# **ECONSTOR** Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

de Blaeij, Arianne T.; Nunes, Paulo A.L.D.; van den Bergh, Jeroen C.J.M.

# Working Paper Modeling Waluation Surveys

Nota di Lavoro, No. 64.2005

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: de Blaeij, Arianne T.; Nunes, Paulo A.L.D.; van den Bergh, Jeroen C.J.M. (2005) : Modeling Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys, Nota di Lavoro, No. 64.2005, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/74149

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



# Modeling 'No-choice' Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys

Arianne T. de Blaeij, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Jeroen C.J.M. van den Bergh

NOTA DI LAVORO 64.2005

# **MAY 2005**

SIEV – Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation

Arianne T. de Blaeij, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University Paulo A.L.D. Nunes, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Free University Jeroen C.J.M. van den Bergh, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, & Institute for Environmental Studies, Free University

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=722361

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

# Modeling 'No-choice' Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys

## Summary

We examine the impact of providing a 'no-choice' option in an attribute based valuation experiment. The aim of the experiment was to assess monetary values of cockle fishery management practices in the Dutch Wadden Sea for different stakeholder groups, namely Dutch citizens, local residents, and tourists. The current policy debate about the management of the Wadden Sea stresses the fact that individual preferences with respect to cockle-fishery differ. The aim of this paper is to analyze the individual preferences in an objective way. Special attention is given to the influence of including a 'no-choice option', which is analyzed using a nested logit model. We test whether the full set of policy options can be considered as close substitutes. The estimation results show that the influence of including the no choice option differs among the stakeholders considered.

**Keywords:** Stakeholder valuation, Stated choice method, Multinomial logit model, Binary logit model

JEL Classification: C25, C29, Q22, Q25, Q51

*This research was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), under the Priority Program for Sustainable Use of Marine Resources.* 

Address for correspondence:

Arianne T. de Blaeij Faculty of Geosciences Urban and Regional research Centre Utrecht (URU), Utrecht University PO Box 80115 3508 TC The Netherlands Phone: +31 030 2531368 E-mail: A.deBlaeij@geog.uu.nl

## **1. Introduction**

Attribute based valuation studies are increasingly being used in non-market valuation, and in environmental valuation in particular. An important methodological issue is whether or not a no-choice option should be added in attribute based valuation experiment (Banzhaf et al., 2001). An important motivation in favor of this option is that in this way a respondent is not being forced to make a particular valuation choice. The reason for this can be either that the respondent has no interest in any of the alternative options or that she is indifferent between them. There are few empirical applications in the field of environmental valuation that have modeled and estimated the 'no-choice' option in the context of an attribute-based choice experiment. One of the first attempts to deal with this issue empirically concerned an application to health economics (Ryan and Skåtun, 2004).

The main focus of this paper is methodological. We aim to systematically examine the structure of preferences of different stakeholder groups regarding the 'no-choice' option. This has the advantage that a comparison of preferences is possible among stakeholders within a single valuation context, which allows for a more robust testing of the effect of include a 'no-choice' option. The application context is one where respondents are confronted with alternative management practices regarding the cockle fishery activities in the Dutch Wadden Sea. This concerns a problem that most Dutch citizens will be very familiar with, which contributes to the relevance and reliability of the valuation exercise. The 'no-choice' option will be modeled simultaneously with the fishery management options in a stated choice experiment. A nested logit model will be used for this purpose. The stakeholder groups include (i) Dutch citizens, (ii) local residents, and (iii) tourists.<sup>i</sup>

addition, we compare the predictions of each stakeholder model and find that the parameters of these models differ significantly across the stakeholders. This gives a signal that there is heterogeneity in the structure of preferences, in this case with respect to alternative fish management practices.

The reminder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the current situation concerning the management of the Wadden Sea. Section 3 presents the survey instrument, and identifies the alternative management scenarios in the survey. Section 4 sets the theoretical framework for analyzing the no-choice option exploring the use of the three different models in Figure 1. These will in turn be applied to the data provided by Wadden Sea valuation exercise. Section 5 presents the empirical results. Section 6 concludes.

## 2. Application context

Shell-fishery causes important negative impact on overall marine environmental quality. The environmental impact of cockle-fishery, an important economic activity in the Dutch Wadden Sea, has been subject to much public and scientific concern. According to environmental organizations, the process of mechanical shell fishing altered the sediment structure of seabeds in an irreversible way (De Waddenvereniging<sup>ii</sup>; Stichting Odus, 2001<sup>iii</sup>). An additional negative impact of cockle fishery is withdrawing a great amount of cockles from the food web in the Wadden Sea. In particular, cockles constitute an important element of the diet of the bird population in the Wadden Sea (EVAII., 2003). In order to minimize the negative environmental impact of cockle fishery, the current fishery is regulated by the central government. The overall objective is to define precise food requirements, on the advice of biological experts, for bird populations spending the winter-time in the

Wadden Sea. These requirements can be translated into harvest standards for cockle fishery. The current cockle-fishery policies involve two main restrictions for fishermen: 1) in some areas it is not allowed to fish; and 2) a quota is set on total fish catch by the sector to reserve food for birds. These conditions do not imply, however, that the relationship between cockle fishery and environmental quality in the Wadden Sea is fully understood. The current public debate about cockle fishery management plans in the Wadden Sea makes very clear that the opinions as to whether current cockle fishery is ecologically sustainable differ considerably. This makes the incorporation and comparison of stakeholders in a valuation exercise the more interesting.

#### **3.** The survey instrument

To assess individual preferences with respect to alternative cockles fishery management practices, and to identify which policy measures are the most preferred across the different stakeholders, we make use of the attribute based stated choice method (Louviere et al., 2000). The stated choice (SC) valuation method asks respondents to make a choice between alternative goods, defined in terms of their attributes. In the present experiment, goods are described in terms of cockle fishery management plans. Each management plan in turn is characterized by a set of attributes or characteristics. The identification of the range of attribute levels, were set in deliberation with natural scientists. Three groups of policy measures emerged as relevant in the design of fishery management options for the cockle fishery in the Wadden Sea: 1) *area* policy measures: this refers to any change the surface area where fishing is allowed; 2) *quota* policy measures: this refers to any reduction in the

amount of cockles the fishery sector is allowed to fish; and 3) *rotation* policy measures: this refers to any policy that involves rotation of the areas where fishing is (not) allowed. A fourth attribute variable indicates the changes in the number of birds, which are interpreted as a proxy for marine ecosystem quality. A fifth, monetary attribute variable refers to a one time lump-sum amount that would be introduced as an implementation of a national *Wadden Sea* tax, which would be linked to the management scenario described in the survey. This gives a total of five distinct variables for our stated choice valuation exercise, which are summarized in Table 1.

# < Insert Table 1>

The combination of all stated choice attributes, and respective attribute levels, allowed us to create a full factorial design. The outcome of such a procedure results in a very large choice set. We resized this by eliminating (1) the dominant alternatives and (2) all policy combinations that reveal to be internally inconsistent. Furthermore, we only constructed choice questions consisting of the current situation (status quo), an alternative scenario and the no-choice option. This resulted in 168 different choice sets. Presenting each respondent with 168 choice questions to each respondent. The 168 sets are then divided over 21 versions of eight questions, which were distributed randomly among respondents. Figure 1 gives an illustration of our stated choice valuation questions.

#### <Insert Figure 1>

We propose introduce an innovative aspect of the attribute based stated choice methodology by taking into account different stakeholder groups, including (i) Dutch citizens, (ii) local residents, and (iii) tourists. Table 2 summarizes some characteristics of the respondents within each stakeholder group.

<Insert Table 2>

#### 4. Econometric analysis

In a stated choice exercise involving two alternatives and a no-choice option, the correct method of analyzing depends on the cross-elasticities between the three different choice options. In the Wadden Sea valuation experiment, we consider two survey described alternatives, which correspond to two fishery management policies: the current fishery management practice (option A) and the alternative management scenario (option B). Following the line of reasoning of Ryan and Skatun (2004), we can identify three possible model formulations that capture response behavior in the current survey design setting.

## <Insert Figure 2>

One model formulation regards the no-choice option as an additional option in the choice set. This implies that the researcher is interpreting all the three options as close substitutes. If this is the case, the correct estimation method is the multinomial logit model (MNL) (see Figure 2). In the present valuation exercise, this implies that while answering the valuation question, respondents make a joint decision of whether and which fish management practice should be introduced in the Wadden Sea. In other words, according to this model formulation, each respondent considers the choice of one out of three options, A, B and no-choice option, simultaneously. For this reason an increase in the probability of choosing option B, i.e. the adoption of the alternative management scenario, results in an equal proportional decrease in the probability of choosing option A and no-choice option.

A second model formulation regards the two management alternatives as closer substitutes with each other than each of these alternatives and the no-choice option. Nevertheless, the no-choice option is still seen as a substitute for option A and option B. This implies that any increase in the probability of choosing A, for example, will result in a larger decrease in the probability of choosing B than in the probability of selecting the no-choice option. This substitution pattern is reflected in the nested logit model (NLM) (see Figure 2).

A third model formulation regards choosing the no-choice option as completely independent from choosing between the management alternatives. In this case only the management alternatives are considered as substitutes. In other words, the probability of choosing the current situation will affect the probability of choosing the alternative management scenario, but not the probability of choosing the no-choice option. If this is the case, this substitution pattern can be modeled as two separate binary logit models (SBL) (see Figure 2). The first logit model analyses the decision whether or not to choose a management scenario. The second logit model analyses, for the respondents who decided to make a choice, the decision regarding the fishery management scenario.

For the decision regarding which fishery management scenario to choose, the deterministic part of the utility function can be described as follows:

$$V_{i,p|y} = \beta A_p$$

where *i* denotes the individual respondent, *p* represents the management program chosen for the Wadden Sea given the respondents choice to participate in the valuation question, *y*. The vector  $A_p$  describes the attributes of the Wadden Sea management programs as describes in the survey instrument. Finally,  $\beta$  represents the parameters of the model to be estimated.

The deterministic component of the decision regarding whether to participate (or not) in the stated choice valuation exercise can be formulated in terms of both individual characteristics, and the expected utility of the chosen management program for the Wadden Sea. Formally, the expected utility for each individual is defined as follows:

$$\ln \sum e^{V_{i,p|y}} \tag{2}$$

The overall individual pay-off can then be modeled as:

$$V_{i,y} = \delta X_i + \theta E \left( \ln \sum e^{V_{i,p|y}} \right)$$
(3)

Here  $X_i$  represents the characteristics of the individual and  $\delta$  is a vector of the parameters to be estimated. The parameter  $\theta$  is referred in the literature as the Inclusive Value (IV) parameter. It denotes the degree of substitution between alternatives, and ranges between 0 and 1 (see Louviere et al., 2000). This model is a generalized model that captures all three specific models as indicated in Figure 1. The level of  $\theta$  indicates which model is the most appropriate for the data.

As can be seen from Equation 3, in the scenario where  $\theta$  equals 1, the decision of whether or not to participate in the valuation question is explained not only by the characteristics of the individual, but also by the expected utility associated with a choice of a given management plans for the Wadden Sea. In this scenario, the MNL model delivers the most suitable model specification. On the contrary, when  $\theta$  equals 0, the expected utility of making a choice among the management plans does not influence the decision regarding whether or not to answer the valuation question. In this situation, SBL is the most appropriate model. Finally, when  $0 < \theta < 1$ , the decision of whether or not to answer the valuation question is influenced by the expected utility associated with a choice of a given management plan. In this situation, the NLM is the most appropriate. The empirical validity of these model formulations will be tested against the data that we obtained from the Wadden Sea valuation exercise. These will be discussed in the next section.

## 5. Data set

The sample of the Dutch population consists of 1558 respondents. The data was retrieved between 12 and 17 March 2004 via an Internet questionnaire. The respondents were members of a survey panel (n=45.000) that is representative of the Dutch population for a range of socio-economic characteristics, including age, income and residence. The sample size was set at 1500 respondents. To reach this size 2256 members of the panel were contacted. Once 1558 (69%) respondents had answered the questionnaire, admission to the internet based questionnaire was closed. All the respondents faced the nine stated choice valuation questions. Among this stakeholder group, 21% of the respondents have never chosen the no-choice option at least once. The remaining 79% of the respondents have never chosen the no-choice

option. 13% of the respondents chose only on one occasion for the no-choice option. Finally, none of the respondents systematically chose the no-choice option. This can be interpreted as an indication of good design and execution of the survey.

In addition, 420 local residents of the Dutch Wadden Sea area were personally interviewed in October 2003 on the islands of Ameland, Terschelling and Schiermonnikoog as well as along the Dutch coastline of Friesland, Groningen and North-Holland. In total 857 residents were contacted to obtain this sample, which implies a response rate of 49 percent. Among this stakeholder group, 88% of the respondents have chosen the no-choice option at least once. Finally, tourists were personally interviewed during the summer of 2003 on the Wadden Islands of Texel and Vlieland, as well on the ferries that departure from Den Helder to Texel. The sample consists of 336 respondents. Due to the fact that not all interviewers registered the number of contacted persons, we do not know the response rate. Among this stakeholder group, 88% of the respondents have chosen the no-choice option at least once.

A preliminary conclusion based on these descriptive statistics is that the introduction of the no-choice option in the questionnaire is relevant. In fact, the no-choice response rate ranges from 12 to 21 percent, depending on the stakeholder group under consideration. If the survey design would not have provided room for the no-choice option, individuals would have been 'pushed' to give a response, which would not reflect their own preferences, resulting in biased data. Furthermore, ignoring the nested structure of consumer behavior would increase the risk of estimating an incorrect model specification (Louviere et al., 2000).

#### 6. Empirical results

In order to formally test the empirical significance of the no-choice option, we fit a nested logit model specification for each of the stakeholder groups under consideration. The results are shown in Table 3.<sup>iv</sup> As far as the Dutch population is concerned, the estimation results show that the structure of preferences are well described by a nested (logit) model. This is reflected by the value of the IV parameter being statistically significant at one percent. We found a comparable preference pattern for the tourists. This means that the respondents belonging to the Dutch residents and the tourists stakeholder groups do not regard the no-choice option and the proposed management scenarios as close substitutes. We can interpret this result as signaling that any increase in the probability of choosing the "Management scenario" will result in a larger decrease in the probability of choosing "Current situation" (status quo) than in the probability of selecting the no-choice option.

The estimation results for the local residents show that the underlying mapping of preferences is well described by the two separate binary (logit) models – see that the value of the IV coefficient is statistically significant at ten percent. Unlike before, this means that local residents look at the two options, "Current situation" (status quo) and "Management scenario", as substitutes, acknowledging that a 'no-choice' decision is not competing with them. In other words, for this stakeholder group, the model that best describes the data is characterized by two independent, separate steps: one capturing the decision to participate (or not) in the valuation exercise, and the other the decision regarding management option for the Wadden Sea. One possible way to interpret these results is that none of the described management programs for the Wadden Sea, including the current management

situation and the alternative management scenario, is acceptable for the local residents. One could think, for example, that a more extreme alternative – such as the possibility to ban all kinds of cockle-fishing activities the Wadden Sea – would be preferred. This is, however, rather unlikely since this fishery management scenario has been included in the stated choice valuation exercise. But then again, this stated choice question has been proposed as the last valuation question in the survey. If the respondent has decided to always chose the no-choice option, independently of the attribute levels in the stated choice question, then we can interpret this answering behavior as signaling a strong emotional attitude, namely as a valuation refusal or protest.

### <Insert Table 3>

Finally, we can combine the value of the NLM coefficient estimates and compute marginal prices for the range of attributes under consideration, as shown in Table 4. According to estimation results, any policy measure that is characterized by proposing the ban of cockle-fishing activity is associated with a welfare loss for all stakeholders groups. Second, all stakeholders also incur a welfare loss if policy makers decide to expand current cockle-fishing activity to the whole area of the Wadden Sea. Third, reduction of the harvest to half of the current quota is welcomed by all except the local residents. The latter will incur a welfare loss of about fifty euro cents with the change to harvesting half of the current quota. This result may signal the fact that a part of 'local resident' respondents work, or have members of the family that work, in the cockle-fishing sector and for this reason the reduction in capture would be interpreted in a reduction of their available income.

Equally interesting are the estimation results associated with the different levels of the bird population. As one would expect, if the adoption of a policy proposal puts forward the reduction of the current level of birds, then this will imply a significant welfare loss, ranging from 148 Euro to the tourists, to 95 Euro to the Dutch citizens, and to 58 Euro to local residents. The difference between valuation by tourists and Dutch citizens in general can be interpreted as an outcome of the use value component regarding the protection of the bird population, such as bird watching, which only accrues to the visitors of the area. The smallest magnitude of welfare loss is associated with the local residents, some of whom work in the fishing industry or have family members who do so. For this reason, the respondents can interpret a reduction in the bird population as an increase in the harvest productivity of the sector and therefore a guarantee of employment for themselves or family members.

Finally, estimation results show that the different stakeholders show different preferences with respect to alternative policies. Dutch citizens are willing to pay for any policy option that brings along with it more birds in the Wadden Sea. However, this WTP magnitude is about the same for any other policy option that brings along with it many more birds in the Wadden Sea. In other terms, increasing the number of birds with different amounts gives the same, constant welfare gain. One can interpret this result as that it is sufficient to guarantee the survival of the species in their local habitat, which is associated with a certain minimum increase. As a result then, any additional increase in the bird population will not further increase welfare. On the contrary, tourists show continuously increasing welfare gains with continuously increasing number of birds.

### 7. Conclusions

The inclusion of a no-choice option in an experiment is necessary to retrieve the preferences of the respondents. Otherwise, respondents are forced to give an answer, even when they do not have a clear preference. Therefore, the aim of this paper was to select an empirical model that best fits the data, including the no-choice option. This approach reveals to be essential in order to yield unbiased WTP estimates. We analyzed three different stakeholder groups, namely Dutch citizens, local residents and tourists. All three sub-samples contain respondents that select the no-choice option. This implies that forcing them to make a choice would have resulted in biased data. The nested logit model allows to determine which particular model specification best describes the data in our application. The results show that the relevance of the no-choice option is determined by the socioeconomic characteristics of the different stakeholder groups. For the local residents, the decision to select the no-choice option is completely independent from the management plans whereas for the Dutch citizens and the tourists the probability to select the no-choice option depends on the attribute levels of the management plans. Finally, WTP valuation results confirm this two-tier type of preferences.

## References

- Banzhaf, H.S., Johnson, F.R. and K.E. Mathews (2001) 'In The choice modelling approach to environmental valuation' in *The Choice Modelling Approach to Environmental Valuation* Bennet, J.W. and R. Blamey (Eds), Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, pp. 157-177.
- Blaeij, A. T. d., Nunes, P. A. L. D. and J.C.J.M. van den Bergh (2004) 'An attributebased stakeholder valuation study of Individual Preferences for Cockle Fishing Policies in the Dutch Wadden Sea', paper presented at the 13<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists (EAERE), Budapest, Hungary.
- EVAII (2003) Resultaten wetenschappelijk onderzoek EVAII; publieksversie, Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit, Den Haag.
- Louviere, J. J., Hensher, D. A. and Swait, J. D. (2000) *Stated choice methods; analysis and applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Ryan, M. and Skåtun, D. (2004) 'Modelling non-demanders in discrete choice experiments', *Health Economic Letters*, 13, pp. 397-402.

Attribute	Levels
Area Policy measure that refers to the surface area where fishing on cockles in the Wadden Sea is allowed.	<ul> <li>The totality of the Wadden Sea</li> <li>Current level</li> <li>Half of the current level</li> <li>Nowhere (banning the fishing activity)</li> </ul>
<i>Quota</i> Policy measure that refers to the total harvest of cockles that is permitted by law.	- Current level - Lower level
<i>Rotation</i> Policy measure that requires rotation among areas where fishing is allowed.	<ul><li>Rotation</li><li>No rotation (current situation)</li></ul>
Environmental quality of the marine ecosystem Number of birds in the Wadden Sea.	<ul> <li>Lower level</li> <li>Current level</li> <li>More than in current situation</li> <li>Much more than in current situation.</li> </ul>
<i>Financial cost per household</i> A one-time lump-sum interpreted as a national <i>Wadden Sea</i> tax.	Nine different monetary amounts between 0 and 250 Euro

# Table 1: Attributes and their levels as used in the survey

	Tourists	Local residents	Dutch citizens
Sample size	332	420	1558
Income			
Low (<1500 euro)	14 %	25 %	28 %
Middle	39 %	46 %	54 %
High (>3000 euro)	33 %	12 %	18 %
Consume fish	90 %	85 %	90 %
Consume cockles	4 %	6 %	11 %
Know fisherman	13 %	51 %	11 %
Member of environmental association	56 %	45 %	34 %
Average age (in years)	42.7	43.4	40.2

# Table 2: Information about the three stakeholder groups

Dutch citizens	Local residents	Tourists
tion question		
-1.86 <sup>***</sup> (-13.49)	-1.39 <sup>***</sup> (-2.55)	-2.99 <sup>***</sup> (-10.91)
-0.88 <sup>****</sup> (-4.72)	-0.14 <sup>****</sup> (-3.02)	(1001)
0.27**** (3.74)	()	
()	0.26 <sup>*</sup> (1.77) -1.61 <sup>**</sup> (-8.68)	
	Dutch citizens tion question -1.86 <sup>***</sup> (-13.49) -0.88 <sup>***</sup> (-4.72) 0.27 <sup>***</sup> (3.74)	Dutch citizens       Local residents         tion question $-1.39^{***}$ $(-13.49)$ $(-2.55)$ $-0.88^{***}$ $-0.14^{***}$ $(-4.72)$ $(-3.02)$ $0.27^{***}$ $0.26^{*}$ $(3.74)$ $0.26^{*}$ $(-1.61^{***})$ $(-8.68)$

# Table 3: Nested Logit Model estimation results <sup>1</sup>

Utility related with the choice of a give management program for the Wadden Sea

\_

Alternative specific constant	$0.57^{***}$	$0.72^{***}$	$0.26^{**}$	
-	(8.07)	(6.25)	(2.05)	
Area where it is allowed to fish				
Banning	-0.22***	-0.36***	-0.44***	
	(-6.91)	(-5.54)	(-6.68)	
Half the current area	$0.20^{***}$	$0.15^{***}$	$0.20^{***}$	
	(6.45)	(2.52)	(3.14)	
Whole area	-0.34***	-0.32***	-0.28***	
	(-7.33)	(-4.08)	(-3.31)	
Quota				
Half the current quota	0.01	-0.05	0.05	
Han the current quota	(0.52)	(-1.28)	(1.04)	
	(0.52)	(1.20)	(1.04)	
Rotation of the fishing area				
Present	$0.11^{***}$	$0.08^{*}$	$0.10^{**}$	
	(4.44)	(1.95)	(2.03)	
Level of birds				
Less birds	-0.95***	-0.58***	-1.48***	
	(-8.55)	(-2.95)	(-6.14)	
More birds	0.68***	0.51***	0.80***	
	(15.09)	(6.21)	(8.32)	
Much more birds	$0.70^{***}$	0.35***	0.97***	
	(14.00)	(3.85)	(9.03)	
Price	-0.01***	-0.01***	-0.01***	
	(-33.17)	(-11.80)	(-16.19)	
W parameter	0.25***	0.31	0.41*	
Iv parameter	(2.01)	(0.86)	(1.80)	
	(2.91)	(0.00)	(1.00)	
Log likelihood	-11178	-2880	-2121	
No. of observations	14022	3780	3024	
Adjusted $\rho^2$	0.402	0.428	0.485	

<sup>1</sup> Significance is indicated by <sup>\*\*\*</sup>, <sup>\*\*</sup> and <sup>\*</sup>, referring, respectively, to the 1%, 5% and 10% level, with t-value between brackets.

	Dutch citizens	Local residents	Tourists
Area where it is allowed to fish			
Banning	-22	- 36	-44
Half the current area	20	15	20
Whole area	- 34	- 32	- 28
Quota			
Half the current quota	1	-0.5	5
Rotation of the fishing area			
Present	11	8	10
Bird population			
Less birds	- 95	- 58	-148
More birds	68	51	80
Much more birds	70	35	97

# Table4: Marginal price estimation results (in Euro)

	Current situation	Possible policy proposal
Policy measures		
Surface area where it is allowed to fish on	Current area	Half of the current level
cockles		
Allowed number of cockles harvest	Current level	Lower level
Rotation or fixed areas where it is allowed		
to fish on cockles	No rotation	No rotation
Likely effect:		
Change in number of birds	Current level	More than in current situation
Costs:		
Costs per household	0 euro	50,- euro
		D B
	•	

No choice

Figure 1: Illustration of a stated choice question



Figure 2: Alternative models of response behavior

Source: Adapted from Ryan and Skåtun, 2004

# **End notes**

<sup>ii</sup> Source: <u>http://www.waddenvereniging.nl</u>.

<sup>iii</sup> Source: <u>http://www/wildekokkels.nl</u>.

 $^{iv}$  A more detailed interpretation of the signs and significance of the remaining variables is presented in de Blaeij et al. (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> We also interviewed local politicians and natural scientists. However, the resulting samples were too small to make them suitable for inclusion in the analysis here. We also tried to interview the local fishermen, but they refused to participate.

## NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html

http://www.repec.org

#### NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries
ETA	2.2004	Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): Merger Mechanisms
PRA	8.2004	<i>Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER</i> (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a</u> <u>When-Issued Market</u>
PRA	9.2004	Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi- Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): Auctions as Coordination Devices
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers
	15 2004	Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): Competition and Cooperation in Divisible
FKA	15.2004	Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade
NRM	18.2004	<i>Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU</i> (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth:</u> Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics
SIEV	19.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice
NRM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of
NRM	21.2004	<u>Differentiated Oligopoly</u> Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u>
		Javier Rev-MAOUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (Ixvii):
NRM	22.2004	Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development
NRM	23.2004	<i>Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER</i> (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based</u> Resources in Kenya
NRM	24.2004	Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii):Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies
111111	2012001	Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (Ixvii): Tourism and
NRM	26.2004	Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports
CSRM	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework
Colum		Marian WEBER (Ixvi): Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation:
NRM	29.2004	an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest
NRM	30.2004	<i>Trond BJORNDAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE</i> (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species</u> <u>Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u>
CCMP	31.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on</u> Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy
	22 2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA , Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CCMP	52.2004	Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy
CTN	33.2004	Wilson PEREZ: Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution
KTHC	34.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> (Ixviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence</u> from US Cities
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (Ixviii): Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

KTHC	36.2004	Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (Ixviii): Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome Reading Governance in a Local Context
		Kristine CRANE (lxviji): Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome.
KTHC	37.2004	Naples and Bari
ктнс	38 2004	Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxviii): Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming
	20.2001	Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm
ETA	39.2004	Andera BIGANO and Stef PROOST: The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental
PRA	40.2004	Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?
CCMP	41.2004	Micheal FINUS (lxix): International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems
KTHC	42.2004	Francesco CRESPI: Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis
CTN	43.2004	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies
CTN	44.2004	Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability
NRM	45.2004	Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (lxvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity</u> : An Axiomatic Approach
NRM	46.2004	Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN (lxvi): Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric
NDM	47 2004	Information on Private Environmental Benefits Iohn MRUPU (lyvi): Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co. management Approach
INKIM	47.2004	<i>Exin BIROL Ágnes GYOVAL and Melinda SMALE</i> (lyvi): Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural
NRM	48.2004	Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy
CCMP	49.2004	Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects
GG	50.2004	Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: Optimal Disease Eradication
CTN	51.2004	Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: <u>Simple Priorities and Core</u> Stability in Hedonic Games
OIEV.	52 2004	Francesco RICCI: Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the
SIEV	52.2004	Theory
SIEV	53.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: <u>Willingness to Pay for</u> Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?
NRM	54.2004	Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Repaturated Streams
NDM	55 2004	Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN (lxvi): Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and
NKM	55.2004	Regulatory Choices
NRM	56.2004	Tom DEDEURWAERDERE (lxvi): Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance
CCMP	57.2004	Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON: The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households
CCMP	58.2004	Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN: Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios
NRM	59.2004	Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixvii): Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management
NDM	60 2004	Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI (lxvi):Property Rights Conservation and Development: An
INKIVI	00.2004	Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon
CCMP	61.2004	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a</u> Technology-based Climate Protocol
NRM	62.2004	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ</i> (lxvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic</u> Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis
NDM	(1.000.1	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN</i> (lxvi): The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the
NRM	64.2004	Netherlands
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK</i> (lxvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the</u> Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method
ETA	66.2004	Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings
GG	67.2004	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA: Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary
<u>cc</u>	<u>(8.2004</u>	Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach Michael FINUS: Modesty Pays: Sometimes!
66	08.2004	<i>Thend P IAPNDAL</i> and Ang $PPASAO$ The Northern Atlantic Plusfin Tune Eicherice: Management and Policy
NRM	69.2004	Implications
CTN	70.2004	Alejandro CAPARROS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT: On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents
IEM	71.2004	Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Conditional
IEM	72.2004	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER: Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations
11/141	, 2.2004	in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns
SIEV	73.2004	An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests

CCMP	74 2004	Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND: Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General
ceim	74.2004	Equilibrium Assessment
ETA	75.2004	Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO: Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different
		Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach
CTN	76.2004	a Heterogeneous Union
		A neterogeneous omon
CTN	77.2004	Alex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DIAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERA and Fernando VEGA-
CTN	78 2004	REDUNDO (IXX): Optimal information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion
CIN	/8.2004	Prancis DLOCH and Armando GOMES (IXX): Contracting with Externatives and Outside Options Pabab AMIP Effrequent DIAMANTOLIDL and Lieur YUE (Ixx): Margar Parformance under Uncertain Efficiency
CTN	79.2004	Gains
CTN	80.2004	Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON (lxx): The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players
CTN	81.2004	Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülva ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO (lxx): Bicameralism and Government Formation
	00 0004	Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG OIN and Amnon RAPOPORT (lxx): Potential Maximization
CIN	82.2004	and Coalition Government Formation
CTN	83.2004	Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN (lxx): Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement
CTN	84.2004	Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZALEZ (lxx): Economics: An Emerging
	05 0004	Small World?
CIN	85.2004	Edward CARTWRIGHT (IXX): Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players
IEM	86.2004	Finn R. FORSOND and Michael HOEL: Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by
VTUC	87 2004	<u>Elissaios PADVPAKIS and Payor CEDI ACH</u> : Natural Posources Investment and Long Term Income
CCMD	87.2004 88.2004	Maurio CALEOTTI and Claudia VEMEEDT: Interactions between Climete and Trade Delicios: A Survey
CCIVIF	00.2004	A MARKANDVA S PEDROSO and D STREIMIKIENE: Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There
IEM	89.2004	Convergence Towards the EU Average?
GG	90.2004	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Agreements and Technology Policy
PRA	91.2004	Sergei IZMALKOV (lxv): Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction
KTHC	92.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI: Cities and Cultures
		Massimo DEL GATTO: Agglomeration Integration and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading
KTHC	93.2004	Cities. Centralisation versus devolution
CCMP	94.2004	Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON: Equilibrium with a Market of Permits
CCMD	05 2004	Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH: Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global
CCIVII	95.2004	Energy Supply
CCMP	96.2004	Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: Economy-Wide Estimates of
cenn	2001	the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise
CTN	97.2004	Gustavo BERGANTINOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA: Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through
		<u>Siddhautha</u> RANDVORADHVAV and Mandau OAV. Porty Formation and Coalitional Parasining in a Model of
CTN	98.2004	Proportional Representation
		Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA: The Impact of Surplus
GG	99.2004	Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements
OTEV/	100 2004	Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP: Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence
SIEV	100.2004	from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents
SIEV	101 2004	Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP: A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to
SIL V	101.2004	Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure
NRM	102.2004	Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN: Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test
CCMP	103.2004	Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI: Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability
		in Italy Massime ELODIO and Mana CRASSENIE The Missing Sheeks The Massessenamic Impact of Duitich
PRA	104.2004	<i>Mussimo FLORIO unu Mara GRASSENI</i> . <u>The Missing Shock: The Mactoeconomic Impact of British</u>
		Invalisation
PRA	105.2004	in Transition Economies
PR A	106 2004	Kira RÖRNER: The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?
PRA	107.2004	Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON: Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets
	10/12001	Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo
SIEV	108.2004	MAINARDI: Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by
		Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective
CTN	109 2004	Somdeb LAHIRI: The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some
env	107.2004	Results
NRM	110.2004	Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u>
SIEV	111.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA: Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks:
VTUC	112 2004	Evidence from a Infee-Country Contingent Valuation Study
NIIL	112.2004	Paulo A L D NUNES and Laura ONOERI: The Economics of Warm Glowy A Note on Consumer's Behavior
SIEV	113.2004	and Public Policy Implications
	114 0004	<i>Patrick CAYRADE</i> : Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact
IEM	114.2004	on the Security of Supply?
IEM	115.2004	Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies

IEM	116.2004	Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: Social Costs of Energy Disruptions
		Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS,
IEM	117.2004	Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: Market-Based Options
		for Security of Energy Supply
IEM	118.2004	David FISK: Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?
IEM	119.2004	Giacomo LUCIANI: Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?
IEM	120.2004	L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets
KTHC	121.2004	Alberto PETRUCCI: Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy
NRM	122 2004	Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSIAK and Anita FASSIO: An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water
	122.2001	Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application
NRM	123.2004	Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Evaluation of Urban Improvement</u>
		on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach
ETA	124.2004	<i>Paul MENSIV</i> A: <u>Instant Efficient Politation Addictment Onder Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric</u> Information: The Differential Tax Devisited
		Mauro FARIANO Gabriella CAMARSA Rosanna DURSI Roberta IVALDI Valentina MARIN and Francesca
NRM	125.2004	PALMISANI: Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach
		Irena GROSFELD and Irai HASHI: The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence
PRA	126.2004	from Poland and the Czech Republic
CCMD	127 2004	Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: A General Equilibrium
CCMP	127.2004	Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism
CCMP	128 2004	Reyer GERLAGH: A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy
CCIVII	120.2004	Savings
NRM	129.2004	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth
PRA	130.2004	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: <u>Reluctant Privatization</u>
SIEV	131.2004	Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A
		Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation
SIEV	132.2004	for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models
IFM	133 2004	Santiago I RURIO: On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited
FTA	134 2004	Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma
SIEV	135.2004	Anna ALBERINI: Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys
		Gernot KLEPPER and Sonia PETERSON: Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The
ССМР	136.2004	Influence of World Energy Prices
ETA	127 2004	Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an
LIA	137.2004	Environmental Taxation Game
CCMP	138.2004	ZhongXiang ZHANG: The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China
CCMP	139.2004	Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy</u>
NRM	140.2004	Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A
		Real Option Analysis
PRA	141.2004	Pairick BAJARI, Siepnanie HOUGHTON and Sieven TADELIS (1XX1). Bladnig tot incompete Contracts
PRA	142.2004	Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions
PRA	143.2004	David GOLDREICH (lxxi): Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions
ΡΡΔ	144 2004	Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More
IKA	144.2004	Simple Economics
PRA	145,2004	Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of
	1.0.2001	Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions
PRA	146.2004	Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (Ixxi): How to Win Twice at an Auction. On
		the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets
PRA	147.2004	Ciauaio MEZZETTI, Aleksanaar PEKEC and Ilia ISETLIN (IXXI): <u>Sequencial VS. Single-Kound Uniform-Price</u>
PR A	148 2004	<u>Additions</u> John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lyxi): Fauilibrium of Scoring Auctions
I IQI	140.2004	Philip A HAILE Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (1xxi): Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-
PRA	149.2004	Price Sealed-Bid Auctions
	150 2004	François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why
PKA	150.2004	Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions
CCMD	151 2004	Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and
CUMP	131.2004	Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process
CCMP	152 2004	Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate
COM	152.2004	Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model
PRA	153,2004	Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue
·		Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly
ETA	154.2004	NICOLA ACOCELLA, GIOVANNI DI BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: Is there any Scope for Corporatism
		In Stabilization Policies?
CTN	155.2004	Externalities
CCMP	156.2004	Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Environmental Innovation, War of Attrition and Investment Grants

CCMP 15	157 2004	Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term
	137.2004	Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?
ETA	158.2004	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply
ETA	150 2004	William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative
	139.2004	Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling
KTHC	160.2004	Alberto PETRUCCI: On the Incidence of a Tax on PureRent with Infinite Horizons
IEM	161 2004	Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: Microsimulating the Effects of Household
IEWI	101.2004	Energy Price Changes in Spain

# NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005

CCMP	1.2005	Stéphane HALLEGATTE: Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change
CCMP	2.2005	Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: <u>Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A</u> Cost-Benefit Analysis in China
CCMP	3.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: <u>A Global</u> Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level
CCMP	4.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Impact of Climate on Holiday</u> Destination Choice
ETA	5.2005	Hubert KEMPF: Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?
CCMP	6.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI:</i> <u>The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity</u> in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change
IEM	7.2005	David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: The Alure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution
ETA	8.2005	Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption Democracy and Environmental Policy
CCMP	9.2005	Angelo ANTOCI: Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities in a Growth Model
CTN	10.2005	Frédéric DEROIAN: Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers
NRM	11.2005	Francesco SINDICO: <u>The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment</u>
KTHC	12 2005	Carla MASSIDD 4: Estimating the New Keynesian Phillins Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors
KTHC	13.2005	Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms
PRCG	14.2005	Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board Structure
CSRM	15.2005	Parashar KULKARNI: Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed
VTUC	16 2005	Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel
KINC	10.2005	Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence
KTHC	17.2005	Alireza NAGHAVI: Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms
KTHC	18.2005	Alireza NAGHAVI: Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer
KTHC	19.2005	Mombert HOPPE: Technology Transfer Through Trade
PRCG	20.2005	Roberto ROSON: Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming
CCMP	21.2005	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs</u> . A Game Theoretic Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes
IEM	22.2005	<i>Fausto CAVALLARO</i> : <u>An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An</u> Application of the Promethee Method
CTN	23.2005	Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria
IEM	24.2005	Wietze LISE: Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey
CTN	25.2005	Somdeb LAHIRI: The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas
SIEV	26.2005	Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived Realism Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection
NRM	27.2005	Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Anna MONTINI: The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities
CCMP	28.2005	Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information
NRM	29.2005	Paul SARFO-MENSAH: Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations
CCMP	30.2005	Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: The Effect of Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism
NRM	31.2005	Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies
NRM	32.2005	Chiara D'ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: Concession Length and Investment Timing Flexibility
CCMP	33.2005	Joseph HUBER: Key Environmental Innovations
CTN	34.2005	Antoni CALVO-ARMENGOL and Rahmi ILKILIÇ (Ixxii): Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network Formation
CTN	35.2005	Francesco FERI (lxxii): Network Formation with Endogenous Decay
CTN	36.2005	Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (lxxii): <u>Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and</u> Network Formation Games

CTN	37.2005	Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAKI (lxxii): Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the Resilience of Referral Hiring
CTN	38.2005	Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS (lxxii): Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated Games
CTN	39.2005	Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER (lxxii): <u>The Egalitarian</u> Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects
CTN	40 2005	Francesco FERI: Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay
CTN	41 2005	Age de ZFFIW (Isxii): Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements
ent	11.2005	C Martin van der HEIDE Jergen C I M van den BERGH Ekko C van IERI AND and Paulo A I D NUNES:
NRM	42.2005	Materiands National Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The National Science Science Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The National Science Scie
PRCG	43.2005	Carla VIEIRA and Ana Paula SERRA: Abnormal Returns in Privatization Public Offerings: The Case of Portuges Firms
		Fortuguese Films
SIEV	44.2005	Anna ALBERINI, Valentina ZANATTA and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Combining Actual and Contingent Benavior to</u>
CTN	45.2005	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: Participation in International Environmental Agreements: The Role of Timing and Regulation
CCMP	46.2005	Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: Are EU Environmental Policies Too Demanding for New Members States?
IEM	47 2005	Mattee MANERA: Modeling Factor Demands with SEM and VAR: An Empirical Comparison
12101	17.2005	Olivier TERCIELUX and Vincent VANNETEL ROSCH (1xx): A Characterization of Stochastically Stable
CTN	48.2005	Networks
CTN	40 2005	Ana MAULEON, José SEMPERE-MONERRIS and Vincent J. VANNETELBOSCH (lxxii): <u>R&amp;D Networks</u>
CIN	49.2003	Among Unionized Firms
CTD	50 2005	Carlo CARRARO, Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: Optimal Transfers and Participation Decisions in
CIN	50.2005	International Environmental Agreements
KTHC	51.2005	Valeria GATTAI: From the Theory of the Firm to FDI and Internalisation: A Survey
COM	52 2005	Alireza NAGHAVI: Multilateral Environmental Agreements and Trade Obligations: A Theoretical Analysis of
ССМР	52.2005	the Doha Proposal
		Margaretha BREII, Gretel GAMBARELLI and Paulo A LD NUNES: Economic Valuation of On Site Material
SIEV	53,2005	Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-
	55.2005	Expert-Based Valuation Approach
		Alassandra del ROCA Marria GAI FOTTI Charles P. HIMMFI RERG and Paola ROTA: Investment and Time
ETA	54.2005	to Plan: A Comparison of Structure vs. Equipment in a Papal of Italian Firms
		Cornect KI EDDED and Sonia DETERSON's Emissions Trading CDM II and Mora. The Climate Stratagy of the
CCMP	55.2005	FU
FTA	56 2005	<u>BO</u> Maia DAVID and Barnard SINCLAIR-DESCACNÉ: Environmental Regulation and the Eco-Industry
LIA	50.2005	Mala DAVID and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESOACHE. <u>Environmentar Regulation and the Eco-industry</u> Alain Dácia NIMURON and Remard SINCLAIP DESCACRÁ <sup>E</sup> . The Biopurian Tay Pula in the Presence of an
ETA	57.2005	Foo-Industry
		Holmut KARI Antia MÖLLER Yimana MATUS Edgar GRANDE and Robert KAISER: Environmental
NRM	58.2005	Innovations: Institutional Impacts on Co-operations for Sustainable Development
	59.2005	minovations, institutional impacts on Co-operations for Jossianiano Development
SIEV		Diminu VOVAAL and Andsidsios AEFAFADEAS (IXANI). <u>Citerator Seessing Sustainable</u> Davidoment: Theoretical Issues and Empirical Evidence for the Cose of Creace
CCMD	60 2005	<u>Development: Theoretical Issues and Empirical Evidence for the Case of Greece</u>
CCMF	00.2003	Anareas LOSSTIEL and Dirk I.G. KODDELLE. Impute Function and Fical Devicementation and Analysis with
PRCG	61.2005	Swiss Data
ETA	62,2005	Irene VALSECCHI: A Role for Instructions
L1/1	02.2005	Valenting ROSETTL and Gianni LOCATELLI: A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach to the Assessment of
NRM	63.2005	Natural Parks' Economic Efficiency and Sustainability. The Case of Italian National Parks
		Arianne T de RLAFIL Paulo A LD NUNES and Jeroen C LM van den RERGH Modeling 'No-choice'
SIEV	64.2005	Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys

(lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications" organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003

(lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4<sup>th</sup> BioEcon Workshop on "Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation" organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003

(lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003

(lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities", Rome, June 5-6, 2003

(lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference "The Future of Climate Policy", Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003

(lxx) This paper was presented at the 9<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004

(lxxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory,

Evidence and Applications", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004

(lxxii) This paper was presented at the 10<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.

(lxxiii) This paper was presented at the 2nd Workshop on "Inclusive Wealth and Accounting Prices" held in Trieste, Italy on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics.

	2004 SERIES
ССМР	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
КТНС	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ЕТА	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network

	2005 SERIES
ССМР	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
КТНС	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRCG	Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ЕТА	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network