

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Bleaney, Michael; Nishiyama, Akira

Working Paper Economic growth and income inequality

CREDIT Research Paper, No. 02/28

Provided in Cooperation with:

The University of Nottingham, Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade (CREDIT)

Suggested Citation: Bleaney, Michael; Nishiyama, Akira (2002) : Economic growth and income inequality, CREDIT Research Paper, No. 02/28, The University of Nottingham, Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade (CREDIT), Nottingham

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/81822

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

CREDIT Research Paper



No. 02/28

Economic Growth and Income Inequality

by

Michael Bleaney and Akira Nishiyama

Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade, University of Nottingham

The Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade is based in the School of Economics at the University of Nottingham. It aims to promote research in all aspects of economic development and international trade on both a long term and a short term basis. To this end, CREDIT organises seminar series on Development Economics, acts as a point for collaborative research with other UK and overseas institutions and publishes research papers on topics central to its interests. A list of CREDIT Research Papers is given on the final page of this publication.

Authors who wish to submit a paper for publication should send their manuscript to the Editor of the CREDIT Research Papers, Professor M F Bleaney, at:

Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade, School of Economics, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UNITED KINGDOM

Telephone (0115) 951 5620 Fax: (0115) 951 4159

CREDIT Research Papers are distributed free of charge to members of the Centre. Enquiries concerning copies of individual Research Papers or CREDIT membership should be addressed to the CREDIT Secretary at the above address. Papers may also be downloaded from the School of Economics web site at: www.nottingham.ac.uk/economics/research/credit **CREDIT Research Paper**



No. 02/28

Economic Growth and Income Inequality

by

Michael Bleaney and Akira Nishiyama

Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade, University of Nottingham

The Authors

Michael Bleaney is Professor and Akira Nishiyama is Research Student, both in the School of Economics, University of Nottingham.

Economic Growth and Income Inequality

by Michael Bleaney and Akira Nishiyama

Abstract

We investigate whether income inequality affects subsequent growth in a cross-country sample for 1965-90, using the models of Barro (1997), Bleaney and Nishiyama (2002) and Sachs and Warner (1997), with negative results. We then investigate the evolution of income inequality over the same period and its correlation with growth. The dominating feature is inequality convergence across countries. This convergence has been significantly faster amongst developed countries. Growth does not appear to influence the evolution of inequality over time.

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Model Specification and Data Issues
- 3. Income Inequality in Growth Regressions
- 4. The Evolution of Income Inequality
- 5. Conclusions

1. INTRODUCTION

The relationship between income distribution and economic growth has attracted considerable interest recently, but several questions remain open. One is whether inequality is bad for growth. Although initial income inequality has often been found to enter cross-country growth regressions with a significant negative coefficient (Alesina and Rodrik, 1994; Clarke, 1995; Perotti, 1996), this finding appears not to be robust to variations in model specification (Deininger and Squire, 1998; Persson and Tabellini, 1994), and indeed when panel data are used a *positive* inequality coefficient is sometimes obtained (Forbes, 2000; Li and Zou, 1998). A second issue is how income equality evolves over time and the impact of growth on this (Ravallion, 2001; Sarel, 1997), which might be regarded as the first-difference form of the cross-sectional relationship between income distribution and per capita GDP investigated by Barro (2000), Chang and Ram (2000) and Li *et al.* (1998).

There are also major issues of reliability and international comparability in measures of income inequality (e.g. some measures refer to net income, others to gross income, and yet others to expenditure). Consequently, even the comprehensive recent data sets of Deininger and Squire (1996) and WIID (2000) confront the researcher with choices that may significantly influence empirical results.

This paper has two objectives. The first objective is to test whether income inequality at the beginning of the period significantly affects per capita growth over the years 1965-90 for a cross-country sample. We find that, although there is a significant negative pairwise correlation between these variables, inequality is not at all significant in a number of multivariate regression specifications that embody the latest research on the determinants of growth, even if its impact is allowed to vary with the level of per capita income. The second objective is to explore the determinants of changes in inequality over the same period. We find that the only robust feature is inequality convergence. Growth does not significantly affect the evolution of inequality, either in high-income or low-income countries. The convergence process differs significantly between developed and developing countries.

The paper is organised as follows. Theoretical and data issues are discussed in Section Two. Empirical results for the impact of initial income distribution on growth appear in Section Three, and for the evolution of income distribution in Section Four. Section Five concludes.

2. MODEL SPECIFICATION AND DATA ISSUES

To investigate the impact of income inequality on growth, we employ three growth models on a 1965-90 cross-country data set: those of Barro (1997), Bleaney and Nishiyama (2002) and Sachs and Warner (1997). We simply add a measure of income inequality in 1965 (or as near to that date as possible) to the regression specifications of these authors. We also test whether income inequality has an effect on growth at some levels of per capita GDP but not others.

In exploring the determinants of the evolution of income inequality, we use a general-tospecific modeling procedure to identify the preferred specification. The main issue is the choice of the candidate regressors, and we use a set of regressors based on the three growth models just mentioned, plus the 1965 measure of income inequality and the residuals from Bleaney and Nishiyama's (2002) growth regression. Since most of the candidate regressors are collinear with growth (having been found to be significant in growth regressions), the residuals from a growth regression capture the element of growth that is not explained by the other regressors. The 1965 measure of income inequality will capture inequality convergence across countries, if this occurs.

The most popular single measure of income inequality is the Gini coefficient, which represents the entire distribution of income. The most comprehensive cross-country data on Gini coefficients of which we are aware is WIID (2000). We use version 1.0, the latest version of the database, which was last updated on 12 September 2000. This database incorporates Deininger and Squire's (1996) dataset on income inequality (the Gini coefficients of income distribution), which is another popular dataset to use. Although the country coverage in WIID is large, it is a collection of data from various data sources rather than a synthesised dataset. For some countries it provides multiple data for the same year according to several different definitions, whereas for others it includes a large number of blanks. Consequently, even for the same country in the same year, the appropriate figures to use depend on researchers' purposes and sensitivity (see

Data Appendix 1).¹ The WIID database differentiates "reliable" data from "less reliable" data. We always preferred "reliable" data if it was available. To maintain consistency, we also always chose data of national coverage and not data of rural or urban coverage only.

The most plentiful data on income distribution are based on gross income. Theoretically, we are probably more interested in measures based on net income (after redistribution through taxes and transfers), but these data are much less frequently collected, so for reasons of international comparability we gave priority to gross-income-based data where available. Some data are based on household expenditures. Deininger and Squire (1996) report that, for reliable data, there is no significant difference between gross- and net-income-based measures, but that expenditure-based measures yield Gini coefficients that are on average smaller by 6.6. Like Deininger and Squire (1996), we therefore added 6.6 to expenditure-based Gini coefficients. This is not entirely satisfactory, but there is no more widely accepted method of data transformation than this.²

Income inequality measures are not available for every year. We used the observations closest to 1965 and 1990, and most refer to a date less than two years away, although we accepted deviations of up to seven years. The samples of "reliable data only" include the data which were categorised as "reliable" in WIID (2000), and for which neither observation of income inequality was more than five years from the target date.³ In what follows we often refer to an *income equality index*, which is obtained by subtracting the Gini coefficient (on a 100 point-scale) from 100. To calculate the annual average rate of change in the income equality index, we divided the change in the index by the number of years between the initial observation and the final observation.

Basic statistics of inequality variables are summarised in Table 1. The data show that not all countries have experienced a reduction in income inequality over the period 1965-90. Twenty-four out of 58 countries (in the reliable data) experienced a

¹ As a consequence, recent empirical studies (Knowles, 2001a; Odedokun and Round, 2001; Sylwester, 2000, 2002) on income inequality provide appendices of the actual figures of inequality data used in the research, as we do.

² See Knowles (2001a), which provides good discussions on data transformation.

³ WIID (2000) follows Deininger and Squire (1996) in using three criteria for reliable data: 1) the data should be based on actual household surveys, not on estimates, 2) the data should have comprehensive coverage of all sources of income or expenditure, and 3) the data should be representative of the whole population.

deterioration of overall income inequality, and this is not a phenomenon of a particular income group within countries. Those countries with deteriorating inequality include some of the richest countries in the world such as Australia, Austria, the United Kingdom and the United States, as well as some of the poorest countries such as China, Niger, Senegal and Tanzania.

Table 1. Data Statistics on Equality Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard dev.	Minimum	Maximum	No. of obs
Average annual change in income equality index Reliable data only	0.0274	0.2896	-0.6429	0.6268	58
Largest possible sample	0.0201	0.3744	-1.2652	1.3995	79
Initial level of income equality					
index Daliable data andre	58.0599	11.3917	36.0000	77.7700	65
Largest possible sample	56.7190	11.7118	20.5000	77.7700	90

Note: Change variables are annual average changes over the period 1965-90. Initial levels are data circa the year 1965.

Table 2 illustrates regional differences in income inequality. It is interesting to note that only sub-Saharan Africa countries, on average, have experienced a deterioration of overall income equality in the period 1965-90. The other regions have generally improved their overall income distributions. The OECD countries are the most successful group in equalising income distribution, followed by East Asia and Latin America.⁴ Interestingly, income distribution in tropical regions as a whole remained almost unchanged over our concerned period. As expected, the initial level (circa 1965) of overall income equality is the highest in the OECD countries, followed by East Asia, Latin America, and sub-Saharan Africa.

^{4 &}quot;East Asia" means East Asia and South-east Asia, whilst by our definitions, Latin America includes Caribbean countries.

Variable	All countries	OECD	East Asia	Latin America	SS Africa	Tropics
Annual average change in income equality index	0.0153	0.0748	0.0452	0.0453	-0.1446	0.0110
Income equality index circa 1965	58.0599	64.4422	58.0333	50.3684	48.2925	50.2684

Table 2. Regional Differences in Income Equality

Note: Data are reliable data only. Change variables are annual average changes over the period 1965-90. Tropics are countries which score one in our variable for tropical climate (CLIMATE).

Table 3 shows that the relationship between real GDP per capita and the Gini coefficients is negative, i.e. wealthy countries tend to be more equal in overall income distribution than poor countries.

Table 3. Simple Correlations between Economic Development and Income Inequality

	Real GDP p.c. 1965	Real GDP p.c. 1990	Gini coefficient circa 1965	Gini coefficient circa 1990
Real GDP p.c.1965	1.000			
Real GDP p.c. 1990	0.886	1.000		
Gini coefficient circa 1965	-0.420	-0.503	1.000	
Gini coefficient circa 1990	-0.407	-0.537	0.779	1.000

Note: Data on Gini coefficients are the reliable data sample. All variables are in a natural log form.

Scatter plots of income inequality variables provide a good overview of the data. Figure 1 plots initial income equality against subsequent economic growth, and displays no obvious pattern. Figure 2 shows the expected positive correlation between income equality in 1965 and 1990.



Figure 1. Initial Income Equality and Economic Growth (Largest Possible Sample)

Initial Level of Income Equality Index



Figure 2. Income Equality of the Years 1965 and 1990 (Largest Possible Sample)

The basic methodology employed here to examine the determinants of a change in income equality is the general-to-specific procedure.⁵ First of all, we regress income equality variables (measured by "change" terms) on all candidate regressors. The variable with the lowest *t*-statistic (in absolute value) is then excluded, and the procedure repeated until all of the included regressors are statistically significant at the 5% significance level. The regressors that survive this procedure qualify to be included in the preferred models. Then, each of the regressors that have not survived the procedure is added to the preferred regressions, and is recruited into the final regressions only if it shows statistical significance at the 5% level. Consequently, by the nature of the procedure, the final regressions are robust to the rejected variables.

3. INCOME INEQUALITY IN GROWTH REGRESSIONS

The majority of existing studies of the growth-inequality relationship rely on parsimonious specifications and report negative and significant coefficients for initial income inequality (Alesina and Perotti, 1996; Chang and Ram, 2000; Deininger and Squire, 1998; Odedokun and Round, 2001; Persson and Tabellini, 1994). Regressions 1 and 2 in Table 4 report the results of such a regression, with only initial GDP per worker included to capture other influences on growth. The coefficient of the income inequality variable is negative and significant at the 10% level for the largest possible sample in Regression 2 (p = 0.0697), but not significant (p = 0.1073) for the reliable data (Regression 1).

The picture changes radically, however, if we include further regressors to capture other influences on growth. In Regressions 3 and 4 of Table 4, we test the impact of income inequality upon subsequent economic growth in a more complete growth model. We use the Bleaney-Nishiyama (2002) model, which contains 13 explanatory variables. The coefficient on the inequality variable now has a *positive* sign and is not statistically significant at all, even at the 10% level (p = 0.2226 in Regression 3 for reliable data; and p = 0.5884 in Regression 4). In other words, initial income inequality now has the

⁵ Sarel (1997) also used the general-to-specific procedure for his investigations of the determinants of income inequality.

opposite sign and a much smaller *t*-statistic in our benchmark model than in a parsimonious model.⁶

To allow for the possibility that this result may hold for one specific model only, we performed the same test using the models of Sachs and Warner (1997) and Barro (1997). Regressions 5 and 6 report the results for the largest possible sample, using these two models. The inequality variable is statistically significant at the 10% level (*p*-value: 0.089) in the Sachs-Warner model, but the sign is positive.⁷ The estimated coefficient on the inequality variable in the Barro model is not statistically significant at the 10% level (*p*-value: 0.189) and has a negative sign. It is clear, therefore, that the apparent negative correlation between initial income inequality and growth is not robust to the enrichment of the model.

Partridge (1997) and Barro (2000) have suggested that the correlation between income inequality and growth may be negative at low levels of per capita income and positive at high levels. We tested this by dividing the sample into high-income and low-income countries using Barro's estimated break point of 7.6279 in a natural logarithm of real GDP per capita (equivalent to \$2054.74 in PPP-adjusted real GDP per capita). Table 5 shows the results of this test for the Bleaney-Nishiyama (2002) model. No evidence was found to support the Barro-Partridge hypothesis. The estimated coefficients of income inequality are remarkably similar for high-income and low-income countries for both samples.

⁶ The differences in the income inequality coefficient are not the result of the smaller samples in Regressions 3 and 4 compared with Regressions 1 and 2. Regressions 1 and 2 yield virtually the same coefficients if estimated over the same samples as Regressions 3 and 4 respectively (results not shown).

⁷ We use our variables for tropical climate (CLIMATE) and land-lockedness (INLAND) for the Sachs-Warner model, which amend measurement errors in Sachs and Warner's equivalent variables (TROPICS and ACCESS, respectively). See Data Appendix 1 and Belaney and Nishiyama (2002) for details.

Table 4. Parsimonious Growth Model Specifications and Income Inequality

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	A typical parsimonious model	A typical parsimonious model	BN (2002) model with Gini	BN (2002) model with Gini	SW (1997) model with Gini	Barro (1997) model with Gini
Type of Gini data sample:	<reliable data only></reliable 	<largest possible sample></largest 	<reliable data only></reliable 	<largest possible Sample></largest 	<largest possible Sample></largest 	<largest possible Sample></largest
Variable		sumpre		Sampre	Sampte	Sample
Constant	10.09* (1.70)	8.70* (1.73)	-30.27** (-2.08)	-14.06 (-1.27)	-18.24 (-0.34)	3.08 (0.54)
Initial Gini coefficient	-1.85	-1.79*	0.82	0.24	0.93*	-0.88
Initial GDP per worker (Y)	(-1.64) -0.10	0.0031	4.68	1.55	-1.59***	(-1.33) -2.56***
Y squared	(-0.29)	(0.011)	(1.14) -0.42*	(0.55) -0.22	(-6.02)	(-6.73)
Openness			(-1.74) 1.63***	(-1.30) 1.73***	9.32***	
Life expectancy circa 1965			(4.39) 3.95***	(5.65) 3.61***	(3.01)	5.37***
Life expectancy circa 1970			(3.22)	(4.00)	10.42	(3.50)
Life expectancy squared					(0.39) -0.86	
Government savings			0.028	0.058**	(-0.25) 0.096***	
Tropical climate			(0.70) -0.98***	(2.48) -0.74***	(3.75) -0.80**	
Institutional quality			(-3.44) 0.34***	(-2.97) 0.27***	(-2.52) 0.25**	
Primary product exports			(3.94)	(3.78)	(2.55)	
Labour force growth minus non			(-1.59) 1 40***	(-3.42) 1 59***	(-2.34) 1 40***	
growth			(3.76)	(4.53)	(3.22)	2 78
Democracy squared			(4.49) -4 95***	(4.74) _4 38***		(1.54)
Mala schooling			(-3.94)	(-4.40)		(-1.27)
			(2.24)	(2.24)		(3.00)
On any set times V			(3.14)	(2.14)	0.07**	(2.17)
Openness umes Y					(-2.31)	
Land-lockedness					-0.49 (-1.52)	
Male schooling times Y						-0.58*** (-2.72)
Fertility ratio						-0.11 (-0.17)
Government consumption						-9.01*** (-2.78)
Rule of Law						3.28*** (5.73)
Average inflation rate						-0.0084 (-1.09)
Adjusted R-squared Standard error No. of observations	0.016 1.863 54	0.024 1.954 77	0.922 0.505 42	0.918 0.547 59	0.847 0.760 69	0.765 0.907 60

(Dependent variable: Annual average growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP per capita for 1965-90)

Note: Initial Gini coefficients are in a natural log form. Figures in brackets are *t*-statistics. Three asterisks *** denote significance at the 1% level. Two asterisks ** denote significance at the 5% level. One asterisk * denotes significance at the 10% level.

Table 5. Testing the Barro-Partridge Hypothesis

(Dependent variable: Annual average growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP per capita for 1965-90)

	(7)	(8)
Type of Gini data sample:	Reliable data only	Largest possible
		sample
Constant	-30.17**	-14.32
	(-2.06)	(-1.28)
Initial Gini coefficient (High- income	0.92	0.26
countries)	(1.37)	(0.57)
Initial Gini coefficients (Low-income	0.84	0.29
countries)	(1.27)	(0.64)
Initial GDP per worker	4.84	1.43
*	(1.17)	(0.50)
Initial GDP per worker squared	-0.44*	-0.21
	(-1.80)	(-1.21)
Openness	1.58***	1.74***
•	(4.19)	(5.62)
Life expectancy	3.86***	3.72***
	(3.12)	(3.95)
Government savings	0.033	0.057**
-	(0.82)	(2.38)
Tropical location	-1.00***	-0.76***
	(-3.47)	(-2.98)
Institutional quality	0.35***	0.27***
	(4.00)	(3.70)
Primary product exports	-2.04	-3.44***
	(-1.40)	(-3.41)
Labour force growth	1.37***	1.58***
	(3.62)	(4.47)
Democracy	6.10***	4.97***
	(4.53)	(4.52)
Democracy squared	-4.99***	-4.32***
	(-3.94)	(-4.27)
Male schooling	0.36**	0.29**
	(2.36)	(2.03)
Terms of trade growth	0.24***	0.14**
	(3.22)	(2.04)
A divisted D servered	0.021	0.016
Aujusicu K-squaicu Standard arror	0.921	0.552
Stanuard error	0.309	0.332 50
INO. OI OUSEI VALIOIIS	42	39

Note: Initial Gini coefficients are in a natural log form. Figures in brackets are *t*-statistics. Three asterisks *** denote significance at the 1% level. Two asterisks ** denote significance at the 5% level. One asterisk * denotes significance at the 10% level.

4. THE EVOLUTION OF INCOME INEQUALITY

We turn now to the dynamics of income inequality. We investigate what determines the evolution of a country's income distribution between 1965 and 1990. In the absence of significant theoretical guidance, we start with a rich specification including a large number of candidate variables, which are eliminated one by one using a general-to-specific modeling procedure. Initially we include all the variables from the growth models of Barro (1997), Bleaney and Nishiyama (2002) and Sachs and Warner (1997) – as listed in Table 4 - together with initial income equality and the residuals from the Bleaney-Nishiyama model, for both the reliable data and the largest possible sample. The residuals from a growth model are included in order to test whether the element of growth that is not correlated with the other regressors significantly influences the evolution of income inequality.

We could not detect any pattern to the order in which variables were eliminated during the procedure. Guatemala and Zambia are obvious outliers because of their extraordinarily large changes in income equality (see Appendix 2), so we exclude them from the sample throughout. The procedure for eliminating regressors resulted in somewhat different preferred models for the two samples, which is perhaps not surprising given the difference in the number of observations (47 for the sample of reliable data, and 69 for the largest possible sample). The results appear in Table 6. In the reliable sample (Regression 9), tropical location was found to have a strong negative impact on the change in income equality, whilst democracy and government savings have a significant positive impact. In the larger sample of less reliable data (Regression 10), the fertility ratio has a significant negative impact on the change in income inequality. The only variable which is significant in both samples is the index of initial income equality, which has a highly significant negative coefficient. This suggests strong convergence of income equality, independent of other factors (as Ravallion (2001) also found for a sample of regions within a given country). It is possible that this simply reflects measurement error - if measurement errors in income inequality (X) at different dates are only partly correlated, then there will be apparent mean-reversion in X because of mean-reversion in the measurement-error component of X. The measurement-error hypothesis would suggest, however, that mean-reversion would be weaker for more reliable data. The fact that this does not emerge from Table 6 suggests that convergence in income equality is a genuine feature of the data. In order to test the

robustness of the results to outliers, we omitted the observations with the largest residuals. The results are shown in Table 7.

Table 6. Determinants of Changes in Income Equality

(Dependent variable: Annual average change in the income equality index for 1965-90)

	(9) <i>Reliable data only></i> Dependent variable: Change in income equality index (CEQ)	(10) <largest possible="" sample=""></largest> Dependent variable: Change in income equality index (CEQLP) (priori excluding two outliers:
Variable		Guatemala and Zambia)
Constant	1.33***	1.76***
	(4.49)	(4.68)
Government savings	0.044***	
(CGB7090)	(3.34)	
Tropical location	-0.39***	
(CLIMATE)	(-3.73)	
Democracy	0.29**	
(DEMO75)	(2.67)	
Log fertility ratio in 1965		-0.41***
(FERT65L)		(-3.81)
Initial income equality index	-0.024***	-0.020***
(EQ65 or EQ65LP)	(-5.17)	(-4.56)
Adjusted R-squared	0.414	0.227
Standard deviation of residuals	0.238	0.287
No. of observations	47	69
Order in which regressors were	LGDPEA65	LLY
excluded from starting model(the top:	GRES	GRES
excluded first; the bottom: excluded	GEAP-POP	CGB7090
the last)	LLY	LLIFE65
	OPEN6590	OPEN6590
	TOTGR	SXPR
	SXPR	LGDPEA65
	ICRGE80	ETHLING
	FERT65L	TOTGR
	LLIFE65	ICRGE80
	ETHLING	SHM25
	SHM25	DEMO75
	SHF25	CLIMATE
		SHF25
		GEAP-POP

Note: Figures in brackets are *t*-statistics. Three asterisks *** denote significance at the 1% level. Two asterisks ** denote significance at the 5% level. One asterisk * denotes significance at the 10% level.

Table 7. Determinants of Changes in Income Equality

		0	0	1	5	/
	(11) <reliable data sample></reliable 	(12) <reliable data sample></reliable 	(13) <reliable data sample></reliable 	(14) <largest possible sample></largest 	(15) <largest possible sample></largest 	(16) <largest possible sample></largest
Variable	Excluding outliers	Adding economic growth rate	Adding two economic growth measures	Excluding outliers	Adding economic growth rate	Adding two economic growth measures
Constant	1.40***	1.39***	1.39***	1.68***	1.63***	1.64***
Government savings	(4.98) 0.037*** (2.90)	(4.91) 0.032** (2.25)	(4.84) 0.032** (2.18)	(4.98)	(4.65)	(4.02)
Tropical location	-0.37***	-0.36***	-0.36***			
Democracy	(-5.76) 0.25** (2.44)	(-5.03) 0.24** (2.30)	(-3.30) 0.24** (2.06)			
Fertility ratio	()	()	(1.00)	-0.36*** (-3.64)	-0.34*** (-3.24)	-0.34** (-2.33)
Initial income equality	-	-	-	-	-0.020***	-0.020***
index	0.024***	0.025***	0.025***	0.020***	(-4.88)	(-4.82)
Economic growth rate (GR6590)	(-5.61)	(-5.64) 0.017	(-5.53)	(-5.03)	0.014 (0.73)	
Economic growth rate		(0.76)				0.013
[High-income countries]			0.016			(0.37)
Economic growth rate			(0.46)			0.014
[Low-income countries]			0.017 (0.75)			(0.71)
Adjusted R^2			()		0.253	0.240
Standard error	0.430	0.425	0.410	0.263	0.261	0.263
No. of observations	0.225 46	0.226 46	0.229 46	0.254 67	64	64

(Dependent variable: Annual average change in the income equality index for 1965-90)

Notes: The following outliers were detected and excluded: Sierra Leone from regressions (11) to (13), and Malawi and Sierra Leone from regressions (14) to (16).

Figures in brackets are *t*-statistics. Three asterisks *** denote significance at the 1% level. Two asterisks ** denote significance at the 5% level. One asterisk * denotes significance at the 10% level.

The first column of Table 7 (Regression 11) reproduces Regression 9 with the exclusion of the single outlier detected (Sierra Leone). This improves the fit slightly but otherwise makes little difference to the estimated model. We then add the per capita growth rate to this regression (Regression 12). Although the growth rate has a positive coefficient, it is statistically insignificant at any conventional level (p = 0.45). Regression 13 shows that there is no evidence that the growth effect differs between high-income and low-income countries, again using Barro's (2000) break point – the coefficient is almost identical for the two sets of countries.

Regressions 14 to 16 repeat the same exercise for the larger sample of less reliable data. Regression 14 excludes two outliers (Malawi and Sierra Leone) from Regression 10, which makes the fertility ratio coefficient slightly less negative but otherwise has little effect. Again the growth rate is insignificant (Regressions 15 and 16).

Table 8. Structural instability: developed versus developing countries

Variable	Largest	Largest possible	Largest	Reliable data
	possible sample	sample	possible sample	sample
	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
Constant	1.68***	1.01**	0.40**	0.94***
	(4.98)	(2.41)	(2.48)	(2.83)
Fertility ratio	-0.36***	-0.18		
	(-3.64)	(-1.32)		
Government savings				0.034***
				(2.71)
Tropical climate				-0.25**
				(-2.10)
Democracy				0.23*
				(1.91)
Initial income equality index	-0.020***	-0.013***	-0.0071**	-0.017***
	(-5.03)	(-3.04)	(-2.46)	(-3.29)
OECD		1.64**	1.82***	1.39**
		(2.10)	(4.31)	(2.42)
OECD times fertility ratio		-0.043		
		(-0.14)		
OECD times initial income		-0.024***	-0.026***	-0.021**
equality		(-2.80)	(-3.86)	(-2.37)
Residuals sum of squares	4.142	3.435	3.815	1.803
Adjusted R ²	0.263	0.359	0.327	0.479
Standard error	0.254	0.237	0.233	0.215
No. of observations	67	67	74	46
~ ~				_ / 0
Chow Tests		F (3, 61)		F(5, 36) =
		=4.185***		1.941

(Dependent variable: Annual average change in the income equality index for 1965-90)

Note: Figures in brackets are *t*-statistics. Three asterisks *** denote significance at the 1% level. Two asterisks ** denote significance at the 5% level. One asterisk * denotes significance at the 10% level. The 10%, 5% and 1% significance level of F (3, 61) are 2.18, 2.76 and 4.13, respectively. The 10%, 5% and 1% significance level of F (5, 36) are 2.00, 2.45 and 3.51, respectively.

It is possible that the evolution of income distribution has followed a significantly different pattern in developing countries from in OECD countries. Indeed this is suggested by the data in Table 2: the OECD not only has the most equal income distribution in 1965, but also the greatest subsequent increase in equality, which seems inconsistent with all countries converging to a similar level of inequality in the long run.

This issue is investigated in Table 8, which uses the larger sample of less reliable data in order to maximise the number of developing countries in the sample. Regression 17 reproduces Regression 14 for the purposes of comparison. Regression 18 allows each coefficient to differ for the OECD countries. The resulting Chow statistic is significant at the 1% level, which indicates considerable structural instability. Since the fertility ratio coefficient becomes insignificant once differences between the OECD and the rest of the sample are allowed for, it is omitted from Regression 19, which increases the number of observations by seven.⁸ It can be seen from Regression 19 that convergence is estimated to be much faster for the OECD countries (the coefficient of initial equality is -0.033 (= -0.007 - 0.026) rather than -0.007 for the developing countries, and the difference is significant at the 1% level). In addition the estimated long-run equilibrium level of equality is higher for the OECD [67 = (1.82 + 0.40)/0.033 (i.e. a Gini coefficient of 33)], being compared with 57 (= 0.40/0.007) for the developing countries, although the difference is not statistically significant.⁹

Finally Regression 20 shows the effects of adding an OECD dummy and OECD times initial equality to the preferred regression for the reliable data. In this case the other variables remain statistically significant (and there is no evidence that their coefficients are different for the OECD countries).¹⁰

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have investigated whether initial income inequality affects growth, and whether growth affects the evolution of income distribution. Our conclusions are negative in both cases. Although there is a negative partial correlation between income inequality and subsequent growth in a cross-country sample, income inequality is statistically insignificant in a multivariate growth regression, and in some specifications even has a positive coefficient.

⁸ Regression 19 is little altered if we use the same 67 observations as in Regression 17.

⁹ The point estimate of the long-run equilibrium is where the expected change in equality is zero, so it the ratio of the coefficient of the constant to minus one times the coefficient of initial income equality. The point estimate of the OECD coefficient would only have to be about one standard deviation lower to yield an estimated long-run equilibrium identical to that for developing countries.

¹⁰ In this case a Chow test does not reveal evidence of structural instability (F (5, 36) = 1.94, compared with a 10% critical value of 2.00), because of the inclusion of these other variables in the model.

The evolution of income inequality across countries appears to be dominated by convergence towards the mean, though at a much faster rate in OECD countries than in the developing world. Since OECD countries tend to have more reliable data, this finding suggests that apparent inequality convergence is not simply an artifact of measurement errors. Although fiscal conservatism, democracy and a temperate climate all appear to promote equality when the smaller sample of more reliable data is used, they lose their statistical significance when countries with less reliable data are included in the sample.

Appendix 1. Descriptions of variables and data sources

IReliable data sample] Annual average change in the income equality index for 1965-90 Created from WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Annual average change in the income equality index for 1965-90 Created from WIDER WID [Reliable data sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID [Reliable data sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID GINI65 LP [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID CEB7090 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID CIIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) [Democracy Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Ferrility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FER165L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Ferrility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65L Life expetcancy circa 1963 (log) Barro	Variable	Data Source	Variable Designation in Source
in the income equality index for 1965-90 Created from WIDER WIID [Largest possible sample] Annual average Authors, CEQLP change in the income equality index for 1965-90 Created from WIDER WIID [Reliable data sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65 creat 905 [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65 [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65 [Created from WIDER WIID [Largest possible sample] Initial coefficient Authors, GINI65 creat 905 [Created from WIDER WIID [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65 creat 905 [Created from WIDER WIID [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65 creat 905 [Created from WIDER WIID [Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 [Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) Democracy Barro (1997) DemoCracY Barro (1997) DemoCraCY75 [Fertility rate in 955 (log) Fertility rate in 955 (log) Growth reisidals Authors GRES [Corowth reisidals [Coro	[Reliable data sample] Annual average change	Authors,	CEQ
WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Annual average change in the income equality index for 1965-90 Authors, Created from WIDER WID CEQLP [Reliable data sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID EQ65 [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID EQ65LP [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID EQ65LP [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID GINI65 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID GINI65LP [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID GINI65LP [Central government savings/GDP Sacks and Warner (1997) CGB7090 [Perocracy] Barro and Lee (1991) DEMOCRACY75 [Pertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1997) GR6590 per consticulty active population in sacks and Warner (1997) GR6590 per consticulty active population in Sacks and Warner (1997) LGDPENA65 [Dfs diog] Barro and Lee (1994) FEEEL [Ide expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1997) LGDPENA65	in the income equality index for 1965-90	Created from	
[Largest possible sample] Annual average Authors, CFQLP change in the income equality index for 1965-90 Created from WIDER WID [Reliable data sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65 circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID [Iargest possible sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65 circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient Created from GINI65 circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Created from GINI65LP circa 1965 Created from WIDER WID GINI65LP circa 1965 Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Pertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FER165L Anthors Anthors of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 Openocracy Barro and Lee (1994) FER165L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65		WIDER WIID	
change in the income equality index for 1965-90 Created from WIDER WID [Reliable data sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65 creat 1965 [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65LP created from WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65 creat 1965 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65LP created from WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65LP created from WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65LP created from WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Created from WIDER WID [Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Democracy Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Ferrithy rate in 1965 flog) Barro al Lee (1994) FERT65L Amual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-90 Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) ILGDPENESS Life expectancy circa 1956 (log) Barro al Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1956 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1957 (log) Ferminary rate in 1965 flog) Sachs and Warner (1997) ILGDPENESS Life expectancy circa 1957 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFEELL Institutional quality 1965 Barro and Lee (1994) SIH725 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6820 Primary product exports/GDP Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6820 Primary product exports/GDP Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFEELL Institutional quality Fermile schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SIH725 Primary and schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 Primate adde act expects/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SCRR Labour force growth 1965-90 Covernment consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule 905-90 Sachs and Wa	[Largest possible sample] Annual average	Authors,	CEQLP
[Reliable data sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WIID EQ65 [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WIID EQ65LP [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WIID GINI65 [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WIID GINI65 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WIID GINI65LP Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Torpical climate Authors, based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Anuthors GRES GRES Read GDP pre economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) DENNESS Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) ILFEE1L If expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ILFEE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Wa	change in the income equality index for 1965-90	Created from	
Include data sample] Initial income equality Created from circa 1965 Created from [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality Authors, EQ65LP circa 1965 Created from [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65 circa 1965 Created from [Integest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65LP circa 1965 Created from GINI65LP Created from WIDER WIID Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Democracy Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR590 Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LIGDPEA65 1905 (log) Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) LIGPEA65 1905 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFEE1L Istatiational quality Sachs a	[Poliable data sample] Initial income saughts	WIDER WIID	E065
Clevel 1905 WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID EQ65LP [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID GIN165 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WID GIN165LP [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WID GIN165LP [Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, Climate CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Pertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GRES Annual growth rate of PP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GDPENESS Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) ELFE1L Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ILFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ILFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) <t< td=""><td>[Reliable data sample] Initial income equality</td><td>Created from</td><td>EQ05</td></t<>	[Reliable data sample] Initial income equality	Created from	EQ05
[Largest possible sample] Initial income equality circa 1965 Created from WIDER WIID EQ65LP [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WIID GINI65 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WIID GINI65LP [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, WIDER WIID GINI65LP [Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, Marget (1997) CIMATE [Democracy Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 [Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP per capita for 1965-90 GRES Growth rationally active population in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L [Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65 [Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65 [Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65 [Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65 [Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1997) LIFE65 [Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1997) SXPR [Labour force growth minus p	<i>Circu</i> 1905	WIDER WIID	
Lab get provides and projection of the second se	[Largest possible sample] Initial income equality	Authors	EO65LP
WIDER WIID [Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WIID GINI65 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WIID GINI65LP Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, WIDER WIID CLIMATE Democracy Baro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Ferrility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Anuthors GRES GRES Real GDP per econonically active population in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1997) LIFEES Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Bachs and Warner (1977) LIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Bachs and Warner (1997) LIFE61L Mastitutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth ininus population growth Sachs and W	circa 1965	Created from	220021
[Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WIID GINI65 [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient circa 1965 Authors, Created from WIDER WIID GINI65LP Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) CLMATE Democracy Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-90 GRES GRES Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFEE1L Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR <td></td> <td>WIDER WIID</td> <td></td>		WIDER WIID	
circa 1965 Created from WIDER WIID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65LP circa 1965 Created from WIDER WIID Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Ferrilly rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERI65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-09 Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIGDPEA65 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 (log) Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, NALAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Marrer (1997) INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Financial denth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) HITY	[Reliable data sample] Initial Gini coefficient	Authors,	GINI65
WIDER WID [Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, Created from GINI65LP Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, Date and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Democracy Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-00 GRFS GRES Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ILFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ILFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Fernale schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25	circa 1965	Created from	
[Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient Authors, GINI65LP circa 1965 Created from WIDER WIID Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capit of 1965-90 Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per conomically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25 Female schooling (secondary p		WIDER WIID	
circa 1965 Created from WIDDER WIDD Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TOPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-90 Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per econonically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) IFER16L Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SKPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25 Ferale schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Con	[Largest possible sample] Initial Gini coefficient	Authors,	GINI65LP
WIDER WIID Central government savings/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) CGB7090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GRES Read GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1956 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) CRES80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRCE80 Feralle schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) <td>circa 1965</td> <td>Created from</td> <td></td>	circa 1965	Created from	
Central government savings/GDP Sachs and warner (1997) CGB/090 Tropical climate Authors, CLIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-90 GRES GRES Fread GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1995 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LGEE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25 1965 Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TO190, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, TOTGR		WIDER WIID	CCD7000
Tropical clinicity Democracy ELIMATE based on TROPICS in Sachs and Warner (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Democracy Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-90 Grewth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in 1965 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) DEROE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GRAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25 SH25 1965 Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World TArbl	Central government savings/GDP	Sachs and Warner (1997)	
Democracy Barro (1997) Democracy Barro and Lee (1994) Fertility rate in 1965 (log) FertI6SL Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) growth residuals Authors Growth residuals Authors GRES GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) Depenses to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) Institutional genotity fully higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25 Ip65 Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used	Γεοριζαι ειιπαιε	Authors, based on TROPICS in Sachs and	CLIMATE
Democracy Barro (1997) DEMOCRACY75 Fertility rate in 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) FERT65L Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-90 Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 JOPENNESS 1965 (log) Derness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHH25 1965 Growth vertue used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, TOTGR Governm		Warner (1997)	
DensitieData (1997)District (1997)Fertility rate in 1965 (log)Barro and Lee (1994)FERT65LAnnual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDPSachs and Warner (1997)GR6590per capita for 1965-90Growth residualsAuthorsGRESGrowth residualsAuthorsGRESGRESReal GDP per economically active population in 1965 (log)Sachs and Warner (1997)LGDPEA651965 (log)Dermess to international tradeSachs and Warner (1997)OPENNESSLife expectancy circa 1965 (log)Barro and Lee (1994)LLIFE65Life expectancy circa 1970 (log)Sachs and Warner (1997)ILFEEILInstitutional qualitySachs and Warner (1997)SXPRLabour force growth minus population growthSachs and Warner (1997)SXPRLabour force growth minus population growthSachs and Warner (1997)GEAP-POPMale schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965Barro and Lee (1996)SHM25Female schooling (secondary plus higher) inBarro and Lee (1996)SHF251965Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively.INLANDLand-lockednessAuthors,INLANDWarner (1997)Gvernment consumption/GDPBarro and Lee (1996)GVXDXE5XRule of Law indexBarro (1997)INFL6590Inflation rate average, 1965-90Sachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic	Democracy	Barro (1997)	DEMOCRACY75
Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GR6590 per capita for 1965-90 Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1965 (log) Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) ILFEE1L Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHR25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND Based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Rule of Law index Barro (1997) INFL6590	Fertility rate in 1965 (log)	Barro and Lee (1994)	FERT65L
per capita for 1965-90Growth residualsAuthorsGRESReal GDP per economically active population in 1965 (log)Sachs and Warner (1997)LGDPEA65Openness to international tradeSachs and Warner (1997)OPENNESSLife expectancy circa 1965 (log)Barro and Lee (1994)LLIFE65Life expectancy circa 1970 (log)Sachs and Warner (1997)IFEE1LInstitutional qualitySachs and Warner (1997)ICRGE80Primary product exports/GDPSachs and Warner (1997)GEAP-POPMale schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965Barro and Lee (1996)SHM25Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965Barro and Lee (1996)SHF251965Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively.TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1997)Government consumption/GDPBarro and Lee (1996)GVXDXE5XRule of Law indexBarro (1997)RULELAWInflation rate average, 1965-90Sachs and Warner (1997)INLAND baseds and Accel 1990)Rule of Law indexBarro (1999)RULELAWInflation rate average, 1965-90Sachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)ITINEEthno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)ITINE <tr< td=""><td>Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP</td><td>Sachs and Warner (1997)</td><td>GR6590</td></tr<>	Annual growth rate of PPP-adjusted real GDP	Sachs and Warner (1997)	GR6590
Growth residuals Authors GRES Real GDP per economically active population in Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 1965 (log) Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFEE1L Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. TotTGR Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1966) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1997) INLAND Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1997) INLAND Sachs and Warner (1997) INLAND	per capita for 1965-90		
Real GDP per economically active population in 1965 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LGDPEA65 Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFEE1L Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 Isoft trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1997) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1997) INLLAND Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997)	Growth residuals	Authors	GRES
1965 (log) Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFE1L Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1997) INLAND Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INLELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590	Real GDP per economically active population in	Sachs and Warner (1997)	LGDPEA65
Openness to international trade Sachs and Warner (1997) OPENNESS Life expectancy circa 1965 (log) Barro and Lee (1994) LLIFE65 Life expectancy circa 1970 (log) Sachs and Warner (1997) LIFEE1L Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOTTO and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1997) INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) GVXDXE5X Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590	<u>1965 (log)</u>	0 1 110 (1007)	OPENDIEGO
Life expectancy circa 1970 (log)Barro and Lee (1994)LLIFE63Life expectancy circa 1970 (log)Sachs and Warner (1997)LIFEE1LInstitutional qualitySachs and Warner (1997)ICRGE80Primary product exports/GDPSachs and Warner (1997)GEAP-POPMale schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965Barro and Lee (1996)SHM25Female schooling (secondary plus higher) inBarro and Lee (1996)SHF251965Terms of trade growth 1965-90Authors,TOTGRConstructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively.INLANDLand-lockednessAuthors,INLANDWarner (1997)GeVXDXE5XRule of Law indexBarro and Lee (1996)GVXDXE5XRule of Law indexBarro and Lee (1997)INFL6590Inflation rate average, 1965-90Sachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)ETHLINGFinancial denth average 1965-90Barro and Lee (1994)I.I.Y	<i>Openness to international trade</i>	Sachs and Warner (1997)	UPENNESS
Life expectancy circle 1970 (log) Sachs and Wanter (1997) LifeEIL Institutional quality Sachs and Warner (1997) ICRGE80 Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 TOTGR Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial denth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LIYY	Life expectancy circa 1965 (log)	Saaha and Warmar (1994)	LLIFE05
Institutional quarity Sachs and Warner (1997) ICKOEso Primary product exports/GDP Sachs and Warner (1997) SXPR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 TOTGR Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) GVADXE5X Rule of Law index Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1997) INFL6590 Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) I.I.Y	Life expectancy circa 1970 (log)	Sachs and Warner (1997)	
Initially product exponsion Sachs and Warner (1997) SAFR Labour force growth minus population growth Sachs and Warner (1997) GEAP-POP Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. INLAND Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1997) INFL6590 Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING filation rate average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LI Y	Primary product exports/GDP	Sachs and Warner (1997)	
Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in Barro and Lee (1996) SHM25 1965 Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. INLAND Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING	I abour force growth minus population growth	Sachs and Warner (1997)	GEAP-POP
Mate Schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965 Date and Lee (1996) SHF25 1965 Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, TOTGR Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. INLAND Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LLY	Male schooling (secondary plus higher) in 1965	Barro and Lee (1996)	SHM25
1965 Image: Secondary plus higher) in Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. TOTGR Land-lockedness Authors, Authors, Authors, Based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) INLAND Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LLY	Female schooling (secondary plus higher) in	Barro and Lee (1996)	SHF25
Terms of trade growth 1965-90 Authors, Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. TOTGR Land-lockedness Authors, Authors, based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) INLAND Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LLY	1965	Build and Lee (1990)	5111 25
Constructed from World Bank (2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, Mathematical Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RulEeLAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethnologistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethnologistic diversity Sachs and Lee (1994) LLY	Terms of trade growth 1965-90	Authors,	TOTGR
(2000). For missing data, World Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, Mathematical Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994)	, 0	Constructed from World Bank	
Tables 1992 and 1994 were used for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethnolinguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90		(2000). For missing data, World	
for TOT70 and TOT90, respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) Ethnolinguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90		Tables 1992 and 1994 were used	
respectively. Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LLY		for TOT70 and TOT90,	
Land-lockedness Authors, INLAND based on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LLY		respectively.	
Dased on ACCESS in Sachs and Warner (1997) Government consumption/GDP Barro and Lee (1996) GVXDXE5X Rule of Law index Barro (1999) RULELAW Inflation rate average, 1965-90 Sachs and Warner (1997) INFL6590 Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LLY	Lana-lockedness	Authors,	INLAND
Wallet (1997)Government consumption/GDPBarro and Lee (1996)GVXDXE5XRule of Law indexBarro (1999)RULELAWInflation rate average, 1965-90Sachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)ETHLINGFinancial depth average 1965-90Barro and Lee (1994)LLY		Uased on AULESS in Sachs and Warner (1007)	
Rule of Law indexBarro (1999)RULELAWInflation rate average, 1965-90Sachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)ETHLINGFinancial depth average 1965-90Barro and Lee (1994)LLY	Government consumption/GDP	$\frac{(1997)}{\text{Barro and I ee}(1006)}$	GVXDXE5X
Null of Law indexDailo (1997)ROLELAWInflation rate average, 1965-90Sachs and Warner (1997)INFL6590Ethno-linguistic diversitySachs and Warner (1997)ETHLINGFinancial depth average 1965-90Barro and Lee (1994)LLY	Rule of Law index	Barro (1000)	RIII FLAW
Ethno-linguistic diversity Sachs and Warner (1997) ETHLING Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994) LLY	Inflation rate average 1965-90	Sachs and Warner (1907)	INFL 6590
Endot inguistic directory Endot ind (1997) Financial depth average 1965-90 Barro and Lee (1994)	Ethno-linguistic diversity	Sachs and Warner (1997)	ETHLING
	Financial denth average 1965-90	Barro and Lee (1994)	LLY

Note: We amended Sachs ands Warner's (1997) tropical climate variable so that it more accurately represents the proportion of the country that falls between the Tropics of Cancer and Capricorn. This involves some significant reclassifications including Hong Kong as 1 (not 0), Egypt as 0.2 (not 1) and Bangladesh as 0.5 (not 0.1), and rectifying some omissions in the Sachs ands Warner's dataset for this variable.

Appendix 2. Gini coefficients

Argentina42 (1961)G, P, ?, AP48 (1989)G, P, M, APAustralia32 (1967)*G, P, AA, AP41.72 (1990)*G, H, AA, APAustria29.3 (1970)*G, P, AA, IR31.6 (1987)*SPDSBahamas48.41 (1970)*G, H, AA, AP41.83 (1991)*G, H, AA, APBangladesh34.34 (1966)*G, H, AA, AP37 (1986)*G, H, AA, APBarbados36.2 (1962)I, P, AA, TNABelgium36.37 (1969)*G, H, AA, AP42.04 (1992)*G, H, AA, APBolivia53 (1968)*G, P, AA, AP42.04 (1990)*E, P, AA, APBotswana57.4 (1971)I, P, AA, AP60.6 (1990)*G, H, C, AA, APBulgaria22.23 (1965)*G, P, AA, AP24.53 (1990)*SPDSCanada31.61 (1965)*G, H, AA, AP35.0807 (1991)*G, H, AA, APChile45.64 (1988)*G, P, AA, APNAChile45.64 (1968)*G, H, AA, AP54.7 (1990)*G, P, AA, APChile45.64 (1968)*G, H, AA, AP34.6 (1990)*G, P, AA, APChile45.64 (1968)*G, P, AA, AP54.7 (1990)*G, P, AA, APColombia62 (1964)*G, P, AA, AP51.32 (1991)*G, P, AA, APCobe d'Ivoire51.7 (1970)I, P, AA, EA36.9 (1988)*E, HC, AA, APCobe d'Ivoire51.7 (1970)I, P, AA, AP20.1 (1988)*SPDSDahomey (Benin)42 (1959)G, P, AA, APNACuba28.114 (1962) </th <th>Country Name</th> <th>Gini circa 1965</th> <th>Data description</th> <th>Gini circa 1990</th> <th>Data description</th>	Country Name	Gini circa 1965	Data description	Gini circa 1990	Data description
Argentina $42(1961)$ G, P, Y, AP $48(1989)$ G, P, M, APAustralia $32(1967)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $41.72(1990)^*$ G, H, AA, APAustria $29.3(1970)^*$ G, P, AA, IR $31.6(1987)^*$ SPDSBahamas $48.41(1970)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $41.83(1991)^*$ G, H, AA, APBangladesh $34.34(1966)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $37(1986)^*$ G, H, AA, APBarbados $36.2(1962)$ I, P, AA, TNANABelgium $36.37(1969)^*$ G, H, AA, T $31.9455(1992)^*$ G, H, AA, APBolivia $53(1968)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $42.04(1990)^*$ E, P, AA, APBotswana $57.4(1971)$ I, P, AA, EA $54.21(1986)^*$ E, H, AA, APBugaria $22.23(1965)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $60.6(1990)^*$ G, H, C, AA, APBulgaria $22.23(1965)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $24.53(1990)^*$ G, H, AA, APChad $35(1958)$ G, P, AA, AP $84.6(1990)^*$ G, H, AA, APChia $35.5(1964)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $54.7(1990)^*$ G, H, AA, APChia $30.5(1964)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1964)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1964)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1969)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1969)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1969)^*$ <td></td> <td>42 (10(1)</td> <td>Gini circa 1965</td> <td>40 (1000)</td> <td>Gini circa 1990</td>		42 (10(1)	Gini circa 1965	40 (1000)	Gini circa 1990
Australia $32(196)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $41.72(1990)^*$ G, H, AA, APAustria $29.3(1970)^*$ G, P, AA, IR $31.6(1987)^*$ SPDSBahamas $48.41(1970)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $41.83(1991)^*$ G, H, AA, APBangladesh $34.34(1966)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $37(1986)^*$ G, H, AA, APBarbados $36.2(1962)$ I, P, AA, TNABelgium $36.37(1969)^*$ G, H, AA, T $31.9455(1992)^*$ G, H, AA, APBolivia $53(1968)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $42.04(1990)^*$ E, P, AA, APBotswana $57.4(1971)$ I, P, AA, EA $54.21(1986)^*$ E, H, AA, APButgaria $22.23(1965)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $60.6(1990)^*$ G, HC, AA, APBulgaria $22.23(1965)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $24.53(1990)^*$ SPDSCanada $31.61(1965)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $35.0807(1991)^*$ G, H, AA, APChile $45.64(1968)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, H, AA, APChile $45.64(1968)^*$ G, H, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1964)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1964)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1964)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1964)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia $62(1964)^*$ G, P, AA, AP $51.32(1991)^*$ G, P, AA, APColombia 22.1	Argentina	42 (1961)	G, P, ?, AP	48 (1989)	G, P, M, AP
Austria 29.3 (19/0)* G, P, AA, IR 31.6 (1987)* SPDS Bahamas 48.41 (1970)* G, H, AA, AP 41.83 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Bangladesh 34.34 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 37 (1986)* G, H, AA, AP Barbados 36.2 (1962) I, P, AA, T NA NA Belgium 36.37 (1969)* G, H, AA, AP 31.9455 (1992)* G, H, AA, AP Bolivia 53 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 42.04 (1990)* E, P, AA, AP Botswana 57.4 (1971) I, P, AA, EA 54.21 (1986)* E, H, AA, AP Bulgaria 22.23 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 24.53 (1990)* SPDS Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA NA Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP S4.7 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Chila 30.5 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Chila 30.5 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Cota Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP </td <td>Australia</td> <td>32 (1967)*</td> <td>G, P, AA, AP</td> <td>41.72 (1990)*</td> <td>G, H, AA, AP</td>	Australia	32 (1967)*	G, P, AA, AP	41.72 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
Bahamas 48.41 (19/0)* G, H, AA, AP 41.83 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Bangladesh 34.34 (1960)* G, H, AA, AP 37 (1986)* G, H, AA, AP Barbados 36.27 (1962) I, P, AA, T NA Image: Constraint of the state of	Austria	29.3 (1970)*	G, P, AA, IR	31.6 (1987) *	SPDS
Bangladesh 34.34 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 37 (1986)* G, H, AA, AP Barbados 36.2 (1962) I, P, AA, T NA	Bahamas	48.41 (1970)*	G, H, AA, AP	41.83 (1991)*	G, H, AA, AP
Barbados 36.2 (1962) I, P, AA, T NA Belgium 36.37 (1969)* G, H, AA, T 31.9455 (1992)* G, H, AA, AP Bolivia 53 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 42.04 (1990)* E, P, AA, AP Botswana 57.4 (1971) I, P, AA, EA 54.21 (1986)* E, H, AA, AP Brazil 57.61 (1970)* G, H, AA, AP 60.6 (1990)* G, HC, AA, AP Bulgaria 22.23 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 24.53 (1990)* SPDS Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA Chie 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP S0.807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP China 30.5 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP NA Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP S1.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP S0.9 (1988)* <td< td=""><td>Bangladesh</td><td>34.34 (1966)*</td><td>G, H, AA, AP</td><td>37 (1986)*</td><td>G, H, AA, AP</td></td<>	Bangladesh	34.34 (1966)*	G, H, AA, AP	37 (1986)*	G, H, AA, AP
Belgium 36.37 (1969)* G, H, AA, T 31.9455 (1992)* G, H, AA, AP Bolivia 53 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 42.04 (1990)* E, P, AA, AP Botswana 57.4 (1971) I, P, AA, EA 54.21 (1986)* E, H, AA, AP Brazil 57.61 (1970)* G, H, AA, AP 60.6 (1990)* G, HC, AA, AP Bulgaria 22.23 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 24.53 (1990)* SPDS Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP S4.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP China 30.5 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP S4.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP S1.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Cota Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Cote d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba	Barbados	36.2 (1962)	I, P, AA, T	NA	
Bolivia 53 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 42.04 (1990)* E, P, AA, AP Botswana 57.4 (1971) I, P, AA, EA 54.21 (1986)* E, H, AA, AP Brazil 57.61 (1970)* G, H, AA, AP 60.6 (1990)* G, HC, AA, AP Bulgaria 22.23 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 24.53 (1990)* SPDS Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP 34.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 34.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Cote d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin)	Belgium	36.37 (1969)*	<u>G, H, AA, T</u>	31.9455 (1992)*	G, H, AA, AP
Botswana 57.4 (1971) I, P, AA, EA 54.21 (1986)* E, H, AA, AP Brazil 57.61 (1970)* G, H, AA, AP 60.6 (1990)* G, HC, AA, AP Bulgaria 22.23 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 24.53 (1990)* SPDS Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP 54.7 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP China 30.5 (1964)* G, H, AA, AP 34.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Costa Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Côte d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA	Bolivia	53 (1968)*	G, P, AA, AP	42.04 (1990)*	E, P, AA, AP
Brazil 57.61 (1970)* G, H, AA, AP 60.6 (1990)* G, HC, AA, AP Bulgaria 22.23 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 24.53 (1990)* SPDS Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA Mathematical Approximation of the system of the s	Botswana	57.4 (1971)	I, P, AA, EA	54.21 (1986)*	E, H, AA, AP
Bulgaria 22.23 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 24.53 (1990)* SPDS Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA	Brazil	57.61 (1970)*	G, H, AA, AP	60.6 (1990)*	G, HC, AA, AP
Canada 31.61 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 35.0807 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP NA Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP S4.7 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP China 30.5 (1964)* G, H, AA, AP 34.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Costa Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Côte d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Bulgaria	22.23 (1965)*	G, P, AA, AP	24.53 (1990)*	SPDS
Chad 35 (1958) G, P, AA, AP NA Chile 45.64 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP 54.7 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP China 30.5 (1964)* G, H, AA, AP 34.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Costa Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Côte d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Image: Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Image: Czechoslovakia 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP S0 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP Leuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP S0 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Canada	31.61 (1965)*	G, H, AA, AP	35.0807 (1991)*	G, H, AA, AP
Chile45.64 (1968)*G, H, AA, AP54.7 (1990)*G, H, AA, APChina30.5 (1964)*G, H, AA, AP34.6 (1990)*G, P, AA, APColombia62 (1964)*G, P, AA, AP51.32 (1991)*G, P, AA, APCosta Rica50 (1969)*G, P, AA, AP46 (1989)*G, P, AA, APCôte d'Ivoire51.7 (1970)I, P, AA, EA36.9 (1988)*E, HC, AA, APCuba28.114 (1962)G, P, AA, IRNACzechoslovakia22.6 (1965)*N, HC, AA, AP20.1 (1988)*SPDSDahomey (Benin)42 (1959)G, P, AA, APNADenmark24.908 (1966)*G, H, AA, AP39 (1990)*G, H, AA, APDominican Republic45.5 (1969)G, P, AA, AP50 (1993)*G, P, AA, AP	Chad	35 (1958)	G, P, AA, AP	NA	
China 30.5 (1964)* G, H, AA, AP 34.6 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Costa Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Côte d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Chile	45.64 (1968)*	G, H, AA, AP	54.7 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
Colombia 62 (1964)* G, P, AA, AP 51.32 (1991)* G, P, AA, AP Costa Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Côte d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	China	30.5 (1964)*	G, H, AA, AP	34.6 (1990)*	G, P, AA, AP
Costa Rica 50 (1969)* G, P, AA, AP 46 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Côte d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Colombia	62 (1964)*	G, P, AA, AP	51.32 (1991)*	G, P, AA, AP
Côte d'Ivoire 51.7 (1970) I, P, AA, EA 36.9 (1988)* E, HC, AA, AP Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Image: Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Image: Czechoslovakia SPDS Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Costa Rica	50 (1969)*	G, P, AA, AP	46 (1989)*	G, P, AA, AP
Cuba 28.114 (1962) G, P, AA, IR NA Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Côte d'Ivoire	51.7 (1970)	I, P, AA, EA	36.9 (1988)*	E, HC, AA, AP
Czechoslovakia 22.6 (1965)* N, HC, AA, AP 20.1 (1988)* SPDS Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Cuba	28.114 (1962)	G, P, AA, IR	NA	
Dahomey (Benin) 42 (1959) G, P, AA, AP NA Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Czechoslovakia	22.6 (1965)*	N, HC, AA, AP	20.1 (1988)*	SPDS
Denmark 24.908 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 39 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Dahomey (Benin)	42 (1959)	G, P, AA, AP	NA	
Dominican Republic 45.5 (1969) G, P, AA, AP 51 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP Ecuador 38 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP 50 (1993)* G, P, AA, AP	Denmark	24.908 (1966)*	G, H, AA, AP	39 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
Ecuador 38 (1968)* G. P. AA. AP 50 (1993)* G. P. AA. AP	Dominican Republic	45.5 (1969)	G, P, AA, AP	51 (1989)*	G, P, AA, AP
	Ecuador	38 (1968)*	G, P, AA, AP	50 (1993)*	G, P, AA, AP
Egypt 40 (1965)* E, H, AA, AP 32 (1991)* E, HC, AA, AP	Egypt	40 (1965)*	E, H, AA, AP	32 (1991)*	E, HC, AA, AP
El Salvador 53 (1965)* G, P, AA, AP 53 (1994)* G, P, AA, AP	El Salvador	53 (1965)*	G, P, AA, AP	53 (1994)*	G, P, AA, AP
Fiji 46 (1968)* G, P, AA, AP NA	Fiji	46 (1968)*	G, P, AA, AP	NA	
Finland 34.2 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 25.5 (1990)* G, H, AA, AP	Finland	34.2 (1966)*	G, H, AA, AP	25.5 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
France 47 (1965)* G, H, AA, AP 37.2 (1984)* G, HC, AA, AP	France	47 (1965)*	G, H, AA, AP	37.2 (1984)*	G, HC, AA, AP
Gabon 64 (1960)* G, P, AA, AP NA	Gabon	64 (1960)*	G, P, AA, AP	NA	
Germany, West 38 (1964)* N, H, AA, AP 26 (1990)* N, H, AA, AP	Germany, West	38 (1964)*	N, H, AA, AP	26 (1990)*	N, H, AA, AP
Greece 44.1 (1965) I, P, AA, T 35.16 (1988)* E, H, AA, AP	Greece	44.1 (1965)	I, P, AA, T	35.16 (1988)*	E, H, AA, AP
Guatemala 29.96 (1966) I, H, R, IR 59.06 (1989)* G, P, AA, AP	Guatemala	29.96 (1966)	I, H, R, IR	59.06 (1989)*	G, P, AA, AP
Honduras 61.88 (1968)* G, H, AA, AP 54 (1990)* G, P, AA, AP	Honduras	61.88 (1968)*	G, H, AA, AP	54 (1990)*	G, P, AA, AP
Hong Kong 49 (1966)* G, H, AA, AP 45 (1991)* G, H, AA, AP	Hong Kong	49 (1966)*	G, H, AA, AP	45 (1991)*	G, H, AA, AP
Hungary 22.91 (1967)* N, P, AA, AP 20.42 (1991)* N, HC, AA, AP	Hungary	22.91 (1967)*	N, P, AA, AP	20.42 (1991)*	N, HC, AA, AP
India 31.14 (1965)* E, P, AA, AP 29.69 (1990)* SPDS	India	31.14 (1965)*	E, P, AA, AP	29.69 (1990)*	SPDS
Indonesia 33.3 (1964)* E, P, AA, AP 33.18 (1990)* E, P, AA, AP	Indonesia	33.3 (1964)*	E, P, AA, AP	33.18 (1990)*	E, P, AA, AP
Iran 41.88 (1969)* E, P, AA, AP 42.9 (1984) E, P, AA, AP	Iran	41.88 (1969)*	E, P, AA, AP	42.9 (1984)	E, P, AA, AP
Ireland 36.7 (1973) N, H, AA, AP 35.2 (1987)* SPDS	Ireland	36.7 (1973)	N, H, AA, AP	35.2 (1987)*	SPDS
Israel 37.08 (1961)* I, P, AA, T 45.3 (1992)* I, P, AA, AP	Israel	37.08 (1961)*	I, P, AA, T	45.3 (1992)*	I, P, AA, AP
Italy 40 (1967)* N, H, AA, AP 32.5 (1991)* SPDS	Italy	40 (1967)*	N, H, AA, AP	32.5 (1991)*	SPDS
Jamaica 41.272 (1971) E. H. AA. AP 41.1 (1991)* E. HC. AA. AP	Jamaica	41.272 (1971)	E. H. AA. AP	41.1 (1991)*	E. HC. AA. AP
Japan 34.8 (1965)* G. H. AA. AP 35 (1990)* G. H. AA. AP	Japan	34.8 (1965)*	G, H, AA, AP	35 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
Kenya 63 (1964) I, P, AA, T 57.5 (1992)* E, HC, AA, AP	Kenya	63 (1964)	I, P, AA, T	57.5 (1992)*	E, HC, AA, AP
Korea, Republic of 34.34 (1965)* G. H. AA. AP 33.64 (1988)* G. H. AA. AP	Korea, Republic of	34.34 (1965)*	G, H, AA, AP	33.64 (1988)*	G, H, AA, AP
Lebanon 55 (1960)* G. P. AA. AP NA	Lebanon	55 (1960)*	G. P. AA. AP	NA	, , ,
Madagascar 53 (1960)* G. P. AA. AP 46 (1993)* E. HC AA AP	Madagascar	53 (1960)*	G, P, AA. AP	46 (1993)*	E, HC, AA, AP
Malawi $45.2 (1969)$ I. P. AA IR $62 (1993)^*$ F. P. AA AP	Malawi	45.2 (1969)	L.P. AA. IR	62 (1993)*	E.P. AA. AP
Malavsia $48.3(1967)^*$ G H AA AP $48.35(1989)^*$ G P AA AP	Malaysia	48.3 (1967)*	G H AA AP	48 35 (1989)*	G P AA AP
Mexico 55.5 (1963)* G H AA AP 53.09 (1989)* G P AA AP	Mexico	55.5 (1963)*	G.H. AA AP	53.09 (1989)*	G.P. AA AP
Morocco 50 (1965) G. P. AA. AP 39.2 (1991)* E. HC. AA. AP	Morocco	50 (1965)	G. P. AA. AP	39.2 (1991)*	E. HC. AA. AP

Myanmar	35 (1958)	G, P, AA, AP	NA	
Netherlands, The	35.4 (1967)*	N, H, AA, T	29.3846 (1991)*	N, HC, AA, AP
New Zealand	57.7 (1965)	I, P, AA, T	40.21 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
Niger	34 (1960)*	G, P, AA, AP	36.1 (1992)*	E, HC, AA, AP
Nigeria	57.94 (1970)*	N, P, AA, T	41.15 (1992)*	E, P, AA, AP
Norway	36.04 (1967)*	N, H, AA, AP	33.31 (1991)*	SPDS
Pakistan	35.51 (1966)*	G, H, AA, AP	32.38 (1988)*	G, H, AA, AP
Panama	48 (1969)*	G, P, AA, AP	57 (1989)*	G, P, AA, AP
Peru	61 (1961)*	G, H, AA, AP	46.43 (1991)*	G, H, AA, AP
Philippines	50.5 (1965)*	G, H, AA, AP	47.7 (1991)*	SPDS
Poland	26 (1965)	I, P, AA, AP	31 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
Portugal	40.58 (1973)	N, H, AA, AP	36.76 (1990)*	N, H, AA, AP
Puerto Rico	52.32 (1969)*	G, H, AA, AP	50.86 (1989)*	SPDS
Senegal	56 (1960)*	G, P, AA, AP	54.12 (1991)*	E, P, AA, AP
Sierra Leone	56 (1968)*	G, P, AA, AP	62.9 (1989)*	E, HC, AA, AP
Singapore	49.83 (1966)*	G, P, AA, EP	39 (1989)*	G, H, AA, AP
South Africa	56 (1965)	I, P, AA, AP	63 (1990)*	G, HC, AA, AP
Spain	31.99 (1965)*	G, H, AA, AP	32.99 (1991)*	G, H, AA, AP
Sri Lanka	47 (1963)*	G, H, AA, AP	46.7 (1987)*	SPDS
Sudan	38.72 (1968)*	G, H, AA, AP	NA	
Surinam	30 (1962)*	G, P, AA, AP	NA	
Sweden	37.9242 (1967)*	G, H, AA, AP	31.112 (1992)*	SPDS
Taiwan	32.43 (1966)*	N, P, AA, AP	30.11 (1990)*	SPDS
Tanzania	54 (1964)*	G, P, AA, AP	59.01 (1991)*	E, P, AA, AP
Thailand	42.9 (1968)*	G, H, AA, AP	48.8 (1990)*	G, H, AA, AP
Trinidad and Tobago	53.9 (1971)	G, H, AA, AP	40.3 (1992)	I, HC, AA, AP
Tunisia	42.3 (1965)*	E, P, AA, AP	41 (1990)*	E, P, AA, AP
Turkey	56 (1968)*	G, H, AA, AP	44.09 (1987)*	G, H, AA, AP
Uganda	40.7 (1970)	I, P, AA, AP	40.78 (1992)*	E, P, AA, AP
Ukraine	24.6 (1968)*	I, P, AA, EP	24.4 (1989)*	I, P, AA, EP
United Kingdom	24.3 (1965)*	N, H, AA, AP	32.3 (1990)*	SPDS
United States	34.64 (1965)*	G, H, AA, AP	37.8 (1990)*	SPDS
Uruguay	44.9 (1967)	I, H, AA, AP	NA	
USSR	26.2 (1968)*	I, P, AA, EP	27.2 (1989)*	I, P, AA, EP
Venezuela	42 (1962)	G, P, AA, AP	44.4 (1990)*	G, P, AA, AP
Yugoslavia	30.6 (1965)*	G, P, AA, IR	31.88 (1990)*	SPDS
Zambia	79.5 (1970)	I, P, AA, IR	43.51 (1991)*	E, P, AA, AP
Zimbabwe	66.27 (1968)	I, P, AA, IR	56.83 (1990)*	E, P, AA, AP

Note: Figures in brackets are the years of observations. In the second and the fourth columns, an asterisk "*" indicates that the data are categorised as reliable data in our dataset. Data were categorised as reliable in our dataset if they satisfied both of the two criteria: 1) data are categorised as "reliable data" in the WIID; 2) A gap between the year of observation and the year of concern (1965 or 1990) is no more than 5 years. In columns of data description, income definition, reference unit, area coverage and population coverage are shown in order. 1) Income definition: G= Gross income; N= Net income; I= other income, or no information on the type of income is available; E: Expenditure. 2) Reference unit: H= Household; P= Person; HC=Household per capita. 3) Area coverage: AA=All area; M= Metro Area; R= Rural area; ?= no information given. 4) Population coverage: AP=All population; IR=Income recipients; T=Tax payers; EA=Economically active population; EP=Employed population. In the fifth column, SPDS means that the data employed for 1965. When data circa 1990 is available and data circa 1965 is not available, such country samples were not included in our dataset for the nature of our analysis. The figures shown are pre-adjustment values. For our analysis, +6.6 was added to the figures shown, if income definition is expenditure. Our income equality indices were constructed by [100 – Gini coefficient]. As for the change variables, which we created for the dependent variables, only if all the data used in the calculation are reliable data, the created figures were categorised as reliable data; otherwise, the created figures were included only in the largest possible sample.

REFERENCES

- Alesina, A., and Perotti, R. (1996). "Income distribution, political instability, and investment", *European Economic Review* 40 (6), pp. 1203-28.
- Alesina, A., and Rodrik, D. (1994). "Distributive politics and economic growth", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 109 (2), pp. 465-90.
- Barro, R. J. (1997). Determinants of Economic Growth: Cross-Country Empirical Study, MIT Press, Cambridge, USA.
- Barro, R. J. (2000). "Inequality and growth in a panel of countries", *Journal of Economic Growth* 5 (1), pp. 5-32.
- Barro, R. J., and Lee, J. W. (1994). "Data set for a panel of 138 countries", from http://www.columbia.edu/~xs23/data/readme.txt.
- Barro, R. J., and Lee, J. W. (1996). "International measures of schooling years and schooling quality", *Papers and Proceedings of American Economic Review* 86 (2), pp. 218-23.
- Bleaney, M., and A. Nishiyama. (2002). "Explaining growth: A contest between models", *Journal of Economic Growth* 7 (1), pp. 43-56.
- Chang, J.Y., and Ram, R. (2000). "Level of development, rate of economic growth, and income inequality", *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 48 (4), pp. 787-99.
- Clarke, G. R. G. (1995). "More evidence on income distribution and growth", *Journal of Development Economics* 47, pp. 403-27.
- Deininger, K., and Squire, L. (1996). "A new data set measuring income inequality", *World Bank Economic Review* 10 (3), pp. 565-91.
- Deininger, K., and Squire, L. (1998). "New ways of looking at old issues: inequality and growth", *Journal of Development Economics* 57, pp. 259-87.
- Forbes, K. (2000). "A reassessment of the relationship between inequality and growth", *American Economic Review* 90 (4), pp. 869-887.
- Knowles, S. (2001). "Inequality and economic growth: The empirical relationship reconsidered in the light of comparable data", CREDIT Research Paper No.01/03, Centre for Research in Economic Development and International Trade, University of Nottingham.
- Li, H., Squire, L., and Zou, H. (1998). "Explaining international and intertemporal variations in income inequality", *Economic Journal* 108, pp. 26-43.
- Li, H., and Zou, H. (1998). "Income inequality is not harmful for growth: theory and evidence", *Review of Development Economics* 2 (3), pp. 318-34.
- Odedokun, M.O., and Round, J.I. (2001). "Determinants of income inequality and its effects on economic growth: evidence from African countries", Conference paper (the Growth and Poverty Conference, World Institute for Development Economics Research, United Nations University, Helsinki, May 25-26, 2001).

- Partridge, M.D. (1997). "Is inequality harmful for growth? Comment", *American Economic Review* 87 (5), pp. 1019-32.
- Perotti, R. (1996). "Growth, income distribution, and democracy: What the data say", *Journal of Economic Growth* 1 (2), pp. 149-87.
- Persson, T., and Tabellini, G. (1994). "Is inequality harmful for growth?", *American Economic Review* 84 (3), pp. 600-21.
- Ravallion, M. (2001). "Inequality convergence", Working Paper No.2645 (July 2001), World Bank.
- Sachs, J. D., and Warner, A. M. (1997). "Sources of slow growth in African economies", *Journal of African Economies* 6 (3), pp. 335-76.
- Sarel, M. (1997). "How macroeconomic factors affect income distribution: The crosscountry evidence", IMF Working Paper WP/97/152 (November 1997), International Monetary Fund.
- WIDER WIID (2000). *World Income Inequality Database V1.0* (12 September 2000), World Institute for Development Economics Research, United Nations University.
- World Bank (1992). World Tables 1992, John Hopkins University Press (Maryland, USA).

World Bank (1994). *World Tables 1994*, John Hopkins University Press (Maryland, USA). World Bank (2000). *Terms of Trade Data*.

CREDIT PAPERS

- 01/01 **Tim Lloyd, Oliver Morrissey and Robert Osei,** "Aid, Exports and Growth in Ghana"
- 01/02 **Christophe Muller,** "Relative Poverty from the Perspective of Social Class: Evidence from The Netherlands"
- 01/03 **Stephen Knowles,** "Inequality and Economic Growth: The Empirical Relationship Reconsidered in the Light of Comparable Data"
- 01/04 A. Cuadros, V. Orts and M.T. Alguacil, "Openness and Growth: Re-Examining Foreign Direct Investment and Output Linkages in Latin America"
- 01/05 Harold Alderman, Simon Appleton, Lawrence Haddad, Lina Song and Yisehac Yohannes, "Reducing Child Malnutrition: How Far Does Income Growth Take Us?"
- 01/06 **Robert Lensink and Oliver Morrissey,** "Foreign Direct Investment: Flows, Volatility and Growth"
- 01/07 Adam Blake, Andrew McKay and Oliver Morrissey, "The Impact on Uganda of Agricultural Trade Liberalisation"
- 01/08 **R. Quentin Grafton, Stephen Knowles and P. Dorian Owen,** "Social Divergence and Economic Performance"
- 01/09 **David Byrne and Eric Strobl,** "Defining Unemployment in Developing Countries: The Case of Trinidad and Tobago"
- 01/10 **Holger Görg and Eric Strobl,** "The Incidence of Visible Underemployment: Evidence for Trinidad and Tobago"
- 01/11 **Abbi Mamo Kedir,** "Some Issues in Using Unit Values as Prices in the Estimation of Own-Price Elasticities: Evidence from Urban Ethiopia"
- 01/12 Eric Strobl and Frank Walsh, "Minimum Wages and Compliance: The Case of Trinidad and Tobago"
- 01/13 Mark McGillivray and Oliver Morrissey, "A Review of Evidence on the Fiscal Effects of Aid"
- 01/14 **Tim Lloyd, Oliver Morrissey and Robert Osei,** "Problems with Pooling in Panel Data Analysis for Developing Countries: The Case of Aid and Trade Relationships"
- 01/15 **Oliver Morrissey,** "Pro-Poor Conditionality for Aid and Debt Relief in East Africa"
- 01/16 Zdenek Drabek and Sam Laird, "Can Trade Policy help Mobilize Financial Resources for Economic Development?"
- 01/17 **Michael Bleaney and Lisenda Lisenda**, "Monetary Policy After Financial Liberalisation: A Central Bank Reaction Function for Botswana"
- 01/18 **Holger Görg and Eric Strobl,** "Relative Wages, Openness and Skill-Biased Technological Change in Ghana"
- 01/19 **Dirk Willem te Velde and Oliver Morrissey,** "Foreign Ownership and Wages: Evidence from Five African Countries"
- 01/20 **Suleiman Abrar,** "Duality, Choice of Functional Form and Peasant Supply Response in Ethiopia"
- 01/21 **John Rand and Finn Tarp,** "Business Cycles in Developing Countries: Are They Different?"

- 01/22 Simon Appleton, "Education, Incomes and Poverty in Uganda in the 1990s"
- 02/01 Eric Strobl and Robert Thornton, "Do Large Employers Pay More in Developing Countries? The Case of Five African Countries"
- 02/02 Mark McGillivray and J. Ram Pillarisetti, "International Inequality in Human Development, Real Income and Gender-related Development"
- 02/03 **Sourafel Girma and Abbi M. Kedir,** "When Does Food Stop Being a Luxury? Evidence from Quadratic Engel Curves with Measurement Error"
- 02/04 Indraneel Dasgupta and Ravi Kanbur, "Class, Community, Inequality"
- 02/05 Karuna Gomanee, Sourafel Girma and Oliver Morrissey, "Aid and Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: Accounting for Transmission Mechanisms"
- 02/06 **Michael Bleaney and Marco Gunderman,** "Stabilisations, Crises and the "Exit" Problem A Theoretical Model"
- 02/07 Eric Strobl and Frank Walsh, "Getting It Right: Employment Subsidy or Minimum Wage? Evidence from Trinidad and Tobago"
- 02/08 Carl-Johan Dalgaard, Henrik Hansen and Finn Tarp, "On the Empirics of Foreign Aid and Growth"
- 02/09 **Teresa Alguacil, Ana Cuadros and Vincente Orts,** "Does Saving Really Matter for Growth? Mexico (1970-2000)"
- 02/10 Simon Feeny and Mark McGillivray, "Modelling Inter-temporal Aid Allocation"
- 02/11 Mark McGillivray, "Aid, Economic Reform and Public Sector Fiscal Behaviour in Developing Countries"
- 02/12 Indraneel Dasgupta and Ravi Kanbur, "How Workers Get Poor *Because* Capitalists Get Rich: A General Equilibrium Model of Labor Supply, Community, and the Class Distribution of Income"
- 02/13 Lucian Cernat, Sam Laird and Alessandro Turrini, "How Important are Market Access Issues for Developing Countries in the Doha Agenda?"
- 02/14 Ravi Kanbur, "Education, Empowerment and Gender Inequalities"
- 02/15 **Eric Strobl,** "Is Education Used as a Signaling Device for Productivity in Developing Countries?"
- 02/16 Suleiman Abrar, Oliver Morrissey and Tony Rayner, "Supply Response of Peasant Farmers in Ethiopia"
- 02/17 Stephen Knowles, "Does Social Capital Affect Foreign Aid Allocations?"
- 02/18 **Dirk Willem te Velde and Oliver Morrissey,** "Spatial Inequality for Manufacturing Wages in Five African Countries"
- 02/19 Jennifer Mbabazi, Oliver Morrissey and Chris Milner, "The Fragility of the Evidence on Inequality, Trade Liberalisation, Growth and Poverty"
- 02/20 **Robert Osei, Oliver Morrissey and Robert Lensink,** "The Volatility of Capital Inflows: Measures and Trends for Developing Countries"
- 02/21 Miyuki Shibata and Oliver Morrissey, "Private Capital Inflows and Macroeconomic Stability in Sub-Saharan African Countries"
- 02/22 L. Alan Winters, Neil McCulloch and Andrew McKay, "Trade Liberalisation and Poverty: The Empirical Evidence"
- 02/23 **Oliver Morrissey,** "British Aid Policy Since 1997: Is DFID the Standard Bearer for Donors?"

- 02/24 Öner Günçavdi, Suat Küçükçifçi and Andrew McKay, "Adjustment, Stabilisation and the Analysis of the Employment Structure in Turkey: An Input-Output Approach"
- 02/25 **Christophe Muller**, "Censored Quantile Regressions of Chronic and Transient Seasonal Poverty in Rwanda"
- 02/26 Henrik Hansen, "The Impact of Aid and External Debt on Growth and Investment"
- 02/27 Andrew McKay and David Lawson, "Chronic Poverty in Developing and Transition Countries: Concepts and Evidence"
- 02/28 Michael Bleaney and Akira Nishiyama, "Economic Growth and Income Inequality"

SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS DISCUSSION PAPERS

In addition to the CREDIT series of research papers the School of Economics produces a discussion paper series dealing with more general aspects of economics. Below is a list of recent titles published in this series.

- 00/1 Tae-Hwan Kim and Christophe Muller, "Two-Stage Quantile Regression"
- 00/2 Spiros Bougheas, Panicos O. Demetrides and Edgar L.W. Morgenroth, "International Aspects of Public Infrastructure Investment"
- 00/3 Michael Bleaney, "Inflation as Taxation: Theory and Evidence"
- 00/4 Michael Bleaney, "Financial Fragility and Currency Crises"
- 00/5 **Sourafel Girma,** "A Quasi-Differencing Approach to Dynamic Modelling from a Time Series of Independent Cross Sections"
- 00/6 **Spiros Bougheas and Paul Downward,** "The Economics of Professional Sports Leagues: A Bargaining Approach"
- 00/7 Marta Aloi, Hans Jørgen Jacobsen and Teresa Lloyd-Braga, "Endogenous Business Cycles and Stabilization Policies"
- 00/8 A. Ghoshray, T.A. Lloyd and A.J. Rayner, "EU Wheat Prices and its Relation with Other Major Wheat Export Prices"
- 00/9 **Christophe Muller**, "Transient-Seasonal and Chronic Poverty of Peasants: Evidence from Rwanda"
- 00/10 **Gwendolyn C. Morrison**, "Embedding and Substitution in Willingness to Pay"
- 00/11 **Claudio Zoli,** "Inverse Sequential Stochastic Dominance: Rank-Dependent Welfare, Deprivation and Poverty Measurement"
- 00/12 **Tae-Hwan Kim, Stephen Leybourne and Paul Newbold,** "Unit Root Tests With a Break in Variance"
- 00/13 **Tae-Hwan Kim, Stephen Leybourne and Paul Newbold**, "Asymptotic Mean Squared Forecast Error When an Autoregression With Linear Trend is Fitted to Data Generated by an I(0) or I(1) Process"
- 00/14 **Michelle Haynes and Steve Thompson,** "The Productivity Impact of IT Deployment: An Empirical Evaluation of ATM Introduction"
- 00/15 Michelle Haynes, Steve Thompson and Mike Wright, "The Determinants of Corporate Divestment in the UK"
- 00/16 John Beath, Robert Owen, Joanna Poyago-Theotoky and David Ulph, "Optimal Incentives for Incoming Generations within Universities"
- 00/17 S. McCorriston, C. W. Morgan and A. J. Rayner, "Price Transmission: The Interaction Between Firm Behaviour and Returns to Scale"
- 00/18 **Tae-Hwan Kim, Douglas Stone and Halbert White,** "Asymptotic and Bayesian Confidence Intervals for Sharpe Style Weights"
- 00/19 **Tae-Hwan Kim and Halbert White,** "James-Stein Type Estimators in Large Samples with Application to the Least Absolute Deviation Estimator"
- 00/20 **Gwendolyn C. Morrison,** "Expected Utility and the Endowment Effect: Some Experimental Results"
- 00/21 **Christophe Muller**, "Price Index Distribution and Utilitarian Social Evaluation Functions"

- 00/22 **Michael Bleaney,** "Investor Sentiment, Discounts and Returns on Closed-End Funds"
- 00/23 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley,** "Joint Production Games and Share Functions"
- 00/24 **Joanna Poyago-Theotoky,** "Voluntary Approaches, Emission Taxation and the Organization of Environmental R&D"
- 00/25 Michael Bleaney, Norman Gemmell and Richard Kneller, "Testing the Endogenous Growth Model: Public Expenditure, Taxation and Growth Over the Long-Run"
- 00/26 **Michael Bleaney and Marco Gundermann,** "Credibility Gains and Output Losses: A Model of Exchange Rate Anchors"
- 00/27 **Indraneel Dasgupta**, "Gender Biased Redistribution and Intra-Household Distribution"
- 00/28 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley,** "Rentseeking by Players with Constant Absolute Risk Aversion"
- 00/29 S.J. Leybourne, P. Newbold, D. Vougas and T. Kim, "A Direct Test for Cointegration Between a Pair of Time Series"
- 00/30 **Claudio Zoli,** "Inverse Stochastic Dominance, Inequality Measurement and Gini Indices"
- 01/01 Spiros Bougheas, "Optimism, Education, and Industrial Development"
- 01/02 **Tae-Hwan Kim and Paul Newbold**, "Unit Root Tests Based on Inequality-Restricted Estimators"
- 01/03 Christophe Muller, "Defining Poverty Lines as a Fraction of Central Tendency"
- 01/04 **Claudio Piga and Joanna Poyago-Theotoky**, "Shall We Meet Halfway? Endogenous Spillovers and Locational Choice"
- 01/05 **Ilias Skamnelos,** "Sunspot Panics, Information-Based Bank Runs and Suspension of Deposit Convertibility"
- 01/06 **Spiros Bougheas and Yannis Georgellis,** "Apprenticeship Training, Earnings Profiles and Labour Turnover: Theory and German Evidence"
- 01/07 **M.J. Andrews, S. Bradley and R. Upward,** "Employer Search, Vacancy Duration and Skill Shortages"
- 01/08 Marta Aloi and Laurence Lasselle, "Growing Through Subsidies"
- 01/09 **Marta Aloi and Huw D. Dixon,** "Entry Dynamics, Capacity Utilisation, and Productivity in a Dynamic Open Economy"
- 01/10 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley,** "Asymmetric Contests with General Technologies"
- 01/11 Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley, "Disguised Aggregative Games"
- 01/12 Spiros Bougheas and Tim Worrall, "Cost Padding in Regulated Monopolies"
- 10/13 Alan Duncan, Gillian Paull and Jayne Taylor, "Price and Quality in the UK Childcare Market"
- 01/14 **John Creedy and Alan Duncan**, "Aggregating Labour Supply and Feedback Effects in Microsimulation"
- 01/15 Alan Duncan, Gillian Paull and Jayne Taylor, "Mothers' Employment and Use of Childcare in the United Kingdom"

- 02/01 **Mark A. Roberts,** "Central Wage Setting Under Multiple Technological Equilibria: A Mechanism for Equilibrium Elimination"
- 02/02 Mark A. Roberts, "Employment Under Wage-Only and Wage-Employment Bargaining: The Role of the Government Budget Constraint"
- 02/03 Mark A. Roberts, "Can the Capital Gains Arising from an Unfunded Pensions Reform Make it Pareto-Improving?"
- 02/04 **Mehrdad Sepahvand,** "Privatisation in a Regulated Market, Open to Foreign Competition"
- 02/05 Mark A. Roberts, "Can Pay-As-You Go Pensions Raise the Capital Stock?"
- 02/06 Indraneel Dasgupta, "Consistent Firm Choice and the Theory of Supply"
- 02/07 **Michael Bleaney,** "The Aftermath of a Currency Collapse: How Different Are Emerging Markets?"
- 02/08 **Richard Cornes and Roger Hartley,** "Dissipation in Rent-Seeking Contests with Entry Costs"
- 02/09 Eric O'N. Fisher and Mark A. Roberts, "Funded Pensions, Labor Market Participation, and Economic Growth"
- 02/10 **Spiros Bougheas,** "Imperfect Capital Markets, Income Distribution and the 'Credit Channel': A General Equilibrium Approach"
- 02/11 Simona Mateut, Spiros Bougheas and Paul Mizen, "Trade Credit, Bank Lending and Monetary Policy Transmission"
- 02/12 **Bouwe R. Dijkstra,** "Time Consistency and Investment Incentives in Environmental Policy"
- 02/13 Bouwe R. Dijkstra, "Samaritan vs Rotten Kid: Another Look"
- 02/14 **Michael Bleaney and Mark A. Roberts,** "International Labour Mobility and Unemployment"

Members of the Centre

Director

Oliver Morrissey - aid policy, trade and agriculture

Research Fellows (Internal)

Simon Appleton – poverty, education, household economics Adam Blake – CGE models of low-income countries Mike Bleaney - growth, international macroeconomics Indraneel Dasgupta – development theory, household bargaining Norman Gemmell – growth and public sector issues Ken Ingersent - agricultural trade Tim Lloyd – agricultural commodity markets Andrew McKay - poverty, peasant households, agriculture Chris Milner - trade and development Wyn Morgan - futures markets, commodity markets Christophe Muller – poverty, household panel econometrics Tony Rayner - agricultural policy and trade

Research Fellows (External)

David Fielding (University of Leicester) – investment, monetary and fiscal policy Ravi Kanbur (Cornell) – inequality, public goods – <u>Visiting Research Fellow</u> HenrikHansen (University of Copenhagen) – aid and growth Stephen Knowles (University of Otago) – inequality and growth Sam Laird (UNCTAD) – trade policy, WTO Robert Lensink (University of Groningen) – aid, investment, macroeconomics Scott McDonald (University of Sheffield) – CGE modelling, agriculture Mark McGillivray (WIDER, Helsinki) – aid allocation, aid policy Doug Nelson (Tulane University) - political economy of trade Shelton Nicholls (University of West Indies) – trade, integration Eric Strobl (University of Louvain) – labour markets Finn Tarp (University of Copenhagen) – aid, CGE modelling