside the Research Data Centre of the Statistical Office of Berlin. Many thanks to Anja Münch and Ramona Pohl for running my Stata do-files, and for carefully checking the huge log-files for violation of privacy.

## **1. Motivation**

While Germany is one of the most important exporters of manufacturing goods in the world, by far not all manufacturing firms in Germany are exporters, and there is a remarkable gap between the share of exporters in all manufacturing firms between West Germany and East Germany. While in West Germany in 2004 64.4 percent of manufacturing plants were exporters, fourteen years after re-unification this share was only 45.5 percent in the former communist East Germany. The reasons for this difference are not yet well understood, not least due to a lack of comprehensive micro data. Using a unique new data set and a recently introduced non-linear decomposition technique this paper contributes to the literature by investigating the share of this gap that is due to observed plant characteristics.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: Section 2 introduces the newly available data. Section 3 reports descriptive evidence. Section 4 outlines the non-linear decomposition technique and presents results from its application. Section 5 concludes.

## **2. Data**

The empirical investigation uses data for plants taken from regular surveys by the Statistical Offices of the German federal states covering all plants from manufacturing industries that employ at least twenty persons in the local production unit or in the company that owns the unit. Participation of plants in the survey is mandated. Late in 2006 these data were matched over all federal states to form a data set that covers Germany as a whole. In this paper the most recent available data for 2004 are used.

Note that the micro level data are strictly confidential and for use inside the Statistical Office only, but not exclusive. Further information how to access the data is given in Zühlke et al. (2004).

### 3. Descriptive evidence

As shown in table 1 the share of exporters in all manufacturing firms<sup>1</sup> was much lower in East Germany (45.5 percent) than in West Germany (64.4 percent) in 2004. Participation of firms in export markets is linked to firm size and factor inputs. Firms from one of the most highly developed industrial countries of the world can be expected to have a comparative advantage in technology intensive products made by highly qualified workers; furthermore, firm size is expected to be positively correlated with export activities for various reasons including scale effects, a higher capacity for taking risks in larger firms, and the fixed costs character of various export related costs like retooling and redesigning products for foreign markets (see Wagner 2001).

Table 1 documents that in both parts of Germany exporters were larger, more human capital intensive, and more often from technology intensive industries than their non-exporting counterparts.<sup>2</sup> Results for probit models show that these links, which are in line with our theoretical priors for export participation, are statistically highly significant *ceteris paribus*, too.

---

<sup>1</sup> In this paper the term firm is used to mean a local production unit, or plant.

<sup>2</sup> Human capital intensity is measured by wage per employee. Firms are classified as high-tech or medium-tech firms according to their industry affiliation, using the standard list of technology intensive industries of Germany; details are available from the author on request. All differences are statistically significant at an error level of less than one percent.

Table 1: Export participation of manufacturing firms in West and East Germany, 2004

Variable	West Germany		East Germany	
	(Share of exporters: 64.44%)		(Share of exporters: 45.49 %)	
	Results for probit estimation of export participation	Sample mean	Results for probit estimation of export participation	Sample mean
	Estimated coefficient (p-value)	Exporters Non-exporters	Estimated coefficient (p-value)	Exporters Non-exporters
Number of employees	0.001408 (0.000)	176.56 58.72	0.00175 (0.000)	111.85 53.23
Human capital	0.000024 (0.000)	31,798.70 26,274.75	0.000019 (0.000)	23,415.12 20,255.12
High-tech (dummy)	0.308 (0.000)	0.059 0.029	0.416 (0.000)	0.074 0.035
Medium-tech (dummy)	0.431 (0.000)	0.214 0.104	0.399 (0.000)	0.215 0.120
Constant	-0.556 (0.000)		- 0.738 (0.000)	
Number of firms	38,147		9,071	

### **3. A decomposition of the difference in the propensity to export in West and East German firms**

The figures reported in table 1 reveal a number of differences between West and East German plants regarding the size of the estimated coefficients of the probit models, and in the composition of the samples with regard to these characteristics. Therefore, the question arises to what extent the differences of export participation across space can be explained by differences in characteristics of the firms on the one hand, and by differences in the coefficients on the other hand.

To tackle this kind of question, Fairlie (2006) introduced a decomposition method based on estimates from a non-linear probit model. While a discussion of the details of this method is beyond the scope of this paper, two aspects should be mentioned: First, while the characteristics effect identified in the decomposition represents the part of the difference in export participation that is due to observed differences over the two regions in the characteristics of the firms, the residual effect not only represents the part due to different regression coefficients but captures also the proportion of the difference due to group differences in unmeasured or unobservable factors. Second, each sub-sample can be used as the reference group, and the results usually differ according to the choice of the reference group. Therefore, both variants are computed, and the results are compared.

The type of question answered here is “How high would the share of exporting firms among all manufacturing firms in East Germany have been in 2004 if the firms from the West German sample were located in East Germany, and if the characteristics of these West German firms were linked to the probability of exporting according to the coefficients estimated using the East German sample from 2004?” Results are reported in table 2.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> Stata 9.2 and the program fairlie.ado were used for computations.

Table 2: Decomposition analysis of differences in export participation of manufacturing firms in West and East Germany, 2004

Reference group (percent exporters in sample)	Comparison group (percent exporters in sample)	Difference in participation (percentage points)	Characterisitcs effect (percentage points)	Residual effect (percentage points)
West (64.44)	East (45.49)	18.96	8.51	10.45
East (45.49)	West (64.44)	-18.96	-7.71	-11.25

Detailed decomposition

Variable	Characteristic effect (percentage points)	Significance level (p-value)
----------	--	---------------------------------

Reference group: West Germany

Number of employees	1.413	0.000
Human capital	7.106	0.000
High-tech (dummy)	0.012	0.005
Medium-tech (dummy)	-0.034	0.000

Reference group: East Germany

Number of employees	-1.678	0.000
Human capital	-5.633	0.000
High-tech (dummy)	0.013	0.218
Medium-tech (dummy)	-0.405	0.000

When West German firms are used as the reference group, 45 percent of the difference in the export participation rate is allocated to observed firm characteristics included in the probit regression. This part is slightly lower (about 40 percent) when the reference group is formed by East German firms. The detailed decomposition shows that the lion's share of this characteristics effect is due to the much lower human capital intensity of East German plants, and that the larger average size of West German plants matters, too. The point estimates for the two technology group dummy variables are tiny (and not always statistically significant at a usual level), and for each reference group one of the coefficients has the "wrong" sign; differences in technology intensity – at least when (due to a lack of better information) measured by average R&D intensities at the industry level – between East and West Germany do not contribute to the explanation of the huge difference in the export participation rate.

#### **4. Conclusions**

According to the results from a new unique data set and a recently introduced non-linear decomposition technique, between 40 and 45 percent of the large difference in the share of exporting firms in West and East Germany can be explained by the higher human capital intensity and – to a less degree – by the larger average size of West German firms. On the one hand, this is an important result that helps to understand why this difference is still that large one decade and a half after reunification. On the other hand, the residual effect – which is at least in part a measure of our ignorance – is more than 50 percent, and this points to the need of further research based on more informative plant level data that will hopefully allow the inclusion of more plant characteristics in the decomposition.

## References

- Fairlie, R. W. (2006): An Extension of the Oaxaca-Blinder Decomposition Technique to Logit and Probit Models. Institute for the Study of Labor, IZA Discussion Paper No. 1917, Bonn, January.
- Wagner, Joachim. 2001. "A Note on the Firm Size – Export Relationship." *Small Business Economics* 17, 229-237.
- Zühlke, Sylvia et al. 2004. "The Research Data Centres of the Federal Statistical Office and the Statistical Offices of the Länder." *Schmollers Jahrbuch / Journal of Applied Social Science Studies* 124(4), 567-578.

# Working Paper Series in Economics

(see [www.uni-lueneburg.de/vwl/papers](http://www.uni-lueneburg.de/vwl/papers) for a complete list)

---

- No.41: *Joachim Wagner*: Exports and Productivity in Germany, March 2007
- No.40: *Lena Koller, Klaus Schnabel und Joachim Wagner*: Schwellenwerte im Arbeitsrecht. Höhere Transparenz und Effizienz durch Vereinheitlichung, Februar 2007  
[erscheint in: Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik]
- No.39: *Thomas Wein und Wiebke B. Röber*: Sind ausbildende Handwerksbetriebe erfolgreicher?, Januar 2007
- No.38: *Joachim Wagner*: Institut für Volkswirtschaft: Forschungsbericht 2006, Januar 2007
- No.37: *Nils Braakmann*: The impact of September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001 on the job prospects of foreigners with Arab background – Evidence from German labor market data, January 2007
- No.36: *Jens Korunig*: Regulierung des Netzmonopolisten durch Peak-load Pricing?, Dezember 2006
- No.35: *Nils Braakmann*: Die Einführung der fachkundigen Stellungnahme bei der Ich-AG, November 2006
- No.34: *Martin F. Quaas and Stefan Baumgärtner*: Natural vs. financial insurance in the management of public-good ecosystems, October 2006
- No.33: *Stefan Baumgärtner and Martin F. Quaas*: The Private and Public Insurance Value of Conservative Biodiversity Management, October 2006
- No.32: *Ingrid Ott and Christian Papilloud*: Converging institutions. Shaping the relationships between nanotechnologies, economy and society, October 2006
- No.31: *Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner*: The persistent decline in unionization in western and eastern Germany, 1980-2004: What can we learn from a decomposition analysis?, October 2006
- No.30: *Ingrid Ott and Susanne Soretz*: Regional growth strategies: fiscal versus institutional governmental policies, September 2006
- No.29: *Christian Growitsch and Heike Wetzel*: Economies of Scope in European Railways: An Efficiency Analysis, July 2006
- No.28: *Thorsten Schank, Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner*: Do exporters really pay higher wages? First evidence from German linked employer-employee data, June 2006  
[forthcoming in: Journal of International Economics]
- No.27: *Joachim Wagner*: Markteintritte, Marktaustritte und Produktivität  
Empirische Befunde zur Dynamik in der Industrie, März 2006
- No.26: *Ingrid Ott and Susanne Soretz*: Governmental activity and private capital adjustment, March 2006  
[forthcoming in: Icfai Journal of Managerial Economics]
- No.25: *Joachim Wagner*: International Firm Activities and Innovation: Evidence from Knowledge Production Functions for German Firms, March 2006
- No.24: *Ingrid Ott und Susanne Soretz*: Nachhaltige Entwicklung durch endogene Umweltwahrnehmung, März 2006
- No.23: *John T. Addison, Claus Schnabel, and Joachim Wagner*: The (Parlous) State of German Unions, February 2006  
[forthcoming in: Journal of Labor Research]

- No.22: *Joachim Wagner, Thorsten Schank, Claus Schnabel, and John T. Addison: Works Councils, Labor Productivity and Plant Heterogeneity: First Evidence from Quantile Regressions*, February 2006  
[published in: *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik* 226 (2006), 505 - 518]
- No.21: *Corinna Bunk: Betriebliche Mitbestimmung vier Jahre nach der Reform des BetrVG: Ergebnisse der 2. Befragung der Mitglieder des Arbeitgeberverbandes Lüneburg Nordostniedersachsen*, Februar 2006
- No.20: *Jan Kranich: The Strength of Vertical Linkages*, July 2006
- No.19: *Jan Kranich und Ingrid Ott: Geographische Restrukturierung internationaler Wertschöpfungsketten – Standortentscheidungen von KMU aus regionalökonomischer Perspektive*, Februar 2006
- No.18: *Thomas Wein und Wiebke B. Röber: Handwerksreform 2004 – Rückwirkungen auf das Ausbildungsverhalten Lüneburger Handwerksbetriebe?*, Februar 2006
- No.17: *Wiebke B. Röber und Thomas Wein: Mehr Wettbewerb im Handwerk durch die Handwerksreform?*, Februar 2006
- No.16: *Joachim Wagner: Politikrelevante Folgerungen aus Analysen mit wirtschaftsstatistischen Einzeldaten der Amtlichen Statistik*, Februar 2006  
[publiziert in: *Schmollers Jahrbuch* 126 (2006) 359-374]
- No.15: *Joachim Wagner: Firmenalter und Firmenperformance*  
Empirische Befunde zu Unterschieden zwischen jungen und alten Firmen in Deutschland, September 2005  
[publiziert in: Lutz Bellmann und Joachim Wagner (Hrsg.), *Betriebsdemographie* (Beiträge zur Arbeitsmarkt- und Berufsforschung, Band 305), Nürnberg: IAB der BA, 83-111]
- No.14: *Joachim Wagner: German Works Councils and Productivity: First Evidence from a Nonparametric Test*, September 2005  
[forthcoming in: *Applied Economics Letters*]
- No.13: *Lena Koller, Claus Schnabel und Joachim Wagner: Arbeitsrechtliche Schwellenwerte und betriebliche Arbeitsplatzdynamik: Eine empirische Untersuchung am Beispiel des Schwerbehindertengesetzes*, August 2005  
[publiziert in: *Zeitschrift für ArbeitsmarktForschung/ Journal for Labour Market Research* 39 (2006), 181-199]
- No.12: *Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner: Who are the workers who never joined a union? Empirical evidence from Germany*, July 2005  
[published in: *Industrielle Beziehungen/ The German Journal of Industrial Relations* 13 (2006), 118-131]
- No.11: *Joachim Wagner: Exporte und Produktivität in mittelständischen Betrieben*  
Befunde aus der niedersächsischen Industrie (1995 – 2004), June 2005  
[publiziert in: *Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Statistik, Statistische Berichte* Niedersachsen, Sonderausgabe: Tagung der NLS am 9. März 2006, Globalisierung und regionale Wirtschaftsentwicklung - Datenlage und Datenbedarf in Niedersachsen. Hannover, Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Statistik, Juli 2006, 18 – 29]

- No.10: *Joachim Wagner*: Der Noth gehorchend, nicht dem eignen Trieb.  
Nascent Necessity and Opportunity Entrepreneurs in Germany.  
Evidence from the Regional Entrepreneurship Monitor (REM), May 2005  
[published in: RWI: Mitteilungen. Quarterly 54/ 55 (2003/04), 287-303  
{published June 2006}]
- No. 9: *Gabriel Desgranges and Maik Heinemann*: Strongly Rational Expectations Equilibria with  
Endogenous Acquisition of Information, March 2005
- No. 8: *Joachim Wagner*: Exports, Foreign Direct Investment, and Productivity: Evidence from  
German Firm Level Data, March 2005  
[published in: Applied Economics Letters 13 (2006), 347-349]
- No. 7: *Thomas Wein*: Associations' Agreement and the Interest of the Network Suppliers – The  
Strategic Use of Structural Features, March 2005
- No. 6: *Christiane Clemens and Maik Heinemann*: On the Effects of Redistribution on Growth  
and Entrepreneurial Risk-Taking, March 2005
- No. 5: *Christiane Clemens and Maik Heinemann*: Endogenous Redistributive Cycles – An  
overlapping Generations Approach to Social Conflict and Cyclical Growth, March 2005
- No. 4: *Joachim Wagner*: *Exports and Productivity*: A Survey of the Evidence from Firm Level  
Data, March 2005  
[published in: The World Economy 30 (2007), 1, 60-82]
- No. 3: *Thomas Wein and Reimund Schwarze*: Is the Market Classification of Risk Always  
Efficient? - Evidence from German Third Party Motor Insurance, March 2005
- No. 2: *Ingrid Ott and Stephen J. Turnovsky*: Excludable and Non-Excludable Public Inputs:  
Consequences for Economic Growth, June 2005 (Revised version)  
[published in: Economica 73 (2006), 292, 725-742  
also published as CESifo Working Paper 1423]
- No. 1: *Joachim Wagner*: Nascent and Infant Entrepreneurs in Germany.  
Evidence from the Regional Entrepreneurship Monitor (REM), March 2005  
[published in: Simon C. Parker (Ed.), The Life Cycle of Entrepreneurial Ventures  
(International Handbook Series on Entrepreneurship, Volume 3), New York etc.: Springer,  
2006, 15-37]

Universität Lüneburg  
Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre  
Postfach 2440  
D-21314 Lüneburg  
Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321  
email: [brodt@uni-lueneburg.de](mailto:brodt@uni-lueneburg.de)  
[www.uni-lueneburg.de/vwl/papers](http://www.uni-lueneburg.de/vwl/papers)