

Nunes, Paulo A.L.D.; Breil, Margaretha; Gambarelli, Gretel

**Working Paper**

Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach

Nota di Lavoro, No. 53.2005

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

*Suggested Citation:* Nunes, Paulo A.L.D.; Breil, Margaretha; Gambarelli, Gretel (2005) : Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach, Nota di Lavoro, No. 53.2005, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/74277>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

**Economic Valuation of On Site  
Material Damages of High Water  
on Economic Activities based in the  
City of Venice: Results from a  
Dose-Response-Expert-Based  
Valuation Approach**

Margaretha Breil, Gretel Gambarelli  
and Paulo A.L.D. Nunes  
NOTA DI LAVORO 53.2005

**APRIL 2005**

SIEV – Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation
---

Margaretha Breil, *Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei*  
Gretel Gambarelli, *University of Venice, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei*  
Paulo A.L.D. Nunes *Department of Economics and Centre for Sustainable Development,  
University of Venice, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Faculty of Economics, Free University*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:  
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:  
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=702965>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of  
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei  
Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: [www.feem.it](http://www.feem.it), e-mail: [working.papers@feem.it](mailto:working.papers@feem.it)

# **Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach**

## **Summary**

The paper focuses on the economic assessment of damages caused by high water in the city of Venice. In particular, we focus our attention on a valuation exercise that addresses the estimation of monetary, short period, on-site damages due to high water events on the different business activities located in Venice. On-site damages include both mitigation costs, which refer to all types of financial expenditure undergone to avert physical and material damages caused by flooding, and remediation costs, i.e. costs to be sustained for maintenance and substitution of affected building elements. Hence, the present study can be considered as a pioneering attempt to analytically quantify, from an economic point of view, on-site damages from high water. An integrated dose-response modelling and an expert-based valuation approach have been selected as the most suitable economic valuation methodology to shed light on the on-site damages. The main focus of the work is to assess dose-response relationships, which are able to describe the physical effects of high water on the different on-site damage categories, including inner and front doors maintenance, cleaning of pavements and maintenance of the walls. Bearing in mind such an economic valuation framework, we proceed with the estimation of on-site damages not only for the present high water situation (business as usual) but also extend the valuation exercise to three additional high water scenarios: (1) a climate change scenario; (2) a high water protection scenario; and, (3) a combined climate change and protection scenario. Estimation results show that the welfare loss due to on-site, short-term damages supported by the business activities ranges from 3.41 to 4.73 million Euro per year, respectively for the business as usual and climate change scenarios. Finally, we can conclude that the introduction of a public policy protection mechanism that defends the city of Venice from any flooding above 110 cm above *the Punta della Salute Tidal Datum*, such as the MOSE, will reduce the on-site damages supported by the business activities up to 2.87 million Euro per year.

**Keywords:** High water damages, Venice, Economic valuation

**JEL Classification:** C29, Q25

*This research was funded by the Research Program 'An economic evaluation of interventions for the safeguarding and environmental protection in the Venice Lagoon' of CORILA, Consortium for Coordination of Research Activities concerning the Venice Lagoon System. Two anonymous referees have provided valuable comments on an earlier draft of this article.*

*Address for correspondence:*

Paulo A.L.D. Nunes  
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei  
Campo S.Maria Formosa  
Castello 5252  
30122 Venice  
Italy  
E-mail: pnunes@unive.it

## 1. Introduction

The paper focuses on the economic assessment of damages caused by high water in the city of Venice. In particular, we focus our attention on a valuation exercise that addresses the estimation of monetary, short period, on-site damages due to high water events on the different business activities located in Venice. We selected working with business activities, including *inter alia* hotels, bars, gift shop and restaurants, since these are very sensitive to high water events; the great majority are located at ground floor level. In addition, business activities constitute an important stakeholder in the Venetian society – keeping the ancestral trading tradition of the *Repubblica Serenissima* – and for this reason play a crucial role in the assessment of any high water management policy. Furthermore, the present study strictly refers to on-site damages since the valuation exercise focuses only on the monetary estimation of impacts due to high water on the structure and construction materials, including mitigation expenditures necessary to prevent and lessen these impacts. Finally, the valuation exercise concentrates exclusively on short-period damages given that it is anchored to the present number and distribution of business activities located in Venice, excluding any supplementary impact(s) that the high water can cause on the number and distribution Venetian business activities.

In previous valuation studies, the economic impacts of high water have been frequently analysed in relation to the environmental assessment with respect to the much-debated mobile-gates project – called MO.S.E. (*MODulo Sperimentale Elettromeccanico*). However, structural damages have been often tackled and quantified in physical, non-monetary approaches, including the estimation of the number of affected agents. Recently, Cellerino (1998) developed and applied a valuation study that focused on the monetary estimation of high water impacts, including the damage that these create on the tourism demand, as well as on additional expenditures for the maintenance of the walls of the buildings located in Venice. We propose to extend this pioneering attempt to quantify, from an economic point of view, on-site damages, such as inner and front doors maintenance and cleaning of pavements, that high water causes to all registered business activities today located in Venice. The economic valuation exercise is characterised by the use of an integrated dose-response modeling and an expert-based valuation approach. The ultimate aim is to combine dose-response relationships, which are based on the judgement of experts and describe the physical effects of high water on the different on-site damage categories, with specific market information on price and effectiveness of alternative remediation measures.

The organisation of the paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces and discusses the phenomenon of high water in Venice and its main damage implications to Venetian business activities. Section 3 presents and analyses the proposed economic valuation approach for on-site damages. Section 4 presents main valuation results and respective policy implications. Section 5 concludes.

## 2. Background

### 2.1 The threat of *Acqua Alta* in the city of Venice

The periodical water movement produces two tidal peaks every day, respectively a low tide, ranging from 0 to 25 cm, and a high tide, ranging from 45 to 75 cm. In Venice, all measurements are carried out by Tidal Observatory of the Municipality and the elevation is measured in terms of additional centimetres above the Venetian main reference tide gauge, the *Punta della Salute Tidal Datum*<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Since 1897, the mean sea level in Venice is measured with respect to a level of mark on land, the *Punta della Salute Tidal Datum*, just across the San Marco Square. At the present date, this benchmark is approximately 23 centimeter below the current mean sea level.

The flooding of the city occurs whenever the peak of the high tide peak exceeds 85cm, height that characterizes the lowest parts of Venice including the S. Marco's square and Rialto. From this height we assist to the phenomenon of *Acqua alta* – the Italian name for high water events. In general terms, high water occurs as a combination of two or more factors, such as specific astronomic constellations, meteorological factors such as the wind direction and specific hydrodynamic flows of the Adriatic basin. A high water event of 100 cm is responsible for the flooding of 3.56% of the city whereas we assist a high water event of 120 cm, 35.18% of the city is flooded. In Venice, high water events are classified as periodical flooding whenever the peak of the high tides ranges between 90 and 120cm above the *Punta della Salute* benchmark. On the contrary, a high water event is classified as “extraordinary” whenever the height of the tides is above 120cm. In fact, any “extraordinary” tide that is characterized by a height above 140cm causes the flooding of 90% of the city.<sup>2</sup>

In the last century Venice has witnessed an increase in the intensity of the high water events. This is expressed in terms of an upwards trend of the mean of the height of the tidal excursions in Venice, including the increase in the registered “extraordinary” high water events, as well as the number of days that are characterized by the occurrence of ‘normal’ periodical flooding – mainly in Autumn. There are many factors influencing such a pattern. This include, *inter alia*, the increase of Adriatic's relative sea level of about 23 cm during the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The latter is due to both natural and human causes, including the subsidence of the island of Venice and more importantly due to global climate change events. According to the international scientific community, climate change is expected to continue in the following decades, along with an increase of the incidence of high water in Venice. These, in turn, cause important damage to the city in general, and to its population, in particular. For these same reason, all these impacts, and respective economic damage, have witnessed an increased attention in the policy agenda.

High water economic damage can be defined in term of three main categories: on site-damages; off-site damages; and social damages. On-site damages are described by the negative impacts that high water causes on the structures and materials, including private mitigation costs necessary to prevent and lessen these impacts. Off-site damages are due to the limited usability of the city during high water events, including difficulties for supply and provisioning, reduced access to the work place by the personnel, and decreased flow of clients. Finally, social damages of high water are linked to a public protection perspective and to the impact of high water on the total economic value of the set of business activities located in Venice, e.g. persistent high water events can create uncertainty on the turnover of the business activities located in Venice that, in turn, can affect the number, type and diversity of activities.<sup>3</sup> The present paper focuses on the monetary value assessment of on-site damages incurred by an important Venetian stakeholder, i.e. the business activities located and operating at the ground floor in Venice.

## **2.2 Characterisation of the stakeholder under analysis: the business activities based in Venice**

As mentioned, this paper deals with on-site damages caused to business activities located and operating in Venice due to the high water. The estimation of on-site damages is based on data provided by a local governmental agency: COSES. Between 1999 and 2001, COSES conducted an

---

<sup>2</sup> Source <http://www.comune.venezia.it/maree>

<sup>3</sup> See Nunes *et al.* 2004 for more details.

on-site survey to all business activities located in ground floor units in the city of Venice. The survey offers information on the different type of activities, the nature and conditions of building materials, the presence and kind of mitigation measures for high water, as well as some architectural measurements. The present study works with a sample of 2598 respondents, on a total population of 5097 business activities registered in the city of Venice. Statistical elaborations of the survey's results lead to a better understanding of the number and diversity of the socio-economic activities and highlight how they are affected by high water. The most frequent business activity in Venice is commercial (retailers of food, beverages, clothing, articles for tourists, etc.), followed by restaurants and bar and then by industrial activities, including glass manufacturers and local shipyards (Table 1).

*Table 1: Distribution of types of activity located at the ground floor*

Type of activity	Frequency	Percentage
Industry	297	11.4
Shops	1518	58.4
Bars and restaurants	422	16.2
Offices	154	5.9
Hotels	116	4.5
Other	91	3.5
Total	2598	100.0

A very important piece of information concerns the declared flooding level for each single business activity unit, i.e. the minimum level of high water that causes the flooding. According to Table 2, more than eighty percent of the business activities that are located at the ground floor are affected by high water events when these are in the range from 111 cm to 130 cm.

*Table 2: Distribution of Venetian business activities for flooding level*

Flooding level	Frequency	Percentage	Cumulative %
< 90 cm	27	1.0	1.0
91 -110 cm	472	18.2	19.2
111 – 130 cm	1628	62.7	81.9
131 – 145 cm	397	15.3	97.2
> 145 cm	74	2.8	100.0
TOTAL	2598	100.0	

In order to mitigate damages to structures and materials due to high water, as well as to limit the time and expenditure in cleaning the pavement, according to the COSES survey only 37% of economic operators have adopted protection measures of different types (Table 3).

Table 3: Distribution of Venetian business activities per type of mitigation measure

Protection measure	Percentage <sup>(*)</sup>
Yes	36.7
Raising floor levels	18.1
<i>Paratia</i>	19.3
Water pump	3.8
<i>Vasca</i>	7.4
No	63.3
Total	100.0

(\*) The sum of the percentages of specific mitigation measures is higher than the percentage for “yes” because a business activity can have more than one type of protection.

According to Table 3, the most widespread measures of protection are *paratia*<sup>4</sup> and raising of floor levels, while pumps and *vasca*<sup>4</sup> are not very common, which is probably due to their relatively high financial costs. The distribution of the different protection measures among the business activities is an important element when assessing the economic cost of high water since different mitigation measures offer different degrees of protection. This effect is discussed in detail in the following section.

### 3. Economic valuation of high water damages

#### 3.1 On site material damages

The monetary magnitude of on site damage (OSD) can be defined as follows:

$$OSD = L + M + R \quad (1)$$

where L is the monetary loss of goods due to flooding, i.e. merchandise for sale, M represents the cost of mitigation measures, i.e. technical solutions which help protecting against flooding inside the units, and R accounts for remediation costs, i.e. costs of replacement of damaged building elements.

In the present study, which focuses on the monetary value assessment of on-site damages caused by periodic flooding, L is assumed to be a minor magnitude. This assumption is based on the fact that owners of economic activities located in Venice at the ground floor are well used to high water events, and for this reason display merchandise at a safe level, e.g. on high shelves or ad hoc furniture. In addition, the Municipal Centre for Tidal Observation provides a specific alarm service that goes off 3 hours before the high water event and thus giving additional time to move the merchandise at a safer level, if needed. This assumption is confirmed by the results of a valuation survey conducted immediately after a recent extraordinary event, which took place in November 2002, where the high water reached the level of 147 cm. In that circumstance, only 15% of the interviewed persons indicated some losses due to damages to merchandise.

<sup>4</sup> Doors that give access to street are the most important mean of water infiltration to the business activities – for this reason they are often protected by mobile barriers, i.e. *paratie*, to keep water out. With the protection system called *vasca*, external walls and the pavements of ground floor units are sealed with waterproof materials forming a basin to protect the inner parts of the building against high water.

Mitigation costs are related to the adoption of high water protection measures and equipment like hydraulic pumps, *paratia* and rising of pavements. These costs are essentially independent from the frequency of flooding experienced. Therefore, the category is referred to as fixed costs. It is important to specify that none of these mitigation measures can be considered as a complete protection against high water damages, since each measure becomes ineffective, either partially or completely, at a specific level of the tidal event. For a given unit, this critical level depends on the unit's level above the sea, and on the technical features of the mitigation measure.

Remediation costs, on the other hand, are related to damages caused by flooding to building elements, including damages that high water and salinity causes to the walls. This category of costs is directly or indirectly connected to the number of flooding events. For this reason, these will depend on the unit's specific location in relation to the mean sea level. These costs are thus referred to as variable costs. The criteria for the selection of the types of damages to be considered looked at the availability of data and the existence of a direct relationship between the economic damage and the fundamental variable, which is the unit's specific level above sea and/or the frequency of flooding it has to face. The first criterion led to the exclusion of costs that require information that is not reported within the COSES data set. The second criterion implies that single categories of cost were included only if the connection between frequency of flooding or level above the sea and damage was identified in a sufficiently precise manner during interviews with experts.

Since the present valuation study is anchored in the COSES data set, the items considered for valuation are front doors and inner doors, pavements, walls and the cost of the time employed in the cleaning of the pavement and for re-establishing the functionality of the economic unit. Furniture had to be excluded from the estimation, as in the COSES database there was no sufficient information available on the characteristics of the furniture in each business activity. This may lead to an underestimation of variable costs, although in Venetian business activities furniture is frequently renewed, independently from the effects of high water.

### **3.2 Valuation approach**

The economic valuation of on-site damages on structures and materials due to high water events is not straightforward. A literature review and a comparative analysis of previous studies about economic impacts of high water events highlight some important aspects which need to be considered in the assessment.<sup>5</sup> While some suggestions came from the methodological choices of previous studies, some other ideas derived from the attempt to overcome the limits of past assessments. In this context, we propose to work with dose-response valuation methods – see, for example, Adams and Crocker (1991). The dose response methods have in common that they put a value on environmental commodities without retrieving people's preferences for these commodities. In this particular study, dose-response valuation technique is operated by (1) assessing the physical damage of high water on structures and materials and (2) estimating the economic value of the damage. For this reason we integrate the use of a response valuation technique with expert based information regarding both the increased maintenance and repair activities due to the high water and related market prices. This type of techniques have already been

---

<sup>5</sup> Survey carried out between December 2002 and January 2003, see CORILA (2004) for more details.



considered by Cellerino *et al.* (1997), Cellerino (1998) and Bertoldo *et al.* (1997), but under more restricted conditions.<sup>6</sup>

In particular, the following indications have been applied in the present study. First, damages have been assessed with respect to the specific characteristics of different business activities. In this study, and differently from previous ones, damages are referred and assessed at the individual level, considering, among others, the type of activity, the location of the business activity with respect to the sea level, the use (or not) of mitigation measures (and which ones). Overall cost has been calculated by aggregating individual costs. Second, protection (or mitigation) measures have been included in the value assessment and modelled as follows: (1) costs sustained by economic operators for mitigation have been considered among on-site economic damages as fixed costs; (2) the effectiveness of protective measures in the mitigation of the high water damages has been attentively investigated and brought in the computations, and (3) high water damages have been disentangled from costs related to ordinary maintenance and substitution of structures and materials. A similar attempt was also tackled by Cellerino (1998). Finally, different scenarios with respect to the frequency of high water have been considered. The investigation of the consequences of different future scenarios is very useful for deriving policy implications and it is a consolidated procedure in most economic assessment studies (see Magistrato alle Acque e Consorzio Venezia Nuova, 1997).

As mentioned, mitigation costs refer to all types of financial expenditure undergone to avert damages caused by flooding. Combining expert information on mitigation costs with the COSES information on the type of mitigation measures adopted, we were able to estimate the total cost with respect to mitigation incurred by each single unit. As we can see from Table 4, mitigation may consist in one or more interventions – e.g. *vasca* or water pumps and *paratia*.

*Table 4: Level of protection of mitigation measures across different building elements*

	Pavements	Walls	Inner doors	Front doors
Raising of pavements	+++	+	+++	+++
<i>Vasca</i>	+++	+++	+++	+++
<i>Paratia</i>	+	0	++	+++
Water pump	+	0	++	0
Water pump and <i>paratia</i>	++	0	+++	+++
Waterproof barriers for walls <sup>7</sup>	0	+++	0	0

Legend: +++ strong, ++ medium, + small, 0 no mitigation effect.

<sup>6</sup> Dose-response-expert-based valuation method has also been applied by economists in the analysis and valuation of social and economic dimensions of climate change impacts and adaptation in Italy, including a case study that deal with an expected sea level rise in the Fondi plane area located at the south-west of Rome (see Gambarelli and Gorla 2004).

<sup>7</sup> Waterproof barriers are architectonical devices that prevent bricks from being soaked by tidal waters and thus protect the walls from damages caused by salty water.

The valuation of variable costs considers the infiltration of water causing damages to different building-elements, including pavements, walls, inner and front doors. In addition, the presence of mitigation measures needs to be taken into account when calculating every type of variable cost. In practice, the estimation of economic damages is based on information produced by ‘expert judgements’ about the effects that infiltration of water has on the building materials, and the level of protection offered by the different measures (or a combination of measures) with respect to the specific elements of the unit (doors, pavements, walls). Table 4 shows the relation existing between different mitigation measures and the effects of high water on building-elements. It can be noted, for example, that the protection offered by a *vasca* is much higher than the protection offered by a *paratia*.

As an illustration, the yearly cost of substitution of inner doors (YIDSC) damaged is estimated as follows:

$$YIDSC = \frac{UCDI}{n} \lambda(e_j, n) \sum_{r^i} \sum_l e_l^{i,r}(p) \quad (2)$$

where *UCDI* denotes the unit cost of a inner double skin door in wood (expert based information) and *n* the number of tidal events after which a wooden double skin door has to be substituted (also expert based information). Therefore, the first term captures a monetary magnitude that reflects the physical *resistance* of inner wooden doors with respect to the tidal events, *ceteris paribus*. This measure is combined with two additional terms,  $\lambda$  and  $e_l^{i,r}$ , respectively. The latter term reflects the fact that doors are located in different rooms within each *i* business activity unit and each *r* room is associated to a given level *l*, which is a measurement above the *Punta della Salute Tidal Datum*. Therefore,  $e_l^{i,r}$  denotes the annual frequency of high water events that the room *r* at level *j* of the unit *i* is subject to. This term is function of *p*, which denotes the type of protection measure adopted by the business activity unit, since we consider that the existence and type of mitigation measures influence the protection level and thus the number of events that each room is subject to. ~~Finally,~~ as it was not considered realistic to expect a door to be replaced more often than once a year,  $\lambda$  was introduced to limit the number of substitutions to once a year in those cases, where the entity of damages would call for more frequent interventions.  $\lambda$  is a function of  $e_j$  and *n*, with  $\lambda = 1$  if  $e_j \leq n$  and  $\lambda = n/e_j$  if  $e_j > n$ . More in detail, the introduction of the parameter  $\lambda$  lets to account for the fact that a inner doors may last:

- 1 year, if the number of high water events at level *j* ( $e_j$ ) is equal or higher than the number of flooding events that calls for the substitution of the doors (*n*). In fact, in this case  $\lambda = n/e_j$  and YIDSC equals UCDI multiplied by the number of doors to be substituted;
- more than 1 year otherwise (in particular, assuming a constant annual frequency of high water events over the years, the doors will be substituted after  $n/e_j$  years).

As we can see from this illustration, the information contained in the COSES database on size, number of rooms, distance of the floor level from sea level together with expert based information allows us to calculate in an efficient and robust way the economic damage caused by flooding to inner doors. A similar methodology was also applied to the other remaining cost components.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> A more detailed discussion of these is presented in CORILA (2004).

On-site damages can then be computed. In order to explore possible policy implications in reference to the protection, or absence, of high water we introduce possible scenarios that describe alternative high water situations for the city of Venice. These will be discussed in the next section.

### 3.3 The formulation of *Acqua Alta* scenarios

In the present analysis, we consider four scenarios. The first refers to the current situation, which is denoted by the ‘business as usual’ (BAU) scenario. This scenario assumes the annual average frequencies of tidal events as registered by the Tidal Observatory of the Venetian Municipality during the period 1966- 2001. In other words, the annual frequency of high water in BAU coincides with the historical frequencies (Table 5).

*Table 5: Average annual frequencies of high water per scenario*

Tidal level	Average annual frequency			
	BAU	Defence 110	Climate change	Climate change & Defence 110
+ 190 cm	0.0286	0.0000	0.0286	0.0000
180 – 190 cm	0.0286	0.0000	0.0286	0.0000
170 – 180 cm	0.0286	0.0000	0.0571	0.0000
160 – 170 cm	0.0571	0.0000	0.0862	0.0000
150 – 160 cm	0.0862	0.0000	0.2000	0.0000
140 – 150 cm	0.2000	0.0000	0.6250	0.0000
130 – 140 cm	0.6250	0.0000	1.5000	0.0000
120 – 130 cm	1.5000	0.0000	3.5000	0.0000
110 – 120 cm	3.5000	0.0000	8.5000	0.0000
100 – 110 cm	8.5000	8.5000	19.700	19.700
90 – 100 cm	19.700	19.700	49.200	49.200
80 – 90 cm	49.200	49.200	117.60	117.60
70 – 80 cm	117.60	117.60	241.30	241.30

A second scenario, ‘Defence 110’, refers to the situation that is characterised by the use of collective, defensive measures against all tidal events above 110 cm with respect to mean sea level as measured by the *Punta della Salute Tidal Datum*. For this reason the frequency above this threshold is zero. Below 110 the frequency is the same as BAU and coincides with the historical high water frequencies. A third scenario considers an average sea level rise of 10 cm due to climate change events<sup>9</sup>, and for this same reason this scenario is denoted as ‘Climate change’. In this scenario, the number of high water events for a given sea level equals to the frequency registered in the BAU scenario and corresponding sea level immediately below. For example, the frequency of tidal events at 120 cm for climate change corresponds to the frequency reached at the level of 110 cm for BAU. Finally, we consider a mixed scenario that results from the combination of both

<sup>9</sup> The scenario is limited to a rather low rate of sea level increase – many climatologists support the idea that sea level will rise as much as 61 cm over the next century (see UNEP 2001, MATT 2003). However, such a high rate would imply important changes in the number and distribution of the business activities that would not be consistent with the short-term perspective embodied in the present study.

‘climate change’ and ‘Defence 110’. In short, this scenario considers the defence of the whole lagoon against flooding events above 110 cm, however the frequency of the high water events below that threshold will increase and will remain equal to the rates of the ‘climate change’ scenario.

#### **4. Valuation results**

Estimates of economic damages related to high water depend crucially on the floor level of the unit with respect to the mean sea level (*Punta della Salute Tidal Datum*). In fact, the level above the sea is directly related to the frequency of high water events which affect a particular unit, and thus to the number of times a building element comes into contact with water. The latter has a direct effect on the frequency of restoration, implying either maintenance or substitution of the affected element, having in any case an economic impact. Even in the case of walls, where damages are more linked to action of saltwater in bricks than to the frequency of flooding, the unit’s location with respect to the sea level is reflected in the proximity of walls to the underlying water, and this way capture the effect of saltwater damages in the building structure of the business activity. As a consequence, the more precise the knowledge of the unit’s level above the sea is, the more reliable the estimates of the economic damages will be. Unfortunately, in Venice a full GIS cover and measurement of each business units’ front-door location with respect to the sea level is not yet available – even if there are a series of measurements either covering only part of the city, or different points of relevance (e.g. street level, bridges levels, etc). For this reason, the present study anchors the value estimates to the flooding level as declared by respondents on the COSES questionnaire. The underlying assumption is that economic agents who have been operating in Venice are familiar with the impacts that different levels of high water have on their units and thus are able to link their individual flooding level to the announced tidal levels.

In addition, we consider three alternative approaches with respect to the calculation of the flooded area for each business activity. In concrete terms, the three approaches denote three assumptions with respect to the percentage of the unit flooded: one corresponds to the percentage of the flooded area as reported by the respondent in the survey (approach A); a second corresponds to the percentage of the flooded bearing in mind the different size and location with respect to the sea level of different rooms (approach B); and finally we consider that the totality of the area flooded (approach C). The combination of these three approaches can be interpreted as providing a confidence interval – when compared to the reported answers on the flooded area. Approach C provides an upper estimate since it considers a flooded area at 100%. In addition, approach B combines the use of survey information so as to get a more precise value estimate on the overall flooded area.

Furthermore, the present valuation exercise is also flexible in accommodating the impact of key parameters – such as the number of high water events that cause the need of restoration for each considered building element – on the valuation results. In order to deal with impact, the valuation exercise considers two parameters with respect to the restoration and maintenance for each building element, reflecting two different rates of intervention with respect to inner doors, outer doors and pavements. In the case of walls, such analysis takes account of the maintenance technique to be used for restoration, given the large range of available interventions. The respective impacts on the estimation exercise are interpreted in terms of a sensitivity analysis, providing a lower and upper value estimate for each building element. Tables 6 to 9 report the estimation results for all the scenarios under consideration.

Table 6 shows that total on-site damages due to high water on the Venetian business activities, given the actual frequency of high water events, ranges from 2.26 to 4.97 million Euro per year, with a best point estimate of 3.41 million Euro. The latter corresponds to the average estimate for the total economic value of on-site economic damages according to the valuation approach B, which is retained as giving a more precise calculation on the overall flooded area.

*Table 6: On-site economic damages – BAU Scenario*

BAU		Approach		
		A	B	C
COST CATEGORY	SUBSTITUTION OF INNER DOORS			
	Higher Bound	1,253,745	1,441,144	1,707,537
	Lower Bound	804,298	893,049	1,086,249
	MAINTENANCE OF FRONT DOORS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	135,281	135,281
	Lower Bound	n.a.	63,598	63,598
	MAINTENANCE AND CLEANING OF PAVEMENTS			
	Higher Bound	73,833	78,797	112,695
	Lower Bound	32,725	68,519	97,995
	MAINTENANCE OF WALLS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	2,920,301	3,023,313
	Lower Bound	n.a.	1,240,247	1,346,235
TOTAL ON-SITE ECONOMIC DAMAGES				
Higher Bound		n.a.	4,575,522	4,978,825
Lower Bound		n.a.	2,265,413	2,594,078

If the sea level rises by ca. 10 cm and no policy protection measures are adopted, then on-site damages will increase, giving costs estimated to range between 3.53 and 6.47 million Euro per year, with a best point estimate of 4.73 million Euro (Table 7).

*Table 7: On-site economic damages – Climate Change Scenario*

Climate Change		Approach		
		A	B	C
COST CATEGORY	SUBSTITUTION OF INNER DOORS			
	Higher Bound	1,862,771	2,233,543	2,557,842
	Lower Bound	1,412,111	1,638,794	1,927,454
	MAINTENANCE OF FRONT DOORS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	284,389	284,389
	Lower Bound	n.a.	164,415	164,415
	MAINTENANCE AND CLEANING OF PAVEMENTS			
	Higher Bound	176,313	188,408	269,292
	Lower Bound	153,316	163,833	234,167
	MAINTENANCE OF WALLS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	3,232,043	3,358,486
	Lower Bound	n.a.	1,568,812	1,692,679
TOTAL ON-SITE ECONOMIC DAMAGES				
Higher Bound		n.a.	5,938,383	6,470,009
Lower Bound		n.a.	3,535,854	4,018,715

In addition, a policy of total protection against tides higher than 110 cm implies a reduction in mitigation costs, estimated to range between 1.61 and 3.65 million Euro per year, with a best point estimate of 2.49 million Euro (Table 8).

*Table 8: On-site economic damages – Defence + 110 cm Scenario*

Defence + 110 cm		Approach		
		A	B	C
COST CATEGORY	SUBSTITUTION OF INNER DOORS			
	Higher Bound	465,833	460,253	611,513
	Lower Bound	410,342	402,604	538,237
	MAINTENANCE OF FRONT DOORS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	108,467	108,467
	Lower Bound	n.a.	63,598	63,598
	MAINTENANCE AND CLEANING OF PAVEMENTS			
	Higher Bound	44,638	42,151	67,954
	Lower Bound	38,816	36,653	59,090
	MAINTENANCE OF WALLS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	2,773,044	2,870,125
	Lower Bound	n.a.	1,106,891	1,208,347
TOTAL ON-SITE ECONOMIC DAMAGES				
Higher Bound		n.a.	3,383,916	3,658,059
Lower Bound		n.a.	1,609,746	1,869,273

Finally, if a high water protection policy were to be adopted against tides higher than 110 cm in combination with the presence of climate change, the economic value of on-site damage estimates range from 2.05 to 4.05 million Euro per year, with a best point estimate of 2.87 million Euro.

*Table 9: On-site economic damages – Defence + 110 cm and Climate Change Scenario*

Defence + 110 cm and Climate Change		Approach		
		A	B	C
COST CATEGORY	SUBSTITUTION OF INNER DOORS			
	Higher Bound	465,833	460,253	611,513
	Lower Bound	465,833	460,253	611,513
	MAINTENANCE OF FRONT DOORS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	124,133	124,133
	Lower Bound	n.a.	122,566	122,566
	MAINTENANCE AND CLEANING OF PAVEMENTS			
	Higher Bound	106,235	100,401	162,029
	Lower Bound	92,378	87,305	140,894
	MAINTENANCE OF WALLS			
	Higher Bound	n.a.	3,019,921	3,151,392
	Lower Bound	n.a.	1,380,724	1,508,268
TOTAL ON-SITE ECONOMIC DAMAGES				
Higher Bound		n.a.	3,704,709	4,049,066
Lower Bound		n.a.	2,050,849	2,383,242

If we compare the alternative scenarios, two important outcomes emerge. First, estimation results show that the most relevant sources of mitigation costs are related to the maintenance of the walls and the restoration of inner doors. External doors and pavements seem not to be highly affected, and this is mostly due to the separation of ordinary maintenance costs from total restoration costs. Second, the comparison of the valuation results across the different high water scenarios brings along with it significant monetary losses, which can be interpreted in terms of a welfare measurement, expressed in monetary terms, and thus signaling welfare changes associated to each scenario. The quantitative analysis of these welfare changes, in turn, constitute an important roadmap in terms of policy design and will be discussed in detail in the next section.

## **5. Policy implications**

Any policy decision that involves the rejection of a public protection mechanism that defends the city of Venice from high water events will always involve a significant on-site damages to the business activities located in Venice and for this reason be associated with a negative welfare impact in that same stakeholder category. In particular, estimation results show that in a scenario where no policy protection measure is adopted, the welfare loss due to on-site, short-term damages supported by the business activities ranges from 3.41 to 4.73 million Euro per year, depending respectively on whether the frequency of high water remains unchanged or increases as a result of climate change.

Since the present valuation exercise considers (1) one damage category, on-site material damages, and (2) the valuation exercise is anchored next to a single stakeholder, the business activities, and (3) the estimates are computed by dose-response methods with judgment of experts, then the available economic valuation estimates should be considered at best as a lower bound to an unknown total value of high water protection. Bearing in mind such caveat, according to estimation results the introduction of a public protection mechanism that defends the city of Venice from high water events superior to 110 cm will reduce on-site, short-term damages supported by the business activities up to 2.87 million Euro per year. Therefore, this figure could be interpreted a *the* sufficient condition to the financial running cost of an efficient high water protection program. In other words, any high water protection program could be immediately defended from a cost-benefit perspective if its annual operational costs were less than 2.87 million euro per year.

## **6. Conclusions**

In order to estimate the economic value of on-site damages of high water on Venetian business activities, we took into account the combination of dose-response methods, which are able to describe and account for the physical effects of high water on the different on-site damage categories, together with the judgement of experts. Furthermore, the dose-response methodology is sufficiently flexible so that economic valuation results are able to accommodate both the impact of key *input* parameters provided by the experts, such as the number of high water events that cause the need of restoration for each building element, as well as alternative approaches regarding the computation of the flooded area.

In such a valuation framework, we started by conducting interviews with different experts, mostly architects working in Venice, retailers of doors, pavements and other materials, and firms installing mitigation measures. Then we proceeded with the estimation of on-site damages not only for the

business as usual situation, but also for three additional high water scenarios. Estimation results show that the welfare loss due to on-site, short-term damages supported by the business activities ranges from 3.41 to 4.73 million Euro per year, respectively for the business as usual and climate change scenarios. Furthermore, the introduction of a public protection mechanism that defends the city of Venice from high water events superior to 110 cm will reduce the on-site damages supported by the business activities up to 2.87 million Euro per year.

All in all, the present economic valuation estimates should be considered at best as an underestimate of the unknown total value of the impact of high water events since this study only considers a single stakeholder – the business activities; only tackles on-site damages – including walls, doors and pavements; does not include any architectural value considerations; and it is always contingent upon the current number, distribution and diversity of business activities.



## References

- Adams, R.M. and T.D. Crocker (1991) "Material Damages", in *Measuring the Demand for Environmental Quality*, Braden, J.B. and C.D. Kolstad (Eds), pages 271-302, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Bertoldo, M., Maggioni, E., F. Sbeti (1997) *Le Condizioni Abitative nei Piani Terra de Centro Storico e la Oropensione al Risanamento*, Comune di Venezia - Coordinamento Legge Speciale - Osservatorio Casa.
- Cellerino, R. (1998) *Venezia Atlantide: L'impatto Economico delle Acque Alte*, Milano Franco Angeli.
- Cellerino, R., Anghinelli, S., Brasili, A., Gianicola, L., Mucci, M. N., Negri, A. M., D. Priolo (1997) *Effetti Delle Opere Mobili Contro i Danni Dell'acqua Alta: Strutture Fisiche, Turismo, Immobili Notificati e Mercato Immobiliare*.
- CORILA - Consortium for Coordination of Research Activities concerning the Venice Lagoon System (2004) *Valutazione Economica Degli Impatti Di Breve Periodo Del Fenomeno Delle Acque Alte Sulle Attività Economiche Della Città Di Venezia*, Unpublished Scientific Report, vii+220 pages, Venice, Italy.
- Gambarelli G., and A. Gorla (2004) "Economic evaluation of climate change impacts and adaptation in Italy", *FEEM Working Papers*, n. 103.04, Milano, Italy.
- Magistrato alle Acque e Consorzio Venezia Nuova (1997) *Environmental Impact Assessment of the MO.S.E. Project*, SIA del Progetto di Massima della Chiusura delle Bocche di Porto, Allegato 3 - parte B, Allegato 6- Tema 7.
- MATT – Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio (2003) *La Risposta Al Cambiamento Climatico in Italia*, ENEA and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Roma, Italy
- Nunes, P.A.L.D., Galvan, A., and A. Chiabai (2004) "Combining Stated Choice Methods and Hedonic Valuation: Measuring the Economic Benefits from Protecting the Business Activities from High Water in Venice", paper presented at 13<sup>th</sup> Annual EAERE Conference, Budapest, Hungary.
- UNEP – United Nations Environmental Program (2001) *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*, Contributions of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

# NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

## Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

<http://www.repec.org>

## NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u>
ETA	2.2004	Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u>
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u>
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u>
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u>
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u>
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u>
PRA	8.2004	Wolfgang AUSENNEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u>
PRA	9.2004	Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u>
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u>
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u>
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u>
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u>
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arie GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u>
PRA	15.2004	Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u>
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u>
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&amp;D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u>
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u>
SIEV	19.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
NRM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u>
NRM	21.2004	Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u>
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u>
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u>
NRM	24.2004	Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u>
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u>
NRM	26.2004	Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u>
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u>
CSRM	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u>
NRM	29.2004	Marian WEBER (lxvi): <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u>
NRM	30.2004	Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u>
CCMP	31.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u>
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u>
CTN	33.2004	Wilson PEREZ: <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u>
KTHC	34.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u>
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (lxviii): <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u>

KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (Ixxviii): <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u></i>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE (Ixxviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u></i>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE (Ixxviii): <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u></i>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE: <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u></i>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u></i>
CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS (Ixxix): <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u></i>
KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI: <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u></i>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u></i>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u></i>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (Ixxvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u></i>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLES MARK THORSEN (Ixxvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u></i>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU (Ixxvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u></i>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYÓVAI and Melinda SMALE (Ixxvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy</u></i>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u></i>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u></i>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u></i>
SIEV	52.2004	<i>Francesco RICCI: <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u></i>
SIEV	53.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u></i>
NRM	54.2004	<i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF (Ixxvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u></i>
NRM	55.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN (Ixxvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u></i>
NRM	56.2004	<i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE (Ixxvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u></i>
CCMP	57.2004	<i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON: <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u></i>
CCMP	58.2004	<i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN: <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u></i>
NRM	59.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixxvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u></i>
NRM	60.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI (Ixxvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u></i>
CCMP	61.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u></i>
NRM	62.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u></i>
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ (Ixxvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u></i>
NRM	64.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN (Ixxvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u></i>
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK (Ixxvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u></i>
ETA	66.2004	<i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u></i>
GG	67.2004	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA: <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u></i>
GG	68.2004	<i>Michael FINUS: <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u></i>
NRM	69.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO: <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u></i>
CTN	70.2004	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT: <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u></i>
IEM	71.2004	<i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u></i>
IEM	72.2004	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER: <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u></i>
SIEV	73.2004	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: <u>The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests</u></i>

CCMP	74.2004	<i>Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND</i> : <u>Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Assessment</u>
ETA	75.2004	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u>
CTN	76.2004	<i>Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union</u>
CTN	77.2004	<i>Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERÀ and Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (lxx): <u>Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion</u>
CTN	78.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES</i> (lxx): <u>Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options</u>
CTN	79.2004	<i>Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE</i> (lxx): <u>Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency Gains</u>
CTN	80.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u>
CTN	81.2004	<i>Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO</i> (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u>
CTN	82.2004	<i>Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT</i> (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization and Coalition Government Formation</u>
CTN	83.2004	<i>Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN</i> (lxx): <u>Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement</u>
CTN	84.2004	<i>Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ</i> (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging Small World?</u>
CTN	85.2004	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT</i> (lxx): <u>Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players</u>
IEM	86.2004	<i>Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by Hydroelectric Power</u>
KTHC	87.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income</u>
CCMP	88.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey</u>
IEM	89.2004	<i>A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE</i> : <u>Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There Convergence Towards the EU Average?</u>
GG	90.2004	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Agreements and Technology Policy</u>
PRA	91.2004	<i>Sergei IZMALKOV</i> (lxv): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u>
KTHC	92.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> : <u>Cities and Cultures</u>
KTHC	93.2004	<i>Massimo DEL GATTO</i> : <u>Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u>
CCMP	94.2004	<i>Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON</i> : <u>Equilibrium with a Market of Permits</u>
CCMP	95.2004	<i>Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply</u>
CCMP	96.2004	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise</u>
CTN	97.2004	<i>Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA</i> : <u>Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form</u>
CTN	98.2004	<i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK</i> : <u>Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation</u>
GG	99.2004	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA</i> : <u>The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements</u>
SIEV	100.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents</u>
SIEV	101.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure</u>
NRM	102.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN</i> : <u>Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test</u>
CCMP	103.2004	<i>Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability in Italy</u>
PRA	104.2004	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSEN</i> : <u>The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation</u>
PRA	105.2004	<i>John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URG</i> : <u>Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies</u>
PRA	106.2004	<i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?</u>
PRA	107.2004	<i>Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON</i> : <u>Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets</u>
SIEV	108.2004	<i>Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo MAINARDI</i> : <u>Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u>
CTN	109.2004	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI</i> : <u>The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some Results</u>
NRM	110.2004	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i> : <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u>
SIEV	111.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks: Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study</u>
KTHC	112.2004	<i>Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI</i> : <u>Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making</u>
SIEV	113.2004	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI</i> : <u>The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications</u>
IEM	114.2004	<i>Patrick CAYRADE</i> : <u>Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact on the Security of Supply?</u>
IEM	115.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA</i> : <u>Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies</u>

ITEM	116.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: <u>Social Costs of Energy Disruptions</u></i>
ITEM	117.2004	<i>Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: <u>Market-Based Options for Security of Energy Supply</u></i>
ITEM	118.2004	<i>David FISK: <u>Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?</u></i>
ITEM	119.2004	<i>Giacomo LUCIANI: <u>Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?</u></i>
ITEM	120.2004	<i>L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: <u>The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets</u></i>
KTHC	121.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy</u></i>
NRM	122.2004	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslav MYSLIAK and Anita FASSIO: <u>An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application</u></i>
NRM	123.2004	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach</u></i>
ETA	124.2004	<i>Paul MENSINK: <u>Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited</u></i>
NRM	125.2004	<i>Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca PALMISANI: <u>Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach</u></i>
PRA	126.2004	<i>Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: <u>The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence from Poland and the Czech Republic</u></i>
CCMP	127.2004	<i>Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>A General Equilibrium Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism</u></i>
CCMP	128.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy Savings</u></i>
NRM	129.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth</u></i>
PRA	130.2004	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: <u>Reluctant Privatization</u></i>
SIEV	131.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: <u>Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation</u></i>
SIEV	132.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA Kenneth G. WILLIS and Melinda ACUTT: <u>Comparing Individual-Specific Benefit Estimates for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models</u></i>
ITEM	133.2004	<i>Santiago J. RUBIO: <u>On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited</u></i>
ETA	134.2004	<i>Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: <u>Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma</u></i>
SIEV	135.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI: <u>Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys</u></i>
CCMP	136.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The Influence of World Energy Prices</u></i>
ETA	137.2004	<i>Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: <u>Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an Environmental Taxation Game</u></i>
CCMP	138.2004	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China</u></i>
CCMP	139.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy</u></i>
NRM	140.2004	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: <u>The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A Real Option Analysis</u></i>
PRA	141.2004	<i>Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): <u>Bidding for Incomplete Contracts</u></i>
PRA	142.2004	<i>Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): <u>Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions</u></i>
PRA	143.2004	<i>David GOLDREICH (lxxi): <u>Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions</u></i>
PRA	144.2004	<i>Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): <u>Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics</u></i>
PRA	145.2004	<i>Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): <u>Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions</u></i>
PRA	146.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): <u>How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets</u></i>
PRA	147.2004	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): <u>Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions</u></i>
PRA	148.2004	<i>John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): <u>Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions</u></i>
PRA	149.2004	<i>Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): <u>Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions</u></i>
PRA	150.2004	<i>François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): <u>Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	151.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: <u>Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process</u></i>
CCMP	152.2004	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model</u></i>
PRA	153.2004	<i>Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): <u>Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly</u></i>
ETA	154.2004	<i>Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: <u>Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?</u></i>
CTN	155.2004	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with Externalities</u></i>
CCMP	156.2004	<i>Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Environmental Innovation, War of Attrition and Investment Grants</u></i>

CCMP	157.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: <u>How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?</u></i>
ETA	158.2004	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply</u></i>
ETA	159.2004	<i>William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling</u></i>
KTHC	160.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>On the Incidence of a Tax on PureRent with Infinite Horizons</u></i>
IEM	161.2004	<i>Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: <u>Microsimulating the Effects of Household Energy Price Changes in Spain</u></i>

#### NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005

CCMP	1.2005	<i>Stéphane HALLEGATTE: <u>Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change</u></i>
CCMP	2.2005	<i>Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: <u>Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A Cost-Benefit Analysis in China</u></i>
CCMP	3.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: <u>A Global Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level</u></i>
CCMP	4.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Impact of Climate on Holiday Destination Choice</u></i>
ETA	5.2005	<i>Hubert KEMPF: <u>Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?</u></i>
CCMP	6.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change</u></i>
IEM	7.2005	<i>David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: <u>The Allure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution</u></i>
ETA	8.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption Democracy and Environmental Policy</u></i>
CCMP	9.2005	<i>Angelo ANTOCI: <u>Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities in a Growth Model</u></i>
CTN	10.2005	<i>Frédéric DEROLAN: <u>Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers</u></i>
NRM	11.2005	<i>Francesco SINDICO: <u>The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment Debate</u></i>
KTHC	12.2005	<i>Carla MASSIDDA: <u>Estimating the New Keynesian Phillips Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors</u></i>
KTHC	13.2005	<i>Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: <u>Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms</u></i>
PRCG	14.2005	<i>Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: <u>Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board Structure</u></i>
CSRM	15.2005	<i>Parashar KULKARNI: <u>Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed Countries: Lessons from the Indian LeatherFootwear Industry</u></i>
KTHC	16.2005	<i>Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence</u></i>
KTHC	17.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms</u></i>
KTHC	18.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer</u></i>
KTHC	19.2005	<i>Mombert HOPPE: <u>Technology Transfer Through Trade</u></i>
PRCG	20.2005	<i>Roberto ROSON: <u>Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming</u></i>
CCMP	21.2005	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs. A Game Theoretic Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes</u></i>
IEM	22.2005	<i>Fausto CAVALLARO: <u>An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An Application of the Promethee Method</u></i>
CTN	23.2005	<i>Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria</u></i>
IEM	24.2005	<i>Wietze LISE: <u>Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey</u></i>
CTN	25.2005	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas</u></i>
SIEV	26.2005	<i>Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: <u>Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived Realism, Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection</u></i>
NRM	27.2005	<i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Anna MONTINI: <u>The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities</u></i>
CCMP	28.2005	<i>Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: <u>Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information</u></i>
NRM	29.2005	<i>Paul SARFO-MENSAH: <u>Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations</u></i>
CCMP	30.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Effect of Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism</u></i>
NRM	31.2005	<i>Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: <u>Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies</u></i>
NRM	32.2005	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Concession Length and Investment Timing Flexibility</u></i>
CCMP	33.2005	<i>Joseph HUBER: <u>Key Environmental Innovations</u></i>
CTN	34.2005	<i>Antoni CALVÓ-ARMENGOL and Rahmi İLKILIÇ (Ixxii): <u>Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network Formation</u></i>
CTN	35.2005	<i>Francesco FERI (Ixxii): <u>Network Formation with Endogenous Decay</u></i>
CTN	36.2005	<i>Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (Ixxii): <u>Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and Network Formation Games</u></i>

CTN	37.2005	<i>Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAKI</i> (lxxii): <u>Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the Resilience of Referral Hiring</u>
CTN	38.2005	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS</i> (lxxii): <u>Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated Games</u>
CTN	39.2005	<i>Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER</i> (lxxii): <u>The Egalitarian Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects</u>
CTN	40.2005	<i>Francesco FERI</i> : <u>Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay</u>
CTN	41.2005	<i>Aart de ZEEUW</i> (lxxii): <u>Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
NRM	42.2005	<i>C. Martijn van der HEIDE, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH, Ekko C. van IERLAND and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Measuring the Economic Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The Netherlands</u>
PRCG	43.2005	<i>Carla VIEIRA and Ana Paula SERRA</i> : <u>Abnormal Returns in Privatization Public Offerings: The Case of Portuguese Firms</u>
SIEV	44.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Valentina ZANATTA and Paolo ROSATO</i> : <u>Combining Actual and Contingent Behavior to Estimate the Value of Sports Fishing in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
CTN	45.2005	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>Participation in International Environmental Agreements: The Role of Timing and Regulation</u>
CCMP	46.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Are EU Environmental Policies Too Demanding for New Members States?</u>
IEM	47.2005	<i>Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Modeling Factor Demands with SEM and VAR: An Empirical Comparison</u>
CTN	48.2005	<i>Olivier TERCIEUX and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxx): <u>A Characterization of Stochastically Stable Networks</u>
CTN	49.2005	<i>Ana MAULEON, José SEMPERE-MONERRIS and Vincent J. VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxxii): <u>R&amp;D Networks Among Unionized Firms</u>
CTN	50.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Optimal Transfers and Participation Decisions in International Environmental Agreements</u>
KTHC	51.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI</i> : <u>From the Theory of the Firm to FDI and Internalisation: A Survey</u>
CCMP	52.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI</i> : <u>Multilateral Environmental Agreements and Trade Obligations: A Theoretical Analysis of the Doha Proposal</u>
SIEV	53.2005	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Gretel GAMBARELLI and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach</u>

(lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003

(lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003

(lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003

(lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003

(lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003

(lxx) This paper was presented at the 9<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004

(lxxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004

(lxxii) This paper was presented at the 10<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.



**2004 SERIES**

<b>CCMP</b>	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )
<b>GG</b>	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>SIEV</b>	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
<b>NRM</b>	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
<b>KTHC</b>	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
<b>IEM</b>	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
<b>CSRM</b>	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
<b>PRA</b>	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
<b>ETA</b>	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>CTN</b>	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

**2005 SERIES**

<b>CCMP</b>	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )
<b>SIEV</b>	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
<b>NRM</b>	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
<b>KTHC</b>	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
<b>IEM</b>	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
<b>CSRM</b>	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
<b>PRCG</b>	<i>Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
<b>ETA</b>	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>CTN</b>	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>