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# The Equality Between Linear Transforms of Ordinary Least Squares and Best Linear Unbiased Estimator 

Jürgen Groß \& Götz Trenkler<br>Department of Statistics<br>University of Dortmund<br>Vogelpothsweg 87<br>D-44221 Dortmund, Germany*


#### Abstract

The best linear unbiased estimator $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{C X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ of a linear transform $\mathbf{C X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ in the general Gauss-Markov model $\left\{\mathbf{y}, \mathrm{E}(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{y})=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}\right\}$ is the linear transform $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ of the best linear unbiased estimator $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ of $\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$. Similarly, for the ordinary least squares estimator, $\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{C X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$. The problem of equality of $\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ has been widely discussed in the literature. In this note, characterizations of the equality $\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ are given in terms of projectors and subspaces.
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1. Introduction. Consider the general Gauss-Markov model denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\left\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}$ is an observable $n \times 1$ random vector with $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{y})=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{y})=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{X}$ is a known $n \times p$ matrix of rank $r, 0<r<n, \mathbf{V}$ is a known $n \times n$ symmetric nonnegative definite matrix (possibly singular), $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a $p \times 1$ vector of unknown parameters, and $\sigma^{2}>0$ is either known or unknown.

Our interest focuses on estimation of a linear transform $\mathbf{C X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ of $\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, where $\mathbf{C}$ is a given $k \times n$ matrix. Recall that estimation of $\mathbf{X}_{0} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ with known $\mathbf{X}_{0}=\mathbf{C X}$ can also be seen as (classical) prediction of an unobservable random vector $\mathbf{y}_{0}$ satisfying $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{y}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{0} \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

[^1]It is well known $[10,11]$ that a representation of the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) of $\mathbf{C X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{C X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{y} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{V}+\mathbf{X X} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$. Here, $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{+}$denote the transpose and the Moore-Penrose inverse of an arbitrary matrix $\mathbf{A}$, respectively. Since we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{y} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is clear that $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{C X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C} \operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$. Although there exist further representations of $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$, all of them coincide almost surely, so that without loss of generality we may confine ourselves to (1.3). Consider now the competing estimator $\operatorname{COLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{X X}^{+} \mathbf{y} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known as the ordinary least squares estimator (OLSE) of $\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$. The problem of equality of $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ has been widely discussed in the literature. See e.g. [2] where two different versions of this problem are investigated, and [9] for an excellent overview. As one (among many other) necessary and sufficient condition for $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$, Puntanen and Styan [9, cond. AS2] state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{+}$is used to represent the orthogonal projector onto the range (column space) $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$ of an arbitrary $n \times p$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$. The symbol $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{A}}=$ $\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$ will denote the orthogonal projector onto the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A})$, compare also [6, Chap. 12].

In the following we derive a condition similar to (1.5) for the less restrictive equality $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$.
2. Equality of estimators. By confining ourselves to the representation (1.3) of $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ we observe that $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C X X}^{+} \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{C X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{y} \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the latter being equivalent to the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C X X}^{+} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{C X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C X X}^{+} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{C X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{V} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But since we have $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X X}^{+} \mathbf{X}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the latter being true in view of $\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)$, see e.g. [3, Theorem 2], condition (2.2) is always met. Thus, the equality under study holds if and only if (2.3) is satisfied.

Proposition 1. Under model $M=\left\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}\right\}$, equality of $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ holds almost surely if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
C P_{x} V=C P_{x} V P_{x} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It remains to show that (2.5) is equivalent to (2.3). Since by (2.4) and $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{T}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{V} & =\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+}\left(\mathbf{T}-\mathbf{X X}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{T}-\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \\
& =\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

it is clear that (2.5) follows from (2.3) by right-multiplication of (2.3) with $\mathbf{X X}^{+}$. Conversely assume that (2.5) is satisfied. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V} & =\mathbf{C P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{X}^{+}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \\
& =\mathbf{C P}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{X}^{+}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \\
& =\mathbf{C P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \\
& =\mathbf{C P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

But since in view of (2.6) we have

$$
\mathbf{V} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{V}
$$

it follows that

$$
\mathbf{C P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{C X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{V}
$$

showing (2.3).
As an immediate corollary we obtain the following.
Corollary. Under model $M=\left\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}\right\}$, the following statements are equivalent :
(i) $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$,
(ii) $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$,
(iii) $\mathbf{X}^{+} \operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{X}^{+} \operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$,

Proof. Observe that for $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{X}^{+}$, equations (2.5) and (1.5) are equivalent.

Obviously each of the numerous equivalent conditions for $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=$ $\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ is sufficient for $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C} \operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$, including

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called Zyskind's condition in [9].
It is clear that (2.5) may be reformulated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{VQ}_{\mathrm{X}}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}=\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$. If we are interested in characterizing all matrices $\mathbf{C}$ satisfying $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely, then we simply have to inspect the general solution to (2.8) with respect to $\mathbf{C}$, being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{Z}\left(\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Z}$ is an arbitrary $k \times n$ matrix. In view of

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right) \leq \operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)=n-\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X})<n
$$

it is obvious that there always exists more than one (trivial) solution to (2.8).

If we are interested in characterizing all nonnegative definite matrices $\mathbf{V}$ satisfying $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely, then we have to inspect the general nonnegative definite solution to (2.8) with respect to $\mathbf{V}$. It is clear that (2.8) is equivalent to $\mathbf{M V Q}_{\mathbf{X}}=\mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{C}^{\prime} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$, see [6, Lemma 11.6.2], which in turn is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{VQ}_{\mathrm{X}}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general nonnegative definite solution to (2.10) can be derived from Theorem 2.5 in [7]. By letting $\mathbb{R}_{m \times n}$ denote the set of $m \times n$ real matrices and $\mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$denote the set of $n \times n$ real (symmetric) nonnegative definite matrices, we may state the following.

Proposition 2. Under model $M=\left\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}\right\}$, the following two statements hold:
(i) For given $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times p}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$the set of all matrices $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ satisfying $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely is given by

$$
\left\{\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{Z Q}_{\mathbf{L}} \mid \mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{R}_{k \times n}\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}$.
(ii) For given $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times p}$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}_{k \times n}$ the set of all matrices $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$satisfying $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ almost surely is given by

$$
\left\{\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}+\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \mathbf{Z}_{3}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \mid \mathbf{Z}_{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2}, \mathbf{Z}_{3} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}\right\}
$$ where $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C}^{\prime} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}$.

Proof. The proof of (i) is clear from the above considerations. For the proof of (ii) observe beforehand that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

see also [4, Theorem 3.1.1] for (2.12). From Theorem 2.5 in [7], the general nonnegative definite solution to (2.10) with respect to V is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right. & \left.+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+}(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+} \\
& +\left[\mathbf{I}_{n}-\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)\right] \mathbf{Z}_{3}\left[\mathbf{I}_{n}-\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$is arbitrary, and $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are arbitrary nonnegative definite solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}=\mathbf{0}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+} \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

such that $\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ is nonnegative definite. By using (2.11) and (2.12) it follows that (2.13) is equivalent to $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}=\mathbf{0}$ with general nonnegative definite solution, see [7, Theorem 2.2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\left(\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right) \mathbf{Z}_{1}\left(\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$is arbitrary. Moreover, it is seen that (2.14) is equivalent to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{0}$ with general nonnegative definite solution, see [7, Theorem 2.2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=\left(\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \mathbf{Z}_{2}\left(\mathbf{I}_{n}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)=\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$is arbitrary. Clearly $\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ is nonnegative definite for all choices of $\mathbf{Z}_{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{>}$. By using again (2.11) and (2.12), we observe that

$$
\mathbf{I}_{n}-\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{+}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}
$$

Therefore, the general nonnegative definite solution to (2.10) is given by

$$
\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \mathbf{Z}_{3}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are as in (2.15) and (2.16), respectively. By writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)(\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right)\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}} \\
& \quad=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we arrive at

$$
\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{Z}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}+\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Z}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{X}}+\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right) \mathbf{Z}_{3}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{M}}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2}, \mathbf{Z}_{3} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$are arbitrary.

A related but somewhat different problem is to determine the subspace of possible observation vectors $\mathbf{y}$ for fixed $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ satisfying $\mathbf{C B L U E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=$ $\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$. Under the assumptions $r=\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X})=p, \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{V})=n$ and $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{B X}^{+}$, where $\mathbf{B}$ is an arbitrary (but fixed) $k \times p$ matrix, this subspace has been identified in [5] to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{E}=\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus\left[\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \cap\left[\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{V} \mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \oplus[\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})]\right]\right], \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{X}^{\perp}$ denotes any matrix of maximal rank such that $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\perp}=\mathbf{0}$, and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})$ denotes the null space of $\mathbf{C}$. In case $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{I}_{p}, k=p$, the subspace $\mathfrak{E}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{E}=\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus\left[\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \cap \mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{V} \mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right)\right] \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has been observed earlier in [8].
We will now demonstrate that the subspace (2.17) remains the appropriate choice under the more general assumptions of model (1.1), when in addition $\mathbf{y}$ is restricted to be in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$. The latter guarantees that different choices of representations of BLUE $(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ cannot lead to different estimates of $\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Proposition 3. Under model $M=\left\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{V}\right\}$, for given $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times p}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}^{\geq}$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}_{k \times n}$, the set $\mathfrak{E}$ of all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$ satisfying $\mathbf{C} \operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=$ $\mathbf{C O L S E}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ is given by

$$
\mathfrak{E}=\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus\left[\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \cap \mathfrak{F}\right],
$$

where $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{V X}^{\perp}\right) \oplus[\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})]$.
Proof. The set of all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{E}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{E}=\mathcal{N}\left[\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{R}\right)\right] \cap[\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})], \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+} \mathbf{X}\right)^{+} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{T}^{+}$. Let $\mathfrak{F}=[\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})] \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C R})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{V X} \mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \oplus[\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C})] \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows similarly as in the proof of Lemma 1 in [5], and it remains to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}\left[\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{R}\right)\right] \cap[\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})]=\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) \oplus\left[\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \cap \mathfrak{F}\right] \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{y}$ be a vector belonging to the left-hand subspace of (2.21). Such a vector $\mathbf{y}$ can be written as $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})$ and some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right)$. Obviously, $\mathbf{b}=$
$\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$. Moreover we have $\mathbf{C P}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{C a}$ and $\mathbf{C R y}=\mathbf{C R a}+\mathbf{C R b}=$ $\mathbf{C a}+\mathbf{C R b}$, yielding $\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{R}\right) \mathbf{y}=-\mathbf{C R b}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{C R}) \cap$ $[\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})]$, showing that the left-hand subspace of (2.21) is contained in the right-hand subspace of (2.21). To demonstrate the reverse inclusion let $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{X a}+\mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \cap \mathfrak{F}$, where clearly $\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\perp}\right) \cap \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$, and therefore $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$. Moreover, $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{C R b}=\mathbf{0}$, and in view of $\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{R}\right) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{0}$ we obtain $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{R}\right) \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{R}\right) \mathbf{X a}+\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}-\mathbf{R}\right) \mathbf{b}=$ $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{R}\right) \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{X}^{+}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{C R b}=\mathbf{0}-\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}$, showing $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x}}-\mathbf{R}\right)\right]$. Hence, the right-hand subspace of (2.21) is contained in the left-hand subspace of (2.21).

Note that for the special choice $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{I}_{n}, k=n$, we have $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{V X}^{\perp}\right)$, showing that the set $\mathfrak{E}$ of all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X})+\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{V})$ satisfying $\operatorname{BLUE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})=\operatorname{OLSE}(\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ is given by (2.18).
3. Example. Consider the one-way classification model

$$
y_{i j}=\mu+\alpha_{i}+e_{i j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, a, \quad j=1, \ldots n_{i},
$$

where the $e_{i j}$ 's are uncorrelated random variables with means 0 and variances $d_{i j} \sigma^{2}$.
Assume for a numerical example $a=3, n_{1}=3, n_{2}=2, n_{1}=1$, and $d_{i j}=1$ if $(i, j) \neq(1,3)$. Assume in addition $d_{13} \neq 1$ but otherwise unknown. Then the error variances are not homogenous within groups, and from Corollary 4 in [1] it follows that we do not have equality of OLSE and BLUE of any parametric function. However, if we consider the contrast $\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, where $\mathbf{c}^{\prime}=\left(0,0,0,-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, it follows easily from our Proposition that $\operatorname{OLSE}\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)=\operatorname{BLUE}\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)=$ $y_{31}-\frac{1}{2} y_{21}-\frac{1}{2} y_{22}$.
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