

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Pautrel, Xavier

## **Working Paper**

Reconsidering The Impact of Environment on Long-Run Growth When Pollution Influences Health and Agents Have Finite-Lifetime

Nota di Lavoro, No. 93.2006

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Pautrel, Xavier (2006): Reconsidering The Impact of Environment on Long-Run Growth When Pollution Influences Health and Agents Have Finite-Lifetime, Nota di Lavoro, No. 93.2006, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/74202

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





## Reconsidering The Impact of Environment on Long-Run Growth When Pollution Influences Health and Agents Have Finite-Lifetime

**Xavier Pautrel** 

NOTA DI LAVORO 93.2006

## **JUNE 2006**

CCMP - Climate Change Modelling and Policy

Xavier Pautrel, Université de Nantes, Laboratoire d'Économie de Nantes (LEN)

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=912459

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

## Reconsidering The Impact of Environment on Long-Run Growth When Pollution Influences Health and Agents Have Finite-Lifetime

## **Summary**

Using an overlapping generation model à la Blanchard (1985) with human capital accumulation, this article demonstrates that the influence of environment on optimal growth in the long-run may be explained by the detrimental effect of pollution on life expectancy. It also shows that, in such a case, greener preferences are growth- and welfare-improving even if the ability of the agents to learn is independent to pollution and utility is additively separable. Finally, it establishes that it is possible to implement a win-win environmental policy.

**Keywords:** Growth, Environment, Overlapping Generations, Human Capital, Health

JEL Classification: E62, I21, O41, Q28

Address for correspondence:

Xavier Pautrel
Université de Nantes
Laboratoire d'Économie de Nantes (LEN)
Chemin de la Censive du Tertre
BP 81307
44313 Nantes Cedex 3
France
E-mail: xavier.pautrel@univ-nantes.fr

## 1 Introduction

Does environment affect long term growth? Do environmental issues modify the time devoted to education? Is it possible to implement a win-win environmental policy? Over the last decade, some answers have been offered to thoses questions, at least partly. Nevertheless, their relevance remains topical, especially face to the unbridled industrialization of an economy such as China which challenges the worldwide efforts for a cleaner environment.

The purpose of this article is to re-examine the link between environment and growth focusing on the influence of pollution on health. It argues that the effects of pollution on life expectancy may explain by themselves the influence of environment on optimal growth, conversely to some previous theoretical works which assumed that the influence of environment on health leads to a direct detrimental impact of pollution on the educational activities.

In their investigations of the role of environment on long-term growth, some theoretical papers emphasized the impact of pollution on health. In dynamic models where the engine of growth is human capital accumulation, some of them argued that, by affecting health, pollution has a direct impact on long-term performances because it reduces the ability to learn (Gradus and Smulders (1993), van Ewijk and van Wijnbergen (1995), Vellinga (1999), Vellinga and Withagen (2001)). They also demonstrated that environment does not influence long-term accumulation of human capital if this direct impact of pollution on education is not taken into account. Even if the link between pollution and education sounds logical, two criticisms may be emphasized concerning their analysis. First, they did not model explicitly neither the influence of pollution on health (although it is the key mechanism), nor the way by which worse health may alter the ability to educate. Pollution is broadly introduced in the education sector as a simple compo-

nent of the human capital depreciation (Gradus and Smulders (1993)) or as a variable which influences the productivity of education activities (van Ewijk and van Wijnbergen (1995)). Microfoundations would be required to clarify the underlying mechanisms. Second, the impact of pollution on health is well-documented (see below) and it is unmistakable that health affects positively growth. <sup>1</sup> Nevertheless this relation appears bi-directional and while the influence of education on health has been empirically established (see Grossman and Kaestner (1997)), there is a lack of empirical works on the causality between health and education (see Ding et al. (2005)). <sup>2</sup>

Conversely, the influence of pollution on life expectancy – used as a proxy of health –, is well-documented, especially for air pollution. For instance, Bell and Davis (2001) and Davis (2002) demonstrate that, during the London smog in 1952, the major part of the deaths was due to pollution and that this event has effects not only in short term but also in long-term. Several others studies highlight that air pollution has detrimental long-term effects, even at relatively low level: Kunzli and al. (2000) calculate the net impact of pollution tied with transport in Europe, Brunekreef and Holgate (2002) survey works on the influence of the particulate matter, Pope and al. (2002) find an impact on lung cancers and cardiopulmonary mortality, Evans and Smith (2005) show current and long-term effects of particulates on heart attacks and angina, Chay and Greenstone (2003) investigate air pollution and infant mortality during recession. <sup>3</sup> Other authors study the impact of air pollution on health for cities (Daniels and al. (2000), Dominici et al. (2000), Dominici et al. (2002)), Peng and al. (2002), Reshetin and Kazazyan (2004)).

So, this article aims at investigating the impact of pollution on life expectancy as the main channel of transmission of the relation between environment, health and growth, rather than assuming a direct detrimental effect of pollution on the ability to learn. In this purpose, we model explicitly the link between pollution and public health and its impact on the lifetime of the agents, assuming none direct impact of environment on schooling. We use an overlapping generations model à la Blanchard (1985) with environmental concerns. Long-run growth is driven by human capital accumulation à la Lucas (1988) and the lifetime of agents depends on public health which is influenced negatively by the level of pollution and positively by public health expenditures.

Our results are threefold. First, although individual education is not affected by environment we demonstrate that pollution has always a negative impact on optimal growth. Indeed, the accumulation of human capital at aggregate level is reduced by the loss of knowledge due to the vanishing of the dying generation. And the frequency at which a cohort vanishes depends on its life expectancy which is influenced by public health. When pollution is higher in the economy, despite the increase in public sanitary health expenditures, public health diminishes and the lifetime of agents as well. The vanishing of dying generations is more frequent and so the accumulation of human capital at aggregate level is lowered. Furthermore, the time devoted to education in the long-run is influenced by pollution according to the value of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution of the consumption. When this elasticity is lower than unity, a higher level of pollution increases the time devoted to education. The social planner wants to smooth the consumption over time and she has the desire to compensate the detrimental effect of more pollution on their utility by increasing consumption in the future. Therefore she invests more in human capital accumulation.

Second, conversely to Vellinga (1999), we demonstrate that environmen-

tal care influences positively the level of pollution and the rate of growth in the long-run, although preferences are additively separable and the individual accumulation of human capital is not affected by pollution. Furthermore, when agents have an intertemporal elasticity of substitution of the consumption lower than unity, greener preferences lead to a decrease of the time allocated to education while growth rate increases. We also establish that the growth-improving effect of greener preferences is always associated with a higher social welfare.

Finally, studying the decentralized equilibrium of the economy, we demonstrate that it is possible to implement a win-win environmental policy.

The article is build as follows. Section 2 gives the basic framework of our model. Section 3 formalizes the link between pollution, health and the probability of death. Section 4 investigates the balanced growth path (BGP) equilibrium of the centralized economy. Section 5 examines the impact of environmental care on the optimal growth in the long-run. Section 6 deals with environmental policy in a decentralized equilibrium and section 7 concludes.

## 2 The Economy's structure

Let's consider a Blanchard (1985) overlapping generations model with human capital accumulation and environmental concerns. Time is continuous. Each individual born at time s faces a constant probability of death per unit of time  $\lambda_s \geq 0$ . So her life expectancy is  $1/\lambda_s$ . When  $\lambda_s$  increases, the life span decreases. At time s, a cohort of size  $\lambda_s$  is born. This cohort has a size equal to  $\lambda_s e^{-\lambda_s(t-s)}$  at time t. The constant population is equal to  $\int_{-\infty}^t \lambda_s e^{-\lambda_s(t-s)} ds$  at time t. There are insurance companies and there is no bequest motive.

Conversely to Blanchard (1985), we assume that the probability of death for an agent born at time s depends negatively on the public health in the

economy when he is born  $\varepsilon_s$ . To simplify we pose  $\lambda_s = \varepsilon_s^{-1}$ .

The expected utility function in period t of an agent born at time  $s \leq t$  is of the following form:

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} U\left(c_{s,\iota}, \mathcal{P}_{\iota}\right) e^{-(\rho + \lambda_{s})(\iota - t)} d\iota \tag{1}$$

with

$$U\left(c_{s,\iota}, \mathcal{P}_{\iota}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\left[c_{s,\iota} \mathcal{P}_{\iota}^{-\phi}\right]^{1-1/\sigma} - 1}{1 - 1/\sigma} & \sigma \neq 1, \\ \ln c_{s,\iota} - \phi \ln \mathcal{P}_{\iota} & \sigma = 1, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $c_{s,\iota}$  denotes consumption in period  $\iota$  of an agent born at time  $s, \rho \geq 0$  is the rate of time preference,  $\mathcal{P}_{\iota}$  is the net pollution flow and  $\phi$  measures the weight in utility attached to environment, that is environmental care.  $\sigma$  is the elasticity of intertemporal substitution.

The representative agent can increase his stock of human capital by devoting time to schooling, according to Lucas (1988):

$$\dot{h}_{s,t} = B \left[ 1 - u_{s,t} \right] h_{s,t} \tag{3}$$

where B is the efficiency of schooling activities,  $u_{s,t} \in [0,1]$  is the part of human capital allocated to productive activities at time t for the generation born at s and  $h_{s,t}$  is the stock of human capital at time t of an individual born at time s. Note that no assumption is made about the influence of pollution on individual human capital accumulation. <sup>4</sup>

Due to the simple demographic structure all individual variables are additive across individuals. So the aggregate consumption equals

$$C_t = \int_{-\infty}^t c_{s,t} \lambda_s e^{-\lambda_s (t-s)} ds,$$

the aggregate human capital is

$$H_t = \int_{-\infty}^t h_{s,t} \lambda_s e^{-\lambda_s(t-s)} ds, \tag{4}$$

and the quantity of the aggregate stock of human capital used in production equals  $H_{y,t} = \int_{-\infty}^{t} u_{s,t} h_{s,t} \lambda_s e^{-\lambda_s (t-s)} ds$ .

The productive sector is competitive. The aggregate production function is defined by:

$$Y_t = K_t^{\alpha} H_{y,t}^{1-\alpha}, \qquad 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{5}$$

with  $Y_t$  is the aggregate output and  $K_t$  is the aggregate stock of physical capital.

Finally, we assume that an amount  $G_t$  of final goods is used by the government to publicly provide health services  $G_t$ . This amount represents a part  $\theta$  of the aggregate final output.

## 3 Ecology, health and lifetime

Following Gradus and Smulders (1993), pollution flow is assumed to increase with the stock of physical capital K and reduces with abatement activities A:

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \left[\frac{K_t}{A_t}\right]^{\gamma}, \qquad \gamma > 0 \tag{6}$$

Abatement activities use final output so the final market clearing condition is:

$$(1 - \theta)Y_t = C_t + \dot{K}_t + \xi A_t \tag{7}$$

with  $\xi > 0$ .

Public health  $\varepsilon_s$  at time s is increasing with the expenditures on health related to GDP <sup>5</sup> and decreasing with the net flow of pollution  $\mathcal{P}$ . So we note  $\varepsilon_s = \beta\theta/(\delta\mathcal{P}_s^{\psi})$ , where  $\beta > 0$  is the productivity of the health sector,  $\delta$  is a positive parameter and  $\psi$  captures the impact of pollution on public health "depreciation". <sup>6</sup> Since the lifetime of an agent born at time s depends

negatively on public health  $(\lambda_s = \varepsilon_s^{-1})$ , we have

$$\lambda_s = \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}^{\psi}}{\beta \theta} \equiv \lambda \tag{8}$$

Along the balanced growth path (BGP), the net flow of pollution must be constant because the environmental quality is constant. So public health and therefore the probability of death are constant and equal for all individuals.

## 4 Optimal growth and pollution along the BGP

In this section we investigate the influence of environment on the optimal growth in the long-run. From (7), it is straightforward that K, A, Y, C evolve at the same endogenous rate  $g^*$  than H the aggregate human capital, in the long run.

If we assume that all individuals allocate the same effort  $u_t$  to schooling, differentiating (4) with respect to time, and defining  $h_{t,t}$  the human capital of an agent born at the current time t, we obtain the expression of the aggregate accumulation of human capital:

$$\dot{H}_t = B \left[ 1 - u_t \right] H_t - \left[ \lambda H_t - \lambda h_{t,t} \right]$$

The last term into brackets in the RHS of the equation captures the fact that a part  $\lambda$  of the alive generations disappears at each date reducing growth by  $\lambda H$  and that a new cohort of size  $\lambda$  appears, adding  $\lambda h_{t,t}$  to growth. Actually  $h_{t,t}$  is the human capital inherited from the dying generation. We assume that it is a constant part of the aggregate level of human capital such that  $h_{t,t} = \eta H_t$  with  $\eta \in [0,1]$ . So aggregate human capital accumulation is given by

$$\dot{H}_t = B [1 - u_t] H_t - (1 - \eta) \lambda H_t$$
 (9)

where  $(1 - \eta)\lambda H_t$  is the loss of human capital due to the vanishing of dying generation net from the intergenerational transmission of human capital.

Except when  $\eta=1$  (complete intergenerational transmission of knowledge), this loss is always positive. So, the probability of death  $\lambda$ , which is also the inverse of the lifetime of agents, contributes to long-run aggregate growth. A higher probability of death means a higher frequency at which a cohort vanishes so a greater net loss. It reduces the human capital accumulation for a given effort of education u.

Because the probability of death is positively determined by the flow of pollution [equation (8)], environment influences negatively accumulation of human capital at the aggregate level although it has no impact on the ability to learn.

As shown by Calvo and Obstfeld (1988), the aggregate planning problem reduces to the Cass-Koopmans-Ramsey problem of optimal allocation over time with a single representative infinitely lived individual (see appendix A). That is:

$$\max_{C_t, u_t, A_t, K_t, H_t, \theta_t} \int_0^\infty U(C_t, \mathcal{P}) e^{-\rho t} dt$$
s.t.  $K_t = (1 - \theta) K_t^{\alpha} [u_t H_t]^{1 - \alpha} - C_t - \xi A_t$ 

$$\dot{H}_t = B[1 - u_t] H_t - \lambda (1 - \eta) H_t$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \left[ \frac{K_t}{A_t} \right]^{\gamma}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}^{\psi}}{\beta \theta},$$
(10)

with

$$U(C_t, \mathcal{P}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\left[C_t \mathcal{P}^{-\phi}\right]^{1-1/\sigma} - 1}{1 - 1/\sigma} & \sigma \neq 1, \\ \ln C_t - \phi \ln \mathcal{P} & \sigma = 1, \end{cases}$$
(11)

The resolution of this program gives the optimal allocation of human capital to production

$$u^* = \frac{\rho}{B} + (1 - \sigma) \frac{B - \Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*)(1 - \eta)}{B}, \quad \forall \sigma$$
 (12)

where  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is the value of the pollution flow in the long run and  $\Lambda(\cdot)$  is an increasing function (see appendix B).

The influence of pollution on  $u^*$  depends on the value of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution. Since  $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*)$  is positively influenced by the BGP level of pollution, when  $\sigma < 1$  pollution increases the investment in education  $(1-u^*)$ . Indeed, for low values of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution, the social planner wants to smooth her utility over time. If  $\mathcal{P}^*$  is higher, she anticipates a lower intertemporal utility, therefore she wants to increase her consumption in the future to compensate the detrimental effect of a higher  $\mathcal{P}^*$ . She increases her investment, especially her investment in human capital. The share of labor time devoted to production  $(u^*)$  decreases. When  $\sigma > 1$ , the social planner wants to compensate the current loss of utility due to more pollution by increasing her current consumption to the expense of savings and investment:  $u^*$  increases.

Finally, the optimal rate of growth along the BGP is given by

$$g^* = \sigma B - \rho - \sigma \Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*)(1 - \eta)$$

So, it is negatively influenced by the net flow of pollution whatever the value of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution of consumption.

## 5 Environmental care and long-run growth

Do greener preferences lead to a higher or a lower optimal growth in the longrun? Due to the complexity of the expressions of  $\mathcal{P}^*$  (see appendix B), we use numerical simulations to answer this question. We calibrate the model to obtain realistic values of the growth rate of GDP and the probability of death for the US economy. From the World Development Indicators 2004 by the World Bank, in the US economy, the death rate was 85 per 1000 in 2002 (so  $\lambda$  must be close to this value) and the growth rate was 3.3% during the period 1990-2002. Furthermore the part of health expenditures in GDP was 14% in 2002. So we adjust other variables to obtain such values for our benchmark case.

Table 1 summaries the benchmark parameters value and Table 2 summaries the exercise of comparative statics for log utility.

$\phi$	$\alpha$	ξ	$\eta$	δ	$\psi$	β	$\rho$	В	$\gamma$
0.01	1/3	0.001	0.75	0.16	1	0.1	0.05	0.11	0.5

Table 1. Benchmark parameters values

	Benchmark	$\phi = 0.005$	$\phi = 0.1$	B = 0.15	$\xi = 0.01$
$\overline{g}$	3.85%	3.84%	4.02%	8.00%	2.70%
${\mathcal P}$	0.1196	0.1206	0.1033	0.1051	0.2521
$\lambda$	0.0859	0.0863	0.0792	0.0799	0.1320
u	0.4545	0.4545	0.4545	1/3	0.4545
Y/K	0.6114	0.6072	0.6973	0.8382	1.0118
C/K	0.3668	0.3644	0.4178	0.4913	0.5184
H/K	1.0518	1.041	1.2809	2.3022	.2392
A/Y	0.1143	0.1132	0.1344	0.1081	0.1143
$\theta$	0.2227	0.2235	0.2088	0.2103	0.3056
W	15.82	15.58	20.62	32.45	11.08

Table 2. Numerical estimations for log utility along the BGP

The third and fourth columns of table 2 highlight that, environemental care influences the long-term growth rate, conversely to Vellinga (1999) who demonstrated that growth is not influenced by environment when pollution does not affect the ablity of individual to educate and preferences are additive. When  $\phi$  increases (fourth column), the weight of the net flow of pollution increases in utility. So the government decides to increase their abatement expenditures to the detriment of physical capital. This leads to a decrease in the net flow of pollution. So public health becomes higher and the probability of death reduces, increasing the aggregate human capital accumulation although the time allocated to education remains unchanged. The BGP growth rate rises, as well as the ratio H/K, Y/K, C/K.

The fifth column shows that an increase in the effectivness of education (B) incites the social planner to allocate more ressources to education:  $u^*$  drops. This leads to a decrease in the rate of returns to physical capital. So production becomes less capitalistic in terms of physical capital and pollution reduces. A lower level of pollution leads to a higher public health and so a lower probability of death. This contributes, with the increase in B, to a great rise of the long-term rate of growth. The sixth column emphasizes that a deterioration in the technology of abatement ( $\xi$  the part of output used to abatement increases) leads to higher pollution. This means a greater probability of death and so a lower long-term rate of growth. The crowding-out effect of abatement activities is higher.

Table 2 also reports the values of the social welfare with respect to changes in the parameters value.  $^{7}$  A higher environmental care leads to a greater social welfare due to the reduction in the net flow of pollution and the increase in the growth rate of output. In the same way, an education sector more efficient (B is higher) leads to a higher growth rate and a lower level of net pollution and so implies a greater social welfare (fifth column).

Using parameter values from Table 1, we also simulate the economy for different values of the intertemporal elasticity of substitution  $\sigma$ .

	Benchmark	$\phi = 0.005$	$\phi = 0.1$	B = 0.15	$\xi = 0.01$
$\overline{g}$	1.44%	1.43%	1.59%	4.51%	0.387%
${\cal P}$	0.1470	0.1485	0.1257	0.1370	0.3219
$\lambda$	0.0966	0.0971	0.0884	0.0928	0.1527
u	0.6497	0.6494	0.6543	0.5447	0.6178
Y/K	0.5238	0.5206	0.5872	0.7073	0.7621
C/K	0.3232	0.3211	0.3619	0.42	0.3921
H/K	0.5837	0.583	0.6878	1.0921	1.0768
A/Y	0.1121	0.1112	0.1291	0.2965	0.1431
W	5.60	5.39	9.38	14.17	1.73

Table 3. Numerical estimations for  $\sigma = 0.75$ 

	Benchmark	$\phi = 0.005$	$\phi = 0.1$	B = 0.15	$\xi = 0.01$
$\overline{g}$	7.32%	7.31%	7.48%	13.72%	6.62%
${\cal P}$	0.0645	.0649	0.0553	0.01400	0.1114
$\lambda$	0.0610	0.0613	0.0561	0.0271	0.0825
u	0.1961	0.1963	0.1928	0.0469	0.2108
Y/K	1.2111	1.1986	1.5064	17.154	3.4267
C/K	0.7075	0.7000	0.8822	10.5116	1.8297
H/K	6.7954	6.6836	9.5888	1515.93	30.03
A/Y	0.1864	0.1853	0.2071	0.2965	0.2309
$\overline{W}$	45.022	44.53	54.79	149.01	38.74

Table 4. Numerical estimations for  $\sigma = 1.3$ 

Whatever the value of  $\sigma$  our results remain valid: an increase in the environment care leads to a lower value of pollution while the rate of growth is higher, in the long-run. When  $\sigma < 1$ , a greater  $\phi$  leads to a higher allocation of human capital to production for the reasons explained before, while the long-term rate of growth increases.

We also report the values of the social welfare. It improves with greener preferences and a higher efficiency of schooling activities.

## 6 Market equilibrium and environmental policy

This section investigates the effect of a pollution tax on the growth rate of the decentralized equilibrium.

In this economy, there are two externalities. The first one comes from the detrimental effect of pollution on utility. The second one arises because public health is negatively influenced by pollution. In a decentralized economy, final producers do not internalize the negative impact of their pollution flow neither on utility nor on public health. So they may pollute too much with respect to the optimal equilibrium and there is a room for environmental policy.

Let's consider the decentralized economy. Households face the following budget constraint:

$$\dot{a}_{s,\iota} = [r_{\iota} + \lambda] a_{s,\iota} + u_{s,\iota} h_{s,\iota} w_{\iota} - c_{s,\iota} + T_{\iota}$$
(13)

where  $a_{s,\iota}$  is the financial wealth in period  $\iota$ ,  $\omega_{\iota}$  represents the wage rate per effective unit of human capital  $u_{s,\iota}h_{s,\iota}$ , and  $T_{\iota}$  denotes transfers from the public sector.

The representative agents choose the time path for  $c_{s,\iota}$  and his working time  $u_{s,\iota}$  by maximizing (1) subject to (3) and (13). It gives the consumption at time t of an agent born at time s:

$$c_{s,t} = \Delta \left[ a_{s,t} + \omega_{s,t} \right] \tag{14}$$

where  $\omega_{s,t} \equiv \int_t^{\infty} \left[ u_{s,\iota} h_{s,\iota} w_{\iota} \right] e^{\int_t^{\iota} \left[ r_{\zeta} + \lambda \right] d\zeta} d\iota$  is the present value of lifetime earning and  $\Delta_t \equiv (1 - \sigma) r_t + \sigma \rho + \lambda$ . <sup>8</sup> It also gives:

$$\frac{\dot{w}_t}{w_t} + B - \lambda = r_t \tag{15}$$

The rate of returns on human capital (left-hand side) is equal to the rate of returns of physical capital, the interest rate (right-hand side). When the probability of death diminishes, the rate of returns to education increases, because the life span of agents increases.

The aggregate consumption equals

$$C_t = \int_{-\infty}^t c_{s,t} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds = \Delta_t \left[ K_t + \Omega_t \right]$$
 (16)

with  $\Omega_t \equiv \int_{-\infty}^t [\omega_{s,t}] \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds$ , and the aggregate stock of physical capital is defined by

$$K_t = \int_{-\infty}^{t} a_{s,t} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds \tag{17}$$

The government implements an environmental policy which consists in taxing the net flow of pollution by firms and transfering the fruit of the taxes to households in a lump-sum fashion. <sup>9</sup>

In the decentralized economy, firms pay a pollution tax on their net pollution  $\mathcal{P}_t$  and they choose their abatement activities  $A_t$  (whose cost equals  $\xi A_t$ ) and the amount of factors which maximize their profits  $\pi_t = Y_t - r_t K_t - w_t H_{y,t} - \vartheta_t \mathcal{P}_t - \xi A_t$  where  $\vartheta_t$  is the pollution tax rate. So they pay each production factor at its marginal productivity:

$$r_t = \alpha \frac{Y_t}{K_t} - \vartheta_t \gamma \frac{\mathcal{P}_t}{K_t} \tag{18}$$

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha) \frac{Y_t}{H_{u,t}} \tag{19}$$

$$\xi A_t = \vartheta_t \gamma \mathcal{P}_t \tag{20}$$

The pollution tax increases over time to incite firms to increase abatement activities to limit pollution which rises with the physical capital stock.

From equations (6) and (20), we have  $\mathcal{P}_t = \left[\chi \frac{\vartheta_t}{K_t}\right]^{-\gamma/(1+\gamma)}$  with  $\chi \equiv \gamma/\xi$ , and therefore the probability of death is given by:

$$\lambda = \frac{\delta \left[ \chi \tau \right]^{\frac{-\gamma \psi}{1+\gamma}}}{\beta \theta} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\underline{\tau}) \tag{21}$$

with  $\tau \equiv \vartheta_t/K_t$  is the environmental tax normalized by the physical capital, constant along the balanced growth path. Following Oueslati (2002), we assume that  $\tau$  is fixed by the government and therefore has no a transitional dynamics. So  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\lambda$  are independent of time.

Differentiating (16) with respect to time and using the expression of  $dK_t/dt$  and  $d\Omega_t/dt$  gives:

$$\dot{C}_t = \sigma \left[ r_t - \rho \right] C_t - \mathcal{L}(\tau) \Delta_t K_t \tag{22}$$

Finally, using (15) and (19), we obtain:

$$\dot{u}_t/u_t = \dot{K}_t/K_t - \dot{H}_t/H_t - \alpha^{-1} [r_t + \mathcal{L}(\tau) - B]$$
 (23)

Using previous results, we can write the dynamics of the model as:

$$\dot{x}/x = \left[\alpha\sigma - 1\right] (bu)^{1-\alpha} - \rho - \mathcal{L}(\tau) \left[ (1-\sigma)\alpha(bu)^{1-\alpha} + \sigma\rho + \mathcal{L}(\tau) \right] x^{-1} + x$$

$$\dot{b}/b = B \left[ 1 - u \right] - (1-\eta)\mathcal{L}(\tau) - (bu)^{1-\alpha} + x + \xi \left[ \chi\tau \right]^{1/(1+\gamma)}$$

$$\dot{u}/u = \alpha^{-1} \left[ B - \mathcal{L}(\tau) \right] - (bu)^{1-\alpha} + \alpha^{-1}\xi \left[ \chi\tau \right]^{1/(1+\gamma)} - \dot{b}/b$$
with 
$$\mathcal{L}(\tau) \equiv \frac{\delta}{\beta\theta} \left[ \chi\tau \right]^{\frac{-\gamma\psi}{1+\gamma}}$$
(24)

Along the balanced growth path, C, K, H and Y evolve at the same rate and the allocation of human capital across sectors are constant:  $\dot{x} = \dot{b} = \dot{u} = 0$ . So, from the last equation of system (24):

$$\alpha \left( b_c^{\star} u_c^{\star} \right)^{1-\alpha} - \xi \left[ \chi \tau \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}} = B - \mathcal{L}(\tau),$$

where  $b_c^*$  and  $u_c^*$  are respectively the BGP value of u and b in the decentralized economy. The private returns to physical capital accumulation equals the private returns to education.

Substracting the first and the second equation of (24) evaluated to the BGP gives the expression of x. Equalizing to the expression of x given by the second equation of (24) evaluated to the BGP enables to express the implicit value of  $u_c^*$ :

$$Bu_c^{\star} + \left[\alpha^{-1} - 1\right]B - \mathcal{L}(\tau)\left[\alpha^{-1} + \eta - 1\right] + \left(\alpha^{-1} - 1\right)\xi\left[\chi\tau\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}}$$

 $\mathcal{L}(\tau) \frac{\sigma \rho + \sigma \mathcal{L}(\tau) + (1 - \sigma)(B + \xi \left[\chi \tau\right]^{\frac{1}{1 + \gamma}})}{B(\sigma - 1 + u_c^{\star}) + (1 - \sigma - \eta)\mathcal{L}(\tau) - \rho + (\sigma - 1)\xi \left[\chi \tau\right]^{\frac{1}{1 + \gamma}}}$ 

In both cases, the left-hand side is a positive increasing function of  $u_c^*$  and the right-hand side is a positive decreasing function of  $u_c^*$ . So there exists a unique value for  $u_c^*$  along the BGP. The LHS is an increasing function of  $\tau$  while the RHS is a decreasing function of  $\tau$  when  $\sigma \geq 1$ . So, for  $\sigma \geq 1$ ,  $u_c^*$  decreases with  $\tau$ . <sup>10</sup>

Finally, the growth of the decentralized economy along the BGP is:

$$g_c^{\star} = B \left[ 1 - u_c^{\star}(\tau) \right] - (1 - \eta) \left[ \chi \tau \right]^{\frac{-\gamma \psi}{1 + \gamma}}.$$

It clearly appears that the BGP rate of growth in the decentralized economy increases with  $\tau$  when  $\sigma \geq 1$ : environmental policy has a positive impact because it reduces pollution, so it increases health and the returns education, and therefore it fosters human capital accumulation. It means that it is possible to implement a win-win environmental policy in our framework.

## 7 Concluding remarks

The purpose of this article was to investigate the link between environment and growth focusing on the impact of pollution on health. Conversely to some previous works, we did not assume that the effect of environment on health leads to a direct impact of pollution on education. Rather, we argued – and we demonstrated – that the detrimental influence of pollution on life expectancy is, by itself, a channel of transmission between environment, health and optimal growth in the long-run.

We used an overlapping generations model à la Blanchard (1985) assuming that the probability of death depends negatively of public health and that public health is influenced negatively by pollution and positively by public health expenditures. We demonstrated that pollution reduces the optimal rate of growth while individual accumulation of human capital is not influenced by environment. Deteriorating public health, pollution reduces the probability of death in the economy, even if the social planner increases health expenditures in response to the lower quality of environment. Therefore the replacement of generations becomes more frequent and the loss of knowledge due to this replacement grows, reducing the aggregate human capital accumulation and the growth rate of the economy.

Conversely to Vellinga (1999), we also demonstrated that greener preferences affect the optimal rate of growth in the long-term, although individual accumulation of human capital is independent of environment and preferences are separable. Furthermore we showed that the time devoted to education is influenced by the level of pollution when the intertemporal elasticity of substitution of the consumption is not unity. For an elasticity lower than one, greener preferences lead to less investment in education but to a higher growth rate. We also established that in all cases, greener preferences are growth- and welfare-improving, because it leads to a lower level of pollution, that is a lower probability of death which limits the replacement of generations and therefore fosters growth. Finally, by studying the equilibrium of the decentralized economy, we demonstrated that it is possible in our framework to implement a win-win environmental policy.

The simplicity of our framework calls for further theoretical investigations especially to enrich the function of public health. It also offers another tools for public authorities to curve pollution and its detrimental effects on growth. Tools which must be studied more precisely. This could give some directions for further research.

## Notes

- 1. See López-Casanovas et al. (2005) for theoretical analysis and policy implications. See Bloom and Canning (2005) and references herein for empirical evidences.
- 2. Existing studies on the causality between health and education only examinate the effect of child health on schooling performances in special cases: structural health problems like diseases or poor nutrition in developing countries (Mayer-Foulkes (2005)), obsestiy and depression in developed countries (see references in Ding et al. (2005)).
- 3. See Koop and Tole (2004) for a discussion about the statistical problems to evaluate the impact of air pollution on mortality rate.

- 4. Furthermore, we do not assume that health positively influences the individual productivity of schooling, even if it sounds logical. The reason is that, as shown in the following (section 3), health depends on the level of pollution and one of the purpose of the paper is to demonstrate that pollution affects growth even if it does not influence directly or indirectly individual human capital accumulation. Assuming that B depends on health would be similar to the assumption made by Gradus and Smulders (1993) and would not give more insights.
- 5. We follow Aisa and Pueyo (2004) and Currais and Rivera (1999).
- 6. The intuition is that the temporal evolution of public health at time s is described by:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_s = \beta \frac{G_s}{Y_s} - \delta \mathcal{P}_s^{\psi} \varepsilon_s,$$

where  $\delta \mathcal{P}_s^{\psi}$  is the depreciation rate of public health. In the long-run, public health is constant, so  $\dot{\varepsilon}=0$  and we obtain  $\varepsilon=\frac{\beta\theta}{\delta\mathcal{P}^{\psi}}$ .

7. Social welfare is computed using the fact that the economy begins along the BGP. So  $C_t = C_0 e^{g^* t}$  with  $C_0 = 1$ :

$$W = \int_0^\infty \ln[e^{g^*t} \mathcal{P}^{*-\phi}] e^{-\rho t} dt = \frac{g^*/\rho - \phi \ln \mathcal{P}^*}{\rho}, \qquad \sigma = 1,$$

$$W = \int_0^\infty \frac{[e^{g^*t} \mathcal{P}^{-\phi}]^{1-1/\sigma} - 1}{1 - 1/\sigma} e^{-\rho t} dt = \frac{\mathcal{P}^{*-\phi(1-1/\sigma)} \left[\rho - (1 - 1/\sigma)g^*\right]^{-1} - 1/\rho}{1 - 1/\sigma}, \qquad \sigma \neq 1$$

- 8. See Blanchard (1985) for a demonstration.
- 9. We assume that the government fixes exogenously  $\theta$ . Assuming that it chooses the optimal level of  $\theta$  given by the equation (B.16, appendix B) does not modify the qualitative results.
- 10. For  $\sigma < 1$ , the impact of  $\tau$  on  $u_c^*$  is not clear-cut. So we do not investigate this case.

## APPENDIX

In this appendix we derive the program (10). In a first time, we follow Calvo and Obstfeld (1988) who demonstrate that the aggregate planning problem

reduces to the Cass-Koopmans-Ramsey problem of optimal allocation over time with single representative infinitely-lived individual. After, we write the Hamiltonian of the program and we derive the BGP equilibrium of the centralized economy.

## A The objective of the social planner

The social welfare function, at time t=0 is the sum of two components. The first captures the expected utilities of agents from each of the generation to be born, measured from the moment of birth. The second captures expected utilities of agents from each of those generations currently alive, over the remainder of their lifetimes, measured from the time t=0. The planner discount rate is equal to the pure time-preference  $\rho$  to avoid problems of time-consistency (see Calvo and Obstfeld (1988) for more details). So welfare at t=0 is

$$W_0 = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_s^\infty \nu[c_{s,t}] \lambda e^{-(\rho+\lambda)(t-s)} dt \right\} e^{-\rho s} ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 \left\{ \int_0^\infty \nu[c_{s,t}] e^{-\rho t} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dt \right\} ds \quad (A.1)$$

Note that the second term in the RHS is discounted by the planner at time 0, so it is written as  $\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \nu[c_{s,t}] e^{-\rho(t-0)} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dt \right\} e^{-\rho 0} ds$ .

Changing variables from vintage s to age n = t - s, we can rewrite  $W_0$ 

$$W_0 = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_0^\infty \nu[c_{t-n,t}] \lambda e^{-\lambda n} dn \right\} e^{-\rho t} dt \tag{A.2}$$

Note that to keep things simple, we assumed that the centralized economy begins at the BGP equilibrium. It enables to have  $\lambda$  independent to n (see section 3).

The social planner maximizes (A.2) subjects to the constraint (6), (7), (8) and (9) with  $C_t = \int_0^\infty c_{t-n,t} \lambda e^{-\lambda n} dn$ . This problem may be decomposed

in two stages. First the planner solves a static problem: given a level of aggregate consumption  $C_t$ , he allocates this level of consumption across individual to maximize the time-t instantaneous utility flow  $\int_0^\infty \nu[c_{t-n,t}] \lambda e^{-\lambda n} dn$ . Second, he solves a dynamic problem, choosing the aggregate consumption path  $\{C_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$  that maximizes (A.2) subject to (6), (7), (8) and (9).

If we define the indirect utility function:

$$V[C_t] = \max_{c_{t-n,t}} \int_0^\infty \nu[c_{t-n,t}] \lambda e^{-\lambda n} dn$$

subject to  $\int_0^\infty c_{t-n,t} \lambda e^{-\lambda n} dn \leq C_t$ , the planning problem becomes

$$\max W_0 = \int_0^\infty V[C_t] e^{-\rho t} dt$$

subject to (6), (7), (8) and (9).

Calvo and Obstfeld (1988) demonstrate that

$$V'[C_t] = \nu'[c_{t-n,t}] \tag{A.3}$$

at the optimum and that the necessary conditions for a static optimum are

$$\nu'[c_{t-n,t}] = \Pi_t \tag{A.4}$$

for all  $n \in [0, \infty)$  with  $\Pi_t$  is a Lagrange multiplier. These conditions enable to find  $V[C_t]$  knowing  $\nu[c_{t-n,t}]$ .

Let suppose that  $\nu[c_{t-n,t}] \equiv \ln c_{t-n,t} - \phi \ln \mathcal{P}$ . From (A.3),  $V[C_t] = \Omega \left[ \ln C_t - \phi \ln \mathcal{P} \right]$  with  $1/c_{t-n,t} = \Omega/C_t$ . (A.4) means that  $c_{t-n,t} = c_t$  whatever n and so  $C_t = \int_0^\infty c_{t-n,t} \lambda e^{-\lambda n} dn = c_t$ . So  $\Omega = C_t/c_t = 1$  and  $V[C_t] \equiv [\ln C_t - \phi \ln \mathcal{P}]$ . When  $\nu[c_{t-n,t}] \equiv \frac{1}{1-1/\sigma} [c_{t-n,t}\mathcal{P}^{-\phi}]^{1-1/\sigma}$ , with the same rational, we have  $V[C_t] = \frac{1}{1-1/\sigma} [C_t \mathcal{P}^{-\phi}]^{1-1/\sigma}$ .

# B DERIVATION OF THE BGP EQUILIBRIUM IN THE CENTRALIZED ECONOMY

The Hamiltonian of the program (10), with  $\sigma \neq 1$  is:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{1 - 1/\sigma} \left\{ \left[ C \left( \frac{K}{A} \right)^{-\gamma \phi} \right]^{1 - 1/\sigma} - 1 \right\} + \pi_1 \left\{ (1 - \theta) K^{\alpha} [uH]^{1 - \alpha} - C - \xi A \right\}$$
$$+ \pi_2 \left\{ B [1 - u] H - \frac{\delta [K/A]^{\gamma \psi}}{\beta \theta} (1 - \eta) H \right\} \quad (B.1)$$

The first order conditions give:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial C} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad C^{-1/\sigma} \left(\frac{K}{A}\right)^{-\gamma\phi(1-1/\sigma)} = \pi_1$$
 (B.2)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial u} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \pi_1(1 - \theta)(1 - \alpha)K^{\alpha}(uH)^{-\alpha} = \pi_2 B \qquad (B.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial A} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\phi \gamma}{A} \left[ \frac{K}{A} \right]^{-\gamma \phi (1 - 1/\sigma)} C^{1 - 1/\sigma} - \xi \pi_1 + \pi_2 \lambda_A' (1 - \eta) H = 0 \tag{B.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \theta} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \pi_1 K^{\alpha} (uH)^{1-\alpha} - \pi_2 \frac{\lambda}{\theta} (1 - \eta) H = 0 \quad (B.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial K} = -\dot{\pi}_1 + \rho \pi_1 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{-\phi \gamma}{K} \left[ \frac{K}{A} \right]^{-\gamma \phi (1 - 1/\sigma)} C^{1 - 1/\sigma}$$

$$+ \pi_1 \alpha (1 - \theta) K^{\alpha - 1} (uH)^{\alpha - 1}$$

$$- \pi_2 \lambda_K' (1 - \eta) H = -\dot{\pi}_1 + \rho \pi_1 \quad (B.6)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial H} = -\dot{\pi}_2 + \rho \pi_2 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \pi_1 (1 - \alpha)(1 - \theta) K^{\alpha} (uH)^{-\alpha} u + \pi_2 [B(1 - u) - \lambda(1 - \eta)] = -\dot{\pi}_2 + \rho \pi_2 \tag{B.7}$$

with  $\lambda \equiv \frac{\delta[K/A]^{\gamma\psi}}{\beta\theta}$ ,  $\lambda'_A = -\gamma\psi\frac{\lambda}{A}$  and  $\lambda'_K = \gamma\psi\frac{\lambda}{K}$ .

Using (B.3) and (B.7) gives:

$$\frac{\dot{\pi}_2}{\pi_2} = \rho - B + \lambda (1 - \eta)$$
 (B.8)

Extracting  $-\phi\gamma$  in (B.4) and introducing it in (B.6), using (B.3) and simplifying, we obtain:

$$\frac{\dot{\pi_1}}{\pi_1} = \rho + \xi \left(\frac{A}{K}\right) - \alpha (1 - \theta) K^{\alpha - 1} (uH)^{1 - \alpha}$$
(B.9)

We define  $b \equiv H/K$ ,  $x \equiv C/K$ , and we use  $\mathcal{P} = [K/A]^{\gamma}$ . All these variables are constant along the BGP since H, K, A, C and Y evolves at the same growth rate (see equation 7). So we can express the dynamics of the economy by expressing the inter temporal evolution of these variables. It gives:

$$\frac{\dot{x}}{x} = (\sigma\alpha - 1)(1 - \theta)(bu)^{1-\alpha} - \sigma\rho + x + (1 - \sigma)\xi\mathcal{P}^{\star - 1/\gamma}$$
 (B.10)

$$\frac{\dot{b}}{b} = B(1 - u) - \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}^{\psi}}{\beta \theta} (1 - \eta) - (1 - \theta)(bu)^{1 - \alpha} + x + \xi \mathcal{P}^{-1/\gamma}$$
 (B.11)

Differentiating (B.3) with respect to time, it comes:

$$\frac{\dot{u}}{u} = \alpha^{-1} \left( \frac{\dot{\pi}_1}{\pi_1} - \frac{\dot{\pi}_2}{\pi_2} \right) - \frac{\dot{b}}{b}$$
 (B.12)

Along the BGP  $\dot{u} = \dot{b} = \dot{x} = 0$ . Therefore, (B.9), (B.8) and (B.12) give

$$\alpha(1-\theta)(b^*u^*)^{1-\alpha} = B - \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}^{*\psi}}{\beta \theta}(1-\eta) + \xi \mathcal{P}^{*-1/\gamma}$$
 (B.13)

that is the returns to the accumulation of physical capital equals the returns to accumulation of human capital. Subtracting (B.10), (B.11) and (B.12) estimated to the BGP, we obtain the value of the allocation of human capital to production in the long-run

$$u^* = \frac{\rho}{B} + (1 - \sigma) \frac{B - \lambda(1 - \eta)}{B}.$$
 (B.14)

Using (B.10) evaluated along the BGP gives

$$x^* = \frac{1 - \alpha \sigma}{\alpha} \left[ B + \xi \mathcal{P}^{*-1/\gamma} - \Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*)(1 - \eta) \right] + \sigma \rho - (1 - \sigma)\xi \mathcal{P}^{*-1/\gamma}$$
 (B.15)

Using (B.3), (B.5) and (B.14), we obtain a relation between  $\theta^*$  the part of health care expenditures and the net pollution flow along the BGP  $\mathcal{P}^*$ :

$$\frac{\theta^{\star 2}}{(1 - \theta^{\star})} = \Omega \mathcal{P}^{\star \psi}$$

with  $\Omega \equiv \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\eta)\delta}{\beta\rho}$ . So, the higher is the net flow of pollution in the longrun, the higher is the part of the public health care expenditures in GDP. Solving this relation gives

$$\theta^* = \frac{-\Omega \mathcal{P}^{*\psi} + \sqrt{\Omega^2 \mathcal{P}^{*2\psi} + 4\Omega \mathcal{P}^{*\psi}}}{2}$$
 (B.16)

So, the proba of death along the BGP depends positively on the net flow of pollution:

$$\lambda^{\star} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}^{\star \psi}}{\beta \theta^{\star}} = \frac{2\delta}{\beta \left[ -\Omega + \sqrt{\Omega^2 + 4\Omega/\mathcal{P}^{\star \psi}} \right]} \equiv \Lambda(\mathcal{P}_{+}^{\star})$$

Note that  $\theta^*$  is always lower than unity and that  $\lim_{\mathcal{P}\to 0} \Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\mathcal{P}^*\to +\infty} \Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*) = +\infty$ .

Finally, using the value of  $u^*$  we see that the expression of the growth rate along the BGP depends negatively on the long-run flow of pollution:

$$g^* = \sigma B - \rho - \sigma \Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*)(1 - \eta)$$

Using (B.3), (B.2) and (B.4), we can define  $\mathcal{P}^*$  as

$$\gamma \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right) \left[ \phi + \frac{(1 - \eta)\Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*)}{\rho} \right] \left[ B + \mathcal{P}^{*-1/\gamma} - (1 - \eta)\Lambda(\mathcal{P}^*) \right] + \gamma \phi \rho - \xi \mathcal{P}^{*-1/\gamma} = 0$$
(B.17)

#### References

Aisa, R. and F. Pueyo (2004). Endogenous longevity, health and economic growth: a slow growth for a longer life? *Economics Bulletin* 9(3), 1–10.

- Bell, M. and D. Davis (2001). Reassessment of the lethal london fog 1952: novel indicators of acute and chronic consequences of acute exposure to air pollution. *Environmental Health Perspective 109*, 389–394.
- Blanchard, O. (1985). Debt, deficits and finite horizon. *Journal of Political Economy* 93, 223–247.
- Bloom, D. and D. Canning (2005, February). Health and economic growth: Micro and macro evidence. working papers 42, CDDRL, Stanford IIS.
- Brunekreef, B. and S. T. Holgate (2002, October, 19). Air pollution and health: review. *The Lancet 360*, 1233–1242.
- Calvo, G. and M. Obstfeld (1988). Optimal time-consistent fiscal policy with finite lifetimes. *Econometrica* 56(2), 411–432.
- Chay, K. and M. Greenstone (2003). The impact of air pollution on infant mortality: evidence from geographic variation in pollution shocks induced by a recession. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1121–1167.
- Currais, L. and B. Rivera (1999). Income variation and health: Direct impact or reverse causation. *Applied Economics Letters* 6, 761–764.
- Daniels, M. and al. (2000). Estimating particulate matter-mortality doseresponse curves and threshold levels: an analysis of daily time series for the largest 20 us cities. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 152, 397–406.
- Davis, D. (2002). When smoke ran like water. Basic Books.
- Ding, W., F. Lehrer, J. Rosenquist, and J. Audrain-McGovern (2005, july).
  The impact of health on academic performance: New evidence using genetic markers. Technical report, University of Pennsylvania.

- Dominici, F., M. Daniels, S. Zeger, and J. Samet (2002). Air pollution and mortality: estimating regional and national dose-response relationships.

  Journal of the American Statistical Association 97(457), 100–135.
- Dominici, F., J. Samet, and S. Zeger (2000). Combining evidence on air pollution and daily mortality from the 20 largest us cities: a hierarchical modelling strategy. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: series A* 163(3), 263–302.
- Evans, M. F. and V. Smith (2005). Do new health conditions support mortility-air pollution effects? *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 50, 496–518.
- Gradus, R. and S. Smulders (1993). The trade-off between environmental care and long-term growth-pollution in three prototype growth models.

  Journal of Economics 58(1), 25–51.
- Grossman, M. and R. Kaestner (1997). Effects of education on health. In J. Behrman and N. Stacey (Eds.), *The social benefits of education*. University of Michigan Press.
- Koop, G. and L. Tole (2004). Measuring the health effects of air pollution: To what extent can we really say that people are dying from bad air? *Journal of Environment Economics and Management* 47(1), 30–54.
- Kunzli, N. and al. (2000, September 2). Public-health impact of outdoor and traffic-related air pollution: a european assessment. The Lancet 356, 795–801.
- López-Casanovas, G., B. Rivera, and L. Currais (2005). *Health and economic growth: Findings and policy implications*. MIT Press.

- Lucas, R. (1988). On the mechanisms of economic development. Journal of Monetary Economics 22, 3–42.
- Mayer-Foulkes, D. (2005). Human development traps and economic growth. In G. López-Casanovas, B. Rivera, and L. Currais (Eds.), *Health and economic growth: Findings and policy implications*. MIT Press.
- Oueslati, W. (2002). Environmental policy in an endogenous growth model with human capital and endogenous labor supply. *Economic Modelling* 19, 487–507.
- Peng, C. and al. (2002). Urban air quality and health in china. Urban Studies 39(12), 2283-2299.
- Pope, C. and al. (2002). Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and longterm exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *The Journal of american* medical association 287, 1132–1141.
- Reshetin, V. and V. Kazazyan (2004). Public-health impact of outdoor air pollution in russia. *Environmental Modelling and Assessment 9*, 43–50.
- van Ewijk, C. and S. van Wijnbergen (1995). Can abatement overcome the conflict between environment and economic growth? *De Economist* 143(2), 197–216.
- Vellinga, N. (1999). Multiplicative utility and the influence of environmental care on the short-term economic growth rate. *Economic Modelling* 16(3), 307–330.
- Vellinga, N. and C. Withagen (2001). Endogenous growth and environmental policy. *Growth and Change 32*, 92–109.

## NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

## Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

## Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html http://www.repec.org http://agecon.lib.umn.edu

## NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2006

		A ALDEDDILD
SIEV	1.2006	Anna ALBERINI: Determinants and Effects on Property Values of Participation in Voluntary Cleanup Programs:
		The Case of Colorado  Well-with a POSETTI Court CARRADO and Manufactor CALEOTTI. Stabilization Transactor Traducial Changes and the
CCMP	2.2006	Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Stabilisation Targets, Technical Change and the
CCMP	3.2006	Macroeconomic Costs of Climate Change Control  Roberto ROSON: Introducing Imperfect Competition in CGE Models: Technical Aspects and Implications
KTHC	4.2006	Sergio VERGALLI: The Role of Community in Migration Dynamics
KIIIC		Fabio GRAZI, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Piet RIETVELD: Modeling Spatial Sustainability: Spatial
SIEV	5.2006	Welfare Economics versus Ecological Footprint
		Olivier DESCHENES and Michael GREENSTONE: The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from
CCMP	6.2006	Agricultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather
PRCG	7.2006	Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESE: Firm Regulation and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach
SIEV	8.2006	Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs
CTN	9.2006	Jon X. EGUIA: United We Vote
CTN	10.2006	Shao CHIN SUNG and Dinko DIMITRO: A Taxonomy of Myopic Stability Concepts for Hedonic Games
NRM	11.2006	Fabio CERINA (Ixxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis
NDM	12.2006	Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism
NRM	12.2006	Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm
CCMP	13.2006	Jens HORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation - New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources
KTHC	14.2006	Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy
KTHC	15.2006	Fabio SABATINI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective
CSRM	16.2006	Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence
CCMP	17.2006	Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in
		the Presence of Other Environmental Policies
IEM	18.2006	Philippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards
CTN	19.2006	Somdeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems
CCMP	20.2006	Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental
CIEM	21 2004	Innovations  N. H. H. G. H.
SIEV	21.2006	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply  Mayric GALFOTTI Matter MANERA and Alexaged to LANZA: On the Polyutness of Robustness Cheeks of the
CCMP	21.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the
CCMP	22.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve
CCMP NRM	22.2006 23.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?
CCMP	22.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource
CCMP NRM NRM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction
CCMP NRM	22.2006 23.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic
CCMP NRM NRM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective
CCMP NRM NRM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 29.2006 30.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 29.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy Andrea GALLICE (lxxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC ETA	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 29.2006 30.2006 31.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve  Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?  Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction  Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective  Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland  Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach  Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions  Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries  Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy  Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret  Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 29.2006 30.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve  Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?  Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction  Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective  Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland  Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach  Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions  Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries  Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy  Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret  Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC ETA	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 30.2006 31.2006 32.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve  Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?  Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction  Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective  Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland  Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach  Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions  Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries  Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy  Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret  Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy  Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC ETA IEM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 29.2006 30.2006 31.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC ETA IEM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 30.2006 31.2006 32.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC ETA IEM NRM CTN	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 30.2006 31.2006 32.2006 33.2006 34.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual Discussion
CCMP NRM NRM SIEV SIEV KTHC CCMP IEM KTHC ETA IEM NRM	22.2006 23.2006 24.2006 25.2006 26.2006 27.2006 28.2006 30.2006 31.2006 32.2006 33.2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International

F/F/1	27.2004	W. G.
ETA	37.2006	Maria SALGADO (lxxix): Choosing to Have Less Choice Justina A.V. FISCHER and Benno TORGLER: Does Envy Destroy Social Fundamentals? The Impact of Relative
ETA	38.2006	Income Position on Social Capital
ETA	39.2006	Benno TORGLER, Sascha L. SCHMIDT and Bruno S. FREY: Relative Income Position and Performance: An
		Empirical Panel Analysis  Alberto GAGO, Xavier LABANDEIRA, Fidel PICOS And Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: Taxing Tourism In Spain:
CCMP	40.2006	Results and Recommendations
IEM	41.2006	Karl van BIERVLIET, Dirk Le ROY and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: An Accidental Oil Spill Along the Belgian
CCMP	42.2006	Coast: Results from a CV Study Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Endogenous Technology and Tradable Emission Quotas
KTHC	43.2006	Giulio CAINELLI and Donato IACOBUCCI: The Role of Agglomeration and Technology in Shaping Firm
KIIIC	43.2000	Strategy and Organization  Aligne CALZADULA Francesco PAULI and Roberts POSON Climete Change and Entering Events. An
CCMP	44.2006	Alvaro CALZADILLA, Francesco PAULI and Roberto ROSON: Climate Change and Extreme Events: An Assessment of Economic Implications
SIEV	45.2006	M.E. KRAGT, P.C. ROEBELING and A. RUIJS: Effects of Great Barrier Reef Degradation on Recreational Demand: A Contingent Behaviour Approach
NRM	46.2006	C. GIUPPONI, R. CAMERA, A. FASSIO, A. LASUT, J. MYSIAK and A. SGOBBI: Network Analysis, Creative
1,14,1	.0.2000	System Modelling and DecisionSupport: <i>The NetSyMoD Approach</i> Walter F. LALICH (lxxx): Measurement and Spatial Effects of the Immigrant Created Cultural Diversity in
KTHC	47.2006	Sydney
KTHC	48.2006	Elena PASPALANOVA (lxxx): Cultural Diversity Determining the Memory of a Controversial Social Event
KTHC	49.2006	Ugo GASPARINO, Barbara DEL CORPO and Dino PINELLI (lxxx): Perceived Diversity of Complex Environmental Systems: Multidimensional Measurement and Synthetic Indicators
KTHC	50.2006	Aleksandra HAUKE (lxxx): Impact of Cultural Differences on Knowledge Transfer in British, Hungarian and Polish Enterprises
KTHC	51.2006	Katherine MARQUAND FORSYTH and Vanja M. K. STENIUS (lxxx): The Challenges of Data Comparison and Varied European Concepts of Diversity
KTHC	52.2006	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxxx): Rethinking the Gains from Immigration: Theory and Evidence from the U.S.
KTHC KTHC	53.2006 54.2006	Monica BARNI (lxxx): From Statistical to Geolinguistic Data: Mapping and Measuring Linguistic Diversity Lucia TAJOLI and Lucia DE BENEDICTIS (lxxx): Economic Integration and Similarity in Trade Structures
		Suzanna CHAN (lxxx): "God's Little Acre" and "Belfast Chinatown": Diversity and Ethnic Place Identity in
KTHC	55.2006	<u>Belfast</u>
KTHC	56.2006	Diana PETKOVA (lxxx): Cultural Diversity in People's Attitudes and Perceptions
KTHC	57.2006	John J. BETANCUR (lxxx): <u>From Outsiders to On-Paper Equals to Cultural Curiosities? The Trajectory of Diversity in the USA</u>
KTHC	58.2006	Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxxx): Cultural Diversity A Glimpse Over the Current Debate in Sweden
KTHC	59.2006	Emilio GREGORI (lxxx): Indicators of Migrants' Socio-Professional Integration
KTHC	60.2006	Christa-Maria LERM HAYES (lxxx): Unity in Diversity Through Art? Joseph Beuys' Models of Cultural Dialogue
KTHC	61.2006	Sara VERTOMMEN and Albert MARTENS (lxxx): Ethnic Minorities Rewarded: Ethnostratification on the Wage Market in Belgium
KTHC	62.2006	Nicola GENOVESE and Maria Grazia LA SPADA (lxxx): <u>Diversity and Pluralism: An Economist's View</u>
KTHC	63.2006	Carla BAGNA (lxxx): <u>Italian Schools and New Linguistic Minorities</u> : <u>Nationality Vs. Plurilingualism</u> . Which
KTHC	64.2006	Ways and Methodologies for Mapping these Contexts?  Vedran OMANOVIĆ (lxxx): Understanding "Diversity in Organizations" Paradigmatically and Methodologically
KTHC	65.2006	Mila PASPALANOVA (lxxx): Identifying and Assessing the Development of Populations of Undocumented
KTHC	66.2006	Migrants: The Case of Undocumented Poles and Bulgarians in Brussels  Roberto ALZETTA (lxxx): Diversities in Diversity: Exploring Moroccan Migrants' Livelihood in Genoa
		Monika SEDENKOVA and Jiri HORAK (lxxx): Multivariate and Multicriteria Evaluation of Labour Market
KTHC	67.2006	Situation
KTHC	68.2006	Dirk JACOBS and Andrea REA (lxxx): Construction and Import of Ethnic Categorisations: "Allochthones" in The Netherlands and Belgium
KTHC	69.2006	Eric M. USLANER (lxxx): Does Diversity Drive Down Trust?
KTHC	70.2006	Paula MOTA SANTOS and João BORGES DE SOUSA (lxxx): Visibility & Invisibility of Communities in Urban Systems
ETA	71.2006	Rinaldo BRAU and Matteo LIPPI BRUNI: Eliciting the Demand for Long Term Care Coverage: A Discrete
CTN	72.2006	Choice Modelling Analysis Dinko DIMITROV and Claus-JOCHEN HAAKE: Coalition Formation in Simple Games: The Semistrict Core
CTN	73.2006	Ottorino CHILLEM, Benedetto GUI and Lorenzo ROCCO: On The Economic Value of Repeated Interactions
CTN	74.2006	<u>Under Adverse Selection</u> Sylvain BEAL and Nicolas QUÉROU: Bounded Rationality and Repeated Network Formation
CTN	75.2006	Sophie BADE, Guillaume HAERINGER and Ludovic RENOU: Bilateral Commitment
CTN	76.2006	Andranik TANGIAN: Evaluation of Parties and Coalitions After Parliamentary Elections
CTN	77.2006	Rudolf BERGHAMMER, Agnieszka RUSINOWSKA and Harrie de SWART: Applications of Relations and
CTN	78.2006	Graphs to Coalition Formation Paolo PIN: Eight Degrees of Separation
CTN	79.2006	Roland AMANN and Thomas GALL: How (not) to Choose Peers in Studying Groups

CTN	80.2006	Maria MONTERO: Inequity Aversion May Increase Inequity
CCMP	81.2006	Vincent M. OTTO, Andreas LÖSCHEL and John REILLY: Directed Technical Change and Climate Policy
CSRM	82.2006	Nicoletta FERRO: Riding the Waves of Reforms in Corporate Law, an Overview of Recent Improvements in
CSKWI	82.2000	Italian Corporate Codes of Conduct
CTN	83.2006	Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK: Coalition Governments in a Model of Parliamentary
CIN		<u>Democracy</u>
PRCG	84.2006	Raphaël SOUBEYRAN: Valence Advantages and Public Goods Consumption: Does a Disadvantaged Candidate
TRCG	04.2000	Choose an Extremist Position?
CCMP	85.2006	Eduardo L. GIMÉNEZ and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: Pigou's Dividend versus Ramsey's Dividend in the Double
CCIVII	65.2000	<u>Dividend Literature</u>
CCMP	86.2006	Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: The Impact of Climate Change on
cenn	00.2000	Domestic and International Tourism: A Simulation Study
KTHC	87.2006	Fabio SABATINI: Educational Qualification, Work Status and Entrepreneurship in Italy an Exploratory Analysis
CCMP	88.2006	Richard S.J. TOL: The Polluter Pays Principle and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change: An Application of
cenn	00.2000	<u>Fund</u>
CCMP	89.2006	Philippe TULKENS and Henry TULKENS: The White House and The Kyoto Protocol: Double Standards on
CCIVII		<u>Uncertainties and Their Consequences</u>
SIEV	90.2006	Andrea M. LEITER and Gerald J. PRUCKNER: Proportionality of Willingness to Pay to Small Risk Changes –
SIL (	J0.2000	The Impact of Attitudinal Factors in Scope Tests
PRCG	91.2006	Raphäel SOUBEYRAN: When Inertia Generates Political Cycles
CCMP	92.2006	Alireza NAGHAVI: Can R&D-Inducing Green Tariffs Replace International Environmental Regulations?
CCMD	93.2006	Xavier PAUTREL: Reconsidering The Impact of Environment on Long-Run Growth When Pollution Influences
CCMP	93.2000	Health and Agents Have Finite-Lifetime

(lxxviii) This paper was presented at the Second International Conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development - Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari and Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, and supported by the World Bank, Chia, Italy, 16-17 September 2005.

(lxxix) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Economic Theory and Experimental Economics" jointly organised by SET (Center for advanced Studies in Economic Theory, University of Milano-Bicocca) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, Milan, 20-23 November 2005. The Workshop was co-sponsored by CISEPS (Center for Interdisciplinary Studies in Economics and Social Sciences, University of Milan-Bicocca).

(lxxx) This paper was presented at the First EURODIV Conference "Understanding diversity: Mapping and measuring", held in Milan on 26-27 January 2006 and supported by the Marie Curie Series of Conferences "Cultural Diversity in Europe: a Series of Conferences.

	2006 SERIES
CCMP	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
КТНС	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRCG	Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network