

Azarnert, Leonid V.

**Working Paper**

## Is skilled immigration always good for growth in the receiving economy?

Working Paper, No. 2010-05

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Department of Economics, Bar-Ilan University

*Suggested Citation:* Azarnert, Leonid V. (2010) : Is skilled immigration always good for growth in the receiving economy?, Working Paper, No. 2010-05, Bar-Ilan University, Department of Economics, Ramat-Gan

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/96044>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

# Is Skilled Immigration Always Good for Growth in the Receiving Economy?

Leonid V. Azarnert\*

## Abstract

A highly skilled immigration can be growth enhancing if the positive contribution of the imported brains to the host economy's human capital stock outweighs the immigration-induced adverse effect on educational incentives for natives, or growth depleting if the latter effect dominates.

JEL classification: F22; O15; O40

**Keywords:** Skilled immigration, Growth

---

\* Department of Economics, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 52900, Israel; CESifo, Munich, Germany

Tel.: +972-3-5317534; Fax: +972-3-7384034  
*E-mail address:* azarnel@mail.biu.ac.il

## 1. Introduction

This work examines the effect of a sizable highly skilled immigration on educational incentives for the native population. The analysis is performed in the context of a growth model with uncertainty regarding the return to previously acquired human capital building on Mountford (1997) who identified the importance of uncertainty with regard to the possibility of migration to a higher wage country as a driving force behind the beneficial effect of a brain drain emigration for human capital formation in the source countries. Later on, this idea became popular in development economics (see references e.g. in Fan and Stark, 2007; Beine et al., 2008). All these publications assume that immigration flows are sufficiently small and do not affect labor market opportunities in the destination countries. Here, in contrast, I suppose that immigration inflows are not too small, and point to the uncertainty with regard to the labor market opportunities for the native population in the receiving countries as a result of the arrival of a sizable mass of foreign competitors.

The main idea is roughly as follows. In a world with some uncertainty with regard to the occupational match along with the irreversibility of investments in education, the arrival of a sizable mass of potential competitors is likely to increase the perceived probability of a possible occupational mismatch for skilled native individuals. This reduces their ex-ante returns to human capital investments and discourages them at the margin. As a consequence, if the immigration-induced adverse effect on educational incentives for the natives outweighs the positive contribution of the imported brains to the host economy's human capital stock, the highly skilled immigration may adversely affect economic growth in the host economy.

The present model relies upon empirical observations from labor economics. As has been widely recognized in the labor economics, an arrival of a sizable mass of foreign competitors reduces economic opportunities for native labor force (e.g., Borjas, 2003; 2005; 2007; 2009). In particular, it has been found that an exogenous increase in the supply of skilled labor, as a result of a sizable skilled immigration, adversely affects educational incentives for natives (e.g., Borjas, 2007; 2009). Evidence also suggests that in the US in several high-skilled fields such as, for instance, computer science and research biology labs, foreign labor, to a large extent, drove native labor out.

Moreover, in a large and growing recent literature on the formation of attitudes of natives toward immigrants,<sup>1</sup> it has been argued that the natives' concerns about the detrimental effect of immigration on their labor market opportunities are not necessarily driven by the true negative effect of immigration, but rather by the perceived negative effect, which is likely to overstate the real effect thus reducing the natives' ex-ante returns to human capital investments more than proportionally.

## 2. The Model

The model presents a small open overlapping generations economy that exists in a world with one good and perfect capital mobility. The world's one good is produced under constant returns to scale by two factors, capital and efficiency units of labor. There is a continuum of agents in each generation. For simplicity the measure of agents in the starting period is normalized to unity.

### 2.1. Production of goods and factor prices

The total amount of capital and efficiency units of labor in time period  $t$ , are denoted by  $K_t$  and  $L_t$ , respectively. The productivity of labor in period  $t$  is given by  $\lambda_t$ .

At time  $t$  the output  $Y_t$  is produced using a constant returns to scale production function:

$$Y_t = F(K_t, \lambda_t L_t) = f(k_t) \lambda_t L_t, \quad \text{where } k_t \equiv K_t / \lambda_t L_t. \quad (1)$$

This production function is required to satisfy the standard assumptions:  $\forall k > 0$ ,  $f(k) > 0$ ,  $f'(k) > 0$ ,  $f''(k) < 0$  along with the Inada conditions:  $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} f'(k) = \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f'(k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} f(k) = 0.$$

Factor prices are determined in the standard way by the factor's marginal product. Suppose, for simplicity, that the world rate of return to capital  $r^*$  is constant. Under assumption of perfect capital mobility and the smallness of the economy, the domestic rate of return to capital  $r_t$  is thus fixed to  $r^*$ , which fixes the domestic capital to the efficiency labor ratio  $k_t$  as well.

---

<sup>1</sup> Some references to this literature can be found, for instance, in Dustmann and Preston (2006); cf. also Azarnert (2010b).

Thus,  $\forall t$  where  $k$  is constant,  $k_t = k$ . Given the level of technology  $\lambda_t$ ,  $k$  determines the wage rate per efficiency unit of labor,  $w(k)$ :

$$w_t = \lambda_t [f(k) - kf'(k)] = \lambda_t w(k), \quad \text{where } w(k) \equiv f(k) - kf'(k). \quad (2)$$

## 2.2. *The distribution of ability*

Individuals possess different levels of latent ability where  $e^i$  denotes the latent ability level of individual  $i$ . This latent abilities are assumed to be distributed over the closed interval  $[0, E]$  according to the density function  $g(e^i)$  where  $\forall e^i \in [0, E]$ ,

$$\int_0^E g(e^i) de^i = 1, \quad g(e^i) > 0. \quad (3)$$

We assume that all generations have latent abilities, which are picked from the same distribution and that the abilities of children are independent from the abilities of their parents.

## 2.3. *Individuals' educational decision*

Agents live for two periods in an overlapping-generations world and derive utility from their consumption in the second period. In their first period of life agents can invest in education. The cost of education is assumed to be fixed at  $c$  units of output. There are no restrictions on borrowing at a fixed interest rate  $r^*$ . Agents, who invest in education, obtain  $e^i$  efficiency units of labor in their second period of life where  $e^i$  is the level of the latent ability of agent  $i$ . Agents, who do not invest in education, have only one unit of efficiency labor. Agents work only in their second period of life. In this period they repay the debt of the first period and use the remainder of their income to consume. All agents have the same preferences and access to the same technology although they have different levels of latent ability.

Suppose that agents face some uncertainty in the job market. To model this uncertainty suppose that in the event of the occupational mismatch if an educated individual does not find a job that requires the level of his ability  $e^i$ , he works as an uneducated worker and receives the salary as an uneducated agent that has only one unit of efficiency labor. Assume that in the absence of immigration the probability of employment in accordance with the individual's level of ability is  $\pi^{NI} \in (0, 1)$ , and, correspondingly, the probability of an occupational mismatch is  $1 - \pi^{NI}$ .

Therefore, for agent  $i$  it is optimal to invest in human capital if

$$\pi^{NI} \lambda_t w(k) e^i + (1 - \pi^{NI}) \lambda_t w(k) > \lambda_t w(k) + c(1 + r^*). \quad (4)$$

Thus, in the absence of immigration, all agents with a latent ability greater than  $\hat{e}^{NI}$  will invest in education, where in period  $t$   $\hat{e}_t^{NI}$  is uniquely defined by the following equality:

$$\hat{e}_t^{NI} = \frac{\pi^{NI} \lambda_t w(k) + c(1 + r^*)}{\pi^{NI} \lambda_t w(k)}. \quad (5)$$

#### 2.4. Dynamics of the economy

To characterize the dynamic behavior of the economy, we assume that there is an economy-wide growth externality related to the proportion of educated workers in the economy in the previous period. An assumption that the level of productivity in an economy is related to some measure of past educational level has been widely used in the models of economic growth.<sup>2</sup> To model this externality, here, as in Mountford (1997),  $\lambda_t$  is assumed to be a positive function of the proportion of educated workers ( $S$ ) in the previous period:

$$\lambda_t \equiv \lambda(S_{t-1}), \quad \text{where } S_{t-1} = \int_{\hat{e}_t^{NI}}^E g(e^i) de^i, \quad \lambda'(S_{t-1}) > 0. \quad (6)$$

It is also assumed that  $\lambda(0) = 1$  and  $\lambda(1)$  is finite.

By construction in this model, the only dynamics stem from the growth externality. From Eq. (6), it is clear that the proportion of workers who are educated in period  $t$  is an increasing function of the proportion of workers who were educated

in period  $t - 1$ , since  $\frac{d\hat{e}_t^{NI}}{dS_{t-1}} < 0$ , which implies that  $\frac{dS_t}{dS_{t-1}} > 0$ .

#### 2.5. The effect of immigration

To analyze implications of the skilled immigration for this economy, suppose that all immigrants are highly skilled and their latent abilities are distributed as the abilities of the native individuals, but over the interval  $[\hat{e}_t^F, E]$ , where  $\hat{e}_t^F > \hat{e}_t^{IMM}$ , as specified below in Eq. (7).

---

<sup>2</sup> See, for instance, Azarnert (2008, 2010a) for references.

If the number of highly skilled immigrants is large enough, the arrival of a sizable mass of potential competitors is likely to increase the perceived probability of occupational mismatch for skilled native individuals. To model this effect, suppose that in presence of a sizable highly skilled immigration the perceived probability of a successful occupational match for an educated native individual is  $\pi^{IMM} < \pi^{NI}$ , and, correspondingly, the probability of an occupational mismatch is  $1 - \pi^{IMM} > 1 - \pi^{NI}$ . Clearly, it is natural to assume that  $\pi^{IMM}$  decreases with the size of immigration. Denoting by  $IMM_t$  the fraction of immigrant population relative to the original native population in period  $t$ , this implies that  $\forall IMM_t > 0$ ,  $\frac{d\pi_t^{IMM}}{dIMM_t} < 0$ .

Therefore, in presence of immigration, only agents with a latent ability higher than  $\hat{e}_t^{IMM}$  will invest in education, where  $\hat{e}_t^{IMM}$  is defined by:

$$\hat{e}_t^{IMM} = \frac{\pi^{IMM} \lambda_t w(k) + c(1 + r^*)}{\pi^{IMM} \lambda_t w(k)}. \quad (7)$$

Obviously, since  $\pi_t^{IMM} < \pi_t^{NI}$ ,  $\hat{e}_t^{IMM} > \hat{e}_t^{NI}$ . Moreover, since under  $\frac{d\pi_t^{IMM}}{dIMM_t} < 0$ ,  $\frac{d\hat{e}_t^{IMM}}{dIMM_t} > 0$ , the higher is the size of the skilled immigration, the lower is the fraction of the native population that becomes skilled.

To establish whether skilled immigration is growth enhancing or depleting, compare the fraction of educated workers, natives and immigrants, in the host economy in presence of immigration ( $S_t^{IMM}$ ) to the fraction of native educated workers in the absence of immigration ( $S_t^{NI}$ ). With the measure of native agents in period  $t$  normalized to one and with the fraction of immigrant population relative to the original native population denoted by  $IMM_t$ , for given  $\hat{e}_t^F$ ,  $\hat{e}_t^{NI}$  and  $\hat{e}_t^{IMM}$ , the percentages of educated workers in the absence or in presence of immigration are, respectively:

$$S_t^{NI} = \int_{\hat{e}_t^{NI}}^E g(e^i) de^i \quad (8)$$

and

$$S_t^{IMM} = \frac{\int_{\hat{e}_t^{IMM}}^E g(e^i) de^i + \int_{\hat{e}_t^F}^E g(e^i) de^i}{1 + IMM_t}. \quad (9)$$

Comparing  $S_t^{IMM}$  from Eq. (8) to  $S_t^{NI}$  from Eq. (9) allows us to establish that

**Proposition 1:** *Skilled immigration is growth enhancing if:*

$$\int_{e_t^F}^E g(e^j) de^j > (1 + IMM_t) \int_{e_t^{NI}}^E g(e^i) de^i - \int_{e_t^{IMM}}^E g(e^i) de^i . \quad (10)$$

Otherwise, highly skilled immigration is growth depleting and the host economy loses from the arrival of imported brains.

### 3. Conclusion

This work distinguishes two opposite growth effects of a highly skilled immigration. I point to the uncertainty regarding the labor market opportunities for the native population in the destination country due to the arrival of a sizable mass of foreign competitors. I further show that a highly skilled immigration can be either growth enhancing if the positive contribution of imported brains to the host economy's human capital stock outweighs the immigration-induced adverse effect on educational incentives for natives, or growth depleting if the latter effect dominates.

### References

- Azarnert, L.V., 2008. Foreign aid, fertility and human capital accumulation. *Economica* 75, 766–781
- Azarnert, L.V., 2010a. Free education, fertility and human capital accumulation. *Journal of Population Economics* 23, 449–468
- Azarnert, L.V., 2010b. Après nous le déluge: fertility and intensity of struggle against immigration. *Journal of Population Economics* (forthcoming)
- Beine, M., Docquier, F., Rapoport, H., 2008. Brain drain and human capital formation in developing countries: Winners and losers. *Economic Journal* 118, 631–652
- Borjas, G.J., 2003. The labor demand curve is downward sloping: Reexamining the impact of immigration in the labor market. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118, 1335–1374
- Borjas, G.J., 2005. The labor-market impact of high-skill immigration. *American Economic Review* 95, 65–60



- Borjas, G.J., 2007 Do foreign students crowd out native students from graduate programs? In: Ehrenberg RG, Stephan PE (eds.) Science and the University, University of Wisconsin Press, pp. 134–149
- Borjas, G.J., 2009. Immigration in high-skill labor markets: the impact of foreign students on earnings of doctorates. In: Freeman RB, Goroff DL (eds.) Science and Engineering Careers in the United States, University of Chicago Press
- Dustmann, C., Preston, I., 2006. Is immigration good or bad for the economy? Analysis of attitudinal responses. *Research in Labor Economics* 24, 3–34
- Fan, C.S., Stark, O., 2007. International migration and "educated unemployment". *Journal of Development Economic* 83, 76–87
- Mountford, A., 1997. Can a brain drain be good for growth in the source economy? *Journal of Development Economic* 53, 287–303

**Bar-Ilan University**  
**Department of Economics**  
**WORKING PAPERS**

---

- 1-01 **The Optimal Size for a Minority**  
Hillel Rapoport and Avi Weiss, January 2001.
- 2-01 **An Application of a Switching Regimes Regression to the Study of Urban Structure**  
Gershon Alperovich and Joseph Deutsch, January 2001.
- 3-01 **The Kuznets Curve and the Impact of Various Income Sources on the Link Between Inequality and Development**  
Joseph Deutsch and Jacques Silber, February 2001.
- 4-01 **International Asset Allocation: A New Perspective**  
Abraham Lioui and Patrice Poncet, February 2001.
- 5-01 **מודל המועדון והקהילה החרדית**  
יעקב רוזנברג, פברואר 2001.
- 6-01 **Multi-Generation Model of Immigrant Earnings: Theory and Application**  
Gil S. Epstein and Tikva Lecker, February 2001.
- 7-01 **Shattered Rails, Ruined Credit: Financial Fragility and Railroad Operations in the Great Depression**  
Daniel A. Schiffman, February 2001.
- 8-01 **Cooperation and Competition in a Duopoly R&D Market**  
Damiano Bruno Silipo and Avi Weiss, March 2001.
- 9-01 **A Theory of Immigration Amnesties**  
Gil S. Epstein and Avi Weiss, April 2001.
- 10-01 **Dynamic Asset Pricing With Non-Redundant Forwards**  
Abraham Lioui and Patrice Poncet, May 2001.
- 11-01 **Macroeconomic and Labor Market Impact of Russian Immigration in Israel**  
Sarit Cohen and Chang-Tai Hsieh, May 2001.

Electronic versions of the papers are available at  
[http://www.biu.ac.il/soc/ec/wp/working\\_papers.html](http://www.biu.ac.il/soc/ec/wp/working_papers.html)

- 12-01 **Network Topology and the Efficiency of Equilibrium**  
Igal Milchtaich, June 2001.
- 13-01 **General Equilibrium Pricing of Trading Strategy Risk**  
Abraham Lioui and Patrice Poncet, July 2001.
- 14-01 **Social Conformity and Child Labor**  
Shirit Katav-Herz, July 2001.
- 15-01 **Determinants of Railroad Capital Structure, 1830–1885**  
Daniel A. Schiffman, July 2001.
- 16-01 **Political-Legal Institutions and the Railroad Financing Mix, 1885–1929**  
Daniel A. Schiffman, September 2001.
- 17-01 **Macroeconomic Instability, Migration, and the Option Value of Education**  
Eliakim Katz and Hillel Rapoport, October 2001.
- 18-01 **Property Rights, Theft, and Efficiency: The Biblical Waiver of Fines in the Case of Confessed Theft**  
Eliakim Katz and Jacob Rosenberg, November 2001.
- 19-01 **Ethnic Discrimination and the Migration of Skilled Labor**  
Frédéric Docquier and Hillel Rapoport, December 2001.
- 1-02 **Can Vocational Education Improve the Wages of Minorities and Disadvantaged Groups? The Case of Israel**  
Shoshana Neuman and Adrian Ziderman, February 2002.
- 2-02 **What Can the Price Gap between Branded and Private Label Products Tell Us about Markups?**  
Robert Barsky, Mark Bergen, Shantanu Dutta, and Daniel Levy, March 2002.
- 3-02 **Holiday Price Rigidity and Cost of Price Adjustment**  
Daniel Levy, Georg Müller, Shantanu Dutta, and Mark Bergen, March 2002.
- 4-02 **Computation of Completely Mixed Equilibrium Payoffs**  
Igal Milchtaich, March 2002.
- 5-02 **Coordination and Critical Mass in a Network Market – An Experimental Evaluation**  
Amir Etziony and Avi Weiss, March 2002.

- 6-02 **Inviting Competition to Achieve Critical Mass**  
Amir Etziony and Avi Weiss, April 2002.
- 7-02 **Credibility, Pre-Production and Inviting Competition in a Network Market**  
Amir Etziony and Avi Weiss, April 2002.
- 8-02 **Brain Drain and LDCs' Growth: Winners and Losers**  
Michel Beine, Frédéric Docquier, and Hillel Rapoport, April 2002.
- 9-02 **Heterogeneity in Price Rigidity: Evidence from a Case Study Using Micro-Level Data**  
Daniel Levy, Shantanu Dutta, and Mark Bergen, April 2002.
- 10-02 **Price Flexibility in Channels of Distribution: Evidence from Scanner Data**  
Shantanu Dutta, Mark Bergen, and Daniel Levy, April 2002.
- 11-02 **Acquired Cooperation in Finite-Horizon Dynamic Games**  
Igal Milchtaich and Avi Weiss, April 2002.
- 12-02 **Cointegration in Frequency Domain**  
Daniel Levy, May 2002.
- 13-02 **Which Voting Rules Elicit Informative Voting?**  
Ruth Ben-Yashar and Igal Milchtaich, May 2002.
- 14-02 **Fertility, Non-Altruism and Economic Growth: Industrialization in the Nineteenth Century**  
Elise S. Brezis, October 2002.
- 15-02 **Changes in the Recruitment and Education of the Power Elites in Twentieth Century Western Democracies**  
Elise S. Brezis and François Crouzet, November 2002.
- 16-02 **On the Typical Spectral Shape of an Economic Variable**  
Daniel Levy and Hashem Dezhbakhsh, December 2002.
- 17-02 **International Evidence on Output Fluctuation and Shock Persistence**  
Daniel Levy and Hashem Dezhbakhsh, December 2002.
- 1-03 **Topological Conditions for Uniqueness of Equilibrium in Networks**  
Igal Milchtaich, March 2003.
- 2-03 **Is the Feldstein-Horioka Puzzle Really a Puzzle?**  
Daniel Levy, June 2003.

- 3-03 **Growth and Convergence across the US: Evidence from County-Level Data**  
Matthew Higgins, Daniel Levy, and Andrew Young, June 2003.
- 4-03 **Economic Growth and Endogenous Intergenerational Altruism**  
Hillel Rapoport and Jean-Pierre Vidal, June 2003.
- 5-03 **Remittances and Inequality: A Dynamic Migration Model**  
Frédéric Docquier and Hillel Rapoport, June 2003.
- 6-03 **Sigma Convergence Versus Beta Convergence: Evidence from U.S. County-Level Data**  
Andrew T. Young, Matthew J. Higgins, and Daniel Levy, September 2003.
- 7-03 **Managerial and Customer Costs of Price Adjustment: Direct Evidence from Industrial Markets**  
Mark J. Zbaracki, Mark Ritson, Daniel Levy, Shantanu Dutta, and Mark Bergen, September 2003.
- 8-03 **First and Second Best Voting Rules in Committees**  
Ruth Ben-Yashar and Igal Milchtaich, October 2003.
- 9-03 **Shattering the Myth of Costless Price Changes: Emerging Perspectives on Dynamic Pricing**  
Mark Bergen, Shantanu Dutta, Daniel Levy, Mark Ritson, and Mark J. Zbaracki, November 2003.
- 1-04 **Heterogeneity in Convergence Rates and Income Determination across U.S. States: Evidence from County-Level Data**  
Andrew T. Young, Matthew J. Higgins, and Daniel Levy, January 2004.
- 2-04 **“The Real Thing:” Nominal Price Rigidity of the Nickel Coke, 1886-1959**  
Daniel Levy and Andrew T. Young, February 2004.
- 3-04 **Network Effects and the Dynamics of Migration and Inequality: Theory and Evidence from Mexico**  
David Mckenzie and Hillel Rapoport, March 2004.
- 4-04 **Migration Selectivity and the Evolution of Spatial Inequality**  
Ravi Kanbur and Hillel Rapoport, March 2004.
- 5-04 **Many Types of Human Capital and Many Roles in U.S. Growth: Evidence from County-Level Educational Attainment Data**  
Andrew T. Young, Daniel Levy and Matthew J. Higgins, March 2004.

- 6-04 **When Little Things Mean a Lot: On the Inefficiency of Item Pricing Laws**  
Mark Bergen, Daniel Levy, Sourav Ray, Paul H. Rubin and Benjamin Zeliger,  
May 2004.
- 7-04 **Comparative Statics of Altruism and Spite**  
Igal Milchtaich, June 2004.
- 8-04 **Asymmetric Price Adjustment in the Small: An Implication of Rational Inattention**  
Daniel Levy, Haipeng (Allan) Chen, Sourav Ray and Mark Bergen, July 2004.
- 1-05 **Private Label Price Rigidity during Holiday Periods**  
Georg Müller, Mark Bergen, Shantanu Dutta and Daniel Levy, March 2005.
- 2-05 **Asymmetric Wholesale Pricing: Theory and Evidence**  
Sourav Ray, Haipeng (Allan) Chen, Mark Bergen and Daniel Levy,  
March 2005.
- 3-05 **Beyond the Cost of Price Adjustment: Investments in Pricing Capital**  
Mark Zbaracki, Mark Bergen, Shantanu Dutta, Daniel Levy and Mark Ritson,  
May 2005.
- 4-05 **Explicit Evidence on an Implicit Contract**  
Andrew T. Young and Daniel Levy, June 2005.
- 5-05 **Popular Perceptions and Political Economy in the Contrived World of Harry Potter**  
Avichai Snir and Daniel Levy, September 2005.
- 6-05 **Growth and Convergence across the US: Evidence from County-Level Data (revised version)**  
Matthew J. Higgins, Daniel Levy, and Andrew T. Young , September 2005.
- 1-06 **Sigma Convergence Versus Beta Convergence: Evidence from U.S. County-Level Data (revised version)**  
Andrew T. Young, Matthew J. Higgins, and Daniel Levy, June 2006.
- 2-06 **Price Rigidity and Flexibility: Recent Theoretical Developments**  
Daniel Levy, September 2006.
- 3-06 **The Anatomy of a Price Cut: Discovering Organizational Sources of the Costs of Price Adjustment**  
Mark J. Zbaracki, Mark Bergen, and Daniel Levy, September 2006.

- 4-06 **Holiday Non-Price Rigidity and Cost of Adjustment**  
Georg Müller, Mark Bergen, Shantanu Dutta, and Daniel Levy.  
September 2006.
- 2008-01 **Weighted Congestion Games With Separable Preferences**  
Igal Milchtaich, October 2008.
- 2008-02 **Federal, State, and Local Governments: Evaluating their Separate Roles in US Growth**  
Andrew T. Young, Daniel Levy, and Matthew J. Higgins, December 2008.
- 2008-03 **Political Profit and the Invention of Modern Currency**  
Dror Goldberg, December 2008.
- 2008-04 **Static Stability in Games**  
Igal Milchtaich, December 2008.
- 2008-05 **Comparative Statics of Altruism and Spite**  
Igal Milchtaich, December 2008.
- 2008-06 **Abortion and Human Capital Accumulation: A Contribution to the Understanding of the Gender Gap in Education**  
Leonid V. Azarnert, December 2008.
- 2008-07 **Involuntary Integration in Public Education, Fertility and Human Capital**  
Leonid V. Azarnert, December 2008.
- 2009-01 **Inter-Ethnic Redistribution and Human Capital Investments**  
Leonid V. Azarnert, January 2009.
- 2009-02 **Group Specific Public Goods, Orchestration of Interest Groups and Free Riding**  
Gil S. Epstein and Yosef Mealem, January 2009.
- 2009-03 **Holiday Price Rigidity and Cost of Price Adjustment**  
Daniel Levy, Haipeng Chen, Georg Müller, Shantanu Dutta, and Mark Bergen,  
February 2009.
- 2009-04 **Legal Tender**  
Dror Goldberg, April 2009.
- 2009-05 **The Tax-Foundation Theory of Fiat Money**  
Dror Goldberg, April 2009.

- 2009-06      **The Inventions and Diffusion of Hyperinflatable Currency**  
Dror Goldberg, April 2009.
- 2009-07      **The Rise and Fall of America's First Bank**  
Dror Goldberg, April 2009.
- 2009-08      **Judicial Independence and the Validity of Controverted Elections**  
Raphaël Franck, April 2009.
- 2009-09      **A General Index of Inherent Risk**  
Adi Schnytzer and Sara Westreich, April 2009.
- 2009-10      **Measuring the Extent of Inside Trading in Horse Betting Markets**  
Adi Schnytzer, Martien Lamers and Vasiliki Makropoulou, April 2009.
- 2009-11      **The Impact of Insider Trading on Forecasting in a Bookmakers' Horse Betting Market**  
Adi Schnytzer, Martien Lamers and Vasiliki Makropoulou, April 2009.
- 2009-12      **Foreign Aid, Fertility and Population Growth: Evidence from Africa**  
Leonid V. Azarnert, April 2009.
- 2009-13      **A Reevaluation of the Role of Family in Immigrants' Labor Market Activity: Evidence from a Comparison of Single and Married Immigrants**  
Sarit Cohen-Goldner, Chemi Gotlibovski and Nava Kahana, May 2009.
- 2009-14      **The Efficient and Fair Approval of "Multiple-Cost-Single-Benefit" Projects Under Unilateral Information**  
Nava Kahanaa, Yosef Mealem and Shmuel Nitzan, May 2009.
- 2009-15      **Après nous le Déluge: Fertility and the Intensity of Struggle against Immigration**  
Leonid V. Azarnert, June 2009.
- 2009-16      **Is Specialization Desirable in Committee Decision Making?**  
Ruth Ben-Yashar, Winston T.H. Koh and Shmuel Nitzan, June 2009.
- 2009-17      **Framing-Based Choice: A Model of Decision-Making Under Risk**  
Kobi Kriesler and Shmuel Nitzan, June 2009.
- 2009-18      **Demystifying the 'Metric Approach to Social Compromise with the Unanimity Criterion'**  
Shmuel Nitzan, June 2009.



- 2009-19      **On the Robustness of Brain Gain Estimates**  
Michel Beine, Frédéric Docquier and Hillel Rapoport, July 2009.
- 2009-20      **Wage Mobility in Israel: The Effect of Sectoral Concentration**  
Ana Rute Cardoso, Shoshana Neuman and Adrian Ziderman, July 2009.
- 2009-21      **Intermittent Employment: Work Histories of Israeli Men and Women, 1983–1995**  
Shoshana Neuman and Adrian Ziderman, July 2009.
- 2009-22      **National Aggregates and Individual Disaffiliation: An International Study**  
Pablo Brañas-Garza, Teresa García-Muñoz and Shoshana Neuman, July 2009.
- 2009-23      **The Big Carrot: High-Stakes Incentives Revisited**  
Pablo Brañas-Garza, Teresa García-Muñoz and Shoshana Neuman, July 2009.
- 2009-24      **The Why, When and How of Immigration Amnesties**  
Gil S. Epstein and Avi Weiss, September 2009.
- 2009-25      **Documenting the Brain Drain of «la Crème de la Crème»: Three Case-Studies on International Migration at the Upper Tail of the Education Distribution**  
Frédéric Docquier and Hillel Rapoport, October 2009.
- 2009-26      **Remittances and the Brain Drain Revisited: The Microdata Show That More Educated Migrants Remit More**  
Albert Bollard, David McKenzie, Melanie Morten and Hillel Rapoport, October 2009.
- 2009-27      **Implementability of Correlated and Communication Equilibrium Outcomes in Incomplete Information Games**  
Igal Milchtaich, November 2009.
- 2010-01      **The Ultimatum Game and Expected Utility Maximization – In View of Attachment Theory**  
Shaul Almakias and Avi Weiss, January 2010.
- 2010-02      **A Model of Fault Allocation in Contract Law – Moving From Dividing Liability to Dividing Costs**  
Osnat Jacobi and Avi Weiss, January 2010.

- 2010-03      **Coordination and Critical Mass in a Network Market: An Experimental Investigation**  
Bradley J. Ruffle, Avi Weiss and Amir Etziony, February 2010.
- 2010-04      **Immigration, fertility and human capital: A model of economic decline of the West**  
Leonid V. Azarnert, April 2010.
- 2010-05      **Is Skilled Immigration Always Good for Growth in the Receiving Economy?**  
Leonid V. Azarnert, April 2010.
- 2010-06      **The Effect of Limited Search Ability on the Quality of Competitive Rent-Seeking Clubs**  
Shmuel Nitzan and Kobi Kriesler, April 2010.
- 2010-07      **Condorcet vs. Borda in Light of a Dual Majoritarian Approach**  
Eyal Baharad and Shmuel Nitzan, April 2010.
- 2010-08      **Prize Sharing in Collective Contests**  
Shmuel Nitzan and Kaoru Ueda, April 2010.