

Giardini, Angelo; Frese, Michael

Article

Affective complementarity in service encounters

Management Revue

Provided in Cooperation with:

Rainer Hampp Verlag

Suggested Citation: Giardini, Angelo; Frese, Michael (2007) : Affective complementarity in service encounters, Management Revue, ISSN 1861-9916, Rainer Hampp Verlag, Mering, Vol. 18, Iss. 1, pp. 75-87

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/78955>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Angelo Giardini, Michael Frese*

Affective Complementarity in Service Encounters**

Face-to-face interactions are a crucial part of services. However, research that investigates the dynamics of service encounters is still rare. In this study we used a theoretical framework that aligned the concept of interpersonal complementarity with Mehrabian and Russell's (1974) three-dimensional model of affect. We hypothesized that there are positive relationships between employees' and customers' affective experience of pleasantness and arousal (correspondence rule) and a negative relationship between the interactants' experience of power (reciprocity rule). Furthermore, we explored the role of gender combination in service encounters. We tested our hypotheses with a sample of 29 service employees and 345 service encounters. Using hierarchical linear modeling (HLM), our hypotheses were confirmed. Furthermore, we found that the relationship between employee and customer arousal was affected by the gender combination.

Key words: **Emotions, Service, Social Interaction**

* Angelo Giardini, Department of Business Administration, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Licher Str. 66, D – 35394 Giessen, Germany,
e-mail: angelo.giardini@wirtschaft.uni-giessen.de

Michael Frese, Department of Psychology, Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Otto-Behaghel-Str. 10F, D – 35394 Giessen, Germany,
email: michael.frese@psychol.uni-giessen.de

** Article received: October 16, 2006

Revised version accepted after double blind review: February 6, 2007.

Face-to-face interactions are a crucial part of services. This stems primarily from the fact that production and consumption of services cannot be separated, that is, services are produced and consumed at the same time (Zeithaml/Parasumaran/Berry 1985). Service encounters are commonly conceptualized as a special case of dyadic interactions (Solomon/Surprenant/Czepiel/Gutman 1985). The roles of the service employee and the customer are well defined and so is the set of behavioral rules that each interactant is expected to follow (Solomon et al. 1985). However, despite the obvious importance of face-to-face interactions in the delivery of services, empirical studies on the dynamics of service encounters are still rare. Most of these studies have a special focus on affective processes (e.g., Barger/Grandey 2006; Giardini/Frese 2006a; Pugh 2001; Rafaeli 1989). However, the usually conceptualize affect as a one-dimensional variable. In contrast, the present study draws upon a multidimensional approach to affect. This allows us to test specific relationships between interactants' affective experiences that have not been investigated before. More specifically, we argue that certain rules of dyadic behavior (i.e., rules of correspondence and reciprocity) can also be identified in the domain of affective experiences in service encounters. Moreover, we will explore whether the relationships between service employees' and customers' affect is moderated by characteristics of the gender combination within the encounter.

Theoretical background

Social interactions and rules of complementarity

Most models of interpersonal behavior describe social interactions as a constant exchange of messages between the interactants. For example, Kiesler (1979) suggests that a relationship between two individuals is the momentary result of the "reciprocal command messages". This relationship consists of two parts. First, an encoder sends a message, called the evoking message, "by which an encoder imposes a condition of emotional, cognitive, and imaginal engagement on the decoder" (Kiesler/Schmidt/Wagner 1997: 222). Second, the decoder covertly registers a so-called impact message that consists of four classes of internal responses: direct feelings, action tendencies, perceived evoking messages, and fantasies. An overt response by the decoder closes the interaction circle.

Further, it has been argued that interpersonal behavior is designed to evoke or trigger restricted classes of reactions (Kiesler 1983). Individuals constantly try to influence others to respond in a manner that confirms our self-definitions and satisfies our needs for security and affiliation (Carson 1969; Kiesler 1983; Wiggins 1981). In this respect the concept of "interpersonal complementarity" is central to interpersonal models of behavior (e.g., Carlson 1969; Kiesler 1983; Leary 1957; Markey/Funder/Ozer 2003). More specifically, it is suggested that action and reaction follow certain rules of complementarity that are described on the dimensions of "control" and "affiliation". A central proposition states that

[c]omplementarity occurs on the basis of (a) reciprocity in respect to the Control dimension or axis (dominance pulls submission, submission pulls dominance), and (b) correspondence in respect to the Affiliation dimension (hostility pulls hostility, friendliness pulls friendliness). (Kiesler 1983: 201)

Thus, behavior in social interactions is described as eliciting or restraining subsequent behavior from the other on these two dimensions.

Unfortunately, the theoretical underpinnings for these rules are not well elaborated (Wiggins 1981). Rather, striving for complementarity is more or less explicitly handled as an anthropological constant. Moreover, Orford (1986) reviewed empirical evidence for these rules and found only partial support. Specifically, he showed that the rules work well for the affiliation dimension, that is, friendly-dominant behavior pulls mainly friendly-submissive reactions. Contrary to the predictions, however, there are no clear patterns with regard to the control dimension (but see also Markey et al. 2003). For example, hostile-dominant behavior very often triggers hostile-dominant behavior. Orford (1986) discussed three variables that might explain the mixed results. He argued that role or status of the interactions affect interpersonal contingencies quite strongly. Furthermore, he suggests that duration of the relationship makes a difference in the sense that rules of complementarity become less and less important over time. Finally, gender of the interactants might play a role. Given these problematic issues, the context of service encounters seems particularly suitable to test the rules of complementarity. In service encounters, role and status of customers and employees are clearly defined. Furthermore, the encounters we will study mostly involve interactions between individuals that have a formal and distant relationship rather than a private and long-term relationship. The role of gender, however, might indeed be important in service encounters (Rafaeli 1989). Thus, in this study we will explore this issue by explicitly modeling the gender combination within the encounter. We will turn to this aspect below.

Linking interpersonal behavior and affect

As was mentioned above, in social interactions, an encoder elicits internal experiences in the decoder. These internal events (e.g., direct feelings, action readiness) mediate a large part of overt reactions. Kiesler and colleagues (1997) argue that the components of the impact message (see above) overlap to a great extent with the common conceptualization of an affective experience as a set of covert responses triggered by an appraisal of the situation's significance and valence (Frijda 1986; Lazarus/ Kanner/Folkman 1980; Plutchik 1991). In fact, Frijda's (1986) widely accepted definition of emotions reflects how closely connected affective experiences and interpersonal behavior are:

Emotions then can be defined as modes of relational action readiness, either in the form of tendencies to establish, maintain, or disrupt a relationship with the environment or in the form of mode of relational readiness as such. (Frijda 1986: 71)

Throughout this paper we use the term affect as the more integrative term that comprises emotions as well as moods. In the literature, emotions and moods have been clearly distinguished. Moods have a longer duration and a weaker intensity than emotions. They also lack object specificity, that is, moods as an experiential phenomenon are not directed towards an object (Morris 1989; Weiss/Cropanzano 1996). Thus, behavioral responses to mood states seem to be less connected to the actual sources. This may mean that moods are not a vehicle through which interpersonal behavior is guided. However, research on the phenomenon of affective contagion (Hatfield/

Caccioppo/Rapson 1994; Neumann/Strack, 2000) has shown that people catch the expressed mood in an interaction because they are inclined to mimic the (mostly) non-verbal expressions of the other (smile when the other smiles). Through processes of self-perception individuals infer their own mood (e.g., “I smile, therefore, I must be in a good mood”; Stepper/Strack, 1993). The mood is then expressed and mimicked by the other, and so on. Thus, not only emotions but also mood can drive interpersonal behavior. We will return to the contagion processes below. At first, however, we will briefly turn to the dimensional approach to affective experience.

The structure of affect

The structure of affective experience has been described within different models and structures (for an overview see Yik/Russell/Feldman Barrett 1999). All in all, either two or three dimensions seem to emerge (Russell 1991): pleasantness (or evaluation, valence), arousal (or activity, activation), and power (or potency, dominance). For Osgood (1969) this structure reflects an innate emotional reaction system, that is, all humans ascribe emotional meaning to events or objects in terms of these three basic dimensions. However, only a few studies have been able to identify all three dimensions simultaneously (Kluger/Rafaeli 2000; Takahashi 1995). Pleasantness is the most frequently found dimension of affective experience, combined with either arousal (e.g., Russell/Lewicka/Niit 1989) or power (e.g. Gehm/Scherer 1988). Russell (1991) suggests that the emergence of arousal vs. dominance is very much dependent upon the items used to measure affect. Items that focus on an interpersonal context make the emergence of a power dimension more probable, whereas items that focus on a non-interpersonal context may elicit an arousal dimension.

Objectives and hypotheses

In this study we will relate the complementarity rules of social interactions (e.g., Kiesler, 1983) to the three-dimensional structure of affect. In fact, Kiesler and colleagues (1997) recognized the similarity between his circumplex model of interpersonal behavior and two-dimensional models of affect (e.g., Russell/Pratt 1980; Watson/Tellegen 1985) and pleaded for a meaningful alignment of these models. Following this argument, we suggest that self-reports of the interactants’ affective experience during the encounter represent an adequate means for reflecting the nature of the relationship. As outlined above, there is a strong tie between covert affective reactions and overt behavior. As a consequence, the complementarity in social interactions should not only be reflected in overt responses but also in the affective state of the interactants.

Going a step further, we predict relationships with regard to the single dimensions of affect. First, we argue that the pleasantness dimension corresponds to the “affiliation” dimension. Both dimensions involve some kind of valence appraisal of the person and/or the situation. Furthermore, the process of affective contagion plays an important role. Expressions of friendliness and sympathy elicit corresponding reactions, a phenomenon also found in service encounters (Barger/Grandey 2006; Pugh 2001). Moreover, Giardini and Frese (2006b) showed that contagion processes can also be found on the level of employees’ and customers’ experience of positive affect. Thus, transferring the correspondence rule of affiliation, we hypothesize that there is a

positive relationship between the employee's and the customer's experience of pleasantness during the encounter.

Hypothesis 1: There is a positive relationship between employee pleasantness and customer pleasantness

The arousal dimension does not have a direct counterpart in the dimensions of interpersonal behavior. Nevertheless, we argue that the interactants' affective states on the arousal dimension are positively related. It seems plausible to suggest that contagion processes again play an important role. For example, Schacter and Singer's (1962) classical study illustrates how people assimilate to others' feelings of anger or euphoria, emotions which are clearly related to arousal.

Hypothesis 2: There is a positive relationship between employee arousal and customer arousal

Finally, we suggest that the power dimension of affective experience accords to the control dimension of interpersonal behavior. For example, behavior aimed at controlling the situation should be accompanied by an affective state that represents superiority or power in the actor and triggers an affective reaction with feelings of inferiority in the other participant. Thus, a reciprocal (i.e., a negative) relationship between the interactants' affective states with regard to power is hypothesized. Social interactions in service settings seem to be especially suitable to study this effect. Bateson (1985), for example, describes service encounters as a fight for control and power between the customer and the employee. Different aspects of the situation can legitimize the exercise of power in service encounters. One aspect is expertise. In this study we investigate service encounters in which, in most cases, the employee is the expert and the customer depends to a great extent on his/her expertise.

Hypothesis 3: There is a negative relationship between employee power and customer power

In addition, we want to further explore the role of gender in service encounters. Gender differences with regard to the role of affect in interactions have long been discussed. A number of researchers have argued that, in social interactions, women tend to send verbal and nonverbal signals that reflect warmth and sympathy whereas men send more signals that relate to status or power (Frieze/Ramsey 1976; Putnam/McCallister 1980; Rafaeli 1989). Also, Doherty, Orimoto, Singelis, and Hatfield (1995) found that women are more susceptible than men to emotional contagion for both positive and negative emotions. Thus, it might be argued that processes of affective complementarity are stronger for females than for males, at least with regard to the pleasantness and arousal dimension of affect. The question whether gender has an influence on affective processes is important for the management of service employees' behavior. In the service context, organizational rules of affective display are to be followed independent of the employee's or customer's gender (Rafaeli 1989). Thus, no differences in affective processes should be found between mixed-gender dyads, male dyads, or female dyads. Given these contradictory predictions, we want to refrain from stating explicit hypotheses. However, we will explore the gender issue by adding the different gender combinations to our analyses as further variables.

Method

Sample

Service employees. Thirty-two service employees from different service settings participated: 7 salespeople in a computer retail store, 12 travel agents in three different travel agencies, 5 insurance agents in an insurance company, and 8 university counselors. In the analyses, we included only the service employees who provided information from at least three interactions with three different customers. Three service employees did not fulfill this condition and were dropped from further analyses. Thus, the final sample consisted of 29 employees, with an average age of 34.5 years ($SD = 11.2$ years, range 19 to 58 years). Average tenure was 5.3 years ($SD = 1.94$). 13 of the service employees were female, 16 male.

Although the service settings appear to be rather heterogeneous, all jobs were relatively complex and involved a relatively high amount of advising and/or counseling on the part of the employee. To ensure that the four service contexts are comparable, we tested for differences in several job characteristics, namely, work complexity, autonomy, variability, time pressure, and emotional demands. Employees answered respective items from scales developed by Semmer, Zapf, and Dunckel (1998; work complexity: 3 items, autonomy: 3 items, variability: 3 items, time pressure: 3 items) and by Zapf and colleagues (1999; emotional demands: 8 items). ANOVAS showed no significant differences on all five job characteristics between the four service contexts. Nevertheless, in all multivariate analyses (see below) we controlled for service context by using dummy coding.

Service encounters. On average, 11.9 service encounters with each service employee were available ($SD = 6.2$), resulting in a sample of 345 encounters. The duration of the encounters ranged from 2 minutes to more than 2 hours, with a mean duration of 27.8 minutes ($SD = 22.9$). 45 percent of the customers were female. Customers' mean age was 33.3 years ($SD = 11.7$).

Procedure

We instructed the service employees to ask their customers, after each interaction, to complete a brief questionnaire about the encounter. If they consented, they were given a questionnaire to be filled out at a separate location. The completed questionnaire was then dropped into a box that was located inside the service setting. The service employee also filled out a questionnaire about the encounter. Customer questionnaire and service employee questionnaire were matched via a code number. In addition, on a different occasion, the service employees filled out a questionnaire that included the scales for assessing the job characteristics cues and demographic questions.

Measures

Affect variables. The affective experience during the encounter of both service employee and customer was measured by asking the respondents to rate how they felt during the interaction. Three bipolar items reflected the main axis of the three dimensions of affect: unpleasant – pleasant (pleasantness), calm – excited (arousal), and inferior-superior (power). The response format ranged from -3 to $+3$. The item “unpleasant – pleasant” was taken from the two-dimensional measure of affect developed by Rus-

sell, Weiss, and Mendelsohn (1989). The items “calm – excited” and “inferior – superior” were taken from Mehrabian and Russell’s (1974) three-dimensional affect measure. This measure has also been used in previous service-related research (e.g., Foxall/Greenley, 1998).

Control variables. Single questions in the customer questionnaire addressed the duration of the encounter, customer age, and customer gender.

Analytical approach

The central variables of this study (i.e., the affect variables) are located at the level of the single service encounter. However, the single interactions are not independent because from each service employee we have collected data on several encounters. Thus, we have a nested data structure where encounter data is nested in service employee data. One strategy for dealing with this nested design would be to aggregate level 1 data to level 2 (i.e., service employee level). However, this procedure reduces statistical power and does not allow the processing of potentially meaningful information from the service encounter level (Hofmann 1997). Therefore, we opted to analyze the data with hierarchical linear modeling (HLM; Bryk/Raudenbush 1992), a technique that is especially designed to model nested data. HLM allows the simultaneous processing of data from the two levels without losing important information. Moreover, in contrast to the ordinary least square approach, HLM accounts for the fact that, in hierarchically nested data designs, the measurements at level 1 are not independent.

Results

The intercorrelations of the study variables are presented in Table 1. There are significant correlations between the interactants’ perception of pleasantness, arousal, and power, but also, as expected, some significant relationships between the affect dimensions within the group of customers or employees.

Table 2 presents the results of the three HLM analyses. We conducted three analyses, using customer pleasantness, customer arousal, and customer power, respectively, as dependent variables. To assess the relationships between the interactants’ perceptions on each dimension *independently* of the other dimensions we controlled for their influence. For example, when predicting customer pleasantness, we entered the two remaining customer affect variables (i.e., customer arousal and customer power) and all three service employee affect variables. To explore the role of the gender composition of the dyad we entered two dummy-coded variables “male dyad” and “mixed dyad”. Thus, the reference category for both variables was “female dyad”. Finally, in each of the three HLM analyses we entered two interaction terms, computed as the product of either the “male dyad” dummy or the “mixed dyad” dummy and the respective employee affect variable. As control variables we entered interaction duration, customer age, service context (dummy coding), and, as level-2 variables, service employee age. To reduce potential problems with multicollinearity, in particular with respect to the interaction effects, all variables (with the exception of the service context variables and the interaction variables) have been grand mean centered (see Cohen/Cohen/Aiken/West 2001; Hofmann/Gavin 1998).

Table 1: Means, standard deviations, and correlations of the study variables

Variable	M	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1 Context: Computer retail ^a	0.36	-													
2 Context: Travel agency ^a	0.32	-	-.51**												
3 Context: Insurance agency ^a	0.17	-	-.34**	-.31**											
4 Duration of encounter	27.77	22.85	-.18**	.02	.44**										
5 Customer gender ^b	0.54	0.50	.21**	-.10	-.05	-.01									
6 Customer age	33.27	11.74	.12*	-.16**	.34**	.21**	.22**								
7 Customer pleasantness	1.66	1.30	.01	-.17**	.13*	.12*	-.03	-.07							
8 Customer arousal	-0.90	1.75	-.04	.26**	-.14*	-.09	-.05	.00	-.30**						
9 Customer power	-0.18	1.05	-.20**	.11*	.11*	.12*	.08	.01	.01	-.02					
10 Employee pleasantness	1.57	1.52	-.02	-.11*	.12*	.04	-.01	-.05	.31**	-.18**	-.10				
11 Employee arousal	-1.46	1.70	-.00	.07	.14**	.10	.07	.25**	-.32**	.31**	.06	-.53**			
12 Employee power	1.03	1.25	.27**	.00	-.25**	-.09	-.02	-.16**	.05	.09	-.23**	-.22*	-.24*		
13 Both male dyad ^c	0.32	-	.57**	-.45**	.03	-.02	.64**	.22**	.09	-.14*	-.09	.08	-.06	.14**	
14 Mixed gender dyad ^c	0.43	-	-.18**	.05	.08	.09	-.07	-.04	-.13*	.00	.07	-.02	.08	-.08	-.61**

Note: $N = 345$. ^a 0 = university counseling. ^b 0 = female, 1 = male. ^c 0 = female dyad
 * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. Two-tailed tests.

Hypothesis 1 predicted a positive relationship between employee pleasantness and customer pleasantness. Table 2 shows that employee pleasantness emerges as a significant predictor of customer pleasantness ($\gamma = 0.146, p < 0.01$), supporting the hypothesis. Also employee arousal is related to customer's pleasantness.

Hypothesis 2 stated that there is a positive relationship between employee arousal and customer arousal. This hypothesis is supported, with employee arousal emerging as the strongest predictor of customer arousal ($\gamma = 0.242, p < 0.001$). In addition, employee power is also positively related to customer arousal.

Hypothesis 3 predicted a negative relationship between employee power and customer power. In line with the hypothesis, employee's power perception is negatively related to customer power ($\gamma = -0.163, p < 0.01$).

Table 2: Results of HLM analyses

	Criterion					
	Customer pleasantness		Customer arousal		Customer power	
	Gamma coefficients	SE	Gamma coefficients	SE	Gamma coefficients	SE
<i>Encounter level variables (Level 1)</i>						
Context: Computer retail	0.175	0.312	0.691	0.524	-0.410	0.332
Context: Travel agency	-0.266	0.299	1.270*	0.510	0.182	0.322
Context: Insurance agency	0.322	0.290	0.157	0.487	0.089	0.306
Duration of encounter	0.006*	0.003	-0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003
Customer age	-0.008	0.006	0.002	0.008	-0.003	0.005
Customer pleasantness			-0.227**	0.070	0.029	0.047
Customer arousal	-0.138***	0.041			-0.016	0.036
Customer power	0.046	0.064	-0.038	0.083		
Employee pleasantness	0.146**	0.052	0.054	0.068	-0.057	0.045
Employee arousal	-0.130**	0.050	0.242***	0.066	-0.035	0.046
Employee power	-0.006	0.059	0.166*	0.079	-0.163**	0.051
Male dyad	-0.371	0.239	-0.358	0.319	0.382*	0.212
Mixed dyad	-0.520**	0.177	-0.294	0.233	0.275	0.154
Male dyad x employee pleasantness	-0.013	0.118				
Mixed dyad x employee pleasantness	0.007	0.103				
Male dyad x employee arousal			-0.358	0.319		
Mixed dyad x employee arousal			-0.288*	0.125		
Male dyad x employee power					-0.100	0.127
Mixed dyad x employee power					-0.069	0.127
<i>Employee level variables (Level 2)</i>						
Employee age	0.000	0.010	0.014	0.018	-0.008	0.011

Notes: Level 1 (service interactions): N = 345; Level 2 (service employees): N = 29; 0 = female, 1 = male
 * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$; one-tailed tests

The analysis of the gender combination reveals only few significant relationships. First, there is a higher level of customer pleasantness in female dyads compared to mixed gender dyads, as indicated by the significant negative relationship between the dummy variable for mixed gender dyads and customer pleasantness ($\gamma = -0.520$, $p < 0.01$). Second, there is a higher level of customer power in male dyads than in female dyads ($\gamma = 0.382$, $p < 0.05$). Finally, the interaction between both mean dyad and employee arousal emerges as a significant predictor for customer arousal ($\gamma = -0.288$, $p < 0.05$). The other five interaction terms do not reach significance. A graphical in-

spection of the direction of the interaction effect (see Cohen et al. 2001) reveals that the relationship between employee arousal and customer arousal is higher in female dyads than in mixed dyads (see Figure 1).

Figure 1: Graphical depiction of the moderating effect of dyad composition on the relationship between employee arousal and customer arousal



Discussion

The present study was designed to test whether general rules of interpersonal complementarity are also in effect in service encounters. We used a theoretical framework that aligned the concept of interpersonal complementarity with Mehrabian and Russell's (1974) three-dimensional model of affect. As such, this study is embedded in a recent research stream that explores the antecedents, meanings, and effects of emotional processes in service settings (Barger/Grandey 2006; Giardini/Frese, 2006a, b; Mattila/Enz 2004; Tsai/Huang 2002)

Our study provides evidence for specific relationships between interactants' perceptions of their affective state in service encounters. As predicted, the employees' and customers' perceptions of pleasantness were positively related to one another while controlling for various affective and contextual variables. Thus, this result mirrors the "correspondence rule" of social interaction. The same rule seems to be in effect for the affective dimension of arousal, as well, showing a positive relationship between employee arousal and customer arousal. Finally, the "reciprocity rule" of social interaction has an affective equivalence. In line with our prediction, we found a negative relationship between employees' and customers' perceptions of power.

One possible way to provide an explanation for these results can be found in the phenomenon of emotional contagion. Research suggests that in interactions people tend to automatically synchronize with their partner's verbal and nonverbal behavior (e.g., speaking at the same speed). Since individuals infer their current affective state in part on the basis of their own expressive behavior, this may lead to similar affective

experiences (Hatfield et al. 1994; Laird/Bresler 1992; Neumann/ Strack 2000). Contagion processes have also been shown to be in effect in service interactions (Barger/Grandey 2006; Giardini/Frese, 2006a; Pugh 2001). However, these studies have investigated the contagion effect basically focusing on the pleasantness dimension. Thus, the current study has extended research by explicitly modeling the affect dimensions of arousal and power.

While the contagion effect can very easily explain the correspondence of interactants' affect perceptions on the pleasantness and the arousal dimension, the processes for the reciprocity rule of power are not as obvious. It can be argued that people react to gestures or utterances that signal power not with synchronized behavior but with reciprocal behavior (e.g., stepping back when the other steps forward). Through processes of self-perception individuals then construct their affective state.

The analysis of the role of gender allows an interesting glimpse into the dynamics of service encounters. When considering gender-related patterns, the complementarity rules for pleasantness (correspondence rule) and for power (reciprocity rule) seem to be in effect *independent* of the dyad's gender combination. However, in dyads that consist of a female employee and a female customer, the relationship between the arousal perceptions are stronger than in the case of mixed gender dyads. No difference could be found between female dyads and male dyads. A separate analysis (results not shown) revealed that the interaction effect also holds when female dyads and male dyads are combined into one category "same gender dyads". This may mean that in same-gender combinations there is more security about the meaning of verbal and nonverbal signals sent by the interactants. In contrast, in mixed-gender combinations some ambiguity might always remain on how to interpret affective information, at least with regard to arousal.

As in any empirical study there are critical issues that have to be discussed. From a methodical standpoint the use of single-item measures to measure affective experiences can be criticized. However, single-item measures of affect have been used frequently in research and their validity has been demonstrated (e.g., Lang/Greenwald/Bradley/Hamm 1993; Russell et al. 1989; Totterdell 2000). For example, Russell and colleagues (1989) developed a single-item scale of pleasantness and arousal. This instrument showed strong evidence of convergent validity with several multi-item measures of pleasantness and arousal. Therefore, although multi-item scales should still be the preferred method for assessing self-reported affective experiences, in case of time constraints single items can be considered a valid alternative.

Due to anonymity considerations we did not have any information about the specifics of any service interaction, that is, it remains unclear, for example, if and how much money was involved. It can be argued that the nature of the relationship between customer and service employee changes with the amount of financial risk involved. Future research should consider the role of these and other contextual variables.

References

- Barger, P. B./Grandey, A. A. (2006): Service with a smile and encounter satisfaction: Emotional contagion and appraisal mechanisms. In: *Academy of Management Journal*, 49: 1229-1238.

- Bateson, J. E. G. (1985): Perceived control and the service encounter. In: J. A. Czepiel/M. R. Solomon/C. F. Surprenant (Eds.): *The service encounter* (pp. 67-82). Lexington, MA: Heath.
- Bryk, A. S./Raudenbush, S. W. (1992): *Hierarchical linear models: Applications and data analysis methods*. Newbury Park: Sage.
- Carson, R. C. (1969): *Interaction concepts of personality*. Chicago: Aldine.
- Cohen, J./Cohen, P./Aiken, L. S./West, S. G. (2001): *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Doherty, R. W./Orimoto, L./Singelis, T. M./Hatfield, E. (1995): Emotional contagion: Gender and occupational differences. In: *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 19: 355-371.
- Foxall, G./Greenley, G. (1998): The affective structure of consumer situations. In: *Environment and Behavior*, 30: 781-798.
- Frieze, I. H./Ramsey, S. J. (1976): Nonverbal maintenance of traditional sex roles. In: *Journal of Social Issues*, 32: 133-141.
- Frijda, N. H. (1986): *The emotions*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Gehm, T. L./Scherer, K. R. (1988): Factors determining the dimensions of subjunctive emotional space. In: K. R. Scherer (Ed.): *Facets of emotion: Recent research* (pp. 99-113). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Giardini, A./Frese, M. (2006a): Service work as affect management: Linking service providers' affect-related competence to state affect and perceived service orientation Unpublished manuscript. Giessen: University of Giessen.
- Giardini, A./Frese, M. (2006b): Reducing the negative effects of emotion work in service occupations: Emotional competence as a psychological resource. In: *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 11: 63-75.
- Hatfield, E./Caccioppo, J. T./Rapson, R. L. (1994): *Emotional contagion*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Hofmann, D. A. (1997): An overview of the logic and rationale of hierarchical linear models. In: *Journal of Management*, 23: 723-744.
- Hofmann, D. A./Gavin, M. B. (1998): Centering decisions in hierarchical linear models: Implications for research in organizations. In: *Journal of Management*, 24: 623-641.
- Kiesler, D. J. (1979): An interpersonal communication analysis of relationship in psychotherapy. In: *Psychiatry*, 42: 299-311.
- Kiesler, D. J. (1983): The 1982 interpersonal circle: A taxonomy for complementarity in human transactions. In: *Psychological Review*, 90: 185-214.
- Kiesler, D. J./Schmidt, J. A./Wagner, C. C. (1997): A circumplex inventory of impact messages: An operational bridge between emotion and interpersonal behavior. In: R. Plutchik/H. R. Conte (Eds.): *Circumplex models of personality and emotion* (pp. 221-244). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Kluger, A./Rafaeli, A. (2000): The three dimensions of affective reactions to physical appearance. In: N. Ashkenasy, C. Hartel/W. Zerbe (Eds.): *Emotions and organizational life* (pp. 141-156). Westport, CT: Quorum Books.
- Laird, J. D./Bresler, C. (1992): The process of emotional experience: A self-perception theory. In: M. S. Clark (Ed.): *Review of personality and social psychology: Volume 13. Emotion* (pp. 213-234). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Lang, P. J./Greenwald, M. K./Bradley, M. M./Hamm, A. O. (1993): Looking at pictures: Affective facial, visceral and behavioral reactions. In: *Psychophysiology*, 30: 261-273.
- Lazarus, R. S./Kanner, A. D./Folkman, S. (1980): Emotions: A cognitive-phenomenological analysis. In: R. Plutchik/H. Kellerman (Eds.): *Emotion: Theory, research, and experience* (pp. 189-217). New York: Academic Press.
- Leary, T. (1957): *Interpersonal diagnosis of personality*. New York: Ronald.
- Mattila, A. S./Enz, C. A. (2002): The role of emotions in service encounters. In: *Journal of Service Research*, 4: 268-277.
- Markey, P. M./Funder, D. C./Ozer, D. J. (2003): Complementarity of interpersonal behaviors in dyadic interactions. In: *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29: 1082-1090.
- Mehrabian, A./Russell, J. A. (1974): *An approach to environmental psychology*. Cambridge: MA: MIT Press.

- Morris, W. N. (1989): *Mood: The frame of mind*. New York: Springer.
- Neumann, R./Strack, F. (2000): "Mood contagion": The automatic transfer of mood between persons. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79: 211-223.
- Orford, J. (1986): The rules of interpersonal complementarity: Does hostility beget hostility and dominance, submission? In: *Psychological Review*, 93: 365-377.
- Osgood, C. E. (1969): On the whys and wherefores of E, P, and A. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 12: 194-199.
- Pugh, S. D. (2001): Service with a smile: Emotional contagion in the service encounter. In: *Academy of Management Journal*, 44: 1018-1027.
- Plutchik, R. (1980): *The emotions*. Lanham, MD: University Press of America.
- Putnam, L./McCallister, L. (1980): Situational effects of task and gender on their nonverbal display. In: D. Nimmo (Ed.): *Communications Yearbook* (Vol. 4, pp. 679-697). Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Rafaeli, A. (1989): When clerks meet customers: A test of variables related to emotional expression on the job. In: *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74: 385-393.
- Russell, J. A. (1991): Culture and the categorization of emotions. In: *Psychological Bulletin*, 110: 426-450.
- Russell, J. A./Pratt, G. (1980): A description of affective quality attributed to environments. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 38: 311-322.
- Russell, J. A./Lewicka, M./Niit, T. (1989): A cross-cultural study of a circumplex model of affect. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57: 848-856.
- Russell, J. A./Weiss, A./Mendelsohn, G. A. (1989): Affect Grid: A single-item scale of pleasure and arousal. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57: 493-502.
- Schacter, S./Singer, J. (1962): Cognitive, social, and physiological determinants of emotional state. In: *Psychological Review*, 69: 379-399.
- Semmer, N./Zapf, D./Dunckel, H. (1998): *ISTA – Instrument zur Stressbezogenen Arbeitsanalyse*. Bern: Eigenverlag.
- Solomon, M. R./Surprenant, C./Czepiel, J. A./Gutman, E. G. (1985): A role theory perspective on dyadic interactions: The service encounter. In: *Journal of Marketing*, 49: 99-111.
- Stepper, S./Strack, F. (1993): Proprioceptive determinants of emotional and nonemotional feelings. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64: 211-220.
- Takahashi, S. (1995): Aesthetic properties of pictorial perception. In: *Psychological Bulletin*, 102: 671-683.
- Totterdell, P. (2000): Catching moods and hitting runs: Mood linkage and subjective performance in professional sport teams. In: *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85: 848-859.
- Tsai, W.-C./Huang, Y.-M. (2002): Mechanisms linking employee affective delivery and customer behavioral intentions. In: *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87: 1001-1008.
- Watson, D./Tellegen, A. (1985): Toward a consensual structure of mood. In: *Psychological Bulletin*, 98: 219-235.
- Weiss, H. M./Cropanzano, R. (1996): Affective events theory: A theoretical discussion of the structure, causes and consequences of affective experiences at work. In: B. M. Staw/L. L. Cummings (Eds.): *Research in Organizational Behavior* (Vol. 18): JAI Press.
- Wiggins, J. S. (1981): Circumplex models of interpersonal behavior in clinical psychology. In: P. C. Kendall (Ed.): *Handbook of research methods in clinical psychology* (pp. 183-221). New York: Wiley.
- Yik, M. S. M./Russell, J. A./Feldman Barrett, L. (1999): Structure of self-reported current affect: Integration and beyond. In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77: 600-619.
- Zapf, D./Vogt, C./Seifert, C./Mertini, H./Isic, A. (1999): Emotion work as a source of stress: The concept and development of an instrument. In: *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 8: 371-400.
- Zeithaml, V. A./Parasuraman, A./Berry, L. L. (1985): Problems and strategies in services marketing. In: *Journal of Marketing*, 49: 33-46.