

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Cumming, Douglas J.

Article

Measuring the Effect of Bankruptcy Laws on Entrepreneurship Across Countries

The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance (JEF)

Provided in Cooperation with:

The Academy of Entrepreneurial Finance (AEF), Los Angeles, CA, USA

Suggested Citation: Cumming, Douglas J. (2012): Measuring the Effect of Bankruptcy Laws on Entrepreneurship Across Countries, The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance (JEF), ISSN 2373-1761, The Academy of Entrepreneurial Finance (AEF), Montrose, CA, Vol. 16, Iss. 1, pp. 80-86

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/96222

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance Volume 16, Number 1, Spring 2012 **80-86** Copyright © 2012 Academy of Entrepreneurial Finance, Inc. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 1551-9570

Measuring the Effect of Bankruptcy Laws on Entrepreneurship Across Countries

Douglas J. Cumming *York University*

Abstract

Two recent papers examine the impact of corporate bankruptcy laws on new business start-ups in 29 countries over 1990 - 2008 (Peng et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010). In this commentary, I briefly point out two significant issues which future researchers might want to consider. First, several countries in the data examined had changed their personal and corporate bankruptcy laws over the years studied. It is statistically inappropriate and inaccurate to treat bankruptcy laws as time invariant, as in Peng et al. (2010) and Lee et al. (2010), particularly in view of the dramatic changes in bankruptcy laws over time in so many countries. Second, Peng et al. (2010) and Lee et al. (2010) have a misplaced focus on corporate bankruptcy law while ignoring personal bankruptcy law. This focus is at odds with theory and prior work on topic which relates entrepreneurship to personal bankruptcy law.

Two recent papers examine the impact of corporate bankruptcy laws on new business start-ups in 29 countries over 1990 - 2008 (Peng et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010). In this comment, I briefly point out two significant issues which future researchers might want to consider. First, several countries in the data examined had changed their personal and corporate bankruptcy laws over the years studied. For example, a number of European countries including Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, and the Netherlands changed their bankruptcy laws to such a degree that they modified the availability of a "fresh start", while other countries modified the number of years until a fresh start was available, such as Canada and the U.K. These changes were documented and coded by Armour and Cumming (2006, 2008). Moving from a regime without a fresh start to one with a fresh start is such a dramatic change that it is effectively a completely different regime, and as such it is simply wrong to treat bankruptcy laws for these countries as being time invariant over the years 1990 - 2008.

The cross-country and time-variant bankruptcy legal data coded by Armour and Cumming (2008) was made available to a broad audience in 2005 through posting on online at www.ssrn.com (http://ssrn.com/abstract=762144) and, moreover, was directly sent to the authors of the Peng et al. (2010) and Lee et al. (2010) papers in 2008 by Cumming, Sapienza, Siegel, and Wright (2009). Armour and Cumming (2008, Table 1 and Appendix) provide the actual legal sources and coded indices that can be used by others interested in carrying out similar research; these indices are not available in other sources. I provide these legal indices in Table 1 and encourage others with an interest in studying cross-country differences in entrepreneurship to consider not merely differences across countries but also differences over time.

[Insert Table 1]

To treat bankruptcy laws as time invariant over the years 1990-2008 for the countries considered in Peng et al. (2010) and Lee et al. (2010) is tantamount to not empirically studying the effect of bankruptcy laws on entrepreneurship, but rather, examining how countries themselves are different due to correlations with other legal variables (e.g., La Porta et al., 1998) and cultural variables (Hofstede et al., 2002). In view of the vast changes in bankruptcy laws across countries and over time, it is necessary and appropriate to consider difference-in-differences estimates with country - fixed effects and corrections for autocorrelation in the dependent variable (Bertrand et al., 2004); these procedures were implemented by Armour and Cumming (2008). Failure to consider legal changes over time and autocorrelation in the dependent variable and country – fixed effects with panel data (as in Peng et al., 2010, and Lee et al., 2010) is equivalent to regressing "noise on noise" (Bertrand et al., 2004).

Second, Peng et al. (2010) and Lee et al. (2010) use indices of corporate bankruptcy laws taken from the summary statistics developed by Classens and Klapper (2005). As a theoretical matter, these statistics were developed to explain how often companies use bankruptcy procedures in different countries after incorporation. Related work shows how the costs of incorporation affect entrepreneurial activity (Djankov et al., 2002; Klapper et al., 2006; Van Stel et al., 2007). For the different context of explaining rates of entrepreneurship in reference to bankruptcy law, *personal* bankruptcy law is widely regarded as having a direct influence on entrepreneurship since creditors frequently demand personal guarantees from owner-managers, which constitute a "contracting out" of the liability - shield incorporation otherwise given to the entrepreneur. Further, prior to incorporation entrepreneurs typically

have to use personal finances, which of course ties directly to personal bankruptcy law. As such, while not considered by Peng et al. (2010) and Lee et al. (2010), other prior work that studies the rates of entrepreneurship in reference to bankruptcy laws has, in fact, considered and focused on personal bankruptcy laws (Jackson, 1985, 1986; Alder et al., 2000; Insolvency Service (UK), 2001; Georgakopoulos, 2002; Fan and White, 2003; Berkowitz and White, 2004; Baird and Morrison, 2005; White, 2005; Armour and Cumming, 2006, 2008; Ayotte, 2007).

The impact of the availability of a fresh start in personal bankruptcy is worth highlighting (White, 2005). A forgiving bankruptcy law, in particular one that offers a fresh start from pre-bankruptcy debts, will permit inframarginal entrepreneurs (those who are willing to become entrepreneurs even in the absence of insurance) to re-enter the economy rapidly after a business failure (Georgakopoulos, 2002; Ayotte, 2007). With an unforgiving bankruptcy law and no discharge from pre-bankruptcy debts, the entrepreneur must pay the majority of her future income to past creditors. A more forgiving bankruptcy law, measured in a way that includes the possibility of a fresh start, may unambiguously be expected to be associated with a greater overall level of entrepreneurship—both by increasing entry at the margin and by increasing re-entry within the margin. The availability of a fresh start, among other things in bankruptcy law, has varied considerably over time in different countries around the world. Future research would fruitfully make use of such time series changes to better understand how bankruptcy laws are, in fact, related to entrepreneurial friendliness.

Table 1. Summary Statistics for Bankruptcy Indices

This table summarizes the bankruptcy indices used in the empirical analyses in the subsequent tables for each from the bankruptcy statutes from each country, as presented in Armour and C

from the bankruptcy statutes from each country, as presented in Armour and C									
	0	Concerns discharge			Disabilities				
	from prebankruptcy			Exemptions : This	restrictions on				
		ess available for an		relates to prebankruptcy	and economic				
	_	eur who has either		assets which are	bankruptcy. T				
	_	g as a sole proprietor		exempted from the	disabilities o				
	or guarantee	ed debts of a closely-		bankrupt estate and so	power to dea				
	_	rivate company.		-	bankrupt estate				
			- Minimum	retained by the debtor. Takes value 1 if	civic disabilitie				
			Minimum		to vote, hold				
		Discharge Years:	capital to	exemptions of assets	membership				
	Discharge	If discharge	form private	from the bankruptcy	groups); Ta				
	Available?	available, value is	company, in	estate cover only	economic d				
	Takes	number of years	2005 Euros	personal items, tools of	restrictions on				
	value 0 if	until typical	(1/E).	trade, etc. Takes value 0	being involved				
	discharge	discharge; if		if exemptions are more	of a company);				
	available, 1	discharge		generous. Takes value 2	interference				
	if not	unavailable, value		if exemptions are	travel (i.e. pro				
	available.	is life expectancy		'negative', i.e. spousal	without conser				
	u ruiiu	minus 40.		property can be pulled	trustee); Takes				
		IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		into the estate.	may be incar				
					paymer				
	1990-1994:	1001.07			FJ				
Austria	1; 1995-	1990-1994: 37;	1990-2005:	1990-2005: 2	1990				
	2005: 0	1995-2005: 7	€35000	1770 - 001					
			1990-1998:		ŀ				
D 1 1	1990-1997:	1990-1997: 37;	€6174;	1000 0005. 1	1000				
Belgium	1; 1998-	1998-2005: 0	1999-2005:	1990-2005: 1	1990				
	2005: 0		€18500		I				
C 10	1990-2005:	1990-1992: 1;	1990-2005:	1000 2005. 0	1000				
Canada	0	1993-2005: 0.75	€0	1990-2005: 0	1990				
			1990-1991:		I				
			€10732;						
- 1	1990-2004:	1990-2004: 5;	1992-1996:	1000 300# 1	1000				
Denmark	.5; 2005: 0	2005: 3	€26831;	1990-2005: 1	1990				
	,	2000.0	1997-2005:						
			€16769		I				
	1990-1992:	1000 1002, 27,							
Finland	1; 1993-	1990-1992: 37;	1990-2005:	1990-2005: 1	1990				
	2005: 0	1993-2005: 5	€2500		I				
	1990-1993:		1990-2002:						
France		1000 2005: 0	€ 7500;	1000 2005, 2	1000 1004				
France	0; 1994- 2005: .5	1990-2005: 0	2003-2005:	1990-2005: 2	1990-1994:				
	2005: .5		€0						
	1990-1998:	3: 1990-1998: 37; 19992000: 7;	1990-2005:						
Germany	1; 1999-		£25000	1990-2005: 0	1990-1998:				
	2005: 0	2001-2005: 6	623000						

Douglas J. Cumming/The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance 16 (2012)

Greece	1990-2005: 1	1990-2005: 20	1990-1992: €587; 1993- 1998: €8804; 1999-2002: €17608; 2003-2005: €18000	1990-2005: 1	1990-1997:
Ireland	1990-2005: 0	1990-2005: 12	1990-2005: €0	1990-2005: 1	1990
Italy	1990-2005: 1	1990-2005: 38	1990-2003: €10300; 2004-2005: €10000	1990-1992: 2; 1993- 2005: 1	1990
Netherlands	1990-1998: 1; 1999- 2005: 0	1990-1998: 38; 1999-2005: 3	1990-2005: €18000	1990-2005: 2	1990
Spain	1990-2005: 1	1990-2005: 15	1990-2005: €3000	1990-2005: 1	1990
Sweden	1990-2005: 1	1990-2005: 10	1990-2005: €10749	1990-2005: 1	1990
UK	1990-2005: 0	1990-2003: 3; 2004-2005: 1	1990-2005: €0	1990-2005: 1	1990
USA	1990-2005: 0	1990-2005: 0	1990-2005: €0	1990-2005: 0	1990

REFERNCES

- Alder, Barry, Ben Polak, and Alan Schwartz, 2000, "Regulating Consumer Bankruptcy: A Theoretical Inquiry," Journal of Legal Studies 29, 585 613.
- Armour, John, and Douglas J. Cumming, 2006, "The Legislative Road to Silicon Valley," Oxford Economic Papers 58, 596 635.
- Armour, John, and Douglas J. Cumming, 2008, "Bankruptcy Law and Entrepreneurship," American Law and Economics Review 10, 303 350.
- Ayotte, Kenneth M. 2007, "Bankruptcy and Entrepreneurship: The Value of a Fresh Start," Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization 23, 161 185.
- Baird, Douglas G., and Ed R. Morrison, 2005, "Serial Entrepreneurs and Small Business Bankruptcies," Columbia Law Review 105, 2310 2368.
- Berkowitz, Jeremy, and Michelle J. White, 2004, "Bankruptcy and Small Firms' Access to Credit," RAND Journal of Economics 35, 69 84.
- Bertrand, Marianne, Esther Duflo, and Sendhil Mullainathan, 2004, "How Much Should We Trust Difference in Difference Estimates?" Quarterly Journal of Economics 119, 249 275.
- Claessens, Stijn, and Leorra Klapper, 2005, "Bankruptcy Around the World: Explanations of its Relative Use," American Law and Economic Review 7, 253-283.
- Cumming, Douglas J., Harry Sapienza Don Siegel, and Mike Wright, 2009, "International Entrepreneurship: Managerial and Public Policy Implications," Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal 3, 283 296.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer, 2002, "The Regulation of Entry," Quarterly Journal of Economics 117, 1 37.
- Fan, Wei, and Michelle J. White, 2003, "Personal Bankruptcy and the Level of Entrepreneurial Activity," Journal of Law and Economics 46, 543 568.
- Georgakopoulos, Nicholas L., 2002, "Bankruptcy Law for Productivity," Wake Forest Law Review 37, 51 95.
- Hofstede, Geert, Niels G. Noorderhaven, A. Roy Thurik, Lorraine M. Uhlaner, Alexander R.M. Wennekers, and Ralph E. Wildeman, 2002, "Culture's Role in Entrepreneurship: Self-Employment Out of Dissatisfaction," in T.E. Brown and J. Ulijn (eds.), Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Culture: The Interaction Between Technology, Progress and Economic Growth, Northampton, MA, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Insolvency Service (UK). 2001. Bankruptcy: A Fresh Start. London: Insolvency Service.
- Jackson, Thomas H., 1985, "The Fresh-Start Policy in Bankruptcy Law," Harvard Law Review 98, 1393 1448.

- Jackson, Thomas H., 1986, The Logic and Limits of Bankruptcy Law. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Klapper, Leorra, Luc Laeven, and Raghuram Rajan, 2006, "Entry Regulation as a Barrier to Entrepreneurship," Journal of Financial Economics 82, 591 629.
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-De-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer, and Robert Vishny, 1998, "Law and Finance," Journal of Political Economy 106, 1113 1155.
- Lee, Seung-Hyun, Yasuhiro Yamakawa, Mike W. Peng, Jay B. Barney, 2011, "How do Bankruptcy Laws Affect Entrepreneurship Development around the World?" Journal of Business Venturing 26, 505 520.
- Peng, Mike W., Seung-Hyun Lee, and Yasuhiro Yamakawa, 2010, "Bankruptcy Laws and Entrepreneur-Friendliness," Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 34, 517 530.
- Van Stel, André, David J. Storey, and A.Roy Thurik, 2007, "The Effects of Business Regulations on Nascent and Young Business Entrepreneurship," Small Business Economics 28, 171 186.
- White, Michelle J., 2005, "A General Model of Personal Bankruptcy: Insurance, Work Effort, and Opportunism," Working Paper, University of California, San Diego, presented at 2005 American Law and Economics Association Annual Meeting.