

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Berti, Patrizia; Pratelli, Luca; Rigo, Pietro

Working Paper

Finitely Additive Equivalent Martingale Measures

Quaderni di Dipartimento, No. 123

Provided in Cooperation with:

University of Pavia, Department of Economics and Quantitative Methods (EPMQ)

Suggested Citation: Berti, Patrizia; Pratelli, Luca; Rigo, Pietro (2010): Finitely Additive Equivalent Martingale Measures, Quaderni di Dipartimento, No. 123, Università degli Studi di Pavia, Dipartimento di Economia Politica e Metodi Quantitativi (EPMQ), Pavia

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/95312

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Quaderni di Dipartimento

Finitely Additive Equivalent Martingale Measures

Patrizia Berti (Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia)

> Luca Pratelli (Accademia Navale di Livorno)

> > Pietro Rigo (Università di Pavia)

> > > # 123 (09-10)

Dipartimento di economia politica e metodi quantitativi Università degli studi di Pavia Via San Felice, 5 I-27100 Pavia

Settembre 2010

FINITELY ADDITIVE EQUIVALENT MARTINGALE MEASURES

PATRIZIA BERTI, LUCA PRATELLI, AND PIETRO RIGO

ABSTRACT. Let L be a linear space of real bounded random variables on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P_0)$. There is a finitely additive probability P on \mathcal{A} , such that $P \sim P_0$ and $E_P(X) = 0$ for all $X \in L$, if and only if $c E_Q(X) \leq \operatorname{ess\ sup}(-X), \ X \in L$, for some constant c > 0 and (countably additive) probability Q on \mathcal{A} such that $Q \sim P_0$. A necessary condition for such a P to exist is $L - L_\infty^+ \cap L_\infty^+ = \{0\}$, where the closure is in the norm-topology. If P_0 is atomic, the condition is sufficient as well. In addition, there is a finitely additive probability P on \mathcal{A} , such that $P \ll P_0$ and $E_P(X) = 0$ for all $X \in L$, if and only if $\operatorname{ess\ sup}(X) \geq 0$ for all $X \in L$.

1. Introduction

Throughout, L is a linear space of real bounded random variables on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P_0)$. The abbreviation "f.a.p." stands for *finitely additive probability*. Let \mathbb{P} denote the set of f.a.p.'s on \mathcal{A} and $\mathbb{P}_0 \subset \mathbb{P}$ the subset of countably additive members of \mathbb{P} . In particular, $P_0 \in \mathbb{P}_0$.

We aim to give conditions for the existence of $P \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

(1)
$$P \sim P_0$$
 and $E_P(X) = 0$ for each $X \in L$,

or such that

(2)
$$P \ll P_0$$
 and $E_P(X) = 0$ for each $X \in L$.

As usual, $P \sim P_0$ means that P and P_0 have the same null sets, while $P \ll P_0$ stands for P(A) = 0 whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P_0(A) = 0$.

Under (1) or (2), P is called an (equivalent or absolutely continuous) martingale f.a.p.. It is called an (equivalent or absolutely continuous) martingale measure in case $P \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and (1) or (2) hold. The term "martingale" is motivated as follows. Let $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t : t \in T)$ be a filtration and $S = (S_t : t \in T)$ a real \mathcal{F} -adapted process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P_0)$, where $T \subset \mathbb{R}$ is any index set. Suppose S_t a bounded random variable for each $t \in T$ and define

$$L(\mathcal{F}, S) = \operatorname{Span} \{ I_A (S_t - S_s) : s, t \in T, s < t, A \in \mathcal{F}_s \}.$$

If $P \in \mathbb{P}_0$, then S is a P-martingale (with respect to \mathcal{F}) if and only if $E_P(X) = 0$ for all $X \in L(\mathcal{F}, S)$. If $P \in \mathbb{P}$ but $P \notin \mathbb{P}_0$, it looks natural to define S a P-martingale in case $E_P(X) = 0$ for all $X \in L(\mathcal{F}, S)$. In this sense, a f.a.p. P satisfying (1) or (2) is of the martingale type.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 60A05, 60A10, 28C05, 91B25, 91G10.

Key words and phrases. Arbitrage, de Finetti's coherence principle, equivalent martingale measure, finitely additive probability, fundamental theorem of asset pricing.

Why to look for martingale f.a.p.'s? We try to answer this question by four (non independent) remarks.

- (i) Dating from de Finetti, the finitely additive theory of probability is well founded and developed, even if not prevailing. F.a.p.'s can be always extended to the power set and have a solid motivation in terms of coherence; see Section 2. Also, there are problems which can not be solved in the usual countably additive setting, while admit a finitely additive solution. Examples are in conditional probability, convergence in distribution of non measurable random elements, Bayesian statistics, stochastic integration and the first digit problem. See e.g. [4] and references therein. Moreover, in the finitely additive approach, one can clearly use σ -additive evaluations. Merely, one is not obliged to do so.
- (ii) Martingale measures play a role in various financial frameworks. Their economic motivations, however, do not depend on whether they are σ -additive or not. See e.g. Chapter 1 of [8]. In option pricing, for instance, martingale f.a.p.'s give free-arbitrage prices, precisely as their σ -additive counterparts. Note also that many underlying ideas, in arbitrage price theory, were anticipated by de Finetti and Ramsey.
- (iii) It may be that conditions (1) or (2) fail for each $P \in \mathbb{P}_0$ but hold for some $P \in \mathbb{P}$. This actually happens in some classical examples; see Examples 6 and 7. In addition, existence of martingale f.a.p.'s (both equivalent and absolutely continuous) can be given a simple characterization; see Theorem 3.
- (iv) Investigating (1)-(2) is natural from the functional analytic point of view. For instance, a necessary condition for the existence of equivalent martingale f.a.p.'s is $\overline{L-L_{\infty}^+} \cap L_{\infty}^+ = \{0\}$, with the closure in the *norm-topology* of L_{∞} . Such a condition is sufficient as well in case P_0 is atomic; see Theorem 8. Recall that $\overline{L-L_{\infty}^+} \cap L_{\infty}^+ = \{0\}$, with the closure in the *weak-star topology* of L_{∞} , is necessary and sufficient for the existence of equivalent martingale measures; see [10] and [13].

We next state our main result (Theorem 3). Define

ess
$$\sup(X) = \inf\{a \in \mathbb{R} : P_0(X > a) = 0\} = \inf\{\sup_A X : A \in \mathcal{A}, P_0(A) = 1\},$$

 $\|X\|_{\infty} = \max\{\text{ess sup}(X), \text{ess sup}(-X)\},$

for each essentially bounded random variable X. There is an equivalent martingale f.a.p. if and only if

(3) there are
$$Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$$
, $Q \sim P_0$, and a constant $c > 0$ such that $c E_Q(X) \le \operatorname{ess\ sup}(-X)$ for each $X \in L$.

In addition, there is an absolutely continuous martingale f.a.p. if and only if

(4)
$$\operatorname{ess\ sup}(X) \ge 0 \quad \text{for each } X \in L.$$

Condition (4) has a transparent meaning. Even if more subtle, condition (3) is essentially an internality constraint. In a suitable financial framework, the quantity ess $\sup(-X)$ can be interpreted as "the maximum loss"; see Example 4.1 of [7]. Note also that, in testing whether (3) holds, one can tentatively let $Q = P_0$.

Condition (3) is automatically true in case

(5)
$$\operatorname{ess sup}(X) \le c^* \operatorname{ess sup}(-X), \quad X \in L, ||X||_{\infty} = 1,$$

for some constant $c^* > 0$. Suppose in fact (5) holds and let $c = 1/c^*$, $Q = P_0$ and $X \in L$. Condition (3) trivially holds if $||X||_{\infty} = 0$. And, if $||X||_{\infty} > 0$, one obtains

$$c E_{P_0}(X) \le c \operatorname{ess sup}(X) = c \|X\|_{\infty} \operatorname{ess sup}\left(\frac{X}{\|X\|_{\infty}}\right)$$

 $\le \|X\|_{\infty} \operatorname{ess sup}\left(\frac{-X}{\|X\|_{\infty}}\right) = \operatorname{ess sup}(-X).$

A further remark concerns the *no-arbitrage* condition

(6)
$$P_0(X > 0) > 0 \iff P_0(X < 0) > 0 \text{ for each } X \in L.$$

It turns out that

$$(1) \Longrightarrow (6) \Longrightarrow (2)$$
 while the converse implications are not true,

where (1) and (2) are meant to hold for some $P \in \mathbb{P}$. In particular, no-arbitrage implies existence of an absolutely continuous martingale f.a.p. (but not necessarily of an absolutely continuous martingale measure; see Example 6).

In fact, $(1) \Rightarrow (6)$ follows from the representation

$$P = \alpha P_1 + (1 - \alpha) Q$$
 where $\alpha \in [0, 1), P_1 \in \mathbb{P}, Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and $Q \sim P_0$,

which can be given to any equivalent martingale f.a.p. P; see Theorem 3. Since (6) trivially implies (4) and (4) \Leftrightarrow (2), then (6) \Rightarrow (2). Example 6 exhibits a situation where (6) holds and (1) fails. And an example where (2) holds and (6) fails is $\Omega = \{1, 2, \ldots\}, P_0\{\omega\} = 2^{-\omega}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and L the linear span of $X(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega}$.

A last note deals with the assumption that L consists of bounded random variables. Even if strong, such an assumption can not be dropped. In fact, while de Finetti's coherence principle (our main tool) can be extended to unbounded random variables, the extensions are very far from granting an integral representation; see [2], [3] and references therein.

2. DE FINETTI'S COHERENCE PRINCIPLE

Given any set S, let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denote the power set of S and $l^{\infty}(S)$ the collection of real bounded functions on S. We write $E_P(X) = \int X dP$ whenever $X \in l^{\infty}(S)$ and P is a f.a.p. on $\mathcal{P}(S)$. Since X is the uniform limit of a sequence of simple functions, the integral $\int X dP$ can be meant essentially in the usual sense; see [5] for details.

We briefly recall the notion of coherence. For more information, as well as for some extensions (including conditional coherence), we refer to [2], [3], [11], [12] and references therein.

Let $D \subset l^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $E: D \to \mathbb{R}$. According to de Finetti, E is coherent in case

$$\sup \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \left\{ X_i - E(X_i) \right\} \ge 0$$

for all $n \geq 1, c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in D$. Heuristically, suppose E describes your previsions on members of D. If E is coherent, it is impossible to make you a sure looser, whatever $\omega \in \Omega$ turns out to be true, by some finite combinations of bets (on X_1, \ldots, X_n with stakes c_1, \ldots, c_n).

Say that E is internal in case $\inf X \leq E(X) \leq \sup X$ for all $X \in D$. If D is a linear space, E is coherent if and only if it is linear and internal. Also, internality

reduces to $E(X) \leq \sup X$ for all $X \in D$, provided D is a linear space and E a linear functional.

A coherent map E can be coherently extended to $l^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Suppose in fact E is coherent. It is not hard to see that E can be extended to a linear internal functional E_1 on the linear space spanned by D. In turn, by Hahn-Banach theorem, E_1 can be extended to a linear internal functional E_2 on $l^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Note that, letting $P(A) = E_2(I_A)$ for all $A \subset \Omega$, one obtains a f.a.p. P on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. As simple functions are dense in $l^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the sup-norm, one also obtains $E_2(X) = \int X \, dP$ for all $X \in l^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Thus, $E: D \to \mathbb{R}$ is coherent if and only if

$$E(X) = \int X dP = E_P(X), \quad X \in D,$$

for some f.a.p. P on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. This is, according to us, the more transparent way of thinking of coherence.

We next give a couple of lemmas. The first is essentially known (see Section 10.3 of [5]) but we prove it to keep the paper self-contained. Say that $P \in \mathbb{P}$ is *pure* in case it does not have a non trivial σ -additive part, that is

if Γ is a σ -additive measure on \mathcal{A} and $0 < \Gamma < P$, then $\Gamma = 0$.

By a result of Yosida-Hewitt, any $P \in \mathbb{P}$ can be written as

$$P = \alpha P_1 + (1 - \alpha) Q$$

where $\alpha \in [0,1]$, $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ is pure (unless $\alpha = 0$) and $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$.

Lemma 1. Let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be such that $P \ll P_0$. Then, P is pure if and only if there is a countable partition H_1, H_2, \ldots of Ω such that $H_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $P(H_n) = 0$ for all n.

Proof. The "if" part is trivial. Suppose P is pure. It suffices to prove that, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with P(A) = 0 and $P_0(A^c) < \epsilon$. In this case, in fact, there is an increasing sequence $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \ldots$ satisfying $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $P(A_n) = 0$ and $P_0(A_n^c) < 1/n$ for all $n \ge 1$. Let $B = (\bigcup_n A_n)^c$, $H_1 = A_1 \cup B$, and $H_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$ for n > 1. Then, H_1, H_2, \ldots is a partition of Ω in \mathcal{A} and $P(H_n) = 0$ for n > 1. Also, $P(H_1) = P(B) = 0$ since $P \ll P_0$ and $P_0(B) = 0$. Next, fix $\epsilon > 0$, and define

$$\Gamma(A) = \inf\{P(B) + P_0(A \setminus B) : B \in \mathcal{A}, B \subset A\}, A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is straightforward to check that Γ is a finitely additive measure on \mathcal{A} . Since $P_0 \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and $0 \leq \Gamma \leq P_0$, then Γ is σ -additive. Since P is pure and $0 \leq \Gamma \leq P$, then $\Gamma = 0$. Hence, for each $n \geq 1$, there is $B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $P(B_n) + P_0(B_n^c) < \epsilon / 2^n$. Let $A = \cap_n B_n$. Then, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, P(A) = 0 and $P_0(A^c) \leq \sum_n P_0(B_n^c) < \epsilon$.

The second lemma is fundamental for our main results. It is connected to Lemma 1 of [9].

Lemma 2. Let $D \subset l^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a linear space, $E : D \to \mathbb{R}$ a linear functional, and \mathcal{E} a class of subsets of Ω such that $A \cap B \in \mathcal{E}$ whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$. There is a f.a.p. P on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$E(X) = E_P(X)$$
 and $P(A) = 1$ for all $X \in D$ and $A \in \mathcal{E}$

if and only if

(7)
$$\sup_{A} X \ge E(X) \quad \text{for all } X \in D \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. The "only if" part is trivial. Suppose (7) holds and fix $A \in \mathcal{E}$. If $X, Y \in D$ and X = Y on A, then (7) implies E(X) = E(Y). Hence, one can define $\phi(X|A) = E(X)$ for $X \in D$, where X|A denotes the restriction of X to A. By (7), ϕ is a coherent map on $\{X|A:X\in D\}$. Take a f.a.p. P_1 on $\mathcal{P}(A)$ satisfying $\phi(X|A) = \int (X|A) dP_1, X \in D$, and define $P(B) = P_1(A \cap B)$ for $B \subset \Omega$. Then, P is a f.a.p. on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that P(A) = 1 and $E(X) = E_P(X)$ for all $X \in D$. Next, let \mathcal{Z} be the class of [0,1]-valued functions on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. When equipped with the product topology, \mathcal{Z} is compact and

$$F_A = \{ P \in \mathcal{Z} : P \text{ is a f.a.p.}, P(A) = 1, E(X) = E_P(X) \text{ for all } X \in D \}$$

is closed for each $A \subset \Omega$. By what already proved, $F_A \neq \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence, since \mathcal{E} is closed under finite intersections, $\{F_A : A \in \mathcal{E}\}$ has the finite intersection property. It follows that $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{E}} F_A \neq \emptyset$, and this concludes the proof.

3. Equivalent and absolutely continuous martingale f.a.p.'s

Theorem 3.

- (a) There is $P \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $P \ll P_0$ and $E_P(X) = 0$, $X \in L$, if and only if $ess\ sup(X) \geq 0$ for each $X \in L$.
- (b) There is $P \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $P \sim P_0$ and $E_P(X) = 0$, $X \in L$, if and only if condition (3) holds.

Moreover, every equivalent martingale f.a.p. P admits the representation $P = \alpha P_1 + (1 - \alpha) Q$ where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ is pure (unless $\alpha = 0$), $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and $Q \sim P_0$.

Proof. Let $E: L \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : P_0(A) = 1\}$. Since the elements of L are \mathcal{A} -measurable, there is $P \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $P \ll P_0$ and $E_P(X) = E(X)$ for $X \in L$ if and only if there is a f.a.p. T on $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that T(A) = 1 and $E_T(X) = E(X)$ for $A \in \mathcal{E}$ and $X \in L$. Hence, part (a) follows from Lemma 2 applied with D = L and E = 0. As to part (b), suppose condition (3) holds and define D = L and $E(X) = -c E_Q(X)$ for $X \in L$. By (3),

$$E(X) = c E_Q(-X) \le \operatorname{ess\ sup}(X) \le \sup_A X$$
 for all $X \in L$ and $A \in \mathcal{E}$.

By Lemma 2, there is $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $P_1 \ll P_0$ and $E_{P_1}(X) = -c E_Q(X)$ for $X \in L$. Hence, an equivalent martingale f.a.p. is

$$P = \frac{P_1 + cQ}{1 + c}.$$

Next, let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be such that $P \sim P_0$ and $E_P(X) = 0$ for $X \in L$. To get condition (3), it suffices to show that $P = \alpha P_1 + (1 - \alpha) Q$ where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ is pure (unless $\alpha = 0$), $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and $Q \sim P_0$. In fact, suppose that P can be written in this way. If $\alpha = 0$, letting c = 1 and Q = P, one trivially obtains

$$c E_Q(X) = E_P(X) = 0 = E_P(-X) \le \operatorname{ess\ sup}(-X)$$
 for all $X \in L$.

If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, then $P_1 \ll P_0$ so that $E_{P_1}(X) \leq \operatorname{ess\ sup}(X)$ for each bounded random variable X. Let $c = \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$ and $X \in L$. Since $E_P(X) = 0$, one again obtains

$$c E_Q(X) = \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{E_P(X) - \alpha E_{P_1}(X)}{1-\alpha} = -E_{P_1}(X) = E_{P_1}(-X) \le \text{ess sup}(-X).$$

We finally prove that P admits the desired representation. By Yosida-Hewitt's theorem, $P = \alpha P_1 + (1 - \alpha) Q$ with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ pure (unless $\alpha = 0$) and $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$. Let H_1, H_2, \ldots be a countable partition of Ω in \mathcal{A} . Since $P \sim P_0$, it must be $P(H_n) > 0$ for some n. By Lemma 1, P is not pure. Hence $\alpha < 1$, and this in turn implies $Q \ll P_0$. It remains to show that $Q \sim P_0$. If $\alpha = 0$, then $Q = P \sim P_0$. Suppose $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Let $A = \{f = 0\}$ where f is a density of Q with respect to P_0 . If $P_1(A) = 0$, then $P(A) = (1 - \alpha) Q(A) = 0$, so that $P_0(A) = 0$. Thus, it can be assumed $P_1(A) > 0$. Toward a contradiction, suppose also that $P_0(A) > 0$. In that case, since $P \sim P_0$ and Q(A) = 0, one obtains

$$P_1(\cdot \mid A) \sim P_0(\cdot \mid A)$$
.

Hence, if $H_1, H_2,...$ is as above, it must be $P_1(H_n) \geq P_1(A) P_1(H_n \mid A) > 0$ for some n. Since $P_1 \ll P_0$, Lemma 1 implies that P_1 is not pure, and this is a contradiction. Therefore $P_0(A) = 0$, that is, $Q \sim P_0$. This concludes the proof.

Theorem 3 is our main result. To stress its possible role, we discuss a few (classical) examples. Recall (from Section 1) that $(5) \Rightarrow (3)$.

Example 4. (Finite state space) If Ω is finite, no-arbitrage implies existence of equivalent martingale measures. This well known fact follows trivially from Theorem 3. Indeed, when Ω is finite, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_0$ and L is finite-dimensional. Thus, by Theorem 3, it suffices to show that $(6) \Rightarrow (5)$ if L is finite-dimensional. Regard L as a subspace of L_{∞} , where $L_{\infty} = L_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P_0)$ is equipped with the norm-topology. Define $K = \{X \in L : ||X||_{\infty} = 1\}$ and

$$\phi(X) = \frac{\operatorname{ess\ sup}(X)}{\operatorname{ess\ sup}(-X)} \quad \text{for all}\ X \in K.$$

By (6), $\phi: K \to (0, \infty)$ is well defined and continuous. Since L is finite-dimensional, it is not hard to see that K is compact. Thus, condition (5) holds.

A P_0 -atom is a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P_0(A) > 0$ and $P_0(\cdot \mid A) \in \{0,1\}$, and P_0 is atomic if there is a countable partition A_1, A_2, \ldots of Ω such that A_n is a P_0 -atom for all n.

Example 5. (Atomic P_0) As in Example 4, sometimes, Theorem 3 helps in proving existence of equivalent martingale measures. Suppose P_0 atomic and fix a partition A_1, A_2, \ldots of Ω with each A_n a P_0 -atom. If the A_n are finitely many, we are essentially in the framework of Example 4. Thus, suppose the A_n are infinitely many. Then, there is an equivalent martingale measure if condition (5) holds and

(8)
$$\lim_{n} X | A_n = 0 \quad \text{for all } X \in L.$$

Here, $X|A_n$ denotes the a.s.-constant value of X on A_n . In fact, by (5) and Theorem 3, there is an equivalent martingale f.a.p. P. Write $P = \alpha P_1 + (1 - \alpha) Q$, where $\alpha \in [0,1)$, $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ is pure (unless $\alpha = 0$), $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and $Q \sim P_0$. If $\alpha = 0$, then Q = P is an equivalent martingale measure. Let $\alpha > 0$. If $P_1(A_n) > 0$, since $P_1 \ll P_0$ and $P_0(\cdot \mid A_n)$ is 0-1 valued, one obtains $P_1(\cdot \mid A_n) = P_0(\cdot \mid A_n)$. But this is a contradiction, for $P_1(\cdot \mid A_n)$ is pure. Hence, $P_1(A_n) = 0$ for all $P_1(A_n) = 0$ fo

measure. Finally, suppose (8) fails. Then, there is an equivalent martingale measure provided condition (5) is turned into

(5*) ess
$$\sup(XY) \le c^* \text{ess } \sup(-XY), \quad X \in L, ||XY||_{\infty} = 1,$$

for some constant $c^* > 0$ and bounded random variable Y such that Y > 0 and $\lim_n Y | A_n = 0$. In fact, $\lim_n XY | A_n = 0$ for all $X \in L$, so that condition (5*) yields $E_Q(XY) = 0$, $X \in L$, for some $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$, $Q \sim P_0$. Hence, an equivalent martingale measure is $Q^*(A) = E_Q(YI_A)/E_Q(Y)$, $A \in A$.

Example 6. (An example from [6] revisited) Let $Y_n : \Omega \to \{-1,1\}, n \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots)$. Suppose (Y_n) i.i.d. under P_0 with $0 < P_0(Y_1 = 1) < 1/2$. Also, suppose (Y_n) i.i.d. under Q_0 , where $Q_0 \in \mathbb{P}_0$, with $Q_0(Y_1 = 1) = 1/2$. Define

$$S_0 = 0, \quad S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \quad \mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n), \quad L = L(\mathcal{F}, S),$$

where $L(\mathcal{F}, S)$ has been defined in Section 1.

Since $P_0(Y_1 = y_1, \ldots, Y_n = y_n) > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \{-1, 1\}$, there is no-arbitrage, i.e., condition (6) holds. In addition, if $P \in \mathbb{P}$ is such that $E_P(X) = 0$ for $X \in L$, then $P = Q_0$ on $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$. In fact, $E_P(I_A Y_n) = 0$ yields $P(A \cap \{Y_n = 1\}) = P(A \cap \{Y_n = -1\})$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$. Thus, (Y_n) is i.i.d. under P with $P(Y_1 = 1) = 1/2$.

Since Q_0 is the only member of \mathbb{P}_0 which makes (S_n) a martingale and

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{Q_0 - a.s.} 0$$
 while $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P_0 - a.s.} E_{P_0}(Y_1) < 0$,

there are not absolutely continuous martingale measures. Instead, by Theorem 3 and no-arbitrage, there are absolutely continuous martingale f.a.p.'s. Finally, no equivalent martingale f.a.p. is available. Suppose in fact P is an equivalent martingale f.a.p.. By Theorem 3 and since $E_P(X) = 0$ for $X \in L$,

$$Q_0 = P > (1 - \alpha) Q$$
 on $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$

for some $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$ such that $Q \sim P_0$. Since $Q, Q_0 \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ is a field, it follows that $Q_0 \geq (1-\alpha)Q$ on $\sigma(\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n) = \mathcal{A}$. On noting that $\alpha < 1$, one obtains the contradiction $P_0 \sim Q \ll Q_0$.

Equivalent martingale f.a.p.'s may be available even if equivalent martingale measures fail to exist. As a trivial example, take a pure f.a.p. P_1 such that $P_1 \ll P_0$ (such a P_1 exists for several choices of P_0). Define $P = \frac{P_0 + P_1}{2}$ and

$$L = \{X : X \text{ bounded random variable, } E_P(X) = 0\}.$$

Then, $P \sim P_0$. But for each $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$, since $Q \neq P$, one obtains $E_Q(X) \neq 0$ for some $X \in L$. Here is a less trivial example.

Example 7. (An example from [1] revisited) Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, ...\}$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$, and $P_0\{\omega\} = 2^{-\omega}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. For each $n \geq 0$, define $A_n = \{n+1, n+2, ...\}$. Define also $L = L(\mathcal{F}, S)$, where

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\{1\}, \dots, \{n\}), \quad S_0 = 1, \quad \text{and}$$

$$S_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{2^n} I_{A_n}(\omega) + \frac{\omega^2 + 2\omega + 2}{2^\omega} (1 - I_{A_n}(\omega)) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

As shown in [1], no $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$ satisfies $E_Q(X) = 0$ for all $X \in L$. However, equivalent martingale f.a.p.'s are available. Define in fact $Q\{\omega\} = \frac{1}{\omega} - \frac{1}{\omega+1}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Then, $Q \in \mathbb{P}_0$ and $Q \sim P_0$. Since $S_{n+1} = S_n$ on A_n^c , each $X \in L(\mathcal{F}, S)$ can be written as

$$X = \sum_{j=0}^{k} b_j I_{A_j} (S_{j+1} - S_j)$$

for some $k \geq 0$ and $b_0, \ldots, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$. On noting that $X = -\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{b_j}{2^{j+1}}$ on A_{k+1} , one obtains

$$E_Q(X) = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{b_j}{2^{j+1}} \left\{ \left((j+1)^2 + 2(j+1) \right) Q\{j+1\} - Q(A_{j+1}) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{b_j}{2^{j+1}} \left\{ \frac{(j+1)^2 + 2(j+1)}{(j+1)(j+2)} - \frac{1}{(j+2)} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{b_j}{2^{j+1}} \le \sup(-X) = \operatorname{ess sup}(-X).$$

Therefore, condition (3) holds (with c=1) and Theorem 3 grants the existence of an equivalent martingale f.a.p. P. Incidentally, such a P can be taken of the form $P = \frac{Q+P_1}{2}$, where Q is as above and $P_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ is such that $P_1(A_n) = 1$ for all n. Note also that condition (5) fails in this example.

Finally, we take a functional analytic point of view and we investigate the connections between existence of equivalent martingale f.a.p.'s and measures. Write $U-V=\{u-v:u\in U,v\in V\}$ whenever U,V are subsets of a linear space. Let $L_p=L_p(\Omega,\mathcal{A},P_0)$ for all $p\in[1,\infty]$. We regard L as a subspace of L_∞ and we let $L_\infty^+=\{X\in L_\infty:X\geq 0\}$. Since L_∞ is the dual of L_1 , it can be equipped with the weak-star topology $\sigma(L_\infty,L_1)$. Thus, $\sigma(L_\infty,L_1)$ is the topology on L_∞ generated by the linear functionals $X\mapsto E_{P_0}(XY)$ for $Y\in L_1$.

A classical result of Kreps [10] (see also [13]) states that existence of equivalent martingale measures amounts to

$$\overline{L-L_{\infty}^+} \cap L_{\infty}^+ = \{0\}$$
 with the closure in $\sigma(L_{\infty}, L_1)$.

A (natural) question is what happens if the closure is taken in the norm-topology.

Theorem 8. There is an equivalent martingale f.a.p. if and only if

(9)
$$L_{\infty}^{+} \subset U \cup \{0\} \quad and \quad (L - L_{\infty}^{+}) \cap U = \emptyset$$
 for some norm-open convex set $U \subset L_{\infty}$.

In particular, a necessary condition for the existence of an equivalent martingale f.a.p. is

(10)
$$\overline{L - L_{\infty}^{+}} \cap L_{\infty}^{+} = \{0\} \text{ with the closure in the norm-topology.}$$

If P_0 is atomic, condition (10) is sufficient as well.

Proof. Let L_{∞} be equipped with the norm-topology and

$$V = L - L_{\infty}^+, \quad W = \overline{V} = \overline{L - L_{\infty}^+}, \quad \mathbb{M} = \{ P \in \mathbb{P} : P \ll P_0, E_P(X) = 0 \text{ for } X \in L \}.$$

Suppose P is an equivalent martingale f.a.p. and define

$$U = \{X \in L_{\infty} : E_P(X) > 0\}.$$

Since $E_P(X-Y)=-E_P(Y)\leq 0$ whenever $X\in L$ and $Y\in L_\infty^+$, then $U\cap V=\emptyset$. For each $X\in L_\infty^+$, $X\neq 0$, there is $\epsilon>0$ with $P_0(X\geq \epsilon)>0$, so that

$$E_P(X) = E_P(X^+) \ge \epsilon P(X \ge \epsilon) > 0.$$

Hence, $L_{\infty}^+ \subset U \cup \{0\}$. Further, U is open and convex for the map $X \mapsto E_P(X)$ is linear and continuous. Conversely, suppose condition (9) holds. Since V is convex, U is open convex and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, there is a linear (continuous) functional $f: L_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f(X) > f(Y) for all $X \in U$ and $Y \in V$. Since f > 0 on U (due to $0 \in V$) then f is positive. Since f(1) > 0 (for $1 \in U$) it can be assumed f(1) = 1. By Lemma 2, $f(X) = E_P(X)$, $X \in L_{\infty}$, for some $P \in \mathbb{P}$ with $P \in P_0$. Such a P is an equivalent martingale f.a.p.. In fact, since L is a linear space and $\sup_L f \leq \sup_V f < \infty$, then f = 0 on L. Thus, $P \in \mathbb{M}$. And for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P_0(A) > 0$, one obtains $P(A) = f(I_A) > 0$ for $I_A \in U$. Next, under condition (9), $W = \overline{V} \subset U^c$. Hence, it is obvious that (9) \Rightarrow (10).

Next, under condition (9), $W = \overline{V} \subset U^c$. Hence, it is obvious that (9) \Rightarrow (10). Finally, suppose that (10) holds. Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P_0(A) > 0$. Since $I_A \notin W$, one obtains $f(I_A) > \sup_W f$ for some linear (continuous) functional $f: L_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$. Given $X \in L_\infty^+$, since $-nX \in W$ for all $n \geq 1$, it follows that

$$\sup_{n} \left[-n f(X) \right] = \sup_{n} f(-n X) \le \sup_{W} f < \infty.$$

Hence $f(X) \geq 0$, i.e., f is positive. Since f(1) > 0 (otherwise, f is identically null) it can be assumed f(1) = 1. Again, by Lemma 2, $f(X) = E_P(X)$, $X \in L_\infty$, for some $P \in \mathbb{P}$ with $P \ll P_0$. Since $0 \in W$, then $P(A) = f(I_A) > 0$. Since L is a linear space and $\sup_L f \leq \sup_W f < \infty$, then $E_P(X) = 0$ for all $X \in L$. Summarizing, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $P_0(A) > 0$, there is $P_A \in M$ such that $P_A(A) > 0$. If P_0 is atomic, as we now assume, there is a partition $P_0(A) = P_0(A) = P_0(A$

$$2^{n}P(A) \ge P_{A_{n}}(A \cap A_{n}) = P_{A_{n}}(A_{n}) - P_{A_{n}}(A^{c} \cap A_{n}) = P_{A_{n}}(A_{n}) > 0.$$

Therefore, P is an equivalent martingale f.a.p..

For general P_0 , we do not know whether condition (10) suffices for the existence of equivalent martingale f.a.p.'s. Another open problem is whether condition (5) implies the existence of equivalent martingale measures.

References

- [1] Back K., Pliska S.R. (1991) On the fundamental theorem of asset pricing with an infinite state space, *J. Math. Econ.*, 20, 1-18.
- [2] Berti P., Rigo P. (2000) Integral representation of linear functionals on spaces of unbounded functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128, 3251-3258.
- [3] Berti P., Regazzini E., Rigo P. (2001) Strong previsions of random elements, Statist. Methods Appl., 10, 11-28.
- [4] Berti P., Rigo P. (2004) Convergence in distribution of non measurable random elements, *Ann. Probab.*, 32, 365-379.
- [5] Bhaskara Rao K.P.S., Bhaskara Rao M. (1983) Theory of charges, Academic Press.

- [6] Dalang R., Morton A., Willinger W. (1990) Equivalent martingale measures and no-arbitrage in stochastic securities market models, Stoch. and Stoch. Reports, 29, 185-201.
- [7] Delbaen F. (2002) Coherent risk measures on general probability spaces, Advances in Finance and Stochastics: essays in honour of Dieter Sondermann, Springer, 1-38.
- [8] Delbaen F., Schachermayer W. (2006) The mathematics of arbitrage, Springer.
- [9] Heath D., Sudderth W.D. (1978) On finitely additive priors, coherence and extended admissibility, *Ann. Statist.*, 6, 333-345.
- [10] Kreps D.M. (1981) Arbitrage and equilibrium in economics with infinitely many commodities, *J. Math. Econ.*, 8, 15-35.
- [11] Regazzini E. (1985) Finitely additive conditional probabilities, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano, 55, 69-89. Corrections in: Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano (1987) 57, 599.
- [12] Regazzini E. (1987) de Finetti's coherence and statistical inference, Ann. Statist., 15, 845-864.
- [13] Stricker C. (1990) Arbitrage et lois de martingale, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. et Statist., 26, 451-460.

PATRIZIA BERTI, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA "G. VITALI", UNIVERSITA' DI MODENA E REGGIO-EMILIA, VIA CAMPI 213/B, 41100 MODENA, ITALY

 $E ext{-}mail\ address:$ berti.patrizia@unimore.it

LUCA PRATELLI, ACCADEMIA NAVALE, VIALE ITALIA 72, 57100 LIVORNO, ITALY E-mail address: pratel@mail.dm.unipi.it

PIETRO RIGO (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR), DIPARTIMENTO DI ECONOMIA POLITICA E METODI QUANTITATIVI, UNIVERSITA' DI PAVIA, VIA S. FELICE 5, 27100 PAVIA, ITALY E-mail address: prigo@eco.unipv.it