

Markandya, Anil; Pedroso, S.

Working Paper

How Substitutable is Natural Capital?

Nota di Lavoro, No. 88.2005

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Markandya, Anil; Pedroso, S. (2005) : How Substitutable is Natural Capital?, Nota di Lavoro, No. 88.2005, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/74072>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

How Substitutable is Natural Capital?

A. Markandya and S. Pedroso

NOTA DI LAVORO 88.2005

JUNE 2005

ETA – Economic Theory and Applications

A. Markandya, *FEEM, World Bank and University of Bath*
S. Pedroso, *World Bank*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=755448>

How Substitutable is Natural Capital?

Summary

One of the recurring themes in the sustainability literature has been the legitimacy of using an economic framework to account for natural resources. This paper examines the potential for substituting between different inputs in the generation of income, where the inputs include natural resources such as land and energy resources. A nested CES production function is used to allow flexibility in the estimated elasticities of substitution. Also, with this specification, natural resources and other inputs are combined in different levels of the function, thus allowing for different levels of substitutability. Institutional and economic indicators are also incorporated in the production function estimated. Results show that the elasticities derived from functions involving land resources were generally around one or greater. Furthermore, changes in trade openness and private sector investment have a statistically significant and direct relationship with income generation. No statistically significant relationship between income and any of the institutional indicators was found.

Keywords: Wealth accounting, Natural resources, Nested CES production function

JEL Classification: O47, Q24, Q32

This paper is drawn from a larger study on the 'Wealth of Nations' (World Bank, 2005). We wish to thank Giovanni Ruta, Kirk Hamilton, Giles Atkinson and a number of others who have provided useful comments on earlier drafts. Any errors that remain are, of course, our own.

Address for correspondence:

Anil Markandya
The World Bank
Mail Stop MC6-613
1818 H Street NW
Washington DC 20433
USA
Phone: +1 202 473 9266
Fax: +1 202 522 3155
E-mail: amarkandya@worldbank.org

I. Background

One of the recurring themes in the sustainability literature has been the legitimacy of using an economic framework to account for natural resources. Those critical of such an approach contend that wealth accounting assumes natural resource assets can be substituted by produced assets, such as human and physical capital, on a dollar for dollar basis. This, they argue, does not capture the limited degree to which such substitution is possible. A loss of some natural capital, such as an entire ecosystem, surely cannot be made up with an increase in physical capital if the very basis of social existence and well-being are destroyed in the areas affected by that system? This makes them skeptical of the kind of wealth accounts we are constructing here.

While we cannot hope to disentangle the full set of issues embedded in this line of reasoning, we can at least start by focusing on the degree of substitutability between the different assets. Underlying any wealth accounts is an implicit ‘production function’ which is a blueprint of the combinations of different assets with which we can achieve a given level of output. These blueprints are usually written as a mathematical function, which describes the precise relationship between the availability of different amounts of ‘inputs’, such as physical and human capital services, and the maximum output they could produce. The substitutability between inputs is then measured as an ‘elasticity of substitution’. In general terms, this captures the ease with which a decline in one input can be compensated by an increase in another, while holding output constant. More precisely, it measures how much the ratio of two inputs (e.g. physical capital and land) changes when their relative price changes (e.g. the price of land goes up relative to the price of capital)². The greater the elasticity, the easier it is to make up for the loss of one resource by using another. Generally, an elasticity of less than one indicates limited substitution possibilities.

A commonly used production function, which implies elasticities of one between the inputs, is the ‘Cobb-Douglas’ form, written as:

$$Y_t = A_t K^\alpha L^\beta \quad (1)$$

Income or output (Y) is expressed as a function of the levels of capital input (K), labor input (L), an exogenous technological factor (A) and the parameters α and β , which give the returns to capital and labor respectively. If the national production options could be captured by such a function, with natural capital services included, it would have considerable implications for sustainability. First, it would imply a degree of substitutability between natural and produced capital that would give some comfort to those who argue we can lose some natural capital without seriously compromising our well-being. Related to that it would validate the ‘Hartwick Rule’, which states that when exploiting natural resources you should ensure you save an amount equal to the rent from those resources if you are to sustain the highest possible level of consumption (Hamilton, 1995). This so-called ‘Hartwick Rule’ is a useful sustainability policy since it is open to monitoring – we can check whether or not it has been adhered to.

² Where prices are not defined, we measure the change in the ratio of the inputs resulting from a change in the marginal rate at which one factor can be substituted for another (Chiang, 1984). The discussion is complicated by the fact that there are other definitions of substitution in the literature. We discuss this further below.

Economists have devoted a considerable amount of effort to estimating these elasticities, for inputs such as capital, labor and energy but **not** natural resources. Although, starting in the 1970s, there were theoretical studies that modeled neoclassical economic growth with non-produced capital such as natural resources as factors in production (e.g., Stiglitz, 1974a,b; Mitra, 1978)³; the empirical estimation of the underlying production functions was never carried out, largely because of a lack of data.

This paper is a preliminary attempt in that direction. It is part of a larger study undertaken by the Bank on the Wealth of Nations (World Bank, 2005a). In that study a database of new wealth estimates has been developed which includes both produced and non-produced capital – renewable and non-renewable resources, and human resources. This allows us to estimate a production function that includes the services from these different resources as inputs. This paper examines therefore the economic relationship between total wealth and income generation and takes advantage of the new wealth estimates to estimate a production function based on a larger set of assets. Section 2 describes related studies on substitution between different inputs, including natural resources. Section 3 presents estimation of the production function and Section 3 concludes.

II. Studies on factor substitution

Definitions of Elasticities and Results of Earlier Studies

The definition of an elasticity of substitution given at the beginning of this paper applies unambiguously when there are only two inputs. With more than two inputs, however, the generalization depends on what is assumed constant when the changes in the inputs of interest are being calculated. The issues are familiar to economists – a summary can be found in Kang and Brown (1981). Based on work by Morishima they define a ‘full elasticity of substitution between inputs ‘i’ and ‘j’ as F_{ij} where:

$$F_{ij} = \left| \frac{d \ln(X_i / X_j)}{d \ln(f_j / f_i)} \right|_{\substack{Y \text{ const.} \\ (f_k / f_i) \text{ const.} \\ k \neq i, j}}$$

X_i and X_j are levels on inputs ‘i’ and ‘j’ respectively. They are two of the ‘n’ inputs that go into producing output Y, as represented by the production function:

$$Y = f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

And

³ A bibliographical compilation of studies can be found in Wagner (2004). One exception to the observation that there is little empirical work is Berndt and Field (1981), who did look at limited natural resource substitution between capital, labor, energy and materials. The studies generally found low elasticities between capital and materials. They did not, however, look at land as an input in the way we do here. Nor did they work with national level data.

$$f_i = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X_i}$$

Unfortunately not all studies report this full elasticity of substitution, which corresponds more closely to the concept of substitutability that we are interested in. More commonly the ‘Allen’ partial elasticity is reported, which, for inputs ‘i’ and ‘j’ is given by A_{ij} where:

$$A_{ij} = \frac{1}{s_j} \cdot \frac{\partial \ln X_i}{\partial \ln p_j}$$

s_j is the share of total cost of production represented by input ‘j’ and p_j is the price of input j . Readers will recognize A_{ij} as the weighted cross price elasticity of demand for input ‘i’ with respect to the price of input ‘j’. Kang and Brown cite the result from Morishima which relates the full elasticities of substitution to the Allen elasticities as follows:

$$F_{ij} = s_j (A_{ij} - A_{jj}) = E_{ij} - E_{jj}$$

E_{ij} is the simple unweighted cross price elasticity of demand for input ‘i’ – it gives the proportional change in the use of input ‘i’ for a proportional change in the price of input j . Given that in almost all conditions the own price elasticity (E_{jj}) is negative the full elasticity of substitution will be greater than the corresponding direct price elasticity. The relationship between the Allen and the Full elasticity is less clear but, as we will see below, the latter are typically smaller than the former.

In the simple case of two inputs we note that the full elasticity of substitution cannot be negative. A negative elasticity of substitution is economically nonsensical – it implies a decline in the availability of one input can be ‘made up’ by a decline in the availability of other factors. With more than two inputs it is theoretically possible for this elasticity to be negative but such a case is highly unlikely. It would require the cross price elasticity between two inputs to be negative (indicating they are complements) **and** to be greater in absolute value than the own price elasticity. Taking capital and natural resource inputs as an example, a negative full elasticity would imply that a one percent increase in the price of the natural resource would reduce inputs of that natural resources by a smaller percent than it decreased the inputs of capital. Note also that by the relation defining F_{ij} the full price elasticity between ‘i’ and ‘j’ is not the same as that between ‘j’ and ‘i’ – i.e. $F_{ij} \neq F_{ji}$.

Estimates of the elasticities of substitution are either reported as Allen elasticities or Full elasticities but rarely both. Kang and Brown have calculated the Full elasticities for some studies where the Allen elasticities are reported. Table 1 gives what estimates are available and indicates which elasticity has been reported.

Previous studies demonstrate Full elasticities that are considerably lower than the Allen elasticities. Moreover they are almost all positive and less than one. The one exception is the Hudson and Jorgensen study which suggests that the capital-energy elasticity could be negative. As that study estimates the own price elasticity for energy to be positive, we can probably discount it.

The other result that has attracted a lot of attention is the difference between those studies that find capital and energy as complements (Allen elasticity is negative) and those that find the two factors as substitutes (Allen elasticity is positive). The differences between these studies have been attributed to a number of factors: use of time series versus cross-section data (Griffin, 1981), response of output to changes in relative prices (Solow, 1987), and different methods of aggregating capital (Garofalo and Malhotra, 1988).

We also note that there is little information in existing studies on the substitutability between natural resources and other inputs. The Parks study looks only at agricultural inputs and finds complementarity in terms of the Allen elasticity. We could not recover the full elasticity but it likely to be low. The Moroney and Trapani study finds substitutability for raw material inputs in selected production processes. The two are studies are not comparable; nor do they really throw much light on the substitutability issues we are discussing here.

More recent studies have focused on the substitution between energy and another input, such as labor or capital, using the same definition of elasticity that we employ. Manne and Richels (1992) and Chang (1994) estimated the substitution possibilities between the ‘capital and labor nest’ and energy to be about 0.4; while Kemfert (1998) estimated the same to be about 0.5. On the other hand, Prywes (1986) found the substitution elasticity between the ‘capital and energy nest’ and labor to be less than 0.5. These studies use the variables capital, labor and energy as relating to stock of fixed assets, skilled and unskilled labor, and final energy consumption, respectively.

III. Estimation of Nested CES production function

The estimation carried out here uses national level data on Gross National Income (GNI) or economic output and sees the extent to which variations in GNI across countries at any point in time can be explained in terms of the national availability of produced capital, human resources and natural resources (energy and land resources). A Cobb-Douglas production function of the form shown above is not appropriate for this estimation because it restricts the elasticity between factors to be one. In fact, one of our objectives is to estimate the elasticity of substitution between factors or groups of factors. A form that holds the elasticity constant but allows it to take values different from one is the ‘constant elasticity of substitution’ (CES) production function. In particular, this paper uses a **nested CES** production function. For example, a two level nested CES with three inputs takes the form:⁴

$$X = F[X_{AB}(A, B), C] \quad (2)$$

Where X is the gross output; A , B and C are inputs; and X_{AB} represents the joint contribution of A and B to production. The first level of the estimation involves A and B ; while the second level models the production of output by X_{AB} and C . A special feature of the nested CES function is

⁴ This model makes the further assumption of ‘homothetic weak separability’ for groups of inputs. *Homothetic weak separability* means that the marginal rate of substitution between inputs in a certain group is independent of output and of the level of inputs outside that group (Chiang, 1984)

that the elasticity of substitution between the first level inputs A and B can be different from the elasticity of substitution between the second level inputs X_{AB} and C. In other words, by placing natural resources and other inputs in different levels of the function, we effectively allow for different levels of substitutability. So, for example, natural assets may be critical (low substitutability) while other inputs are allowed to be more substitutable among themselves.

In this paper we use related variables to estimate aggregate national level production functions. The variables used are⁵:

- a. *Produced capital (K)* is an aggregate of equipments, buildings and urban land;
- b. *Human capital (H)* has two alternative measures: human capital, which relates educational attainment with labor productivity (HE); or intangible capital residual (HR), which is obtained as the difference between a country's total wealth and the sum of produced and natural assets. Part of the intangible capital residual captures human capital in the form of raw labor and stock of skills. For further discussion of this variable and its rationale see World Bank, 2005a (Chapter 3 and Chapter 10);
- c. *Production and net imports of non-renewable energy resources (E)* includes oil, natural gas, hard coal and lignite⁶.
- d. *Land resources (L)*, which refer to the aggregated value of crop land, pasture land and protected areas. Land is valued in terms of the present value of the income it generates rather than its market value.

The gross national income (GNI) and all inputs mentioned above are measured in per capita values at 2000 prices and are taken at the national level for 208 countries. GNI data are obtained from the World Development Indicators (World Bank, 2005). HE is derived based on the work by Barro and Lee (2000); while the remaining variables, K, HR, E and L are the components of wealth as described in World Bank, 2005a (Chapter 3).

The relationships of the production inputs to income are expressed in nested CES production functions described in the Annex. Three different nested CES approaches are examined – (a) one-level function, with two inputs; (b) two-level function, with three inputs; and (c) three-level function with four inputs. The combinations of the variables in the different CES approaches were varied to further investigate any possible differences among substitution elasticities for pairs of inputs.

The production function approach taken so far neglects an important set of factors that influence differences in national income. These relate to the efficiency with which productive assets are utilized and combined and include both institutional as well as economic factors. In this study, we consider the following institutional indicators, which capture the efficiency with which production can take place; as well as economic indicators, which also capture the efficiency of economic organization:

⁵ Per capita dollar values at nominal 2000 prices.

⁶ For energy it would be inappropriate to take the stock value of the asset, as what is relevant for production is the flow of energy available to the economy. This is given by production plus net imports. With the other assets (K, H and L) it is also the flow that matters but it is more reasonable to assume that the flow is proportional to the stock. We do note, however, in the conclusions that even this assumption needs to be changed in future work.

- a. *institutional development indicators* - indices on: voice and accountability (VA); political instability and violence (PIV); government effectiveness (GE); regulatory burden (RB); rule of law (RL) and control of corruption (CC). An increase in a given index measures an improvement in the relevant indicator. Hence, they are expected to have a positive impact on income and possibly growth. These indicators were estimated by Kaufmann, et al, 2005a.⁷
- b. *economic indicators* - trade openness (TOPEN) is calculated as the ratio of exports and imports to GDP (World Bank, 2005); and the country's domestic credit to the private sector as proportion of GDP (PCREDIT), which represents private sector investments (Beck, et al., 1999)⁸.

Two methods of incorporating the impact of these institutional and economic indicators were investigated. The first method involved the derivation of residuals from the regression of a nested CES production function. The residuals are the part of income not explained by the wealth components – physical capital, human capital, land resources and energy resources, and are regressed on the identified institutional and economic indicators. By using this method, however, a statistically significant correlation between the residuals and any indicator would imply that relevant variables have been omitted in the estimation of the nested CES production function. Thus, the estimated coefficients of the nested CES production function earlier derived will be biased and inefficient (Greene, 2000). Hence another method is considered to be more appropriate. The influences of the institutional and economic indicators on income will be incorporated into the efficiency parameter of the production function, A (see Annex).

Depending on the available data for the variables of the nested CES production function, the number of countries drops in the range of 67 to 93 countries. For a given nested CES approach, the reduction is caused by considering only those countries that have non-missing observations for their corresponding dependent and explanatory variables (i.e., *complete case method*).⁹

B. Regression results

The nested CES production functions are estimated using a non-linear estimation method.¹⁰ The sample size in each CES approach differs because countries with missing observations in any of the variables had to be dropped. Table 2 shows the estimated substitution

⁷ Data can be obtained from the website: <http://www.worldbank.org/wbi/governance/pubs/govmatters4.html>.

⁸ Hnatkovska and Loayza (2004) use openness and credit as a measure of financial depth, which they find to have a positive impact on growth. Data for this indicator can be obtained from the following website: <http://www.worldbank.org/research/projects/finstructure/database.htm>.

⁹ An “imputation method” was tried to fill the missing values for some of the countries to keep all 208 countries in the estimation. Most of the results, however, were not found to be reasonable. For example, the imputed value of physical capital for a low income country turned out to be too high compared to the average value of physical capital of its income group. Hence, the imputation method was not used since it poses more problems in the estimates than using the *complete case method*.

¹⁰ See Annex for more details.

elasticities corresponding to the case where human capital is part of the measured intangible capital residual (HR). All the statistically significant substitution elasticity estimates have a positive sign, which is encouraging. The lowest is that between K and E at 0.37 in the three-level production function. It is also interesting to note that most of the significant elasticities of substitution are close to one.

A second round of regressions was carried out using the other measure of human capital that is related to schooling and labor productivity, HE. Table 3 shows the statistically significant elasticities of substitution, which also have a positive sign. A substitution elasticity approximately equal to 1 is likewise found for most of the nested functions.

The results provide some interesting findings. First and foremost, there is no sign that the elasticity of substitution between the natural resource (land) and other inputs is particularly low. Wherever land emerges as a significant input, it has an elasticity of substitution approximately equal to or greater than one. Second, by and large the HE variable performs better in the estimation equations than the HR variable. Third, the best determined forms, with all parameters significant are those using HE, involving four factors and containing the combinations: (a) K, HE and L are nested together and then combine with E or (b) K, HE and E are nested together and then combine with L. It is hard to distinguish between these two versions and so they are both used in the further analysis reported below.

From the nested CES production function estimations, the elasticity estimates of the institutional and economic indicators can be derived. Table 4 and Table 5 show the results for the four-factor production functions: $[(K,HE,L)/E]$ and $[(K,E,HE)/L]$ of Table 3, respectively. In both Tables, the variables on trade openness and private sector investment are found to be statistically significant. The elasticity estimates of these two variables are not very different from each other. The results imply that for every percent increase in trade openness, gross national income per capita increases by approximately 0.5 percent. None of the institutional indicators, on the other hand, has a statistically significant elasticity estimate.¹¹

C. Simulation

The predicted value of the dependent variable can be calculated by using the estimated coefficient estimates of the production function and the mean values of the explanatory variables. Through this method, we try to predict what will happen to the economic output (per capita GNI or GNIPC) if there is significant natural resource depletion. The natural resource considered in this exercise is “land resources (L)” and the four-factor nested CES production functions used are: $[(K,HE,L)/E]$ and $[(K,E,HE)/L]$ of Table 3. Table 6 presents the predicted average GNIPC as well as the change in GNIPC given a reduction in the amount of land resources, *ceteris paribus*. Based on the production function $[(K,HE,L)/E]$, economic output is reduced by 50 percent when the amount of L declines by about 92 percent, while holding other variables

¹¹ In the regression where the ‘residuals’ are expressed as a function of the institutional variables, we did find significant values for a few institutional variables, especially the rule of law, which was encouraging as that variable also emerges as important in other evaluations of inter-country differences in the World Bank, 2005 study. Unfortunately, the result did not hold when the more appropriate method was used.

constant. For the production function $[(K,E,HE)/L]$, on the other hand, it takes a reduction in the amount of L by about the same percentage, *ceteris paribus*, to halve the economic output relative to the baseline.

III. Conclusions

In this paper we looked at the potential for substituting between different inputs in the generation of GNI. Among these are land resources, one of the most important natural resources. The estimation of a well-known production function form, which allows the elasticities of substitution to be different from one, was carried out. The resulting elasticities involving land resources (between L and other inputs such as physical capital, human capital and energy resources) were generally around one or greater, which implies a fairly high degree of substitutability. Moreover, it validates the use of a 'Hartwick Rule' of saving the rents from the exploitation of natural resources if we are to follow a maximum constant sustainable consumption path.

There are, of course, many caveats to this result. Land resources, as measured here include crop land, pasture land and protected areas. Each has been valued in terms of present value of the flow of income that it generates. Such flows, however, under-represent the importance of protected areas for example, which provide significant non-monetary services, including ecosystem maintenance services that are not included. Further work is needed to include these values, and if this were done, and if the GNI measure were adjusted to allow for these flows of 'income', the resulting estimates of substitution elasticities might well change. We intend to continue to work along these lines and to improve the estimates made here.

Another shortcoming of the method applied here is the limited number of factors included in the original estimation. Generating national income depends not on the stock of assets but the amounts of the stocks that are used in production and the way in which they are used. For physical and human capital and land, we assume the rate of use is proportional to the stock. That assumption should be improved on, to allow for different utilization rates.

The treatment of institutional factors can also be improved. In this version they are assumed to affect the overall efficiency of production rather than the efficiency of specific inputs, such as capital and labor. A modified estimation equation in which K, L and HE were differently affected by different institutional factors would probably find greater significance for these factors than we have.

Finally the paper also examined how the institutional and economic indicators will affect the generation of GNI. Estimation results show that income generation is significantly influenced by changes in trade openness and private sector investment. The institutional indicators, however, have no statistically significant impact on income generation.

Table 1: Estimates of Elasticities of Substitution in Previous Studies

| Inputs | Estimates | | Study |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Allen Elasticity | Full Elasticity | |
| Capital and natural resource inputs | -0.82 (0.47: 1.08) | - - | Parks (1971) Moroney and Trapani (1981) |
| Labor and natural resource inputs | 0.90 (0.63 : 1.33) | - - | Parks (1971) Moroney and Trapani (1981) |
| Capital and Labor | 0.12 1.09 1.01 (.06 : 0.39) (0.60 : 0.95) 0.88??? | - (0.56 : 0.74)* - (0.17 : 0.19)* - - | Parks (1971) Hudson and Jorgenson (1974) Brendt and Wood (1979) Griffin and Gregory (1976) Moroney and Trapani (1981) Prywes (1986) |
| Capital and Energy | -1.39 -3.22 1.03: 1.07 2.17 - | (-0.09 : 0.24) * 0.26** (0.33 : 0.92)* 0.87 0.65 | Hudson and Jorgenson (1974) Brendt and Wood (1979) Griffin and Gregory (1976) Chang (1994) Kemfert (1998) |
| Labor and Energy | 2.16 0.65 (0.84 : 0.87) 0.88 | - 0.42 0.35 | Hudson and Jorgenson (1974) Brendt and Wood (1975) Griffin and Gregory (1976) Prywes (1986) Chang (1994) Kemfert (1998) |
| Labor and Capital 'nest' and Energy | - - | 0.40 0.50 | Manne and Richels (1992) Kemfert (1998) |

(*) The full elasticity was calculated by Kang and Brown

(**) The full elasticity was calculated by the authors.

Study focus and estimation method:

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Parks (1971): | Swedish manufacturing industry; Generalized Leontief Function |
| Moroney and Trapani (1981): | Primary aluminum and Blast furnaces/Basic steel, respectively; Translog cost model |
| Hudson and Jorgenson (1974): | U.S. manufacturing sector; Translog cost function |
| Berndt and Wood (1979): | U.S. manufacturing sector; Translog cost function |
| Griffin and Gregory (1976): | U.S. and U.K. manufacturing sectors, respectively; Translog cost function |
| Prywes (1986): | Manufacturing sector; Nested CES estimation. |
| Chang (1994): | Taiwan manufacturing industry (aggregate); Nested CES and Allen elasticities of substitution |
| Kemfert (1998): | German manufacturing industry (aggregate); Nested CES |
| Manne and Richels (1992): | Manufacturing sector; Nested CES |

Table 2: Substitution elasticities ($\hat{\sigma}_i$), using intangible capital residual (HR)

| | Inputs | Substitution elasticity | | R-squared | Adj. R-squared | Sample size |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| | | $\hat{\sigma}_i$ | Standard error | | | |
| A. Two factors (One level CES production function) | | | | | | |
| (1) | K/HR | 1.00* | 3.88E-10 | 0.9216 | 0.9131 | 93 |
| (2) | K/E | -0.48 | 2.02 | 0.9958 | 0.9951 | 78 |
| B. Three factors (Two level CES production function) | | | | | | |
| (1) | (K,HR)/L | | | 0.9375 | 0.9290 | 93 |
| | ➤ K/HR | 6.79 | 13.92 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HR)/L ¹ | 1.00* | 4.33E-10 | | | |
| (2) | (K,HR)/E | | | 0.9089 | 0.8916 | 70 |
| | ➤ K/HR | -0.78 | 1.31 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HR)/E ¹ | 1.00* | 5.37E-10 | | | |
| (3) | (K,E)/HR | | | 0.87667 | 0.8533 | 70 |
| | ➤ K/E | 0.65 | 0.69 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,E)/HR ¹ | 1.00* | 3.96E-09 | | | |
| C. Four factors (Three level CES production function) | | | | | | |
| (1) | (K,HR,L)/E | | | 0.3435 | 0.1911 | 70 |
| | ➤ K/HR | -0.90 | 0.70 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HR)/L ¹ | 0.97* | 0.01 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HR,L)/E ² | 1.00* | 5.46E-12 | | | |
| (2) | (K,HR,E)/L | | | 0.9958 | 0.9951 | 78 |
| | ➤ K/HR | -0.13 | 0.17 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HR)/E ¹ | 0.93* | 0.18 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HR,E)/L ² | 1.00* | 6.52E-09 | | | |
| (3) | (K,E,HR)/L | | | 0.9350 | 0.9200 | 70 |
| | ➤ K/E | 0.37* | 0.20 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,E)/HR ¹ | -0.64 | 0.55 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,E,HR)/L ² | 1.00* | 1.27E-09 | | | |

Legend: K – physical capital; HR – intangible capital residual (captures raw labor and stock of skills); L – land resources; E – energy resources

Notes: Inputs in parenthesis imply that they are nested.

¹ two inputs in a nested function

² three inputs in a nested function

(*) denotes statistical significance at 5% level

The substitution elasticities and their corresponding standard errors are rounded off to the nearest hundredth.

Table 3: Substitution elasticities ($\hat{\sigma}_i$), using human capital related to schooling (HE)

| | Inputs | Substitution elasticity | | R-squared | Adj. R-squared | Sample size |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|
| | | $\hat{\sigma}_i$ | Standard error | | | |
| A. Two factors (One level CES production function) | | | | | | |
| (1) | K/HE | 1.00* | 2.50E-08 | 0.9061 | 0.8942 | 81 |
| B. Three factors (Two level CES production function) | | | | | | |
| (1) | (K,HE)/L | | | 0.9203 | 0.9076 | 81 |
| | ➤ K/HE | 1.01* | 0.01 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HE)/L ¹ | 1.00* | 2.23E-10 | | | |
| (2) | (K,HE)/E | | | 0.8952 | 0.8742 | 67 |
| | ➤ K/HE | 1.65* | 0.12 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HE)/E ¹ | 1.00* | 6.76E-11 | | | |
| (3) | (K,E)/HE | | | 0.7674 | 0.7209 | 67 |
| | ➤ K/E | 0.17 | 0.19 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,E)/HE ¹ | 1.00* | 8.22E-08 | | | |
| C. Four factors (Three level CES production function) | | | | | | |
| (1) | (K,HE,L)/E | | | 0.9037 | 0.8081 | 67 |
| | ➤ K/HE | 1.78* | 0.11 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HE)/L ¹ | 1.14* | 0.02 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HE,L)/E ² | 1.00* | 2.52E-12 | | | |
| (2) | (K,HE,E)/L | | | 0.9059 | 0.8828 | 67 |
| | ➤ K/HE | -8.55 | 12.61 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HE)/E ¹ | 0.48* | 0.17 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,HE,E)/L ² | 1.00* | 4.60E-11 | | | |
| (3) | (K,E,HE)/L | | | 0.9062 | 0.8831 | 67 |
| | ➤ K/E | 1.57* | 0.37 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,E)/HE ¹ | 0.92* | 0.02 | | | |
| | ➤ (K,E,HE)/L ² | 1.00* | 6.41E-11 | | | |

Legend: K – physical capital; HE – human capital related to educational attainment and labor productivity; L – land resources; E – energy resources

Notes: Inputs in parenthesis imply that they are nested.

¹ two inputs in a nested function

² three inputs in a nested function

(*) denotes statistical significance at 5% level; (**) at 10% level

The substitution elasticities and their corresponding standard errors are rounded off to the nearest hundredth.

Table 4: Elasticity estimates of the economic and institutional indicators using the [(K, HE, L)/E] production function

| Variable | Elasticity | Standard error | t-statistic |
|----------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| TOPEN | 0.47 | 0.10 | 4.53 |
| PCREDIT | 0.51 | 0.12 | 4.25 |
| VA | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| PIV | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.28 |
| GE | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.40 |
| RB | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.39 |
| RL | -0.07 | 0.10 | -0.73 |
| CC | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.17 |

Legends: TOPEN-trade openness; PCREDIT- variable for private sector investment; VA - voice and accountability; PIV- political instability and violence; GE - government effectiveness; RB - regulatory burden; RL - rule of law; and CC - control of corruption.

Table 5: Elasticity estimates of the economic and institutional indicators using the [(K, E, HE)/L] production function

| Variable | Elasticity | Standard error | t-statistic |
|----------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| TOPEN | 0.50 | 0.09 | 5.27 |
| PCREDIT | 0.51 | 0.11 | 4.83 |
| VA | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.45 |
| PIV | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.44 |
| GE | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.62 |
| RB | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.37 |
| RL | -0.08 | 0.09 | -0.86 |
| CC | -0.02 | 0.08 | -0.24 |

Legends: TOPEN-trade openness; PCREDIT- variable for private sector investment; VA - voice and accountability; PIV- political instability and violence; GE - government effectiveness; RB - regulatory burden; RL - rule of law; and CC - control of corruption.

Table 6: Level of Gross National Income per capita given a reduction in the amount of land

| Prod. function | Baseline* | Reduction in the amount of land by | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | 20% | 50% | 75% | 92% |
| (K,HE,L)/E | \$8,638.10 | \$8,068.84 | \$7,019.27 | \$5,774.25 | \$4,297.16 |
| <i>Difference from baseline**</i> | | <i>(-7%)</i> | <i>(-19%)</i> | <i>(-33%)</i> | <i>(-50%)</i> |
| (K,E,HE)/L | \$9,096.20 | \$8,540.27 | \$7,477.97 | \$6,147.62 | \$4,455.06 |
| <i>Difference from baseline**</i> | | <i>(-6%)</i> | <i>(-18%)</i> | <i>(-32%)</i> | <i>(-51%)</i> |

*Predicted per capita GNI at the mean values of the explanatory variables.

**Rounded off to the nearest whole number

Sample size of each production function = 67

References

- Barro R. and J.W. Lee. 2000. "International Data on Educational Attainment: Updates and Implications." *CID Working Paper No. 42*. Center for International Development, Harvard University.
- Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A. and Levine, R. 1999. "A New Database on Financial Development and Structure." *World Bank Economic Review*, 14(3): 597-605.
- Berndt, E. and D. Wood. 1979. "Engineering and Econometric Interpretation of Energy-Capital Complementarity." *American Economic Review*, 69: 342-354.
- Berndt, E. and B. Field. (eds.) 1981. Modeling and Measuring Natural Resource Substitution, Cambridge MA: MIT Press.
- H. Kang and G.M. Brown. "Partial and Full Elasticities of Substitution and the Energy-Capital Complementary Controversy", in Berndt, E. and B. Field. (eds.) 1981. Modeling and Measuring Natural Resource Substitution, Cambridge MA: MIT Press.
- Chang, K. 1994. "Capital-energy Substitution and the Multi-level CES Production Function." *Energy Economics*, 16(1): 22-26.
- Chiang, A.C. 1984. Fundamental Methods of Mathematical Economics, 3rd edition. Singapore: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Garofalo, A. and D. Malhotra. 1988. "Aggregation of Capital and Its Substitution with Energy." *Eastern Economic Journal*, 14(3): 251-262.
- Greene, W. 2000. Econometric Analysis, 4th edition. Prentice Hall, USA.
- Griffin, J. and P. Gregory. 1976. "An Intercountry Translog Model of Energy Substitution Responses." *American Economic Review*, 66: 845-857.
- Griffin, J. 1981. "Engineering and Econometric Interpretations of Energy-Capital Complementarity: Comment." *American Economic Review*, 71(5): 1100-1104.
- Hamilton, K. 1995. "Sustainable Development, the Hartwick Rule and Optimal Growth." *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 5: 393-411.
- Hnatkovska, V. and Loayza, N. 2004. "Volatility and Growth," in *Managing Volatility and Crises: A Practitioner's Guide*, eds B. Pinto et al. The World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Hudson, E. and D. Jorgenson. 1974. "U.S. Energy Policy and Economic Growth, 1975-2000." *Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*, 5: 461-514.

- Kaufmann, D., A. Kraay and M. Mastruzzi. 2005. "Governance Matters IV: Governance Indicators for 1996-2004", *World Bank Institute Working Paper*. The World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Kemfert, C. and H. Welsch. 2000. "Energy-capital-labor substitution and the economic effects of CO2 abatement: Evidence for Germany." *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 22(6): 641-660.
- Kemfert, C. 1998. "Estimated production elasticities of a nested CES production function approach for Germany". *Energy Economics*, 20: 249-264.
- Kent, A. 1972. "Optimal Growth When the Stock of Resources is Finite and Depletable." *Journal of Economic Theory*, 4(2): 256-67.
- Manne, A. and R. Richels. 1992. Buying Greenhouse Insurance: The Economic Costs of CO₂ Emission Limits. U.S.A.:MIT Press.
- Mitra, T. 1978. "Efficient Growth with Exhaustible Resources in a Neoclassical Model", *Journal of Economic Theory*, 17(1): 114-29.
- Moroney, J. and J. Trapani. 1981. "Factor Demand and Substitution in Mineral-intensive Industries." *Bell Journal of Economics*, 12(1): 272-284.
- Parks, R. 1971. "Price Responsiveness of Factor Utilization in Swedish Manufacturing, 1870-1950." *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 53(2): 129-139.
- Prywes, M. 1986. "A Nested CES Approach to Capital-Energy Substitution." *Energy Economics*, 8: 22-28.
- Solow, J. 1987. "The Capital-Energy Complementarity Debate Revisited." *American Economic Review*, 77(4): 605-614.
- Stiglitz, J.E. 1974a. "Growth with Exhaustible Natural Resources: Efficient and Optimal Growth Paths." *Review of Economic Studies*, pp. 123-37.
- Stiglitz, J.E. 1974b. "Growth with Exhaustible Natural Resources: The Competitive Economy." *Review of Economic Studies*, pp. 139-52
- Wagner, G. 2004. "Environmental Macroeconomics Bibliography." Available at: http://www.gwagner.net/work/environmental_macro_economics.html
- World Bank. 2005. World Development Indicators. U.S.A.: The World Bank.
- World Bank 2005a. The Wealth of Nations: The World Bank.

Annex

Three different CES approaches

1. A traditional CES production function with two inputs is written as:

$$(i) \text{ physical capital } (K) \text{ and human capital } (H) \\ Y = A(aK^{-\beta} + bH^{-\beta})^{-1/\beta} \quad (A.1)$$

$$(ii) \text{ physical capital } (K) \text{ and energy resources } (E) \\ Y = A(aK^{-\beta} + bE^{-\beta})^{-1/\beta} \quad (A.2)$$

where Y is the per capita gross national income. A is an efficiency parameter. a and b are distribution parameters that lie between zero and one; and β represents the substitution parameter. The **substitution elasticity** (σ) is calculated as: $\sigma = (1/\beta + 1)$. Values of β must be greater than -1 (a value less than -1 is economically nonsensical, although it has been observed in a number of studies – see for example, Prywes, 1986). If $\beta > -1$ the substitution elasticity must of course be positive.

A , the efficiency parameter, is assumed to be a function of the economic (TOPEN and PCREDIT) and institutional indicators described in the text. Two functional forms of A have been tried:

$$(a) \quad A = e^{\lambda_1 \text{TOPEN} + \lambda_2 \text{PCREDIT} + \lambda_3 \text{VA} + \lambda_4 \text{PIV} + \lambda_5 \text{GE} + \lambda_6 \text{RB} + \lambda_7 \text{RL} + \lambda_8 \text{CC}}$$

$$(b) \quad A = \lambda_1 \text{TOPEN} + \lambda_2 \text{PCREDIT} + \lambda_3 \text{VA} + \lambda_4 \text{PIV} + \lambda_5 \text{GE} + \lambda_6 \text{RB} + \lambda_7 \text{RL} + \lambda_8 \text{CC}$$

and the second functional form of A was found to be more appropriate.

TOPEN means trade openness; PCREDIT is a variable for private sector investment; VA, voice and accountability; PIV, political instability and violence; GE, government effectiveness; RB, regulatory burden; RL, rule of law; and CC, control of corruption. The scores for each institutional indicator lie between -2.5 and 2.5, with higher scores corresponding to better outcomes.

2. A two-level nested CES production function with three inputs is investigated for three cases:

(i) K and H in the nested function, X_{KH} is a substitute to *land resources* (L):

$$Y_1 = A_1 \left[a_1 (b_1 K^{-\alpha_1} + (1-b_1) H^{-\alpha_1})^{\beta_1/\alpha_1} + (1-a_1) L^{-\beta_1} \right]^{-1/\beta_1} \quad (A.3)$$

(ii) K and H in the nested function, X_{KH} is a substitute to *energy resources* (E):

$$Y_2 = A_2 \left[a_2 (b_2 K^{-\alpha_2} + (1-b_2) H^{-\alpha_2})^{\beta_2/\alpha_2} + (1-a_2) E^{-\beta_2} \right]^{-1/\beta_2} \quad (A.4)$$

(iii) K and E in the nested function, X_{KE} is a substitute to *human capital* (H);

$$Y_3 = A_3 \left[a_3 \left(b_3 K^{-\alpha_3} + (1 - b_3) E^{-\alpha_3} \right)^{\beta_3/\alpha_3} + (1 - a_3) H^{-\beta_3} \right]^{-1/\beta_3} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where α_i and β_i are substitution parameters.

3. A three-level nested CES production function with four inputs is studied for these three cases:

(i) K , H and L in the nested function, and E as a substitute to X_{KHL} :

$$Y_4 = A_4 \left\{ a_4 \left[b_4 \left(c_4 K^{-\alpha_4} + (1 - c_4) H^{-\alpha_4} \right)^{\rho_4/\alpha_4} + (1 - b_4) L^{-\rho_4} \right]^{\beta_4/\rho_4} + (1 - a_4) E^{-\beta_4} \right\}^{-1/\beta_4} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

(ii) P , H and E in the nested function, and L as a substitute to X_{KHE} ;

$$Y_5 = A_5 \left\{ a_5 \left[b_5 \left(c_5 K^{-\alpha_5} + (1 - c_5) H^{-\alpha_5} \right)^{\rho_5/\alpha_5} + (1 - b_5) E^{-\rho_5} \right]^{\beta_5/\rho_5} + (1 - a_5) L^{-\beta_5} \right\}^{-1/\beta_5} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

(iii) K , E and H in the nested function, and L as a substitute to X_{KEH} .

$$Y_6 = A_6 \left\{ a_6 \left[b_6 \left(c_6 K^{-\alpha_6} + (1 - c_6) E^{-\alpha_6} \right)^{\rho_6/\alpha_6} + (1 - b_6) H^{-\rho_6} \right]^{\beta_6/\rho_6} + (1 - a_6) L^{-\beta_6} \right\}^{-1/\beta_6} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where $\alpha_i, \rho_i, \beta_i$ are substitution parameters; and $0 < a_i, b_i, c_i < 1$.

The substitution elasticities for these CES Approaches can be described as follows:

| | |
|--|---|
| $\sigma_{\alpha_i} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_i}$ | <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K and H when 'i' = 1,2,4,5</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K and E when 'i' = 1,6</p> |
| $\sigma_{\rho_i} = \frac{1}{1 + \rho_i}$ | <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/H and L when 'i' = 4</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/H and E when 'i' = 5</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/E and H when 'i' = 6</p> |
| $\sigma_{\beta_i} = \frac{1}{1 + \beta_i}$ | <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/H and L when 'i' = 1</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/H and E when 'i' = 2</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/E and H when 'i' = 3</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/H/L and E when 'i' = 4</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/H/E and L when 'i' = 5</p> <p>Gives the elasticity of substitution between K/E/H and L when 'i' = 6</p> |

The nested CES production functions are estimated using the non-linear estimation method via the STATA program. The non-linear estimation program uses an iterative procedure to find the parameter values in the relationship that cause the sum of squared residuals (SSR) to be minimized. It starts with approximate guesses of the parameter values (also called, "starting values"), and computes the residuals and then the SSR. The starting values are a combination of arbitrary values and coefficient estimates of a nested CES production function. For example, the

starting values of Equation (A.1) are arbitrary. A set of numbers is tried until convergence is achieved. On the other hand, the starting values of Equation (A.3) are based on the coefficient estimates of Equation (A.1). Next, it changes one of the parameter values slightly, computes again the residuals to see if the SSR becomes smaller or larger. The iteration process goes on until there is convergence – until it finds parameter values that, when changed slightly in any direction, causes the SSR to rise. Hence, these parameter values are the least squares estimate in the nonlinear context.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

<http://www.repec.org>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

| | | |
|------|---------|--|
| IEM | 1.2004 | <i>Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u></i> |
| ETA | 2.2004 | <i>Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u></i> |
| PRA | 3.2004 | <i>Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u></i> |
| ETA | 4.2004 | <i>Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u></i> |
| ETA | 5.2004 | <i>Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u></i> |
| CCMP | 6.2004 | <i>Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u></i> |
| PRA | 7.2004 | <i>Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u></i> |
| PRA | 8.2004 | <i>Wolfgang AUSENNEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u></i> |
| PRA | 9.2004 | <i>Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u></i> |
| PRA | 10.2004 | <i>Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u></i> |
| PRA | 11.2004 | <i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u></i> |
| PRA | 12.2004 | <i>Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u></i> |
| PRA | 13.2004 | <i>Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u></i> |
| PRA | 14.2004 | <i>Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u></i> |
| PRA | 15.2004 | <i>Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u></i> |
| PRA | 16.2004 | <i>Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u></i> |
| CCMP | 17.2004 | <i>Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u></i> |
| NRM | 18.2004 | <i>Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u></i> |
| SIEV | 19.2004 | <i>Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u></i> |
| NRM | 20.2004 | <i>Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u></i> |
| NRM | 21.2004 | <i>Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u></i> |
| NRM | 22.2004 | <i>Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u></i> |
| NRM | 23.2004 | <i>Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u></i> |
| NRM | 24.2004 | <i>Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u></i> |
| NRM | 25.2004 | <i>Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u></i> |
| NRM | 26.2004 | <i>Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u></i> |
| NRM | 27.2004 | <i>Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u></i> |
| CSRM | 28.2004 | <i>Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u></i> |
| NRM | 29.2004 | <i>Marian WEBER (lxvi): <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u></i> |
| NRM | 30.2004 | <i>Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u></i> |
| CCMP | 31.2004 | <i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u></i> |
| CCMP | 32.2004 | <i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u></i> |
| CTN | 33.2004 | <i>Wilson PEREZ: <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u></i> |
| KTHC | 34.2004 | <i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u></i> |
| KTHC | 35.2004 | <i>Linda CHAIB (lxviii): <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u></i> |

| | | |
|------|---------|--|
| KTHC | 36.2004 | <i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI</i> (Ixviii): <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u> |
| KTHC | 37.2004 | <i>Kristine CRANE</i> (Ixviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u> |
| KTHC | 38.2004 | <i>Kiflemariam HAMDE</i> (Ixviii): <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u> |
| ETA | 39.2004 | <i>Alberto CAVALIERE</i> : <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u> |
| PRA | 40.2004 | <i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST</i> : <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u> |
| CCMP | 41.2004 | <i>Micheal FINUS</i> (Ixix): <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u> |
| KTHC | 42.2004 | <i>Francesco CRESPI</i> : <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u> |
| CTN | 43.2004 | <i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI</i> : <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u> |
| CTN | 44.2004 | <i>Marc ESCRHUELA-VILLAR</i> : <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u> |
| NRM | 45.2004 | <i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL</i> (Ixvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u> |
| NRM | 46.2004 | <i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLES MARK THORSEN</i> (Ixvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u> |
| NRM | 47.2004 | <i>John MBURU</i> (Ixvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u> |
| NRM | 48.2004 | <i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE</i> (Ixvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy</u> |
| CCMP | 49.2004 | <i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u> |
| GG | 50.2004 | <i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u> |
| CTN | 51.2004 | <i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG</i> : <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u> |
| SIEV | 52.2004 | <i>Francesco RICCI</i> : <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u> |
| SIEV | 53.2004 | <i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u> |
| NRM | 54.2004 | <i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF</i> (Ixvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u> |
| NRM | 55.2004 | <i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN</i> (Ixvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u> |
| NRM | 56.2004 | <i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE</i> (Ixvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u> |
| CCMP | 57.2004 | <i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON</i> : <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u> |
| CCMP | 58.2004 | <i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN</i> : <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u> |
| NRM | 59.2004 | <i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA</i> (Ixvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u> |
| NRM | 60.2004 | <i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI</i> (Ixvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u> |
| CCMP | 61.2004 | <i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO</i> : <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u> |
| NRM | 62.2004 | <i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u> |
| NRM | 63.2004 | <i>Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ</i> (Ixvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u> |
| NRM | 64.2004 | <i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN</i> (Ixvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u> |
| NRM | 65.2004 | <i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK</i> (Ixvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u> |
| ETA | 66.2004 | <i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> : <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u> |
| GG | 67.2004 | <i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA</i> : <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u> |
| GG | 68.2004 | <i>Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u> |
| NRM | 69.2004 | <i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO</i> : <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u> |
| CTN | 70.2004 | <i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u> |
| IEM | 71.2004 | <i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u> |
| IEM | 72.2004 | <i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER</i> : <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u> |
| SIEV | 73.2004 | <i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA</i> : <u>The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests</u> |

| | | |
|------|----------|--|
| CCMP | 74.2004 | <i>Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND</i> : <u>Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Assessment</u> |
| ETA | 75.2004 | <i>Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u> |
| CTN | 76.2004 | <i>Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union</u> |
| CTN | 77.2004 | <i>Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERA and Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (lxx): <u>Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion</u> |
| CTN | 78.2004 | <i>Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES</i> (lxx): <u>Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options</u> |
| CTN | 79.2004 | <i>Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE</i> (lxx): <u>Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency Gains</u> |
| CTN | 80.2004 | <i>Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u> |
| CTN | 81.2004 | <i>Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO</i> (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u> |
| CTN | 82.2004 | <i>Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT</i> (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization and Coalition Government Formation</u> |
| CTN | 83.2004 | <i>Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN</i> (lxx): <u>Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement</u> |
| CTN | 84.2004 | <i>Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ</i> (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging Small World?</u> |
| CTN | 85.2004 | <i>Edward CARTWRIGHT</i> (lxx): <u>Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players</u> |
| IEM | 86.2004 | <i>Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by Hydroelectric Power</u> |
| KTHC | 87.2004 | <i>Elissaios PAPHAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income</u> |
| CCMP | 88.2004 | <i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey</u> |
| IEM | 89.2004 | <i>A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE</i> : <u>Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There Convergence Towards the EU Average?</u> |
| GG | 90.2004 | <i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Agreements and Technology Policy</u> |
| PRA | 91.2004 | <i>Sergei IZMALKOV</i> (lxv): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u> |
| KTHC | 92.2004 | <i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> : <u>Cities and Cultures</u> |
| KTHC | 93.2004 | <i>Massimo DEL GATTO</i> : <u>Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u> |
| CCMP | 94.2004 | <i>Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON</i> : <u>Equilibrium with a Market of Permits</u> |
| CCMP | 95.2004 | <i>Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply</u> |
| CCMP | 96.2004 | <i>Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise</u> |
| CTN | 97.2004 | <i>Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA</i> : <u>Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form</u> |
| CTN | 98.2004 | <i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK</i> : <u>Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation</u> |
| GG | 99.2004 | <i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA</i> : <u>The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements</u> |
| SIEV | 100.2004 | <i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents</u> |
| SIEV | 101.2004 | <i>Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure</u> |
| NRM | 102.2004 | <i>Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN</i> : <u>Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test</u> |
| CCMP | 103.2004 | <i>Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability in Italy</u> |
| PRA | 104.2004 | <i>Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSEN</i> : <u>The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation</u> |
| PRA | 105.2004 | <i>John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URGA</i> : <u>Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies</u> |
| PRA | 106.2004 | <i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?</u> |
| PRA | 107.2004 | <i>Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON</i> : <u>Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets</u> |
| SIEV | 108.2004 | <i>Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo MAINARDI</i> : <u>Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u> |
| CTN | 109.2004 | <i>Somdeb LAHIRI</i> : <u>The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some Results</u> |
| NRM | 110.2004 | <i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i> : <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u> |
| SIEV | 111.2004 | <i>Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks: Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study</u> |
| KTHC | 112.2004 | <i>Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI</i> : <u>Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making</u> |
| SIEV | 113.2004 | <i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI</i> : <u>The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications</u> |
| IEM | 114.2004 | <i>Patrick CAYRADE</i> : <u>Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact on the Security of Supply?</u> |
| IEM | 115.2004 | <i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA</i> : <u>Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies</u> |

| | | |
|------|----------|---|
| IEM | 116.2004 | <i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: <u>Social Costs of Energy Disruptions</u></i> |
| IEM | 117.2004 | <i>Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: <u>Market-Based Options for Security of Energy Supply</u></i> |
| IEM | 118.2004 | <i>David FISK: <u>Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?</u></i> |
| IEM | 119.2004 | <i>Giacomo LUCIANI: <u>Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?</u></i> |
| IEM | 120.2004 | <i>L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: <u>The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets</u></i> |
| KTHC | 121.2004 | <i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy</u></i> |
| NRM | 122.2004 | <i>Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSLAK and Anita FASSIO: <u>An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application</u></i> |
| NRM | 123.2004 | <i>Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach</u></i> |
| ETA | 124.2004 | <i>Paul MENSINK: <u>Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited</u></i> |
| NRM | 125.2004 | <i>Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca PALMISANI: <u>Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach</u></i> |
| PRA | 126.2004 | <i>Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: <u>The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence from Poland and the Czech Republic</u></i> |
| CCMP | 127.2004 | <i>Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>A General Equilibrium Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism</u></i> |
| CCMP | 128.2004 | <i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy Savings</u></i> |
| NRM | 129.2004 | <i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth</u></i> |
| PRA | 130.2004 | <i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: <u>Reluctant Privatization</u></i> |
| SIEV | 131.2004 | <i>Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: <u>Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation</u></i> |
| SIEV | 132.2004 | <i>Riccardo SCARPA Kenneth G. WILLIS and Melinda ACUTT: <u>Comparing Individual-Specific Benefit Estimates for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models</u></i> |
| IEM | 133.2004 | <i>Santiago J. RUBIO: <u>On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited</u></i> |
| ETA | 134.2004 | <i>Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: <u>Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma</u></i> |
| SIEV | 135.2004 | <i>Anna ALBERINI: <u>Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys</u></i> |
| CCMP | 136.2004 | <i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The Influence of World Energy Prices</u></i> |
| ETA | 137.2004 | <i>Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: <u>Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an Environmental Taxation Game</u></i> |
| CCMP | 138.2004 | <i>ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China</u></i> |
| CCMP | 139.2004 | <i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy</u></i> |
| NRM | 140.2004 | <i>Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: <u>The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A Real Option Analysis</u></i> |
| PRA | 141.2004 | <i>Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): <u>Bidding for Incomplete Contracts</u></i> |
| PRA | 142.2004 | <i>Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): <u>Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions</u></i> |
| PRA | 143.2004 | <i>David GOLDREICH (lxxi): <u>Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions</u></i> |
| PRA | 144.2004 | <i>Roberto BURGNET (lxxi): <u>Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics</u></i> |
| PRA | 145.2004 | <i>Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): <u>Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions</u></i> |
| PRA | 146.2004 | <i>Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): <u>How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets</u></i> |
| PRA | 147.2004 | <i>Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): <u>Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions</u></i> |
| PRA | 148.2004 | <i>John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): <u>Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions</u></i> |
| PRA | 149.2004 | <i>Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): <u>Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions</u></i> |
| PRA | 150.2004 | <i>François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): <u>Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions</u></i> |
| CCMP | 151.2004 | <i>Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: <u>Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process</u></i> |
| CCMP | 152.2004 | <i>Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model</u></i> |
| PRA | 153.2004 | <i>Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): <u>Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly</u></i> |
| ETA | 154.2004 | <i>Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: <u>Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?</u></i> |
| CTN | 155.2004 | <i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with Externalities</u></i> |
| CCMP | 156.2004 | <i>Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Environmental Innovation, War of Attrition and Investment Grants</u></i> |

| | | |
|------|----------|--|
| CCMP | 157.2004 | <i>Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: <u>How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?</u></i> |
| ETA | 158.2004 | <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply</u></i> |
| ETA | 159.2004 | <i>William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling</u></i> |
| KTHC | 160.2004 | <i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>On the Incidence of a Tax on Pure Rent with Infinite Horizons</u></i> |
| IEM | 161.2004 | <i>Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: <u>Microsimulating the Effects of Household Energy Price Changes in Spain</u></i> |

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005

| | | |
|------|---------|---|
| CCMP | 1.2005 | <i>Stéphane HALLEGATTE: <u>Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change</u></i> |
| CCMP | 2.2005 | <i>Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: <u>Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A Cost-Benefit Analysis in China</u></i> |
| CCMP | 3.2005 | <i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: <u>A Global Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level</u></i> |
| CCMP | 4.2005 | <i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Impact of Climate on Holiday Destination Choice</u></i> |
| ETA | 5.2005 | <i>Hubert KEMPF: <u>Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?</u></i> |
| CCMP | 6.2005 | <i>Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change</u></i> |
| IEM | 7.2005 | <i>David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: <u>The Allure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution</u></i> |
| ETA | 8.2005 | <i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption Democracy and Environmental Policy</u></i> |
| CCMP | 9.2005 | <i>Angelo ANTOCI: <u>Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities in a Growth Model</u></i> |
| CTN | 10.2005 | <i>Frédéric DEROLAN: <u>Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers</u></i> |
| NRM | 11.2005 | <i>Francesco SINDICO: <u>The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment Debate</u></i> |
| KTHC | 12.2005 | <i>Carla MASSIDDA: <u>Estimating the New Keynesian Phillips Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors</u></i> |
| KTHC | 13.2005 | <i>Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: <u>Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms</u></i> |
| PRCG | 14.2005 | <i>Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: <u>Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board Structure</u></i> |
| CSRM | 15.2005 | <i>Parashar KULKARNI: <u>Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed Countries: Lessons from the Indian LeatherFootwear Industry</u></i> |
| KTHC | 16.2005 | <i>Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence</u></i> |
| KTHC | 17.2005 | <i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms</u></i> |
| KTHC | 18.2005 | <i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer</u></i> |
| KTHC | 19.2005 | <i>Mombert HOPPE: <u>Technology Transfer Through Trade</u></i> |
| PRCG | 20.2005 | <i>Roberto ROSON: <u>Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming</u></i> |
| CCMP | 21.2005 | <i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs. A Game Theoretic Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes</u></i> |
| IEM | 22.2005 | <i>Fausto CAVALLARO: <u>An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An Application of the Promethee Method</u></i> |
| CTN | 23.2005 | <i>Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria</u></i> |
| IEM | 24.2005 | <i>Wietze LISE: <u>Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey</u></i> |
| CTN | 25.2005 | <i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas</u></i> |
| SIEV | 26.2005 | <i>Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: <u>Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived Realism, Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection</u></i> |
| NRM | 27.2005 | <i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Anna MONTINI: <u>The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities</u></i> |
| CCMP | 28.2005 | <i>Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: <u>Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information</u></i> |
| NRM | 29.2005 | <i>Paul SARFO-MENSAH: <u>Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations</u></i> |
| CCMP | 30.2005 | <i>Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Effect of Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism</u></i> |
| NRM | 31.2005 | <i>Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: <u>Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies</u></i> |
| NRM | 32.2005 | <i>Chiara D'ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Concession Length and Investment Timing Flexibility</u></i> |
| CCMP | 33.2005 | <i>Joseph HUBER: <u>Key Environmental Innovations</u></i> |
| CTN | 34.2005 | <i>Antoni CALVÓ-ARMENGOL and Rahmi İLKILIÇ (Ixxii): <u>Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network Formation</u></i> |
| CTN | 35.2005 | <i>Francesco FERI (Ixxii): <u>Network Formation with Endogenous Decay</u></i> |
| CTN | 36.2005 | <i>Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (Ixxii): <u>Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and Network Formation Games</u></i> |

| | | |
|------|---------|---|
| CTN | 37.2005 | <i>Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAOKI</i> (lxxii): <u>Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the Resilience of Referral Hiring</u> |
| CTN | 38.2005 | <i>Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS</i> (lxxii): <u>Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated Games</u> |
| CTN | 39.2005 | <i>Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER</i> (lxxii): <u>The Egalitarian Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects</u> |
| CTN | 40.2005 | <i>Francesco FERI</i> : <u>Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay</u> |
| CTN | 41.2005 | <i>Aart de ZEEUW</i> (lxxii): <u>Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u> |
| NRM | 42.2005 | <i>C. Martijn van der HEIDE, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH, Ekko C. van IERLAND and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Measuring the Economic Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The Netherlands</u> |
| PRCG | 43.2005 | <i>Carla VIEIRA and Ana Paula SERRA</i> : <u>Abnormal Returns in Privatization Public Offerings: The Case of Portuguese Firms</u> |
| SIEV | 44.2005 | <i>Anna ALBERINI, Valentina ZANATTA and Paolo ROSATO</i> : <u>Combining Actual and Contingent Behavior to Estimate the Value of Sports Fishing in the Lagoon of Venice</u> |
| CTN | 45.2005 | <i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>Participation in International Environmental Agreements: The Role of Timing and Regulation</u> |
| CCMP | 46.2005 | <i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Are EU Environmental Policies Too Demanding for New Members States?</u> |
| IEM | 47.2005 | <i>Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Modeling Factor Demands with SEM and VAR: An Empirical Comparison</u> |
| CTN | 48.2005 | <i>Olivier TERCIEUX and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxx): <u>A Characterization of Stochastically Stable Networks</u> |
| CTN | 49.2005 | <i>Ana MAULEON, José SEMPERE-MONERRIS and Vincent J. VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxxii): <u>R&D Networks Among Unionized Firms</u> |
| CTN | 50.2005 | <i>Carlo CARRARO, Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Optimal Transfers and Participation Decisions in International Environmental Agreements</u> |
| KTHC | 51.2005 | <i>Valeria GATTAI</i> : <u>From the Theory of the Firm to FDI and Internalisation: A Survey</u> |
| CCMP | 52.2005 | <i>Alireza NAGHAVI</i> : <u>Multilateral Environmental Agreements and Trade Obligations: A Theoretical Analysis of the Doha Proposal</u> |
| SIEV | 53.2005 | <i>Margaretha BREIL, Gretel GAMBARELLI and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach</u> |
| ETA | 54.2005 | <i>Alessandra del BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI, Charles P. HIMMELBERG and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Investment and Time to Plan: A Comparison of Structures vs. Equipment in a Panel of Italian Firms</u> |
| CCMP | 55.2005 | <i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>Emissions Trading, CDM, JI, and More – The Climate Strategy of the EU</u> |
| ETA | 56.2005 | <i>Maia DAVID and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>Environmental Regulation and the Eco-Industry</u> |
| ETA | 57.2005 | <i>Alain-Désiré NIMUBONA and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>The Pigouvian Tax Rule in the Presence of an Eco-Industry</u> |
| NRM | 58.2005 | <i>Helmut KARL, Antje MÖLLER, Ximena MATUS, Edgar GRANDE and Robert KAISER</i> : <u>Environmental Innovations: Institutional Impacts on Co-operations for Sustainable Development</u> |
| SIEV | 59.2005 | <i>Dimitra VOUVAKI and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> (lxxiii): <u>Criteria for Assessing Sustainable Development: Theoretical Issues and Empirical Evidence for the Case of Greece</u> |
| CCMP | 60.2005 | <i>Andreas LÖSCHEL and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE</i> : <u>Impure Public Goods and Technological Interdependencies</u> |
| PRCG | 61.2005 | <i>Christoph A. SCHALTEGGER and Benno TORGLER</i> : <u>Trust and Fiscal Performance: A Panel Analysis with Swiss Data</u> |
| ETA | 62.2005 | <i>Irene VALSECCHI</i> : <u>A Role for Instructions</u> |
| NRM | 63.2005 | <i>Valentina BOSETTI and Gianni LOCATELLI</i> : <u>A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach to the Assessment of Natural Parks' Economic Efficiency and Sustainability. The Case of Italian National Parks</u> |
| SIEV | 64.2005 | <i>Arianne T. de BLAEIJ, Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH</i> : <u>Modeling 'No-choice' Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys</u> |
| CTN | 65.2005 | <i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Applications of Negotiation Theory to Water Issues</u> |
| CTN | 66.2005 | <i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Advances in Negotiation Theory: Bargaining, Coalitions and Fairness</u> |
| KTHC | 67.2005 | <i>Sandra WALLMAN</i> (lxxiv): <u>Network Capital and Social Trust: Pre-Conditions for 'Good' Diversity?</u> |
| KTHC | 68.2005 | <i>Asimina CHRISTOFOROU</i> (lxxiv): <u>On the Determinants of Social Capital in Greece Compared to Countries of the European Union</u> |
| KTHC | 69.2005 | <i>Eric M. USLANER</i> (lxxiv): <u>Varieties of Trust</u> |
| KTHC | 70.2005 | <i>Thomas P. LYON</i> (lxxiv): <u>Making Capitalism Work: Social Capital and Economic Growth in Italy, 1970-1995</u> |
| KTHC | 71.2005 | <i>Graziella BERTOCCHI and Chiara STROZZI</i> (lxxv): <u>Citizenship Laws and International Migration in Historical Perspective</u> |
| KTHC | 72.2005 | <i>Elsbeth van HYLCKAMA Vlieg</i> (lxxv): <u>Accommodating Differences</u> |
| KTHC | 73.2005 | <i>Renato SANSA and Ercole SORI</i> (lxxv): <u>Governance of Diversity Between Social Dynamics and Conflicts in Multicultural Cities. A Selected Survey on Historical Bibliography</u> |
| IEM | 74.2005 | <i>Alberto LONGO and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Identification of Options and Policy Instruments for the Internalisation of External Costs of Electricity Generation. Dissemination of External Costs of Electricity Supply Making Electricity External Costs Known to Policy-Makers</u> <u>MAXIMA</u> |

| | | |
|------|---------|---|
| IEM | 75.2005 | <i>Margherita GRASSO and Matteo MANERA: <u>Asymmetric Error Correction Models for the Oil-Gasoline Price Relationship</u></i> |
| ETA | 76.2005 | <i>Umberto CHERUBINI and Matteo MANERA: <u>Hunting the Living Dead A “Peso Problem” in Corporate Liabilities Data</u></i> |
| CTN | 77.2005 | <i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD: <u>Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule</u></i> |
| ETA | 78.2005 | <i>Joëlle NOAILLY, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH and Cees A. WITHAGEN (lxxvi): <u>Local and Global Interactions in an Evolutionary Resource Game</u></i> |
| ETA | 79.2005 | <i>Joëlle NOAILLY, Cees A. WITHAGEN and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH (lxxvi): <u>Spatial Evolution of Social Norms in a Common-Pool Resource Game</u></i> |
| CCMP | 80.2005 | <i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: <u>Economic Instruments and Induced Innovation: The Case of End-of-Life Vehicles European Policies</u></i> |
| NRM | 81.2005 | <i>Anna LASUT: <u>Creative Thinking and Modelling for the Decision Support in Water Management</u></i> |
| CCMP | 82.2005 | <i>Valentina BOSETTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Assess the Relative Efficiency of Different Climate Policy Portfolios</u></i> |
| ETA | 83.2005 | <i>Ignazio MUSU: <u>Intellectual Property Rights and Biotechnology: How to Improve the Present Patent System</u></i> |
| KTHC | 84.2005 | <i>Giulio CAINELLI, Susanna MANCINELLI and Massimiliano MAZZANTI: <u>Social Capital, R&D and Industrial Districts</u></i> |
| ETA | 85.2005 | <i>Rosella LEVAGGI, Michele MORETTO and Vincenzo REBBA: <u>Quality and Investment Decisions in Hospital Care when Physicians are Devoted Workers</u></i> |
| CCMP | 86.2005 | <i>Valentina BOSETTI and Laurent GILOTTE: <u>Carbon Capture and Sequestration: How Much Does this Uncertain Option Affect Near-Term Policy Choices?</u></i> |
| CSRM | 87.2005 | <i>Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Value Through Diversity: Microfinance and Islamic Finance and Global Banking</u></i> |
| ETA | 88.2005 | <i>A. MARKANDYA and S. PEDROSO: <u>How Substitutable is Natural Capital?</u></i> |

- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003
- (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9th Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004
- (lxxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004
- (lxxii) This paper was presented at the 10th Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.
- (lxxiii) This paper was presented at the 2nd Workshop on "Inclusive Wealth and Accounting Prices" held in Trieste, Italy on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics
- (lxxiv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Trust and social capital in multicultural cities” Athens, January 19-20, 2004
- (lxxv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Diversity as a source of growth” Rome November 18-19, 2004
- (lxxvi) This paper was presented at the 3rd Workshop on Spatial-Dynamic Models of Economics and Ecosystems held in Trieste on 11-13 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics

2004 SERIES

| | |
|-------------|--|
| CCMP | <i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti) |
| GG | <i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| SIEV | <i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini) |
| NRM | <i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi) |
| KTHC | <i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano) |
| IEM | <i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya) |
| CSRM | <i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti) |
| PRA | <i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti) |
| ETA | <i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| CTN | <i>Coalition Theory Network</i> |

2005 SERIES

| | |
|-------------|--|
| CCMP | <i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti) |
| SIEV | <i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini) |
| NRM | <i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi) |
| KTHC | <i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano) |
| IEM | <i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya) |
| CSRM | <i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti) |
| PRCG | <i>Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti) |
| ETA | <i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro) |
| CTN | <i>Coalition Theory Network</i> |