

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Mönnig, Anke

## Working Paper Balancing the European Monetary Union - an Impact Analysis on the Return of National Currencies

GWS Discussion Paper, No. 2012/8

**Provided in Cooperation with:** GWS - Institute of Economic Structures Research, Osnabrück

*Suggested Citation:* Mönnig, Anke (2012) : Balancing the European Monetary Union - an Impact Analysis on the Return of National Currencies, GWS Discussion Paper, No. 2012/8, Gesellschaft für Wirtschaftliche Strukturforschung (GWS), Osnabrück

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/94384

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

# **Balancing the European Monetary Union**

## an Impact Analysis on the Return of National Currencies

Anke Mönnig



# **Gesellschaft für Wirtschaftliche Strukturforschung mbH** Heinrichstr. 30

D - 49080 Osnabrück

Anke Mönnig ( moennig @ gws-os.com ) Tel.: +49 (541) 40933-210 Fax: +49 (541) 40933-110 Internet: www.gws-os.com This discussion paper **"Balancing the European Currency Union – an Impact Analysis on the Return of National Currencies"** has been presented at the International Conference on Economic Modelling (EcoMod) in Seville, Spain, in July 4-6, 2012.

Osnabrück, July 2012

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION1		
2	TH	E EUROZONE – AN OPTIMAL CURRENCY AREA?	1
3	BR	EAKING-UP THE EURO	3
	3.1	REVISITED: THE OFFICIAL PATH TOWARDS THE EURO	3
	3.2	EXITING THE EURO	4
	3.3	TRANSMISSION CHANNEL	5
4 QUANTIFYING THE RETURN OF NATIONAL CURRENCIES		ANTIFYING THE RETURN OF NATIONAL CURRENCIES	6
	4.1	REVIEW ON LITERATURE	6
	4.2	APPLIED METHODOLOGY	7
5	SIN	IULATING GERMANY'S EMU BREAK-UP	10
	5.1	Assumptions	10
	5.2	MACROECONOMIC RESULTS	11
	5.3	EFFECTS ON INDUSTRIAL LEVEL	13
6	SU	MMARY AND CONCLUSION	14

"Imagine the return of the DM is preposterous" Ulrich Kater, Dekabank [author's translation]

#### **1** INTRODUCTION

Whether projected or neglected, the pure existing of the debate of the survival of the Euro is evidence enough, that the situation is serious. Just recently, Paul Krugman has restated the question whether Europe is not better off without the Euro (Krugman 2012). Prestowitz and Prout (2012) recommended that "instead of pushing Greece out of the Eurozone, Germany should voluntarily withdraw and reissue its beloved deutsche mark" (Prestowitz & Prout, 2012). And in Germany itself, first discussions about the foundation of a new party with its main programme to leave the Euro gave a new spin to the topic.

The current budget crisis prevailing in the European Monetary Union (EMU) and the stumbling efforts of the leading politicians to reconstruct the economic stability in the Eurozone has surfaced arguments that speak in favour of an introduction of national currencies. Apart from national resentments towards the Euro, in the case of Greece, a member of the Eurozone-club was at the edge of being thrown out of the Union due to budgetary misbehaviour. The longer the crises prevail, the stronger might become the arguments for a break-up of the EMU – whether unilateral or in total – with each country having individual motives to do so.

From an economic point of view, leaving the EMU makes sense when the advantages of being a member do no more exceed the disadvantages. This paper tries to investigate for the case of Germany, whether or not a return to a new DM (NDM) would be beneficial.

The quantitative results of the computed projection shows, that a return to a national currency would lower Germany's growth path mainly due to the expected appreciation of the new currency. A second scenario, which assumes a worsening of the crisis within the remaining EMU would intensify the negative implications for Germany. Although the results should be considered with respect to their strong assumptions, consensuses among economists exist that these assumptions might be initiated in case of an EMU break-up. Hence, in the case of Germany, the effort of doing everything to foster the future existing of the monetary union is of utmost importance.

### 2 THE EUROZONE – AN OPTIMAL CURRENCY AREA?

In January 1999, the "revolution for Europe" (Roth 2004: 1) was institutionalized by the creation of the European Monetary Union, and the introduction of a single currency three years later. The objective to remove national currencies was politically motivated, but it did also have economic reasons -- with an increase in transparency and comparability it was thought to foster trade, investments and growth within the EMU and to create a more stable monetary environment.

The current sovereign debt crisis has led to the return of the discussion whether the Eurozone represents an optimal currency area (OCA). The OCA was formulated by Mundell (1961) in order to demonstrate alternative solutions to overcome international disequilibrium systems. Until then, it was common sense to prioritise flexible exchange rate systems over fixed exchange rate systems because they could function as a balancing

device against domestic wage and price rigidities. Mundell (1961) doubted that all economies should flow their exchange rate and hence asked for "the appropriate domain of a currency area" (Mundell 1961: 657).

According to his work, a currency area is defined by its degree of price and wage flexibility. If **prices and wages** are **flexible** enough to outbalance external shocks, the exchange rate as a stabilising instrument could be outsourced (Tomann 2001). In a currency union, a negative external shock would be balanced by a decline in real wages. A fall in real wages would improve international competitiveness. Domestic products could be produced and sold on international markets at lower prices. The negative implication from the real wage decreases on private consumption would be compensated by higher production. Real wages would function as a quasi-exchange rate instrument. If flexible wage alterations are only possible to a limited extent, high factor mobility would be an alternative to adapt to external negative shocks. Based on the work of Mundell (1961), the theory on OCA was further developed. As McKennon (1963) has outlined, flexible real wage adaptation can be only a successful instrument for combating external shocks if the degree of openness of a country is sufficiently high. Otherwise, the cost benefits arising from lower production costs would have no implications. Also, as Sievert (1993) outlined, observed wage and price rigidities that would not allow a country's entry into a single currency area, could be disbanded because this country is entering a monetary union. This can be the case, if the monetary policy institution holds strong credibility in maintaining price stability. A similar argument was put forward by Frankel and Rose (1998), who argued that the criteria themselves are endogenous and are being altered in the moment a currency union is established. Kenen (1969) introduced a coordinated fiscal policy as a further criterion that favours OCA and that can offset the disadvantage of rigid labour markets. In such a not-optimal Mundellian situation, a single fiscal policy can act as a "shock absorber" (Tomann 2001: 283). Fiscal policy could react on negative shocks by e.g. lowering tax payments that could help to stabilize disposable income and, hence, overall production. Kenen (1969) also defined **product-diversity** as a positive sign of a country to enter a currency union. It is argued that shocks might diversify among different products and industries, and the larger the number of potentially shock-exposed industries, the lower the risk of a deep negative implication for the domestic economy. Correlation of business cycles and similarities of shocks are two further important criteria to prevent the need for country-specific adjustment policies. Last, the size of the currency area matters as well: the currency area "becomes more optimal when it increases in size" (Bogdan 2009: 125).

An application of the analytical framework of OCA to the EMU is not subject to this paper, but some authors (De Lucia 2011, Geza & Giurca Vasilescu 2011, Kotil et al. 2009) have tested the current configuration of the EMU. De Lucia (2011) for instance has found "that only the founding countries of the EEC (*European Economic Community*) plus Austria fulfil this criterion (De Lucia 2011: 12). And Geza & Giurca Vasilescu (2011) identifies the EMU as a "sub-optimum currency area" (Geza & Giurca Vasilescu 2011: 7). Accordingly, the question to whether the EMU represents an OCA has to be – in strict interpretation of the criteria – neglected. Thus, a reversal of the European "unification process" could be justified.

### **3** BREAKING-UP THE EURO

According to the previous chapter, the sub-optimal characteristics of the Eurozone as an OCA might give arguments for initiating a break-up of the Eurozone. This chapter aims to disclose strategies for exiting the EMU. Before, a short revisit of the official path towards the Euro is given.

#### 3.1 REVISITED: THE OFFICIAL PATH TOWARDS THE EURO

Whereas two countries of the founding members of the European Union has obtained an opt-out-clause for entering the European Monetary Union, the "official path to the Euro" (Belke & Hebler 2003) does not encounter for this.<sup>1</sup> The entrance to the European Union leads consequently for all candidate countries into the EMU. In the time prior to the European Union, the choice of the exchange rate regime is open but the accession criteria to the European Union, also referred to as the Copenhagen criteria, have to be matched at the end.

#### Tab. 1: Copenhagen criteria

1	stable institutions that guarantee democracy, the rule of law, human rights and respect
	for and protection of minorities
2	a functioning market economy, as well as the ability to cope with the pressure of
	competition and the market forces at work inside the Union
3	the ability to assume the obligations of membership, in particular adherence to the
	objectives of political, economic and monetary union

Source: <u>http://ec.europa.eu</u>

After the eligibility criteria to the EU are matched and a country has entered the EU, no fix timetable is given for the entrance into the EMU. But they have to take part at the exchange rate mechanism 2. A country has to align its exchange rate to a minimum of 2 years on a fluctuation margin of 30% (+/- 15%) to the Euro. Also, the Euro convergence criteria, also known as the Maastricht criteria, have to be matched prior to accession. The only way to prevent the entering to EMU is to constantly expulse against the convergence criteria.

#### Tab. 2: Maastricht criteria

1	Price stability The inflation rate should be no more than 1.5 percentage point	
		above the rate for the three EU countries with the lowest inflation
		over the previous year
2	Budget deficit	This must generally be below 3% of gross domestic product (GDP)
3	Debt	The national debt should not exceed 60% of GDP, but a country with
		a higher level of debt can still adopt the euro provided its debt level
		are falling steadily

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only Great Britain and Denmark have received an opt-out-clause.

4	Interest rates	The long-term rate should be no more than two percentage points above the rate in the three EU countries with the lowest inflation
		over the previous year
5	Exchange rate stability	The national currency's exchange rate should have stayed within certain pre-set margins of fluctuation for two years

Source: <u>http://europa.eu</u>

#### 3.2 EXITING THE EURO

The literature of costs and benefits of leaving monetary unions is not new (DeGrauwe 1997, Gros & Thygesen 1998) and accompanied the EMU from scratch (Strobel 2005, Brown 2004a, Cornelius & Trimbur 2000, Scott 1998). But the Treaty of the European Union (the "Maastricht treaty") does not stipulate a withdrawal, dismissal or exclusion of a member state (Herdegen 1998). Once entered the EMU, the membership is expected to be permanent and irreversible. But, "in a world of sovereign states... nothing can be regarded as truly irreversible" (Cohen 2000: 2). A serious violation of the Treaty would justify exclusion (Herdegen 1998).

Independent to whether the break-up is the result of a consensus, unilateral or forced decision (Herdegen 1998), the challenge is, that "no continuity of contract rules for exiting EMU" (Scott 1998: 207) exists. But the outcome of a break-up may depend on some exogenous factors listed in the following.

#### Tab. 3: Factors for defining EMU break-up

1	Coopartive or non-cooperative
	The introduction of a new "old" currency depends to whether a smooth collective
	action among all member states of the EMU is possible. (Small) countries that
	unilaterally wish to exit the EMU would face the problem of unofficial Euroization
	and, hence, the problem for not having the control over money supply. Cooperation
	with the remaining EMU would help to solve this problem, by for instance introducing
	a "new" Euro simultaneously to the new old national currency (Scott 1998).
2	Sudden or phased
	A phased exit strategy could look alike the three staged process towards the Euro. This
	could take several years but would most likely prevent economic disruption. In case of
	immediate action, a sudden exit strategy had to be the choice and it "would create
	windfall losses or gains" (Brown 2004b: 59).
3	Reason for leaving: frustration or economic stress hypotheses
	The motivation for exiting the EMU can differ considerably and can be pinpoint to
	two hypotheses: the frustration and economic stress hypothesise (Herdegen 1998). The
	frustration hypotheses justifies the exit of the EMU because of a constant breach of the
	stability criteria formulated in the stability and growth pact by other member
	countries. In contrary, the economic stress hypothesis describes an exit motive
	because a country cannot fulfil the stability criteria but is compelled to do so
	(Eichengreen 2007, Meyer 2009, Herdegen 1998).
4	"Weak" or "strong" economy
	The effects of leaving the EMU depend strongly on the economic strength of the

	county wishing to exit. A comparatively weak country is most likely to be expulsed		
	more heavily to negative implications than a comparatively strong country (Boonstra		
	2010, Scott 1998).		
5	Unilateral or complete break-up		
	A unilateral or complete break-up has considerably effects on the scope and scale of		
	the expected economic effects on all countries (Scott 1998, Zeddies 2011, Cliffe		
	2010).		

#### 3.3 TRANSMISSION CHANNEL

A break-up of the EMU would have considerable effects first and foremost on the exchange rate. The country that has decided upon leaving the EMU would reintroduce its new "old" currency. A price for this currency, the exchange rate, would have to be established. In a flexible system of exchange rate currencies, this price would be bargained on money markets.

In the following, the transmission channel of an exogenous exchange rate alteration is discussed using the example of Germany that introduces a new currency (NDM). It is assumed that Germany, a comparatively strong economy, decides to leave unilaterally the EMU driven by the frustration hypothesis. The exchange rate of NDM towards the remaining Euro would appreciate strongly (KfW 2011, Boonstra 2010, Zeddies 2011, Meyer 2009). This assumption rests on the idea, that investors would be unsure about the future sustainability of the remaining EMU and, hence, would tend to re-sort their portfolio towards a more stable currency – the NDM. This assumption is not far fledged when looking back to what occurred to the Swiss franc during the peak of the Euro crises in fall 2011. The Swiss franc appreciated from April until August 2011 by 40%, alone 20% during July and August 2011 (Kfw 2011).

As can be seen in Graph 1, an appreciation of the NDM (e) would have immediate price effects on import prices (pim) and export prices (pex) in Germany. The appreciation of the new currency would lower import prices and more goods could be imported. Decreasing import prices would also lower inflation pressures (p), leading to a decline in domestic prices which would lead to an increase in real wages (w/p). This string in argument would lead to an empowering of domestic demand and, hence, to a positive impulse on overall production (Y). At the same time, increasing import demand (IMP) would shorten the trade balance (CB) which has a lowering impact on overall production. This negative effect on production would be fostered due to the negative implication of the currency appreciation on domestic exports (EXP). Increasing export prices would lower the foreign demand for German export goods. The decline in domestic production again would imply lower demand and lower demand for import goods.

The single effects outlined for the country leaving the EMU, would also affect the countries in the rest of the world. All things being equal, the currency appreciation of the NDM implies a depreciation of the currency of all other countries ( $e_a$ ). The effects would be exactly the same as in the appreciating country but with opposite signs. Above that, the trade channel strengthens or weakens the effects in the countries.





Source : own drawing

The outlined transmission channel only holds with uncertainty (compare Table 4).

#### Tab. 4: Uncertainties of the transmission channel

1	Expectations and behavioural changes might change and the exchange rate might		
	develop different than expected.		
2	The influence of the exchange rate depends how strong the influence of import and		
	export is on domestic demand. If the price elasticity of export and import goods is		
	low, the price influence is rather high. This is for instance the case for crude oil. At		
	least in the short run, if no substitutable products are available, domestic prices are		
	strongly affected.		
3	The relation between export and import prices (terms of trade) determine whether the		
	effects arising from the decline in export prices exceeds the effects results from		
	decreasing import prices.		
4	The reaction of domestic or foreign central banks is not encountered. If the foreign		
	central bank decides upon increasing interest rates, the outflow of capital could be		
	prevented.		

### 4 QUANTIFYING THE RETURN OF NATIONAL CURRENCIES

#### 4.1 **REVIEW ON LITERATURE**

As seen in the previous chapter, the transmission channel is rather complex, leads to opposite effects on total production and is subject to uncertainties. Still, some research has been conducted to quantify the effects of a break-up of the Eurozone. The following table lists some of the results.

	Scenario	Effects
Prognos	EMU-exit	
(2012)	GR	-73 bn Euro for Germany, cumulated from 2013 to 2020
	GR/PT	-225 bn Euro for Germany, cumulated from 2013 to 2020
	GR/PT/ES	-850 bn Euro for Germany, cumulated from 2013 to 2020
	GR/PT/ES/IT	-1707 bn Euro for Germany, cumulated from 2013 to 2020
Meyer	Unilateral	-295-390 bn Euro (non-recurring)
(2012)	break-up	
	Germany	
IMK	Total EMU	-1.4%-points of German real GDP growth in one year
(2011)	break-up	
KfW	Unilateral	-2.3%-points of German real GDP growth
(2011)	break-up	-50 to -60 bn Euro to German nominal GDP within two years
	Germany	
Zeddies	Total EMU	-75 bn Euro of German exports within nine years
(2011)	break-up	
Boonstra	Total EMU	-8% of German total GDP; equals 200 bn Euro of German
(2010)	break-up	nominal GDP in one year
Cliffe	Total EMU	-4.0%-points of German GDP growth in 2011
(2010)	break-up	-10%-points of German cumulative GDP growth within three
		years

 Tab. 5: Summary of literature review

The approaches vary considerably in terms of applied methodology, assumption on exchange rate appreciation, considered time horizon and break-up scenario. Consequently, the quantitative effects on GDP differ. The overall conclusion of all reviewed publication remains the same: a break-up of the Eurozone has negative implications for all member countries.

#### 4.2 APPLIED METHODOLOGY

In this paper a macro-econometric simulation model for analysing fiscal policy shocks has been chosen. INFORGE (INterindustry FORecasting GErmany) has been developed by the Institute for Economic Structures Research (GWS) and is a multisectoral macroeconomic forecasting and simulation model for Germany. It belongs to the INFORUM modelling family (Almon 1991) with their two main features: bottom-up and total integration. It uses regression analysis to describe economic behaviour of different economic agents. Interindustry relations are explicitly used and change over time. Accounting consistency is assured at all time; on the production side as well as on the demand side. The bottom-up approach is characterized by a deep disaggregation on the sectoral level, enabling a detailed modelling of industries and goods. The integrated structure of the model allows a complex and simultaneous solution due to the absolute accounting consistency. Input-output tables are fully implemented in the system of national accounts allowing linkages between interindustry interdependencies, distribution of income, redistribution effects of the state and spending of income on goods. Production is determined by demand via the Leontief-equation. All determinants of demand depend on relative prices which again are a function of firm's unit costs and import prices. (Ahlert et al 2009). In Figure 1 a graphical specification with the major driving forces of INFORGE is given





INFORGE corresponds in many features to standard CGE models (Almon 1991). Similar to them, it solves simultaneously and is dynamic over time. The basic dataset (input-output-tables and national accounts) as well as the non-linear functions coincide. Differences to other CGE-like modelling approaches are situated in the theoretical foundation of the model. CGE-models concentrate on equilibrium positions (West 1995) and follow in most cases neo-classical traditions. The applied model in this paper borrows from the school of evolutionary economics (Nelson & Winter 1982) as features like technological change, imperfect competition and interdependencies, or partially sticky prices are standard characteristics. In INFORGE, parameters and their elasticity values are estimated econometrically with given time series for a large number of variables, whereas most CGE-models calibrate their parameters on a given benchmark or obtain elasticity values from literature (Peichl 2005).

Integral element of input-output-modelling is the determination of intermediate demand between industries. Input coefficients represent the relation of intermediate demand to total production. Technological change is identified by applying variable input coefficients. They are endogenously determined with relative prices and time trend. Using the Leontief-inverse  $(I - A)^{-1}$  and by multiplying it with final demand (fd) gives gross production (y) by 59 industries. (A) is the input coefficient matrix for 59 categories of goods and 59 industries. (I) is the identity matrix. In the following equations the notations are as follows: lower case letters are vectors, upper case letters are either times series or matrices. The dimension of vectors and matrices are indicated with subscripts. The subscript *t* indicates time dependency.

[1] 
$$y_t = (I - A_t)^{-1} \cdot fd$$

In many macroeconomic models, private consumption is based on the almost ideal demand system (AIDS) approach (e.g. Kratena & Wüger 2006), which allows the estimation of consumption structures according to utility maximization behaviour and consequently does build upon the assumption of a representative individual (Deaton & Muelbauer 1980). Different to this approach, INFORGE estimates consumption patterns by 41 purposes of use (c) as a function of real disposable income (Y/P) and relative prices (p/P). For some consumption purposes, trends (T) as proxy for long-term change in consumption behaviour or demographic factors (H) is used as explanatory variable.

$$[2] c_{l,t} = c_{i,t} \left( Y_t / P_t, p_{l,t} / P_t, T, H_t \right) \qquad l \in [1, ..., 41]$$

INFORGE differentiates between ten classifications of the functions of governments for modelling state expenditures a final consumption. 90% of total expenditures are solely due to three government functions alone: (i) public administration, military and social security, (ii) education and (iii) health and social welfare. Driving forces for state consumption are disposable income of the government (YG), employment (E) as well as demographic change (B).

$$[3] g_{k,t} = g_{k,t}(YG_t, E_t, B_t) \qquad k \in [1, ..., 10]$$

Gross fixed capital formation is the result of separate modelling of production investment (including other investments in equipment) and building investment. Production investments (i) by 59 industries are determined by industrial production (y). In some industries time lags are explicitly considered.

$$[4] \ i_{i,t} = i_{i,t}(y_{i,t}, y_{i,t-1}) \qquad \qquad i \in [1, \dots, 59]$$

In 2011, the trade balance has contributed 0.8% to Germany's real GDP growth and is therefore a major factor for economic growth in Germany. The modelling approach follows a cascade system which we refer to as a Foreign Trade Cascade System (FTCS). It is a step-by-step process which derives German exports by goods and services from GDP-growth projections of 56 trading partners of Germany. Projections of the economic development in Germany's world trading partners are taken from the International Monetary Funds (2011), the European Commission (2011) and the International Energy Agency (2011). By using bilateral trade matrices from the OECD (2011), import shares are derived in total and by product groups giving total export demand for Germany. This information is used for estimating the development of foreign incoming orders for industries which again determine turnover (to) of industries. Finally, exports at current prices are computed using the derived information on world trade development.

$$[5] \ x_{i,t} = x_{i,t}(to_{i,t}) \qquad \qquad i \in [1,...,59]$$

Basic prices (p) which are decisive for entrepreneurs are the result of unit costs (uc), mark-up pricing and competing world market prices. The extent to which mark-up pricing can be realized depend on the market form prevailing in specific industrial sectors. In industries with monopolistic structures, mark-up pricing is easier to realize than in competitive industrial structures. Price stickiness is obtained by estimating price elasticities lower than 1. Industries that are strong in exports also have to consider import prices (pim) as they are exposed to foreign competitors as well. Thus, the price setting behaviour of

firms depends on two factors: (i) on the cost structure of a firm and (ii) on the price change caused by competing import goods. When the firm has decided on its sales prices, the demand side reacts accordingly which again affects production output (Meyer & Wolter 2007).

$$[6] \quad p_{i,t} = p_{i,t} \left( uc_{i,t}, pim_{i,t} \right) \qquad \qquad i \in [1,...,59]$$

#### 5 SIMULATING GERMANY'S EMU BREAK-UP

#### 5.1 **Assumptions**

This chapter applies the macro-econometric forecasting and simulation model INFORGE for simulating Germany's EMU break-up. A business as usual scenario is used as a benchmark for two simulations that differ in two basic assumptions relating to the future development of the exchange rate and the future economic development of the remaining EMU member countries. The simulations are calculated until 2030 and starts in 2016. The long time horizon gives room for adaptation processes. The following table summarizes the basic assumptions for the exchange rate, main refinancing factor of the central bank and the growth path of the remaining EMU member countries for the baseline scenario and for the two simulations.

	Exchange rate	Interest rate	GDP nominal
			growth
	[to USD]	[Central Bank]	[EMU ./. Germany]
Baseline			
2016	1.43	2.50	27
2030	1.33	3.00	5.7 p.a.
SIM1			
2016	1.43	2.50	27.00
2030	1.67	4.00	5.7 p.a.
SIM2			
2016	1.43	2.50	22
2030	2.22	4.00	2.2 p.a.

#### Tab. 6: Summary of assumptions

The baseline projection assumes that the EMU is not falling apart and that the budget crisis is not worsening. This projection assumes a constant depreciation of the Euro towards the Dollar from 1.43 in 2016 to 1.33 until 2030 and a steady increase of the ECB main refinancing rate to 3.00. The remaining EMU member countries are expected to increase by average 3.7% p.a. until 2030. In the benchmark scenario, Germany realizes an average growth increase of nominal GDP by 1.8% per year. Prices are expected to increase steadily with 1% per year, remaining under the inflation target of the ECB leading to an average real GDP increase by 0.9% per annum. The prospected growth rates are mainly driven by private consumption and foreign trade. Whereas in the first years, the impact of

foreign trade is the strongest, the growth impact of private consumption is increasing in time.

The first scenario (SIM1) assumes Germany to unilaterally break-up from the EMU whereat the rest of EMU member countries remain at the growth path of the baseline scenario. The exchange rate is expected to increase by 20% with reference to the baseline. This leads to a graduate increase in the currency relation between NDM and US-Dollar to 1.67 in 2030. The main refinancing interest rate of the new central bank of Germany (NCBG) is expected to be higher to the reference scenario by 100 base points. The higher interest rate is the result of the observation that the interest rates in EMU are currently too low for Germany. It is assumed, that with a NDM, the newly launched NCBG would implement a higher interest rate level than under ECB ruling. The NCBG would tie in with the strict price stability policy of the former Bundesbank. A higher interest rate level would lower the risk of inflation – induced by the expansionary monetary policy pursued by the ECB – and increase the scope of action for the NCBG. The economies of the EMU are expected to remain at their specific growth path from the baseline scenario.

The second scenario (SIM2) assumes also a unilateral break-up of Germany from the rest of EMU but with a worsening of the growth-path in the remaining countries. The central bank's refinancing interest rate is assumed to be higher for Germany in both scenarios as opposed to the ECB interest rate in the baseline scenario. The exchange rate is expected to appreciate by 40% with reference to the baseline. The higher speed in appreciation is expected due to the worsening of the crisis in the remaining Eurozone. It is assumed that investors increasingly transfer their assets to Germany with declining growth perspectives in EMU. This leads to a graduate increase in the currency relation between NDM and US-Dollar to 2.22 in 2030. Parallel, the average growth rate of the Eurozone is lower to the reference by 1.5%-points. Likewise in SIM1, the main refinancing rate of NCBG is expected to be higher to the reference scenario by 100 base points.

#### 5.2 MACROECONOMIC RESULTS

Graph 1 shows the indexed development of nominal GDP of the baseline scenario and SIM1 and SIM2. Both simulations produce lower growth paths compared to the baseline scenario. The positive impacts of the transmission channel (lower prices, lower imports, higher real wages) are outbalanced by the negative impact induced by the export channel. A unilateral break-up of Germany and a simultaneous worsening of the budget crisis in the EMU have a much stronger negative implication for Germany than under the conditions of SIM1. The gradual appreciation of the NDM prevents the emergence of a sudden recession but the force of the exchange rate appreciation is significant. By 2030, nominal GDP is in both simulations considerably lower than projected in the baseline scenario.



#### **Graph 2: Nominal GDP**

Source: own calculation

In SIM1, the described assumptions initiate a total decline in nominal GDP by nearly 270 bn Euros compared to the reference scenario in 2030. Positive implications from the ease in price developments on real wages are outbalanced. The export channel is hampered due to the currency appreciation. The resulting production slow-down affects employment, wages and salaries and, hence, private consumption. In combination with a decreasing investment cycle, imports are lower compared to the baseline scenario. The overall current account effect is negative with respect to the baseline scenario. The decline in imports does not compensate the decline in exports. State consumption shows a slightly positive deviation due to the activation of the automatic stabilizing factors. A slower price development results in a considerable smaller loss in real GDP compared to nominal GDP.

The negative implication of SIM2 affects Germany much stronger than in SIM1. The additional appreciation impact in combination with a lower growth perspective in the Eurozone lowers nominal GDP with respect to the baseline scenario by 600 bn Euro in 2030. The main impact results from the additional exchange rate appreciation. The slow-down in economic development in the remaining EMU economies has a much lower impact on Germany. Whereas a slower growth path only implies a reduction in nominal GDP by 80 bn Euro in 2030, the 40% appreciation of NDM initiates a nominal GDP difference to the baseline scenario of 530 bn Euro in 2030 (Graph 3).



Graph 3: Absolute deviation from baseline of nominal GDP [SIM2]

Source. own curculation

#### 5.3 EFFECTS ON INDUSTRIAL LEVEL

Effects on industrial level are shown for SIM1 and SIM2 in Graph 4 for the first and last year of the impact. As expected, the magnitude of the impact is stronger in SIM2. In average, the amplitude is roughly twice as high in SIM2 as in SIM1. Whereas the order of the strongest negatively exposed industries is the same for both simulations in 2030, the first year of the impact analysis differs between both break-up scenarios. The stronger negative trade implications in SIM2 are shown in the stronger negative impact for export oriented industries. The chemical industry is less negatively affected in SIM1 than in SIM2 which results from its strong direct and indirect export exposure due to its functioning as important producer of intermediate goods.

For SIM1 and SIM2, the most negative implication is observed in the automotive industry which mirrors the strong export exposure of this industry. By 2030, the automobile production reduces by 90 bn Euros in SIM1 and twice as much in SIM2. Machinery industry and business-related services are following as second and third strongest exposed sectors. The strong economic effect on production in the business-related service sector results from labour leasing. Temporary workers are grouped statistically in this economic sector but rely effectively on business cycles in other industrial sectors.

The gradual appreciation of the exchange rate penetrates the machinery industry in the long term stronger and hits faster than in other industries. This observation results from the fast increasing export demand within the machinery sector projected in the baseline scenario. The automobile industry shows a comparatively slower increase in exports. The negative implication of an exchange rate has, hence, stronger impacts on machinery than on car production.

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

Source: own calculation

### **6** SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This paper has analysed the economic effects in Germany resulting from unilaterally exiting the EMU. Two simulations were calculated using the integrated form of a macroeconometric input-output model. The qualitative results resemble the outcome of other work on this subject: In the long run, leaving the EMU would result in a massive loss in GDP and would lead Germany to a slower and lower growth path. Likely positive effects of a break-up are fully compensated by the accompanying negative implications. The overall negative effects on the current account outbalance positive implications of a lower price level, increasing real wages and lower imports. The loss in international competitiveness due to the appreciation tendency of the NDM would massively reduce export. As the German economy depends strongly on foreign demand, domestic production, investments and, hence, employment and private consumption would be lower compared to the baseline scenario. A worsening of the sovereign debt crisis in Europe would worsen the negative impacts from a unilateral break-up. A faster appreciation combined with a lower growth path in the Eurozone would reduce GDP growth further. The negative implications are the strongest in those industries that depend strongly on exports – like the automobile industry or the producers of machineries and equipment. Service sectors are less affected, except of the business-related service sector. This specific branch of industrial classification depends heavily on business-cycles in the manufacturing industry because temporary workers are grouped in this category.

Such an economic experiment as pursuit in this paper requires strong assumptions. The results are thus to be seen in the light of these boundaries that has been unfold. Especially, the assumption on the likely movement of interest rates, currency exchange rates and the economic development of the remaining EMU economies frames the modelling output. Also, the scenarios did not consider speculative movements that could accompany such break-up scenarios demonstrated in this paper. The likely counteraction of the central bank of other economies is not integrated.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahlert, G; Distelkamp, M; Lutz, C; Meyer, B; Mönnig, A & Wolter, M I (2009): Das IAB/INFORGE-Modell. In: Schnur, P & Zika, G (eds): Das IAB/INFORGE-Modell. Ein sektorales makroökonometrisches Projektions- und Simulationsmodell zur Vorausschätzung des längerfristigen Arbeitskräftebedarfs, IAB-Bibliothek 319, Nuremberg, pp 15-175.
- Almon, C (1991): The INFORUM Approach to Interindustry Modelling. In: Economic Systems Research. Vol 3, pp 1-7.
- Belke, A. & Hebler, M. (2003): Euroisierung Mittel- und Osteuropas: Irrweg oder Königsweg zum Euro?. In: Wirtschaftswissenschaftliche Studium (WiSt). Vol 32, pp 190-196.
- Bogdan, Adriana-Maria (2009): The European Economic and Monetary Union and the Theory of Optimum Currency Areas. Magisterarbeit. University of Vienna. Vienna.
- Boonstra, W. (2010): Breaking up the Eurozone: Blessing or disaster? Rabobank Working Paper Series. No. 2010/01/Oct 2010. Rabobank Economic Research Department.
- Brown, B (2004b): Exiting EMU. In: The International Economy. Spring 2004, pp 57-60.
- Brown, B. (2004a): Euro on Trial: To Reform or Split Up. Palgrave.
- Cliffe, M. (2010): EMU Break-up Quantifying the Unthinkable. Global Economics. July 2010. ING Financial Markets Research.
- Cohen, B. J. (2000) Beyond EMU: the problem of sustainability. In: Eichengreen, B. & Frieden, J. (eds.) (2000): The Political Economy of European Monetary Unification. Westview Press.
- Cornelius, P. & Trimbur, T. (2000): Heterogeneous Policy Responses and the Risk of Monetary Disintegration in Europe. In: Research notes in economics & statistics, No. 00-1.
- De Lucia, C. (2011): The eurozone: an optimal currency area? Conjuncture. Economic Research Department of BNP Paribas, March 2011, Paris.
- Deaton, A & Muelbauer, J (1980): An Almost Ideal Demand System. In: American Economic Review, Vol 70 No. 3, pp 312-326.
- DeGrauwe, P. (1997): The Economics of Monetary Integration. Oxford University Press. Oxford.
- Eichengreen, B (2007): The Breakup of the Euro Area. NBER Working Paper No. 13393, Issued in September 2007.
- European Commission (2011): Ameco Database of the European Commission, Directorates-General Economic and Financial Affairs, November 2011: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/economy\_finance/ameco/user/serie/SelectSerie.cfm</u>
- Frankel, J.A. & Rose, A.K. (1998): The Endogeneity of the Optimal Currency Area Criteria. In: The Economic Journal, Vol 108, pp. 1009-1025.

- Geza, P. & Giurca Vasilescu, L. (2011): The Optimum Currency Area. Is the Euro Zone and Optimum Currency Area? MPRA Paper No. 29656, Munich Personal RePEc Archive.
- Gros, D. & Thygesen, N. (1998): Monetary Integration. Longman, Harlow.
- Herdegen, M. (1998): Die Währungsunion als dauerhafte Rechtsgemeinschaft Ausstiegsszenarien aus rechtlicher Perspektive. EWU-Monitor Deutsche Bank Research, No. 52 (22. Juni 1998).
- IMK (2011) Im Bann der Austeritätspolitik Prognose der wirtschaftlichen Entwicklung 2012. IMK Report No. 69, Dezember 2011, Institut für Makroökonomie und Konjunkturforschung (IMK), Düsseldorf.
- International Energy Agency (2011): World Energy Outlook. Paris.
- International Monetary Fund (2011): World Economic Outlook Database. October 2011, Washington.
- Kenen, P. B. (1969) The Theory of Optimum currency Areas: An aeclectiv View. In: Mundell, R. A. & Swoboda, A. (Eds.) (1969): Monetary Problems of the International Economy, University of Chicago Press.
- KfW (2011): Abschätzung des quantitativen Vorteils des Euro für Deutschland gegenüber einer fiktiven D-Mark. Notiz der KFW-Bankengruppe. Frankfurt 1. September 2011.
- Kotil, E.; Konur, F. & Cakici, K. (2009): Assessment of the Euro Zone According to the Criteria of the Theory of Optimum Currency Area. In: International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, Issue 27 (2009).
- Kratena, K & Wüger, M (2006): PROMETEUS: Ein multisektorale makroökonomisches Modell der österreichischen Wirtschaft. WIFO-Monatsbericht, Vol 3 (2006), pp 187-205.
- Krugman, P. (2012): Wie der Euro gerettet werden kann. Ein Gastbeitrag von Paul Krugman bei Spiegel-Online. 12. April 2012.
- McKinnon, R. I. (1963): Optimum Currency Areas. In: The American Economic Review. Vol 53 (#), pp 717-725.
- Meyer, B & Wolter, M I (2007): Demographische Entwicklung und wirtschaftlicher Strukturwandel – Auswirkungen auf die Qualifikationsstruktur am Arbeitsmarkt. In: Statistik und Wissenschaft. Vol 10, pp 70-96.
- Meyer, D. (2009): Finanzmarktkrise und EURO-Zone Gefahr des Zerfalls und Folgen des Austritts einzelner Mitglieder. In: Kredit und Kapital. Vol 42 (2), pp 173-193.
- Meyer, D. (2012): Permanenter Stabilisierungsmechanismus oder Euroaustritt ein Vergleich der Kosten. Ifo Schnelldienst 11/2012, pp 19-24.
- Mundell, R. A. (1961) A Theory of Optimum Currency Areas. In: The American Economic Review, Vol 51 (4), pp 657-775.
- Nelson, R R & Winter, S G (1982): An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change. Harvard University Press.
- OECD (2011): STAN Bilateral Trade Database of the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), Directorates-General Science, Technology and Industry. <u>www.oecd.org/sti/btd</u>

- Peichl, A (2005): Die Evaluation von Steuerreform durch Simulationsmodelle. Finanzwissenschaftliche Diskussionsbeiträge No 05-1, Universität Köln, Köln.
- Prestowitz, C. & Prout, J. (2012); Could Germany save Eurozone by leaving it? Commentary by Prestowitz and Prout on CNN, May 30, 2012, <u>http://edition.cnn.com/2012/05/30/opinion/prestowitz-prout-germany-</u> <u>eurozone/index.html?eref=edition</u>.
- Prognos (2012): Wirtschaftliche Folgen eines Euro-Austritts der südeuropäischen Mitgliedsstaaten. Studie im Auftrag der Bertelsmann-Stiftung - Kurzfassung. In: Policy Brief, No 2012/06, Zukunft Soziale Marktwirtschaft.
- Roth, J.-P. (2004): Swiss Franc and Euro: Two Sister Currencies? Speech of the Chairman of the Governing Board of the Swiss National Bank, Sao-Paulo, 19.11.2004.
- Scott, H. S. (1998): When the Euro Falls Apart. In: International Finance, Vol 1 (2), pp 207-228.
- Sievert, O. (1993): Geld, das man nicht selbst herstellen kann Eine ordnungspolitisches Plädoyer für die Europäische Währungsunion. In: Bofinger, P. (Ed.) (1993): Währungsunion oder Währungschaos? Was kommt nach der D-Mark? Gabler-Verlag, pp 13-24.
- Strobel, F. (2005): Leaving EMU: a real options perspective. In: Applied Economics, Vol 37 (13), pp 1449-1453.
- Tomann, H. (2001): Stabilitätspolitik. Theorie, Strategie und europäische Perspektive. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- West, G (1995): Comparison of Input-Output, Input-Output Econometric and Computable General Equilibrium Impact Models at the Regional Level. In: Economic Systems Research, Vol 7 No 2, pp 209-227.
- Zeddies, G. (2011): Der Euro als Triebfeder des deutschen Exports? Discussion Paper No.
   130 (November 2011). cege Center for European, Governance and Economic Development Research, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.