S. Cheng\*(Peking University) L. de Haan\*\*(Erasmus University Rotterdam)

**Summary** We prove that the probability distribution of Hill's estimator can be better approximated by a series of appropriate gamma distributions than by the limiting normal distribution.

## 1. Introduction

An often used estimator for the tail index of a probability distribution is Hill's estimator. Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d random variables with distribution function F and suppose that the function 1 - F is regularity varying at infinity with index  $1/\gamma, \gamma > 0$ . Hill's estimator[6]

$$H_{k,n} := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log X_{n-i,n} - \log X_{n-k,n},$$

where  $X_{1,n} \leq \cdots \leq X_{n,n}$  are the order statistics of  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  is a consistent estimator for  $\gamma$  if one chooses k = k(n) in such a way that  $k(n) \to \infty$ ,  $k(n)/n \to 0 (n \to 0 (\text{cf.}[7]))$ . For asymptotic normality one needs a further expansion of 1 - F: suppose that for some function c not changing sign with  $\lim_{t\to\infty} c(t) = 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{c(t)} \left\{ \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} - x^{-1/\gamma} \right\}$$

exists and is not zero. In that case we have, with a possibly different function c,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{c(t)} \left\{ \frac{1 - F(tx)}{1 - F(t)} - x^{-1/\gamma} \right\} = x^{-1/\gamma} \cdot \frac{x^{\rho'} - 1}{\rho'}$$
(1.1)

for all x > 0 with  $\rho'$  a non-positive second order parameter([2]). Condition (1.1) is fulfilled e.g. when  $1 - F(x) = c_1 x^{-1/\gamma} + c_2 x^{-\gamma+\rho'} (1 + o(1))(x \to \infty)$  with  $\rho' < 0$ . Under these conditions

$$\sqrt{k} \left\{ \frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma} - 1 \right\}$$

is asymptotically standard normal for sequences k = k(n) that do not increase too quickly, more precisely: if

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Research carried out for a large part when working at Delft University of Technology.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{k} a(n/k) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

with U the inverse of the function 1/(1-F) and a(t) = c(U(t)). Cheng and Pan [1] proved that under condition (1.1),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{k} [P(H_n \le x) - \Phi(x)] = \left[\frac{1 - x^2}{3} - \frac{C}{\gamma(1 - \rho)}\right] \gamma^2 \phi(x)$$
(1.3)

uniformly for all x where  $\rho = \rho' \gamma$ ,  $\Phi$  is the standard normal distribution function and  $\phi$  its density, provided

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ka(n/k) = C. \tag{1.4}$$

Note that (1.4) implies (1.2).

We shall prove a rate of convergence result for  $H_{k,n}$  when the approximating distribution is not the normal one but a standardized version (mean zero, variance one) of the gamma distribution function with k degrees of freedom.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose (1.1), (1.2) and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log n}{k} = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

hold. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_n a(n/k)}} \left[ P\left\{ \sqrt{k} \left( \frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma} - 1 \right) \le x \right\} - \Gamma_k (k + x\sqrt{k}) \right] = -\frac{\gamma \phi(x)}{1 - \rho}$$
(1.6)

uniformly for all x where  $\Gamma_k$  is the distribution function of a gamma distribution with k degrees of freedom.

In order to compare the two results note that for  $ka(n/k) \to 0$  and  $\log n = o(k)$ , the rate in (1.6) is better than in (1.3), for  $ka(n/k) \to C \in (0, \infty)$  the two rates are of the same order and for  $ka(n/k) \to \infty$  but  $\sqrt{ka(n/k)} \to 0$ , only (1.6) is valid. Approximation by the gamma distribution is attractive since the density of the density has a known functional form like the normal distribution, moreover the distribution is asymmetric and this is what seems to happen to Hill's estimator in simulations and applications.

## 2. Lemmas

First note (cf.[2]) that (1.1) implies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log U(tx) - \log U(t) - \gamma \log x}{\gamma^2 a(t)} = \frac{x^{\rho} - 1}{\rho}$$
(2.1)

for x > 0. It follows that a is regularity varying at infinity with index  $\rho \leq 0$  and therefore for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$(1-\epsilon)\min(x^{\rho-\epsilon}, x^{\rho+\epsilon}) \le a(tx)/a(t) \le (1+\epsilon)\max(x^{\rho-\epsilon}, x^{\rho+\epsilon})$$
(2.2)

holds for all  $t \ge t_0$ ,  $tx \ge t_0$  and x > 0. Moreover, we need three lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** ([3]) Suppose (1.1) holds. Then there exists a function  $\hat{a}$  with  $\hat{a}(t)/a(t) \rightarrow 1(t \rightarrow \infty)$ , such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $t_0$  with: uniformly for  $x \ge 1$  and  $t \ge t_0$ 

$$\left|\frac{\log U(tx) - \log U(t) - \gamma \log x}{\hat{a}(t)} - \gamma^2 \frac{x^{\rho} - 1}{\rho}\right| \le \epsilon x^{\rho + \epsilon}.$$
(2.3)

**Lemma 2.** Suppose k = o(n) and (1.5) hold. Then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  with  $t_n \to 0$  such that for  $n \to \infty$ 

$$P(|kY_{n-k,n}/n - 1| \ge t_n) = o(\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k))$$
(2.4)

where  $\{Y_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^n$  are the n-th order statistics from the distribution function  $1 - x^{-1}$ ,  $x \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Define  $I_i := I_{\{Y_i > n(1-t_n)/k\}}$  with  $Y_1, Y_2, \cdots$  i.i.d. with the distribution function  $1 - x^{-1}, x \ge 1$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_i \in Bin(n, r_n^{-1})$  with  $r_n := n(1-t_n)/k$ . Hoeffding's inequality (cf.[8], page 440) gives

$$P(\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n} \le 1 - t_n) = P(\sum_{i=1}^n I_i \le k)$$
  
= $P(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n I_i - nr_n^{-1}}{\sqrt{n}} \le -\frac{kt_n}{\sqrt{n(1 - t_n)}})$   
 $\le \exp\{-\left[\frac{kt_n}{\sqrt{n(1 - t_n)}}\right]^2 / \left[\frac{2k}{n(1 - t_n)}\left(1 - \frac{k}{n(1 - t_n)}\right)\right]\}$   
= $\exp\{-\frac{kt_n^2[1 + o(1)]}{2}\}.$ 

If  $\rho = 0$ , we take  $t_n = [(2 \log n)/k]^{1/2}$  and by (2.2) get for some K > 0 and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\frac{\exp\{-kt_n^2[1+o(1)]/2\}}{\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)} \le \frac{K}{n^{1-\epsilon}k^{1/2+\epsilon}} \to 0.$$

If  $\rho < 0$ , we take  $t_n = [(-3\rho \log n)/k]^{1/2}$  and by (2.2) get for some K > 0 and  $\epsilon \in (0, -\rho/2)$ ,

$$\frac{\exp\{-kt_n^2[1+o(1)]/2\}}{\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)} \le \frac{K}{n^{-\rho/2-\epsilon}k^{1/2-\rho+\epsilon}} \to 0.$$

Hence  $P(kY_{n-k,n}/n \leq 1-t_n) = o(\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k))$ . similarly we may show  $P(kY_{n-k,n}/n \geq 1+t_n) = o(\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k))$ , completing the proof of (2.5).

**Lemma 3.** For any sequence  $f_k \to 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma_k(k + (x + f_k)\sqrt{k}) - \Gamma_k(k + x\sqrt{k})}{f_k} = \phi(x)$$
(2.6)

uniformly for all x.

*Proof.* By Cramer's theorem (cf. [4] Theorem 1 of XVI.4)

$$\Gamma_k(k+x\sqrt{k}) = \Phi(x) + \frac{(1-x^2)\phi(x)}{3\sqrt{k}} + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$$

uniformly for all x. Hence

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\Gamma_k(k+(x+f_k)\sqrt{k}) - \Gamma_k(k+x\sqrt{k})}{f_k} \\ & = \frac{\Phi(x+f_k) - \Phi(x)}{f_k} + \frac{\phi(x+f_k)[1-(x+f_k)^2] - \phi(x)(1-x^2)}{3\sqrt{k}f_k} + o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \end{split}$$

uniformly in x. The first term goes to  $\phi(x)$  uniformly and the other terms go to zero uniformly, so that (2.6) holds uniformly.

3. Proof of Theorem 1 We use the representation  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{d}{=} \{U(Y_i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and may assume

$$H_{k,n} := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log U(Y_{n-i,n}) - \log U(Y_{n-k,n}).$$

Write

$$P\{\sqrt{k}\left(\frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma}-1\right) \le x\} - G_k(x)$$
  
= $\left[P\{\sqrt{k}\left(\frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma}-1\right) \le x | |\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n}-1| \le t_n\} - G_k(x)\right] P(|\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n}-1| \le t_n)$   
 $-G_k(x)P(|\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n}-1| > t_n) + P(\sqrt{k}\left(\frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma}-1\right) \le x, |\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n}-1| > t_n).$ 

According to Lemma 2,  $P(|kY_{n-k,n}/n-1| \leq t_n) \to 1$  and the two last terms are  $o(\sqrt{k\hat{a}(n/k)})$ , so we only have to deal with the first term and in fact, we only have to find the limit of

$$\frac{P\left\{\sqrt{k}\left(H_{k,n}/\gamma-1\right) \le x \left| |kY_{n-k,n}/n-1| \le t_n\right\} - G_k(x)}{\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)}.$$

If  $kY_{n-k,n}/n \ge 1 - t_n$  with  $t_n \to 0$ , we have by Lemma 1 eventually for  $0 \le i \le k - 1$ 

$$\left|\frac{\log U(Y_{n-i,n}) - \log U(Y_{n-k,n}) - \gamma \log(Y_{n-i,n}/Y_{n-k,n})}{\hat{a}(Y_{n-k,n})} - \gamma^2 \frac{(Y_{n-i,n}/Y_{n-k,n})^{\rho} - 1}{\rho}\right| \\
\leq \epsilon \left(\frac{Y_{n-i,n}}{Y_{n-k,n}}\right)^{\rho+\epsilon}.$$

Moreover, if  $|kY_{n-k,n}/n-1| \leq t_n$  with  $t_n \to 0$  and n is so large that  $(1-t_n)^{\rho-\epsilon} \leq 1+\epsilon$ ,  $(1-t_n)^{\epsilon} \geq 1-\epsilon$  and  $(1+t_n)^{\rho-\epsilon} \geq 1-\epsilon$ , then we have from (2.2) that

$$(1-\epsilon)^2 \hat{a}(n/k) \le (1-\epsilon) \min\{(1+t_n)^{\rho-\epsilon}, (1-t_n)^{\epsilon}\} \hat{a}(n/k)$$
$$\le \hat{a}(Y_{n-k,n}) \le (1+\epsilon)(1-t_n)^{\rho-\epsilon} \hat{a}(n/k) \le (1+\epsilon)^2 \hat{a}(n/k)$$

Hence for  $|kY_{n-k,n}/n - 1| \leq t_n$  with  $t_n \to 0$ , we get by addition

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{k} \Big(\frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma} - 1\Big) - \sqrt{k} \Big\{ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log \frac{Y_{n-i,n}}{Y_{n-k,n}} - 1 \Big\} \\ &\geq \frac{\hat{a}(Y_{n-k,n})}{\sqrt{k}} \Big[ \gamma \sqrt{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(Y_{n-i,n}/Y_{n-k,n})^{\rho} - 1}{\rho} - \frac{\epsilon}{\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \Big( \frac{Y_{n-i,n}}{Y_{n-k,n}} \Big)^{\rho+\epsilon} \Big] \geq R_k \\ &\coloneqq \frac{\hat{a}(n/k)}{\sqrt{k}} \Big[ \gamma (1-\epsilon)^2 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(Y_{n-i,n}/Y_{n-k,n})^{\rho} - 1}{\rho} - \frac{\epsilon (1+\epsilon)^2}{\gamma} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \Big( \frac{Y_{n-i,n}}{Y_{n-k,n}} \Big)^{\rho+\epsilon} \Big] \end{split}$$

and a similar lower inequality. So we have for sufficiently large n,

$$P\left\{\sqrt{k}\left(\frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma} - 1\right) \le x \left| \left| \frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n} - 1 \right| \le t_n \right\} \right\}$$

$$\le P\left(\sqrt{k}\left\{\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[\log\frac{Y_{n-i,n}}{Y_{n-k,n}} - 1\right]\right\} + R_k \le x \left| \left|\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n} - 1\right| \le t_n \right)$$

$$= P\left(\sqrt{k}\left\{\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left[\log\frac{Y_{n-i,n}}{Y_{n-k,n}} - 1\right]\right\} + R_k \le x\right)$$
(3.1)

since  $\{Y_{n-i,n}/Y_{n-k,n}\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$  and  $Y_{n-k,n}$  are independent. Note by the lack of memory property of the exponential distribution

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \log \frac{Y_{n-i,n}}{Y_{n-k,n}} \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} E_i$$

with  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{k-1}$  i.i.d. standard exponential. A similar simplification may be applied to  $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Y_{n-i,n}/Y_{n-k,n})^{\rho}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (Y_{n-i,n}/Y_{n-k,n})^{\rho+\epsilon}$ . Write

$$Q_k := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \gamma (1-\epsilon)^2 \left( \frac{e^{\rho E_i} - 1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{1-\rho} \right) - \frac{\epsilon (1+\epsilon)^2}{\gamma} \left[ e^{(\rho-\epsilon)E_i} - \frac{1}{1-\rho+\epsilon} \right] \right\},$$

which is asymptotically normal, and

$$\hat{\Gamma}_k := \sqrt{k} \Big( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} E_i - 1 \Big) + \sqrt{k} \hat{a}(n/k) \Big[ \frac{\gamma(1-\epsilon)^2}{1-\rho} - \frac{\epsilon(1+\epsilon)^2}{\gamma(1-\rho+\epsilon)} \Big] \Big\}.$$

Then we have from (3.1)

$$P\left\{\sqrt{k}\left(\frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma}-1\right) \le x \left| \left|\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n}-1\right| \le t_n\right\}\right.$$

$$\le P\left(\hat{\Gamma}_k + \hat{a}(n/k)Q_k \le x\right)$$

$$\le P\left(\hat{\Gamma}_k \le x + \epsilon\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)\right) + P\left(Q_k \le -\epsilon\sqrt{k}\right).$$
(3.2)

We consider the two terms separately. Noting that  $\sqrt{k} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} E_i / k - 1 \right)$  has distribution  $\Gamma_k(k + \sqrt{kx})$ , we have from Lemma 3

$$\frac{P(\hat{\Gamma}_k \leq x + \epsilon \sqrt{k\hat{a}(n/k)}) - \Gamma_k(k + x\sqrt{k})}{\sqrt{k\hat{a}(n/k)}} = \frac{\Gamma_k(k + (x + \mu_\epsilon \sqrt{k\hat{a}(n/k)})\sqrt{k}) - \Gamma_k(k + x\sqrt{k})}{\sqrt{k\hat{a}(n/k)}} \rightarrow \mu_\epsilon \phi(x)$$

uniformly for all x where

$$\mu_{\epsilon} := \frac{-\gamma(1-\epsilon)^2}{1-\rho} + \frac{\epsilon(1+\epsilon)^2}{\gamma(1-\rho-\epsilon)} + \epsilon.$$

For the second term we use the exponential inequality (cf.[8], page 851) for independent random variables

$$P(Q_k \le -\epsilon\sqrt{k}) \le \exp\{-Ck\}$$

with C > 0 and the right hand side is  $o(\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k))$  under the stated condition (1.6). It follows from (3.2) that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{P\left\{\sqrt{k} \left(H_{k,n}/\gamma - 1\right) \le x \middle| |kY_{n-k,n}/n - 1| \le t_n\right\} - \Gamma_k(k + x\sqrt{k})}{\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)} \le \mu_\epsilon \phi(x).$$

Letting  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{P\left\{\sqrt{k} \left(H_{k,n}/\gamma - 1\right) \le x \left| |kY_{n-k,n}/n - 1| \le t_n \right\} - \Gamma_k(k + x\sqrt{k})}{\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)} \le \frac{-\gamma \phi(x)}{1 - \rho}$$

uniformly for all x. Similarly, we may prove

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{P\left\{\sqrt{k} \left(H_{k,n}/\gamma - 1\right) \le x \middle| |kY_{n-k,n}/n - 1| \le t_n\right\} - \Gamma_k(k + x\sqrt{k})}{\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)} \ge \frac{-\gamma\phi(x)}{1 - \rho}$$

uniformly for all x, completing the proof of the theorem.

## 4. Remarks

Under some condition like (1.4) used by Cheng and Pan, we may also get approximation by gamma distributions. In fact, instead of Lemma 3, we have

**Lemma 4.** Suppose (1.2) holds and there exists a  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} k^{\eta + 1/2} a(n/k) = C \in [0, \infty].$$
(4.1)

Then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  such that for  $n \to \infty$ ,  $t_n \to 0$  and

$$P(|kY_{n-k,n}/n - 1| \ge t_n) = \begin{cases} o(\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k)) \text{ for } C \in (0,\infty] \\ o(k^{-\eta}) \text{ for } C = 0. \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2, Hoeffding's inequality gives

$$P\left(\frac{kY_{n-k,n}}{n} \le 1 - t_n\right) \le \exp\left\{-\frac{kt_n^2[1 + o(1)]}{2}\right\}.$$

Take

$$t_n = \begin{cases} \left\{ -2[\log(\sqrt{k}\hat{a}(n/k))]/\sqrt{k}] \right\}^{1/2} \text{ if } C = 0; \\ \left[ 4\eta \log k \right)/k \right]^{1/2} \text{ if } C \in (0,1); \\ \left[ 2\eta \log k \right)/k \right]^{1/2} \text{ if } C = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then (4.2) holds.

Following the steps in proving Theorem 1 and using Lemma 4, we can get the following.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose (1.1), (1.2) and (4.1) with some  $\eta > 0$  hold. If  $C \in (0, \infty]$  in (4.1), then (1.6) holds and if C = 0 in (4.1), then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} k^{\eta} \left[ P\left\{ \sqrt{k} \left( \frac{H_{k,n}}{\gamma} - 1 \right) \le x \right\} - \Gamma_k (k + x\sqrt{k}) \right] = -\frac{\gamma \phi(x)}{1 - \rho}.$$
(4.3)

## REFERENCES

[1] S.Cheng and J.Pan(1998). Asymptotic Expansions of Estimators for the Tail Index with Applications. Scand. J. Statist. 25: 717-728.

[2] L.de Haan and U.Stadtmuller(1996). Generalized Regular Variation of Second Order.J. Australian Math. Soc., Series A(61): 381-395.

[3] H. Drees(1998). Smooth Statistical Tail Functionals. Scand. J. Statist. 25: 187-210.

[4] W.Feller(1971). An Introduction on Probability and its Applications, Volume II. Wiley, New York.

[5] P.Hall(1982). On Some Simple Estimates of an Exponent of Regular Variation. Roy. Statistical Soc., Ser. B(44):37-42.

[6] B.M.Hill(1975). A Simple General Approach to Inference about the Tail of a Distribution. Ann. Statist. 3: 1163-1174.

[7] D.M.Mason(1982). Laws of Large Numbers for Sums of Extreme Values. Ann. Statist. 10: 754-764.

[8] G.R.Shorack and J.Wellner(1986). Empirical Processes with Applications to Statistics. John Wiley & Sons. New York.