

Gwozdz, Wencke et al.

Working Paper

Maternal employment and childhood obesity: A European perspective

FZID Discussion Paper, No. 73-2013

Provided in Cooperation with:

University of Hohenheim, Center for Research on Innovation and Services (FZID)

Suggested Citation: Gwozdz, Wencke et al. (2013) : Maternal employment and childhood obesity: A European perspective, FZID Discussion Paper, No. 73-2013, Universität Hohenheim, Forschungszentrum Innovation und Dienstleistung (FZID), Stuttgart, <https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:100-opus-8504>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/74790>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

FZID Discussion Papers

CC Health Care Management

Discussion Paper 73-2013

MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILDHOOD OBESITY – A EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE

**WENCKE GWOZDZ, ALFONSO SOUSA-POZA,
LUCIA A. REISCH, WOLFGANG AHRENS,
STEFAN DE HENAUW, GABRIELE EIBEN,
JUAN M. FERNÁNDEZ-ALVIRA,
CHARALAMPOS HADJIGEORGIOU, EVA KOVÁCS,
FABIO LAURIA, TOOMAS VEIDEBAUM,
GARRATH WILLIAMS, KARIN BAMMANN**

Discussion Paper 73-2013

**Maternal Employment and Childhood Obesity –
A European Perspective**

WENCKE GWOZDZ, ALFONSO SOUSA-POZA,
LUCIA A. REISCH, WOLFGANG AHRENS,
STEFAN DE HENAUW, GABRIELE EIBEN,
JUAN M. FERNÁNDEZ-ALVIRA,
CHARALAMPOS HADJIGEORGIOU, EVA KOVÁCS,
FABIO LAURIA, TOOMAS VEIDEBaum,
GARRATH WILLIAMS, KARIN BAMMANN

Download this Discussion Paper from our homepage:
<https://fzid.uni-hohenheim.de/71978.html>

ISSN 1867-934X (Printausgabe)
ISSN 1868-0720 (Internetausgabe)

Die FZID Discussion Papers dienen der schnellen Verbreitung von
Forschungsarbeiten des FZID. Die Beiträge liegen in alleiniger Verantwortung
der Autoren und stellen nicht notwendigerweise die Meinung des FZID dar.

FZID Discussion Papers are intended to make results of FZID research available to the public
in order to encourage scientific discussion and suggestions for revisions. The authors are solely
responsible for the contents which do not necessarily represent the opinion of the FZID.

Maternal Employment and Childhood Obesity – A European Perspective^{*}

WENCKE GWOZDZ

Department of Intercultural Communication and Management, Centre for Corporate Social Responsibility, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark

ALFONSO SOUSA-POZA

Institute for Health Care & Public Management, University of Hohenheim, Germany

LUCIA A. REISCH

Department of Intercultural Communication and Management, Centre for Corporate Social Responsibility, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark

WOLFGANG AHRENS

Bremen Institute for Prevention Research and Social Medicine, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

STEFAN DE HENAUW

Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Hospital, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

GABRIELE EIBEN

Department of Public Health and Community Medicine, Public Health Epidemiology Unit, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

JUAN M. FERNÁNDEZ-ALVIRA

Growth, Exercise, Nutrition and Development Research Group, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

CHARALAMPOS HADJIGEORGIOU

Research and Education Institute of Child Health, Strovolos, Cyprus

EVA KOVÁCS

Department of Paediatrics, Medical Faculty, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

FABIO LAURIA

Institute of Food Science & Technology, National Research Council, Italy

TOOMAS VEIDEBAUM

National Institute for Health Development, Tallinn, Estonia

GARRATH WILLIAMS

Department of Politics, Philosophy and Religion, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

KARIN BAMMANN

Bremen Institute for Prevention Research and Social Medicine, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

^{*} Corresponding authors: Wencke Gwozdz (wg.ikl@cbs.dk) and Alfonso Sousa-Poza (alfonso.sousa-poza@uni-hohenheim.de).

This study was conducted as part of the IDEFICS study (<http://www.idefics.eu>). We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the European Community within the Sixth RTD Framework Programme under Contract No. 016181 (FOOD). Alfonso Sousa-Poza also thanks the Centre for Gender and Nutrition at the University of Hohenheim for financial support. Earlier versions of the paper were presented at the Rockwool Foundation, Technische Universität Darmstadt, University of Stirling, University of Utrecht, University of Hannover, the IZA 4th Annual Meeting on the Economics of Risky Behaviors (AMERB), the 2012 Annual Conference of the European Society of Population Economics, and the German Economic Association's Committee for Health Economics. We are thankful for valuable comments made by the participants, as well as by Costanza Biavaschi, Jane Greve, Hendrik Jürges, Patrick Puhani, Anna Salomons, Marcello Sartarelli, Erdal Tekin, three anonymous referees, and the editor. The usual disclaimer applies.

Abstract

The substantial increase in female employment rates in Europe over the past two decades has often been linked in political and public rhetoric to negative effects on child development, including obesity. We analyse this association between maternal employment and childhood obesity using rich objective reports of various anthropometric and other measures of fatness from the IDEFICS study of children aged 2-9 in 16 regions of eight European countries. Based on such data as accelerometer measures and information from nutritional diaries, we also investigate the effects of maternal employment on obesity's main drivers: calorie intake and physical activity. Our analysis provides little evidence for any association between maternal employment and childhood obesity, diet or physical activity.

JEL-Classification: I12, J13, J22

Keywords: Maternal employment, Children, Obesity, Europe

Maternal Employment and Childhood Obesity – A European Perspective^{*}

1 Introduction

It is estimated that across most EU countries, one in seven children is overweight or obese, and in virtually all European countries, the share of overweight and obese children has increased substantially over the last 10 years (OECD, 2010). According to the European Commission (EC, 2007) white paper “A strategy for Europe on nutrition, overweight and obesity related health issues”, this rise in childhood obesity can be expected to increase future levels of a number of chronic conditions, including cardiovascular disease, hypertension, type 2 diabetes, stroke, certain cancers, musculo-skeletal disorders and even a range of mental health conditions. In the long term, this increase could result in a negative impact on life expectancy in the EU.

The past decades have also witnessed a large increase in the female employment rate in Europe: in the EU, female employment rates increased from 44% in 1987 to 59% in 2011 (Eurostat, 2011). Maternal employment rates in Europe are also high, with approximately 70% and 50% of mothers with children under the age of 15 and 3, respectively, currently employed (OECD, 2012). This rise in female (and particularly maternal) employment is often associated with the increase in childhood obesity. The reasoning is that, first, employed mothers spend less time at home and thus possibly also less time preparing meals and taking care of children, which could result in an increase in unhealthy eating behaviours. Second, because employed mothers spend more time away from home, their children may spend more time in the care of others, whose quality of childcare can vary substantially. Third, without parental supervision, children may be more likely to stay indoors (watching TV, playing video games) and spend less time on more active recreation. Maternal employment can, however, also give rise to higher family income, which in turn may have a beneficial effect on a child's nutrition and physical activity through, for example, the ability to afford healthier foods, quality childcare and health club memberships.

^{*} Corresponding authors: Wencke Gwozdz (wg.ikl@cbs.dk) and Alfonso Sousa-Poza (alfonso.sousa-poza@uni-hohenheim.de).

A growing body of literature has emerged that addresses the relation between maternal employment and child obesity¹, most of which studies originate in the United States (Anderson et al., 2003; Benson and Mokhtari, 2011; Cawley and Liu, 2012; Fertig et al., 2009; Herbst and Tekin, 2011; Liu et al., 2009; Miller, 2011; Miller and Han, 2008; Morrissey et al., 2011; Ruhm, 2008). Research on this topic has also been conducted in Australia (Bishop, 2011; Brown et al., 2010; Champion et al., 2012; Zhu, 2007), Canada (Baker and Milligan, 2008; Chia, 2008; Phipps et al., 2006), Japan (Gaina et al., 2009) and the UK (Champion et al., 2012; Hawkins et al., 2007; Scholder, 2008), Denmark (Greve, 2011) and Spain (Garcia et al., 2006). This literature provides strong evidence for a positive effect of maternal employment on childhood obesity, although the magnitude of this effect varies substantially.

We contribute to the extant literature in three ways. Firstly, by basing our findings on the unique IDEFICS dataset, which covers children aged 2 to 9 in eight countries (Belgium, Cyprus, Estonia, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain and Sweden), we increase our understanding of how maternal employment could affect childhood obesity across Europe. Such increased insight is especially important given the ambitious goals for actively promoting female employment envisioned by EU leaders in the Lisbon Strategy. Yet prior research on maternal employment and childhood obesity provides only limited evidence for continental Europe. Secondly, because our data set contains alternative fatness measures shown to be more valid and reliable than BMI, we are able to examine several different objective measures for childhood obesity. Thirdly, because we have access to rich information on the two main drivers of childhood obesity – diet and physical activity – we are also able to examine these two aspects, which have received limited attention in earlier research on maternal employment and childhood obesity.

The general conclusion of this paper is that our European sample of children provides limited evidence that maternal employment is related to child obesity, unhealthy diet or lower levels of physical activity. The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows: Section 2 reviews the relevant research on the topic, section 3 describes our data and methodology, section 4 discusses the study results and section 5 summarises our conclusions.

¹ There is also some research that assesses the impact of maternal employment on other aspects of child development, such as cognitive ability and general health (e.g. Baker and Milligan, 2008; Gennetian et al., 2010; Morrill, 2011; Ruhm, 2008; Waldfogel et al., 2002).

2 Previous research

Since Anderson et al.'s (2003) seminal paper on the effect of maternal employment on child weight, a relatively large body of literature has evolved on this topic,² one thoroughly reviewed by Greve (2008) and Scholder (2008), among others. For the purpose of our study, however, three insights from this extant research are worth noting:

First, very few *continental* European studies on maternal employment and childhood obesity exist.³ We are only aware of two such studies: Garcia et al. (2006) for Spain and Greve (2011) for Denmark. Garcia et al. (2006), using data from the 2003 Spanish National Health Survey, show that maternal employment increases a child's likelihood of being overweight and obese by 2.5 and 2.3 percentage points, respectively. Greve's (2011) study, in contrast, which uses data from the Danish Longitudinal Survey of Children (DALSC) and the official register to analyse the effect of maternal employment when the child is 3½ years old on overweight at age 7½ years, is the only research we know of that finds that increased maternal work hours might actually *reduce* child obesity.⁴

Second, past studies on maternal employment and childhood obesity focus on obesity as the outcome variable and seldom address the two main drivers of obesity: diet and physical activity. Although some do show that maternal employment positively affects expenditures on purchased meals (Horton and Campbell, 1991; McCracken and Brandt, 1987) and that such meals tend to contain more calories and fats (Lin et al., 1996, 1999), we are aware of only a few that directly analyse the effect of maternal employment on meal patterns and diet. Among these, Cawley and Liu (2012), who examine mothers' time use based on the American Time Use Survey, find that employed women spend less time cooking and eating with their children. Likewise, Gaina et al. (2009), who investigate the effects of maternal employment on nutrition habits such as the regularity of breakfast, snacks and dinner and the speed at which meals are eaten, show that among a sample of 12- to 13-year-old Japanese schoolchildren, mother's employment status affects children's eating habits in a way that could lead to weight problems. Studies on adolescents' meal patterns and maternal

² Two earlier studies worth mentioning from the medical literature are Takahashi et al. (1999) for Japan and Johnson et al. (1992) for the United States.

³ The only country in Europe that has several studies on this topic is the UK. Scholder (2008), drawing on data from the British National Child Development Study (NCDS), shows that full-time maternal employment when the child is aged 7 increases the child's probability of becoming overweight by age 16 by about 5.5 percentage points. Likewise, Hawkins et al. (2007), using data from the UK Millennium Cohort Study (MCS) to examine the relationship between maternal employment and overweight in children aged 3 years, show that maternal employment after the child's birth is associated with early childhood overweight.

⁴ Another recent (non-European) study that estimates a negative effect of maternal employment on weight is Bishop (2011) for Australia.

employment are more common. For instance, Neumark-Sztainer et al. (2003) find that in the United States, family meals are less frequent when the mothers of teenagers aged 11 to 18 years are employed full time. Siega-Riz et al. (1998), on the other hand, in their analysis of data from the Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals in the United States, find no associations between meal patterns and maternal employment. There is some research evidence that children with more frequent family meals have healthier diets (Gillman et al., 2000; Haapalahti et al., 2003; Videon and Manning, 2003). However, we are not aware of any research that takes a direct look at the relation between maternal employment and children's *calorie intake*.

With regard to physical activity, Brown et al. (2010), using data from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children, show that the children of employed mothers generally watch more television than the children of mothers who are not employed. Likewise, using diary data from the Child Development Supplement (CDS) of the Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID), Fertig et al. (2009) reveal that maternal employment affects a child's inclination to perform activities like reading and watching TV. In a more recent study, Bonke and Greve (2012), using the Danish Time-Use and Consumption Survey (DTUC) from 2008/09 with information on fathers', mothers' and children's time use, find no evidence of a relation between parental working hours and children's time allocations. A similar result is obtained by Ziol-Guest et al. (2012) using data from the U.S. National Longitudinal Survey of Youth. They show that paternal work hours do not affect child BMI, whereas for children of highly-educated mothers, an association between maternal work hours and child BMI exists and that this is partially mediated by television viewing time. A more direct measure for the extent of children's physical activity can be obtained using data from accelerometers. However, to our knowledge, such data have not yet been used to assess the relation between maternal employment and child obesity.

A *third* important aspect of prior research is its exclusive use of BMI, defined as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared, as the obesity measure. Although attractive to the social sciences because of the ease with which height and weight data can be collected, the large body of medical literature that assesses BMI's validity as a proxy for fatness raises some concerns (see e.g. Burkhauser and Cawley, 2008; Gallagher et al., 1996; McCarthy et al., 2006; Romero-Corral et al., 2006; Wellens et al., 1996; Yusuf et al., 2005). The main concern is that BMI does not distinguish fat from muscle, bone and other lean body mass.⁵

⁵ Burkhauser and Cawley (2008, p. 527) express this concern as follows: "Social scientists should acknowledge that, because of its failure to distinguish body composition, BMI is a deeply flawed measure".

Nevertheless, the use of BMI for children is widespread and has been endorsed by an expert committee convened by the American Medical Association (AMA) in collaboration with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) and the CDC. This committee concludes that “although BMI does not measure body fat directly and therefore may lead to imprecise assessment of adiposity, it is feasible and has acceptable clinical validity if used thoughtfully” (Barlow et al., 2007, p. S167). More problematic, however, is that a number of studies (Bishop, 2011; Baker and Milligan, 2008; Chia, 2008; Garcia et al., 2006; Greve, 2011; Liu et al, 2009; Phipps et al., 2006; Zhu, 2007) use self-reported BMI, usually in the form of the children’s height and weight as reported by their parents. There is considerable evidence that such self-reporting leads to large biases (e.g. Huybrechts et al., 2006; Shields et al., 2011).⁶

Our paper, therefore, not only investigates the association between maternal employment and obesity but contributes to the existing literature by exploring the association between maternal work and meal patterns, diet and physical activity from a European perspective. We also circumvent the potential problems associated with BMI by using objective reports of various anthropometric and other measures, while recognising, as will be discussed in the methods section, that these measures have their own weaknesses.

3 Data and Methods

The data used in this study are taken from the IDEFICS study (“Identification and prevention of Dietary and lifestyle induced health EEffects In Children and infantS”), which is supported by the Sixth Framework Programme of the European Commission and uses standardised data collection methods in all survey countries (see Ahrens et al., 2011 for a description of IDEFICS). Specifically, IDEFICS is a multi-centre population-based study on childhood obesity carried out in two selected regions⁷ in each of eight European countries – Belgium,

⁶ For example, in their representative study for Canada, Shields et al. (2011) report that “the use of parent-reported values resulted in significant misclassification errors for children of all ages. A substantial percentage of children who were obese according to their measured height and weight were classified in a lower BMI category. For the most part, these errors resulted from the under-reporting of weight. On the other hand, many children who were classified as obese based on parent-reported height and weight were actually overweight or even normal weight. These errors generally resulted from the under-reporting of height” (p. 8). Likewise, in their Belgian study, Huybrechts et al. (2006) stipulate how substantial these biases can be: “Among all children requiring nutritional advice on the basis of being overweight or obese, more than one half of the overweight children and >75% of the obese children would be missed with the use of parentally reported weight and height values” (p. 2109).

⁷ The regions are comparable with regard to their infrastructural, sociodemographic and socioeconomic characteristics. The regions are as follows: Belgium: Geraardsbergen and Aalter; Cyprus: Strovolos and

Cyprus, Estonia, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Spain and Sweden. Children were approached through school and kindergarten settings, which facilitated enrolment of children. Because of budgetary constraints and feasibility considerations, it was not intended to generate a representative sample for a given country. The unique feature of the IDEFICS study is the large number of objective measurements and the amount of laboratory data it provides in addition to the questionnaire data.

The IDEFICS survey, administered between September 2007 and June 2008, comprised a detailed self-administered questionnaire in which parents described their children's lifestyle, diets, consumer behaviour, parental attitudes and socio-demographic circumstances. The questionnaire was developed in English, translated into the corresponding languages and translated back in order to reduce translation errors. The overall response rate was 53.5%, resulting in a sample of 16,224 children aged between 2 and 9 years, in a total of 390 kindergartens and school, with an average of 41.6 children per setting. A thorough physical examination was also conducted on all children in the sample to determine their amount of body fat and other health indicators. As will be discussed below, our analysis focuses on measures collected through various tests in which not all the children participated. Thus, sample sizes inevitably differ in the different analyses.

Maternal employment and child obesity

Although obesity is commonly defined as excess body fat, body fat itself cannot be directly measured in a living subject (see Sweeting, 2007). Moreover, laboratory methods such as density-based measures (hydrodensitometry; air displacement plethysmography) and scanning techniques (computerized tomography; magnetic resonance imaging; dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry), which can determine body fat with a certain accuracy, are not feasible in large-scale epidemiological studies, which must necessarily employ field methods. The most common such methods are assessment of body mass index (body mass in kg / squared standing height in m²), bioelectrical impedance (fat free mass estimated based on the measured electrical resistance of the body) and anthropometrics (body circumferences and skinfold thicknesses). All of these methods have age- and sex-specific disadvantages and there is no consensus on which measure of body fat is best (Burkhauser and Cawley, 2008).

Paphos; Estonia: Tartu and Tallinn; Germany: Delmenhorst and Wilhelmshaven; Hungary: Pecs and Zalaegerszeg; Italy: Atripalda/Monteforte I/Volturara I and Avellino/Forino/Pratola Serra; Spain: Zaragoza 1. District and Huesca; Sweden: Partille and Alingsås/Mölndal. For a description of the regions, see Bammann et al. (2012).

BMI, for example, is easily collected but ignores body composition. Similarly, the waist circumference measure, one of the most reliable field method for measuring abdominal visceral fat (Snijder et al., 2003), which is medically well documented as being especially problematic and associated with higher levels of morbidity and mortality, completely ignores such factors as larger amounts of body fat at the hip and thus also disregards body composition. Bioelectrical impedance methods, on the other hand, use body density assumptions that may or may not be true for the measured individual. Moreover, the IDEFICS study used a leg-to-leg device that measures only the bottom half of the body.

To investigate how a range of field measurements perform relative to a more precise laboratory combination of isotope dilution, air displacement plethysmography, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry and total body mass measurement (see Wells et al., 1999), the IDEFICS project included a validation study (Bammann et al., 2013) carried out on a sub-sample of 100 children. The results of the laboratory test were compared to those for a composite measure developed using data from the hip circumference, triceps skinfold and resistance index (derived from BIA) field methods.⁸ The comparative results indicate that, in the IDEFICS study at least, waist circumference and the imputed fat values from the composite measure have a very high validity. BMI performed significantly worse – a result also observed in Burkhauser and Cawley (2008). It should again be stressed, however, that all methods used to measure fatness have advantages and disadvantages, and there is little consensus on which measures of fatness is best, meaning that the use of more than one measure makes sense.

Based on the results of the IDEFICS validation study, we decided to rely primarily on three measures: We first include (measured) BMI in order to highlight possible differences that may arise from sole reliance on BMI.⁹ More specifically, we use a continuous variable describing BMI z-scores based on the growth charts of the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) (Cole et al., 2000), which were calculated with six nationally representative data sets of body mass indices in childhood (the countries being Brazil, Great Britain, Hong Kong, the Netherlands, Singapore, and the United States). These growth charts are differentiated by age

⁸ The composite measure was derived by fitting regression models to the data from the validation study. Body fat mass was estimated by the following formula:

$$\text{body fat in kg} = -15.226 + (.26912 * \text{hip circumference}) + (.16961 * \text{triceps skinfold}) + (.34585 * \text{FM}_{\text{res}})$$

where FM_{res} is fat mass calculated as weight (kg) minus $\text{RI} \text{ (cm}^2/\text{Ohm})$. The resistance index (RI) was calculated as squared height (cm^2) divided by resistance (Ohm) as measured by bioelectrical impedance.

⁹ Burkhauser and Cawley (2008) demonstrate, for example, that the correlation of fatness with one important labour market outcome, employment, is sensitive to the measure of fatness used.

and sex¹⁰, so a z-score reveals the corresponding percentile of the underlying growth chart (e.g. a z-score of 1.92 corresponds to the 97th percentile). We calculate BMI by dividing measured weight in kilograms by squared (measured) height in meters. The underlying weight and height measures were obtained by qualified health personnel. Our second measure is waist circumference, for which we use the corresponding z-scores based on the growth charts of the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF). The third measure is based on the fitted model described above, which is also the most valid measure of child obesity according to the IDEFICS validation study (Bammann et al., 2013).

Ideally, the choice of *explanatory variables* should be driven by a theoretical child health production function in which child health (in our case, obesity) is a function of parental time and other invested commodities (e.g. Ruhm, 2008). As in most studies, however, we take a relatively eclectic approach and include a wide range of explanatory variables. Our choice includes three dummy variables for maternal employment: full-time employment, defined as working 30 hours or more a week; part-time employment, less than 30 hours a week; whether the mother is undertaking further education; and a reference category of mothers who are not in paid employment (e.g. homemakers, retired, on temporary leave, on maternity leave or unemployed). As in Scholder (2008), we also distinguish three sets of control variables – child characteristics, family and parental characteristics and socio-economic characteristics.

Our set of *child characteristics* includes child's age, sex, birth weight, premature birth and breastfeeding, as well as three variables that capture health problems during the first four weeks after birth (respiratory problems, infections and jaundice), four variables that indicate the number of younger, older or same aged sibling (or no siblings), and one variable indicating birth in a foreign country. Child's age is a dummy variable, with age 7 serving as the reference group; birth weight is captured by actual birth weight in grams. The additional dummy variables are non-exclusive breastfeeding and three health problems (respiratory problems, infections and jaundice).

Our set of *family and parental characteristics* includes parents' age, foreign country of origin, household size, age of mother at birth, weight gained during pregnancy and smoking during pregnancy (dummy). Paternal employment is represented by three dummy variables (full-time, part-time and in school/university, with non-working fathers as the reference group). As regards parental BMI, Scholder (2008) excludes it based on the argument that after birth, any changes in maternal employment that affect a child's weight (via changes in eating patterns,

¹⁰ We also ran our regressions using growth charts from the U.S. Center for Disease Control (Kuczmarski et al., 2002), but the main conclusions did not change.

use of spare time, etc.) are likely to also affect parents' weights, an effect that researchers may want to avoid controlling for. Given the importance of parental BMI in determining child weight and also the fact that maternal employment may have different effects on the parents' weight than on their children's, however, we include parental BMI in our regressions. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the main conclusions of the paper do not change if this variable is omitted.

Our *socio-economic variables* are the parents' educational level (ISCED 1–6) and household income (net income after taxes and deductions), which is classified into nine categories. To derive comparable income categories by country, we built country-specific categories based on the median equivalent income adjusted for the number of household members. The lowest category is defined by each country's poverty line for a single parent with one child. The middle category is the median country-specific income for a household consisting of two adults and one child. The numbers were obtained from Eurostat¹¹ for the year 2007 and a detailed description of the categories is presented in Bammann et al. (2012).

The IDEFICS data has a nested structure with three levels: country, kindergarten / school ("setting"), and child. In such samples, the individual observations are in general not independent, as children in the same school tend to be more similar to each other due to, for example, selection processes, in which some schools may attract children from higher socio-economic status levels (Hox, 2002). We therefore estimate a 3-level random intercept model of the following form¹²:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ijk} &= \beta_{0jk} + \beta_1' X_{ijk}^E + \beta_2' X_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijk} \\ \beta_{0jk} &= \beta_{0k} + u_{0jk} \text{ (kindergarten / school level)} \\ \beta_{0k} &= \gamma_0 + v_{0k} \text{ (country level)} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where W_{ijk} is the measure for fatness of child i , in school j , and in country k . β_{0jk} is the average outcome in school j and country k which is equal to the sum of the population average (γ_0), a country-specific effect (v_{0k}) and a school-specific effect (u_{0jk}). X_{ijk} captures the child, family and parental, and socio-economic characteristics of child i in school j and country k . X_{ijk}^E captures the maternal employment. ε_{ijk} is the individual-level error term. The composite model thus looks as follows:

$$W_{ijk} = \gamma_0 + \beta_1' X_{ijk}^E + \beta_2' X_{ijk} + u_{0jk} + v_{0k} + \varepsilon_{ijk} \tag{2}$$

¹¹ <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu>

¹² An alternative approach would be to use OLS with multilevel clustering, which, in our case, gives rise to similar results.

Equation (2) is estimated using ML methods. When running regressions for individual countries, a corresponding two-level random intercept model is estimated.

It is important to stress that establishing a causal relation between maternal employment and child weight is not possible in our cross-sectional setting, especially given that maternal employment status might be endogenous. Determining a priori the magnitude and direction of a possible bias is difficult and purely speculative. According to Anderson et al. (2003), mothers who work more hours could be thought of as generally less attentive to their children's health, irrespective of their work effort. If this were the case, then the coefficient on maternal employment would be biased upwards. Alternatively, high-ability mothers may be more likely to work more hours and better able to ensure child health, which would lead to a downward bias. Put another way, mothers who are more likely to work could have skills that make it less likely that their children are obese. For example, they might have better organizational (multi-tasking) ability, which makes them more likely to work, but also more likely to be able to find good childcare alternatives or cook healthier home meals. It is also conceivable that a possible bias may differ depending on the country analysed. For instance, in Scandinavian countries most women work and are employed full time (37 hours per week), implying that women who work fewer hours are deviating from the norm and may be low-ability mothers who are less attentive to their children.¹³

To better explore the heterogeneous association with maternal employment at different points on the children's BMI distribution, we, like Greve (2011), Herbst and Tekin (2011) and Terry et al. (2007), run quantile regressions whose estimated coefficients show the marginal change in the n^{th} BMI quantile that results from changes in the maternal employment status. We also apply quantile regressions to the other measures of waist circumference and fat mass.

Of the 16,224 children that participated in IDEFICS, all three measures of fatness are available for 14,402 children. After taking the explanatory variables into account, we are left with a sample of 8,239 children.

¹³ In our analysis, we try to account for this endogeneity by using a very rich set of child and family characteristics. However, because it is impossible to test whether or not our variable set eliminates all unobserved heterogeneity, we also employed an instrumental variable (IV) approach. Specifically, as in several other papers (e.g. Greve, 2011), we used the local unemployment rate as our instrument. However, because our sample includes only 16 regions, the instrument's variation is too low. In any case, there is some concern that such an instrument is not valid, especially if the state of the macro economy affects health (Cawley and Liu, 2012). It is nevertheless worth noting that all the papers that we are aware of that use an IV approach show maternal employment status to be clearly exogenous, which may lend some support for the assumption that the endogeneity of maternal employment is perhaps not a major problem in such models. The best we can do with our data set, however, is to echo Cawley and Liu (2012, p. 362): "An important direction for future research is to find valid and powerful instruments for maternal employment, and investigate whether maternal employment has the causal effect of reducing mother's time spent in activities that relate to child diet, physical activity, and weight."

Maternal employment, diet and physical activity

According to Scholder (2008), the timing of maternal employment is important: in her study, full-time maternal employment during mid-childhood positively affects the probability of the child being overweight at age 16, although there is no evidence that part-time or full-time maternal employment at earlier or later ages affects this probability. Although very few studies address this important point (see also Miller, 2011), most assess the effect of *past* maternal employment on *future* child weight, which they measure using different lag lengths. Using our cross-sectional data set, we can control only for maternal employment status on the date that the children were surveyed¹⁴, which may not be an ideal explanatory variable for current child obesity. There is, however, usually a strong correlation between current and past employment, and, more important, current maternal employment is the relevant variable when examining the child's current diet and physical activity.

Although obesity is obviously the result of an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure, the exact mechanism of this imbalance is hard to pinpoint. Two points are clear, however. There is considerable marketing pressure on children to consume processed foods and the opportunities for them to do so are many. As a result, passive overconsumption is an important determinant of obesity (Livingstone, 2000). We therefore analyse three variables associated with food consumption. The *first* is the ratio of meal frequency at home to the total meal frequency (per week), which captures the number of meals both at home and in school or day care. Meals at home are defined as meals consumed in the child's home or at other people's houses (e.g. grandparents or friends). This variable takes into account Michaud et al.'s (2007) finding that differences in obesity rates between the United States and Europe are partially associated with the type or quality of food eaten away from home.

The *second* dependent variable is a continuous variable that describes diet on the Youth Healthy Eating Index (YHEI) (Feskanich et al., 2004), which ranges from 0 to 80, with a higher score indicating a healthier diet. Because there is no corresponding index for European children nor common European guidelines on which to base one, the YHEI, although based on U.S. dietary guidelines, is the best available instrument for generating comparable data among the eight survey countries and drawing conclusions on the relative healthiness of a diet. This index, which measures food consumption and food-related behavioural patterns, is

¹⁴ Although we include a variable for the mother never having been employed, it does not really capture the mother's employment history.

based on food consumption frequencies, which are collected in the IDEFICS survey using the Children’s Eating Habits Questionnaire (CEHQ) (Lanfer et al., 2011). Specifically, parents responded to the following question about their children’s food consumption of 43 food categories: *“In the last month, how many times did your child eat or drink the following food items? – Please refer to the last four weeks and exclude foods served at school.”* Respondents were asked to exclude foods served at school or childcare so that YHEI measures solely the healthiness of the diet under parental control. We also include meal pattern information from the CEHQ – for example, the frequency of fast food consumption, the frequency of breakfast at home or in school/childcare or the frequency of family dinners. Based on these data, we are able to replicate 10 of the 13 original YHEI dimensions¹⁵. To calculate our amended YHEI, we use the sum of all available scores for the 10 dimensions, the criteria for which are adapted from Feskanich et al. (2004) (see table 1). The possible minimum for the index is 0 and the maximum is 80.¹⁶

Table 1 about here

Our *third* measure captures the calorie intake of children in calories (kcal) per day. The data were collected using SACINA, a 24-hour self-administered children and infant nutrition assessment tool (Ahrens et al., 2011) based on the YANA–C questionnaire (Vereecken et al., 2008), which was filled out by the children’s parents. For school and childcare meals, there was also an additional on-site meal assessment undertaken by qualified dietitians. We use this information, together with country-specific food composition tables, to calculate energy intake (kcal) for each child on a daily basis.

For energy expenditure, we employ two dependent variables: first, as a proxy for sedentary behaviour, we use the children’s audiovisual and media time (AVM time), measured as the average hours children spend per week watching television, video, DVD or in front of a

¹⁵ Food types: ‘Whole grains’ (source of fibre, vitamins and minerals), ‘Vegetables’ (source of vitamins and minerals), ‘Fruits’ (source of vitamins), ‘Dairy’ (source of calcium), ‘Snack foods’ (unnecessary energy), ‘Soda and drinks’ (unnecessary energy), and ‘Margarine and butter’ (sources of fat). Food behavioural patterns: ‘Fried foods outside home’ (high energy intake), ‘Eat breakfast’ (indicator of healthy dietary patterns), and ‘Dinner with the family’ (indicator of healthy dietary patterns).

¹⁶ The original YHEI also includes the dimensions ‘meat ratio’, ‘multivitamin use’ and ‘visible animal fat’, but these factors are not covered in the IDEFICS data.

computer or a game console.¹⁷ Second, we calculate non-sedentary behaviour on the basis of uniaxial accelerometry (Ojiambo et al., 2011), a practical method for quantifying children's physical activity whose efficacy has been demonstrated in several studies (Jackson et al., 2003). As Johnston and Lee (2011) point out, the benefit of such monitors is that they can capture non-structured activities that are overlooked in self-reports, which, although widely used in the economics literature, are generally recognized as unreliable (Troiano et al., 2008), especially when parents are reporting on their own children's physical activities. In the IDEFICS study, the monitoring device, secured directly to the skin on the right hip using an elastic belt and removed at night, was worn for an average of 3.7 days (including weekdays and weekends). The resulting activity data were sampled using 15-second epochs and then averaged over a whole week, although the analysis includes only days with greater than 600 minutes of registered data. The total volume of physical activity is expressed as total counts divided by number of days registered; the time engaged in moderate and vigorous physical activity is calculated based on Pate et al. (2006) and presented as a proportion of total time.

For the analysis of diet and physical activity a similar multilevel regression was estimated as in the case of our fatness measures. Of the 16,224 children that participated in IDEFICS, all three diet measures are available for 6,802 children. After taking the explanatory variables into account, we are left with a sample of 4,375 children. Of the original 16,224 children, both physical activity measures are available for 7,112 children. After taking the explanatory variables into account, we are left with a sample of 4,425 children.¹⁸

To better assess the role of socio-economic status (SES), we also carry out analyses for three SES classes¹⁹: low, medium and high. This classification is based on an additive SES indicator comprising equalised household income, parental education and occupation (Bammann et al., 2012). All three components were recoded to the interval 1–5 and summed; the resulting SES indicator ranges from 3–15. To obtain the three SES categories used, we split the sample into terciles.

¹⁷ We also tested physical activity using parentally reported physical activity and leisure time (as further proxies for sedentary behaviour), but the conclusions did not change.

¹⁸ For a sample of 2,278 children, we have complete information; that is, data on all the fatness measures, diet measures, physical activity measures and all explanatory variables. However, because we lose 86% of our original sample and the resulting sample size is too small to conduct country analyses, we have refrained from such an approach. We did, however, analyse this sample separately, and the conclusions did not change.

¹⁹ Although the IDEFICS survey is not representative of the individual countries, we have checked for a bias related to SES. One IDEFICS study (Bammann et al., 2012) identifies certain deviations in household income between IDEFICS households and national country averages (Eurostat statistics), albeit not in any one direction. Thus, our regions in Cyprus, Germany and Italy are poorer than the national averages, whereas Estonia, Spain and Sweden cover more prosperous areas. When we compare the total Eurostat averages with the IDEFICS averages across all eight countries, however, we note that our sample has a slightly lower average household income, although the difference is not large (€15,661 vs. €13,619).

The descriptive statistics for all variables are given in appendix table A1.²⁰

4 Results

Maternal employment and child weight

The descriptive statistics for (part- and full-time) employed and non-employed mothers on the three measures of fatness (BMI, waist circumference, fitted model) are given in table 2. In our full sample, fatness measures are significantly higher among non-employed than employed mothers. Only with regard to waist circumference do we observe no significant difference between children of full-time employed mothers and those of non-employed mothers. There also appears to be a difference between the part-time and full-time variables, with children of part-time employed mothers having generally lower fatness measures (albeit only significant for the waist circumference measure). This point is also highlighted in the country statistics (see table 3), although few results are significant and sample sizes are relatively small. In our Belgian, Cypriot and Hungarian samples, we note that children of full-time employed mothers have a larger fatness value than children of non-employed mothers. It is particularly noteworthy that no country differences are significant when the BMI measure is used.

Table 2 about here

Table 3 about here

The regression results for our three dependent variables on child fatness and for different SES categories are summarised in table 4. Four points are worth noting: first, in the full sample we note a positive correlation between full-time maternal employment and child fatness. This result is primarily being driven by low SES household. Second, whereas we find no significant evidence that maternal employment correlates with child BMI at a low SES, we do

²⁰ These descriptive statistics are based on the sample of 8,239 children for which all fatness measures are available.

find such a correlation for waist circumference and body fat. This observation echoes Burkhauser and Cawley's (2008) conclusion that different measures of fatness can give rise to different results. Third, we only find significant effects for full-time employment: part-time employment does not correlate significantly with child fatness in any measure. This finding is further support that, if at all, it is primarily the full-time employment of mothers that could have detrimental effects. Finally, the effects are relatively small – the significant coefficient in the body fat model in low SES households corresponds to approximately 340g of fat.

Table 4 about here

The results of the individual country regressions are listed in table 5. Clearly, and despite large variations in obesity among the regions in our sample, maternal employment is seldom significant. Only in Cyprus (with BMI) and Italy (with BMI and the fitted model) do we observe a significant correlation. Needless to say, however, small sample sizes are affecting the power of these models.

Table 5 about here

The results for the quantile regressions are presented in table 6. Here, we observe a number of significant coefficients. For BMI, we observe significant positive coefficients for full-time employment at the upper ends of the distribution (greater than 75%). The results using waist circumference are similar, although there is also evidence of positive correlations at the low end of the distribution. In our fitted model, we observe a significant positive coefficient at the 85% cut-off. In the case of part-time employment, with the exception of negative effects at the 10% and 25% cut-offs in the fitted model, no coefficients are significant. The general picture given by these results is that positive correlations seem more likely at the upper end of a fatness distribution, and apply only to full-time employment. This observation mirrors Herbst and Tekin's (2011) finding for the U.S. that children at the upper end of the distribution experience BMI gains that are greater than those experienced by children at the lower end.

Table 6 about here

To summarize, our different measures of fatness provide no strong evidence that current maternal employment is associated with children's current obesity. An exception is low SES mothers and children at the upper end of the fatness distribution, although the magnitude of the coefficients is not particularly large. Very little evidence exists that part-time employment is correlated with child fatness. However, as we cannot rule out the possibility that current maternal employment affects future obesity levels, we further analyse the effect of maternal employment on the direct drivers of obesity: energy intake and expenditure.

Maternal employment, diet and physical activity

Descriptive statistics for (part- and full-time) employed and non-employed mothers on meal patterns and diet and physical activity are given in table 7. Although it is not surprising that meals at home are more common among the children of non-employed mothers, we find slightly higher YHEI scores for the children of employed mothers (with the difference being significant for full-time employed mothers). Children's calorie intake is significantly lower among full-time employed mothers than among non-employed mothers and energy expenditure is significantly higher among children of part-time employed mothers than among non-employed mothers. The differences, however, are very small. At the country level (table 8), few differences are significant. Only in Belgium do we note that calorie intake is lower among the children of employed mothers, whereas the opposite is true in our Swedish sample. In our Hungarian sample, the children of non-employed mothers have a significantly higher level of physical activity. Again, however, the differences are relatively small.

Table 7 about here

Table 8 about here

As shown by the regression results for maternal employment on meal patterns and diet (table 9), the children of employed mothers consume meals at home less frequently, a finding supported by both Neumark-Sztainer et al. (2003) and Cawley and Liu (2012). In fact, some studies suggest a positive relation between the frequency of family meals at home and diet healthiness (Gillman et al., 2000; Haapalahti et al., 2003; Videon and Manning, 2003). In our analysis, we observe a significant negative correlation between full-time employment and the healthiness of children's diet under parental control (as measured by the YHEI index), although the effect is relatively low. With regard to calorie intake, AVM time and physical activity, we observe no significant results in the full sample. These three measures are particularly relevant because they capture meals taken both at home and outside the home. In the corresponding results for different SES levels (also in table 9), only two coefficients are significant in the medium-SES sample: full-time employment in the YHEI case, and full-time employment associated with AVM time.

Country regressions are presented in table 10. We note that, in Spain and Belgium, maternal employment appears to be related to lower YHEI values. In addition, the children of full-time employed mothers have a significantly larger calorie intake in Cyprus and Germany, a significantly lower calorie intake in Belgium, and higher levels of AVM time in Italy and Cyprus. Analyses based on accelerometer data provide little evidence that maternal employment is associated with children's physical activities.

Table 9 about here

Table 10 about here

5 Discussion and Conclusions

Our analysis of European children provides little evidence that maternal employment is related to childhood obesity. Some nuances, however, are worth noting. First, socio-economic

status (SES) and the child's position on the fatness distribution appear to be important: in general, in low SES households and among children at the upper end of the fatness distribution, we observe a positive relation between maternal employment and childhood fatness. These two factors also go hand-in-hand; that is, there is evidence in Europe of a widening social gradient in child overweight (Knai et al., 2012). Second, different measures of fatness can give rise to different results. In particular, we note that the results of our SES analysis are sensitive to the measure used. For example, in the low SES sample, maternal employment is not related to child BMI but has significant positive effects on the other two measures, probably because BMI does not distinguish between total body fat and fat-free mass. Third, and in line with recent findings for Denmark (Greve, 2011), these results hold only for full-time employment – there is little evidence of a mother's part-time employment being associated with childhood obesity. We also find little evidence that maternal employment is related to a child's diet and physical activity. Specifically, although maternal full-time employment does have a negative effect on a child's dietary composition (as measured by the YHEI), this effect is small. Moreover, neither a child's calorie intake nor physical activity is related to a mother's employment status, a result that holds irrespective of the household's SES.

These findings stand at odds with those of a number of studies conducted primarily in the U.S. and thus raise the question of why maternal employment is not seemingly related to child obesity in the European context. In answer, we point first to the importance of institutional differences in public support for parental childcare. Such public support can affect the health of employed mothers' children in two ways: first, higher expenditures on childcare facilities can lead to better quality care in such facilities and thus healthier diets and more physical activity. Second, cash benefits like child-family allowances and tax relief may allow parents to forgo some employment-related earnings in order to spend more time caring for children; that is, they allow more parental attention to be allotted without compromising household income. Such increased care could also benefit the children's health. There is some evidence that this kind of public support is quite generous in many European countries (Sayer et al., 2004a, 2004b; OECD, 2011). Yet within Europe, large differences exist in the magnitude and form of support. In our sample of countries (and for children aged 2 to 9), Belgium, Germany and Hungary have relatively generous cash benefits and tax breaks amounting to between 10–20% of household income (see OECD, 2011), but such support is very limited in Estonia, Italy and Spain. Expenditure on childcare in pre-schooling years is particularly generous in Hungary and Sweden, at around 50% of median household income, and relatively low in

Estonia, at approximately 20% of median household income. Yet despite these differences, European public support through cash benefits and in-kind service provisions including childcare and tax breaks is significantly higher than in many non-European countries, in particular, the United States (OECD, 2011). In fact, the oft-claimed poor quality of childcare in the United States (UNICEF, 2008), although admittedly difficult to measure, may partly explain the differences between the findings for continental Europe and the United States.²¹

Lifestyle and environmental differences between Europe and the United States may also matter. For example, the portions of meals eaten away from home tend to be larger in the United States than in Europe (Steenhuis et al., 2010). The sizes of chain fast-food portions in the United States, especially, appear to be considerably larger (Young and Nestle, 2002), which seems to have an adverse effect on diet quality in ways that could plausibly increase the risk for obesity (Bowman et al., 2004).

One final point that appears to apply as much to the United States as to Europe is that the dramatic rise in maternal employment has not necessarily led to a qualitatively significant reduction in the time mothers spend with their children (Bianchi, 2000). Rather, employed mothers maximise such time by working part-time or by having fewer children, a particularly relevant factor in Southern European countries where fertility rates have been extremely low for a number of decades. They may also use their non-market time differently; for example, by using market substitutes for housework or by expending less time on leisure. At the same time, working fathers are spending more time with their children than in the past (Benson and Mokhtari, 2011). Bianchi (2000) thus concludes that, despite large increases in maternal employment, mothers' time and attention to children has been far more constant over the past few decades than might be expected.

Ideally, an analysis of maternal employment and childhood obesity in Europe should use several waves of panel data, rich data on labour supply, objective measures of adiposity, should cover several countries in a representative manner and have large sample sizes. Needless to say, such a data set does not exist, meaning that a few words of caution are warranted on the interpretation of our results. First, one drawback of using such rich and costly data is that it nearly always implies reliance on a cross-section. Yet because the relations between a mother's work hours and her child's activities, and between the child's activities and the child's weight status, may be due not only to a direct causal link but also to some unobservable characteristic(s) of the family or the mother, we must interpret findings

²¹ Of the 10 benchmarks defined by UNICEF, the United States fulfils only 3 and is ranked 4th from last in a group of 25 developed countries.

based on cross-sectional data as suggestive and not causal. Second, more detailed information (in conjunction with panel data) on labour supply would be useful for assessing whether changes in working time affect children's fatness at different ages. Third, although the IDEFICS sample is reasonably large, a more detailed regional analysis is hampered by the relatively small sample sizes at the country level. This restriction, too often encountered in European cross-national research, is unfortunate because it prevents exploitation of the full heterogeneity among the regions. Finally, it must be stressed that the regional data are not fully representative of their corresponding countries.

Our paper provides initial evidence for selected regions in Europe that maternal employment may not be detrimental to childhood obesity. Considering the dearth of research on this topic for continental Europe, more country studies are needed to shed additional light on this important issue. Further cross-national research is also particularly valuable for establishing the generality of findings and the validity of interpretations derived from single-nation studies. As pointed out by Kohn (1989, p. 77), "in no other way can we be certain that what we believe to be social structural regularities are not mere particularities, the product of some limited set of historical or cultural or political circumstances".

References

- Ahrens W, Bammann K, Siani A, Buchecker K, De Henauw S, Iacoviello L, Hebestreit A, Krogh V, Lissner L, Mårild S, Molnár D, Moreno LA, Pitsiladis YP, Reisch L, Tornaritis M, Veidebaum T, Pigeot I. The IDEFICS cohort: design, characteristics and participation in the baseline survey. *International Journal of Obesity* 2011; 35; S3–S15.
- Anderson PM, Butcher KF, Levine PB. Maternal employment and overweight children. *Journal of Health Economics* 2003; 22(3); 477–504.
- Baker M, Milligan K. Maternal employment, breastfeeding, and health: evidence from maternity leave. *Journal of Health Economics* 2008; 27; 871–887.
- Bammann K, Gwozdz W, Lanfer A, Barba G, De Henauw S, Eiben G, Fernandez-Alvira JM, Kovac E, Lissner L, Moreno LA, Tornaritis M, Veidebaum T, Pigeot I. Socioeconomic factors and childhood overweight in Europe: results from the multi-centre IDEFICS study. *Pediatric Obesity* 2012; 8; 1–12.
- Bammann K, Huybrechts I, Vicente-Rodriguez G, Easton C, De Vriendt T, Marild S, Mesana MI, Peeters MW, Reilly JJ, Sioen I, Tubic B, Wawro N, Wells JC, Westerterp K, Pitsiladis Y, Moreno LA. Validation of anthropometry and foot-to-foot bioelectrical resistance against a three-component model to assess total body fat in children: the IDEFICS study. *International Journal of Obesity* 2013 (forthcoming).
- Barlow SE and the Expert Committee. Expert Committee Recommendations Regarding the Prevention, Assessment, and Treatment of Child and Adolescent Overweight and Obesity: Summary Report. *Pediatrics* 2007; 120; S164–S192.
- Benson L, Mokhtari M. Parental employment, shared parent–child activities and childhood obesity. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* 2011; 32(2); 233–244.
- Bianchi S. Maternal employment and time with children: dramatic change or surprising continuity. *Demography* 2000; 37(4); 401–414.
- Bishop J. The effect of maternal employment on youth overweight in Australia. *Economic Record* 2011; 87(S1); 92–104.
- Bonke J, Greve J. Children's health-related life-styles: how parents affect them. *Review of Economics of the Household* 2012; 10(4); 557–572.
- Bowman SA, Gortmaker SL, Ebbeling CB, Pereira MA. Effects of fast-food consumption on energy intake and diet quality among children in a national household survey. *Pediatrics* 2004;113;1; 112–118.
- Brown JE, Broom DH, Nicholson JM, Bittmann M. Do working mothers raise couch potato kids? Maternal employment and children's lifestyle behaviours and weight in early childhood. *Social Science & Medicine* 2010; 70; 1816–1824.
- Burkhauser RV, Cawley J. Beyond BMI: The value of more accurate measures of fatness and obesity in social science research. *Journal of Health Economics* 2008; 27; 519–529.
- Cawley J, Liu F. Maternal employment and childhood obesity: a search for mechanisms in time use data. *Economics and Human Biology* 2012; 10; 352–364.
- Champion S L, Rumbold AR, Steele EJ, Giles LC, Davies MJ, Moore VM. Parental work schedules and child overweight and obesity. *International Journal of Obesity* 2012; 36; 573–580.

- Chia YF. Maternal labour supply and childhood obesity in Canada: evidence from the NLSCY. *Canadian Journal of Economics* 2008; 41(1); 217–242.
- Cole T, Bellizzi M, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *British Medical Journal* 2000; 320; 1240–1243.
- European Commission (EC). A strategy for Europe on nutrition, overweight and obesity related health issues. EC White Paper; Brussels; 2007.
- Eurostat. Employment statistics – Data from September 2011; 2011. (Accessed 9 December 2011)
http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/Employment_statistics#Total_employment_rate_E2.80.93_differences_by_gender.2C_age_and_educational_attainment_level.
- Fertig A, Glomm G, Tchernis R. The connection between maternal employment and childhood obesity: inspecting the mechanisms. *Review of Economics of the Household* 2009; 7(3); 227–255.
- Feskanich D, Rockett H, Colditz RH, Graham A. Modifying the healthy eating index to assess diet quality in children and adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2004; 104(9); 1375–1383.
- Gaina A, Sekine M, Chandola T, Marmot M, Kagamimori S. Mother employment status and nutritional patterns in Japanese junior high schoolchildren. *International Journal of Obesity* 2009; 33(7); 753–757.
- Gallagher D, Visser M, Sepulveda D, et al. How useful is body mass index for comparison of body fatness across age, sex, and ethnic groups? *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1996; 143(3); 228–239.
- Garcia E, Labeaga J, Ortega C. Maternal employment and childhood obesity in Spain. FEDEA Working Paper 2006, Madrid.
- Gennetian LA, Hill HD, London AS, Lopoo LM. Maternal employment and the health of low-income young children. *Journal of Health Economics* 2010; 29(3); 353–363.
- Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Frazier AL, Rockett HRH, Camargo CA, Field AE, Berkey CS, Colditz GA. Family dinner and diet quality among older children and adolescents. *Archives of Family Medicine* 2000; 9; 235–240.
- Greve J. Obesity, labor market activity, and demand for medical care. PhD thesis, Department of Economics; Aarhus School of Business; University of Aarhus; 2008.
- Greve J. New results on the effect of mothers' working hours on children's overweight status: does quality of childcare matter? *Labour Economics* 2011; 18(5); 579–590.
- Haapalahti M, Mykkanen H, Tikkanen S, Kokkonen J. Meal patterns and food use in 10- to 11-year-old Finnish children. *Public Health Nutrition* 2003; 6; 365–370.
- Hawkins SS, Cole TJ, Law C. Maternal employment and early childhood overweight: findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. *International Journal of Obesity* 2007; 32(1); 30–38.
- Herbst CM, Tekin E. Child care subsidies and childhood obesity. *Review of Economics of the Household* 2011; 9(3); 349–378.
- Horton S, Campbell C. Wife's employment, food expenditures, and apparent nutrient intake: evidence from Canada. *American Agricultural Economics Association* 1991; 73; 784–794.

- Hox J. *Multilevel Analysis: Techniques and Applications*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers, London, 2002.
- Huybrechts I, De Bacquer D, Van Trimpont I, De Backer G, De Henauw S. Validity of parentally reported weight and height for preschool-aged children in Belgium and its impact on classification into body mass index categories. *Pediatrics* 2006; 118(5); 2109–2018.
- Jackson DM, Reilly JJ, Kelly LA, Montgomery C, Grant S, Paton JY. Objectively measured physical activity in a representative sample of 3- to 4-year-old children. *Obesity Research* 2003; 11; 420–425.
- Johnson RK, Smiciklas-Wright H, Crouter AC, Willits FK. Maternal employment and the quality of young children's diets: empirical evidence based on the 1987–1988 nationwide food-consumption survey. *Pediatrics* 1992; 90; 245–249.
- Johnston DW, Lee W-S. Explaining the female black-white obesity gap: a decomposition analysis of proximal causes. *Demography* 2011; 48(4); 1429–1450.
- Knai C, Lobstein T, Darmon N, Rutter H, McKee M. Socioeconomic patterning of childhood overweight status in Europe. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2012; 9(4); 1472–1489.
- Kohn, ML. *Cross-National Research as an Analytic Strategy*. Newbury Park: SAGE Publications 1989.
- Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, Wei R. Mei Z, Curtin LR, Roche AF, Johnson CL. CDC growth charts for the United States: methods and development. *Vital Health Statistics* 2002; 11(246); 1–190.
- Lanfer A, Hebestreit A, Ahrens W, Krogh V, Sieri S, Lissner L, Eiben G, Siani A, Huybrechts I, Loit H-L, Papoutsou S, Kovács E, Pala V. Reproducibility of food consumption frequencies derived from the Children's Eating Habits Questionnaire used in the IDEFICS study. *International Journal of Obesity* 2011; 35; S61–S68.
- Lin B-H, Guthrie J, Blaylock J. *The diets of America's children: Influence of dining out, household characteristics and nutrition knowledge*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture; Economics Research Service; 1996.
- Lin B-H, Guthrie J, Frazao E. Nutrient contribution of food away from home. In: Frazao E (Eds), *American's eating habits: changes and consequences*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture; Economics Research Service; 1999; 213–242.
- Liu E, Hsiao C, Matsumoto T, Chou S. Maternal full-time employment and overweight children: parametric, semi-parametric, and non-parametric assessment. *Journal of Econometrics* 2009; 152(1); 61–69.
- Livingstone B. Epidemiology of childhood obesity in Europe. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 2000; 159(13); S14–S34.
- McCarthy HD, Cole TJ, Fry T, Jebb SA, Prentice AM. Body fat reference curves for children. *International Journal of Obesity* 2006; 30; 598–602.
- McCracken V, Brandt J. Household consumption of food-away-from-home: total expenditure and by type of food facility. *American Agricultural Economics Association* 1987; 69; 274–284.
- Michaud P, van Soest A, Andreyeva T. Cross-country variation in obesity patterns among older Americans and Europeans. *Forum for Health Economics & Policy* 2007; 10(2); 1–30.

- Miller DP. Maternal work and child overweight and obesity: the importance of timing. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* 2011; 32(2); 204–218.
- Miller DP, Han W. Maternal nonstandard work schedules and adolescent overweight. *American Journal of Public Health* 2008; 98(8); 1495–1502.
- Morrill MS. The effects of maternal employment on the health of school-age children. *Journal of Health Economics* 2011; 30(2); 240–257.
- Morrissey TW, Dunifon RE, Kalil A. Maternal employment, work schedules, and children's body mass index. *Child Development* 2011; 82(1); 66–81.
- Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M, Croll J, Perry C. Family meal patterns: associations with sociodemographic characteristics and improved dietary intake among adolescents. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 2003; 103; 317–322.
- OECD. Health at a glance: Europe 2010. OECD Publishing; 2010; (Accessed 15 December 2011) http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/health_glance-2010-en.
- OECD. Doing better for families. OECD Publishing; 2011; (Accessed 15 December 2011) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264098732-en>.
- OECD. Family database 2012. (Accessed 17 May 2012) <http://www.oecd.org/social/socialpoliciesanddata/oecdfamilydatabase.htm>
- Ojiambo R, Cuthill R, Budd H, Konstabel K, Casajús JA, González-Agüero A, Anjila E, Reilly JJ, Easton C, Pitsiladis YP. Impact of methodological decisions on accelerometer outcome variables in young children. *International Journal of Obesity* 2011; 35; S98–S103.
- Pate RR, Almeida MJ, McIver KL, Pfeiffer KA, Dowda M. Validation and calibration of an accelerometer in preschool children. *Obesity* 2006; 14(11); 2000–2006.
- Phipps S, Lethbridge L, Burton P. Long-run consequences of parental paid work hours for child overweight status in Canada. *Social Science & Medicine* 2006; 62; 977–986.
- Romero-Corral A, Montori VM, Somers VK, Korinek J, Thomas RJ, Allison TG, Mookadam F, Lopez-Jimenez F. Association of bodyweight with total mortality and with cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a systematic review of cohort studies. *The Lancet* 2006; 368(9536); 666–678.
- Ruhm CJ. Maternal employment and adolescent development. *Labour Economics* 2008; 15; 958–983.
- Sayer L, Bianchi S, Robinson J. Are parents investing less in children? Trends in mothers' and fathers' time with children. *American Journal of Sociology* 2004a; 110; 1–43.
- Sayer L, Gauthier A, Furstenberg F. Educational differences in parents' time with children: cross-national variations. *Journal of Marriage and Family* 2004b; 66; 1152–1169.
- Scholder S. Maternal employment and overweight children: does timing matter? *Health Economics* 2008; 17; 889–906.
- Shields M, Connor Gorber S, Janssen I, Tremblay MS. Obesity estimates for children based on parent-reported versus direct measures. *Health Reports* 2011; 22; 1–12.
- Siega-Riz AM, Carson T, Popkin B. Three square meals or mostly snacks – what do teens really eat? A sociodemographic study of meal patterns. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 1998; 22; 29–36.
- Snijder MB, Dekker JM, Visser M, Bouter LM, Stehouwer CD, Kostense PJ, Yudkin JS, Heine RJ, Nijpels G & Seidell JC. Associations of hip and thigh circumferences

- independent of waist circumference with the incidence of type 2 diabetes: the Hoorn Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003; 77; 1192–1197.
- Steenhuis IHM, Leeuwis FH, Vermeer WM. Small, medium, large or supersize: trends in food portion sizes in the Netherlands. *Public Health Nutrition* 2010; 13; 6; 852–857.
- Sweeting HN. Measurement and definitions of obesity in childhood and adolescence: a field guide for the uninitiated. *Nutrition Journal* 2007; 32(6); 1–8.
- Terry MB, Ying W, Esserman D. Maternal, birth, and early-life influences on adult body size in women. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2007; 166; 5–13.
- Takahashi E, Yoshida K, Sugimori H, Miyakawa M, Izuno T, Yamagami T, Kagamimori S. Influence factors on the development of obesity in 3-year-old children based on the Toyama study. *Preventive Medicine* 1999; 28; 293–296.
- Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2008; 40; 181–188.
- UNICEF. The child care transition. Innocenti Report Card No. 8; UNICEF Innocenti Research Centre; Florence; 2008.
- Vereecken CA, Covents M, Sichert-Hellert W, Fernández Alvira JM, Le Donne C, De Henauw S, De Vriendt T, Phillipp MK, Béghin L, Manios Y, Hallström L, Poortvliet E, Matthys C, Plada M, Nagy E, Moreno LA. Development and evaluation of a self-administered computerized 24-h dietary recall method for adolescents in Europe. *International Journal of Obesity* 2008; 32; S26–S34.
- Videon TM, Manning CK. Influences on adolescent eating patterns: the importance of family meals. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 2003; 32; 365–373.
- Waldfoegel J, Han W, Brooks-Gunn J. The effects of early maternal employment on child cognitive development. *Demography* 2002; 39; 369–392.
- Wellens RI, Roche AF, Khamis HJ, Jackson AS, Pollock ML, Siervogel RM. Relationships between the body mass index and body composition. *Obesity Research* 1996; 4; 35–44.
- Wells JC, Fuller NJ, Dewit O, Fewtrell MS, Elia M, Cole TJ. Four-component model of body composition in children: density and hydration of fat-free mass and comparison with simpler models. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1999; 69(5); 904–912.
- Young, LR, Nestle M. The contribution of expanding portion sizes to the U.S. obesity epidemic. *American Journal of Public Health* 2002; 92; 2; 246–249.
- Yusuf S., Hawken S., Ounpuu S., Bautista L, Grazia Franzosi M, Commerford P, Lang CC, Rumboldt Z, Onen CL, Lisheng L, Tanomsup S, Wangai P, Razak F, Sharma AM, Anand SS. Obesity and the risk of myocardial infarction in 27,000 participants from 52 countries: a case-control study. *The Lancet* 2005; 366, 1640–1649.
- Zhu A. The effect of maternal employment on the likelihood of a child being overweight. Discussion Paper No. 2007/17; School of Economics; University of New South Wales: Australia; 2007.
- Ziol-Guest KM, Dunifon RE, Kalil A. Parental employment and children's body weight: Mothers, others, and mechanisms. *Social Science & Medicine* 2012 (in press).

Tables and figures

Table 1: Youth Healthy Eating Index (YHEI) scoring criteria, based on Feskanich et al. (2004)

YHEI dimensions	YHEI scoring criteria		Scores Mean (SD)
	Requirements for max. score of 10	Requirements for min. score of 0	
	← Servings per day →		
1. Whole grain	≥ 2	0	3.26 (3.39)
2. Vegetables	≥ 3	0	3.92 (2.62)
3. Fruits	≥ 3	0	3.95 (2.63)
4. Dairy	≥ 3	0	6.52 (2.44)
5. Snack foods	0	≥ 3	6.47 (2.59)
6. Soda & drinks	0	≥ 3	8.50 (2.52)
	Requirements for max. score of 5	Requirements for min. score of 0	
7. Margarine & butter	Daily	≥ 2 pats/day	3.25 (1.72)
8. Fried foods outside home	Never	Daily	4.80 (.24)
9. Eat breakfast	≥ 5 times/week	Never	4.83 (.72)
10. Dinner with the family	Daily	Never	4.82 (.75)
YHEI (0-80)			50.53 (8.41)

Table 2: Descriptive statistics: children's weight status by employed vs. non-employed mothers

Variable	Full-time employed	Part-time employed	Non-employed
BMI (z-score, Cole)	.206***	.168***	.450
Waist circumference (z-score, Cole)	.278	.090***	.270
Fat (kg, fitted model)	4.024***	3.963**	4.340
Observations (8,125)	4,017	1,539	2,683

Note: ANOVA with group comparison by Tukey-Kramer for unequal cell sizes. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference between full- or part-time employed and non-employed (excluding 'in school/university')

* p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Between full-time and part-time employment, we find a statistically significant difference for waist circumference (p < .001).

Table 3: Descriptive statistics across countries: BMI and overweight values

	BMI (z-score, Cole)			Waist circumference (z-score, Cole)			Fat (z-score, fitted model)		
Country	Full-time employed	Part-time employed	Non- employed	Full-time employed	Part-time employed	Non- employed	Full-time employed	Part-time employed	Non- employed
Belgium (1,009)	-.233 (576)	-.285 (266)	-.382 (167)	.010	.008	.006	2.809*	2.807	2.388
Cyprus (728)	.502 (479)	.419 (116)	.294 (133)	.102	.129	.054	4.591*	4.937	4.247
Estonia (886)	.110 (556)	-.091 (84)	.110 (246)	.036	.024	.036	3.599	3.595	3.553
Germany (896)	.287 (100)	-.006 (404)	.141 (392)	.060	.032	.042	4.231	3.669	4.046
Hungary (1,651)	.068 (916)	.054 (115)	-.088 (620)	.057**	.052	.053	4.045***	3.648	3.377
Italy (1,353)	1.230 (345)	1.006 (243)	1.081 (765)	.235	.210	.194	6.734	5.897	6.192
Spain (799)	.354 (407)	.291 (203)	.455 (189)	.057	.054	.045	4.078	3.698	4.461
Sweden (917)	.002 (638)	-.134 (108)	.047 (171)	.013	.028	.015	3.504	3.640	3.204

Note: ANOVA with group comparison by Tukey-Kramer for unequal cell sizes. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference between full- or part-time employed and non-employed (excluding 'in school/university'); * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$; Cell sizes in parentheses.

Table 4: estimates of maternal employment status on various obesity measures

Variable	(1) BMI	(2) Waist circ.	(3) Fat mass
Full sample			
Full-time employment	.101** (.035)	.099** (.035)	.193* (.081)
Part-time employment	-.014 (.041)	-.005 (.041)	-.036 (.094)
ICC (country and setting)	.103 (.042)	.132 (.046)	.114 (.036)
Observations	8,239	8,239	8,239
Low SES			
Full-time employment	.089 (.066)	.180** (.069)	.347* (.158)
Part-time employment	-.021 (.077)	-.001 (.079)	.049 (.184)
ICC (country and setting)	.099 (.038)	.112 (.041)	.113 (.034)
Observations	2,445	2,445	2,445
Medium SES			
Full-time employment	.134* (.054)	.098 (.053)	.228 (.122)
Part-time employment	.019 (.061)	.006 (.060)	-.042 (.140)
ICC (country and setting)	.097 (.045)	.155 (.005)	.132 (.042)
Observations	3,374	3,374	3,374
High SES			
Full-time employment	.088 (.072)	.067 (.072)	.102 (.156)
Part-time employment	-.035 (.086)	.006 (.086)	.033 (.186)
ICC (country and setting)	.118 (.054)	.159 (.054)	.140 (.052)
Observations	2,234	2,234	2,234

Note: estimates of a 3-level random intercept model. Standard errors in parentheses. Dependent variables are three obesity measures (BMI z-score by Cole, waist circumference z-score by Cole and fat mass in kg by Bammann et al., 2013) for children below the age of 10 years. All variables presented are dummy variables. Reference category for the employment status variables is non-employment. We control for child, family and parental, and socio-economic characteristics. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) is the proportion of total variance that is attributed to the clusters “country” and “setting”.

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

Table 5: estimates of maternal employment status on various obesity measures at country level

Variable	Belgium	Cyprus	Estonia	Germany	Hungary	Italy	Spain	Sweden
Dependent: BMI								
Full-time employment	.071 (.096)	.389** (.142)	-.036 (.098)	.159 (.130)	.088 (.081)	.200* (.097)	.092 (.108)	-.012 (.111)
Part-time employment	.067 (.103)	.301 (.174)	-.264 (.140)	.002 (.087)	.104 (.136)	.002 (.101)	-.095 (.113)	-.084 (.144)
ICC (setting)	.001 (.019)	.000 (.000)	.021 (.015)	.019 (.016)	.015 (.010)	.000 (.004)	.006 (.010)	.000 (.000)
Observations	1,009	728	886	896	1,651	1,353	799	917
Dependent: Waist circumference								
Full-time employment	.048 (.096)	.243 (.145)	-.008 (.096)	.262 (.149)	.247 (.133)	.129 (.102)	.121 (.115)	.048 (.102)
Part-time employment	.023 (.103)	.205 (.176)	-.146 (.138)	-.067 (.090)	.040 (.130)	.031 (.106)	.029 (.121)	.130 (.133)
ICC (setting)	.027 (.021)	.049 (.025)	.020 (.016)	.019 (.021)	.035 (.012)	.000 (.000)	.050 (.023)	.015 (.013)
Observations	1,009	728	886	896	1,651	1,353	799	917
Dependent: Fat mass								
Full-time employment	.264 (.160)	.571 (.340)	-.010 (.227)	.164 (.283)	-.215 (.176)	.574* (.265)	-.071 (.241)	.052 (.212)
Part-time employment	.178 (.172)	.721 (.411)	-.234 (.330)	-.292 (.190)	.148 (.296)	-.002 (.275)	-.327 (.254)	.263 (.277)
ICC (setting)	.002 (.018)	.049 (.029)	.075 (.022)	.018 (.017)	.005 (.009)	.019 (.005)	.023 (.014)	.000 (.000)
Observations	1,009	728	886	896	1,651	1,353	799	917

Note: estimates of a 2-level random intercept model. Standard errors in parentheses. Dependent variables are three obesity measures (BMI z-score by Cole, waist circumference z-score by Cole and fat mass in kg by Bammann et al., 2013) for children below the age of 10 years. All variables presented are dummy variables. Reference category for the employment status variables is non-employment. We control for child, family and parental, and socio-economic characteristics. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) is the proportion of total variance that is attributed to the cluster "setting".

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

Table 6: Quantile regression estimates of maternal employment status on various obesity measures

Variable	(10%)	(25%)	(50%)	(75%)	(85%)	(95%)
Dependent: BMI						
Full-time employment	.026 (.055)	.045 (.046)	.081 (.042)	.149** (.047)	.190*** (.055)	.181* (.085)
Part-time employment	-.046 (.063)	-.029 (.051)	.014 (.049)	.019 (.053)	.080 (.054)	-.017 (.085)
Observations	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239
Pseudo R ²	.089	.095	.120	.161	.183	.190
Dependent: Waist circumference						
Full-time employment	.053 (.058)	.125** (.043)	.048 (.044)	.111* (.048)	.139* (.065)	.187* (.087)
Part-time employment	-.002 (.067)	.044 (.047)	.007 (.053)	-.025 (.059)	-.043 (.072)	.013 (.097)
Observations	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239
Pseudo R ²	.124	.120	.127	.159	.188	.199
Dependent: Fat mass						
Full-time employment	-0,031 (.059)	0,029 (.060)	0,133 (.075)	0,147 (.111)	.290* (.132)	0,294 (.238)
Part-time employment	-.141* (.072)	-.142* (.067)	-0,021 (.092)	-0,003 (.133)	0,155 (.144)	-0,117 (.228)
Observations	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239	8,239
Pseudo R ²	.148	.172	.208	.277	.310	.343

Note: dependent variables are three obesity measures (BMI z-score by Cole, waist circumference z-score by Cole and fat mass in kg by Bammann et al., 2013) for children below the age of 10 years. Bootstrapped standard errors (100 repetitions) clustered at the settings level are in parentheses. All variables presented are dummy variables. Reference category for employment status variables is non-employment. We control for child, family and parental, and socio-economic characteristics.

* p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 7: Descriptive statistics for diet and physical activity

Variable	Full-time employed	Part-time employed	Non-employed
Diet: meals home (percent)	.250**	.241*	.384
Diet: YHEI (0–80)	51.444***	50.180	49.630
Diet: Energy intake (kcal/day)	1,505.703**	1,545.725	1,559.746
Observations (4,375)	1,931	814	1,630
Physical activity: sedentary AVM (hours/week)	11.669	10.547**	11.757
Physical activity: moderate/vigorous (%)	10.084	10.569**	9.953
Observations (4,425)	2,184	815	1,426

Table 8: Descriptive statistics across countries: diet and physical activity

Country	Diet: energy intake (kcal/day)			Physical activity: moderate/vigorous (%)		
	Full-time employed	Full-time employed	Part-time employed	Full-time employed	Part-time employed	Non-employed
Belgium	1,323.432 (70)	1,244.348* (30)	1,541.583 (36)	10.645 (178)	9.486 (80)	10.842 (58)
Cyprus	1,366.885 (69)	1,301.689 (21)	1,224.206 (29)	8.087 (119)	8.090 (24)	8.271 (29)
Germany	1,597.417 (72)	1,513.532 (265)	1,442.152 (244)	9.198 (67)	9.747 (220)	9.472 (217)
Hungary	1,239.141 (528)	1,165.749 (66)	1,272.006 (358)	.057** (625)	.052 (77)	.053 (395)
Estonia	1,686.607 (205)	1,595.289 (43)	1,664.365 (115)	10.680 (410)	10.177 (65)	10.920 (197)
Italy	1,771.803 (282)	1,595.289 (203)	1,664.365 (640)	7.694 (157)	8.323 (104)	8.151 (308)
Spain	1,638.001 (172)	1,588.717 (101)	1,604.411 (65)	10.637 (364)	10.574 (193)	10.668 (164)
Sweden	1,546.224** (533)	1,474.457 (85)	1,405.136 (143)	12.251 (264)	12.134 (52)	10.867 (58)

Table 9: estimates of maternal employment status on various diet and physical activity measures

Variable	(1) Diet: % meals home	(2) Diet: YHEI	(3) Diet: Energy intake	(4) PA: sedentary (AVM time)	(5) PA: moderate & vigorous activity (Pate)
Full sample					
Full-time employment	-4.643*** (.443)	-.746* (.305)	2.565 (19.490)	.431 (.250)	-.065 (.156)
Part-time employment	-2.258*** (.511)	-.189 (.351)	-33.160 (22.480)	-.183 (.295)	.035 (.184)
ICC (country and setting)	.547 (.095)	.130 (.053)	.182 (.054)	.089 (.027)	.176 (.048)
Observations	4,375	4,375	4,375	4,425	4,425
Low SES					
Full-time employment	-3.869*** (.842)	.713 (.585)	-60.810 (37.440)	.384 (.511)	-.343 (.298)
Part-time employment	-1.592 (.954)	.167 (.671)	-20.640 (43.170)	-.280 (.591)	-.341 (.345)
ICC (country and setting)	.601 (.099)	.214 (.070)	.189 (.076)	.063 (.029)	.173 (.047)
Observations	1,241	1,241	1,241	1,190	1,190
Medium SES					
Full-time employment	-3.735*** (.734)	-1.379** (.493)	36.110 (31.840)	.770* (.374)	-.116 (.249)
Part-time employment	-1.524 (.818)	-.622 (.548)	-1.258 (35.400)	-.053 (.438)	.133 (.292)
ICC (country and setting)	.581 (.127)	.125 (.055)	.191 (.056)	.105 (.040)	.191 (.056)
Observations	1,582	1,582	1,582	1,709	1,709
High SES					
Full-time employment	-5.580*** (.978)	-.898 (.672)	-24.380 (44.010)	-.004 (.483)	.408 (.342)
Part-time employment	-2.967* (1.157)	-.090 (.797)	-86.010 (52.150)	-.219 (.584)	-.007 (.415)
ICC (country and setting)	.546 (.096)	.127 (.060)	.165 (.061)	.193 (.065)	.189 (.063)
Observations	1,075	1,075	1,075	1,161	1,161

Note: estimates of a 3-level random intercept model. Standard errors in parentheses. Dependent variables are five measures for diet and physical activity (percentage of meals at home, Youth Health Eating Index based on Feskanich et al., 2004, energy intake in calories per day, audiovisual and media time in hours per week, and moderate and vigorous physical activity as a proportion of total time based on Pate et al., 2006) for children below the age of 10 years. All variables presented are dummy variables. Reference category for the employment status variables is non-employment. We control for child, family and parental, and socio-economic characteristics. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) is the proportion of total variance that is attributed to the clusters “country” and “setting”.

* p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Table 10: estimates of maternal employment status on various measures of diet and physical activity at country level

Variable	Belgium	Cyprus	Estonia	Germany	Hungary	Italy	Spain	Sweden
Diet: % meals home								
Full-time employment	-3.460 (2.200)	-3.770 (4.180)	-5.710*** (1.370)	-9.930*** (2.120)	-2.461* (.873)	-.868 (.616)	-9.707** (1.670)	-11.640*** (1.180)
Part-time employment	-6.350* (2.540)	5.920 (5.050)	-2.130 (1.820)	-.773 (1.510)	-4.104** (1.480)	-.853 (.633)	-8.121** (1.740)	-9.584*** (1.550)
ICC (setting)	.074 (.097)	.280 (.162)	.096 (.046)	.086 (.038)	.105 (.029)	.558 (.094)	.186 (.089)	.009 (.010)
Observations	136	119	363	581	952	1,125	338	761
Diet: YHEI								
Full-time employment	-3.156* (1.600)	-2.615 (1.890)	-1.285 (1.110)	.736 (1.290)	-.441 (.619)	-.861 (.578)	-2.238* (1.050)	.325 (.807)
Part-time employment	.163 (1.830)	-1.119 (2.280)	-1.173 (1.480)	.287 (.909)	.056 (1.050)	.286 (.596)	-3.141** (1.100)	1.609 (1.060)
ICC (setting)	.000 (.000)	.126 (.110)	.056 (.042)	.004 (.022)	.026 (.016)	.009 (.010)	.000 (.000)	.000 (.000)
Observations	136	119	363	581	952	1,125	338	761
Diet: Energy intake								
Full-time employment	-239.000* (105.000)	232.900* (106.000)	27.630 (77.800)	161.900* (69.500)	-38.720 (37.300)	-24.960 (43.400)	42.700 (70.600)	53.910 (45.100)
Part-time employment	-285.700* (121.000)	112.800 (130.000)	-42.800 (103.000)	17.480 (48.800)	-94.580 (63.200)	-11.150 (44.800)	-8.868 (73.800)	-5.526 (49.400)
ICC (setting)	.053 (.126)	.553 (.105)	.057 (.056)	.000 (.000)	.015 (.003)	.003 (.007)	.042 (.043)	.016 (.013)
Observations	136	119	363	581	952	1,125	338	761
PA: sedentary (AVM time)								
Full-time employment	.893 (.991)	3.662* (1.450)	-.170 (.681)	.142 (.851)	-.721 (.471)	1.978* (.889)	-.283 (.551)	-1.413 (.826)
Part-time employment	.011 (1.100)	2.166 (1.810)	-.099 (.979)	-.905 (.605)	.434 (.787)	.007 (.893)	-.878 (.575)	-.155 (.950)
ICC (setting)	.057 (.044)	.056 (.072)	.007 (.015)	.011 (.021)	.031 (.016)	.005 (.014)	.000 (.000)	.000 (.000)
Observations	316	172	672	504	1,097	569	721	374

PA: moderate & vigorous activity (Pate)								
Full-time employment	-.369 (.607)	-.131 (.896)	-.107 (.381)	-.794 (.717)	-.546 (.284)	-.348 (.447)	.072 (.379)	1.366 (.770)
Part-time employment	-1.364* (.674)	.138 (1.122)	-.405 (.550)	-.052 (.511)	-.093 (.475)	.252 (.446)	-.023 (.397)	1.342 (.886)
ICC (setting)	.047 (.044)	.000 (.000)	.092 (.031)	.022 (.022)	.094 (.029)	.061 (.044)	.016 (.016)	.055 (.041)
Observations	316	172	672	504	1,097	569	721	374

Note: estimates of a 2-level random intercept model. Standard errors in parentheses. Dependent variables are three diet measures and two physical activity measures for children below the age of 10 years. All variables presented are dummy variables. Reference category for the employment status variables is non-employment. We control for child, family and parental, and socio-economic characteristics. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) is the proportion of total variance that is attributed to the cluster “setting”.

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

Appendix

Table A1: Descriptive statistics

Variable	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Dependent variables					
BMI (z-score)	8,239	0.244	1.350	-8.023	5.228
Waist circumference (z-score)	8,239	0.240	1.385	-4.904	5.980
Fat mass (kg)	8,239	4.116	3.520	0	30.438
Diet: % Meals at home	4,375	76.939	17.442	18.750	100
Diet: YHEI	4,375	50.533	8.407	17.762	79.857
Diet: Energy intake (kcal)	4,375	1533.284	544.335	0	4220.405
PA: Sedentary behaviour (AVM)	4,425	11.490	6.901	0	56
PA: Moderate & vigorous activity	4,425	10.131	4.338	0.706	29.303
Maternal employment					
Full-time employment	8,239	.488	.500	0	1
Part-time employment	8,239	.187	.390	0	1
In school/university	8,239	.014	.117	0	1
Country					
Belgium	8,239	.122	.328	0	1
Cyprus	8,239	.088	.284	0	1
Estonia	8,239	.108	.310	0	1
Germany	8,239	.109	.311	0	1
Hungary	8,239	.200	.400	0	1
Italy	8,239	.164	.370	0	1
Spain	8,239	.097	.296	0	1
Sweden	8,239	.111	.315	0	1
Child characteristics					
Age: 3- years	8,239	.143	.350	0	1
Age: 4 years	8,239	.169	.375	0	1
Age: 5 years	8,239	.124	.330	0	1
Age: 6 years	8,239	.170	.376	0	1
Age: 7 years	8,239	.237	.425	0	1
Age: 8+ years	8,239	.156	.363	0	1
Sex	8,239	.489	.500	0	1
Birth: weight (g)	8,239	3347.422	559.290	1,000	6,100
Birth: premature	8,239	.292	.455	0	1
Infancy: breastfed	8,239	.580	.494	0	1
Infancy: respiratory problems	8,239	.030	.170	0	1
Infancy: infections	8,239	.029	.166	0	1
Infancy: jaundice	8,239	.159	.366	0	1
No of siblings: older	8,239	.647	.799	0	6
No of siblings: same age	8,239	.037	.202	0	2

No of siblings: younger	8,239	.467	.619	0	5
No of siblings: none	8,239	.171	.376	0	1
Country of birth: foreign	8,239	.015	.123	0	1

Family and parental characteristics

Age: mother	8,239	35.463	4.990	18	73
Age: father	8,239	38.214	5.697	19	71
No. household members	8,239	3.938	1.121	1	22
Country of birth mother: foreign	8,239	.090	.286	0	1
Country of birth father: foreign	8,239	.078	.269	0	1
Pregnancy: age mother	8,239	29.432	4.817	15	46
Pregnancy: weight gain mother	8,239	14.220	5.921	0	50
Pregnancy: maternal smoking	8,239	.126	.332	0	1
BMI mother	8,239	23.939	4.305	15.427	60.606
BMI father	8,239	26.444	3.725	14.815	57.025

Socio-economic characteristics

Education mother: ISCED	8,239	3.738	1.144	1	6
Education father: ISCED	8,239	3.594	1.149	1	6
Household net income	8,239	5.523	2.383	1	9
Father: Full-time employment	8,239	.886	.318	0	1
Father: Part-time employment	8,239	.026	.160	0	1
Father: In school/university	8,239	.003	.057	0	1

FZID Discussion Papers

Competence Centers:

IK:	Innovation and Knowledge
ICT:	Information Systems and Communication Systems
CRFM:	Corporate Finance and Risk Management
HCM:	Health Care Management
CM:	Communication Management
MM:	Marketing Management
ECO:	Economics

Download FZID Discussion Papers from our homepage: <https://fzid.uni-hohenheim.de/71978.html>

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
01-2009	Julian P. Christ	NEW ECONOMIC GEOGRAPHY RELOADED: Localized Knowledge Spillovers and the Geography of Innovation	IK
02-2009	André P. Slowak	MARKET FIELD STRUCTURE & DYNAMICS IN INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION	IK
03-2009	Pier Paolo Saviotti and Andreas Pyka	GENERALIZED BARRIERS TO ENTRY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	IK
04-2009	Uwe Focht, Andreas Richter, and Jörg Schiller	INTERMEDIATION AND MATCHING IN INSURANCE MARKETS	HCM
05-2009	Julian P. Christ and André P. Slowak	WHY BLU-RAY VS. HD-DVD IS NOT VHS VS. BETAMAX: THE CO-EVOLUTION OF STANDARD-SETTING CONSORTIA	IK
06-2009	Gabriel Felbermayr, Mario Larch, and Wolfgang Lechthaler	UNEMPLOYMENT IN AN INTERDEPENDENT WORLD	ECO
07-2009	Steffen Otterbach	MISMATCHES BETWEEN ACTUAL AND PREFERRED WORK TIME: Empirical Evidence of Hours Constraints in 21 Countries	HCM
08-2009	Sven Wydra	PRODUCTION AND EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES – ANALYSIS FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY	IK
09-2009	Ralf Richter and Jochen Streb	CATCHING-UP AND FALLING BEHIND KNOWLEDGE SPILLOVER FROM AMERICAN TO GERMAN MACHINE TOOL MAKERS	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
10-2010	Rahel Aichele and Gabriel Felbermayr	KYOTO AND THE CARBON CONTENT OF TRADE	ECO
11-2010	David E. Bloom and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF LOW FERTILITY IN EUROPE	HCM
12-2010	Michael Ahlheim and Oliver Frör	DRINKING AND PROTECTING – A MARKET APPROACH TO THE PRESERVATION OF CORK OAK LANDSCAPES	ECO
13-2010	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör, Antonia Heinke, Nguyen Minh Duc, and Pham Van Dinh	LABOUR AS A UTILITY MEASURE IN CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDIES – HOW GOOD IS IT REALLY?	ECO
14-2010	Julian P. Christ	THE GEOGRAPHY AND CO-LOCATION OF EUROPEAN TECHNOLOGY-SPECIFIC CO-INVENTORSHIP NETWORKS	IK
15-2010	Harald Degner	WINDOWS OF TECHNOLOGICAL OPPORTUNITY DO TECHNOLOGICAL BOOMS INFLUENCE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FIRM SIZE AND INNOVATIVENESS?	IK
16-2010	Tobias A. Jopp	THE WELFARE STATE EVOLVES: GERMAN KNAPPSCHAFTEN, 1854-1923	HCM
17-2010	Stefan Kirn (Ed.)	PROCESS OF CHANGE IN ORGANISATIONS THROUGH eHEALTH	ICT
18-2010	Jörg Schiller	ÖKONOMISCHE ASPEKTE DER ENTLOHNUNG UND REGULIERUNG UNABHÄNGIGER VERSICHERUNGSVERMITTLER	HCM
19-2010	Frauke Lammers and Jörg Schiller	CONTRACT DESIGN AND INSURANCE FRAUD: AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	HCM
20-2010	Martyna Marczak and Thomas Beissinger	REAL WAGES AND THE BUSINESS CYCLE IN GERMANY	ECO
21-2010	Harald Degner and Jochen Streb	FOREIGN PATENTING IN GERMANY, 1877-1932	IK
22-2010	Heiko Stüber and Thomas Beissinger	DOES DOWNWARD NOMINAL WAGE RIGIDITY DAMPEN WAGE INCREASES?	ECO
23-2010	Mark Spoerer and Jochen Streb	GUNS AND BUTTER – BUT NO MARGARINE: THE IMPACT OF NAZI ECONOMIC POLICIES ON GERMAN FOOD CONSUMPTION, 1933-38	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
24-2011	Dhammika Dharmapala and Nadine Riedel	EARNINGS SHOCKS AND TAX-MOTIVATED INCOME-SHIFTING: EVIDENCE FROM EUROPEAN MULTINATIONALS	ECO
25-2011	Michael Schuele and Stefan Kirn	QUALITATIVES, RÄUMLICHES SCHLIEßEN ZUR KOLLISIONSERKENNUNG UND KOLLISIONSVERMEIDUNG AUTONOMER BDI-AGENTEN	ICT
26-2011	Marcus Müller, Guillaume Stern, Ansger Jacob and Stefan Kirn	VERHALTENSMODELLE FÜR SOFTWAREAGENTEN IM PUBLIC GOODS GAME	ICT
27-2011	Monnet Benoit Patrick Gbakoua and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	ENGEL CURVES, SPATIAL VARIATION IN PRICES AND DEMAND FOR COMMODITIES IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE	ECO
28-2011	Nadine Riedel and Hannah Schildberg-Hörisch	ASYMMETRIC OBLIGATIONS	ECO
29-2011	Nicole Waidlein	CAUSES OF PERSISTENT PRODUCTIVITY DIFFERENCES IN THE WEST GERMAN STATES IN THE PERIOD FROM 1950 TO 1990	IK
30-2011	Dominik Hartmann and Atilio Arata	MEASURING SOCIAL CAPITAL AND INNOVATION IN POOR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITIES. THE CASE OF CHÁPARRA - PERU	IK
31-2011	Peter Spahn	DIE WÄHRUNGSKRISEUNION DIE EURO-VERSCHULDUNG DER NATIONALSTAATEN ALS SCHWACHSTELLE DER EWU	ECO
32-2011	Fabian Wahl	DIE ENTWICKLUNG DES LEBENSSTANDARDS IM DRITTEN REICH – EINE GLÜCKSÖKONOMISCHE PERSPEKTIVE	ECO
33-2011	Giorgio Triulzi, Ramon Scholz and Andreas Pyka	R&D AND KNOWLEDGE DYNAMICS IN UNIVERSITY-INDUSTRY RELATIONSHIPS IN BIOTECH AND PHARMACEUTICALS: AN AGENT-BASED MODEL	IK
34-2011	Claus D. Müller-Hengstenberg and Stefan Kirn	ANWENDUNG DES ÖFFENTLICHEN VERGABERECHTS AUF MODERNE IT SOFTWAREENTWICKLUNGSVERFAHREN	ICT
35-2011	Andreas Pyka	AVOIDING EVOLUTIONARY INEFFICIENCIES IN INNOVATION NETWORKS	IK
36-2011	David Bell, Steffen Otterbach and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	WORK HOURS CONSTRAINTS AND HEALTH	HCM
37-2011	Lukas Scheffknecht and Felix Geiger	A BEHAVIORAL MACROECONOMIC MODEL WITH ENDOGENOUS BOOM-BUST CYCLES AND LEVERAGE DYNAMICS	ECO
38-2011	Yin Krogmann and Ulrich Schwalbe	INTER-FIRM R&D NETWORKS IN THE GLOBAL PHARMACEUTICAL BIOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY DURING 1985–1998: A CONCEPTUAL AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
39-2011	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	RESPONDENT INCENTIVES IN CONTINGENT VALUATION: THE ROLE OF RECIPROCITY	ECO
40-2011	Tobias Börger	A DIRECT TEST OF SOCIALLY DESIRABLE RESPONDING IN CONTINGENT VALUATION INTERVIEWS	ECO
41-2011	Ralf Rukwid and Julian P. Christ	QUANTITATIVE CLUSTERIDENTIFIKATION AUF EBENE DER DEUTSCHEN STADT- UND LANDKREISE (1999-2008)	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
42-2012	Benjamin Schön and Andreas Pyka	A TAXONOMY OF INNOVATION NETWORKS	IK
43-2012	Dirk Foremny and Nadine Riedel	BUSINESS TAXES AND THE ELECTORAL CYCLE	ECO
44-2012	Gisela Di Meglio, Andreas Pyka and Luis Rubalcaba	VARIETIES OF SERVICE ECONOMIES IN EUROPE	IK
45-2012	Ralf Rukwid and Julian P. Christ	INNOVATIONSPOTENTIALE IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG: PRODUKTIONSCLUSTER IM BEREICH „METALL, ELEKTRO, IKT“ UND REGIONALE VERFÜGBARKEIT AKADEMISCHER FACHKRÄFTE IN DEN MINT-FÄCHERN	IK
46-2012	Julian P. Christ and Ralf Rukwid	INNOVATIONSPOTENTIALE IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG: BRANCHENSPEZIFISCHE FORSCHUNGS- UND ENTWICKLUNGSAKTIVITÄT, REGIONALES PATENTAUFKOMMEN UND BESCHÄFTIGUNGSSTRUKTUR	IK
47-2012	Oliver Sauter	ASSESSING UNCERTAINTY IN EUROPE AND THE US - IS THERE A COMMON FACTOR?	ECO
48-2012	Dominik Hartmann	SEN MEETS SCHUMPETER. INTRODUCING STRUCTURAL AND DYNAMIC ELEMENTS INTO THE HUMAN CAPABILITY APPROACH	IK
49-2012	Harold Paredes- Frigolett and Andreas Pyka	DISTAL EMBEDDING AS A TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION NETWORK FORMATION STRATEGY	IK
50-2012	Martyna Marczak and Víctor Gómez	CYCLICALITY OF REAL WAGES IN THE USA AND GERMANY: NEW INSIGHTS FROM WAVELET ANALYSIS	ECO
51-2012	André P. Slowak	DIE DURCHSETZUNG VON SCHNITTSTELLEN IN DER STANDARDSETZUNG: FALLBEISPIEL LADESYSTEM ELEKTROMOBILITÄT	IK
52-2012	Fabian Wahl	WHY IT MATTERS WHAT PEOPLE THINK - BELIEFS, LEGAL ORIGINS AND THE DEEP ROOTS OF TRUST	ECO
53-2012	Dominik Hartmann und Micha Kaiser	STATISTISCHER ÜBERBLICK DER TÜRKISCHEN MIGRATION IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG UND DEUTSCHLAND	IK
54-2012	Dominik Hartmann, Andreas Pyka, Seda Aydin, Lena Klauß, Fabian Stahl, Ali Santircioglu, Silvia Oberegelsbacher, Sheida Rashidi, Gaye Onan und Suna Erginkoç	IDENTIFIZIERUNG UND ANALYSE DEUTSCH-TÜRKISCHER INNOVATIONSNETZWERKE. ERSTE ERGEBNISSE DES TGIN- PROJEKTES	IK
55-2012	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	THE ECOLOGICAL PRICE OF GETTING RICH IN A GREEN DESERT: A CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDY IN RURAL SOUTHWEST CHINA	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
56-2012	Matthias Striffler Thomas Beissinger	FAIRNESS CONSIDERATIONS IN LABOR UNION WAGE SETTING – A THEORETICAL ANALYSIS	ECO
57-2012	Peter Spahn	INTEGRATION DURCH WÄHRUNGSUNION? DER FALL DER EURO-ZONE	ECO
58-2012	Sibylle H. Lehmann	TAKING FIRMS TO THE STOCK MARKET: IPOS AND THE IMPORTANCE OF LARGE BANKS IN IMPERIAL GERMANY 1896-1913	ECO
59-2012	Sibylle H. Lehmann, Philipp Hauber, Alexander Opitz	POLITICAL RIGHTS, TAXATION, AND FIRM VALUATION – EVIDENCE FROM SAXONY AROUND 1900	ECO
60-2012	Martyna Marczak and V́ctor Ǵmez	SPECTRAN, A SET OF MATLAB PROGRAMS FOR SPECTRAL ANALYSIS	ECO
61-2012	Theresa Lohse and Nadine Riedel	THE IMPACT OF TRANSFER PRICING REGULATIONS ON PROFIT SHIFTING WITHIN EUROPEAN MULTINATIONALS	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
62-2013	Heiko Stüber	REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY OF NEWLY HIRED WORKERS	ECO
63-2013	David E. Bloom and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	AGEING AND PRODUCTIVITY	HCM
64-2013	Martyna Marczak and V́ctor Ǵmez	MONTHLY US BUSINESS CYCLE INDICATORS: A NEW MULTIVARIATE APPROACH BASED ON A BAND-PASS FILTER	ECO
65-2013	Dominik Hartmann and Andreas Pyka	INNOVATION, ECONOMIC DIVERSIFICATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT	IK
66-2013	Christof Ernst, Katharina Richter and Nadine Riedel	CORPORATE TAXATION AND THE QUALITY OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	ECO
67-2013	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör, Jiang Tong, Luo Jing and Sonna Pelz	NONUSE VALUES OF CLIMATE POLICY - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN XINJIANG AND BEIJING	ECO
68-2013	Michael Ahlheim and Friedrich Schneider	CONSIDERING HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDIES	ECO
69-2013	Fabio Bertoni and Tereza Tykvová	WHICH FORM OF VENTURE CAPITAL IS MOST SUPPORTIVE OF INNOVATION? EVIDENCE FROM EUROPEAN BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANIES	CFRM
70-2013	Tobias Buchmann and Andreas Pyka	THE EVOLUTION OF INNOVATION NETWORKS: THE CASE OF A GERMAN AUTOMOTIVE NETWORK	IK
71-2013	B. Vermeulen, A. Pyka, J. A. La Poutré, A. G. de Kok	CAPABILITY-BASED GOVERNANCE PATTERNS OVER THE PRODUCT LIFE-CYCLE	IK
72-2013	Beatriz Fabiola López Ulloa, Valerie Møller, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	HOW DOES SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING EVOLVE WITH AGE? A LITERATURE REVIEW	HCM
73-2013	Wencke Gwozdz, Alfonso Sousa-Poza, Lucia A. Reisch, Wolfgang Ahrens, Stefaan De Henauw, Gabriele Eiben, Juan M. Fernández-Alvira, Charalampos Hadjigeorgiou, Eva Kovács, Fabio Lauria, Toomas Veidebaum, Garrath Williams, Karin Bammann	MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILDHOOD OBESITY – A EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE	HCM