

Weikard, Hans-Peter

**Working Paper**

## Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule

Nota di Lavoro, No. 77.2005

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

*Suggested Citation:* Weikard, Hans-Peter (2005) : Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule, Nota di Lavoro, No. 77.2005, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/73994>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

## **Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule**

Hans-Peter Weikard

NOTA DI LAVORO 77.2005

**MAY 2005**

CTN – Coalition Theory Network
--------------------------------

Hans-Peter Weikard, *Department of Social Sciences, Wageningen University*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:  
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:  
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=731545>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of  
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei  
Corso Magenta, 63, 20123 Milano (I), web site: [www.feem.it](http://www.feem.it), e-mail: [working.papers@feem.it](mailto:working.papers@feem.it)

# Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule

## Summary

Previous work on the formation and stability of cartels has focused on the case of identical players. This assumption is very restrictive in many economic environments. This paper analyses stability of cartels in games with heterogeneous players and spillovers to non-members. I introduce a sharing rule for coalition payoffs, called "optimal sharing" which stabilises all cartels that are possibly stable under any rule. Under optimal sharing the grand coalition is the unique stable cartel if spillovers are negative. I introduce a new property, called "non-essentiality" and determine the set of stable cartels under optimal sharing if spillovers are positive and if the non-essentiality property applies. Finally I analyse cartel stability under optimal sharing in simple public goods game with heterogeneous players. My results show – in contrast to earlier findings for identical players – that large coalitions may well be stable.

**Keywords:** Cartel stability, Coalition formation games with spillovers, Partition function approach, Optimal sharing rule

**JEL Classification:** C72, D72, H41

*I discussed my idea of an optimal sharing rule with Michael Finus at an early stage of this research. Atanas Christev and Harold Houba have provided comments on a draft of this paper. I wish to thank them and the members of the STACO project, Wageningen University, for support. I am grateful to the International Institute of Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) for providing a stimulating research environment.*

*Invited paper for the Public Economic Theory Conference, Marseilles, 16-18 June 2005.*

*Address for correspondence:*

Hans-Peter Weikard  
Department of Social Sciences  
Wageningen University  
Hollandseweg 1  
NL-6706 KN  
Wageningen  
The Netherlands  
E-mail: Hans-Peter.Weikard@wur.nl

# 1 Introduction

Recent work on the formation and stability of coalitions has focused on the partition function approach. A partition function is a generalisation of the characteristic function of a coalition formation game introduced by von Neumann and Morgenstern (1944). The characteristic function assigns a payoff to each coalition which is independent of the actions taken by non-members. In many economic environments this assumption is too restrictive. The partition function approach, first introduced by Thrall and Lucas (1963), relaxes this assumption. The coalition payoff may depend on the entire coalition structure. This allows to consider spillovers, that is, the formation of a coalition affects the payoffs of non-members. Because coalition payoffs are insufficient to identify (Nash) equilibria of coalition formation games, Bloch (1996), Yi (1997), and Ray and Vohra (1999) have introduced a *per-member partition function*. Findings have been surveyed by Bloch (2003) and Yi (2003). The move from coalition payoffs to individual payoffs requires the use of a sharing rule. In their coalition formation models Bloch (1996), Yi (1997) and Ray and Vohra (1999) assume identical players and equal sharing of coalition payoffs. In this paper I relax this symmetry assumption. In a more general setting, with differences in players' characteristics, equal sharing is less convincing. I introduce an alternative sharing rule and apply it to a cartel formation game. The sharing rule suggested here distributes the coalition payoff proportional to outside-option payoffs. It can be shown that if a coalition is internally stable under some sharing rule, then it is internally stable under the suggested rule.<sup>1</sup> I will refer to this rule as "optimal sharing". Optimal sharing will guarantee internal stability whenever it is at all feasible.

The approach in this paper is more general than the existing literature because it relaxes the identical players assumption. The generality with respect to players' characteristics comes at some cost. I consider only cartel formation games in which it is assumed that at most one non-trivial coalition might form. The analysis focuses on internal and external stability of cartels. A cartel is stable when no member has an incentive to leave and no non-member has an incentive to join.

Two main results are obtained. Both results require a superadditive payoff function. In other words, a coalition can achieve at least as much as its members can achieve individually. First, I consider the case of negative spillovers where non-members lose when others form a coalition. I prove that the grand coalition is the unique stable cartel under optimal sharing. Second, for the case of positive spillovers where non-members gain when others form a coalition, I show that the set of stable cartels can be generated by a simple algorithm, provided the payoff function satisfies a "non-essential player" property. A player is non-essential in an internally stable coalition if the remaining coalition without that player is internally stable. The intuition behind my result is that every stable coalition can be "built up"

---

<sup>1</sup> Internal stability means that no coalition member has an incentive to leave.

stepwise by a sequence of internally stable enlargements starting from a small internally stable coalition. If the non-essential player property does not hold, that is, if all players of a coalition are essential, then this coalition cannot be built up by a sequence of internally stable enlargements. In such case the set of stable cartels is almost unrestricted.

A cartel formation game with positive spillovers has first been introduced by d'Aspremont *et al.* (1983). It has more recently received much attention in the study of international environmental agreements. These have been studied, for instance, by Barrett (1994) and Hoel and Schneider (1997). The applicability of cartel formation games, however, has so far been hampered by the restrictive assumption of identical players. In a cartel formation game a player's strategy set consists of the options whether or not to become a coalition member. The payoffs of all players (not only coalition members' payoffs) depend on the coalition formed. There are spillovers to non-members. A typical situation where such game structure arises is the provision of public goods. It is well-known from the literature on private provision of public goods that – due to free-riding – inefficiently low levels of public goods are provided, since players do not take others' benefits into account. The inefficiency problem may be mitigated to some extent if we allow for coalition formation. Barrett (1994, p. 891) concludes from the analysis of a symmetric cartel game that self-enforcing agreements (coalitions) "may not be able to improve substantially upon the non-cooperative outcome." An additional finding in this paper is that Barrett's result does not generalise to cases where players differ with respect to their marginal benefits of the public good. In fact, any coalition can be stable if one player's marginal benefit is sufficiently large.

The next section introduces a cartel formation game. Section 3 introduces the sharing rule and shows that it "maximises" stability. Section 4 contains the main results of the paper. The characterisation of stable coalitions in cartel formation games with heterogeneous players is a major contribution to coalition theory and will stimulate new applied work in, for instance, industrial economics, environmental economics, and public choice. Section 5 provides an application. I show for a simple public goods game with non-identical players that it has the non-essential player property and, hence, the set of stable cartels is easy to compute. Section 6 concludes.

## 2 The cartel game

Let  $N$  be a finite set of players. Players are denoted by  $i, j$  and so on. Each player  $j$  chooses a strategy  $\sigma_j \in \{0, 1\}$ . If player  $j$  chooses  $\sigma_j = 1$ , she will be a member of a (unique) coalition; if she chooses  $\sigma_j = 0$ , she will not be a member. Let  $C \subseteq N$  be the set of coalition members. Suppose  $i \in C$ , then  $C_{-i}$  is the shorthand notation for coalition  $C \setminus \{i\}$ , *i.e.* the remaining coalition when  $i$  "leaves". Similarly,  $C_{+j}$  is the shorthand for  $C \cup \{j\}$ ;  $j \notin C$ .  $\pi_i(C)$  is the payoff of player  $i$  under coalition  $C$ .  $\pi_i(C_{-i})$  is  $i$ 's outside option payoff which I will also call

her claim. For convenience, I assume that  $\pi_j(C) \geq 0$  for all  $j \in N$  and all  $C \subseteq N$ . Furthermore, I assume that for all  $i, j \in N$ ,  $\pi_j(\{i\}) = \pi_j(\emptyset)$ . If only a single player announces "1", the (trivial) coalition formed is not effective.

It is obvious that the sharing rule applied to distribute the coalition payoff will affect the stability of a coalition, that is, the incentives to join or stay out. Individual payoffs of members of coalition  $C$  are derived from the joint coalition payoff  $\pi(C)$  by applying a sharing rule. A sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}$  distributes the coalition payoff. It assigns a payoff  $\pi_i$  to each coalition member  $i$ . Formally, for every coalition  $C \in 2^N$  and every sharing rule  $\mathcal{R} : 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|C|}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(C) = (\pi_i)_{i \in C}$  and  $\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C) = \pi(C)$ .

We now define the concepts of internal and external stability. The condition for internal stability is that each coalition member gets at least as much as she gets when leaving the coalition, while others stay on. External stability means that no player outside the coalition would gain by joining the coalition. Denote the set of internally stable coalitions by  $\hat{S}$ , and the set of externally stable coalitions by  $\check{S}$ . Formally:

DEFINITION 1 (internal stability):  $C \in \hat{S}$  if and only if for all  $i \in C$  it holds that

$$\pi_i(C) \geq \pi_i(C_{-i}). \quad (1)$$

DEFINITION 2 (external stability):  $C \in \check{S}$  if and only if for all  $j \in N \setminus C$  it holds that

$$\pi_j(C) > \pi_j(C_{+j}).^2 \quad (2)$$

Coalition  $C$  is called a stable coalition if and only if it is internally and externally stable. The set of stable coalitions is denoted by  $S$ .

DEFINITION 3 (stability):  $C \in S$  if and only if  $C \in \hat{S} \cap \check{S}$ .

Clearly, if (1) and (2) hold, we have a Nash equilibrium of the cartel formation game as no player has an incentive to deviate from her chosen strategy. The set of stable coalitions  $S$  is a subset of all Nash equilibria. The full set is obtained if we weaken the stability definition and replace the strict inequality sign in (2) by a weak inequality sign. In the remainder of the paper I will use the stronger stability notion of definitions 1-3.

---

<sup>2</sup> The tie-breaking rule is here that a player would join the coalition if she is indifferent between joining and staying out. Hence, by this definition, the empty set  $\emptyset$  is not externally stable, and a trivial coalition is internally stable.

### 3 Optimal sharing rules

Consider the following class of sharing rules  $\mathcal{R}^o$  where each coalition member receives her outside option payoff  $\pi_i(C_{-i})$  and the residual  $\pi(C) - \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i})$  is shared in an arbitrary way. Formally this class of sharing rules is characterised by the following condition.

*Weak Equal Treatment Condition:* For all  $i \in C$  and all  $C \subseteq N$ ,  $\pi_i(C) \geq \pi_i(C_{-i})$  if and only if  $\pi(C) \geq \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i})$ .

Of all possible sharing rules the Weak Equal Treatment Condition selects the class of surplus sharing rules where outside option payoffs define an “original position”.<sup>3</sup>

A particular sharing rule belonging to the class  $\mathcal{R}^o$  is sharing proportional to outside option payoffs,  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . For all  $i \in C$

$$\pi_i(C) = \alpha(C) \pi_i(C_{-i}), \quad (3)$$

$$\text{where } \alpha(C) = \frac{\pi(C)}{\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i})}.$$

The results that follow in the remainder of the paper are derived for  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , but they hold for every rule in  $\mathcal{R}^o$  as the incentives to join or leave a coalition do not depend on the distribution of the residual  $\pi_i(C) - \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i})$ .<sup>4</sup>

It can be shown that  $\mathcal{R}^*$  produces the largest possible set of internally stable coalitions.

**THEOREM 1** In a cartel formation game, if coalition  $C$  is not internally stable under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , then  $C$  is not internally stable under any rule.

*Proof:* Internal stability requires by definition that for all  $i \in C$ ,  $\pi_i(C) \geq \pi_i(C_{-i})$ . It follows that the coalition must earn at least the sum of the outside option payments

$$\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C) \geq \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i}). \quad (4)$$

If (4) holds, then  $\alpha \geq 1$  and

$$\pi(C) = \alpha(C) \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i}) \geq \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i}).$$

---

<sup>3</sup> The Weak Equal Treatment Condition is usually violated in applied studies on coalition stability. Cf. e.g. Weikard et al. (2004) and the references cited therein.

<sup>4</sup> Note that  $\mathcal{R}^*$  is the equal sharing rule for identical players.

According to  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , for all  $i \in C$ ,  $\pi_i(C) = \alpha(C)\pi_i(C_{-i})$ . If  $C$  is unstable under  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , then there exists  $i \in C$  such that  $\pi_i(C) < \pi_i(C_{-i}) \Leftrightarrow \alpha(C)\pi_i(C_{-i}) < \pi_i(C_{-i})$ . Hence,  $\alpha(C) < 1$ , and the coalition payoff is less than the sum of the outside option payments. This violates the necessary condition for internal stability (4). Therefore, there cannot exist a sharing rule under which  $C$  is internally stable if  $C$  is internally unstable under  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . QED.

Theorem 1 says that if  $C$  is stable under some sharing rule, then  $C$  is stable under  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . This allows us to focus further analysis of coalition stability on rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$  and still be sure that no internally stable coalition escapes our attention. Other possible sharing rules need not be considered because no rule can generate an internally stable coalition that is not also internally stable under  $\mathcal{R}^*$ .

The following Lemma sheds light on the relationship between coalitions  $C$  and  $C_{+j}$ .

**LEMMA 1** In a cartel formation game, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , coalition  $C$  is externally unstable if and only if, there exists  $j \in N \setminus C$  such that  $C_{+j}$  is internally stable.

*Proof:* Suppose  $C$  is externally unstable, then there exists  $j \in N \setminus C$  such that  $\pi_j(C) \leq \pi_j(C_{+j})$ . Note now that  $\pi_j(C)$  is  $j$ 's outside option payoff with respect to coalition  $C_{+j}$ . Under  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if  $\pi_j(C_{+j}) \geq \pi_j(C)$  holds for  $j$ , then  $\pi_i(C_{+j}) \geq \pi_i(C_{+j-i})$  for all  $i \in C \cup \{j\}$ . Every member of the enlarged coalition  $C \cup \{j\}$  receives at least her outside option payoff and, hence, the enlarged coalition is internally stable. QED.

Lemma 1 implies that every coalition  $C$  is either externally stable or there exists an enlarged coalition  $C_{+j}$  which is internally stable. This characteristic will be helpful for identifying the set of stable coalitions.

## 4 Cartel stability

For what follows I impose restrictions on the payoffs. First, payoffs are required to be superadditive. A coalition  $C$  joined by an additional member  $j$  can achieve at least as much as  $C$  and  $j$  can achieve independently.

**DEFINITION 4** (superadditivity): Payoffs are superadditive if and only if for all coalitions  $C \subset N$  and all  $j \in N \setminus C$ , it holds that  $\pi(C_{+j}) \geq \pi(C) + \pi_j(C)$ .

Superadditivity is a natural assumption as all options open to a set of individual players should be open to a team. The following holds:

**LEMMA 2** In a cartel formation game, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if payoffs are superadditive, then every two-player coalition is internally stable.



*Proof:* Consider  $C = \{i\}$ . It follows from superadditivity that  $\pi(\{i, j\}) \geq \pi(\{i\}) + \pi_j(\{i\})$ . Since, for all  $i$ ,  $\pi(\{i\}) = \pi_i(\{j\}) = \pi_i(\emptyset)$ , the superadditivity property can be rewritten as  $\pi(\{i, j\}) \geq \pi_i(\{j\}) + \pi_j(\{i\})$ . This says that the coalition payoff is at least as much as the sum of the outside option payoffs. Hence, under  $\mathcal{R}^*$  every player receives at least her outside option payoff and, hence, all two-player coalitions are internally stable. QED.

In what follows I call  $C_{+j}$ , where  $j \in N \setminus C$ , an *internally stable enlargement* of  $C$  if both,  $C$  and  $C_{+j}$ , are internally stable.

**THEOREM 2** A non-trivial ( $|C| \geq 2$ ) stable coalition always exists in a cartel formation game, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if payoffs are superadditive.

*Proof:* The proof is by contradiction. Suppose no non-trivial stable coalition exists. By Lemma 2 all two-player coalitions  $C$  are internally stable. By assumption they are unstable. Hence, they must be externally unstable. Then, by Lemma 1, there exists an internally stable enlargement  $C_{+j}$ . Again, by assumption  $C_{+j}$  is not stable and, hence, not externally stable. Then, Lemma 1 applies again, and so on. Then there must be a sequence of internally stable enlargements. If an enlarged internally stable coalition reaches size  $N$ , there cannot be a further enlargement. Hence, a stable coalition  $C$  must exist such that  $2 \leq |C| \leq |N|$ . QED.

The next restriction I impose concerns spillovers. I will examine two cases: negative spillovers and positive spillovers. With negative spillovers non-members lose as the coalition grows. In this case the grand coalition ( $C = N$ ) is stable. Note that under superadditivity, the grand coalition is always efficient. In the case of positive spillovers, non-members gain when others join the coalition. Here the issue is more complex. Usually an efficient outcome (the grand coalition) cannot be obtained as there are strong free rider incentives. An examples is discussed in section 5. The simpler case of negative spillovers is discussed in turn.

**DEFINITION 5** (negative spillovers): Payoffs exhibit negative spillovers if and only if for all coalitions  $C \subset N$  and all  $j, k \in N \setminus C$  it holds that  $\pi_j(C_{+k}) < \pi_j(C)$ .

The following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 3** In a cartel formation game, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if payoffs are superadditive and exhibit negative spillovers, then the grand coalition is the unique stable coalition:  $S = \{N\}$ .

*Proof:* The proof is by induction. There are three steps. First, we show that if a coalition  $C$  is internally stable, then  $C_{+j}$  is also internally stable.

Step 1: Suppose  $C$  is internally stable. Then, under  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , everyone receives more than her claim, as  $\pi(C) \geq \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i})$ . By superadditivity  $\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{+j}) + \pi_j(C_{+j}) \geq \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C) + \pi_j(C)$ . Then,

it also holds that  $\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{+j}) + \pi_j(C_{+j}) \geq \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i}) + \pi_j(C)$ . Note now that the sum of the claims of coalition  $C_{+j}$  is  $\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{+j-i}) + \pi_j(C)$ . By negative spillovers we have

$$\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{+j-i}) < \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i}). \quad \text{Hence,}$$

$\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{+j}) + \pi_j(C_{+j}) \geq \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i}) + \pi_j(C) > \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{+j-i}) + \pi_j(C)$ . This establishes that the payoff of coalition  $C_{+j}$  is larger than the sum of the claims of the coalition members. We conclude that if coalition  $C$  is internally stable, then  $C_{+j}$  is internally stable.

Step 2: By Lemma 2 we know that an internally stable two-player coalition exists. By step 1 we know that every enlargement of a  $n$ -player coalition to an  $n+1$ -player coalition generates an internally stable coalition. Hence, all coalitions are internally stable.

Step 3: By Lemma 1, if, there exists  $j \in N \setminus C$  such that  $C \cup \{j\}$  is internally stable, then  $C$  is externally unstable. Such  $j$  always exists unless  $C$  is the grand coalition. Hence, the grand coalition is the unique stable coalition. QED.

We now turn to the more interesting case of positive spillovers. Positive spillovers imply that there are free rider incentives. Thus, we expect stable coalitions that are smaller than the grand coalition, but according to Lemma 2 stable coalitions have at least two members.

**DEFINITION 6** (positive spillovers): Payoffs exhibit positive spillovers, if and only if for all coalitions  $C \subset N$  and all  $j, k \in N \setminus C$  it holds that  $\pi_j(C_{+k}) \geq \pi_j(C)$ .

While superadditive payoffs give an incentive to join the coalition, positive spillovers work in the opposite direction. A larger coalition does not only achieve more for its members but it also generates larger benefits to non-members. These benefits are an obstacle to forming large and successful coalitions. Generally, superadditivity and positive spillovers do not limit the set of possible stable coalitions. If free rider incentives are very strong, the set of stable coalitions may just be the set of two-player coalitions. If, however, only the grand coalition achieves a substantial gain while smaller coalitions are largely ineffective, then the grand coalition may be in the set of stable coalitions. One constraint on the set of stable coalitions is that if  $C$  is stable no subset of  $C$  of size  $|C|-1$  is stable. The following Lemma holds.

**LEMMA 3** In a cartel formation game, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if  $C \in S$ , then  $C_{-i} \notin S$ .

*Proof:* If  $C \in S$ , then by definition of stability  $C$  is internally stable. From Lemma 1 we know that if  $C$  is internally stable then  $C_{-i}$  is not externally stable. Hence,  $C_{-i} \notin S$ . QED.

Without further restrictions on the payoff structure, there is little more to say. However, we can identify a class of cartel formation games for which all stable coalitions can be generated in a simple straightforward manner. This class of games is characterised by the fact that *not all* players are essential for the success of the coalition. A player is essential if, without this

player, the remaining coalition is internally unstable and would fall apart. I formally define the property of non-essentiality. A lemma follows.

**DEFINITION 7 (non-essentiality):** Player  $i \in C$  is non-essential for coalition  $C \in \hat{S}$  if and only if  $C_{-i} \in \hat{S}$ .

**LEMMA 4** In a cartel formation game with superadditivity, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if every internally stable coalition has a non-essential member, then there exists a sequence of internally stable enlargements from  $|C|=2$  for every stable coalition.

*Proof:* We assume that every internally stable coalition has a non-essential member. Consider a stable coalition  $C$  of size  $|C|=n>2$ . As  $C$  is stable, it is also internally stable and has a non-essential member. Hence, there exists a coalition  $C_{-i} \in \hat{S}$  of size  $n-1$ . As  $C_{-i} \in \hat{S}$ , there exists  $C_{-i-j} \in \hat{S}$ , and so forth until the size of the coalition is 2. This establishes the result. QED.

The existence of a non-essential player rules out payoff structures with specific synergies. Consider a large stone that can only be moved by four persons. Any three would not be strong enough to do so. In this case, a coalition of four could be stable, while there is no internally stable coalition of three. The existence of a non-essential member in every internally stable coalition rules out the possibility of stable coalitions of size  $n+1$  if there does not exist an internally stable coalition of size  $n$ .

I call a *sequence of internally stable enlargements* leading to  $C$  "complete" if  $C$  can be described by a sequence of internally stable enlargements and if there is no  $j \in N \setminus C$  such that  $C_{+j}$  is internally stable. I can show now that the set of complete sequences of internally stable enlargements coincides with the set of stable coalitions.

**THEOREM 4:** In a cartel formation game with superadditivity, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if every internally stable coalition has a non-essential member, then the set of complete sequences of internally stable enlargements coincides with the set of stable coalitions.

*Proof:* First, notice that a complete sequence of internally stable enlargements leads to a stable coalition: If  $C$  is generated by a sequence of internally stable enlargements, then it is, by definition, internally stable. As the sequence is complete there does not exist  $j \in N \setminus C$  such that  $C_{+j}$  is internally stable. Then, by Lemma 1,  $C$  is externally stable and, hence, stable.

Second, Lemma 4 establishes that every stable coalition can be constructed by a sequence of internally stable enlargements. This sequence is in fact a complete sequence, since, by Lemma 1, there cannot be an internally stable enlargement. Hence, the set of complete sequences of internally stable enlargements coincides with the set of stable coalitions. QED.

Note that the converse of Theorem 4 is not true. The set of complete sequences of internally stable enlargements may coincide with the set of stable coalitions while there exist internally stable coalitions consisting of essential members only.

The importance of Theorem 4 is that it identifies a class of coalition formation games for which the set of stable coalitions is easy to compute. It is easy to establish a simple algorithm that generates the stable coalitions for the class of cartel formation games with non-essential members in every internally stable coalition.

**DEFINITION 8** (simple algorithm): (i) Start with  $n = 2$ . (ii) Check each internally stable  $n$ -player coalition  $C$  for internally stable enlargements for all  $j \in N \setminus C$ . If there is no internally stable enlargement of  $C$ , then the sequence is complete. If there is an internally stable enlargement  $C_{+j}$ , put it on a list of internally stable coalitions of size  $n + 1$ . (iii) If the check is completed, proceed with the listed internally stable  $n + 1$ -player coalitions at step (ii). (iv) Stop when the list of internally stable  $n + 1$ -player coalitions is empty.

The following holds.

**THEOREM 5** The simple algorithm generates the stable coalitions in a cartel formation game with superadditivity, under sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , if every internally stable coalition has a non-essential member.

*Proof:* By construction the simple algorithm generates all complete sequences of internally stable enlargements. Theorem 4 establishes that the set of complete sequences of internally stable enlargements coincides with the set of stable coalitions. QED.

## 5 Cartel formation and the provision of public goods

In this section I show that Theorems 4 and 5 have important applications. I consider the case of the provision of public goods when benefits are linear and costs are quadratic. More specifically, I consider the two-stage game introduced by d'Aspremont et al. (1983) and applied to public goods problems by Barrett (1994), Chander and Tulkens (1995), Carraro and Marchiori (2003) and others in more recent years. The important difference is that here I relax the identical players assumption usually invoked in the literature and I apply the optimal sharing rule developed in this paper. There are  $N$  players. At stage 1 each player considers whether or not to join a binding agreement. Those who join, coalition  $C$ , fully cooperate in the provision of the public good at the second stage. They maximise the coalition payoff and share the payoff according to  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . The remaining players  $N \setminus C$ , the free riders, maximise their individual payoffs.

I show that this game satisfies the condition that there exists a non-essential player for every internally stable coalition. Accordingly, all stable coalitions can be computed by the simple algorithm.

Let  $b_i \geq 0$  denote individual marginal benefits,  $a_i \geq 0$  denotes a cost parameter, and  $z_i$  denotes the amount of public good provided by  $i$ . The total amount provided is  $z = \sum_{i \in N} z_i$ .

At stage 2 of the game a free rider  $k \notin C$  maximises:

$$\pi_k(C) = b_k z_k + b_k \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{k\}} z_j - \frac{1}{2} a_k z_k^2. \quad (5)$$

It follows from a free rider's first order condition that

$$z_k^* = \frac{b_k}{a_k}. \quad (6)$$

The coalition maximises the joint payoff which is then available for distribution,

$$\pi(C) = \sum_{i \in C} b_i \sum_{k \in N} z_k - \sum_{i \in C} \frac{1}{2} a_i z_i^2. \quad (7)$$

From the set of first order conditions we have

$$z_i^* = \frac{\sum_{j \in C} b_j}{a_i}. \quad (8)$$

for all  $i \in C$  (Samuelson's rule).

Given the first order conditions the equilibrium coalition payoff is:

$$\pi(C) = \sum_{i \in C} \left( b_i \sum_{j \in C} \frac{\sum_{k \in C} b_k}{a_j} + b_i \sum_{l \notin C} \frac{b_l}{a_l} - \sum_{i \in C} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left( \sum_{k \in C} b_k \right)^2}{a_i} \right). \quad (9)$$

When we do not consider redistribution, the term in brackets is the individual net benefit for coalition member  $i$ . The first addend in the bracket is the benefit from the public good provided by coalition members; the second addend gives the benefit from the provision by free riders; the third addend is  $i$ 's cost of optimal provision.

A coalition  $C$  applying  $\mathcal{R}^*$  will be internally stable if and only if the coalition payoff (9) is at least as much as the sum of the claims of the coalition members. Recall that player  $i$ 's claim is the payoff  $i$  would receive under coalition  $C_{-i}$ . Recall also that  $\mathcal{R}^*$  divides the coalition payoff proportional to claims. Player  $i$ 's claim ( $i \in C$ ) is given by

$$\pi_i(C_{-i}) = b_i \frac{b_i}{a_i} + b_i \sum_{j \in C_{-i}} \frac{\sum_{k \in C_{-i}} b_k}{a_j} + b_i \sum_{l \notin C} \frac{b_l}{a_l} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b_i^2}{a_i}. \quad (10)$$

In order to check for internal stability, we have to compare (9) and the sum of individual claims  $\sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i})$ . To simplify notation, let  $\Phi(C) \equiv \sum_{i \in C} \pi_i(C_{-i})$ .

Then, internal stability requires.

$$\pi(C) \geq \Phi(C). \quad (11)$$

First, note that the following holds (see also Barrett's (1994) proposition 2).

**PROPOSITION 1** In a simple public goods game with linear benefits functions and quadratic costs functions, if players are identical ( $a_i = a_j$  and  $b_i = b_j$  for all  $i, j \in N$ ), then every coalition of size 3 is stable.

*Proof:* To show that internal stability is satisfied, we first show that  $\pi(C) - \Phi(C) = 0$  for  $|C| = 3$ . From (9) and (10) we obtain

$$\pi(C) - \Phi(C) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i \in C} b_i \right)^2 \sum_{i \in C} \frac{1}{a_i} - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i \in C} \frac{b_i^2}{a_i} + \sum_{i \in C} b_i \sum_{i \in C} \frac{b_i}{a_i} + \sum_{i \in C} b_i^2 \sum_{i \in C} \frac{1}{a_i}. \quad (12)$$

Setting  $a_i = a$ ,  $b_i = b$ , and  $|C| = 3$ , we obtain from (12) that  $\pi(C) - \Phi(C) = 0$ .

External stability is satisfied for  $|C| = 3$  for identical players because any 4-player coalition is internally unstable. Setting  $a_i = a$ ,  $b_i = b$ , and  $|C| = 4$ , we obtain from (12) that  $\pi(C) - \Phi(C) = -6b^2 / a < 0$ . QED.

In order to demonstrate that a public goods game with heterogeneous players has a non-essential member in every internally stable coalition, one has to show that if  $C$  is internally stable, then there exists  $i$  such that  $C_{-i}$  is internally stable.

The following proposition holds.

**PROPOSITION 2** In a simple public goods game with linear benefits functions ( $b_i \geq 0$ , for all  $i \in N$ ) and quadratic costs functions ( $a_i = 1$ , for all  $i \in N$ ), every internally stable coalition has a non-essential member.

*Proof:* The proof is given in the appendix.

Theorems 4 and 5 have established how the set of stable coalitions can be determined for any numerical specification.

Another important result follows easily. Barrett (1994) shows that only small coalitions will be stable in public goods games. But this result is obtained from a model with identical players. If we allow for heterogeneous players, as is the case here, large coalitions may well

be stable. It is easy to construct examples with large numbers of players with different marginal benefits where the grand coalition is stable.

**PROPOSITION 3** In a simple public goods game with linear benefits functions and quadratic costs functions, where marginal benefits  $b_i$  and the cost parameter  $a_i$  are given for all  $i \in N \setminus \{j\}$ , the grand coalition is stable if player  $j$ 's marginal benefit is sufficiently large.

*Proof:* The proof is given in the appendix.

## 6 Conclusions

The study of coalition formation is burdened by the complexity of the strategic situation. In order to get a grip on the problem, theoretical models have assumed that players are identical. The identical players assumption has so far seriously limited the empirical relevance of coalition theory. This paper takes a few steps to overcome some of the difficulties in cartel formation games. The optimal sharing rule introduced in this paper guarantees that a coalition will in fact be internally stable, whenever it is at all possible to stabilise the coalition. I show that, under optimal sharing, the grand coalition is the unique stable cartel in games with negative spillovers. Furthermore, I identify a class of games with positive spillovers where stable cartels can be easily computed – even for games with many players. Furthermore, I find that Barrett's (1994) often quoted result that only small cartels are stable does not hold in a generalised class of games with heterogeneous players.

My results in this paper are important for applied work, for instance, for the study of international environmental agreements, trade regulations, R&D cooperation, and the classic topic of cartel formation in industries. The relaxation of the identical players assumption will give new impulses for further research in these and other areas.

## Appendix

*Proof of Proposition 2:* We prove that every internally stable coalition in a simple public goods game with linear benefits functions and quadratic costs functions has a non-essential member. We have to show that

if  $C$  is internally stable, then there exists  $j \in C$  such that  $C_{-j}$  is internally stable. (\*)

Notice first that (\*) is satisfied for every  $C$  if  $|C| \leq 3$  (cf. Lemma 2). For the remainder of the proof we assume that  $|N| \geq |C| \geq 4$ . Suppose  $C$  is internally stable. Then, the coalition payoff is at least as much as the sum of the claims. Internal stability requires  $\pi(C) \geq \Phi(C)$ . Under

sharing rule  $\mathcal{R}^*$  every player  $i \in C$  receives  $\alpha(C) \cdot \pi_i(C_{-i})$ . Recall that  $\alpha(C) \equiv \pi(C)/\Phi(C)$  and  $C$  is internally stable if and only if  $\alpha(C) \geq 1$ . We have to show that a non-essential member  $j$  exists in every internally stable coalition such that  $\alpha(C_{-j}) \geq 1$  and, hence,

$$\pi(C_{-j}) - \Phi(C_{-j}) \geq 0. \quad (\text{A1})$$

To simplify notation denote  $n \equiv |C|$ . Assuming  $a_i = 1$  for all  $i \in N$  and internal stability of  $C$  we obtain from (12)

$$\pi(C) - \Phi(C) = -\frac{n-2}{2} \left( \sum_{i \in C} b_i \right)^2 + \frac{2n-3}{2} \sum_{i \in C} b_i^2 \geq 0. \quad (\text{A2})$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\frac{n-1}{2} b_j^2 - (n-2) \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i b_j + \frac{2n-3}{2} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2 - \frac{n-2}{2} \left( \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \right)^2 \geq 0. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Furthermore, (A1) can be written as

$$-\left( \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \right)^2 + \frac{2n-5}{n-3} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2 \geq 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

By appropriate manipulation of (A3) we obtain

$$-\left( \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \right)^2 + \frac{2n-5}{n-3} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2 - \frac{1}{(n-3)(n-2)} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i b_j + \frac{n-1}{n-2} b_j^2 \geq 0. \quad (\text{A5})$$

(A5) holds by assumption of internal stability of  $C$ . The first two addends of (A5) are equivalent to the condition for internal stability of  $C_{-j}$ . This is clear from (A4). We denote the last three addends of (A5) by

$$\Omega \equiv -\frac{1}{(n-3)(n-2)} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i b_j + \frac{n-1}{n-2} b_j^2. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Then (A5) can be written as

$$0 \leq \pi(C_{-j}) - \Phi(C_{-j}) + \Omega. \quad (\text{A7})$$



To show that (A1) holds, it suffices to show that  $\Omega \leq 0$ . It is easy to establish that  $\Omega < 0$  for  $b_j = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\frac{d\Omega}{db_j} \leq 0$  in the interval  $[0, \frac{n-2}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i)$ . Hence,  $\Omega < 0$  for

$$0 \leq b_j \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i$$

Now, note that we can choose  $j$  such that  $b_j \leq b_i$  for all  $i \in C_{-j}$ . Hence, we have  $b_j \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i$ . Furthermore,  $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i < \frac{n-2}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Hence, we can always find a player  $j$  in  $C$  such that  $b_j \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i$ . For this value of  $b_j$  we have  $\Omega < 0$ . This establishes (A1). QED.

*Proof of Proposition 3:* We show that if a player's marginal benefit is sufficiently large, then the grand coalition ( $C = N$ ) will be stable. By definition the grand coalition is externally stable. We only need to check for internal stability. Consider player  $j$ . From (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(C) - \Phi(C) = & -\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \right)^2 \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} \frac{1}{a_i} - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} \frac{b_i^2}{a_i} + \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} \frac{b_i}{a_i} + \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2 \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} \frac{1}{a_i} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} b_j^2 \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} \frac{1}{a_i} - b_j \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} \frac{1}{a_i} + b_j \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} \frac{b_i}{a_i} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \right)^2 \frac{1}{a_j} + \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2 \frac{1}{a_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

Inspection of (A8) reveals that  $\pi(C) - \Phi(C) \geq 0$  for sufficiently large  $b_j$ . QED.

*Remark:* In the simple case where  $a_i = 1$  for all  $i \in N$ , internal stability of the grand coalition is satisfied if and only if

$$b_j \geq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i + \sqrt{\left( \frac{(n-2)^2}{(n-1)^2} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \right) \left( \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i \right)^2 - \frac{2n-3}{n-1} \sum_{i \in C_{-j}} b_i^2}. \quad (\text{A9})$$

## References

- Barrett, Scott (1994) Self-enforcing international environmental agreements. *Oxford Economic Papers* 46, 878-894.
- Bloch, Francis (1996) Sequential Formation of Coalitions with Fixed Payoff Division and Externalities. *Games and Economic Behavior* 14, 90-123.
- Bloch, Francis (2003) Non-cooperative models of coalition formation in games with spillovers. In Carraro, Carlo (ed., 2003) *The Endogenous Formation of Economic Coalitions*. Cheltenham: Elgar. 35-79.

- Carraro, Carlo / Marchiori, Carmen (2003) Stable Coalitions. In: Carraro, C. (ed.) *The Endogenous Formation of Economic Coalitions*. Cheltenham: Elgar. 156-198.
- Chander, Parkash / Tulkens, Henry (1995) A Core-theoretic Solution for the Design of Cooperative Agreements. on Transfrontier Pollution. *International Tax and Public Finance* 2, 279-293.
- d'Aspremont, Claude / Jaquemin, Alexis / Gabszewicz, Jean Jaskold / Weymark, John (1983) On the stability of collusive price leadership. *Canadian Journal of Economics* 16, 17-25.
- Hoel, Michael / Schneider, Kerstin (1997) Incentives to Participate in an International Environmental Agreements. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 9, 153-170.
- Neumann, John von / Morgenstern, Oskar (1944) *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Second edition, Princeton 1947: Princeton University Press.
- Ray, Debraj / Vohra, Rajiv (1999) A theory of endogenous coalition structures. *Games and Economic Behavior* 26(2), 286-336.
- Thrall, R.M. / Lucas, W.F. (1963) N-person games in partition function form. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* 10, 281-298.
- Weikard, Hans-Peter / Finus, Michael / Altamirano-Cabrera, Juan Carlos (2004) The impact of surplus sharing on the stability of international climate agreements. FEEM, Nota di Lavoro No.99.04. Venice: FEEM.
- Yi, Sang-Seung (1997) Stable Coalition Structures with Externalities. *Games and Economic Behavior* 20, 201-237.
- Yi, Sang-Seung (2003) Endogenous formation of economic coalitions: a survey of the partition function approach. In Carraro, Carlo (ed., 2003) *The Endogenous Formation of Economic Coalitions*. Cheltenham: Elgar. 80-127.

# NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

## Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

<http://www.repec.org>

## NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u>
ETA	2.2004	Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u>
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u>
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u>
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u>
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u>
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): <u>Merger Mechanisms</u>
PRA	8.2004	Wolfgang AUSENNEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u>
PRA	9.2004	Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u>
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv): <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u>
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u>
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u>
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u>
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arie GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u>
PRA	15.2004	Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u>
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u>
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: <u>R&amp;D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u>
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u>
SIEV	19.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
NRM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u>
NRM	21.2004	Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii): <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u>
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u>
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u>
NRM	24.2004	Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u>
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u>
NRM	26.2004	Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u>
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u>
CSRM	28.2004	Nicoletta FERRO: <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u>
NRM	29.2004	Marian WEBER (lxvi): <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u>
NRM	30.2004	Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi): <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u>
CCMP	31.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u>
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u>
CTN	33.2004	Wilson PEREZ: <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u>
KTHC	34.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u>
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (lxviii): <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u>

KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (Ixxviii): <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u></i>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE (Ixxviii): <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u></i>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE (Ixxviii): <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u></i>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE: <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u></i>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u></i>
CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS (Ixxix): <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u></i>
KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI: <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u></i>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u></i>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u></i>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (Ixxvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u></i>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLES MARK THORSEN (Ixxvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u></i>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU (Ixxvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u></i>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYÓVAI and Melinda SMALE (Ixxvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transition al Economy</u></i>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u></i>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u></i>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u></i>
SIEV	52.2004	<i>Francesco RICCI: <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u></i>
SIEV	53.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON: <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u></i>
NRM	54.2004	<i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF (Ixxvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u></i>
NRM	55.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN (Ixxvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u></i>
NRM	56.2004	<i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE (Ixxvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u></i>
CCMP	57.2004	<i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON: <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u></i>
CCMP	58.2004	<i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN: <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u></i>
NRM	59.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA (Ixxvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u></i>
NRM	60.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI (Ixxvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u></i>
CCMP	61.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u></i>
NRM	62.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u></i>
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ (Ixxvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u></i>
NRM	64.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN (Ixxvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u></i>
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK (Ixxvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u></i>
ETA	66.2004	<i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u></i>
GG	67.2004	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA: <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u></i>
GG	68.2004	<i>Michael FINUS: <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u></i>
NRM	69.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO: <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u></i>
CTN	70.2004	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT: <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u></i>
IEM	71.2004	<i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u></i>
IEM	72.2004	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER: <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u></i>
SIEV	73.2004	<i>Margarita GENIUS and Elisabetta STRAZZERA: <u>The Copula Approach to Sample Selection Modelling: An Application to the Recreational Value of Forests</u></i>

CCMP	74.2004	<i>Rob DELLINK and Ekko van IERLAND</i> : <u>Pollution Abatement in the Netherlands: A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Assessment</u>
ETA	75.2004	<i>Rosella LEVAGGI and Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Investment in Hospital Care Technology under Different Purchasing Rules: A Real Option Approach</u>
CTN	76.2004	<i>Salvador BARBERÀ and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>On the Weights of Nations: Assigning Voting Weights in a Heterogeneous Union</u>
CTN	77.2004	<i>Àlex ARENAS, Antonio CABRALES, Albert DÍAZ-GUILERA, Roger GUIMERÀ and Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (lxx): <u>Optimal Information Transmission in Organizations: Search and Congestion</u>
CTN	78.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Armando GOMES</i> (lxx): <u>Contracting with Externalities and Outside Options</u>
CTN	79.2004	<i>Rabah AMIR, Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Licun XUE</i> (lxx): <u>Merger Performance under Uncertain Efficiency Gains</u>
CTN	80.2004	<i>Francis BLOCH and Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (lxx): <u>The Formation of Networks with Transfers among Players</u>
CTN	81.2004	<i>Daniel DIERMEIER, Hülya ERASLAN and Antonio MERLO</i> (lxx): <u>Bicameralism and Government Formation</u>
CTN	82.2004	<i>Rod GARRATT, James E. PARCO, Cheng-ZHONG QIN and Amnon RAPOPORT</i> (lxx): <u>Potential Maximization and Coalition Government Formation</u>
CTN	83.2004	<i>Kfir ELIAZ, Debraj RAY and Ronny RAZIN</i> (lxx): <u>Group Decision-Making in the Shadow of Disagreement</u>
CTN	84.2004	<i>Sanjeev GOYAL, Marco van der LEIJ and José Luis MORAGA-GONZÁLEZ</i> (lxx): <u>Economics: An Emerging Small World?</u>
CTN	85.2004	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT</i> (lxx): <u>Learning to Play Approximate Nash Equilibria in Games with Many Players</u>
IEM	86.2004	<i>Finn R. FØRSUND and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Properties of a Non-Competitive Electricity Market Dominated by Hydroelectric Power</u>
KTHC	87.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources, Investment and Long-Term Income</u>
CCMP	88.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Claudia KEMFERT</i> : <u>Interactions between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey</u>
IEM	89.2004	<i>A. MARKANDYA, S. PEDROSO and D. STREIMIKIENE</i> : <u>Energy Efficiency in Transition Economies: Is There Convergence Towards the EU Average?</u>
GG	90.2004	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Agreements and Technology Policy</u>
PRA	91.2004	<i>Sergei IZMALKOV</i> (lxv): <u>Multi-Unit Open Ascending Price Efficient Auction</u>
KTHC	92.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI</i> : <u>Cities and Cultures</u>
KTHC	93.2004	<i>Massimo DEL GATTO</i> : <u>Agglomeration, Integration, and Territorial Authority Scale in a System of Trading Cities. Centralisation versus devolution</u>
CCMP	94.2004	<i>Pierre-André JOUVET, Philippe MICHEL and Gilles ROTILLON</i> : <u>Equilibrium with a Market of Permits</u>
CCMP	95.2004	<i>Bob van der ZWAAN and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Climate Uncertainty and the Necessity to Transform Global Energy Supply</u>
CCMP	96.2004	<i>Francesco BOSELLO, Marco LAZZARIN, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Economy-Wide Estimates of the Implications of Climate Change: Sea Level Rise</u>
CTN	97.2004	<i>Gustavo BERGANTIÑOS and Juan J. VIDAL-PUGA</i> : <u>Defining Rules in Cost Spanning Tree Problems Through the Canonical Form</u>
CTN	98.2004	<i>Siddhartha BANDYOPADHYAY and Mandar OAK</i> : <u>Party Formation and Coalitional Bargaining in a Model of Proportional Representation</u>
GG	99.2004	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD, Michael FINUS and Juan-Carlos ALTAMIRANO-CABRERA</i> : <u>The Impact of Surplus Sharing on the Stability of International Climate Agreements</u>
SIEV	100.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Agricultural Environmental Safety: Evidence from a Survey of Milan, Italy, Residents</u>
SIEV	101.2004	<i>Chiara M. TRAVISI, Raymond J. G. M. FLORAX and Peter NIJKAMP</i> : <u>A Meta-Analysis of the Willingness to Pay for Reductions in Pesticide Risk Exposure</u>
NRM	102.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and David TOMBERLIN</i> : <u>Real Options Analysis of Fishing Fleet Dynamics: A Test</u>
CCMP	103.2004	<i>Alessandra GORIA e Gretel GAMBARELLI</i> : <u>Economic Evaluation of Climate Change Impacts and Adaptability in Italy</u>
PRA	104.2004	<i>Massimo FLORIO and Mara GRASSEN</i> : <u>The Missing Shock: The Macroeconomic Impact of British Privatisation</u>
PRA	105.2004	<i>John BENNETT, Saul ESTRIN, James MAW and Giovanni URG</i> : <u>Privatisation Methods and Economic Growth in Transition Economies</u>
PRA	106.2004	<i>Kira BÖRNER</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization: Why Do Governments Want Reforms?</u>
PRA	107.2004	<i>Pehr-Johan NORBÄCK and Lars PERSSON</i> : <u>Privatization and Restructuring in Concentrated Markets</u>
SIEV	108.2004	<i>Angela GRANZOTTO, Fabio PRANOVI, Simone LIBRALATO, Patrizia TORRICELLI and Danilo MAINARDI</i> : <u>Comparison between Artisanal Fishery and Manila Clam Harvesting in the Venice Lagoon by Using Ecosystem Indicators: An Ecological Economics Perspective</u>
CTN	109.2004	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI</i> : <u>The Cooperative Theory of Two Sided Matching Problems: A Re-examination of Some Results</u>
NRM	110.2004	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA</i> : <u>Natural Resources Dynamics: Another Look</u>
SIEV	111.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alistair HUNT and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay to Reduce Mortality Risks: Evidence from a Three-Country Contingent Valuation Study</u>
KTHC	112.2004	<i>Valeria PAPPONETTI and Dino PINELLI</i> : <u>Scientific Advice to Public Policy-Making</u>
SIEV	113.2004	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Laura ONOFRI</i> : <u>The Economics of Warm Glow: A Note on Consumer's Behavior and Public Policy Implications</u>
IEM	114.2004	<i>Patrick CAYRADE</i> : <u>Investments in Gas Pipelines and Liquefied Natural Gas Infrastructure What is the Impact on the Security of Supply?</u>
IEM	115.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA</i> : <u>Oil Security. Short- and Long-Term Policies</u>

ITEM	116.2004	<i>Valeria COSTANTINI and Francesco GRACCEVA: <u>Social Costs of Energy Disruptions</u></i>
ITEM	117.2004	<i>Christian EGENHOFER, Kyriakos GIALOGLOU, Giacomo LUCIANI, Maroeska BOOTS, Martin SCHEEPERS, Valeria COSTANTINI, Francesco GRACCEVA, Anil MARKANDYA and Giorgio VICINI: <u>Market-Based Options for Security of Energy Supply</u></i>
ITEM	118.2004	<i>David FISK: <u>Transport Energy Security. The Unseen Risk?</u></i>
ITEM	119.2004	<i>Giacomo LUCIANI: <u>Security of Supply for Natural Gas Markets. What is it and What is it not?</u></i>
ITEM	120.2004	<i>L.J. de VRIES and R.A. HAKVOORT: <u>The Question of Generation Adequacy in Liberalised Electricity Markets</u></i>
KTHC	121.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>Asset Accumulation, Fertility Choice and Nondegenerate Dynamics in a Small Open Economy</u></i>
NRM	122.2004	<i>Carlo GIUPPONI, Jaroslaw MYSLAK and Anita FASSIO: <u>An Integrated Assessment Framework for Water Resources Management: A DSS Tool and a Pilot Study Application</u></i>
NRM	123.2004	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Anita FASSIO, Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: <u>Evaluation of Urban Improvement on the Islands of the Venice Lagoon: A Spatially-Distributed Hedonic-Hierarchical Approach</u></i>
ETA	124.2004	<i>Paul MENSINK: <u>Instant Efficient Pollution Abatement Under Non-Linear Taxation and Asymmetric Information: The Differential Tax Revisited</u></i>
NRM	125.2004	<i>Mauro FABIANO, Gabriella CAMARSA, Rosanna DURSI, Roberta IVALDI, Valentina MARIN and Francesca PALMISANI: <u>Integrated Environmental Study for Beach Management: A Methodological Approach</u></i>
PRA	126.2004	<i>Irena GROSFELD and Iraj HASHI: <u>The Emergence of Large Shareholders in Mass Privatized Firms: Evidence from Poland and the Czech Republic</u></i>
CCMP	127.2004	<i>Maria BERRITTELLA, Andrea BIGANO, Roberto ROSON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>A General Equilibrium Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Tourism</u></i>
CCMP	128.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH: <u>A Climate-Change Policy Induced Shift from Innovations in Energy Production to Energy Savings</u></i>
NRM	129.2004	<i>Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources, Innovation, and Growth</u></i>
PRA	130.2004	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Mara FACCIO: <u>Reluctant Privatization</u></i>
SIEV	131.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA and Mara THIENE: <u>Destination Choice Models for Rock Climbing in the Northeast Alps: A Latent-Class Approach Based on Intensity of Participation</u></i>
SIEV	132.2004	<i>Riccardo SCARPA Kenneth G. WILLIS and Melinda ACUTT: <u>Comparing Individual-Specific Benefit Estimates for Public Goods: Finite Versus Continuous Mixing in Logit Models</u></i>
ITEM	133.2004	<i>Santiago J. RUBIO: <u>On Capturing Oil Rents with a National Excise Tax Revisited</u></i>
ETA	134.2004	<i>Ascensión ANDINA DÍAZ: <u>Political Competition when Media Create Candidates' Charisma</u></i>
SIEV	135.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI: <u>Robustness of VSL Values from Contingent Valuation Surveys</u></i>
CCMP	136.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: <u>Marginal Abatement Cost Curves in General Equilibrium: The Influence of World Energy Prices</u></i>
ETA	137.2004	<i>Herbert DAWID, Christophe DEISSENBERG and Pavel ŠEVČIK: <u>Cheap Talk, Gullibility, and Welfare in an Environmental Taxation Game</u></i>
CCMP	138.2004	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG: <u>The World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund and China</u></i>
CCMP	139.2004	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFKES: <u>Time Profile of Climate Change Stabilization Policy</u></i>
NRM	140.2004	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS and Michele MORETTO: <u>The Value of Flexibility in the Italian Water Service Sector: A Real Option Analysis</u></i>
PRA	141.2004	<i>Patrick BAJARI, Stephanie HOUGHTON and Steven TADELIS (lxxi): <u>Bidding for Incomplete Contracts</u></i>
PRA	142.2004	<i>Susan ATHEY, Jonathan LEVIN and Enrique SEIRA (lxxi): <u>Comparing Open and Sealed Bid Auctions: Theory and Evidence from Timber Auctions</u></i>
PRA	143.2004	<i>David GOLDREICH (lxxi): <u>Behavioral Biases of Dealers in U.S. Treasury Auctions</u></i>
PRA	144.2004	<i>Roberto BURGUET (lxxi): <u>Optimal Procurement Auction for a Buyer with Downward Sloping Demand: More Simple Economics</u></i>
PRA	145.2004	<i>Ali HORTACSU and Samita SAREEN (lxxi): <u>Order Flow and the Formation of Dealer Bids: An Analysis of Information and Strategic Behavior in the Government of Canada Securities Auctions</u></i>
PRA	146.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH, Patrick LEGROS and Nicolas SAHUGUET (lxxi): <u>How to Win Twice at an Auction. On the Incidence of Commissions in Auction Markets</u></i>
PRA	147.2004	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI, Aleksandar PEKEČ and Ilia TSETLIN (lxxi): <u>Sequential vs. Single-Round Uniform-Price Auctions</u></i>
PRA	148.2004	<i>John ASKER and Estelle CANTILLON (lxxi): <u>Equilibrium of Scoring Auctions</u></i>
PRA	149.2004	<i>Philip A. HAILE, Han HONG and Matthew SHUM (lxxi): <u>Nonparametric Tests for Common Values in First-Price Sealed-Bid Auctions</u></i>
PRA	150.2004	<i>François DEGEORGE, François DERRIEN and Kent L. WOMACK (lxxi): <u>Quid Pro Quo in IPOs: Why Bookbuilding is Dominating Auctions</u></i>
CCMP	151.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Silvia DALL'OLIO: <u>Russia: The Long Road to Ratification. Internal Institution and Pressure Groups in the Kyoto Protocol's Adoption Process</u></i>
CCMP	152.2004	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Does Endogenous Technical Change Make a Difference in Climate Policy Analysis? A Robustness Exercise with the FEEM-RICE Model</u></i>
PRA	153.2004	<i>Alejandro M. MANELLI and Daniel R. VINCENT (lxxi): <u>Multidimensional Mechanism Design: Revenue Maximization and the Multiple-Good Monopoly</u></i>
ETA	154.2004	<i>Nicola ACOCELLA, Giovanni Di BARTOLOMEO and Wilfried PAUWELS: <u>Is there any Scope for Corporatism in Stabilization Policies?</u></i>
CTN	155.2004	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>An Almost Ideal Sharing Scheme for Coalition Games with Externalities</u></i>
CCMP	156.2004	<i>Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Environmental Innovation, War of Attrition and Investment Grants</u></i>

CCMP	157.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Marzio GALEOTTI and Alessandro LANZA: <u>How Consistent are Alternative Short-Term Climate Policies with Long-Term Goals?</u></i>
ETA	158.2004	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Ken-Ichi AKAO: <u>Non-pecuniary Value of Employment and Individual Labor Supply</u></i>
ETA	159.2004	<i>William BROCK and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS: <u>Spatial Analysis: Development of Descriptive and Normative Methods with Applications to Economic-Ecological Modelling</u></i>
KTHC	160.2004	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI: <u>On the Incidence of a Tax on PureRent with Infinite Horizons</u></i>
IEM	161.2004	<i>Xavier LABANDEIRA, José M. LABEAGA and Miguel RODRÍGUEZ: <u>Microsimulating the Effects of Household Energy Price Changes in Spain</u></i>

#### NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2005

CCMP	1.2005	<i>Stéphane HALLEGATTE: <u>Accounting for Extreme Events in the Economic Assessment of Climate Change</u></i>
CCMP	2.2005	<i>Qiang WU and Paulo Augusto NUNES: <u>Application of Technological Control Measures on Vehicle Pollution: A Cost-Benefit Analysis in China</u></i>
CCMP	3.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON, Maren LAU, Richard S.J. TOL and Yuan ZHOU: <u>A Global Database of Domestic and International Tourist Numbers at National and Subnational Level</u></i>
CCMP	4.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Jacqueline M. HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Impact of Climate on Holiday Destination Choice</u></i>
ETA	5.2005	<i>Hubert KEMPF: <u>Is Inequality Harmful for the Environment in a Growing Economy?</u></i>
CCMP	6.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Carlo CARRARO and Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>The Dynamics of Carbon and Energy Intensity in a Model of Endogenous Technical Change</u></i>
IEM	7.2005	<i>David CALEF and Robert GOBLE: <u>The Allure of Technology: How France and California Promoted Electric Vehicles to Reduce Urban Air Pollution</u></i>
ETA	8.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>An Empirical Contribution to the Debate on Corruption Democracy and Environmental Policy</u></i>
CCMP	9.2005	<i>Angelo ANTOCI: <u>Environmental Resources Depletion and Interplay Between Negative and Positive Externalities in a Growth Model</u></i>
CTN	10.2005	<i>Frédéric DEROLAN: <u>Cost-Reducing Alliances and Local Spillovers</u></i>
NRM	11.2005	<i>Francesco SINDICO: <u>The GMO Dispute before the WTO: Legal Implications for the Trade and Environment Debate</u></i>
KTHC	12.2005	<i>Carla MASSIDDA: <u>Estimating the New Keynesian Phillips Curve for Italian Manufacturing Sectors</u></i>
KTHC	13.2005	<i>Michele MORETTO and Gianpaolo ROSSINI: <u>Start-up Entry Strategies: Employer vs. Nonemployer firms</u></i>
PRCG	14.2005	<i>Clara GRAZIANO and Annalisa LUPORINI: <u>Ownership Concentration, Monitoring and Optimal Board Structure</u></i>
CSRM	15.2005	<i>Parashar KULKARNI: <u>Use of Ecolabels in Promoting Exports from Developing Countries to Developed Countries: Lessons from the Indian LeatherFootwear Industry</u></i>
KTHC	16.2005	<i>Adriana DI LIBERTO, Roberto MURA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How to Measure the Unobservable: A Panel Technique for the Analysis of TFP Convergence</u></i>
KTHC	17.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Asymmetric Labor Markets, Southern Wages, and the Location of Firms</u></i>
KTHC	18.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI: <u>Strategic Intellectual Property Rights Policy and North-South Technology Transfer</u></i>
KTHC	19.2005	<i>Mombert HOPPE: <u>Technology Transfer Through Trade</u></i>
PRCG	20.2005	<i>Roberto ROSON: <u>Platform Competition with Endogenous Multihoming</u></i>
CCMP	21.2005	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Regional and Sub-Global Climate Blocs. A Game Theoretic Perspective on Bottom-up Climate Regimes</u></i>
IEM	22.2005	<i>Fausto CAVALLARO: <u>An Integrated Multi-Criteria System to Assess Sustainable Energy Options: An Application of the Promethee Method</u></i>
CTN	23.2005	<i>Michael FINUS, Pierre v. MOUCHE and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: <u>Uniqueness of Coalitional Equilibria</u></i>
IEM	24.2005	<i>Wietze LISE: <u>Decomposition of CO2 Emissions over 1980–2003 in Turkey</u></i>
CTN	25.2005	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>The Core of Directed Network Problems with Quotas</u></i>
SIEV	26.2005	<i>Susanne MENZEL and Riccardo SCARPA: <u>Protection Motivation Theory and Contingent Valuation: Perceived Realism, Threat and WTP Estimates for Biodiversity Protection</u></i>
NRM	27.2005	<i>Massimiliano MAZZANTI and Anna MONTINI: <u>The Determinants of Residential Water Demand Empirical Evidence for a Panel of Italian Municipalities</u></i>
CCMP	28.2005	<i>Laurent GILOTTE and Michel de LARA: <u>Precautionary Effect and Variations of the Value of Information</u></i>
NRM	29.2005	<i>Paul SARFO-MENSAH: <u>Exportation of Timber in Ghana: The Menace of Illegal Logging Operations</u></i>
CCMP	30.2005	<i>Andrea BIGANO, Alessandra GORIA, Jacqueline HAMILTON and Richard S.J. TOL: <u>The Effect of Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events on Tourism</u></i>
NRM	31.2005	<i>Maria Angeles GARCIA-VALIÑAS: <u>Decentralization and Environment: An Application to Water Policies</u></i>
NRM	32.2005	<i>Chiara D'ALPAOS, Cesare DOSI and Michele MORETTO: <u>Concession Length and Investment Timing Flexibility</u></i>
CCMP	33.2005	<i>Joseph HUBER: <u>Key Environmental Innovations</u></i>
CTN	34.2005	<i>Antoni CALVÓ-ARMENGOL and Rahmi İLKILIÇ (Ixxii): <u>Pairwise-Stability and Nash Equilibria in Network Formation</u></i>
CTN	35.2005	<i>Francesco FERI (Ixxii): <u>Network Formation with Endogenous Decay</u></i>
CTN	36.2005	<i>Frank H. PAGE, Jr. and Myrna H. WOODERS (Ixxii): <u>Strategic Basins of Attraction, the Farsighted Core, and Network Formation Games</u></i>

CTN	37.2005	<i>Alessandra CASELLA and Nobuyuki HANAKI</i> (lxxii): <u>Information Channels in Labor Markets. On the Resilience of Referral Hiring</u>
CTN	38.2005	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON and Alison WATTS</i> (lxxii): <u>Social Games: Matching and the Play of Finitely Repeated Games</u>
CTN	39.2005	<i>Anna BOGOMOLNAIA, Michel LE BRETON, Alexei SAVVATEEV and Shlomo WEBER</i> (lxxii): <u>The Egalitarian Sharing Rule in Provision of Public Projects</u>
CTN	40.2005	<i>Francesco FERI</i> : <u>Stochastic Stability in Network with Decay</u>
CTN	41.2005	<i>Aart de ZEEUW</i> (lxxii): <u>Dynamic Effects on the Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
NRM	42.2005	<i>C. Martijn van der HEIDE, Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH, Ekko C. van IERLAND and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Measuring the Economic Value of Two Habitat Defragmentation Policy Scenarios for the Veluwe, The Netherlands</u>
PRCG	43.2005	<i>Carla VIEIRA and Ana Paula SERRA</i> : <u>Abnormal Returns in Privatization Public Offerings: The Case of Portuguese Firms</u>
SIEV	44.2005	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Valentina ZANATTA and Paolo ROSATO</i> : <u>Combining Actual and Contingent Behavior to Estimate the Value of Sports Fishing in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
CTN	45.2005	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>Participation in International Environmental Agreements: The Role of Timing and Regulation</u>
CCMP	46.2005	<i>Lorenzo PELLEGRINI and Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Are EU Environmental Policies Too Demanding for New Members States?</u>
IEM	47.2005	<i>Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Modeling Factor Demands with SEM and VAR: An Empirical Comparison</u>
CTN	48.2005	<i>Olivier TERCIEUX and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxx): <u>A Characterization of Stochastically Stable Networks</u>
CTN	49.2005	<i>Ana MAULEON, José SEMPERE-MONERRIS and Vincent J. VANNETELBOSCH</i> (lxxii): <u>R&amp;D Networks Among Unionized Firms</u>
CTN	50.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Optimal Transfers and Participation Decisions in International Environmental Agreements</u>
KTHC	51.2005	<i>Valeria GATTAI</i> : <u>From the Theory of the Firm to FDI and Internalisation: A Survey</u>
CCMP	52.2005	<i>Alireza NAGHAVI</i> : <u>Multilateral Environmental Agreements and Trade Obligations: A Theoretical Analysis of the Doha Proposal</u>
SIEV	53.2005	<i>Margaretha BREIL, Gretel GAMBARELLI and Paulo A.L.D. NUNES</i> : <u>Economic Valuation of On Site Material Damages of High Water on Economic Activities based in the City of Venice: Results from a Dose-Response-Expert-Based Valuation Approach</u>
ETA	54.2005	<i>Alessandra del BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI, Charles P. HIMMELBERG and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Investment and Time to Plan: A Comparison of Structures vs. Equipment in a Panel of Italian Firms</u>
CCMP	55.2005	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>Emissions Trading, CDM, JI, and More – The Climate Strategy of the EU</u>
ETA	56.2005	<i>Maia DAVID and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>Environmental Regulation and the Eco-Industry</u>
ETA	57.2005	<i>Alain-Désiré NIMUBONA and Bernard SINCLAIR-DESGAGNÉ</i> : <u>The Pigouvian Tax Rule in the Presence of an Eco-Industry</u>
NRM	58.2005	<i>Helmut KARL, Antje MÖLLER, Ximena MATUS, Edgar GRANDE and Robert KAISER</i> : <u>Environmental Innovations: Institutional Impacts on Co-operations for Sustainable Development</u>
SIEV	59.2005	<i>Dimitra VOUVAKI and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> (lxxiii): <u>Criteria for Assessing Sustainable Development: Theoretical Issues and Empirical Evidence for the Case of Greece</u>
CCMP	60.2005	<i>Andreas LÖSCHEL and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE</i> : <u>Impure Public Goods and Technological Interdependencies</u>
PRCG	61.2005	<i>Christoph A. SCHALTEGGER and Benno TORGLER</i> : <u>Trust and Fiscal Performance: A Panel Analysis with Swiss Data</u>
ETA	62.2005	<i>Irene VALSECCHI</i> : <u>A Role for Instructions</u>
NRM	63.2005	<i>Valentina BOSETTI and Gianni LOCATELLI</i> : <u>A Data Envelopment Analysis Approach to the Assessment of Natural Parks' Economic Efficiency and Sustainability. The Case of Italian National Parks</u>
SIEV	64.2005	<i>Arianne T. de BLAEIJ, Paulo A.L.D. NUNES and Jeroen C.J.M. van den BERGH</i> : <u>Modeling 'No-choice' Responses in Attribute Based Valuation Surveys</u>
CTN	65.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Applications of Negotiation Theory to Water Issues</u>
CTN	66.2005	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Alessandra SGOBBI</i> : <u>Advances in Negotiation Theory: Bargaining, Coalitions and Fairness</u>
KTHC	67.2005	<i>Sandra WALLMAN</i> (lxxiv): <u>Network Capital and Social Trust: Pre-Conditions for 'Good' Diversity?</u>
KTHC	68.2005	<i>Asimina CHRISTOFOROU</i> (lxxiv): <u>On the Determinants of Social Capital in Greece Compared to Countries of the European Union</u>
KTHC	69.2005	<i>Eric M. USLANER</i> (lxxiv): <u>Varieties of Trust</u>
KTHC	70.2005	<i>Thomas P. LYON</i> (lxxiv): <u>Making Capitalism Work: Social Capital and Economic Growth in Italy, 1970-1995</u>
KTHC	71.2005	<i>Graziella BERTOCCHI and Chiara STROZZI</i> (lxxv): <u>Citizenship Laws and International Migration in Historical Perspective</u>
KTHC	72.2005	<i>Elsbeth van HYLCKAMA Vlieg</i> (lxxv): <u>Accommodating Differences</u>
KTHC	73.2005	<i>Renato SANSA and Ercole SORI</i> (lxxv): <u>Governance of Diversity Between Social Dynamics and Conflicts in Multicultural Cities. A Selected Survey on Historical Bibliography</u>
IEM	74.2005	<i>Alberto LONGO and Anil MARKANDYA</i> : <u>Identification of Options and Policy Instruments for the Internalisation of External Costs of Electricity Generation. Dissemination of External Costs of Electricity Supply Making Electricity External Costs Known to Policy-Makers</u> <u>MAXIMA</u>



IEM	75.2005	<i>Margherita GRASSO and Matteo MANERA: <u>Asymmetric Error Correction Models for the Oil-Gasoline Price Relationship</u></i>
ETA	76.2005	<i>Umberto CHERUBINI and Matteo MANERA: <u>Hunting the Living Dead A “Peso Problem” in Corporate Liabilities Data</u></i>
CTN	77.2005	<i>Hans-Peter WEIKARD: <u>Cartel Stability under an Optimal Sharing Rule</u></i>

- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4<sup>th</sup> BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL) , Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003
- (lxx) This paper was presented at the 9<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Workshop on "Collective Decisions and Institutional Design" organised by the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and held in Barcelona, Spain, January 30-31, 2004
- (lxxi) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Consip and sponsored by the EU, Rome, September 23-25, 2004
- (lxxii) This paper was presented at the 10<sup>th</sup> Coalition Theory Network Workshop held in Paris, France on 28-29 January 2005 and organised by EUREQua.
- (lxxiii) This paper was presented at the 2nd Workshop on "Inclusive Wealth and Accounting Prices" held in Trieste, Italy on 13-15 April 2005 and organised by the Ecological and Environmental Economics - EEE Programme, a joint three-year programme of ICTP - The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, FEEM - Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and The Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics.
- (lxxiv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Trust and social capital in multicultural cities” Athens, January 19-20, 2004
- (lxxv) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Diversity as a source of growth” Rome November 18-19, 2004

**2004 SERIES**

<b>CCMP</b>	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )
<b>GG</b>	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>SIEV</b>	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
<b>NRM</b>	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
<b>KTHC</b>	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
<b>IEM</b>	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
<b>CSRM</b>	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
<b>PRA</b>	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
<b>ETA</b>	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>CTN</b>	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

**2005 SERIES**

<b>CCMP</b>	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti )
<b>SIEV</b>	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
<b>NRM</b>	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
<b>KTHC</b>	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
<b>IEM</b>	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
<b>CSRM</b>	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
<b>PRCG</b>	<i>Privatisation Regulation Corporate Governance</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
<b>ETA</b>	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
<b>CTN</b>	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>