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Abstract

The motivation crowding effect suggests that an external intervention via monetary incentives or punishments may undermine (and under different identifiable conditions strengthen) intrinsic motivation. As of today, the theoretical possibility of crowding effects is widely accepted among economists. Many of them, however, have been critical about its empirical relevance. This survey shows that such scepticism is unwarranted and that there exists indeed compelling empirical evidence for the existence of crowding out and crowding in. It is based on circumstantial insight, laboratory studies by both psychologists and economists as well as field research by econometric studies. The presented pieces of evidence refer to a wide variety of areas of the economy and society and have been collected for many different countries and periods. Crowding effects thus are an empirically relevant phenomenon, which can, in specific cases, even dominate the traditional relative price effect.
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1. Background
The basic idea that rewards, and in particular monetary rewards, may crowd out intrinsic motivation emanates from two quite different branches of literature in the social sciences. Thirty years ago in his book *The Gift Relationship* Titmuss (1970) argued that paying for blood undermines cherished social values and would therefore reduce or totally destroy people’s willingness to donate blood. Though he was unable to come up with any serious empirical evidence his thesis attracted much attention.

A second literature stems from psychology. A group of cognitive social psychologists have identified that under particular conditions monetary (external) rewards undermine intrinsic motivation. The application of rewards for undertaking an activity thus has indirect negative consequences, provided intrinsic motivation is considered to be beneficial. For that reason this effect has been termed "The Hidden Cost of Reward" (see Lepper and Greene, 1978 for an account and extensive references), "Overjustification Hypothesis" (Lepper, Greene and Nisbett, 1973) or "Corruption Effect" (Deci 1975). More recently, the idea has been known as "Cognitive Evaluation Theory" (Deci, Koestner and Ryan, 1999). In contrast to Titmuss’ mere hunch, a great many laboratory experiments support this motivational effect: “the evidence for a detrimental effect comes from a wide variety of works in which a large number of subjects and methodological parameters have been varied” (McGraw, 1978, pp. 55-58).

The two strands of literature are quite independent from each other judging from the missing cross references. In particular, Titmuss’ idea was not connected to the psychological theories on the undermining effect of monetary rewards. As a consequence, two leading economists, and later Nobel-prize winners, Solow (1971) and Arrow (1972), who reviewed the book were at a loss and could not detect any reason why increasing monetary incentives, or the price of paying for blood, should not increase the quantity supplied.

Over the last few years a dramatic change has taken place. Many social scientists, including economists, now admit the theoretical possibility that part of the motivation may be negatively

---


3 This is the normal assumption when one thinks of activities such as work (work ethics), tax paying (tax morale), preserving nature (environmental morale) or charitable giving (altruism). But intrinsic motivation may also be undesirable as for instance in the case of greed, envy or vengeance. Indeed, it may be argued that some of the most hideous crimes in history were at least partly intrinsically motivated, Hitler and Stalin being examples. In contrast it
affected when a previously non-monetary relationship is transformed into a monetary one, and they now accept it as part of a wider concept of human incentives. However, many of them, if not most of them, take this "crowding-out effect" (as it will subsequently be called) to be of little empirical relevance.

The purpose of this survey is to demonstrate that the crowding-out effect and its correlate, the crowding-in effect, are empirically well founded and have been observed in many different areas of the economy and society. Arguably, it is one of the most important anomalies in economics as it may reverse the most fundamental economic "law", namely that raising monetary incentives increases supply. The crowding-out effect suggests that there are relevant circumstances in which it is advisable not to use the price mechanism to elicit a higher supply but to rely on a quite different type of incentive, intrinsic motivation.

Section II offers a short discussion of crowding theory as it has been integrated into economics. Section III provides the empirical evidence according to everyday experience, controlled laboratory evidence both by psychologists and economists, and field evidence by econometric studies. Section IV draws conclusions.

2. Crowding Theory in Economics

Monetary incentives crowding out the motivation to undertake an activity may be considered a major anomaly because it predicts the exactly reverse reaction that the relative price effect on which much of economics is grounded. The successes of the "economic approach to human behaviour" (Becker, 1976; Frey, 1999a) or of "economic imperialism" (Stigler, 1984; Hirshleifer, 1985) is due to the skillful application of the relative price effect. Crowding theory stipulates a systematic interaction between extrinsic and intrinsic motivation. Economic theory considers the first type of motivation, only. Major schools in psychology, on the other hand, emphasize the motives coming from within the person. Following Deci (1971, p. 105), "one is said to be intrinsically motivated to perform an activity when one receives no apparent reward except the activity itself". Intrinsic motivation is a firmly established concept in psychology (and partly in other social sciences such as sociology); its modern formulation goes back to De Charmes (1968) and Deci (1975).

has been shown that extrinsically motivated soldiers are less prone to commit crimes and tend to treat prisoners of war more humanely (Frey 1999a, ch. 7).
As crowding theory involves shifts in individual preferences, this concept basically differs from other phenomena that are sometimes referred to as crowding-out effects. In monetary economics, a rise in the rate of interest is taken to crowd out private investment (see any standard macroeconomic textbook, e.g. Mankiw 1994, p. 62), and in public economics, government subsidies are taken to crowd out private donations and charitable contributions (see e.g. Venti and Wise, 1990; Poterba, Venti and Wise, 1998; Bolton and Katok, 1998). In both areas, individual preference functions are unaffected, indeed the effects observed are a particular manifestation of the relative price effect.

For the purpose of economics, the „hidden cost of reward“ have been generalized in two respects (Frey 1997a):

(1) All interventions emanating from outside the person considered, i.e. both positive rewards and regulations accompanied by negative sanctions, may affect intrinsic motivation;
(2) External interventions may crowd-out or crowd-in intrinsic motivation (or leave it unaffected).

The impact of extrinsic interventions upon behavior can best be shown in the context of a principal-agent relationship (see e.g. the recent surveys by Gibbons 1998 and Prendergast 1999). The principal uses rewards and commands in order to raise the performance P of the agent. The agent could be an employee or worker in a firm, but more general everyone who is given a task to perform.

A (representative) agent performs by considering the benefits B and the cost C of his action. Both increase in performance, i.e. $\frac{\partial B}{\partial P} = B_P > 0$ and $\frac{\partial C}{\partial P} = C_P > 0$.

Higher performance has diminishing marginal returns ($B_{PP} < 0$) and is associated with increasing marginal cost ($C_{PP} > 0$). Benefits and cost are also influenced by the principal's external intervention (E):

$$B = B(P,E); B_P > 0, B_{PP} < 0. \tag{1}$$
$$C = C(P,E); C_P > 0, C_{PP} > 0. \tag{2}$$

A rational agent chooses the level of performance $P^*$ that maximizes net benefits ($B - C$), which yields the first order condition

$$B_P = C_P. \tag{3}$$

---

Anomalies have received great attention in economics, see e.g the summary in Thaler 1992. However, none of the anomalies such as the endowment, sunk cost or recency effect reverses the relative price effect but rather mitigates it only.
Differentiating this optimality condition with respect to $E$ shows how the agent's optimal performance $P^*$ is affected when the principal changes the extent of external intervention

$$B_{PE} + B_{PP} \frac{dP^*}{dE} = C_{PE} + C_{PP} \frac{dP^*}{dE}, \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{dP^*}{dE} \geq 0, \quad \frac{B_{PE} - C_{PE}}{C_{PP} - B_{PP}} < 0. \quad (4)$$

Three cases may be distinguished:

(a) Following the standard economic principal-agent theory (e.g., Alchian and Demsetz 1972, Fama and Jensen 1983), external intervention raises performance by imposing higher marginal cost on shirking, or, equivalently, by lowering the marginal cost of performing, $C_{PE} < 0$. This is the relative price effect of external intervention. One could also speak of a disciplining effect which monetary reward or commands impose on an agent. Thus, the crowding out effect is neglected, i.e., a change in external intervention does not affect the marginal benefit of performing ($B_{PE}=0$), as intrinsic motivation is, implicitly, taken to be a constant or absent. Thus, external intervention unequivocally raises performance:

$$\frac{dP^*}{dE} > 0. \quad (4a)$$

The same outcome holds if external intervention raises intrinsic motivation. In that case the marginal benefit from performing is raised ($B_{PE}>0$) and the effect through disciplining the agent is further strengthened by the crowding-in effect. In this case the relative price effect works in the same direction as the crowding effect. External incentives raise agents' motivation to perform, and at the same time their intrinsic motivation to perform is raised.

(b) In contrast, when external intervention undermines intrinsic motivation and thus negatively affects the agent's marginal benefit from performing ($B_{PE}<0$, crowding-out effect), while the disciplining effect does not work ($C_{PE} = 0$), stronger external intervention reduces the agent's performance level

$$\frac{dP^*}{dE} < 0. \quad (4b)$$

(c) In general, both the relative price effect ($C_{PE}<0$) and the crowding-out effect ($B_{PE}<0$) are active, so that external intervention has two opposite effects on the agent's performance. Whether intervening is beneficial from the principal's point of view depends on the relative size of the two countervailing effects. A more detailed formal analysis of the possibly conflicting nature of external intervention can be found in Chang and Lai (1999).
Figure 1 shows the interaction of the crowding-out effect and the price effect graphically. S is the traditional supply curve based on the relative price effect: raising the external reward for work effort from O to R increases work effort from A to A’. The crowding-out effect induces the supply curve to shift leftwards to S’. Thus, raising the reward from O to R leads to point C (instead of B). As the figure is drawn, the crowding-out effect dominates the relative price effect, and raising the reward from O to R reduces work effort from A to A’’. Once intrinsic motivation has been crowded out completely, the normal supply curve takes over again, and raising the reward unequivocally increases work effort (movement along S’).

The effects of external interventions on intrinsic motivation have been attributed to two psychological processes:

(a) Impaired self-determination. When individuals perceive an external intervention to reduce their self-determination, they substitute intrinsic motivation by extrinsic control. Following Rotter (1966), the locus of control shifts from the inside to the outside of the person affected.

Figure 1: Net-outcome of the Price- and the Crowding-Effect
Individuals who are forced to behave in a specific way by outside intervention, feel overjustified if they maintained their intrinsic motivation.

(b) *Impaired self-esteem.* When an intervention from outside carries the notion that the actor's motivation is not acknowledged, his or her intrinsic motivation is effectively rejected. The person affected feels that his or her involvement and competence is not appreciated which debases its value. An intrinsically motivated person is taken away the chance to display his or her own interest and involvement in an activity when someone else offers a reward, or commands, to undertake it. As a result of impaired self-esteem, individuals reduce effort.

The two processes identified allow us to derive the *psychological conditions* under which the crowding-out effect appears:

1. External interventions *crowd-out* intrinsic motivation if the individuals affected perceive them to be *controlling*. In that case, both self-determination and self-esteem suffer, and the individuals react by reducing their intrinsic motivation in the activity controlled.

2. External interventions *crowd-in* intrinsic motivation if the individuals concerned perceive it as *supportive*. In that case, self-esteem is fostered, and individuals feel that they are given more freedom to act, thus enlarging self-determination.

Crowding effects are potentially relevant in many different areas of individual behavior in the economy. Examples are the labour market where the effect of higher compensation on work effort and in particular performance pay are at issue; the natural environment where the effect of pricing instruments such as pollution charges on environmental ethics is in question; social policy where it must be considered whether monetary incentives crowd out the notion of responsibility for one’s own fate; subsidization policy where a possible negative effect on entrepreneurship, innovation and creativity must be taken into account; organization theory where the limits of the firm must be reconsidered in view of possible limits of relying purely on extrinsic incentives; and contract theory where relational or „psychological contracts“ (Rousseau 1995; Morrison and Robinson 1997) may require intrinsic motivation and hence crowding out should be avoided.

The generally prevailing scepticism about the empirical relevance of the crowding effects has for many scholars been a major reason for not pursuing the analysis any further. This scepticism has been fuelled by the publication of a meta-analysis of a large number of experimental studies undertaken by social psychologists which concluded that the crowding out effect is „largely a
myth” (Cameron and Pierce, 1994; Eisenberger and Cameron, 1996). But even scholars who do not rely on experimental results are reluctant to accept the crowding-out anomaly. A statement representative for many such scholars is contained in the survey „The Provision of Incentives in the Firm“ by Prendergast (1999, p. 18):“ While this idea (crowding-out, the authors) holds some intuitive appeal, it should be noted that there is little conclusive empirical evidence (particularly in workplace settings) of these influences”. Gibbons (1998, p. 130), however, in his survey of „Incentives in Organizations“ concedes : „A more troubling possibility is that management practices based on economic models may dampen (or even destroy) non-economic realities such as intrinsic motivation and social relations. Field experiments on this issue would be especially useful“.

Obviously unnoticed by many researchers (such as Gibbons, see the statement above), an increasing number of studies have indeed tried to find empirical laboratory as well as field evidence for the undermining effect of rewards on motivation. The following section intends to survey the present state of the empirical literature on the subject.

3. Empirical Evidence

3.1 Circumstantial Evidence

Although the basic intuition tells us that we are rather willing to undertake a task if we can expect a reward, there is a number of specific situations where the undermining effect of external incentives is also easily understood. This is most of all the case when tasks are repeatedly performed. A good ad hoc example is children who are paid by their parents for mowing the family lawn. Once they expect to receive money for that task, they are only willing to do it again if they indeed receive a monetary compensation (see Antonides, 1996, p.26). The induced unwillingness to do anything for free may also expand to other household chores. An old Jewish fable confirms this intuition (in Deci and Flaste, 1995, p. 26):

---

5 First attempts at analyzing such issues are undertaken in Frey 1997a, 1999b, Osterloh and Frey 1999.
6 It should, however, not be surprising that there is no relevant crowding effect observable in a competitive environment as it is found with firms and in workplace settings, but see also Güth (1998). It can, however, be expected that successful firms find ways (by intuition or learning from negative experiences) to use external motivators in a supporting instead of a controlling manner. That does not mean, however, that the crowding effect is irrelevant as an element and factor in the failure of firms, projects or organizational structures.
7 This may be the main reason why all of the parents consulted by the authors (in a non-representative, small-scale survey) by intuition pay their childrens’ pocket money as a lump sum (while expecting some cooperation in household tasks) instead of assigning a specific sum to a given task. Such a strategy seems to be persisting in spite of the potential unfairness between ‚buzys’ and ‚lazy’ siblings.
“It seem that bigots were eager to rid their town of a Jewish man who had opened a tailor shop on Main Street, so they sent a group of rowdies to harass the tailor. Each day, the ruffians would show up to jeer. The situation was grim, but the tailor was ingenious. One day when the hoodlums arrived, he gave each of them a dime for their efforts. Delighted, they shouted their insults and moved on. The next day they returned to shout, expecting their dime. But the tailor said he could only afford a nickel and proceeded to hand a nickel to each of them. Well, they were a bit disappointed, but a nickel after all is a nickel, so they took it, did their jeering, and left. The next day, they returned once again and the tailor said he had only a penny for them and held out his hand. Indignant, the young toughs sneered and proclaimed that they would certainly not spend their time jeering at him for a measly penny. So they didn’t. And all was well for the tailor.”

Other circumstantial evidence shows that the reward must not be monetary in the first place. Deci and Flaste (1995) discuss the case of a perfectionist child in violin class. Once ‘gold-stars’ were introduced as a symbolic reward for a certain amount of time spent practicing the instrument, the girl lost all her interest in trying new, difficult pieces. Instead of improving her skills, the aim shifted towards spending time playing well-learned, easy pieces in order to receive the award. The crowding effect may also work the other way round. This crowding-in effect is shown in the example of a patient who had difficulties to regularly take her hypertension medication. Her doctor’s frequent admonishing or reminders of possible consequences had no effect. Despite ending up in the emergency room a couple of times, the patient only achieved to alter her behavior when a new doctor – instead of pressuring her to take the medication – asked her what time of the day she considered best to take the pills. Thereby he reinforced her intrinsic motivation to follow the prescription.

3.2. Laboratory Evidence
3.2.1 In Psychology
There is such a large number of laboratory experiments on the crowding effect that it is impossible to summarize the results here. Fortunately, there have already been not less than five formal meta-analytical studies of the crowding theory. Rummel and Feinberg (1988) used 45 experimental studies covering the period 1971-85, Wiersma (1992) 20 studies covering 1971-90,
and Tang and Hall (1995) 50 studies from 1972-92. These meta-analyses essentially support the findings that intrinsic motivation is undermined if the externally applied rewards are perceived to be controlling by the recipients. This view was challenged by Cameron and Pierce (1994) and Eisenberger and Cameron (1996) who on the basis of their own meta-analysis of studies published in the period 1971-1991 (the two studies are based on a virtually identical set of studies) concluded that the undermining effect is largely "a myth". These studies attracted a great deal of attention, and many scholars on that basis seem to have concluded that no such thing as a crowding-out effect exists.

Deci, Koestner and Ryan (1999) conducted an extensive study to show that these conclusions are unwarranted and that the crowding-out effect is a robust phenomenon of significant size under the specified conditions. The authors identified a number of significant shortcomings and misinterpretations. One is that Cameron and Pierce omitted nearly 20 percent of the relevant studies as outliers, used mistaken control groups and misclassified some of the studies. Another is that they included dull and boring tasks for which a crowding-out effect cannot occur as the participants had no intrinsic motivation to begin with. In order to correct these failures, Deci, Koestner and Ryan conducted an extensive meta-analysis including all the studies considered by Cameron, Pierce and Eisenberger as well as several studies which appeared since then. The 68 experiments reported in 59 articles span the period 1971-1997, and refer to 97 experimental effects. It turns out that tangible rewards undermine intrinsic motivation for interesting tasks (i.e. tasks for which the experimental subjects show an intrinsic interest) in a highly significant and very reliable way, and that the effect is moderately large. This holds in particular for monetary compensations which are perceived to be controlling by the experimental subjects and therefore tend to crowd out intrinsic motivation. The crowding-out effect is stronger with monetary than with symbolic rewards. It is also larger with expected than with unexpected rewards. When the problems at issue are complicated, the negative relationship between reward and performance is stronger than when the problems are simple (see Deci and Ryan 1985; Heckhausen 1989, ch. 15). In all these cases, it is required that the behavior was initially perceived to be interesting and therefore intrinsically rewarding (Calder and Staw 1975).

3.2.2. In Economics
Concerning crowding effects on motivation, the field of experimental economic research lacks the long and rich tradition found in psychology. There is nonetheless an increasing number of
studies done on the subject. The experiments by Fehr and Gächter (1997) as well as Fehr, Gächter and Kirchsteiger (1997) propose the possibility of a crowding-out effect for intrinsic motivation in the form of a tendency for reciprocal behavior. Zanella (1998) carried out a laboratory experiment designed to test the hypothesis that incentive contracts crowd out reciprocity. She differentiates between a reciprocity-treatment and an incentive-treatment in a sequential game of labor contracts. Her findings confirm the crowding hypothesis. The participants playing in the reciprocity-treatment performed better (i.e. they forfeited more rents that could have been gained by not working) than in an incentive-treatment.\(^8\)

In a different type of experiments, Gneezy and Rustichini (1998) found the exact relationship between pay and performance as displayed in Figure 1 above. Whenever money was offered, the standard price-effect was observed, i.e. a larger amount of money produces higher performance. The mere incidence of payment, however, even lowered performance in many cases. In their experiments, of all participants performing the same task, only those groups which received a considerable amount of pay did as well as the groups that worked for free. Their evidence suggests that the type of contract and the (monetary vs. non-monetary) work environment evoke different responses from the agents. In a second set of experiments they find that principals systematically underestimate the undermining effect of (small) monetary incentives.

Bohnet, Frey and Huck (1999) studied the crowding-effects in contract enforcement with respect to the trustworthiness of the participants. They used an evolutionary game model in which, due to the limits of the modeled legal system, a first mover relies to a certain degree (differing between various groups) on the trustworthiness of a second mover. The first mover can either offer a contract or not play at all while the second mover has the choice to perform or to breach the contract. The level of contract enforcement is given by the probability of bearing the resulting costs of non-compliance. They found that low levels of legal enforcement tend to crowd in trustworthiness: The first movers must take careful decisions on whom to enter a contract with as they cannot rely on the legal system. As a consequence, the second mover is motivated to behave in a trustworthy way. In contrast, when contracts are near-perfectly enforced, there is no observable crowding effect taking place as first movers enter the contracts because they know that the second movers are deterred from breaching. Personal trust is replaced by institutional

---

\(^8\) The incentive mechanism used in this experiment is based on fines. Zanella suggests, however, that other mechanisms may prove to be crowding-neutral or even crowd in motivation (1998, p. 44). This is in line with the psychological theories that incentives are only detrimental to intrinsic motivation when they are perceived to be controlling.
trust. With intermediate levels of law enforcement, however, trust is crowded out as the first
movers can neither rely on the second movers’ reciprocal behavior nor on the legal system,
resulting in a non-monotonic relation between trust and the degree of contract enforcement. This
evolutionary trust game was subjected to laboratory experiments. The results are consistent with
crowding theory.

3.3. Field evidence: Econometric studies

3.3.1 Labor supply

Crowding-out theory for the case of work motivation has been subject to econometric studies.
Barkema (1995) looks at firms where the intensity of the personal relationship between the
 principals and the agents depends on the form of supervision. For the case of managers as agents
of a certain firm one can distinguish three major types:

(i) The managers are controlled by the parent company. This corresponds to a rather impersonal
relationship, so that following our above proposition, a positive influence of monitoring on
managers’ performance is expected, because intrinsic motivation is little or not affected at all.

(ii) The managers are controlled by their firm's chief executive officer which represents a
personalized relationship. According to our proposition, monitoring in this case tends to reduce
the agents' effort, as an external intervention shifts the locus of control towards external
preferences, and the agents perceive that their competence is not acknowledged by their superior.

(iii) The managers' behaviour is regulated by the board of directors. The crowding out effect is,
according to our hypothesis, expected to be greater than in case (i) but smaller than in case (ii).

Barkema's data set refers to 116 managers in medium-sized Dutch firms in 1985. They range
from between less than one hundred to more than 30,000 employees and cover a wide variety of
industries. The managers' individual effort is in line with Holmström and Milgrom (1990)
operationalized as the number of hours invested. The intensity of regulating is captured by three
aspects: the regularity with which their performance is evaluated; the degree of formality of the
evaluation procedure; and the degree to which the managers are evaluated by well defined
criteria. A measurement model is used to empirically establish that these variables meaningfully
represent the latent variable 'regulating'. A structural model is then used to show the influence of so-defined external intervention on managers' performance.

The results are consistent with the proposition advanced. The econometrically estimated parameters capturing the effect of external intervention on work performance turns out to be positive and statistically significant in case (i) of impersonal control. In case (ii) of personalized control, on the other hand, the corresponding parameter is statistically significant and negative; regulating strongly crowds out intrinsic motivation, so that the net effect of control on performance is counterproductive. In the intermediate case (iii) of somewhat personalized control, the estimated parameter does not deviate from zero in a statistically significant way.

A second econometric study (Frey and Goette 1999) looks at the voluntary sector which is of substantial size in developed economies (see the survey by Rose-Ackerman 1996, Salamon and Anheier 1997). Intrinsic motivation has been argued to be important for volunteering (Freeman, 1997). The authors use a unique data set from Switzerland to evaluate how financial rewards to volunteers affect their intrinsic motivation. The incidence of rewards is found to reduce volunteering. While the size of the rewards induces individuals to provide more volunteer work, the mere fact that they receive a payment significantly reduces their work efforts by approximately four hours. The magnitude of these effects is considerable. Evaluated at the median reward paid, volunteers work indeed less, suggesting that the crowding-out effect dominates the relative price effect. These results are immune to possible simultaneity bias or differences in reward policies between types of organizations. These findings have important implications for policy towards volunteer work. Direct incentives may backfire, leading to less volunteering.

3.3.2. Services
Daycare centers are confronted with the problem that parents sometimes arrive late to pick up their children which forces teachers to stay after the official closing time. A typical economic approach (in line with the economic theory of crime, initiated by Becker, 1968) would suggest to introduce a fine for collecting children late. Such a punishment is expected to induce parents to reduce the occurrence of belatedly picking up their children. The effect of such a policy was studied by Gneezy and Rustichini (1999) for a daycare center in Israel. They first recorded the
number of late-coming parents over a particular period of time. In a second period extending over twelve weeks, a significant monetary fine for collecting children late was introduced. After an initial learning phase, the number of late-coming parents increased substantially, which is consistent with the crowding-out effect. The introduction of a monetary fine transformed the relationship between parents and teachers from a non-monetary into a monetary one. As a result, the parents' intrinsic motivation to keep to the time schedules was reduced or was crowded out altogether; the feeling now was that the teachers are “paid” for the disamenity of having to stay longer. That parents' intrinsic motivation was crowded out for good by the introduction of a penalty system is supported by the fact that the number of late-coming parents remained stable at the level prevailing even after the fine was cancelled in a third phase.

In a study not based on econometric techniques, but rather on the comparison of carefully conducted case studies, Austin and Gittell (1999) find a crowding effect with respect to performance measurement in the airline industry. The specific issue they studied is how airline carriers deal with delays and the responsible factors or persons. They found that attributing a single delay as exactly as possible to its source (as suggested by the principal agent theory), is negatively correlated with the achieved end, namely the airline’s on-time flight performance. The most successful company was the one that used the general term ‘team delay’ to indicate the source of a delay caused by the personnel. It thereby crowded in the intrinsic motivation to help out other units and groups instead of provoking disagreements, finger-pointing and cover-up activities.

3.3.3. Siting Problems

An econometric test of crowding theory refers to the important real life issue of finding a site for locally unwanted projects (Frey and Oberholzer-Gee 1997). This is known as the 'Not in my backyard' or NIMBY-problem. For many different projects and major capital investments, a wide consensus exists that they are worth being undertaken. But no community is prepared to tolerate their vicinity. Such 'nimbyistic' behavior is well documented in cases where communities object to the siting of e. g. hazardous waste disposal facilities or the construction of freeways.

Economists have a handy tool to deal with such a situation. As the aggregate net benefits of undertaking the project are positive, one must simply redistribute them in an appropriate way. The communities which are prepared to accept the undesired project within their borders must be
compensated in such a way as to make their net benefits positive (O’Hare 1977; Kunreuther and Kleindorfer 1986). This policy recommendation underestimates the true costs of price incentives in that it fails to take into account the detrimental effects of motivation crowding-out.

The hypothesis that external incentives crowd out civic duty or intrinsic motivation and therefore the willingness to accept the locally undesired project was tested by analyzing the reaction to monetary compensation offered for a nuclear waste repository. A survey was undertaken among the population of the region concerned in Spring 1993. All respondents were asked if they were willing to permit the construction of a nuclear waste repository on the grounds of their community.

More than half of the respondents (50.8%) agreed to have the nuclear waste repository built in their community, 44.9% opposed the siting, and 4.3% did not care where the facility was built. Thus, this unfavourable siting decision is widely accepted in spite of the fact that a nuclear waste repository is mostly seen as a heavy burden for the residents of the host community. In a next step the level of external compensation was varied. To this end the respondents were asked the same questions whether they were willing to accept the construction of a nuclear waste repository. This time, however, it was added that the Swiss parliament had decided to compensate all residents of the host community. The amount offered was varied from CHF 2500 per individual and year (N=117), to CHF 5000 (N=102), and CHF 7500 (N=86). While 50.8% of the respondents agreed to accept the nuclear waste repository without compensation, the level of acceptance dropped to 24.6% when compensation was offered. The amount of compensation has no significant effect on the level of acceptance. About one quarter of the respondents seem to reject the facility simply because financial compensation is attached to it.

Compensation fundamentally alters the perceived nature of a siting procedure. What was observed in the analysis of verbal behavior represents precisely the type of mechanism postulated by motivation crowding theory. While external intervention, i.e. offering compensation, manages to address concerns regarding the costs of a noxious facility, it reduces the intrinsic motivation to permit the construction of such a facility. In the case studied, this latter effect even outweighs the benefits of external intervention, thereby reducing overall acceptance.

---

9 The compensation offered here is quite substantial. Median household income for our respondents is CHF 5250 per month.
In a recent paper focusing on a related issue, Oberholzer and Kunreuther (1999) analyze the phenomenon of social pressure in local politics. They studied the case of a siting project for a radioactive waste repository in Pennsylvania, U.S.A. The developer offered a benefit package including job creation and monetary rewards and real estate price guarantees. Townships were expected to volunteer (which they did not, most probably due to peer pressure effects within the communities). The authors modeled the influence of social pressure on political decisions, i.e. they included the effects of belonging to one particular camp (with the possibility to reward or punish fellow members or outsiders, respectively) into the individual utility function. To test their model, they conducted an empirical analysis based on a survey of 509 township supervisors. They were asked what they believe to be the percentage of people endorsing the repository project in their township as well as how they would vote themselves in a referendum on the issue. To control for effects of the net size of the compensation package they also included questions on how the respondents would react to the offer of triple cash payments. Once Oberholzer and Kunreuther control for the assumed increase in public support the supervisors associate with higher benefits (and therefore a lower degree of social pressure), the effect of higher monetary incentives becomes significantly negative: A triple cash offer decreases the supervisors’ willingness to support the project by 11 percentage points, ceteris paribus. This result is again consistent with the crowding-out effect.

3.3.4. Constitutional Design and Tax Evasion

Crowding theory can be applied to how constitutional and other legal rules affect the individual citizens. Civic virtue (a particular manifestation of intrinsic motivation) is bolstered if the public laws convey the notion that citizens are trusted. Such trust is reflected in extensive rights and participation possibilities. Citizens are given the freedom to act on their own with respect to economic affairs, the freedom to freely express themselves and to demonstrate and strike if they feel dissatisfied with particular government decisions, and most importantly to take important political decisions by themselves via referenda and initiatives. The basic notion enshrined in the constitution that citizens are on average, and in general, reasonable human beings thus generates a crowding-in effect of civic virtue. In contrast, a constitution which implies a fundamental distrust of its citizens and seeks to discipline them tends to crowd out civic virtue and undermines
the support which citizens are prepared to exert towards the basic law. The effects of such a
distrustful constitution show up in various ways. The citizens are dissatisfied with the political
system and respond by breaking the constitution and its laws whenever they expect to be able to
do so at low cost.

An important reaction to distrustful public laws is a reduction of tax morale and as a
consequence the evasion of taxes. It has been well established that tax paying behaviour cannot
be explained in a satisfactory way without taking into account tax morale. Thus, based on the
American Internal Revenue Service's Taxpayer Compliance Maintenance Program, Graetz and
Wilde (1985: 358) conclude that 'the high compliance rate can only be explained either by
taxpayers’ (...) commitment to the responsibilities of citizenship and respect for the law or lack of
opportunity for tax evasion'. The same authors (with Reinganum 1986) attribute the observed
falling tax compliance in the United States to the erosion of tax ethics.10

The extent of tax morale revealed by tax paying behaviour may be shown to depend on the type
of constitution existing (see more fully, Pommerehne and Frey 1993; Pommerehne and Weck-
Hannemann 1996; Frey 1997b). Switzerland presents a suitable test case because the various
cantons have different degrees of political participation possibilities. It is hypothesized that the
more extended political participation possibilities in the form of citizens' meetings, obligatory
and optional referenda and initiatives are, and the broader the respective competencies are, the
higher is tax morale and (ceteris paribus) tax compliance. On the basis of these characteristics,
about one third of the 26 Swiss cantons are classified as pure direct democracy, another third as
pure representative democracies, and the rest satisfies only some of the characteristics. A cross
section / time series (for the years 1965, 1970, 1978, i.e. 78 observations) multiple regression
explaining the part of income not declared yields the following results: The coefficients of the
variables indicating the type of democracy - controlling for all the determinants normally used in
such tax equations - have the theoretically expected signs. In cantons with a high degree of direct
political control, tax morale is (cet. par.) higher. The part of income concealed falls short of the
mean of all cantons by 7.7 percentage points, or in absolute terms the average amount of income
concealed is about SFr. 1,600 (per taxpayer) less than the mean income concealed in all cantons.
In contrast, in cantons with a low degree of political control, tax morale is (cet. par.) lower. The

10 Further evidence can be found, among others, in Schwartz and Orleans (1967), Lewis (1982), Roth, Scholz and
part of concealed income is four percentage points higher than the average income gap, and the mean income undeclared exceeds the mean of all cantons by about SFr. 1,500. The estimation results are consistent with the hypothesis that greater democratic participation possibilities crowds in intrinsic motivation in the form of civic virtue.

Another test of the crowding-out effect of public laws and institutions looks at wages in the government sector. The fact that government employees in many countries are prepared to work for a significantly lower salary (for evidence see e.g. Poterba and Rueben 1994) may be attributed to the higher motivation of the selection of people seeking employment in the public sector. An example would be those teachers who want to work in government schools because they believe in the virtue of public education for society. The increasing tendency to closely supervise government employees and to curtail their discretionary room has crowded out their work morale which is consistent with a continuous reduction of private sector wage premiums.

A third way to test the influence of government rules on civic virtues looks at the cost of financing public expenditures in terms of interest rates for government bonds. It has been argued (Schultz and Weingast 1994) that democracies find it less costly to finance themselves than authoritarian political systems because nations under a democratic constitution are more credible, and therefore more likely to pay back their debts. The observation of lower cost of finance under a democratic constitution is, however, also fully consistent with crowding theory: the citizens have a higher level of trust in, and attachment to, their state and are therefore more willing to grant credit to their state at more favourable financial conditions than are the subjects oppressed by a constitution.

On a more general level, there is a cumulative body of research indicating that people's perceptions of how they are treated by the authorities strongly affect their evaluation of authorities and laws, and their willingness to cooperate with them (e.g. Bardach and Kagan 1982, Lind and Tyler 1988, Tyler and McGraw 1986). Citizens who consider the constitution and its laws, and the authorities acting on their basis to be fair and to treat them respectfully, tend to be more compliant than those with more negative perceptions of government (e.g., Thibault and Walker, 1976, and for extensive empirical evidence see Tyler, 1990, 1997). In an econometric

11 Alternative explanations for the unobserved factor producing the wage differential such as higher fringe benefits or lower work intensity are, of course, possible.
study, Kucher and Götte (1998) find evidence for a relation between a high ratio of concurrence of a city government’s suggestions on how to vote with the actual results at the ballot and lower tax evasion. Kelman (1992) furthermore shows that the extensive use of adversary institutions for resolving public conflicts (which are prevalent, e.g., in the United States) tends to crowd out civic virtue.

4. Concluding Remarks

Many scholars have accepted the theoretical possibility of crowding effects, i.e. that an external intervention via monetary incentives or punishments may undermine (and under different indentifiable conditions strengthen) intrinsic motivation. But many of them have been critical about the empirical relevance of the crowding effects. This survey shows that this scepticism is unwarranted and that there exists indeed compelling empirical evidence for the existence of crowding out and crowding in. This conclusion is based on circumstantial evidence, laboratory evidence by both psychologists and economists as well as field evidence by econometric studies. The evidence refers to a wide variety of areas of the economy and society: children’s learning behavior; patients’ readiness to take prescribed medication; monetary and symbolic rewards for undertaking various laboratory tasks; the tendency to reciprocate in the laboratory setting reflecting work conditions in a firm; the amount of trust exhibited in a laboratory situation of incomplete contracts; the reaction of managers to various forms of supervision by their superiors; the preparedness to offer voluntary work; the observation of time schedules in daycare centers; the on-time flight performance in the airline industry; the readiness to accept nuclear waste repositories (and other locally unwanted sites); and the amount of civic virtue exhibited, in particular with respect to fulfilling one’s tax obligations (tax morale). This empirical evidence has been collected for many different countries and periods.

Crowding effects thus are an empirically relevant phenomenon. But it does, of course, not always prevail over the traditional relative price effect. Indeed, an effort has been made both in theory and in the empirical applications to identify the conditions under which crowding-out and crowding-in effects arise, and under which they are predicted to dominate the relative price effect. To more carefully identify these conditions in reality is a worthwhile goal for future research.
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