

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

de Zeeuw, Aart

Working Paper

Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements

Nota di Lavoro, No. 41.2005

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: de Zeeuw, Aart (2005): Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements, Nota di Lavoro, No. 41.2005, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/74274

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements

Aart de Zeeuw

NOTA DI LAVORO 41.2005

MARCH 2005

CTN - Coalition Theory Network

Aart de Zeeuw, Department of Economics and CentER, Tilburg University

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=688961

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental **Agreements**

Summary

In terms of the number of signatories, one observes both large and small international environmental agreements. The theoretical literature, based on game theory, discusses different concepts and mechanisms for the stability of coalitions and has reached the conclusion that, under farsightedness, both large and small stable coalitions can occur. In the context of a repeated game, this implies that large stable coalitions can also be sustained over time by a simple trigger mechanism, for large enough discount factors. However, if changes in time implement changes in state, this conclusion does not hold anymore: only small stable coalitions can be sustained.

Keywords: IEA's, Coalitional stability, Dynamics

JEL Classification: Q2, C70, F42

This paper was presented at the 10th Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.

Address for correspondence:

Aart de Zeeuw Department of Economics Tilburg University P.O. Box 90153 5000 LE Tilburg The Netherlands

E-mail: A.J.deZeeuw@uvt.nl

1. Introduction

Global environmental problems such as ozone depletion and climate change require international cooperation by sovereign states to internalise the negative externalities of cross-border emissions. In the last decades, so-called International Environmental Agreements (IEA's) were signed, but the number of signatories varies considerably (see e.g. Finus (2003)). The Montreal Protocol (1987) with the purpose to phase out CFC's, that cause depletion of the ozone layer, has been signed and ratified by 181 countries. However, the Kyoto Protocol (1997) with the purpose to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, that cause climate change, is a different story. The number of countries that will reduce emissions in the first phase is much smaller, and especially after the withdrawal of the USA in 2001, the basis of this agreement is not very strong. It came only recently into force by the ratification of Russia. In the literature many arguments can be found to why IEA's are large or not. Barrett (1994), for example, argues that phase out of CFC's would probably also have occurred without such an international agreement, because cheap substitutes became readily available so that the issue was in fact resolved through technological development. This means that the agreement was probably redundant and the number of signatories does not say much. The theoretical literature has focused on stability concepts for IEA's, in order to shed some light on the size that can be expected, and this paper should be placed in that tradition.

Initially this literature produced two conflicting views. One is rooted in cooperative game theory and reached the conclusion that the grand coalition is stable, using the γ -core concept and implementing transfers to solve the heterogeneity of the countries involved (Chander and Tulkens (1995)). One can say that this leads to an optimistic view on the size of the stable coalition. The other view is rooted in non-cooperative game theory and became the dominant path in the literature (Hoel (1992), Carraro and Siniscalco (1993), Barrett (1994)). The idea is to check for which size of the coalition an individual country is indifferent between joining or leaving and to use that as the stability concept. This part of the literature reaches the conclusion that the size of a stable coalition is typically very small and one can say that this leads to a pessimistic view. The explanation for the opposing conclusions is the difference in behavioural assumptions. The γ -core concept assumes that in case a sub-coalition forms, the other

countries play Nash against the sub-coalition and this threat prevents the sub-coalition to form. On the other hand, in the non-cooperative approach it is assumed that in case a country leaves the coalition, the other members continue as a coalition, which yields sufficient free-rider benefits to end up with only a small coalition.

Recent developments in game theory (see e.g. Ray and Vohra (1999)) advocate the concept of farsightedness. The behavioural assumptions in this model are in a sense midway between the models described above. Neither it is assumed that the coalition fully breaks down nor that the remaining coalition stays intact but it is assumed that if a country leaves, it may trigger other countries to leave as well until some new stable situation is reached. A country has to compare its initial position with its position at the end of the process and this makes both large and small stable coalitions possible. In a way the cooperative and non-cooperative approaches above are reconciled by this concept.

Not much attention is paid to the role of time in this literature. Reaction patterns take time, of course, but time is usually not explicitly modelled in the underlying economic models. IEA's, however, have a time dimension. An agreement usually states that a certain emission reduction has to be realized at a future point in time. For example, in the Kyoto Protocol the 15 countries of the European Union have to reduce greenhouse gas emissions with 8 % by 2008-2012, as compared to 1990 levels. A few papers exist in this area. Germain et al. (2003) extend the analysis of Chander and Tulkens (1995) to a model with stock pollutants and conclude that the grand coalition is still stable at each point in time, although the transfers needed to solve heterogeneity have to vary over time. Rubio and Casino (2001) extend the non-cooperative analysis to a similar model with stock pollutants and by applying the stability analysis to the values of the differential game, they get essentially the same result as in the static context. Rubio and Ulph (2002) formulate a model where the size of the stable coalition may depend on the level of the stock. In that case, the transition to the steady state also implies a transition of the stable coalition but the results on the size are essentially the same.

The concept of farsightedness has reset an optimistic view on the original issue: large stable coalitions are possible without relying on strong behavioural assumptions as in the γ -core. It also seems straightforward to solve the issue of compliance to an IEA. If

a country deviates at some point in time, this will trigger a smaller stable coalition in the next period and onwards. The country is worse off as an outsider to that smaller stable coalition than it was as a member of the larger stable coalition. We know from the simple trigger mechanism in repeated games (Friedman, 1986) that regardless of the benefits of deviation in the first period, deviation is deterred if the discount factor is high enough.

This paper will show, however, that compliance remains an issue in the case that time is explicitly modelled, and a change in time means a change in state. A repeated game context does not take into account that the actions in the first period change the state of affairs and thus the game in the next periods. It will be shown that in that case the simple trigger mechanism does not work so that deviations are not deterred. Only the small stable coalition can be sustained and the pessimistic view prevails. We are back at the old conclusion that only strong reactions with a high level of punishment are a sufficient threat to prevent deviations and sustain large stable coalitions. The paper presents a simple abatement model with time and state, but starts with a static version in order to clarify the different concepts and mechanisms.

2. Stability concepts

The basic model is a very simple abatement model. In the initial state of the world the global emissions are at a level s. There are n countries (n > 2) that can choose to abate a_i , i = 1,2,...,n. It is costly to abate but the resulting level of emissions is damaging. A simple cost indicator can be represented by

(1)
$$C_i = \frac{1}{2}a_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}p(s - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j)^2, i = 1, 2, ..., n, p > 0,$$

where p denotes the relative weight attached to the damage costs as compared to the abatement costs. The countries are assumed to be identical. This is, of course, not very realistic if one, for example, considers the climate change problem, but in this way we can abstract from issues like transfers between countries within the coalition and the relationship between types of countries and membership. We only want to focus on the number of countries that join. An extensive literature exists (e.g. Bloch (1997), Yi

(1997), Ray and Vohra (1999)) on general coalitional structures but we restrict the analysis to a structure with one coalition (and other countries as individual outsiders) because this corresponds to the current practice of the international environmental agreements. Suppose that m countries form the coalition. This implies that these m countries jointly minimize the sum of their costs above, whereas the other countries (outsiders) individually minimize their costs. It follows that the first-order conditions for the equilibrium levels of abatement are given by

(2)
$$a_i - mp(s - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k) = 0, i = 1,..., m$$

(3)
$$a_j - p(s - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k) = 0, j = m+1,...,n$$

Adding up and some simple manipulations yield the equilibrium abatement levels and the resulting level of global emissions:

(4)
$$s - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = \frac{1}{1 + (m^2 + n - m)p} s$$

(5)
$$a_i = \frac{mp}{1 + (m^2 + n - m)p} s, i = 1,..., m$$

(6)
$$a_j = \frac{p}{1 + (m^2 + n - m)p} s, j = m + 1,...,n$$

It follows that the costs in equilibrium for a member of the coalition (C^c) and for an outsider (C^o) , respectively, are given by

(7)
$$C^{c} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p + m^{2} p^{2}}{(1 + (m^{2} + n - m)p)^{2}} s^{2}$$

(8)
$$C^{o} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p + p^{2}}{(1 + (m^{2} + n - m)p)^{2}} s^{2}$$

A few things are immediately clear: for m = 0 or m = 1 one gets the standard Nash equilibrium between individual countries and for m = n one gets the full-cooperative

outcome, with higher abatement levels and lower total costs. Note that for any size of the coalition m > 1 the costs of the outsiders are lower than the costs of the coalition members and also lower than the costs in the Nash equilibrium (free-rider benefits).

The usual approach to the theory on stable IEA's (e.g. Carraro and Siniscalco (1993), Barrett (1994)) is based on the ideas developed for cartel stability (d'Aspremont et al. ((1983)) and requires so-called *internal* and *external* stability. Internal stability means that a country does not have an incentive to leave the coalition of size m: the costs of an outsider to the coalition of size m-1 must be larger than (or equal to, to cover the border case) the costs of a member of the coalition of size m. External stability means that a country does not have an incentive to join the coalition of size m: the costs of a member of the coalition of size m+1 must be larger than the costs of an outsider to the coalition of size m. Note that this definition implies that if a country leaves or joins the coalition, all the countries adjust their abatement levels, so that the result is not a Nash equilibrium in the strict sense. Therefore, it is more precise to define the game as a so-called *open-membership* game: first the countries decide on whether they want to be a member of the coalition or not and then the abatement levels are chosen (see e.g. Bloch (1997), Yi (1997), Finus (2003)). The Nash equilibrium of this last game corresponds to the concepts of internal and external stability. It is straightforward to show:

<u>Proposition 1</u> Consider the abatement model defined above. The size of the coalition that is both internally and externally stable is 2 if the parameter for the relative weight between the cost components $p \# p_u$, $p_u = 1/(n-4+2\sqrt{n^2-3n+3})$. Otherwise, the size is l or, to put it differently, the Nash equilibrium between individual countries results.

Proof It is straightforward to check that

(9)
$$C^{c}(m) > C^{o}(m-1)$$

for all p, n, and m > 2, so that all coalitions larger than 2 are not internally stable. The same inequality (for m = 3) also implies that a coalition of size 2 is externally stable.

Furthermore, straightforward calculations show that the condition on the parameter p is equivalent with

(10)
$$\frac{p+4p^2}{(1+(2+n)p)^2} \le \frac{p+p^2}{(1+np)^2},$$

so that under the condition on p a coalition of size 2 is internally stable.

If the condition on the parameter p does not hold, a coalition of size 2 is not internally stable. A coalition of size I is always internally stable and if the condition on p does not hold, a coalition of size I is also externally stable. Q.E.D.

The general conclusion of this analysis is that the size of the stable coalition is small, which is in accordance with the previous literature. In this model the size is not larger than 2. Furthermore, even a small coalition of size 2 falls apart if the relative weight of the damage costs as compared to the abatement costs is higher than p_u . The value of p_u depends on the total number of countries n, and this value decreases if the total number of countries n increases.

Carraro and Siniscalco (1993) show that the size of the coalition can be extended if the members offer a transfer to outsiders for their willingness to join. The transfer can be paid from the gains of enlarging the coalition. They have to assume, however, that the original members are committed to stay in the coalition, because these countries will have incentives to leave when new members join. They also point at the role of the slope of the best-reply function: the steeper the slope, the larger the incentive to deviate from the coalition. In our model, the slope of the best-reply function is given by the parameter p, so that the results above are also in accordance with this finding.

As mentioned in the introduction, Chander and Tulkens (1995) take a very different approach, based on the core concept in cooperative games. Their contribution mainly concerns the transfers between heterogeneous countries, but for identical countries the story boils down to the following. They employ the γ -core concept, which means that when a sub-coalition deviates from the grand coalition the so-called partial agreement Nash equilibrium results: the whole coalition falls apart and a game is played between

this sub-coalition with size m and the other countries individually. This is exactly the game described above with the costs $C^c(m)$ and $C^o(m)$, respectively. This literature in fact states that the grand coalition is stable because no sub-coalition has an incentive to deviate, simply because $C^c(m) > C^c(n)$ for all m < n. The crucial element in this mechanism is the behavioural assumption that in case of deviation, the other countries switch to individual Nash equilibrium behaviour. This is different from the concept of internal stability above where it is assumed that the remaining coalition stays intact in case a country deviates. The threat that the remaining coalition falls apart is precisely what deters deviations and supports the grand coalition in the approach of Chander and Tulkens (1995).

Recently the concept of farsightedness was introduced (e.g. Chwe (1994), Ray and Vohra (1999)) and applied to the problem of IEA's (e.g. Diamantoudi and Sartzetakis (2002), Eyckmans (2003)). The idea is that deviations not only trigger adjustments of abatement, as for internal stability, but may also trigger further deviations and that the starting situation has to be compared with the outcome at the end of this process. In this perspective, the mechanism of internal stability is too weak, because it is assumed that no further deviations take place, but the mechanism of the γ -core is too strong, because in that case it is assumed that the initial coalition completely falls apart. In the context of IEA's we have restricted ourselves to a structure with one coalition and the other countries as individual outsiders. The idea of farsightedness effectively means that we should not check for one-step internal stability but that we have to compare the costs of a coalition member to the costs of an outsider after a series of deviations has come to an end. The situation can get rather complicated. If the parameter $p \# p_u$ in the model above, the coalition of size 2 is stable, the coalition of size 3 is not, but the coalition of size 4 is stable, in the sense that the costs of a member of the coalition of size 4 are lower than or equal to the costs of an outsider to the coalition of size 2. However, if the parameter $p > p_u$, the coalition of size 2 is not stable, but the coalition of size 3 can be both stable and not stable, depending on the value of p.

<u>Proposition 2</u> Consider the abatement model defined in the beginning of this section. (i) If $p \# p_u$, the coalition of size 4 is stable, in the sense of farsightedness as described above. (ii) If $p_u , <math>p_v = 2/(n-12+3\sqrt{n^2-4n+12})$, the coalition of size 3 is stable in that sense, but if $p > p_v$, the coalition of size 3 is not stable.

<u>Proof</u> (i) From Proposition 1 we know that the coalition of size 2 is stable. Therefore we have to check that

(11)
$$C^{c}(4) \leq C^{o}(2)$$

or

(12)
$$\frac{1+16p}{(1+(12+n)p)^2} \le \frac{1+p}{(1+(2+n)p)^2}$$

which holds for $p \# 1/(n-10+2 \sqrt{n^2-3n+21})$, which is satisfied if $p \# p_u$.

(ii) From Proposition 1 we know that the coalition of size 2 is not stable. Therefore we have to check that

(13)
$$C^{c}(3) \leq C^{o}(1)$$

or

(14)
$$\frac{1+9p}{(1+(6+n)p)^2} \le \frac{1+p}{(1+np)^2}$$

which holds for $p \#2/(n-12+3 \sqrt{n^2-4n+12})$). The result follows immediately. Q.E.D.

In order to keep the analysis transparent and since more general results are not needed to make our point in the sequel of the paper, we fix the total number of countries at 4. A low number is not unrealistic if we realize that it is to be expected that international negotiations more and more take place between blocks of countries: e.g. the European Union represented its member countries in the Kyoto Protocol. In case that n = 4, the conclusion of Proposition 2 is that the grand coalition is stable if $p \# p_u$ and if $p > p_v$. Namely, if $p \# p_u$, Proposition 2(i) applies, and if $p > p_v$, Proposition 2(ii) states that the coalition of size 3 is not stable, so that the grand coalition of size 4 must be stable, because a deviation of one country now triggers the whole coalition to fall apart. Note that if $p_u , the grand coalition is not stable (but the coalition of size 3 is).$

In a way, the concept of farsightedness reconciles the two approaches described in the first part of this section. For *p* small enough, for example, both the small coalition of size 2 and the grand coalition are stable. This concept also seems to solve the issue of compliance with the agreement. The countries may want to form the grand coalition but they want to be sure that when the agreement is implemented, no incentives arise to deviate. Detection of deviation takes time, so that deviation is initially beneficial. However, following the idea of the well-known trigger mechanism in repeated games (Friedman (1986)), a deviator knows that at some point in time the deviation will be detected and the small stable coalition will result from that time onwards. Since the costs of an outsider to this small stable coalition are higher than the costs of a member of the grand coalition, the initial benefits are outweighed by the extra future costs, if the discount factor is high enough. Therefore, deviation is deterred and compliance is to be expected.

This reasoning, however, ignores one crucial aspect. The abatement game presented in the beginning of this section starts from an initial level *s* for global emissions. If it is assumed that this game is repeated, it is in fact assumed that this level stays the same, but this is not realistic. Abatement efforts in previous periods affect the global emission level, so that the game changes over time. Especially, the game has changed before a deviation is detected. The question is how this affects the trigger mechanism.

3. A dynamic model

The static model in section 2 can easily be adapted to a dynamic model by introducing the following dynamics for the level of emissions

(15)
$$s(t+1) = s(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(t), t = 0, 1, \dots, s(0) = s_0$$

and by formulating the cost indicators as

(16)
$$C_i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t \left[\frac{1}{2} a_i^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} ps^2(t) \right], i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

The idea is that initially the global level of emissions s is s_0 above some target level. Each country can abate a_i . It is costly to abate, and the costs are convex, but it is also costly to keep the level of global emissions above the target level. In the long run, it is always best to bring the level of emissions down to the target level. If the countries take account of the damage of emissions to other countries, this process goes faster and has lower total costs. Under an agreement to cooperate, the target is reached in a more efficient way, but such an agreement is vulnerable to free-rider behaviour. The model is very simple but it allows analysing coalition stability and compliance in case of a changing state. IEA's usually have a fixed time horizon, but the mathematics for an infinite horizon is more tractable and the mechanisms are the same.

Suppose again that *m* countries form a coalition and that a Nash equilibrium results between this coalition and the other countries individually. Because the parameters in the state transition and the cost indicators are not time-dependent, the solution of this difference game is stationary. The dynamic programming equations for the feedback Nash or Markov perfect equilibrium are given by

(17)
$$\frac{1}{2}mk^{c}s^{2} = \min\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{1}{2}a_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}mps^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta mk^{c}(s - \sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{k})^{2}\right]$$

(18)
$$\frac{1}{2}k^{o}s^{2} = \min[\frac{1}{2}a_{j}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}ps^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta k^{o}(s - \sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{k})^{2}], j = m+1,...,n$$

where $V^c(s) = \frac{1}{2}k^c s^2$ and $V^o(s) = \frac{1}{2}k^o s^2$ denote the value functions for a member of the coalition and for an outsider, respectively. It follows that the first-order conditions for the equilibrium levels of abatement are given by

(19)
$$a_i - \delta m k^c (s - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k) = 0, i = 1,..., m$$

(20)
$$a_j - \delta k^o (s - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k) = 0, j = m+1,...,n$$

Adding up and some simple manipulations lead to the next-period level of emissions and to the feedback equilibrium abatement levels:

(21)
$$s - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = \frac{1}{1 + \delta(m^2 k^c + (n-m)k^o)} s$$

(22)
$$a_i = \frac{\delta m k^c}{1 + \delta (m^2 k^c + (n-m)k^o)} s, i = 1,..,m$$

(23)
$$a_j = \frac{\delta k^o}{1 + \delta (m^2 k^c + (n-m)k^o)} s, j = m+1,..,n$$

Substitution in the dynamic programming equations above yields the following set of equations for the parameters of the value functions:

(24)
$$k^{c} = p + \frac{\delta k^{c} (1 + \delta m^{2} k^{c})}{(1 + \delta (m^{2} k^{c} + (n - m) k^{o}))^{2}}$$

(25)
$$k^{o} = p + \frac{\delta k^{o} (1 + \delta k^{o})}{(1 + \delta (m^{2} k^{c} + (n - m) k^{o}))^{2}}$$

As in section 2, for m = 0 or m = 1 one gets the parameter of the value function for the standard Nash equilibrium between individual countries and for m = n one gets the parameter of the value function for the full-cooperative outcome.

First, we check for internal and external stability. It is not easy to perform the analysis analytically but a numerical exercise already gives the insights that we need. We fix the number of countries n=4 and we fix the parameter p at a level that is low enough to give a stable coalition of size 2 in the comparable static model of section 2: p=0.1. Then the role of the discount factor becomes clear. Numerical calculations show that the dynamic model has a stable coalition of size 2 if the discount factor is low enough but for a large discount factor, even the small stable coalition falls apart. For example, $k^c(2) < k^o(1)$ for $\delta = 0.6$ but $k^c(2) > k^o(1)$ for $\delta = 1$ (see table 1). Second, if we employ the concept of farsightedness, we can conclude that for $\delta = 0.6$, besides the coalition of size 2, also the grand coalition of size 4 is stable, because $k^c(4) < k^o(2)$. For $\delta = 1$, however, the coalition of size 3 is stable, because $k^c(3) < k^o(1)$, but the grand coalition is not (compare this to Proposition 2 in section 2 and the conclusions thereafter). We are now ready to investigate the trigger mechanism for the dynamic abatement model with a changing state.

4. Compliance with the large stable coalition

At some point in time, for some value of the level of emissions s, a coalition of size m has formed. Suppose that a member of the coalition considers deviating but the other countries in the coalition will not observe that before the next period. The deviating country can enjoy the free-rider benefits for one period of time but in the next period things have changed: the coalition structure changes to a smaller stable coalition and the level of emissions has changed. In the context of a repeated game, where the state of the world is assumed to remain the same, the change to a smaller stable coalition deters deviations because the free-rider benefits do not outweigh the increase in costs due to this change (if the discount factor is high enough). The question is whether this also holds in the model with a changing state.

When a country deviates, it knows that the future costs are given by the value function of an outsider to the coalition that will result in the next period. The parameter of this value function is denoted by k^{o+} . The first-order condition for the abatement level of the deviating country becomes

(26)
$$a_i - \delta k^{o+} (s - a_i - \sum_{k \neq i} a_k) = 0$$

Because the other countries stick to their behaviour as a member or as an outsider to the coalition of size m in the current period, this leads to

(27)
$$a_{i} = \frac{\delta k^{o+}}{1 + \delta k^{o+}} \frac{1 + \delta m k^{c}}{1 + \delta (m^{2} k^{c} + (n - m) k^{o})} s$$

(28)
$$s - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = \frac{1}{1 + \delta k^{o+}} \frac{1 + \delta m k^{c}}{1 + \delta (m^2 k^c + (n-m)k^o)} s$$

It follows that the value function of the country that deviates is given by $V_i(s) = \frac{1}{2}k_i s^2$, where

(29)
$$k_{i} = p + \frac{\delta k^{o+}}{1 + \delta k^{o+}} \frac{(1 + \delta m k^{c})^{2}}{(1 + \delta (m^{2} k^{c} + (n - m) k^{o}))^{2}}$$

It is again not easy to perform the analysis analytically but continuing the numerical exercise already gives the insights that we need. We fix the parameter p again at 0.1. In the previous section we have shown the effect of the discount factor. If $\delta = 0.6$, a deviation (from the grand coalition m = n = 4) triggers a stable coalition of size 2 in the next period, so that $k^{o+} = k^o(2)$. However, if $\delta = 1$, a deviation (but now from the coalition of size 3) triggers the Nash equilibrium between individual countries in the next period, so that $k^{o+} = k^o(1)$.

In both cases, deviations are not deterred, because $k_i(4) < k^c(4)$ and $k_i(3) < k^c(3)$ (see table 1). In the case that the discount factor is low, we may be tempted to think that the trigger mechanism does not work because future costs are too heavily discounted. However, this argument does not apply to the case where $\delta = 1$. Note that following the concept of farsightedness in listing the possible stable coalitions to start with, we looked at the coalition of size 3 here, but deviations from the grand coalition would also not be deterred, because $k_i(4) < k^c(4)$ (see table 1). The reason that the trigger mechanism does not work is a different one. The global level of emissions in the next period has decreased, due to the abatement efforts in the current period. Therefore all future costs and, more specifically, all extra future costs, when a deviation is detected, are lower. The result above shows that the extra future costs are so much lower, even if the discount factor is equal to I, that deviations are not deterred, because the initial free-rider benefits are not outweighed. The typical finding in control theory, that the state is quickly adjusted in the initial periods, implies for our problem that deviations from the large stable coalition are not deterred so that this large stable coalition cannot be sustained (see also de Zeeuw, 2002).

This is bad news for the chance of success of international environmental agreements. The optimistic view on stability of IEA's with a large number of signatories is mainly based on trigger mechanisms that work fine in a static or a repeated game context, but do not work in the context of a difference game with a changing state.

5. Conclusion

Stability of international environmental agreements is a heavily debated issue. Some are optimistic and expect that the grand coalition can be sustained. Their arguments are based on the core concept in cooperative game theory or on trigger mechanisms in repeated games. Others are pessimistic and expect that only very small coalitions can be sustained. Their arguments are based on open-membership games and the concepts of internal and external stability.

The concept of internal stability is too weak. If a country considers deviating, it will realize that a deviation may trigger further deviations, which has inspired the concept of farsightedness. This concept sustains large stable coalitions, besides the small one that is found under internal and external stability requirements. This is good news for the optimists.

Establishing a coalition is one thing but compliance is another. Observing deviations and reacting to it takes time. In a repeated game context, the trigger mechanism with the threat to end up as an outsider to a small coalition is sufficient to deter deviations, provided that the discount factor is large enough. However, this paper shows that if the state of the world changes in the time that is needed to detect deviations, as is to be expected in a dynamic emission control model, this threat is not sufficient anymore and large coalitions cannot be sustained. Unfortunately, the pessimistic view prevails again. Note that we only looked at the simple trigger mechanism, as described above, since this is the type of reaction one can expect in the international arena. Of course, punishment strategies that deter deviations exist, as we know from the folk theorem, but this type of strategies is not observed in practice and it is hard to imagine that the countries can really employ such strategies.

The Montreal Protocol was a success in terms of the number of signatories, but from this we cannot conclude that establishing large coalitions is easy. As was argued in the introduction, special circumstances may have caused this to happen. In the Kyoto Protocol real benefits of cooperation are at stake and here we observe huge difficulties to form a large coalition. Theoretical research into stability concepts may not directly provide an answer to the challenge ahead of us, but insights into the mechanisms that

enhance or threaten international cooperation are important, in my view. This paper ends with a pessimistic conclusion, but with the hope to inspire further research into the challenge of international cooperation.

Table 1

	$\delta = 0.6$	$\delta = 1$
k ^c (4)	0.13531	0.14354
k ^c (3)	0.14499	0.15944
k ^c (2)	0.15357	0.17612
k°(2)	0.13812	0.14070
k ^o (1)	0.15377	0.17055
k ^{o+}	0.13812	0.17055
k _i (4)	0.12541	0.13322
k _i (3)	0.13530	0.14875

References

d'Aspremont, C., J. Jacquemin, J. Gabszewicz and J. Weymark (1983), On the stability of collusive price leadership, *Canadian Journal of Economics* 16, 17-25.

Barrett, S. (1994), Self-enforcing international environmental agreements, *Oxford Economic Papers* 46, 878-894.

Bloch, F. (1997), Non-cooperative models of coalition formation in games with spillovers, in: C. Carraro and D. Siniscalco (eds.), New Directions in the Economic Theory of the Environment, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 311-352.

Carraro, C. and D. Siniscalco (1993), Strategies for the international protection of the environment, *Journal of Public Economics* 52, 309-328.

Chander, P. and H. Tulkens (1995), A core-theoretic solution for the design of cooperative agreements on transfrontier pollution, *International Tax and Public Finance* 2, 279-293.

Chwe, M. (1994), Farsighted coalitional stability, *Journal of Economic Theory* 63, 299-325.

Diamantoudi, E. and E. Sartzetakis (2002), International environmental agreements – the role of foresight, *mimeo*.

Eyckmans, J. (2003), On the farsighted stability of international climate agreements, *mimeo*.

Finus, M. (2003), Stability and design of international environmental agreements: the case of transboundary pollution, in: H. Folmer and T. Tietenberg (eds.), The International Yearbook of Environmental and Resource Economics 2003/2004, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, 82-158.

Friedman, J. (1986), Game Theory with Applications to Economics, Oxford Economic Press, New York.

Germain, M., Ph. Toint, H. Tulkens and A. de Zeeuw (2003), Transfers to sustain dynamic core-theoretic cooperation in international stock-pollutant control, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 28, 79-99.

Hoel, M. (1992), International environmental conventions: the case of uniform reductions of emissions, *Environmental & Resource Economics* 2, 141-159.

Ray, D. and R. Vohra (1999), A theory of endogenous coalition structures, *Games and Economic Behaviour* 26, 286-336.

Rubio, S. and B. Casino (2001), International cooperation in pollution control, *mimeo*.

Rubio, S. and A. Ulph (2002), An infinite-horizon model of dynamic membership of international environmental agreements, *mimeo*.

Yi, S.-S. (1997), Stable coalition structures with externalities, *Games and Economic Behaviour* 20, 201-237.

Zeeuw, A. de (2002), Highlighting the acid rain game, in: B. Kriström, P. Dasgupta and K.-G. Löfgren (eds.), Economic Theory for the Environment, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, 317-330.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html http://www.repec.org

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: Empirical Analysis of National Income and
ETA	2.2004	So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries
		Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: Do Privatizations Boost
PRA	3.2004	Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): Merger Mechanisms
PRA	8.2004	Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market
PRA	9.2004	Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER
		(lxv): The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions Property of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-
PRA	12.2004	Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders Ohad KADAN (lxv): Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): Auctions as Coordination Devices
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers
		Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): Competition and Cooperation in Divisible
PRA	15.2004	Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): Biodiversity and Economic Growth:
INIXIVI	16.2004	Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics
SIEV	19.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: Information and Willingness to
SIL (17.2001	Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice
NRM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of
		<u>Differentiated Oligopoly</u> Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists
NRM	21.2004	
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development
		
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based
NRM	24.2004	Resources in Kenya Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (Ixvii): Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies
INIXIVI	23.2004	Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): Tourism and
NRM	26.2004	Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports
CSRM	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework
		Marian WEBER (lxvi): Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation:
NRM	29.2004	an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest
NDM	20.2004	Trond BJORNDAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): Output Substitution in Multi-Species
NRM	30.2004	Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting
CCMP	31.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CCIVIP	31.2004	Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA ,Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
		Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy
CTN	33.2004	Wilson PEREZ: Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution
KTHC	34.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (Ixviii): The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (Ixviii): Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison

KTHC	36.2004	Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (lxviii): Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context
KTHC	37.2004	Kristine CRANE (lxviii): Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities - Rome,
KTHC	38.2004	Naples and Bari Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxviii): Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming
ETA	39.2004	Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm Alberto CAVALIERE: Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly
PRA	40.2004	Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?
CCMP	41.2004	Micheal FINUS (lxix): International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems
KTHC	42.2004	Francesco CRESPI: Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis
CTN	43.2004	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies
CTN	44.2004	Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability
		Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (lxvi): Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity:
NRM	45.2004	An Axiomatic Approach Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN (lxvi): Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric
NRM	46.2004	Information on Private Environmental Benefits
NRM	47.2004	John MBURU (lxvi): Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach
NIDA	48.2004	Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE (lxvi): Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural
NRM	48.2004	Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy
CCMP	49.2004	Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows,
		Competitiveness Effects
GG	50.2004	Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: Optimal Disease Eradication
CTN	51.2004	Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games
		Francesco RICCI: Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the
SIEV	52.2004	Theory
SIEV	53.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?
NRM	54.2004	Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF (Ixvi): Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in
		Renaturated Streams To CONSCIENT A TO A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
NRM	55.2004	Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and</u> Regulatory Choices
NRM	56.2004	Tom DEDEURWAERDERE (lxvi): Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance
CCMP	57.2004	Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON: The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households
CCIVII		Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN: Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration
CCMP	58.2004	Effects on Energy Scenarios
NRM	59.2004	Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment</u> <u>Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u>
NRM	60.2004	Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI (lxvi):Property Rights Conservation and Development: An
		Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a
CCMP	61.2004	Technology-based Climate Protocol
NRM	62.2004	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.
	63.2004	Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ (lxvi): Conserving Crop Genetic
NRM	05.2004	Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis
NRM	64.2004	E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN (lxvi): The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the
112411	0.1.2001	Netherlands Figure 1 No. 10 Part of the P
NRM	65.2004	E.C.M. RUIJGROK (lxvi): Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the
ETA	66.2004	Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings
		Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA: Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary
GG	67.2004	Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach
GG	68.2004	Michael FINUS: Modesty Pays: Sometimes!
NIDA	co 2004	Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO: The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy
NRM	69.2004	<u>Implications</u> Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT: On Coalition Formation with
CTN	70.2004	Heterogeneous Agents Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Conditional
IEM	71.2004	Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants
IEM	72.2004	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER: Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns
SIEV	73.2004	Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests

CCMD	74.2004	Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND: Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General
CCMP	74.2004	Equilibrium Assessment
ETA	75.2004	Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u>
CTN	76.2004	Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON (lxx): On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union
CTN	77.2004	Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERÀ and Fernando VEGA-
CTN	78.2004	REDONDO (lxx): Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES (lxx): Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options
CTN	79.2004	Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE (lxx): Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency
CTN	80.2004	Gains Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON (lxx): The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players
CTN	81.2004	Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u> Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization</u>
CTN	82.2004	and Coalition Government Formation
CTN	83.2004	Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN (lxx): Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement
CTN	84.2004	Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ (lxx): Economics: An Emerging Small World?
CTN	85.2004	Edward CARTWRIGHT (lxx): Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players
IEM	86.2004	Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL: Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by
KTHC	87.2004	Hydroelectric Power Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income
CCMP	88.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT: Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey
IEM	89.2004	A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE: Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There
GG	90.2004	Convergence Towards the EU Average? Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Agreements and Technology Policy
PRA	91.2004	Sergei IZMALKOV (lxv): Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction
KTHC	92.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI: Cities and Cultures
KTHC	93.2004	Massimo DEL GATTO: Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution
CCMP	94.2004	Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON: Equilibrium with a Market of Permits
CCMP	95.2004	Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH: Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply
CCMP	96.2004	Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise
CTN	97.2004	Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA: Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form
CTN	98.2004	Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK: Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation
GG	99.2004	Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA: The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements
SIEV	100.2004	Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP: Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence
		from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP: A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to
SIEV	101.2004	Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure
NRM	102.2004	Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN: Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI: Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability
CCMP	103.2004	<u>in Italy</u>
PRA	104.2004	Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSENI: The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation
PRA	105.2004	John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URGA: Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies
PRA	106.2004	Kira BÖRNER: The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?
PRA	107.2004	Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON: Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo
SIEV	108.2004	MAINARDI: Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective
CTN	109.2004	Somdeb LAHIRI: The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some
		Results Giusanna DI VITA: Natural Pascaurcas Dynamics: Another Look
NRM	110.2004	Giuseppe DI VITA: Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA: Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks:
SIEV	111.2004	Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study
KTHC	112.2004	Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI: Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI: The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior
SIEV	113.2004	and Public Policy Implications Patrick CAYRADE: Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact
IEM	114.2004	on the Security of Supply?
IEM	115.2004	Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies

IEM	116.2004	Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Social Costs of Energy Disruptions
IEM	117.2004	Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: Market-Based Options
IEM	118.2004	for Security of Energy Supply David FISK: Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?
IEM	119.2004	• •
		Giacomo LUCIANI: Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not? L. L. do VIDES and R. A. LLAN VOORT: The Operation of Congression Adaptive via Liberalized Floatricity Markets.
IEM	120.2004	L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets
KTHC	121.2004	Alberto PETRUCCI: Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy
NDM	122 2004	Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSIAK and Anita FASSIO: An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water
NRM	122.2004	Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application
NRM	123.2004	Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach
E/E 4	124 2004	Paul MENSINK: Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric
ETA	124.2004	Information: The Differential Tax Revisited
NDM	125.2004	Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca
NRM	123.2004	PALMISANI: Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach
DD A	126 2004	Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence
PRA	126.2004	from Poland and the Czech Republic
CCMD	127 2004	Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: A General Equilibrium
CCMP	127.2004	Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism
GG1 57	100 0001	Reyer GERLAGH: A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy
CCMP	128.2004	Savings
NRM	129.2004	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth
PRA	130.2004	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: Reluctant Privatization
		Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A
SIEV	131.2004	Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation
		Riccardo SCARPA Kenneth G. WILLIS and Melinda ACUTT: Comparing Individual-Specific Benefit Estimates
SIEV	132.2004	for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models
IEM	133.2004	Santiago J. RUBIO: On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited
ETA	134.2004	Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma
SIEV	135.2004	Anna ALBERINI: Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys
		Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The
CCMP	136.2004	Influence of World Energy Prices
		Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an
ETA	137.2004	Environmental Taxation Game
CCMP	138.2004	ZhongXiang ZHANG: The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China
CCMP	139.2004	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy
CCIVII		
		Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A
NRM	140.2004	Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A
NRM PRA	140.2004 141.2004	Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts
PRA	141.2004	Real Option Analysis
PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions
PRA	141.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions
PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More
PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics
PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of
PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions
PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On
PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 149.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions
PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 149.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL 'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 149.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process
PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 149.2004 150.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate
PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 149.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL 'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA CCMP	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 150.2004 151.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL 'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue
PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 149.2004 150.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL 'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly
PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 150.2004 151.2004 152.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: Is there any Scope for Corporatism
PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA PRA CCMP	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 150.2004 151.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?
PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 150.2004 151.2004 152.2004 153.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies? Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with
PRA	141.2004 142.2004 143.2004 144.2004 145.2004 146.2004 147.2004 148.2004 150.2004 151.2004 152.2004	Real Option Analysis Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): Bidding for Incompete Contracts Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?

CC) (D	157.2004	Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term
CCMP	157.2004	Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?
ETA	158.2004	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative
ETA	159.2004	Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling
KTHC	160.2004	Alberto PETRUCCI: On the Incidence of a Tax on PureRent with Infinite Horizons
IEM	161.2004	Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: Microsimulating the Effects of Household
		Energy Price Changes in Spain
		NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005
CCMP	1.2005	Stéphane HALLEGATTE: Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change
CCMP	2.2005	Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A Cost-Benefit Analysis in China
CCMP	3.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: A Global Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level
CCMP	4.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: The Impact of Climate on Holiday Destination Choice
ETA	5.2005	Hubert KEMPF: Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?
CCMP	6.2005	Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity
		in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: The Allure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric
IEM	7.2005	Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution
ETA	8.2005	Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption
GGI ID	0.2005	Democracy and Environmental Policy Angelo ANTOCI: Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities
CCMP	9.2005	in a Growth Model
CTN	10.2005	Frédéric DEROIAN: Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers Francesco SINDICO: The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment
NRM	11.2005	Debate
KTHC	12.2005	Carla MASSIDDA: Estimating the New Keynesian Phillips Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors
KTHC	13.2005	Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board
PRCG	14.2005	Structure
CSRM	15.2005	Parashar KULKARNI: Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed Countries: Lessons from the Indian LeatherFootwear Industry
KTHC	16.2005	Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel
		Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence
KTHC KTHC	17.2005 18.2005	Alireza NAGHAVI: Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms Alireza NAGHAVI: Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer
KTHC	19.2005	Mombert HOPPE: Technology Transfer Through Trade
PRCG	20.2005	Roberto ROSON: Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming
CCMP	21.2005	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs. A Game Theoretic Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes
IEM	22 2005	Fausto CAVALLARO: An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An
IEM	22.2005	Application of the Promethee Method
CTN	23.2005	Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria
IEM CTN	24.2005 25.2005	Wietze LISE: Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey Somdeb LAHIRI: The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas
SIEV	26.2005	Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived
SIEV	20.2003	Realism, Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection
NRM	27.2005	Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Anna MONTINI: The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities
CCMP	28.2005	Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information
NRM	29.2005	Paul SARFO-MENSAH: Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: The Effect of Climate
CCMP	30.2005	Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism
NRM	31.2005	Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies
NRM	32.2005	Chiara D.ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Concession Length and Investment Timing Flexibility
CCMP	33.2005	Joseph HUBER: Key Environmental Innovations
CTN	34.2005	Antoni CALVÓ-ARMENGOL and Rahmi İLKILIÇ (lxxii): Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network Formation
CTN	35.2005	Francesco FERI (lxxii): Network Formation with Endogenous Decay
CTN	36.2005	Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (lxxii): Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and Network Formation Games
		NOTIVOTE I OTHIGHOH CHINES

CTN	37.2005	Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAKI (lxxii): Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the
	37.2003	Resilience of Referral Hiring
CTN	38.2005	Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS (lxxii): Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated
	36.2003	<u>Games</u>
CTN	39.2005	Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER (lxxii): The Egalitarian
	39.2003	Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects
CTN	40.2005	Francesco FERI: Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay
CTN	41.2005	Aart de ZEEUW (lxxii): Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements

(lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications" organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003

(lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on "Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation" organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003

(lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003

(lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities", Rome, June 5-6, 2003

(lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference "The Future of Climate Policy", Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003 (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9^{th} Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and

(lxx) This paper was presented at the 9th Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004

(Ixxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004

(lxxii) This paper was presented at the $10^{\,\mathrm{th}}$ Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.

2004 SERIES

CCMP Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KTHC Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRA Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN Coalition Theory Network

2005 SERIES

CCMP Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KTHC Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRCG Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN Coalition Theory Network