

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Tol, Richard S.J.

# Working Paper The Polluter Pays Principle and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change: An Application of Fund

Nota di Lavoro, No. 88.2006

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

*Suggested Citation:* Tol, Richard S.J. (2006) : The Polluter Pays Principle and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change: An Application of Fund, Nota di Lavoro, No. 88.2006, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/74055

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



# The Polluter Pays Principle and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change: An Application of *Fund*

Richard S.J. Tol

NOTA DI LAVORO 88.2006

**JUNE 2006** 

CCMP – Climate Change Modelling and Policy

Richard S.J. Tol, Research unit Sustainability and Global Change, Hamburg University and Centre for Marine and Atmospheric Science, Institute for Environmental Studies, Vrije Universiteit Engineering and Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=907456

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: www.feem.it, e-mail: working.papers@feem.it

# The Polluter Pays Principle and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change: An Application of *Fund*

#### Summary

I compare and contrast five climate scenarios: (1) no climate policy; (2) non-cooperative cost-benefit analysis (NC CBA); (3) NC CBA with international permit trade; (4) NC CBA with joint and several liability for climate change damages; and (5) NC CBA with liability proportional to a country's share in cumulative emissions. As estimates of the marginal damage costs are low, standard NC CBA implies only limited emission abatement. With international permit trade, emission abatement is even less, as the carbon tax is reduced in countries with fast-growing emissions, and because a permit market ignores the positive, dynamic externalities of abatement. Proportional liability shifts abatement effort towards the richer countries, but away from the fast-growing economies; again, long-term, global emission abatement is reduced. Joint and several liability would lead to more stringent climate policy. These findings are qualitatively robust to the size and accounting of climate change impacts, to the definition of liability, and to the baseline scenario

Keywords: Climate Change, Cost-benefit Analysis, Liability, Permit Trade

## JEL Classification: Q540

This paper is based on an idea of David F. Bradford. We often talked about writing this paper together, but we never really started until it was too late. I have tried my best to reflect David's thoughts. This paper is dedicated to his memory.

Address for correspondence:

Richard S.J. Tol Hamburg University FNU/ZMK/UniHH Bundesstrasse 55 Pavillion Room 008 20146 Hamburg Germany Phone: +49 40 42838 7007 Fax: +49 40 42838 7009 E-mail: richard.tol@zmaw.de

#### 1. Introduction

Cost-benefit analysis of greenhouse gas emission control is one way of deciding on climate policy. Cost-benefit analysis has the advantage of being rigorously rooted in welfare theory. If benefits and costs are representative and correctly estimated, the course of action advised by a cost-benefit analysis would result in the greatest good for the greatest number (Nordhaus, 1991; Manne *et al.*, 1995; Tol, 1999).

Practicalities aside (Pearce, 1976; van den Bergh, 2004), there is a fundamental problem with cost-benefit analysis. Cost-benefit analysis is based on Pareto superiority. A situation is Pareto superior to another situation if no one is worse off and at least someone is better off. For practical purposes, Pareto superiority is replaced with potential Pareto superiority, in which the winners compensate the losers; and no one is worse off after compensation (cf. Farrow, 1998). This is fine if in a national context (if compensation indeed works). It is fine in the case of many sovereign actors, with compensation and *if the baseline/no policy case is agreeable*.

In climate change, neither of these conditions are met. Essentially, the Pareto superiority criterion states that the "policy case" must be better for all than the "no policy case". The no policy case is elevated to being the yardstick against which everything is measured. However, without policy, one country's emissions impose impacts on other countries. Using cost-benefit analysis to look at improvements over this baseline situation is tantamount to declaring that there is a right to emission greenhouse gases. Instead, one may argue that there is a right to a stable climate. In this paper, I follow Coase (1960) and investigate the implications for costbenefit analysis of greenhouse gas emission abatement of assigning the property rights of the atmosphere to the victims of climate change.

There are a large number of papers on equity and climate change (Azar, 2000; Bosello and Roson, 2002; Byrne *et al.*, 1998; Ikeme, 2003; Jamieson, 1996; LeCocq *et al.*, 2000; Müller, 2001; Ridgley, 1996; Rose *et al.*, 1998; Sagar, 2000; Sugiyama and Deshun, 2004; Tonn, 2003; Yohe and van Engel, 2004; Yohe *et al.*, 2000; see Arrow *et al.*, 1996, and Banuri *et al.*, 1996, for reviews of the earlier literature). Many of these papers have difficulty separating the inequities of a world with climate change and the inequities of a world without climate change. There are many papers on cost-benefit analysis of climate change (Ambrosi et al., 2003; Azar and Lindgren, 2003; Azar and Sterner, 1996; Gaertner, 2001; Hasselmann *et al.*, 1997; Maddison, 1995; Nordhaus, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994; Nordhaus and Boyer, 2000; Nordhaus and Yang, 1996; Peck and Teisberg, 1992, 1994, 1995; Tol, 1997, 1999a,b), but these papers duck the equity issue. There are many papers on alternatives to cost-benefit analysis (Alcamo and Kreileman, 1996; Lempert *et al.*, 1996; Petschel-Held *et al.*, 1999; Toth *et al.*, 2002), but these papers often ignore equity and typically use hand-waiving rather than rigorous arguments.

The papers that come closest to my analysis are Tol (2001, 2002c), Kemfert and Tol (2002), Tol and Verheyen (2004), and Gerlagh and Keyzer (2001). Gerlagh and Keyzer (2001) study the implications if the ownership of the atmosphere is with future generations rather than with the present; they do not look at regional differences, and pay scant attention to the damage costs of climate change. Tol and Verheyen (2004) discuss liability for climate impacts in some detail, but do not study the effects on climate policy. Tol (2001, 2002c) looks at a range of generalisations of and alternatives to utilitarian cost-benefit analysis, but not at a Coasian swap of property rights. Kemfert and Tol (2002) do include the polluter-pays-principle, but

among a range of alternatives, and really focus on the effects of spillovers of emission reduction.

This paper is on the effect on climate policy of assigning property rights to a stable climate. One may interpret this as a Coasian analysis (Coase, 1960). One may interpret this as the polluter-pays-principle (e.g., O'Connor, 1997). One may also interpret this as liability for climate change impacts (Whitmore, 2000).

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 presents the model used. Section 3 discusses the basic results in detail for four climate policy scenarios, all based on non-cooperative decision making. The first scenario is standard; the second adds international trade in emission permits, the third scenario includes joint and several liability, and the fourth partial liability. Section 4 shows sensitivity analysis on key assumptions and parameters. Section 5 discusses and concludes.

## 2. The model

This paper uses version 2.8 of the *Climate Framework for Uncertainty, Negotiation and Distribution (FUND)*. Version 2.8 of *FUND* corresponds to version 1.6, described and applied by Tol (1999a,b, 2001, 2002c), except for the impact module, which is described by Tol (2002a,b) and updated by Link and Tol (2004). A further difference is that the current version of the model distinguishes 16 instead of 9 regions. Finally, the model considers emission reduction of methane and nitrous oxide as well as carbon dioxide, as described by Tol (forthcoming).<sup>1</sup>

Essentially, *FUND* consists of a set of exogenous scenarios and endogenous perturbations. The model distinguishes 16 major regions of the world, viz. the United States of America, Canada, Western Europe, Japan and South Korea, Australia and New Zealand, Central and Eastern Europe, the former Soviet Union, the Middle East, Central America, South America, South Asia, Southeast Asia, China, North Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Small Island States. The model runs from 1950 to 2300 in time steps of one year. The prime reason for starting in 1950 is to initialise the climate change impact module. In *FUND*, the impacts of climate change are assumed to depend on the impact of the previous year, this way reflecting the process of adjustment to climate change. Because the initial values to be used for the year 1950 cannot be approximated very well, both physical and monetised impacts of climate change tend to be misrepresented in the first few decades of the model runs. The 22<sup>nd</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> centuries are included to account for the fact that key impacts of a weakening or a shutdown of the thermohaline circulation would be disregarded if the time horizon of the simulations were shorter. Previous versions of the model stopped at 2200.

The period of 1950-1990 is used for the calibration of the model, which is based on the *IMAGE* 100-year database (Batjes & Goldewijk, 1994). The period 1990-2000 is based on observations (WRI, 2000). The climate scenarios for the period 2010-2100 are based on the EMF14 Standardized Scenario, which lies somewhere in between IS92a and IS92f (Leggett *et al.*, 1992). The 2000-2010 period is interpolated from the immediate past, and the period 2100-2300 extrapolated.

The scenarios are defined by the rates of population growth, economic growth, autonomous energy efficiency improvements as well as the rate of decarbonisation of the energy use (autonomous carbon efficiency improvements), and emissions of carbon dioxide from land use change, methane and nitrous oxide. The scenarios of economic and population growth are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A full list of papers and the source code of the model can be found at http://www.uni-hamburg.de/Wiss/FB/15/Sustainability/fund.html.

perturbed by the impact of climatic change. Population decreases with increasing climate change related deaths that result from changes in heat stress, cold stress, malaria, and tropical cyclones. Heat and cold stress are assumed to have an effect only on the elderly, non-reproductive population. In contrast, the other sources of mortality also affect the number of births. Heat stress only affects the urban population. The share of the urban population among the total population is based on the World Resources Databases (WRI, 2000). It is extrapolated based on the statistical relationship between urbanization and per-capita income, which are estimated from a cross-section of countries in 1995. Climate-induced migration between the regions of the world also causes the population sizes to change. Immigrants are assumed to assimilate immediately and completely with the respective host population.

The tangible impacts are dead-weight losses to the economy. Consumption and investment are reduced without changing the savings rate. As a result, climate change reduces long-term economic growth, although consumption is particularly affected in the short-term. Economic growth is also reduced by carbon dioxide abatement measures. The energy intensity of the economy and the carbon intensity of the energy supply autonomously decrease over time. This process is accelerated by abatement policies.

The endogenous parts of *FUND* consist of the atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide, the global mean temperature, the impact of carbon dioxide emission reductions on the economy and on emissions, and the impact of the damages to the economy and the population caused by climate change. Methane and nitrous oxide are taken up in the atmosphere, and then geometrically depleted. The atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide, measured in parts per million by volume, is represented by the five-box model of Maier-Reimer and Hasselmann (1987). Its parameters are taken from Hammitt *et al.* (1992). The model also contains sulphur emissions (Tol, forthcoming)

The radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide and sulphur aerosols is determined based on Shine *et al.* (1990). The global mean temperature *T* is governed by a geometric build-up to its equilibrium (determined by the radiative forcing *RF*), with a half-life of 50 years. In the base case, the global mean temperature rises in equilibrium by  $2.5^{\circ}$ C for a doubling of carbon dioxide equivalents. Regional temperature follows from multiplying the global mean temperature by a fixed factor, which corresponds to the spatial climate change pattern averaged over 14 GCMs (Mendelsohn *et al.*, 2000). The global mean sea level is also geometric, with its equilibrium level determined by the temperature and a half-life of 50 years. Both temperature and sea level are calibrated to correspond to the best guess temperature and sea level for the IS92a scenario of Kattenberg *et al.* (1996).

The climate impact module, based on Tol (2002a,b) includes the following categories: agriculture, forestry, sea level rise, cardiovascular and respiratory disorders related to cold and heat stress, malaria, dengue fever, schistosomiasis, diarrhoea, energy consumption, water resources, and unmanaged ecosystems. Climate change related damages can be attributed to either the rate of change (benchmarked at  $0.04^{\circ}$ C/yr) or the level of change (benchmarked at  $1.0^{\circ}$ C). Damages from the rate of temperature change slowly fade, reflecting adaptation (cf. Tol, 2002b).

People can die prematurely due to temperature stress or vector-borne diseases, or they can migrate because of sea level rise. Like all impacts of climate change, these effects are monetised. The value of a statistical life is set to be 200 times the annual per capita income. The resulting value of a statistical life lies in the middle of the observed range of values in the literature (cf. Cline, 1992). The value of emigration is set to be 3 times the per capita income (Tol, 1995, 1996), the value of immigration is 40 per cent of the per capita income in the host region (Cline, 1992). Losses of dryland and wetlands due to sea level rise are modelled explicitly. The monetary value of a loss of one square kilometre of dryland was on average \$4

million in OECD countries in 1990 (cf. Fankhauser, 1994). Dryland value is assumed to be proportional to GDP per square kilometre. Wetland losses are valued at \$2 million per square kilometre on average in the OECD in 1990 (cf. Fankhauser, 1994). The wetland value is assumed to have logistic relation to per capita income. Coastal protection is based on costbenefit analysis, including the value of additional wetland lost due to the construction of dikes and subsequent coastal squeeze.

Other impact categories, such as agriculture, forestry, energy, water, and ecosystems, are directly expressed in monetary values without an intermediate layer of impacts measured in their 'natural' units (cf. Tol, 2002a). Impacts of climate change on energy consumption, agriculture, and cardiovascular and respiratory diseases explicitly recognize that there is a climatic optimum, which is determined by a variety of factors, including plant physiology and the behaviour of farmers. Impacts are positive or negative depending on whether the actual climate conditions are moving closer to or away from that optimum climate. Impacts are larger if the initial climate conditions are further away from the optimum climate. The optimum climate is of importance with regard to the potential impacts. The actual impacts lag behind the potential impacts, depending on the speed of adaptation. The impacts of not being fully adapted to new climate conditions are always negative (cf. Tol, 2002b).

The impacts of climate change on coastal zones, forestry, unmanaged ecosystems, water resources, diarrhoea malaria, dengue fever, and schistosomiasis are modelled as simple power functions. Impacts are either negative or positive, and they do not change sign (cf. Tol, 2002b).

Vulnerability to climate change changes with population growth, economic growth, and technological progress. Some systems are expected to become more vulnerable, such as water resources (with population growth), heat-related disorders (with urbanization), and ecosystems and health (with higher per capita incomes). Other systems are projected to become less vulnerable, such as energy consumption (with technological progress), agriculture (with economic growth) and vector- and water-borne diseases (with improved health care) (cf. Tol, 2002b).

Carbon dioxide emissions are calculated on the basis of the Kaya identity. Abatement policy reduces emissions *permanently* (by changing the trajectories of carbon and energy intensities) as well as *transiently* (reducing current energy consumptions and carbon emissions). One may interpret the difference between permanent and transient emission reduction as affecting commercial technologies and capital stocks, respectively. The behaviour of the emission reduction module is similar to that of the models of Grubb *et al.* (1995), Ha-Duong *et al.* (1997) and Hasselmann *et al.* (1997). It is a reduced form way of modelling that part of the emission reduction fades away after the policy intervention is reversed, but that another part remains through technological lock-in.

The costs of emission reduction fall, through learning by doing, with cumulative emission reduction (Goulder and Mathai, 2000). Emission reduction is assumed to be relatively expensive for the region that has the lowest emission intensity. The calibration is such that a 10% emission reduction cut in 2003 would cost 1.57% (1.38%) of GDP of the least (most) carbon-intensive region, and an 80% (85%) emission reduction would completely ruin its economy. Emission reduction is relatively cheap for regions with high emission intensities. The thought is that emission reduction is cheap in countries that use a lot of energy and rely heavily on fossil fuels, while other countries use less energy and less fossil fuels and are therefore closer to the technological frontier of emission abatement. The model has been calibrated to the results reported in Hourcade *et al.* (1996); for relatively small emission reduction, the costs in *FUND* correspond closely to those reported by other top-down models, but for higher emission reduction, *FUND* finds higher costs, because *FUND* does not include

backstop technologies, that is, a carbon-free energy supply that is available in unlimited quantities at fixed average costs. Tol (2005b) describes the details of the model.

The costs of methane and nitrous oxide emission reduction are based on the analysis of the USEPA (2003). They report supply curves of emission reduction, stating how much can be abated at a certain price. First, these supply curves were shifted to exclude negative costs. Note that this increases costs. Second, emission reductions were expressed as fractions of baseline emissions. Third, total emission reduction costs (the area under the supply curve) was calculated, and expressed as a fraction of GDP. Fourth, the regional results of the EPA analysis were attributed to the *FUND* regions. Fifth, the bottom-up curve was approximated with a quadratic curve.

Marginal damage costs are computed by running two scenarios, one with slightly higher emissions in the decade of interest; the net present value of the difference in impacts, normalised by the additional emissions is used to approximate the marginal damage costs.

In the policy scenarios below, the marginal costs of carbon dioxide emission reduction are set equal to the marginal damage costs. The marginal costs of methane and nitrous oxide emission reduction are equal to the marginal costs of carbon dioxide emission reduction times the global warming potential.

## 3. Results

I compare and contrast five scenarios. The first scenario is the business as usual, or no emission control scenario. In the second scenario, the regional marginal costs of emission reduction are equal to the regional marginal damage costs of climate change. This corresponds to the non-cooperative, Nash-Cournot equilibrium. In this scenario, regions are indifferent to their impact on other regions. This may be politically realistic. However, marginal abatement costs differ across regions, so there is no permit trade either.

In the third scenario, I implement Bradford's (2004) proposal for the design of the international climate policy regime. There are no negotiations on emission control, let alone obligations. Instead, countries contribute voluntarily to an international climate fund, which uses the collected money to buy emission reduction where that is cheapest. This design respects sovereignty, and it guarantees cost-effective emission reduction.<sup>2</sup> The fund is the intermediary necessary in non-cooperative games with permit trade (cf. Rehdanz and Tol, 2005); in a non-cooperative game, each country has a different marginal damage costs; with emission trade, marginal abatement costs are equal; with the fund in between, countries may care differently at the margin about climate change, but have equal marginal abatement costs nonetheless. I assume that each country contributes to the fund an amount of money that is equal to its marginal damage cost times its annual emissions. If emission reduction costs are approximately linear,<sup>3</sup> then, the global marginal abatement costs equal the weighted average of the regional marginal damage costs of the regions, where the weights are the regional shares in global emissions.

In the fourth scenario (joint and several liability), I introduce liability for climate change impacts. Countries are held liable for all damages they do to other countries. This implies that the regional marginal damage costs – or rather damage plus liability – equals the global marginal damage costs. This solution equals the cooperative equilibrium, in which countries collaborate to maximise the sum of their welfare. This implies, however, that all countries are

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Provided that the fund operates without excessive transaction costs; note that cost-effectiveness only holds at each point in time, but not intertemporally.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the solution, cost curves are linear.

liable for all damages. Therefore, in the fifth scenario (proportional liability), countries are liable only to the extent that they contributed to climate change. Contributions are measured as the share in cumulative emissions since 1990. The regional marginal abatement costs equal this share times the global marginal damage costs.

Obviously, greenhouse gas emissions and marginal damage costs depend on climate policy. The model is iterated until the marginal damage costs equal marginal abatement costs. Convergence is rapid. Note that, through learning by doing and international technology spillover, emission reduction costs also depend on climate policy; as this happens with a delay only, the model solves this without additional iterations.

Table 1 shows the results for the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide. In the standard non-cooperative scenario, emission reduction is small: The 2100 concentration of carbon dioxide is only 13 ppm lower than in the business as usual scenario. Table 2 shows why: The regional marginal damage costs are small. The global marginal damages are only \$13/tC in 2005; regional marginal damages are only a fraction of that. In 2055, marginal damages are a bit lower; this is because the rate of climate change is slower, and because societies are overall less vulnerable to climate change because of economic growth.

With emission trade, emission reduction is even smaller. This may be surprising: Willingness to pay for greenhouse gas emission reduction has not changed, but emission reduction is cheaper; the same sum of money should go a longer way. This reasoning is not correct. Emission trade *redistributes* emission reduction effort – see Table 3. Marginal abatement costs increase in some regions, but decrease in others – see Table 2; the latter regions buy emission permits from the former. In 2005, the three importers of permits are the EU, the USA and China. Although climate change impacts are large in developing countries relative to their GDP, in absolute dollar terms, impacts are larger in the EU and the USA. China's economy is projected to continue to grow rapidly; and its large share in emissions and population imply that there is a substantial internal share of the climate change damages it causes.

Another reason is that the contributions to the international fund are determined by emissions and marginal damage costs – and not by the costs of emission reduction.

Finally, emission trade as implemented here ignores the dynamic externalities of emission reduction. There is cost-reducing learning-by-doing in the model, but long-term structural changes in the energy sector are more important. In the short-term, permit trade implies higher emissions in China and lower emissions in, say, South America. The two cancel. This implies a higher emission intensity in China and a lower one in South America, not only in the short-term, but also in the long-term. As China's economy grows faster, global long-term emissions are higher if abatement is shifted to South America.

In the joint and several liability scenario, the 2100 concentration of  $CO_2$  is some 70 ppm below the baseline – see Table 1. This emission reduction is not substantial – not surprising given the low carbon tax – but much higher than in the standard non-cooperative case. Table 2 shows the marginal damages.

In the proportional liability scenario, the 2100 concentration of  $CO_2$  is again a little higher than in the standard non-cooperative scenario. Again, this may surprise. Liability would fully internalise the damage done. However, this scenario has *proportional* liability only, according to the shares given in Table 3. That means that a country is liable for only a share of the damage done. Furthermore, there is mutual liability, so that a large share of one's own damages can be claimed from other countries. So, proportional liability redistributes the emission abatement effort, just like permit trade does. Again, the regions with the highest marginal damage costs – China, the EU and the USA – do less while others regions do more – see Table 2. The result is reduced global effort in the long-term – see Table  $1.4^{4}$ 

## 4. Sensitivity analysis

The limited emission abatement above is driven by the low marginal damage cost estimates. In a first sensitivity analysis, I increase the climate sensitivity – the equilibrium warming due to a doubling of atmospheric  $CO_2$  – from 2.5°C to 4.5°C. Table 1 shows the results; Table 4 has the marginal damage costs. Non-cooperative emission reduction is more stringent; the 2100 CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is 100 ppm below the previous baseline, but some 30 ppm of this is due to the fact that the increased damages reduce baseline emissions. With joint and several liability, the concentration is 315 ppm lower; concentrations never exceed 730 ppm. With permit trade and with proportional liability, CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are close to, but slightly higher than those in the standard non-cooperative case. A higher climate sensitivity makes the pattern I found above more pronounced, but does not qualitatively change it.

In matters of liability, attention is often focused on the negative impacts. The positive impacts of the culpable act are ignored. Similarly, the negatively affected are more inclined to lobby politicians than those who are positively affected. In a second sensitivity analysis, I ignore all positive impacts of climate change and restrict the attention to the negative impacts. Table 1 shows the results; Table 4 has the marginal damage costs. Marginal damage costs obviously increase, and  $CO_2$  concentrations fall. Ignoring positive impacts does not increase the marginal damage costs by as much as does increasing the climate sensitivity. The relative position of the four abatement scenarios does not change.

Above, proportional liability is determined by a region's share in cumulative emissions since 1990, roughly the year that climate policy started. There are, however, many possible starting points (see Tol and Verheyen, 2004, for an extensive discussion). As a sensitivity analysis, I take an extreme case, the "Brazilian proposal", which counts all fossil-fuel emissions since the start of the industrial revolution. Table 1 shows the results; Table 3 has the regional shares in cumulative emissions. Liability is redistributed towards the OECD; emission abatement is more stringent there – compare Tables 2 and 4. However, emission abatement falls in the rest of the world, including in China and India, and global emission abatement is reduced as a result.

Energy use is essential for life. One may argue that one cannot be liable for emissions that result from covering basic needs. Therefore, as a fourth sensitivity analysis, regions are proportionally liable for their share in *excess* emissions only. Arbitrarily, I define excess emissions as emissions above one metric tonne per person per year; this is roughly the global average per capita emission today. Table 1 shows the results; Table 2 has the regional share in cumulative emissions. Again, liability and abatement are redistributed towards the OECD – compare Tables 2 and 4 – and global abatement falls – see Table 1.

All the liability scenarios above are based on the compensation principle. That is, damages are valued as the victim would value them. See Schelling (1984) for a brilliant defence. However, one may also value impacts as the polluter would value them. That is, rather than using the monetary equivalent of the utility loss of the victim, I impose this utility loss on the polluter and use the monetary equivalent of that. If the utility function is logarithmic, this can be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In the short-term, abatement also falls. This is because some regions have negative marginal damage costs; in the non-cooperative scenario, these countries do not subsidise greenhouse gas emissions, but in the proportional liability scenario, their welfare gains are subtracted from the global welfare loss. In the long-term, this effect is negligible.

approximated by multiplying the regional marginal damage cost with the ratio of the per capita income of in the liable region and the victimised region (Fankhauser *et al.*, 1997).

I show two variants of this. In the first, regions are proportionally liable based on their share in cumulative emissions. Table 1 has the results; Table 5 has the marginal damage costs. Emission abatement goes up and  $CO_2$  concentrations fall, but only to a limited extent, as abatement only increases in the OECD – see Tables 1 and 5. In the second variant, regions are jointly and severally liable. Concentrations fall considerably, as emission abatement is more stringent in most regions, and in all regions with substantial greenhouse gas emissions in the business as usual scenario – see Tables 1 and 5.

As a final set of sensitivity analyses, I vary the baseline emissions. Above, the business as usual scenario is the FUND scenario, which is somewhere in between the IS92a and IS92f scenarios (Leggett *et al.*, 1992). Table 1 has the results for four SRES scenarios, Table 5 the marginal damage costs for A2 and B1, the two extremes. The FUND scenario has higher emissions than any of the SRES scenarios. Yet, the A2 scenario has higher marginal damages – compare Tables 2 and 5. This is because the economies of developing countries are assumed to grow slower in this scenario; these countries are therefore more vulnerable to climate change. In the A2 scenario, emission abatement is relatively more stringent than in the FUND scenario. Table 1 shows this for the Nash equilibrium, but this would carry over to the other policy scenarios.

In the B1 scenario, global marginal damages are negative in 2005; the positive impacts dominate when discounted. However, marginal damages are positive in 2055, but small – see Table 5. In the B1 scenario, emission abatement is minimal. This is shown for the Nash equilibrium in Table 1, but the result would carry over to the other policy scenarios.

## 5. Discussion and conclusion

I present a no policy scenario and four alternative climate policy scenarios. In the second scenario, countries equate their marginal damage costs and marginal abatement costs. Emission reduction is limited. This is in line with the literature (Nordhaus, 1991). The main reason is that the estimates of the marginal damage costs are so low (Tol, 2005a).

In the third scenario, I allow for international trade in emission permits. Contrary to expectations, emission abatement falls because the market ignores the dynamic impacts of emission reduction and shifts abatement to where it is cheapest. This conclusion is not model-specific. However, permit trade *averages* marginal costs. This result holds as long as countries with fast-growing emissions have above-average marginal damage costs.

In the fifth scenario, countries are liable for the share of the marginal damages that they caused. This increases abatement effort in the OECD, but reduces effort in the rest of the world, with their fast-growing emissions. As a result, long-term emission control is weakened. Again, this result is not limited to the model used here. If, however, emission abatement would be limited to the OECD, then proportional liability would increase emission control.

In the fourth scenario, countries are jointly and severally liable. Emission abatement increases everywhere, in the short-term as well as in the long-term. As this coincides with full cooperation, this result is anticipated (e.g., Nordhaus and Yang, 1996).

I present five sensitivity analyses, some with variants. Although the numbers are different, the insights of the four policy scenarios are not affected. Qualitatively, my results are robust – at least as far as I saw.

This paper confirms Coase (1960), but not literally. In Coase (1960), there is a polluter and a victim. A bargain between the two would result in the same total welfare and pollution regardless of whether there is a right to pollute or a right not to be polluted; the distribution of welfare would be different. That is, equity is separated from efficiency and efficacy. In this paper, all players are polluters and victims at the same time, but to a different degree. This makes the analysis more fuzzy, but it does not change the fundamental insight of Coase (1960). The initial allocation of property rights matters for issues of equity; proportional liability leads to a different distribution of property rights affects efficiency and efficacy as well – but to a limited extent only. Again following Coase (1960), trade in emission permits would not increase emission abatement.

In political terms, attempts to establish liability for greenhouse gas emissions would have reduce emissions in rich countries, but, if drawn to its logical conclusion, would increase emissions in poor countries and way well increase global emissions. Only if joint and several liability is established would global emissions go down. Joint and several liability, however, would imply that the victims of climate change are compensated many times over; this is unlikely. It appears that establishing liability for greenhouse gas emissions is not *the* solution to the climate problem.

#### Acknowledgements

Bill Mates, Mike Rothshield and an anonymous referee had useful comments on an earlier version of the paper. This paper would not have happened without the Energy Modelling Forum's Climate Change Impacts and Integrated Assessment Workshops in Snowmass, organised by Susan Sweeney and John Weyant. Financial support by Hamburg University's Innovation Fund and the Princeton Environmental Institute is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

Alcamo, J. and Kreileman, G. J. J. (1996), 'Emission Scenarios and Global Climate Protection', *Global Environmental Change*, **6** (4), 305-334.

Ambrosi, P., Hourcade, J.-C., Hallegatte, S., Lecocq, F., Dumas, P., and Ha-Duong, M. (2003), 'Optimal control models and elicitation of attitudes towards climate changes', *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, **8**, 133-147.

Arrow, K. J., Cline, W. R., Maeler, K.-G., Munasinghe, M., Squitieri, R., & Stiglitz, J. E. (1996), "Intertemporal Equity, Discounting, and Economic Efficiency," in *Climate Change 1995: Economic and Social Dimensions -- Contribution of Working Group III to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, J. P. Bruce, H. Lee, & E. F. Haites, eds. (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 125-144.

Azar, C. and Lindgren, K. (2003), 'Catastrophic events and stochastic cost-benefit analysis of climate change', *Climatic Change*, **56**, 245-255.

Azar, C. and Sterner, T. (1996), 'Discounting and Distributional Considerations in the Context of Global Warming', *Ecological Economics*, **19**, 169-184.

Azar, C. (2000), 'Economics and distribution in the greenhouse', *Climatic Change*, **47**, 233-238.

Banuri, T., Maeler, K.-G., Grubb, M. J., Jacobson, H. K., & Yamin, F. (1996), "Equity and Social Considerations," in *Climate Change 1995: Economic and Social Dimensions --Contribution of Working Group III to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental*  *Panel on Climate Change*, J. P. Bruce, H. Lee, & E. F. Haites, eds. (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 79-124.

Batjes, J. J. & Goldewijk, C. G. M. (1994), *The IMAGE 2 Hundred Year (1890-1990)* Database of the Global Environment (HYDE), RIVM, Bilthoven, 410100082.

Bosello, F. and Roson, R. (2002), 'Carbon emissions trading and equity in international agreements', *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, **7**, 29-37.

Bradford, D.F., No Cap but Trade, Mimeo,

http://www.wws.princeton.edu/~bradford/globalpublicghgcontrol01.pdf

Byrne, J., Wang, Y.-D., Lee, H., and Kim, J.-D. (1998), 'An Equity- and Sustainability-Based Policy Response to Global Climate Change', *Energy Policy*, **26** (4), 335-343.

Cline, W. R. (1992), *The Economics of Global Warming* Institute for International Economics, Washington, D.C.

Coase, R. H. (1960), 'The Problem of Social Cost', Journal of Law and Economics, 3, 1-21.

Fankhauser, S. (1995), Valuing Climate Change - The Economics of the Greenhouse, 1 edn, EarthScan, London.

Farrow, S. (1998), 'Environmental Equity and Sustainability: Rejecting the Kaldor-Hicks Criteria', *Ecological Economics*, **27**, 183-188.

Gaertner, P. S. (2001), 'Optimisation analysis and integrated models of the enhanced greenhouse effect', *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, **6**, 7-34.

Gerlagh, R. and Keyzer, M. A. (2001), 'Sustainability and the intergenerational distribution of natural resource entitlements', *Journal of Public Economics*, **79**, 315-341.

Goulder, L. H. and Mathai, K. (2000), 'Optimal CO<sub>2</sub> Abatement in the Presence of Induced Technological Change', *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, **39**, 1-38.

Grubb, M. J., Ha-Duong, M., and Chapuis, T. (1995), 'The Economics of Changing Course', *Energy Policy*, **23** (4/5), 417-432.

Ha-Duong, M., Grubb, M. J., and Hourcade, J.-C. (1997), 'Influence of Socioeconomic Inertia and Uncertainty on Optimal CO<sub>2</sub> -Emission Abatement', *Nature*, **389**, 270-273.

Hammitt, J. K., Lempert, R. J., and Schlesinger, M. E. (1992), 'A Sequential-Decision Strategy for Abating Climate Change', *Nature*, **357**, 315-318.

Hasselmann, K., Hasselmann, S., Giering, R., Ocana, V., and von Stoch, H. (1997), 'Sensitivity Study of Optimal CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Paths Using a Simplified Structural Integrated Assessment Model (SIAM)', *Climatic Change*, **37**, 345-386.

Hourcade, J.-C., Halsneas, K., Jaccard, M., Montgomery, W. D., Richels, R. G., Robinson, J., Shukla, P. R., & Sturm, P. (1996), "A Review of Mitigation Cost Studies," in *Climate Change 1995: Economic and Social Dimensions -- Contribution of Working Group III to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, J. P. Bruce, H. Lee, & E. F. Haites, eds. (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 297-366.

Ikeme, J. (2003), 'Equity, environmental justice and sustainability: incomplete approaches in climate change politics', *Global Environmental Change*, **13**, 195-206.

Jamieson, D. (1996), 'Ethics and Intentional Climate Change', Climatic Change, 323-336.

Kattenberg, A., Giorgi, F., Grassl, H., Meehl, G. A., Mitchell, J. F. B., Stouffer, R. J., Tokioka, T., Weaver, A. J., & Wigley, T. M. L. (1996), "Climate Models - Projections of Future Climate," in *Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change -- Contribution of Working Group I to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, 1 edn, J. T. Houghton et al., eds. (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 285-357. Kemfert, C. and Tol, R. S. J. (2002), 'Equity, International Trade and Climate Policy', *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, **2**, 23-48.

Lecocq, F., Hourcade, J.-C., and le Pesant, T. (2000), 'Equity, Uncertainties and Robustness of Entitlement Rules', *Journees Economie de l'Environnement du PIREE* (forthcoming).

Leggett, J., Pepper, W. J., & Swart, R. J. (1992), "Emissions Scenarios for the IPCC: An Update," in *Climate Change 1992 - The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment*, 1 edn, vol. 1 J. T. Houghton, B. A. Callander, & S. K. Varney, eds. (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 71-95.

Lempert, R. J., Schlesinger, M. E., and Bankes, S. C. (1996), 'When We Don't Know the Costs or the Benefits: Adaptive Strategies for Abating Climate Change', *Climatic Change*, **33**, 235-274.

Link, P. M. and Tol, R. S. J. (2004), 'Possible economic impacts of a shutdown of the thermohaline circulation: an application of FUND', *Portuguese Economic Journal*, **3**, 99-114.

Maddison, D. J. (1995), 'A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Slowing Climate Change', *Energy Policy*, **23** (4/5), 337-346.

Maier-Reimer, E. and Hasselmann, K. (1987), 'Transport and Storage of Carbon Dioxide in the Ocean: An Inorganic Ocean Circulation Carbon Cycle Model', *Climate Dynamics*, **2**, 63-90.

Manne, A. S., Mendelsohn, R. O., and Richels, R. G. (1995), 'MERGE - A Model for Evaluating Regional and Global Effects of GHG Reduction Policies', *Energy Policy*, **23** (1), 17-34.

Mendelsohn, R., Morrison, W., Schlesinger, M. E., and Andronova, N. G. (2000), 'Country-specific market impacts of climate change', *Climatic Change*, **45**, 553-569.

Müller, B. (2001), 'Varieties of distributive justice in climate change', *Climatic Change*, **48**, 273-288.

Nordhaus, W. D. (1992), 'An Optimal Transition Path for Controlling Greenhouse Gases', *Science*, **258**, 1315-1319.

Nordhaus, W. D. (1994), *Managing the Global Commons: The Economics of Climate Change* The MIT Press, Cambridge.

Nordhaus, W. D. and Yang, Z. (1996), 'RICE: A Regional Dynamic General Equilibrium Model of Optimal Climate-Change Policy', *American Economic Review*, **86** (4), 741-765.

Nordhaus, W. D. (1993), 'Rolling the 'DICE': An Optimal Transition Path for Controlling Greenhouse Gases', *Resource and Energy Economics*, **15**, 27-50.

Nordhaus, W. D. (1991), 'To Slow or Not to Slow: The Economics of the Greenhouse Effect', *Economic Journal*, **101**, 920-937.

Nordhaus, W. D. & Boyer, J. G. (2000), *Warming the World: Economic Models of Global Warming* The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts - London, England.

O'Connor, M. (1997), 'The Internalisation of Environmental Costs: Implementing the Polluter Pays Principle in the European Union', *International Journal of Environment and Pollution*, **7** (4), 450-482.

Pearce, D. W. (1976), 'The Limits of Cost-Benefit Analysis as a Guide to Environmental Policy', *Kyklos*, **29** (1), 97-112.

Peck, S. C. and Teisberg, T. J. (1992), 'CETA: A Model for Carbon Emissions Trajectory Assessment', *Energy Journal*, **13** (1), 55-77.

Peck, S. C. and Teisberg, T. J. (1994), 'Optimal Carbon Emissions Trajectories When Damages Depend on the Rate or Level of Global Warming', *Climatic Change*, **28**, 289-314.

Peck, S. C. and Teisberg, T. J. (1995), 'Optimal CO<sub>2</sub> Control Policy with Stochastic Losses from Temperature Rise', *Climatic Change*, **31**, 19-34.

Petschel-Held, G., Schellnhuber, H.-J., Bruckner, T., Toth, F. L., and Hasselmann, K. (1999), 'The Tolerable Windows Approach: Theoretical and Methodological Foundations', *Climatic Change*, **41** (3-4), 303-331.

Ridgley, M. A. (1996), 'Fair Sharing of Greenhouse Gas Burdens', *Energy Policy*, **24** (6), 517-529.

Rose, A., Stevens, B., Edmonds, J. A., and Wise, M. A. (1998), 'International Equity and Differentiation in Global Warming Policy -- An Application to Tradeable Emission Permits', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **12**, 25-51.

Sagar, A. D. (2000), 'Wealth, responsibility, and equity: exploring an allocation framework for global GHG emissions', *Climatic Change*, **45**, 511-527.

Schelling, T.C. (1984), Choice and Consequence, Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

Shine, K. P., Derwent, R. G., Wuebbles, D. J., & Morcrette, J.-J. (1990), "Radiative Forcing of Climate," in *Climate Change - The IPCC Scientific Assessment*, 1 edn, vol. 1 J. T. Houghton, G. J. Jenkins, & J. J. Ephraums, eds. (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 41-68.

Sugiyama, T. and Deshun, L. (2004), 'Must developing countries commit quantified targets? Time flexibility and equity in climate change mitigation', *Energy Policy*, **32**, 697-704.

Tol, R. S. J. (1995), 'The Damage Costs of Climate Change Toward More Comprehensive Calculations', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **5**, 353-374.

Tol, R. S. J. (1996), 'The Damage Costs of Climate Change Towards a Dynamic Representation', *Ecological Economics*, **19**, 67-90.

Tol, R. S. J. (1997), 'On the Optimal Control of Carbon Dioxide Emissions: An Application of *FUND*', *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, **2**, 151-163.

Tol, R. S. J. (1999a), 'Spatial and Temporal Efficiency in Climate Change: Applications of FUND', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **14** (1), 33-49.

Tol, R. S. J. (1999b), 'Kyoto, Efficiency, and Cost-Effectiveness: Applications of FUND', *Energy Journal Special Issue on the Costs of the Kyoto Protocol: A Multi-Model Evaluation*, 130-156.

Tol, R. S. J. (2001), 'Equitable Cost-Benefit Analysis of Climate Change', *Ecological Economics*, **36** (1), 71-85.

Tol, R. S. J. (2002a), 'Estimates of the Damage Costs of Climate Change - Part 1: Benchmark Estimates', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **21**, 47-73.

Tol, R. S. J. (2002b), 'Estimates of the Damage Costs of Climate Change - Part II: Dynamic Estimates', *Environmental and Resource Economics*, **21**, 135-160.

Tol, R. S. J. (2002c), 'Welfare specifications and optimal control of climate change: an application of *FUND*', *Energy Economics*, **24**, 367-376.

Tol, R.S.J. (2005), 'The Marginal Damage Costs of Carbon Dioxide Emissions: An Assessment of the Uncertainties', *Energy Policy*, **33** (16), 2064-2074.

Tol, R.S.J. (2005b), 'An Emission Intensity Protocol for Climate Change: An Application of *FUND*', *Climate Policy*, **4**, 269-287.

Tol, R.S.J. (forthcoming), 'Multi-Gas Emission Reduction for Climate Change Policy: An Application of *FUND*', *Energy Journal*.

Tol, R. S. J. and Verheyen, R. (2004), 'State responsibility and compensation for climate change damages - a legal and economic assessment', *Energy Policy*, **32**, 1109-1130.

Tonn, B. (2003), 'An equity first, risk-based framework for managing global climate change', *Global Environmental Change*, **13**, 295-306.

Toth, F. L., Bruckner, T., Füssel, H-M., Leimbach, M., Petschel-Held, G., and Schellnhuber, H.-J. (2002), 'Exploring Options for Global Climate Policy - A New Analytical Framework', *Environment*, **44** (5), 23-33.

US EPA (2003), International Analysis of Methane and Nitrous Oxide Abatement Opportunities: Report to Energy Modeling Forum, Working Group 21, United States Environment Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. http://www.epa.gov/ghginfo/reports/methodologych4.pdf

van den Bergh, J. C. J. M. (2004), 'Optimal climate policy is a utopia: from quantitative to qualitative cost-benefit analysis', *Ecological Economics*, **48**, 385-393.

Whitmore, A. (2000), 'Compulsory environmental liability insurance as a means of dealing with climate change risk', *Energy Policy*, **28**, 739-741.

Yohe, G.W. and van Engel, E. (2004), 'Equity and sustainability over the next fifty years: an exercise in economic visioning', *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **6**, 393-413.

Yohe, G. W., Montgomery, D., and Balistreri, E. (2000), 'Equity and the Kyoto Protocol: measuring the distributional effects of alternative emissions trading regimes', *Global Environmental Change*, **10**, 121-132.

WRI (2000), *World Resources 2000-2001 People and Ecosystems*, World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C.

	Base case		High climate	e sensitivity	Negative impacts only	
	$CO_2$	Temp	$CO_2$	Temp	$CO_2$	Temp
Business as usual (BaU)	942.8	3.70	913.4	6.58	942.8	3.70
Nash equilibrium (NE)	929.3	3.67	842.2	6.29	887.9	3.59
NE, permit trade (PT)	929.4	3.67	845.1	6.30	888.2	3.59
Joint and several liability						
(JSL)	872.9	3.55	628.0	5.31	810.5	3.41
Proportional liability (PL)	930.6	3.67	851.9	6.33	888.0	3.59
PL, Brazilian proposal	932.4	3.67				
PL, excess emissions	929.9	3.67				
PL, polluter's values	922.6	3.65				
JSL, polluter's values	830.6	3.44				
BaU, SRES A1B	809.2	3.73				
NE, A1B	807.8	3.71				
BaU, A2	811.9	3.49				
NE, A2	798.2	3.45				
BaU, B1	576.7	3.10				
NE, B1	576.3	3.10				
BaU, B2	749.4	3.41				
NE, B2	741.6	3.38				

Table 1. The atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide (in ppm) and the global mean temperature (in °C above pre-industrial) in 2100 according to business as usual scenario and four policy scenarios; sensitivity analyses are also shown.

	2005				2055			
	NE	PT	JSL	PL	NE	PT	JSL	PL
USA	2.20	2.08	13.27	2.61	1.15	2.10	11.40	1.80
CAN	0.09	2.08	13.27	0.20	0.08	2.10	11.40	0.14
WEU	3.16	2.08	13.27	1.29	2.08	2.10	11.40	0.84
JPK	-1.42	2.08	13.27	0.86	0.21	2.10	11.40	0.63
ANZ	-0.05	2.08	13.27	0.13	0.08	2.10	11.40	0.09
EEU	0.10	2.08	13.27	0.31	0.09	2.10	11.40	0.27
FSU	1.27	2.08	13.27	1.45	0.61	2.10	11.40	1.36
MDE	0.05	2.08	13.27	0.66	0.33	2.10	11.40	0.65
CAM	0.07	2.08	13.27	0.18	0.12	2.10	11.40	0.15
SAM	0.27	2.08	13.27	0.36	0.15	2.10	11.40	0.30
SAS	0.36	2.08	13.27	0.86	0.34	2.10	11.40	0.84
SEA	0.73	2.08	13.27	0.52	0.45	2.10	11.40	0.54
CHI	4.36	2.08	13.27	3.39	4.88	2.10	11.40	3.40
NAF	0.97	2.08	13.27	0.16	0.42	2.10	11.40	0.13
SSA	1.07	2.08	13.27	0.24	0.33	2.10	11.40	0.19
SIS	0.06	2.08	13.27	0.06	0.07	2.10	11.40	0.06
World	13.27	2.08	13.27	13.27	11.40	2.10	11.40	11.40

Table 2. Regional marginal damage costs (in /tC) in 2005 and 2055 in four alternative policy scenarios.

Table 3. Regional shares in 2005 and 2055 in current carbon dioxide emissions for the permit trade (PT) scenario and in cumulative emissions for three alternative proportional liability scenarios (PL: cumulative emissions since 1990; BP: cumulative emissions since 1850; EE: cumulative emissions in excess of 1 tC/p/yr since 1990).

	2005				2055			
	PT	PL	BP	EE	PT	PL	BP	EE
USA	0.192	0.197	0.266	0.350	0.130	0.158	0.188	0.241
CAN	0.015	0.015	0.021	0.024	0.010	0.012	0.015	0.017
WEU	0.085	0.097	0.201	0.104	0.060	0.074	0.111	0.077
JPK	0.062	0.065	0.050	0.093	0.046	0.055	0.052	0.070
ANZ	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.015	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.011
EEU	0.020	0.023	0.039	0.016	0.025	0.024	0.029	0.025
FSU	0.107	0.109	0.123	0.156	0.124	0.119	0.121	0.172
MDE	0.053	0.050	0.029	0.045	0.060	0.057	0.050	0.054
CAM	0.014	0.013	0.010	0.000	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.003
SAM	0.027	0.027	0.023	0.000	0.026	0.026	0.025	0.000
SAS	0.073	0.065	0.035	0.000	0.076	0.074	0.063	0.000
SEA	0.046	0.039	0.021	0.000	0.049	0.047	0.040	0.003
CHI	0.263	0.256	0.148	0.198	0.340	0.298	0.253	0.325
NAF	0.012	0.012	0.008	0.000	0.012	0.012	0.010	0.000
SSA	0.017	0.018	0.016	0.000	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.000
SIS	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.001

	2005				2055			
	CS, NE	CS, PL	NO, NE	NO, PL	CS, NE	CS, PL	NO, NE	NO, PL
USA	7.01	19.86	3.43	5.45	3.55	10.09	1.38	2.05
CAN	0.47	1.52	0.22	0.42	0.31	0.77	0.08	0.16
WEU	14.99	9.81	5.94	3.07	8.28	4.69	2.54	0.95
JPK	4.14	6.56	0.89	1.85	3.86	3.51	0.54	0.71
ANZ	0.57	0.96	0.07	0.26	0.54	0.53	0.06	0.11
EEU	0.72	2.34	0.24	0.77	0.44	1.50	0.10	0.31
FSU	4.78	11.02	1.71	3.46	2.50	7.48	0.63	1.55
MDE	2.69	5.04	0.61	1.23	2.03	3.67	0.39	0.74
CAM	1.16	1.34	0.24	0.35	0.75	0.86	0.14	0.18
SAM	1.48	2.71	0.65	0.71	0.67	1.68	0.22	0.34
SAS	2.95	6.53	0.67	1.55	1.85	4.68	0.36	0.95
SEA	4.04	3.98	1.34	0.89	2.13	3.00	0.56	0.61
CHI	49.35	25.84	9.31	6.60	33.42	18.85	5.11	3.87
NAF	3.11	1.19	1.03	0.31	1.51	0.73	0.43	0.15
SSA	2.90	1.82	1.14	0.53	1.11	1.06	0.33	0.22
SIS	0.61	0.45	0.08	0.11	0.46	0.31	0.06	0.06
World	17.12 <sup>a</sup>	100.96 <sup>b</sup>	4.05 <sup>a</sup>	27.56 <sup>b</sup>	13.13 <sup>a</sup>	63.41 <sup>b</sup>	2.27 <sup>a</sup>	12.97 <sup>b</sup>

Table 4. Regional marginal damage costs (in \$/tC) in 2005 and 2055 in four alternative policy scenarios and two sensitivity analyses: high climate sensitivity (CS) and negative impacts only (NO).

<sup>a</sup> Weighted average marginal damage costs, where the weights are the regional shares in current emissions. This value is used in the permit trade (PT) scenario.

<sup>b</sup> Sum of the regional marginal damage costs. This value is used in the joint and several liability (JSL) scenario.

	2005				2055			
	JSL, PV	PL, PV	A2	B1	JSL, PV	PL, PV	A2	B1
USA	232.95	45.83	2.57	1.37	86.76	13.71	1.46	0.74
CAN	164.01	2.47	0.10	0.01	60.07	0.72	0.10	0.03
WEU	201.64	19.60	3.77	0.65	75.73	5.57	2.57	0.50
JPK	304.43	19.79	-1.29	-1.96	114.73	6.30	0.26	-0.32
ANZ	132.76	1.25	-0.03	-0.10	50.96	0.42	0.10	0.03
EEU	20.60	0.48	0.17	0.01	15.08	0.36	0.15	0.04
FSU	14.30	1.56	1.87	0.79	10.33	1.23	0.98	0.31
MDE	15.28	0.76	0.09	-0.26	8.86	0.51	0.35	0.03
CAM	17.82	0.24	0.30	-0.08	10.34	0.14	0.30	0.03
SAM	22.91	0.62	0.49	0.01	13.09	0.35	0.26	0.02
SAS	3.99	0.26	0.34	-0.18	2.38	0.18	0.36	0.01
SEA	14.16	0.56	0.58	-0.14	8.57	0.41	0.40	0.00
CHI	19.10	4.88	8.03	-1.98	14.99	4.47	8.25	0.57
NAF	8.92	0.11	0.94	0.30	4.98	0.06	0.45	0.07
SSA	2.81	0.05	1.17	0.38	1.60	0.03	0.40	0.06
SIS	7.42	0.03	0.17	0.01	4.44	0.02	0.17	0.03
World <sup>a</sup>	1183.11	98.49	19.26	-1.18	482.93	34.48	16.56	2.14

Table 5. Regional marginal damage costs (in \$/tC) in 2005 and 2055 for four sensitivity analyses: polluter's values (PV) with joint and several liability (JSL) and proportional liability (PL), and alternative baseline scenarios (A2 and B1).

<sup>a</sup> Sum of the regional marginal damage costs. This value is used in the joint and several liability (JSL) scenario.

#### NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

#### Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html

http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html

http://www.repec.org

http://agecon.lib.umn.edu

#### NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2006

Weitare Economics versus feedogical Footpmin           Weitare Economics versus feedogical Footpmin           Olivier DESCILENSE and Michael GREENSTOVE: The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from Agricultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather           PRCG         7.2006           Michele MORETTO and Paola VALDONESE: Erim Regulation and Profits/Sharing: A Real Option Approach           SIEV         8.2006           Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs           CTN         10.2006           Shao CHIN SUNG and Dinko DIMITRO: A Taxonomy of Myopic Stability Concepts for Hedonic Games           NRM         11.2006           Fabio CERINI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LAVZA (IXxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism Destination, Reeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm           CCMP         13.2006           Jost NORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation - New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources           KTHC         14.2006           Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective           CSRM         16.2006           Guiseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterence           CCMP         17.2006           B b DELLINK and Maryan W. INFEKS2: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policies           CTN         19.2005	SIEV	1.2006	Anna ALBERINI: Determinants and Effects on Property Values of Participation in Voluntary Cleanup Programs:
CCMP         3.2006         Roberto ROSON: Entroducing Imperfect Competition in CGE Models: Technical Aspects and Implications           KTHC         4.2006         Sergio VERGALL: The Role of Community in Migration Dynamics           S1EV         5.2006         Fabio GRAZL. Jerone C.J.M. von den BERGH and Vier RETFED: Modeling Spatial Sustainability: Spatial           CCMP         6.2006         Fabio GRAZL. Jerone C.J.M. von den BERGH and Vier RETFED: Modeling Spatial Sustainability: Spatial           CCMP         6.2006         GRAZL Jerone C.J.M. von den BERGH and Vier RETFED: Modeling Spatial Sustainability: Spatial           CCMP         6.2006         Ama ALBERNI and Alma OM Polo VLRADR/MONES: Firm Regulation and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach           Microbio Descriptions         Arma ALBERNI and Alme CILLBAH: Discount Rates in Risk v. Monev and Monev v. Monev Tradeoffs           NRM         11.2006         Fabio CRINA (Asvini): Tourins Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis           Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester: CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (hxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism         Destination Reprints of Mone Paradigm           Destination         BORR ALD THE Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development. The Case of Tally           VTHC         14.2006         Fabio SABATNI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development. The Case of Tally           VTHC         14.2006         Fabio SABATNI: Social Capitaland Economic Development. A Critical Perspecive	ССМР	2.2006	Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Stabilisation Targets, Technical Change and the
<ul> <li>KTHC 4:2006 Sergio VERGALLI: The Role of Community in Migration Dynamics</li> <li>Sergio VERGALLI: The Role of Community in Migration Dynamics</li> <li>S1EV 5:2006 GRAZI, Jerron C.JM. van den BERGI and Piet RIETVELD: Modeling Spatial Sustainability: Spatial Welfare Economics versus Ecological Footprint</li> <li>Olivier DESCHENES and Michael GREENSTONE: The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from Arricultural Profits and Random Flextuations in Merather</li> <li>PRCG 7:2006 Anna ALBERNI and Aline CHIABAL'I Discount Rates in Risk X, Money and Money V., Money Tradeoffs</li> <li>Jon X. EGUIA': United We Vote</li> <li>Ton 4. J. Statuli Aline CHIABAL'I Discount Rates in Risk X, Money and Money V., Money Tradeoffs</li> <li>Jon X. EGUIA': United We Vote</li> <li>Ton 4. J. Statuli Aline CHIABAL'I Discount Rates in Risk X, Money and Money V., Money Tradeoffs</li> <li>Jon X. EGUIA': United We Vote</li> <li>Ton 4. J. Statuli Aline CHIABAL'I Discount Rates in Risk X, Money and Money V., Money Tradeoffs</li> <li>Jon X. EGUIA': United We Vote</li> <li>Ton 6. CERINA (Ixxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Lone, Rum Policy Analysis</li> <li>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester C. ASSINILLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism Destination. Recenting in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm</li> <li>CCMP 13.2006 Fabio SABATINY: The Empirics of Social Capital and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy</li> <li>KTHC 15.2006 Fabio SABATINY: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: The Case of Italy</li> <li>KTHC 15.2006 South AMARTINY: Markater Chick Problems</li> <li>KTHC 15.2006 South AMARTINY: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: The Case of Italy</li> <li>KTHC 15.2006 South AMARTINY: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: The Case of Italy</li> <li>KTHC 15.2006 South AMARTINY: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: The Case of</li></ul>			
SIEV         5.2006         Fabio GRAZI, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERCHI and Piet RIETVELD: Modeling Spatial Sustainability: Spatial Welfare Economics versus Ecological Footprint           CCMP         6.2006         Acticultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather           PRCG         7.2006         Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESS: Emerguinan and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach Articultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather           PRCG         7.2006         Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESS: Emerguinan and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESS: Emerguina and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach Anna ALBERINI and Aline CH14BAL: Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs           VIEN         1.2006         Fabio CERIA (ixvviii): Tourins preciaization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis Valentina BOSETTI, Mariazester CASSINELLI and Ausstainabile Viex Alarysis         Valentina Boset CERIA (ixvviii): Tourins preciaization and Eustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis Valentinas DOSETTI, Mariazester CASSINELLI and Alesonario Levelopment: A Critical Perspective Gause DABATINI: Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective (CCMP         Fabio SABATINI: The Empirisci of Coscial Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective Gauseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterence: Fabio SABATINI: Net Empirisci of Coscial Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective Gauseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Standardis           CCMP         17.2006         Fabi DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emisision Reductions in the Presenc			
SLUV         Subscription           Object         Description           Object         Description           Object         Description           Object         Description           PRCG         7.2006           Anioal USERNO and Include GREENSTONE: The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from Agricultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather           PRCG         7.2006           Anioa AlbERNI and Aline CHIABAL'I Discount Rates in Risk V, Money and Money V, Money Tradeoffs           Jon X. GULA: United We Vote           NRM         11.2006           Fabio CERNA (Isxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Lone Aun Policy Analysis           Fabio CERNA (Isxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Lone Aun Policy Analysis           Destination. Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm           CCMP         13.2006           Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Ouality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy           KTHC         15.2006           Giuseppe DI VITI: Compriston Social Capital and Economic Development: Colling Perspective           CSRM         16.2006           Giuseppe DI VITI: Compriston Social Capital and Economic Development: Colling Perspective           CCMP         17.2006           Fold DINRON: Distribuinonal Impacts of Facery-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and	KTHC	4.2006	
CLMP         6,2005         Agricultural Profits and Random Eluctuations in Weather           PRCG         7.2006         Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESE: Firm Regulation and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach           SIEV         8.2006         Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHLABAP: Discourn Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs           Jon X. EGUL4: United We Vote         Jon X. EGUL4: United We Vote         Concept and Statistication and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis           Value         Fabio CERINA (txwiii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis         Fabio CERINA (txwiii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis           NRM         12.2006         Fabio CRINA (txwiii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis           CCMP         13.2006         Jens HORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources           KTHC         14.2006         Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy           CSRM         16.2006         Giusepp DI VITT. Corruption. Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence           Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HORKES: The Timing of National Greenbouse Gas Emission Reductions in the presence of Other Environmental Policics           The Presence of Other Environmental Policics         Somdeb L-HITR: AWeak Bargaring Set for Contract Choice Problems           CCMP <t< td=""><td>SIEV</td><td>5.2006</td><td>Welfare Economics versus Ecological Footprint</td></t<>	SIEV	5.2006	Welfare Economics versus Ecological Footprint
<ul> <li>PRCG 7.2006 <i>Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESE</i>: <u>Emm Regulation and ProfitsAndry: A Real Option Approach</u></li> <li>SIEV 8.2006 <i>Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI</i>: <u>Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs</u></li> <li>On X. EGUIA: <u>United We Yote</u></li> <li>Shao CHIN SUNG and Dinko DIMITRO: <u>A Taxonomy of Myopic Stability Concepts for Hedonic Games</u></li> <li>NRM 11.2006 <i>Fabio CRINIA (usiviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability:</i> <u>A Long-Run Policy Analysis</u></li> <li>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (uxviii): <u>Benchmarking in Tourism Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm</u></li> <li>CCMP 13.2006 <i>Jain SURBACII</i>: <u>Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources</u></li> <li>KTHC 14.2006 <i>Fabio SABATINI</i>: <u>Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy</u></li> <li>KTHC 15.2006 <i>Fabio SABATINI</i>: <u>Toe Empirics Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective</u></li> <li>CSRM 16.2006 <i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i>: <u>Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</u></li> <li>RCMP 17.2006 <i>Boin SABATINI</i>: <u>Network Bargaring Set for Contract Choice Problems</u></li> <li>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: <u>Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</u></li> <li>SIEV 21.2006 <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i>: <u>Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</u></li> <li>Marzio <i>GALDOTTI, Matteo MANEAA and Alessandro LANZA</i>: <u>On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Munetics Ourse, <i>Canalysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: <u>Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Passent FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Whon-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Changes <i>APRASIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i>: <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction Pargotach</u></u></i></u></li> </ul>	CCMP	6.2006	
SIEV       82006       Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs         CTN       92006       Jonx EGULA: Linited We Yote         The Inited We Yote       Fabio CERINA (taxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis         NRM       11.2006       Fabio CERINA (taxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis         NRM       12.2006       Jeinnina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (taxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainabile Paradigm         OCCMP       12.2006       Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy KITC         NERC       15.2006       Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: A Critical Perspective         CCRM       16.2006       Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence         RCR       Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policies         IEM       18.2006       Philippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards         CTN       19.2006       Sondeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems         CCMP       21.2006       Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply <td>PRCG</td> <td>7.2006</td> <td>Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESE: Firm Regulation and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach</td>	PRCG	7.2006	Michele MORETTO and Paola VALBONESE: Firm Regulation and Profit-Sharing: A Real Option Approach
CTN         10.2006         Shao CHIN SUNG and Dinko DIMIRO: A Taxonomy of Myopic Stability Concepts for Hedonic Games           NRM         11.2006         Fabio CERINA (Ixxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis           NRM         12.2006         Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Parafigm           OCCMP         13.2006         Jens HORBACH.         Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources           KTHC         14.2006         Fabio SABATINF: Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources           KTHC         15.2006         Jens HORBACH.         Comprison of Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence           RCSR         16.2006         Billippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards           Sondeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems         Sondeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems           SIEV         21.2006         Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply           Marcio GALEOTTI. Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuzents Curve           NRM         23.2006         Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction <t< td=""><td>SIEV</td><td>8.2006</td><td>Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs</td></t<>	SIEV	8.2006	Anna ALBERINI and Aline CHIABAI: Discount Rates in Risk v. Money and Money v. Money Tradeoffs
<ul> <li>NRM 11.2006 Fabio CERINA (lxxviii): Tourism Specialization and Sustainability: A Long-Run Policy Analysis</li> <li>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (lxxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism Destination. Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm</li> <li>CCMP 13.2006 Jens HORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources</li> <li>KTHC 14.2006 Fabio SABATIN: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy</li> <li>KTHC 15.2006 Fabio SABATIN: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective</li> <li>Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</li> <li>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HORKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policics</li> <li>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HORKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policics</li> <li>Rob B. Someth LAHIR: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems</li> <li>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</li> <li>Marzio GALEOTIT, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</li> <li>NRM 24.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</li> <li>Nucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCAPA4: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Curve Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCAPA4: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Change: A Frontier Approach</li> <li>SUEV 26.2006 Giovanni BELLA: Using Uncernet Model with Polluting Emissions</li> <li>Mario SABON and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Eco</li></ul>	CTN	9.2006	
NRM         12.2006         Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (txxviii): Benchmarking in Tourism Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm           CCMP         13.2006         Jens HORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources           KTHC         14.2006         Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy           KTHC         15.2006         Fabio SABATINI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective           CSRM         16.2006         Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption. Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence           RCMP         17.2006         the Presence of Other Environmental Policies           EIEM         18.2006         Philippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards           SIEV         21.2006         Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply           Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZ4: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Variante Curve         Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Existing Parspective           NRM         23.2006         Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Existing and Paulo ALLD. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCAPA: Using Di	CTN	10.2006	Shao CHIN SUNG and Dinko DIMITRO: A Taxonomy of Myopic Stability Concepts for Hedonic Games
<ul> <li>Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm</li> <li>Jano Destination, Keeping in Mind the Sustainable Paradigm</li> <li>Jano HORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources</li> <li>Jens HORBACH: Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources</li> <li>KTHC 14:2006 Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy</li> <li>Fabio SABATINI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective</li> <li>Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</li> <li>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in</li> <li>the Presence of Other Environmental Policies</li> <li>Biley 19:2006 Somdeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems</li> <li>CCMP 20:2006 Innovations</li> <li>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</li> <li>SIEV 21:2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</li> <li>Marrio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kurnets Curve</li> <li>NRM 23:2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</li> <li>SIEV 25:2006 Extinction Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHI</li></ul>	NRM		
<ul> <li>CCMP 13.2006 <i>Jens HORBACH:</i> Determinants of Environmental Innovation – New Evidence from German Panel Data Sources KTHC</li> <li>14.2006 <i>Fabio SABATINI:</i> Social Capital, Public Spending and the Quality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy Fabio SABATINI: The Empirices of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective</li> <li>CSRM 16.2006 <i>Giuseppe DI VITA:</i> Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</li> <li>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policies</li> <li>TRM 18.2006 <i>Philippe QUIRION:</i> Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards</li> <li>CCMP 20.2006 <i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI:</i> Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</li> <li>SIEV 21.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</li> <li>Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZ4: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</li> <li>NRM 23.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</li> <li>Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riceardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riceardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riceardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riceardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riceardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riceardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, Perspective Damy</li></ul>	NRM	12.2006	
<ul> <li>KTHC 14.206 Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital, Public Spending and the Ouality of Economic Development: The Case of Italy Fabio SABATINI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</li> <li>ROM 17.200 Fabio SABATINI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective Giuseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</li> <li>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policies</li> <li>REM 18.200 Philippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards</li> <li>CCMP 20.2006 Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</li> <li>SIEV 21.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</li> <li>Marcio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</li> <li>NRM 23.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?</li> <li>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</li> <li>SIEV 25.2006 Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Extinction</li> <li>SIEV 26.2006 Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland</li> <li>KTHC 27.2006 Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions</li> <li>Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries</li> <li>KTHC 30.2006 Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy</li> <li>Andrea BGANO and Paul SHEHAN: Assessin</li></ul>	CCMP	13,2006	
<ul> <li>KTHC 15.2006 Fabio SABATINI: The Empirics of Social Capital and Economic Development: A Critical Perspective Gluseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</li> <li>CCMP 17.2006 Gluseppe DI VITA: Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</li> <li>CCMP 17.2006 Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policies</li> <li>IEM 18.2006 Philippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards</li> <li>CCMP 20.2006 Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</li> <li>SIEV 21.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</li> <li>CCMP 22.2006 Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</li> <li>NRM 23.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?</li> <li>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</li> <li>SIEV 25.2006 Unclassive American Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Change: A Frontier Approach</li> <li>CCMP 28.2006 Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions</li> <li>Aleesandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries</li> <li>KTHC 30.2006 Fabio SABATTNF: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy</li> <li>Andrea BIGANO a</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>CSRM 16.2006 <i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i>: <u>Corruption, Exogenous Changes in Incentives and Deterrence</u> <i>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES</i>: <u>The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in</u> the Presence of Other Environmental Policies</li> <li>IEM 18.2006 <i>Philippe QUIRION</i>: <u>Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards</u></li> <li>CTM 19.2006 <i>Somdeb LAHIR:</i> <u>A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems</u></li> <li>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: <u>Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</u></li> <li>SIEV 21.2006 <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i>: <u>Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</u></li> <li>CCMP 22.2006 <i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA</i>: <u>On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</u></li> <li>NRM 23.2006 <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i>: <u>Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</u></li> <li>MRM 23.2006 <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i>: <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</u></li> <li>SIEV 25.2006 <i>Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i>: <u>Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective</u> <i>Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA</i>: <u>Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland</u></li> <li>Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Leko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach</li> <li>CCMP 28.2006 <i>Giovanni BELLA</i>: <u>Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions</u> <i>Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA</i>: <u>The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries</u></li> <li>Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries</li> <li>Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA': The Asymmetri</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>CCMP 17.2006</li> <li>Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in the Presence of Other Environmental Policies</li> <li>DEM 18.2006</li> <li>Philippe QUIRION: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards</li> <li>Somdeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems</li> <li>CCMP 20.2006</li> <li>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Imovations</li> <li>SIEV 21.2006</li> <li>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</li> <li>Marstinulation MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Imovations</li> <li>SIEV 21.2006</li> <li>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</li> <li>Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</li> <li>NRM 23.2006</li> <li>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</li> <li>Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland</li> <li>CCMP 28.2006</li> <li>Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions</li> <li>Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov.Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries</li> <li>KTHC 30.2006</li> <li>Fabio SABATINF: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy</li> <li>S12006</li> <li>Fabio SABATINE Social Capital and Ekkor C. van IERLAND: E</li></ul>			
Interpresence of Other Environmental Policies           IEM         18.2006         Philippe QUIRON: Distributional Impacts of Energy-Efficiency Certificates Vs. Taxes and Standards           CCN         19.2006         Somdeb LAHIRI: A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems           CCMP         20.2006         Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations           SIEV         21.2006         Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply           CCMP         22.2006         Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kurnets Curve           NRM         23.2006         Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?           NRM         24.2006         Extinction         Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Prontier Approach           CCMP         28.2006         Giovanni BELL4: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions           REM         29.2006         Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching An	CCMP		Rob B. DELLINK and Marjan W. HOFKES: The Timing of National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions in
CTN       19.2006       Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>A Weak Bargaining Set for Contract Choice Problems</u> Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: <u>Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</u> SIEV       21.2006 <i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI</i> : <u>Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental Innovations</u> SIEV       21.2006 <i>Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i> : <u>Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply</u> CCMP       22.2006 <i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA</i> : <u>On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve</u> NRM       23.2006 <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i> : <u>When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?</u> NRM       24.2006 <i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO</i> : <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</u> SIEV       25.2006 <i>Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience</u> : An Economic <u>Perspective</u> <i>Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCAPPA</i> : <u>Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr</u> SIEV       26.2006       Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes <u>Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland</u> KTHC       27.2006 <i>Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND</i> : <u>Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change</u> : <u>A Frontier Approach</u> CEMP       28.2006       Giovamin <u>BELLA</u> : <u>Uniqueness and</u>	1514	10.0007	
CCMP20.2006Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Roberto ZOBOLI: Examining the Factors Influencing Environmental InnovationsSIEV21.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor Supply Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets CurveNRM23.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource ExtinctionSIEV25.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource ExtinctionSIEV25.2006Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier ApproachCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting EmissionsIEM29.2006Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006RabicsABATINY: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrost			
CCMP20.2006InnovationsSIEV21.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Work Incentive and Labor SupplyCCMP22.2006Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets CurveNRM23.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource ExtinctionSIEV25.2006Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier ApproachCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyRTA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (txix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paula SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (txxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis	CIN	19.2006	
CCMP22.2006Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets CurveNRM23.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time? Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource ExtinctionSIEV25.2006Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Damy CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr SIEVSIEV26.2006Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes 	CCMP	20.2006	
<ul> <li>Environmental Kuznets Curve</li> <li>NRM 23.2006 Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?</li> <li>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource Extinction</li> <li>SIEV 25.2006 Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments tr Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier Approach</li> <li>CCMP 28.2006 Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions</li> <li>Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 Countries</li> <li>KTHC 30.2006 Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in Italy</li> <li>ETA 31.2006 Andrea GALLICE (1xxii): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to Italy</li> <li>NRM 33.2006 Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (1xxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia</li> <li>CTN 34.2006 Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth</li> </ul>	SIEV	21.2006	
NRM23.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?NRM24.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource ExtinctionSIEV25.2006Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in IrelandKTHC27.2006Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier ApproachCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting EmissionsHEM29.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyRTHC32.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	CCMP	22 2006	Marzio GALEOTTI, Matteo MANERA and Alessandro LANZA: On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the
NRM24.2006Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Natural Resource ExtinctionSIEV25.2006Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier ApproachCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Allessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyEM32.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Perkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionCTN34.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	CCIVII	22.2000	Environmental Kuznets Curve
NRM24.2006ExtinctionSIEV25.2006Lucia VERGANO and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: Analysis and Evaluation of Ecosystem Resilience: An Economic Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments ttSIEV26.2006Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier ApproachCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (Uxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Uxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	NRM	23.2006	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-ICHI AKAO: When is it Optimal to Exhaust a Resource in a Finite Time?
SIEV25.2006Perspective Danny CAMPBELL, W. George HUTCHINSON and Riccardo SCARPA: Using Discrete Choice Experiments to Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in IrelandKTHC27.2006Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier ApproachCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting Emissions Allessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (lxxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	NRM	24.2006	
SIEV26.2006Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland Vincent M. OTTO, Timo KUOSMANEN and Ekko C. van IERLAND: Estimating Feedback Effect in Technical Change: A Frontier ApproachCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting EmissionsIEM29.2006Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (Ixxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax Regret Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (Ixxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	SIEV	25.2006	Perspective
KTHC27.2006Change: A Frontier Approach Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting EmissionsCCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting EmissionsIEM29.2006Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (lxxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax RegretIEM32.2006Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (lxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to SardiniaCTN34.2006Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	SIEV	26.2006	Derive Individual-Specific WTP Estimates for Landscape Improvements under Agri-Environmental Schemes Evidence from the Rural Environment Protection Scheme in Ireland
CCMP28.2006Giovanni BELLA: Uniqueness and Indeterminacy of Equilibria in a Model with Polluting EmissionsIEM29.2006Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (lxxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax RegretIEM32.2006Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (lxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to SardiniaCTN34.2006Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International 	KTHC	27.2006	
IEM29.2006Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A Markov-Switching Analysis for the G-7 CountriesKTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (lxxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax RegretIEM32.2006Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (lxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to SardiniaCTN34.2006Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	CCMP	28.2006	
KTHC30.2006Fabio SABATINI: Social Capital and Labour Productivity in ItalyETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (lxxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax RegretIEM32.2006Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (lxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to SardiniaCTN34.2006Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	IEM		Alessandro COLOGNI and Matteo MANERA: The Asymmetric Effects of Oil Shocks on Output Growth: A
ETA31.2006Andrea GALLICE (lxxix): Predicting one Shot Play in 2x2 Games Using Beliefs Based on Minimax RegretIEM32.2006Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rainaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (lxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to SardiniaCTN34.2006Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	VTUC	20 2006	
IEM32.2006Andrea BIGANO and Paul SHEEHAN: Assessing the Risk of Oil Spills in the Mediterranean: the Case of the Route from the Black Sea to ItalyNRM33.2006Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (lxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to SardiniaCTN34.2006Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual DiscussionIEM35.2006Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth			
IEM       32.2006       Route from the Black Sea to Italy         NRM       33.2006       Rinaldo BRAU and Davide CAO (lxxviii): Uncovering the Macrostructure of Tourists' Preferences. A Choice         Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia       Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International         IEM       35.2006       Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	EIA	31.2006	
NKM         33.2006         Experiment Analysis of Tourism Demand to Sardinia           CTN         34.2006         Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual Discussion           IEM         35.2006         Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	IEM	32.2006	Route from the Black Sea to Italy
CTN       34.2006       Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS: Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual Discussion         IEM       35.2006       Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	NRM	33.2006	
CTN       34.2006       Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual Discussion         IEM       35.2006       Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth			
IEM 35.2006 Valeria COSTANTINI and Salvatore MONNI: Environment, Human Development and Economic Growth	CTN	34.2006	
	IEM	35 2006	
	ETA	36.2006	Ariel RUBINSTEIN (lxxix): Instinctive and Cognitive Reasoning: A Study of Response Times

ETA	37.2006	Maria SALGADO (lxxix): Choosing to Have Less Choice
ETA	38.2006	Justina A.V. FISCHER and Benno TORGLER: Does Envy Destroy Social Fundamentals? The Impact of Relative
2111	50.2000	Income Position on Social Capital
ETA	39.2006	Benno TORGLER, Sascha L. SCHMIDT and Bruno S. FREY: <u>Relative Income Position and Performance: An</u> <u>Empirical Panel Analysis</u>
CCMP	40.2006	Alberto GAGO, Xavier LABANDEIRA, Fidel PICOS And Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: <u>Taxing Tourism In Spain:</u> <u>Results and Recommendations</u>
IEM	41.2006	Karl van BIERVLIET, Dirk Le ROY and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES: <u>An Accidental Oil Spill Along the Belgian</u> Coast: Results from a CV Study
CCMP	42.2006	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Endogenous Technology and Tradable Emission Quotas
KTHC	43.2006	<i>Giulio CAINELLI and Donato IACOBUCCI</i> : <u>The Role of Agglomeration and Technology in Shaping Firm</u> Strategy and Organization
CCMP	44.2006	Alvaro CALZADILLA, Francesco PAULI and Roberto ROSON: Climate Change and Extreme Events: An Assessment of Economic Implications
SIEV	45.2006	M.E. KRAGT, P.C. ROEBELING and A. RUIJS: Effects of Great Barrier Reef Degradation on Recreational
NRM	46.2006	Demand: A Contingent Behaviour Approach C. GIUPPONI, R. CAMERA, A. FASSIO, A. LASUT, J. MYSIAK and A. SGOBBI: <u>Network Analysis, Creative</u> System Modelling and DecisionSupport: <i>The NetSyMoD Approach</i>
KTHC	47.2006	Walter F. LALICH (lxxx): Measurement and Spatial Effects of the Immigrant Created Cultural Diversity in
KTHC	48.2006	<u>Sydney</u> Elena PASPALANOVA (lxxx): <u>Cultural Diversity Determining the Memory of a Controversial Social Event</u>
		Ugo GASPARINO, Barbara DEL CORPO and Dino PINELLI (lxxx): Perceived Diversity of Complex
KTHC	49.2006	Environmental Systems: Multidimensional Measurement and Synthetic Indicators
KTHC	50.2006	<i>Aleksandra HAUKE</i> (lxxx): <u>Impact of Cultural Differences on Knowledge Transfer in British, Hungarian and</u> <u>Polish Enterprises</u>
KTHC	51.2006	<i>Katherine MARQUAND FORSYTH and Vanja M. K. STENIUS</i> (lxxx): <u>The Challenges of Data Comparison and</u> <u>Varied European Concepts of Diversity</u>
KTHC	52.2006	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> (lxxx): <u>Rethinking the Gains from Immigration: Theory and</u> Evidence from the U.S.
KTHC	53.2006	Monica BARNI (lxxx): From Statistical to Geolinguistic Data: Mapping and Measuring Linguistic Diversity
KTHC	54.2006	Lucia TAJOLI and Lucia DE BENEDICTIS (lxxx): Economic Integration and Similarity in Trade Structures
KTHC	55.2006	Suzanna CHAN (lxxx): "God's Little Acre" and "Belfast Chinatown": Diversity and Ethnic Place Identity in Belfast
KTHC	56.2006	Diana PETKOVA (lxxx): Cultural Diversity in People's Attitudes and Perceptions
KTHC	57.2006	John J. <i>BETANCUR</i> (lxxx): From Outsiders to On-Paper Equals to Cultural Curiosities? The Trajectory of Diversity in the USA
KTHC	58.2006	Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxxx): Cultural Diversity A Glimpse Over the Current Debate in Sweden
KTHC	59.2006	Emilio GREGORI (lxxx): Indicators of Migrants' Socio-Professional Integration
KTHC	60.2006	Christa-Maria LERM HAYES (lxxx): Unity in Diversity Through Art? Joseph Beuys' Models of Cultural Dialogue
KTHC	61.2006	Sara VERTOMMEN and Albert MARTENS (lxxx): Ethnic Minorities Rewarded: Ethnostratification on the Wage Market in Belgium
KTHC	62.2006	Nicola GENOVESE and Maria Grazia LA SPADA (lxxx): Diversity and Pluralism: An Economist's View
KTHC	63.2006	<i>Carla BAGNA</i> (lxxx): <u>Italian Schools and New Linguistic Minorities</u> : <u>Nationality Vs. Plurilingualism</u> . <u>Which</u> Ways and Methodologies for Mapping these Contexts?
KTHC	64.2006	Vedran OMANOVIĆ (lxxx): Understanding "Diversity in Organizations" Paradigmatically and Methodologically
KTHC	65.2006	<i>Mila PASPALANOVA</i> (lxxx): <u>Identifying and Assessing the Development of Populations of Undocumented</u> Migrants: The Case of Undocumented Poles and Bulgarians in Brussels
KTHC	66.2006	<i>Roberto ALZETTA</i> (lxxx): Diversities in Diversity: Exploring Moroccan Migrants' Livelihood in Genoa
KTHC	67.2006	Monika SEDENKOVA and Jiri HORAK (lxxx): Multivariate and Multicriteria Evaluation of Labour Market
KTHC	68.2006	Situation Dirk JACOBS and Andrea REA (lxxx): Construction and Import of Ethnic Categorisations: "Allochthones" in
КТНС	69.2006	<u>The Netherlands and Belgium</u> Eric M. USLANER (lxxx): <u>Does Diversity Drive Down Trust</u> ?
КТНС	70.2006	Paula MOTA SANTOS and João BORGES DE SOUSA (lxxx): Visibility & Invisibility of Communities in Urban
ETA	71.2006	Systems Rinaldo BRAU and Matteo LIPPI BRUNI: Eliciting the Demand for Long Term Care Coverage: A Discrete
		Choice Modelling Analysis
CTN	72.2006	Dinko DIMITROV and Claus-JOCHEN HAAKE: Coalition Formation in Simple Games: The Semistrict Core Ottorino CHILLEM, Benedetto GUI and Lorenzo ROCCO: On The Economic Value of Repeated Interactions
CTN	73.2006	Under Adverse Selection
CTN	74.2006	Sylvain BEAL and Nicolas QUÉROU: Bounded Rationality and Repeated Network Formation
CTN	75.2006	Sophie BADE, Guillaume HAERINGER and Ludovic RENOU: <u>Bilateral Commitment</u>
CTN CTN	76.2006 77.2006	Andranik TANGIAN: Evaluation of Parties and Coalitions After Parliamentary Elections Rudolf BERGHAMMER, Agnieszka RUSINOWSKA and Harrie de SWART: Applications of Relations and
		Graphs to Coalition Formation
CTN CTN	78.2006 79.2006	Paolo PIN: Eight Degrees of Separation Roland AMANN and Thomas GALL: How (not) to Choose Peers in Studying Groups
U118	12.2000	Notana mananyi ana montas oble. mow (not) to choose reers in stadying Oroups

Climate Policy
ent Improvements in
lel of Parliamentary
vantaged Candidate
vidend in the Double
Climate Change on
Exploratory Analysis
e: An Application of

(lxxviii) This paper was presented at the Second International Conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development - Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari and Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, and supported by the World Bank, Chia, Italy, 16-17 September 2005.

(lxxix) This paper was presented at the International Workshop on "Economic Theory and Experimental Economics" jointly organised by SET (Center for advanced Studies in Economic Theory, University of Milano-Bicocca) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Italy, Milan, 20-23 November 2005. The Workshop was co-sponsored by CISEPS (Center for Interdisciplinary Studies in Economics and Social Sciences, University of Milan-Bicocca).

(lxxx) This paper was presented at the First EURODIV Conference "Understanding diversity: Mapping and measuring", held in Milan on 26-27 January 2006 and supported by the Marie Curie Series of Conferences "Cultural Diversity in Europe: a Series of Conferences.

	2006 SERIES
ССМР	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
КТНС	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRCG	Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ЕТА	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network