

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Budzinski, Oliver; Kretschmer, Jürgen-Peter

Working Paper Advertised meeting-the-competition clauses: Collusion instead of price discrimination

IME Working Paper, No. 99

Provided in Cooperation with: Department of Environmental and Business Economics (IME), University of Southern Denmark

Suggested Citation: Budzinski, Oliver; Kretschmer, Jürgen-Peter (2010) : Advertised meetingthe-competition clauses: Collusion instead of price discrimination, IME Working Paper, No. 99, University of Southern Denmark, Department of Environmental and Business Economics (IME), Esbjerg

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/82809

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Advertised Meeting-the-Competition Clauses: Collusion Instead of Price Discrimination

Oliver Budzinski Jürgen-Peter Kretschmer

February 2010

© University of Southern Denmark, Esbjerg and the authors, 2010

Editor: Finn Olesen

Department of Environmental and Business Economics IME WORKING PAPER 99/10

ISSN 1399-3224

All rights reserved. No part of this WORKING PAPER may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without the written permission of IME except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews.

Oliver Budzinski Department of Environmental and Business Economics University of Southern Denmark Niels Bohrs Vej 9-10 DK-6700 Esbjerg Tel.: +45 6550 4159 Fax: +45 6550 1091 E-mail: obu@sam.sdu.dk

Jürgen-Peter Kretschmer Economic Policy Unit Department of Business Administration and Economics Philipps-University of Marburg Germany E-mail: kretschmer@wiwi.uni-marburg.de

Abstract

Pricing strategies may include the advertising of meeting-the-competition clauses (MCCs). We show in a specific spatial model scenario with differently informed consumers that MCCs primarily serve as a device to facilitate collusion instead of allowing for price discrimination between these consumers.

Keywords: Meeting-the-competition clauses; advertising; price discrimination; competition; collusion

JEL-Classification: M21, M37, L40, L11, D21

1. Introduction

An often observed firm strategy is the combination of price announcements with so-called meeting-the-competition clauses (MCCs), which guarantee every consumer to buy a respective product at the lowest known price (Levy and Gerlowski 1991: 219, Png and Hirshleifer 1987: 366). It is generally accepted that MCCs serve to facilitate collusion (due to the instantaneous sanctioning of price-cut deviations) and allow firms to price-discriminate between differently informed consumer groups.¹

However, we challenge the emergence of price discrimination in a specific model scenario that somewhat follows the scenario of Levy and Gerlowski (1991). The respective markets are characterized by firms setting one price for its product, respectively, and deciding over the implementation of a MCC. Both together, i.e. the decision over the price and the decision to offer a MCC, constitute a firm's strategy. This strategy is advertised to the consumers, which can be divided into two groups. One group is informed of the prices of every firm in the respective market (informed consumers), while the other group knows only the price of one of the two competitors (uninformed consumers).²

In such a scenario, price discrimination between differently informed consumers cannot generally be uphold and the combined offering of MCCs and advertising may primarily serve as a device to facilitate collusion.

¹ See, e.g., Salop (1986), Belton (1987), Png and Hirshleifer (1987), Logan and Lutter (1989), Levy and Gerlowski (1991), Moorthy and Winter (2002).

² Indeed, not knowing any price is possible, but neither the existence of such consumers nor their respective share under all consumers affects the analysis.

2. The Effect of Advertised MCCs on the Rationale to Price-discriminate

According to Levy and Gerlowski (1991: 217-219) firms are assumed to be located at endpoints of a 'linear city', which is the unit line for simplicity. Consumers are uniformly distributed with density one. Given that the delivered price is less than their reservation price v, each consumer buys one unit of the product. Consumers incur transportation costs t per unit of distance x, whereas $0 \le x \le 1$. Each firm sets a non-discriminatory (uniform) price p. Thus, the effective delivered price of each firm i is $p_i + tx_i$. Firms do not incur fixed costs but constant per unit variable costs of c. Now, consumers rely on advertising to determine the location and prices of firms. A firm's advertising messages are randomly dispersed with ϕ_i , $0 \le \phi_i \le 1$, as the fixed probability that any consumer receives a firm i's advertising message, which is independent of location. Advertising costs are denoted by $A(\phi_i)$.

The analysis focuses on only two firms (i=1,2) because the results are qualitatively upheld if more than two firms are considered. Characterizing consumers as differently informed refers to how many messages they receive. Therefore, consumers are 'informed', respectively 'uninformed', if they receive messages from both firms, respectively a message from only one firm. Consumers then purchase from the firm with the lowest delivered price of those firms whose advertising message they have received or they do not purchase elsewhere if no advertising message is received. Thus, profits of firm one are given by:

(1)
$$\left[\underbrace{\phi_{1}(1-\phi_{2})}_{\equiv a} + \underbrace{\phi_{1}\phi_{2}(p_{2}-p_{1}+t)/2t}_{\equiv b}\right](p_{1}-c) - A(\phi_{1}),$$

with a) being the quantity demanded of the consumers which firm 1 reaches alone, b) being the quantity demanded of those consumers receiving messages

from both firms, and $(p_2 - p_1 + t)/2t$ denoting firm 1's demand under full information (Tirole 2003: 292-293).³ According to the first-order condition with respect to firm 1's price, $\hat{p}_1 = (p_2 + t + c)/2 + t(1 - \phi_2)/\phi_2$, the resulting price in the case of symmetric firms ($\hat{p}_1 = \hat{p}_2 = \hat{p}$, and $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi$) amounts to:

(2)
$$\hat{p} = (t+c) + 2t(1-\phi)/\phi = c + t(2-\phi)/\phi$$
.

If concerned only about the profits from informed consumers, $[\phi_1\phi_2(p_2-p_1+t)/2t](p_1-c)-A(\phi_1)$, the first-order condition yields $\tilde{p}_1 = (p_2+t+c)/2$ as the competitive price, which amounts to

(3) $\tilde{p} = c + t < \hat{p}$

in symmetric equilibrium (full-information equilibrium).⁴

The monopoly price, p_M , is given by the maximum possible price (according to consumers with the highest distance of 1), i.e. the effective delivered price is smaller than the reservation price *v* to cover the whole market (Tirole 2003: 279):

 $(4) \quad p_M = v - t.$

Thus, \tilde{p} and p_M serve as the lower and upper bound, respectively, of possible prices (under the assumption of symmetric firms).

Now, each firm's advertised message contains the chosen uniform price and the decision to offer a MCC, i.e. guaranteeing the informed consumers to purchase from the nearest firm at the lowest delivered price. Because the central question

³ By interchanging the indices, we get the profits of firm 2.

⁴ The inequality in (3) holds as long as $\phi < 1$.

of this analysis concerns the possibility of firms to price-discriminate between consumer groups by offering a MCC,⁵ we examine two different cases: first, both firms offer a MCC, and secondly, only one of the two firms offers to match the other's price. What are the respective prices set in equilibrium?

The central characteristic of this model is that each firm gets half of the demand of the informed consumers if prices of both firms are equal. This is due to the 'indifferent consumer' who then is located at $x = \frac{1}{2}$, which follows out of the demand functions for firm 1 and firm 2, $D_1(p_1, p_2) = x = (p_2 - p_1 + t)/2t$ and $D_2(p_1, p_2) = 1 - x = (p_1 - p_2 + t)/2t$, respectively. The introduction of a MCC by both firms results in price equality for the informed consumers, no matter which price is set.⁶ No firm can increase its demand by lowering its price because this activates the other firm's MCC. If both firms behave rationally, the mutual MCC plays the role of an institution that solves the otherwise existing prisoners dilemma. Without the mutual MCC it would be tempting to deviate (downwards) from the prevailing price in order to lure consumers away from the competitor. This represents the competitive mechanisms that drive prices down to marginal costs – in the absence of mutual MCCs! However, the existence of mutual MCC allows both firms to maximize per-unit profits by setting the highest possible price, i.e. the monopoly price p_M . The mutual MCC erodes any incentive to deviate from that equilibrium since no gains can be reaped. Every hypothetical other price $p_i \neq p_M$ of firm *i* would result in lower total profits. As a consequence, in equilibrium, no firm is able to price-discriminate between the two consumer groups and both the uninformed as well as the informed consumers pay the monopoly price p_{M} .⁷

⁵ Compared to Png and Hirshleifer (1987), who disregard advertising and spatial competition, offering a MCC now is no (weakly) dominant strategy.

⁶ Uninformed consumers are not affected by a MCC. Their demand only reacts on changes in the advertising strategies (i.e. the probability of receiving at least one firm's message).

⁷ Although, Moorthy and Winter (2002: 9-12) model the information of uninformed consumers differently (namely, uninformed consumers do not observe any price but the offering of a MCC), setting the monopoly price is a strictly dominant strategy for both firms also for their scenario.

Even in the case in which only one of the two firms, say firm 1, adopts a MCC and the other does not, no price-discrimination between informed and uninformed consumers can be installed. In this scenario, firm 2 has no incentive to undercut firm 1's price as it cannot attract additional consumers (due to firm 1's MCC). However, firm 2 can rationally expect firm 1 to be a 'soft' competitor. Firm 1 cannot lose by setting its price equal to p_M (due to the activation of its MCC if $p_2 < p_1$). Therefore, it has little incentive to undercut firm 2's price and enter into price competition (every realized price below p_M results in lower total profits). Thus, for every price $p_2 < p_M$, firm 2 can secure higher profits by setting p_M . In other words, once in a collusive equilibrium, neither party experiences more than rather weak incentives to deviate from the monopoly price. Consequently, even an unilateral MCC might with some plausibility suffice to overcome the PD game of price competition and stabilize a collusive and non-discriminating equilibrium.

3. Conclusion

In the analysed specific type of model with firms advertising their prices and MCCs, the latter serve only as a practice to facilitate collusion and not as a device to price-discriminate between informed and uninformed consumers. Moreover, there is a trade off between the MCC's function as a collusion facilitating device (solving the PD game) and its function as a price discriminating device: a perfect collusion facilitating function erodes the scope for price discrimination. Note, however, that MCCs are assumed to work perfectly in these models, i.e. transaction costs, imperfectly rational consumers, etc. are not considered.

4. References

Belton, T. M. (1987): A Model of Duopoly and Meeting or Beating Competition, *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 5 (4), 399-417.

Levy, D. T. and Gerlowski, D. A. (1991): Competition, advertising and meeting competition clauses, *Economics Letters* 37 (3), 217-221.

Logan, J. W. and Lutter, R. W. (1989): Guaranteed Lowest Prices: Do They Facilitate Collusion?, *Economics Letters* 31 (2), 189-192.

Moorthy, S. and Winter, R. A. (2002): *Price-Matching Guarantees*, Discussion Paper Berkeley Electronic Press, http://www.bepress.com/roms/vol1/iss4/1.

Png, I. P. L. and Hirshleifer, D. (1987): Price Discrimination through Offers to Match Price, *Journal of Business* 60 (3), 365-383.

Salop, S. C. (1986): *Practices that (Credibly) Facilitate Oligopoly Coordination*, in: Stiglitz, J. E. and Mathewson, G. F. (eds.): New Developments in the Analysis of Market Structure, Basingstoke: MacMillan, 265-290.

Tirole, J. (2003): The Theory of Industrial Organization, 14th print., Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Department of Environmental and Business Economics

Institut for Miljø- og Erhvervsøkonomi (IME)

IME WORKING PAPERS

ISSN: 1399-3224

Issued working papers from IME

Udgivne arbejdspapirer fra IME

No.

1/99	Frank Jensen Niels Vestergaard Hans Frost	Asymmetrisk information og regulering af for- urening
2/99	Finn Olesen	Monetær integration i EU
3/99	Frank Jensen Niels Vestergaard	Regulation of Renewable Resources in Fed- eral Systems: The Case of Fishery in the EU
4/99	Villy Søgaard	<i>The Development of Organic Farming in</i> <i>Europe</i>
5/99	Teit Lüthje Finn Olesen	EU som handelsskabende faktor?
6/99	Carsten Lynge Jensen	A Critical Review of the Common Fisheries Policy
7/00	Carsten Lynge Jensen	Output Substitution in a Regulated Fishery
8/00	Finn Olesen	Jørgen Henrik Gelting – En betydende dansk keynesianer
9/00	Frank Jensen Niels Vestergaard	Moral Hazard Problems in Fisheries Regula- tion: The Case of Illegal Landings
10/00	Finn Olesen	Moral, etik og økonomi

11/00	Birgit Nahrstedt	Legal Aspect of Border Commuting in the Danish-German Border Region
12/00	Finn Olesen	Om Økonomi, matematik og videnskabelighed - et bud på provokation
13/00	Finn Olesen Jørgen Drud Hansen	European Integration: Some stylised facts
14/01	Lone Grønbæk	Fishery Economics and Game Theory
15/01	Finn Olesen	Jørgen Pedersen on fiscal policy - A note
16/01	Frank Jensen	A Critical Review of the Fisheries Policy: To- tal Allowable Catches and Rations for Cod in the North Sea
17/01	Urs Steiner Brandt	Are uniform solutions focal? The case of in- ternational environmental agreements
18/01	Urs Steiner Brandt	Group Uniform Solutions
19/01	Frank Jensen	Prices versus Quantities for Common Pool Resources
20/01	Urs Steiner Brandt	Uniform Reductions are not that Bad
21/01	Finn Olesen Frank Jensen	A note on Marx
22/01	Urs Steiner Brandt Gert Tinggaard Svendsen	Hot air in Kyoto, cold air in The Hague
23/01	Finn Olesen	Den marginalistiske revolution: En dansk spi- re der ikke slog rod?
24/01	Tommy Poulsen	Skattekonkurrence og EU's skattestruktur
25/01	Knud Sinding	Environmental Management Systems as Sources of Competitive Advantage
26/01	Finn Olesen	On Machinery. Tog Ricardo fejl?
27/01	Finn Olesen	Ernst Brandes: Samfundsspørgsmaal - en kri- tik af Malthus og Ricardo
28/01	Henrik Herlau Helge Tetzschner	Securing Knowledge Assets in the Early Phase of Innovation

29/02	Finn Olesen	Økonomisk teorihistorie
		Overflødig information eller brugbar ballast?
30/02	Finn Olesen	Om god økonomisk metode
		– beskrivelse af et lukket eller et åbent socialt
		system?
31/02	Lone Grønbæk Kronbak	The Dynamics of an Open Access: The case of the Baltic Sea Cod Fishery – A Strategic Ap- proach -
32/02	Niels Vestergaard	<i>Technical Efficiency of the Danish Trawl fleet:</i>
52/02	Dale Squires	Are the Industrial Vessels Better Than Others?
	Frank Jensen	
_	Jesper Levring Andersen	
33/02	Birgit Nahrstedt	Estimation of Production Functions on Fish-
	Henning P. Jørgensen	ery: A Danish Survey
	Ayoe Hoff	
34/02	Hans Jørgen Skriver	Organisationskulturens betydning for videns-
		delingen mellem daginstitutionsledere i Varde
		Kommune
35/02	Urs Steiner Brandt	Rent-seeking and grandfathering: The case of
	Gert Tinggaard Svendsen	GHG trade in the EU
36/02	Philip Peck	Environmental and Social Disclosure and
	Knud Sinding	Data-Richness in the Mining Industry
37/03	Urs Steiner Brandt	Fighting windmills? EU industrial interests
	Gert Tinggaard Svendsen	and global climate negotiations
38/03	Finn Olesen	Ivar Jantzen – ingeniøren, som beskæftigede
		sig med økonomi
39/03	Finn Olesen	Jens Warming: den miskendte økonom
40/03	Urs Steiner Brandt	Unilateral actions, the case of international
		environmental problems
41/03	Finn Olesen	Isi Grünbaum: den politiske økonom
42/03	Urs Steiner Brandt	Hot Air as an Implicit Side Payment Arrange-
	Gert Tinggaard Svendsen	ment: Could a Hot Air Provision have Saved
		the Kyoto-Agreement?

43/03	Frank Jensen	Application of the Inverse Almost Ideal De-
	Max Nielsen	mand System to Welfare Analysis
	Eva Roth	
44/03	Finn Olesen	Rudolf Christiani – en interessant rigsdags- mand?
45/03	Finn Olesen	Kjeld Philip – en økonom som også blev poli- tiker
46/03	Urs Steiner Brandt	Bureaucratic Rent-Seeking in the European
	Gert Tinggaard Svendsen	Union
47/03	Bodil Stilling Blichfeldt	Unmanageable Tourism Destination Brands?
48/03	Eva Roth	Impact of recreational fishery on the formal
	Susanne Jensen	Danish economy
49/03	Helge Tetzschner	Innovation and social entrepreneurship in
	Henrik Herlau	tourism - A potential for local business de- velopment?
50/03	Lone Grønbæk Kronbak	An Enforcement-Coalition Model: Fishermen
	Marko Lindroos	and Authorities forming Coalitions
51/03	Urs Steiner Brandt	The Political Economy of Climate Change
	Gert Tinggaard Svendsen	Policy in the EU: Auction and Grandfather-
50/02	Tipperet Dongthanananiah	ing Pavian of Mathematical Programming for
52/03	Tipparat Pongthanapanich	<i>Review of Mathematical Programming for</i> <i>Coastal Land Use Optimization</i>
53/04	Max Nielsen	A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Public Labelling
00/01	Frank Jensen	Scheme of Fish Quality
	Eva Roth	
54/04	Frank Jensen	Fisheries Management with Multiple Market
	Niels Vestergaard	Failures
55/04	Lone Grønbæk Kronbak	A Coalition Game of the Baltic Sea Cod Fishery

56/04	Bodil Stilling Blichfeldt	Approaches of Fast Moving Consumer Good Brand Manufacturers Product Development "Safe players" versus "Productors": Impli- cations for Retailers' Management of Manu- facturer Relations
57/04	Svend Ole Madsen Ole Stegmann Mikkelsen	Interactions between HQ and divisions in a MNC - Some consequences of IT implementation on organizing supply activities
58/04	Urs Steiner Brandt Frank Jensen Lars Gårn Hansen Niels Vestergaard	Ratcheting in Renewable Resources Con- tracting
59/04	Pernille Eskerod Anna Lund Jepsen	Voluntary Enrolment – A Viable Way of Staff- ing Projects?
60/04	Finn Olesen	Den prækeynesianske Malthus
61/05	Ragnar Arnason Leif K. Sandal Stein Ivar Steinshamn Niels Vestergaard	Actual versus Optimal Fisheries Policies: An Evaluation of the Cod Fishing Policies of Denmark, Iceland and Norway
62/05	Bodil Stilling Blichfeldt Jesper Rank Andersen	On Research in Action and Action in Re- search
63/05	Urs Steiner Brandt	Lobbyism and Climate Change in Fisheries: A Political Support Function Approach
64/05	Tipparat Pongthanapanich	An Optimal Corrective Tax for Thai Shrimp Farming
65/05	Henning P. Jørgensen Kurt Hjort-Gregersen	Socio-economic impact in a region in the southern part of Jutland by the establishment of a plant for processing of bio ethanol
66/05	Tipparat Pongthanapanich	Options and Tradeoffs in Krabi's Coastal Land Use

67/06	Tipparat Pongthanapanich	<i>Optimal Coastal Land Use and Management in Krabi, Thailand: Compromise Program- ming Approach</i>
68/06	Anna Lund Jepsen Svend Ole Madsen	Developing competences designed to create customer value
69/06	Finn Olesen	Værdifri samfundsvidenskab? - nogle reflek- sioner om økonomi
70/06	Tipparat Pongthanapanich	Toward Environmental Responsibility of Thai Shrimp Farming through a Voluntary Man- agement Scheme
71/06	Finn Olesen	Rational Economic Man og Bounded Ratio- nality – Nogle betragtninger over rationali- tetsbegrebet i økonomisk teori
72/06	Urs Steiner Brandt	The Effect of Climate Change on the Proba- bility of Conservation: Fisheries Regulation as a Policy Contest
73/06	Urs Steiner Brandt Lone Grønbæk Kronbak	Robustness of Sharing Rules under Climate Change. The Case of International Fisheries Agreements
74/06	Finn Olesen	Lange and his 1938-contribution – An early Keynesian
75/07	Finn Olesen	Kritisk realisme og post keynesianisme.
76/07	Finn Olesen	Aggregate Supply and Demand Analysis – A note on a 1963 Post Keynesian Macroeco- nomic textbook
77/07	Finn Olesen	Betydningen af Keynes' metodologi for aktuel makroøkonomisk forskning – En Ph.D. fore- læsning
78/08	Urs Steiner Brandt	Håndtering af usikkerhed og betydningen af innovationer i klimaproblematikken: Med udgangspunkt i Stern rapporten
79/08	Lone Grønbæk Kronbak Marko Lindroos	On Species Preservation and Non- Cooperative Exploiters

80/08	Urs Steiner Brandt	What can facilitate cooperation: Fairness,
		ineaulity aversion, punishment, norms or
		trust?
81/08	Finn Olesen	Heterodoks skepsis – om matematisk
		formalisme i økonomi
82/09	Oliver Budzinski	Merger Simulation in Competition Policy: A
	Isabel Ruhmer	Survey
83/09	Oliver Budzinski	An International Multilevel Competition Pol-
		icy System
84/09	Oliver Budzinski	Implications of Unprofitable Horizontal
	Jürgen-Peter Kretschmer	Mergers: A Positive External Effect Does Not
		Suffice To Clear A Merger!
85/09	Oliver Budzinski	Sports Business and the Theory of Multisided
	Janina Satzer	Markets
86/09	Lars Ravn-Jonsen	Ecosystem Management – A Management
		View
87/09	Lars Ravn-Jonsen	A Size-Based Ecosystem Model
88/09	Lars Ravn-Jonsen	Intertemporal Choice of Marine Ecosystem
		Exploitation
89/09	Lars Ravn-Jonsen	The Stock Concept Applicability for the Eco-
		nomic Evaluation of Marine Ecosystem Ex-
		ploitation
90/09	Oliver Budzinski	Horizontal Mergers, Involuntary Unemploy-
	Jürgen-Peter Kretschmer	ment, and Welfare
91/09	Finn Olesen	A Treatise on Money – et teorihistorisk case
		studie
92/09	Jurijs Grizans	Urban Issues and Solutions in the Context of
		Sustainable Development. A review of the lit-
		erature
93/09	Oliver Budzinski	Modern Industrial Economics and Competition
		Policy: Open Problems and Possible limits

94/09	Thanh Viet Nguyen	Ecosystem-Based Fishery Management: A
		Critical Review of Concepts and Ecological
		Economic Models
95/09	Finn Olesen	History matters – om især den tyske historiske
		skole
96/09	Nadine Lindstädt	Multisided Media Markets: Applying the
		Theory of Multisided Markets to Media Mar-
		kets
97/09	Oliver Budzinski	Europäische Medienmärkte: Wettbewerb,
		Meinungsvielfalt und kulturelle Vielfalt
98/10	Niels Vestergaard	Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Greenland Off-
	Kristiana A. Stoyanova	shore Shrimp Fishery
	Claas Wagner	
99/10	Oliver Budzinski	Advertised Meeting-the-Competition Claus-
	Jurgen-Peter Kretschmer	es: Collusion Instead of Price Discrimination