

Groß, Jürgen; Trenkler, Götz

Working Paper

The equality between linear transforms of ordinary least squares and best linear unbiased estimator

Technical Report, No. 1998,14

Provided in Cooperation with:

Collaborative Research Center 'Reduction of Complexity in Multivariate Data Structures' (SFB 475),
University of Dortmund

Suggested Citation: Groß, Jürgen; Trenkler, Götz (1998) : The equality between linear transforms of ordinary least squares and best linear unbiased estimator, Technical Report, No. 1998,14, Universität Dortmund, Sonderforschungsbereich 475 - Komplexitätsreduktion in Multivariaten Datenstrukturen, Dortmund

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/77214>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

The Equality Between Linear Transforms of Ordinary Least Squares and Best Linear Unbiased Estimator

Jürgen Groß & Götz Trenkler

Department of Statistics

University of Dortmund

Vogelpothsweg 87

*D-44221 Dortmund, Germany**

Abstract. The best linear unbiased estimator $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ of a linear transform $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in the general Gauss-Markov model $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2\mathbf{V}\}$ is the linear transform $\mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ of the best linear unbiased estimator $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ of $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Similarly, for the ordinary least squares estimator, $\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$. The problem of equality of $\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ has been widely discussed in the literature. In this note, characterizations of the equality $\mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ are given in terms of projectors and subspaces.

Keywords: Ordinary least squares estimator, best linear unbiased estimator, prediction, linear transform, orthogonal projector

AMS 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62J05

1. Introduction. Consider the general Gauss-Markov model denoted by

$$M = \{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{V}\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{y} is an observable $n \times 1$ random vector with $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}) = \sigma^2\mathbf{V}$, \mathbf{X} is a known $n \times p$ matrix of rank r , $0 < r < n$, \mathbf{V} is a known $n \times n$ symmetric nonnegative definite matrix (possibly singular), $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a $p \times 1$ vector of unknown parameters, and $\sigma^2 > 0$ is either known or unknown.

Our interest focuses on estimation of a linear transform $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ of $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, where \mathbf{C} is a given $k \times n$ matrix. Recall that estimation of $\mathbf{X}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}$ with known $\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}$ can also be seen as (classical) prediction of an unobservable random vector \mathbf{y}_0 satisfying $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}_0) = \mathbf{X}_0\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

*e-mail: gross@amadeus.statistik.uni-dortmund.de, trenkler@amadeus.statistik.uni-dortmund.de

It is well known [10, 11] that a representation of the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is given by

$$\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{y}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}'$. Here, \mathbf{A}' and \mathbf{A}^+ denote the transpose and the Moore-Penrose inverse of an arbitrary matrix \mathbf{A} , respectively. Since we have

$$\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{y}, \quad (1.3)$$

it is clear that $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$. Although there exist further representations of $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$, all of them coincide almost surely, so that without loss of generality we may confine ourselves to (1.3). Consider now the competing estimator $\mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$, where

$$\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^+\mathbf{y} \quad (1.4)$$

is known as the ordinary least squares estimator (OLSE) of $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$. The problem of equality of $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ has been widely discussed in the literature. See e.g. [2] where two different versions of this problem are investigated, and [9] for an excellent overview. As one (among many other) necessary and sufficient condition for $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$, Puntanen and Styan [9, cond. AS2] state

$$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the symbol $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^+$ is used to represent the orthogonal projector onto the range (column space) $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ of an arbitrary $n \times p$ matrix \mathbf{A} . The symbol $\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{A}$ will denote the orthogonal projector onto the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$, compare also [6, Chap. 12].

In the following we derive a condition similar to (1.5) for the less restrictive equality $\mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

2. Equality of estimators. By confining ourselves to the representation (1.3) of $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ we observe that $\mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely if and only if

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^+\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{y} \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}), \quad (2.1)$$

the latter being equivalent to the identities

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^+\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^+\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{V}. \quad (2.3)$$

But since we have $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^+\mathbf{X}$ and

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X}, \quad (2.4)$$

the latter being true in view of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}') = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})$, see e.g. [3, Theorem 2], condition (2.2) is always met. Thus, the equality under study holds if and only if (2.3) is satisfied.

PROPOSITION 1. *Under model $M = \{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{V}\}$, equality of $\mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ holds almost surely if and only if*

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. It remains to show that (2.5) is equivalent to (2.3). Since by (2.4) and $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{X}'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{V} &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}') \\ &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}' \\ &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}', \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

it is clear that (2.5) follows from (2.3) by right-multiplication of (2.3) with $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^+$. Conversely assume that (2.5) is satisfied. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{X}^+)' \mathbf{X}' \\ &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{X}^+)' \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}' \\ &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}' \\ &= \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'. \end{aligned}$$

But since in view of (2.6) we have

$$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{V},$$

it follows that

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{V},$$

showing (2.3). ■

As an immediate corollary we obtain the following.

COROLLARY. *Under model $M = \{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{V}\}$, the following statements are equivalent :*

- (i) $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{X}'\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{X}'\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$,
- (iii) $\mathbf{X}^+\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{X}^+\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$,

Proof. Observe that for $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{X}'$ and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{X}^+$, equations (2.5) and (1.5) are equivalent. ■

Obviously each of the numerous equivalent conditions for $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is sufficient for $\mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$, including

$$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}, \tag{2.7}$$

which is called Zyskind's condition in [9].

It is clear that (2.5) may be reformulated as

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}$. If we are interested in characterizing all matrices \mathbf{C} satisfying $\mathbf{C}\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C}\text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely, then we simply have to inspect the general solution to (2.8) with respect to \mathbf{C} , being

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})^+), \tag{2.9}$$

where \mathbf{Z} is an arbitrary $k \times n$ matrix. In view of

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X}) = n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) < n$$

it is obvious that there always exists more than one (trivial) solution to (2.8).

If we are interested in characterizing all nonnegative definite matrices \mathbf{V} satisfying $\mathbf{C} \text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C} \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely, then we have to inspect the general nonnegative definite solution to (2.8) with respect to \mathbf{V} . It is clear that (2.8) is equivalent to $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}$, see [6, Lemma 11.6.2], which in turn is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (2.10)$$

The general nonnegative definite solution to (2.10) can be derived from Theorem 2.5 in [7]. By letting $\mathbb{R}_{m \times n}$ denote the set of $m \times n$ real matrices and $\mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^\geq$ denote the set of $n \times n$ real (symmetric) nonnegative definite matrices, we may state the following.

PROPOSITION 2. *Under model $M = \{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{V}\}$, the following two statements hold:*

- (i) *For given $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times p}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^\geq$ the set of all matrices $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ satisfying $\mathbf{C} \text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C} \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely is given by*

$$\{\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{L} | \mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{R}_{k \times n}\},$$

where $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X}$.

- (ii) *For given $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times p}$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}_{k \times n}$ the set of all matrices $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^\geq$ satisfying $\mathbf{C} \text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C} \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely is given by*

$$\{\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X} + (\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M})\mathbf{Z}_3(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M}) | \mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^\geq\},$$

where $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}$.

Proof. The proof of (i) is clear from the above considerations. For the proof of (ii) observe beforehand that

$$\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})^+ = \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X}, \quad (2.12)$$

see also [4, Theorem 3.1.1] for (2.12). From Theorem 2.5 in [7], the general nonnegative definite solution to (2.10) with respect to \mathbf{V} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} = & (\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})^+(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})^+ \\ & + [\mathbf{I}_n - (\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})^+(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})]\mathbf{Z}_3[\mathbf{I}_n - (\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})^+(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{X})], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ is arbitrary, and \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are arbitrary nonnegative definite solutions of

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X)^+ \mathbf{Q}_X = \mathbf{0}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\mathbf{P}_M(\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X)^+ \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (2.14)$$

such that $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$ is nonnegative definite. By using (2.11) and (2.12) it follows that (2.13) is equivalent to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}_X = \mathbf{0}$ with general nonnegative definite solution, see [7, Theorem 2.2],

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q}_X)\mathbf{Z}_1(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{Q}_X) = \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{P}_X, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ is arbitrary. Moreover, it is seen that (2.14) is equivalent to $\mathbf{P}_M\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ with general nonnegative definite solution, see [7, Theorem 2.2],

$$\mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_M)\mathbf{Z}_2(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}_M) = \mathbf{Q}_M\mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{Q}_M, \quad (2.16)$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ is arbitrary. Clearly $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$ is nonnegative definite for all choices of $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$. By using again (2.11) and (2.12), we observe that

$$\mathbf{I}_n - (\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X)^+ (\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X) = \mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_M.$$

Therefore, the general nonnegative definite solution to (2.10) is given by

$$\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X)(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X) + (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_M)\mathbf{Z}_3(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_M),$$

where \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are as in (2.15) and (2.16), respectively. By writing

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X)(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X) \\ &= (\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X)(\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{P}_X + \mathbf{Q}_M\mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{Q}_M)(\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X) \\ &= \mathbf{P}_M\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X\mathbf{Q}_M\mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{Q}_M\mathbf{Q}_X \\ &= \mathbf{P}_M\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X\mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{Q}_X, \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{P}_M\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{P}_M + \mathbf{Q}_X\mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{Q}_X + (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_M)\mathbf{Z}_3(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_M),$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \mathbf{Z}_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ are arbitrary. ■

A related but somewhat different problem is to determine the subspace of possible observation vectors \mathbf{y} for fixed \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{V} satisfying $\mathbf{C} \text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C} \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$. Under the assumptions $r = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = p$, $\text{rank}(\mathbf{V}) = n$ and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}^+$, where \mathbf{B} is an arbitrary (but fixed) $k \times p$ matrix, this subspace has been identified in [5] to be

$$\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^\perp) \cap [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{X}^\perp) \oplus [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})]]], \quad (2.17)$$

where \mathbf{X}^\perp denotes any matrix of maximal rank such that $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}^\perp = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})$ denotes the null space of \mathbf{C} . In case $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}_p$, $k = p$, the subspace \mathfrak{E} reduces to

$$\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^\perp) \cap \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{X}^\perp)], \quad (2.18)$$

which has been observed earlier in [8].

We will now demonstrate that the subspace (2.17) remains the appropriate choice under the more general assumptions of model (1.1), when in addition \mathbf{y} is restricted to be in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$. The latter guarantees that different choices of representations of $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ cannot lead to different estimates of $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Under model $M = \{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2\mathbf{V}\}$, for given $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times p}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}_{k \times n}$, the set \mathfrak{E} of all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$ satisfying $\mathbf{C} \text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{C} \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is given by*

$$\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^\perp) \cap \mathfrak{F}],$$

where $\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{X}^\perp) \oplus [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})]$.

Proof. The set of all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{E}$ is

$$\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})] \cap [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})], \quad (2.19)$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{T}^+$. Let $\mathfrak{F} = [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})] \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{R})$. Then

$$\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{X}^\perp) \oplus [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})] \quad (2.20)$$

follows similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1 in [5], and it remains to show

$$\mathcal{N}[\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})] \cap [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})] = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^\perp) \cap \mathfrak{F}]. \quad (2.21)$$

Let \mathbf{y} be a vector belonging to the left-hand subspace of (2.21). Such a vector \mathbf{y} can be written as $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})$ and some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^\perp)$. Obviously, $\mathbf{b} =$

$\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$. Moreover we have $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b}$, yielding $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}) \cap [\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})]$, showing that the left-hand subspace of (2.21) is contained in the right-hand subspace of (2.21). To demonstrate the reverse inclusion let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^\perp) \cap \mathfrak{F}$, where clearly $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^\perp) \cap \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$, and therefore $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$. Moreover, $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, and in view of $(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$ we obtain $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})\mathbf{X}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^+)'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$, showing $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{R})]$. Hence, the right-hand subspace of (2.21) is contained in the left-hand subspace of (2.21). ■

Note that for the special choice $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}_n$, $k = n$, we have $\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{X}^\perp)$, showing that the set \mathfrak{E} of all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$ satisfying $\text{BLUE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \text{OLSE}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is given by (2.18).

3. Example. Consider the one-way classification model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + e_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, a, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i,$$

where the e_{ij} 's are uncorrelated random variables with means 0 and variances $d_{ij}\sigma^2$.

Assume for a numerical example $a = 3$, $n_1 = 3$, $n_2 = 2$, $n_3 = 1$, and $d_{ij} = 1$ if $(i, j) \neq (1, 3)$. Assume in addition $d_{13} \neq 1$ but otherwise unknown. Then the error variances are not homogenous within groups, and from Corollary 4 in [1] it follows that we do not have equality of OLSE and BLUE of any parametric function. However, if we consider the contrast $\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 = \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, where $\mathbf{c}' = (0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, it follows easily from our Proposition that $\text{OLSE}(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) = \text{BLUE}(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) = y_{31} - \frac{1}{2}y_{21} - \frac{1}{2}y_{22}$.

Acknowledgements. Support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under grants Tr 253/2-3 and SFB 475 is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. J.K. Baksalary, Criteria for the equality between ordinary least squares and best linear unbiased estimators under certain linear models, *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 16, pp. 97–102 (1988).

2. J.K. Baksalary and A.C. van Eijnsbergen, A comparison of two criteria for ordinary-least-squares estimators to be best linear unbiased estimators, *The American Statistician*, 42, pp. 205–208 (1988).
3. J.K. Baksalary, S. Puntanen and G.P.H. Styan, A property of the dispersion matrix of the best linear unbiased estimator in the general Gauss-Markov model, *Sankhyā, Ser. A*, 52, pp. 279–296 (1990).
4. S.L. Campbell and C.D. Meyer, Jr., *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, Pitman, London (1979).
5. J. Groß and G. Trenkler, When do linear transforms of ordinary least squares and Gauss-Markov estimator coincide?, *Sankhyā, Ser. A*, 59, pp. 175–178 (1997).
6. D.A. Harville, *Matrix Algebra From a Statistician's Perspective*, Springer, New York (1997).
7. C.G. Khatri and S.K. Mitra, Hermitian and nonnegative definite solutions of linear matrix equations, *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 31, pp. 579–585.
8. W. Krämer, A note on the equality of ordinary least squares and Gauss-Markov estimates in the general linear model, *Sankhyā, Ser. A*, 42, pp. 130–131 (1971).
9. S. Puntanen and G.P.H. Styan, The equality of the ordinary least squares estimator and the best linear unbiased estimator (with discussion), *The American Statistician*, 43, pp. 153–164 (1989).
10. C.R. Rao, Unified theory of linear estimation, *Sankhyā, Ser. A*, 33, pp. 370–396 (1971).
11. C.R. Rao, Representations of best linear unbiased estimators in the Gauss–Markoff model with a singular dispersion matrix, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 3, pp. 276–292 (1973).