

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Weichselbaumer, Doris

Working Paper

Is it sex or personality? The impact of sex-stereotypes on discrimination in applicant selection

Working Paper, No. 0011

Provided in Cooperation with: Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Department of Economics

Suggested Citation: Weichselbaumer, Doris (2000) : Is it sex or personality? The impact of sexstereotypes on discrimination in applicant selection, Working Paper, No. 0011, Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Department of Economics, Linz

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/73314

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Is it Sex or Personality?

The Impact of Sex-Stereotypes on Discrimination in Applicant Selection

Doris Weichselbaumer e-mail: doris.weichselbaumer@jk.uni-linz.ac.at Tel.: 0043 732 2468 240, Fax –9679

> Working Paper No. 0011 May 2000

Department of Economics University of Linz Altenbergerstr. 69 A-4040 LINZ

http://www.economics.uni-linz.ac.at

Thanks to the "Sparkassen Förderungs Fond" for financial support of this project. I particularly thank Rudolf Winter-Ebmer for his invaluable support and encouragement at all time, Lee Badgett, Nancy Folbre, Michael Landesmann, Joseph Reagle and Susanne Pech for their helpful comments and suggestions. Tina Auer, Peter Egger, Franz Englmair, Andreas Feichtinger, Sabine Ferdik, Christian Holzleitner, Sandra Leitner, Markus Mertz, Robert Stehrer, members of the Economics Department of the University of Linz and my family provided invaluable assistance in conducting the experiment. I have benefited from helpful comments from seminar participants at the ASSA meetings, Boston; ESPE, Torino; Austrian Labor Market Workshop, Vienna; IZA Labor Market Workshop, Buch am Ammersee; IAFFE, Amsterdam.

All data of the experiment, standard letters of application, CVs, school reports and photographs are available from the author.

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates whether differential treatment of men and women in the labor market is due to unobservable differences in productivity or if it is motivated by a taste for discrimination. While studies on sex-discrimination typically control for human capital (formal education, job-experience etc.), there is usually no information on personality traits available.

We argue that personality might affect productivity just as human capital: For many traditionally male occupations (e.g. managers) stereotypically masculine characteristics – like being ambitious, competitive, dominant – seem to be required. On the other hand, stereotypically feminine characteristics – like being gentle, cheerful, friendly – are particularly acknowledged in traditionally female occupations (e.g. nurses). The central question of this paper is whether women are treated differently because "*they are different*" (they posses more "*feminine*" and less "*masculine*" personality traits on the average) or because they are discriminated against.

To gather the necessary data a field experiment is conducted. Job applications of candidates, who are equivalent in their human capital but differ in sex and personality are sent out in response to various job advertisements.

We found minor indicators that signaling a masculine personality slightly reduces unfavorable treatment of women in typically male professions; nevertheless discrimination in hiring prevails even after controlling for personality characteristics.

Keywords: Sex-Discrimination, Matching Process, Experimental Economics, Economic Psychology

JEL-Code: C93, J16, J70, J24, J42

1 Introduction

There is a large number of studies investigating sex-discrimination in the labor market. One common approach to test for discrimination is through a wage decomposition¹, that combines the estimated coefficients for male wages and the values of the explanatory variables for women (education, job-experience etc.). The difference to what women actually do earn in the labor market is assigned to discrimination. A disadvantage of this method is that it gives "*no conclusive proof of discrimination as long as all other possible relevant variables have not been identified*" (Bovenkerk, 1992, p. 4) because wage differentials might be driven by unobservable productivity differences.

Firth (1982) and Riach/Rich (1995) measured sex-discrimination via an experimental technique called Correspondence Testing. Although they adopted an undeniably very appealing methodology previously used for race-discrimination, they did not manage to exploit a particular advantage of experimental investigation: the possibility to *include usually unobservable characteristics* and test whether *these* can explain differences in labor market outcomes of men and women. Instead, they focused exclusively on individual characteristics like formal education and job-experience, which are typically available for wage-regressions and wage-decompositions respectively. As Heckman (1998) has noted, experiments of this kind do not provide new insights, since differential treatment might be due to differences in individual characteristics that have not been controlled for.

This paper goes one step further than previous experimental studies by adopting Correspondence Testing *to investigate the impact of usually unobservable variables*. When testing for discrimination it may not be sufficient to control for human capital only. In many attractive, high paid jobs specific personality traits seem to be particularly successful, which are more commonly associated with men than with women in general. For example, a successful manager is supposed to be ambitious, competitive and dominant, which are stereotypically masculine traits. On the other hand, in many traditionally female occupations stereotypically feminine characteristics are preferred. For example, a good nurse or kindergarten teacher seems to require feminine characteristics like being gentle, cheerful and friendly. So apart from human capital, personality might affect productivity just as well! Consequently when testing for discrimination, one would also want to control for personality besides typical human capital variables to have complete information on all productive characteristics of an employee.

This paper investigates whether women have less access to attractive, traditionally male jobs because their sex-stereotypical personality does not fit. If women as a group are assumed not to possess the required characteristics for a male occupation, they will not be hired for such jobs. In this study we contrast the labor outcomes of a woman who *can not be mistaken* for lacking the required masculine characteristics with those of a traditional female. If a woman can *demonstrate* that she does not correspond to her sex-stereotype and in fact does have the stereotypical personality traits of a man, she should be treated like him. A woman with *identical human capital* and *personality* should be equally productive as a man – there are no other variables conceivable that might determine productivity apart from knowledge and personality traits. Consequently, she should receive equal treatment. If such an equal treatment is not observable, we argue, discrimination has been documented.

To gain the necessary data an experiment is conducted. The labor market outcomes of three hypothetical job applicants with identical human capital are compared. One man and two women, the latter differing in their perceived gender role, are applying for the same jobs. One of the women is perceived as stereotypically feminine, the other masculine. The goal is to investigate, whether it is sex or personality what determines women's unfavorable position in the labor market. We find that even when controlling for personality discrimination prevails in the labor market.

The paper is organized as follows: the next section elaborates the theoretical background of the study, section three describes the design of the experiment, results are presented in section four and further discussed in the concluding section five.

¹ See Altonji/Blank (1999) for a survey.

2 Theoretical Background

2.1 Measuring discrimination

A standard definition of discrimination is that "*individual workers who have identical productive characteristics are treated differently because of the demographic groups to which they belong*" (Ehrenberg/Smith, 1994, p. 402). The most well-known theoretical framework has been provided by Becker (1957) who modeled discrimination as the result of some majority group members' "taste" against working with members of a minority group.²

One common way to measure discrimination is to decompose wage differentials into explained components (differences in personal and job characteristics) and unexplained residuals by Blinder's (1973) and Oaxaca's (1973) method. Wages are estimated separately for individuals i of the different groups g (e.g. males and females):

$$W_{gi} = b_g X_{gi} + e_{gi},$$
 (1.1)

where g = (m, f) represents the two sexes; W_{gi} the wage and X_{gi} the control characteristics of an individual i of group g, β_g is a parameter.

The difference in mean wages then can be written as:

$$W_m - W_f = (X_m - X_f) b_m + (b_m - b_f) X_f, \qquad (1.2)$$

where W_g and X_g denote the mean wages and control characteristics of group g. While the first term stands for the effect of different background characteristics the second term represents the unexplained residual due to differences in the estimated coefficients for both groups and is often referred to as "discrimination effect".

Traditional labor market data typically provide information only on some productive characteristics like schooling, job-experience, age etc. So while the employer has exact knowledge on *all* the productive characteristics of an employee and sets the wage accordingly, the researcher usually possesses only the data for the above mentioned indicators of productivity. The lack of information on other variables that affect productivity can lead to an unexplained wage differential, that does not necessarily document discrimination. If the omitted variables correlate with sex, then the second term in equation (1.2) in fact captures

 $^{^2}$ If employers maximize utility not profits, they will not hire equally and possibly even more productive people from the minority group. Since this type of discrimination does not serve profit-maximizing goals, discrimination should not persist in the long run in a perfectly competitive market where non-discriminatory employers can produce at lower costs. As a result discriminatory tastes should vanish over time.

6

not only discrimination, but *unobserved group differences* in productivity as well.³ Since it is not possible to distinguish between these two components, wage decomposition does not allow one to test for discrimination directly.

As a result it has been argued (e.g. by Altonji and Blank, 1999), that *experimental techniques* could examine *more directly*, whether labor market discrimination exists. Experimental methods to measure discrimination typically focus on the hiring process instead of earnings and follow one simple procedure: The labor market outcomes of matched individuals, who possess "identical productive characteristics" but come from different demographic groups, are compared. If the two are matched in all conceivable productive characteristics but are not equally successful, this can be assigned to discrimination according to above definition.

Although very appealing, this technique - as Heckman (1998) has pointed out - is likewise unable to prove discrimination if the experimental design has not been carefully elaborated. Heckman points out the difficulty to control for all possibly relevant productive characteristics. In the framework of the experiment this means that the tested employer is not provided with sufficient information on all relevant variables. If information on one variable is lacking, employers have to form expectations: When men are on average better than women in this dimension and no individuating information is available, then a man will be hired because his expected total productivity is higher. This argument follows the general idea of *statistical discrimination*, developed by Phelps (1972) and Arrow (1973), which posits that under conditions of incomplete information or uncertainty, individuals are judged on the basis of beliefs about group averages. Note that statistical discrimination is not regarded to be discrimination according to above definition because workers do not have identical productive characteristics on the average and employers only make profit-maximizing decisions under uncertainty – solely driven by productivity considerations but not discriminatory tastes.

In contrast to earlier experimental investigations this paper considers the often stated concern that convincing evidence for discrimination is still missing and that it may not only be human capital variables, like schooling and experience, that determine the productivity of an individual. We argue that if it is not only human capital, it must be personality that affects productivity as a second component. Many researchers, e.g. Schein (1973), have emphasized, that different personality traits are considered successful in different occupations. Consequently if an experiment does not only control for schooling and job-experience but for per-

 $^{^{3}}$ Note that in fact the first term of equation (1.2) can be affected by discrimination too: pre-market discrimination can have a strong effect e.g. on the human capital of individuals.

sonality as well, there will be little room left for statistical discrimination. After all, what could influence productivity apart from human capital and personality variables?

The goal of this study is to conduct an experiment where applicants are not only matched in human capital but also in personality traits. If differential treatment remains, then it really can be assigned to discrimination, since all relevant characteristics have been controlled for. In the following section the mechanism how personality traits affect the hiring process is discussed in detail.

2.2 Personality and the matching process

2.2.1 Categorization of personality traits by gender

Although the words *sex* and *gender* are often used interchangeably, they actually have distinct meanings. While *sex* refers to the biological state of being male or female, *gender* points to stereotypical roles and personality traits assigned to men and women by society.

Stereotypical characteristics are generally attributed to groups of people with certain observable demographic features (e.g. biological sex or ethnic background) to structure complex data and to simplify the cognitive processing of information (Heilman, 1995).

Table 1 gives an overview of sex-stereotyped traits that constitute gender. Believes about the nature of the typical man and woman serve as indicators for masculinity and femininity. Generally, it can be said that men are considered task-oriented and active while women are perceived as emotional and expressive. A "typical man" is regarded to be ambitious, analytical and assertive, while a "typical woman" is deemed affectionate, cheerful and childloving.

The problem about stereotyping is twofold: On the one hand stereotypes give rise to the belief that all individuals within a social category can be viewed as the same, which neglects the heterogeneity *within* the group. On the other hand there is much skepticism that sex-stereotypes actually reflect reality even on the average. In fact clear evidence on the empirical value of sex-stereotypes is lacking.⁴ For these two reasons sex-stereotypes are not perfect predictors for individuals' personality traits: A man can be very child-loving and understanding (feminine), while a woman can be assertive and competitive (masculine). That means that one's gender does not have to match one's sex and biological sex does not *deter*- *mine* gender.⁵ Instead, all combinations of sex (male and female) and gender (masculine and feminine) are conceivable. This is crucial to the study.

Masculine personality traits	Feminine personality traits	
Acts as a leader	Affectionate	
Ambitious	Cheerful	
Analytical	Compassionate	
Assertive	Eager to soothe hurt feelings	
Competitive	Gentle	
Dominant	Loves children	
Individualistic	Sensitive to the needs of others	
Makes decisions easily	Sympathetic	
Strong personality	Understanding	

Table 1: Sex-stereotyped traits constituting gender. Selected items from the Bem Sex-Role Inventory (BSRI) for measuring psychological gender identity (Bem, 1974).⁶

2.2.2 Categorization of occupations by their sex-types

Just as individuals are categorized by their sex and gender role, occupations can be distinguished by their sex-type. Economists call an occupation "feminine" when the large majority of employees is female.⁷ Some psychologists stress an additional inherent characteristic of normative belief that reflect such unequal proportions as "*how it should be*". (Merton in Schein, 1973, p. 95)

There is clear evidence for horizontal and vertical segregation in Austria like most western countries. The segregation index for the year 1993 is 56 % (Weichselbaumer 1999, p. 71), which means that 56 % of women (or men) would have to change occupations, so that an identical distribution of sexes could be achieved. Traditionally female occupations are primarily caring and cleaning jobs (e.g. cleaning personnel, hairdressers, housekeepers, nurses,

⁴ For a review of studies testing the empirical value of sex stereotypes see e.g. Heilman (1995).

⁵ Feminist scholars e.g. in philosophy and rhetorics understand gender as a performative act constituted through "doing" or "enacting gender". (Butler, 1990; Ussher, 1997)

⁶ Out of approximately 200 human traits Bem extracted those, that were considered as differently socially desirable for men and women. The BSRI consists of 20 "feminine" and "masculine" characteristics each - supplemented by 20 "neutral" characteristics - considered as equally socially desirable for men and women. In Bem's understanding femininity and masculinity constitute two independent dimensions and not opposites on a bipolar continuum – therefore an individual can score high in masculine and in feminine characteristics at the same time. If a person is equipped with approximately similar amounts of feminine and masculine traits, he or she is called "androgynous" according to Bem.

⁷ The cut-off point is usually drawn at the proportion of one sex of 70 % or 80 %. (Wootton, 1997, p. 19).

textile workers, welfare workers) while traditionally male occupations are often characterized by the use of physical labor or financial/political power (e.g. electricians, mechanics, architects, lawyers, politicians, managers).

Since some of the best paid jobs (architects, lawyers, managers) can be found within the second category, the question arises, why women tend to be clustered in unattractive, low paid occupations with little career opportunities, while the more attractive ones seem to be reserved for men.

Certainly sex-discrimination can be one reason for the sex-segregation we observe in the labor market, but there is a number of other relevant factors as well. For example, differences in human capital and in preferences for work characteristics (work environment, flexible hours, compatibility with family obligations etc.) crucially affect one's productivity and suitability for a job.

In this study an elaborate experimental design allows to isolate the role of discrimination in sex-segregation while holding all other factors constant.

2.2.3 The role of personality traits in the hiring process

While standard economic theory often supposes that solely the matching of the human capital required for a job with the education and job-experience of an applicant is relevant in the hiring process, psychological literature can challenge our thinking.

A number of psychologists (e.g. Heilman, 1984; Riehle, 1995) have emphasized, that one factor determining who is hired for a job is "*the degree of congruence between the gender of the applicant and the sex type of the job*" (Glick et al., 1988, p. 178). For example Schein (1973) documented in her much cited paper, that managers (holders of a traditionally masculine job) believe that to be successful in their occupation it takes more of those characteristics typically ascribed to men than to women in general.

While in traditionally male occupations (such as management) employees are expected to obtain traditionally masculine characteristics (e.g. being ambitious, analytical, assertive, dominant), the requirements for traditional female jobs seem to be very different. Hiring an assertive person as a nurse is usually not considered a promising choice; the ideal employee for such a position is regarded to be sensitive, sympathetic and gentle – in short: feminine.

We infer from this, that *not only human capital* but as well *matching personality traits* increase workers' productivity at a job.

Situation of incomplete information

When personality is taken into account and a vacant position has to be filled, productivity of an applicant can not be predicted with certainty, because the employer faces incomplete information on two ends: Not only does she often miss reliable information about which personality traits are productive on a job and has to use stereotypes to infer from instead.⁸ The personality traits of applicants are usually not even observable at the point of hiring. While it is possible to achieve knowledge on the productivity of certain personality traits in particular occupations in the long run, the lack of information about an individual applicant is a more severe problem leading to statistical discrimination. To form expectations about their potential future employees, entrepreneurs will make use of observable characteristics such as biological sex (or race) that are assumed to correlate with the unobservable variables. This means employers draw on sex-stereotypes to predict the productivity of an applicant on the job. Consequently a randomly chosen biological woman will be expected to have more feminine and less masculine characteristics than a randomly chosen man.⁹ As Heilman has stated in her Lack of Fit Model (1983), this leads to the fact that under incomplete information women are perceived as less suitable for typically better paying male jobs, although there are many individual women who possess the required male characteristics.

Since formal education and to some degree job experience is often observable to an employer by means of school reports and CV, the important contribution by Heilman to the theory of statistical discrimination is that personality characteristics could be one decisive unobservable factor that affects productivity and actually does need to be estimated. While all formal qualifications can be tested more easily, personality traits are difficult to evaluate. This might therefore be the crucial factor driving statistical discrimination against women.

Let us shortly summarize the matching process when the importance of personality traits and the effect of imperfect information is taken into account. In an occupation with a large majority of men as employees, masculine characteristics are usually perceived as successful. If can-

⁸ Chiplin and Sloane (1976) have argued, that usually personality characteristics of previous job-holders (being white, male, middle-aged) are considered "successful", while in fact they do not necessarily have to be productivity relevant.

⁹ One might presume, that *incorrect* ,,stereotypes" will be revised through Bayesian updating in the long run just as incorrect beliefs. This is conditional on the fact that members of the incorrectly assessed group have a chance of proving their "*true nature*" and do not adapt to the stereotype. But women confronted with sex-stereotypes might have an incentive to behave in socially more rewarded feminine ways, which means that stereotypes have a self-enforcing character. As a result no objective assessment of *real and stable traits* can take place.

didates are equivalent in formal qualification (e.g. schooling, experience), the appropriate personality of the applicants becomes crucial. If there is no individuating information on personality traits, the biological sex is used as an indicator to infer from. An average man is presumed to be more likely to possess the required masculine characteristics and therefore - everything else equal – receives preferential treatment.

A number of studies (Cohen/Bunker, 1975; Rosen/Jerdee, 1974; Cash et al., 1977) have documented, by means of laboratory experiments, that the described matching between sex-stereotype and sex-type of a job is taking place: Equally qualified women are more successful applying for traditional feminine jobs, and men in masculine jobs.¹⁰

Situation of complete information

The need to make use of stereotypes only exists in a situation of incomplete information. If individuals have features that clearly distinguish them from their stereotype, their difference from the stereotype is well recognized.¹¹ So when a woman, who is seeking a male position, can give individuating information that she does not correspond to traditional sex-stereotypes, she should face better chances of actually getting the job. Laboratory studies (Glick et al., 1988; Dipboye/Wiley, 1977; Heilman/Saruwatari, 1979) and an ex-post evaluation of hiring decisions (Van Vianen/Willemsen, 1992) have supported the hypothesis that appearing masculine increases women's chances to be hired in a masculine occupation. This lets us presume that in traditionally masculine occupations there is a positive effect from masculinity which is due to a reduction of statistical discrimination.

Violation of one's stereotypical sex-role

While signaling a masculine gender identity reduces uncertainty about an applicant's personality and thereby statistical discrimination, there is an antagonistic effect conceivable at the

 $^{^{10}}$ The results of an audit study by Neumark et al. (1996) could be interpreted along similar lines. They found that men were more successful applying as waiters for high-price restaurants, while women received more job offers at low-price ones. It is most likely, that the different sex types of the jobs were responsible for this outcome. Levinson (1975) conducted another field experiment, where equally qualified male and female students applied for masculine and feminine jobs. It was found that women suffered clear-cut discrimination in 28 % when applying for a masculine job, while men's chances for an out-of-role job were even lower – they were clearly discriminated in 44 % of the cases.

¹¹ As Fiske et al. (1991, p. 1050) have emphasized: "Stereotyping is most likely when evaluative criteria are ambiguous" and "[the] most open to interpretation".

same time. A number of studies show that women who violate their gender role are *less liked* and *rated less favorably*.¹² This could be described as a "distaste against *masculine women*" in the sense of Becker's "taste for discrimination"-model: Employers might not only have a distaste against women in general, but even more so against women who violate traditional gender roles.

The issue of interest in this study is, which of the two effects (i.e. reduction of statistical discrimination on the one hand, increase of a taste for discrimination on the other) dominates or if they cancel each other out. While it might be beneficial to signal masculine characteristics since they are required for attractive male jobs, female masculinity could also evoke a taste for discrimination. This dilemma that women are caught in has best been described by the U.S. Supreme Court in the law case Hopkins vs. Price Waterhouse, where Ann Hopkins was denied partnership, because she was considered too "macho" and little lady-like: "An employer who objects to aggressiveness in women but whose positions require this trait places women in an intolerable and impermissible Catch-22: out of a job if they behave aggressively and out of a job if they do not." (Hopkins, 490 U.S. at 295 in Case, 1995, p. 45)

2.2.4 Hypotheses

In the following we shall first assume that there is no discrimination (motivated by taste) and that sex-stereotyped personality traits are productive. We have three types of candidates applying for a job: a male, a feminine female and a masculine female, all with the same human capital. The male and the masculine female are equally assertive, competitive and dominant, while the feminine female is more affectionate, cheerful and gentle. Who will be hired for what kind of job?

In a situation of *incomplete information*, where gender identity is not observable, the male will receive preferential treatment in a *masculine job*, while the two women will be treated the same. This is because the employer can not distinguish between the two women. Masculinity is highly valued in the job, but the masculine female cannot be identified as providing the required characteristics and suffers statistical discrimination. In a *feminine job* on

¹² For example assertive women are less popular, women supporting equal rights are considered less likable and feminists are rated less favorably than housewives. For a review of these studies see Riehle (1996). Laner and Laner (1980) argue that one of the reasons why gays and lesbians are sometimes disliked, is due to the fact that they more commonly violate traditional gender roles.

the contrary, where feminine characteristics are required, the masculine female *benefits* from statistical discrimination. Although in fact she would be less suited for the job, since she is lacking the feminine characteristics, she will be treated like the feminine female, because the employer just uses sex as an indicator for gender and expects both to have equivalent personality traits. The two women will be more successfully applying for this job than the man.

What if the masculine female adopts visual and other cues to *indicate her gender identity*? If she manages to give *individuating information* that distinguishes her from the stereotypical woman, she should be treated like a man. After all she possesses the same masculine characteristics as her male counterpart and can be identified as doing so. In such a situation of complete information the masculine female and the man should be treated more favorably than the feminine female in a masculine job, while in a feminine occupation the two should be at a disadvantage against their feminine competitor.

If, on the contrary, the masculine female is treated differently than her male competitor even though she has and reveals identical human capital and personality traits, this pattern cannot be reconciled with statistical discrimination but only with a taste for discrimination.

3 Empirical Study

3.1 Methodology

As has been noted earlier, one common approach to test discrimination experimentally is to contrast the experiences of one individual with those of another with identical characteristics, when the two are only distinguishable by the different demographic groups they belong to. If two individuals can be identified as identical apart from their sex or ethnic background, differential treatment can be assigned to discrimination by definition. Experiments to measure sex or race-discrimination¹³ can be conducted in laboratory and real life settings. While psy-

¹³ In general similar experimental techniques can be used to investigate sex or race-discrimination. Novelist John Howard Griffin conducted one of the first experiments to investigate race-discrimination: In 1960 he published his book "Black Like Me" (Griffin, 1960), where he reported the experiences he encountered in America's Deep South after taking on an Afro-American look by using some skin-color device. 25 years later Günther Wallraff repeated a similar experiment in Germany, when he adopted the looks and name of a Turkish citizen and summarized his observations in his book "Ganz Unten" (Wallraff, 1988). Both of these reports documented unfavorable treatment based on ethnic origin and had a big impact on public opinion, since an immediate comparison was given: The treatment these writers received changed dramatically after they had manipulated only one variable: their skin-color (and perceived ethnic background, respectively). Even though these two experiments were executed by writers, the methodology is sound and similar techniques have been used for scientific purposes.

chologists have a much longer tradition¹⁴ in experimental investigation of sex-discrimination than economists, they have usually restricted themselves to laboratory studies. Economists, on the other hand, have conducted field experiments predominantly to examine race-discrimination¹⁵.

One general drawback of previous experiments measuring sex-discrimination is that testers usually have not been provided with sufficient information on all relevant productive characteristics and had to form expectations as a result. Consequently, differential treatment might have been due to statistical discrimination and not discrimination as has been claimed.

Laboratory Studies

The first widely cited laboratory experiment investigating the impact of sex on hiring decisions was executed by Fidell (1970). She asked different psychology institutes to evaluate hypothetical applicants who were described in a short written paragraph. The occupation in question, the job of a department member in psychology, was a male dominated one. It was found that when the candidate was given a female name, she was systematically offered a lower position than a male. This study was repeated by various authors for different types of occupations. A meta-study by Olian et al. (1988) over 19 experimental studies (from which 75 % of the examined occupations were traditionally masculine ones) found that men do receive preferential treatment in hiring, but sex accounts for only 4 % of the variance and is – not surprisingly – of far less importance than variables like education and experience.

Cohen and Bunker (1975), Rosen and Jerdee (1974), Cash et al. (1977) have carried out similar laboratory studies investigating *male* and *female* dominated occupations to test whether a matching of an applicant's sex and sex-type of a job is taking place when a position

But not only skin color has been artificially altered in the past: A number of historical records exist on women, who for various reasons adopted male identities. Often a male identity gained them not only respect, but access to jobs in masculine fields, that at times were the only ones which allowed them to financially self-support themselves. Furthermore, it provided the possibility to travel. See Dekker/van de Pol, 1990; Wheel-wright, 1990.

 $^{^{14}}$ In 1968 Goldberg conducted his famous experiment, where he found that the same articles were received more favorably when the author's name indicated a male writer than when a female name was given. The result was, however, significant only for those articles covering a traditionally masculine topic and for one of two dealing with a gender-neutral issue. There was no significantly different treatment for authors who wrote on a feminine topic. These results – known as the Goldberg-paradigm – motivated a large body of research repeating the original study. Swim (1989) conducted a metastudy over 123 experiments and found – contrary to the original result – a negligible effect of sex in performance evaluation.

¹⁵ While there are only three experimental studies that examine sex-discrimination within economics (Firth, 1982; Riach/Rich, 1995; Neumark 1996), there is a large number of experiments investigating racediscrimination in a whole range of different contexts (see, e.g. Firth, 1981; Yinger, 1986; Newman, 1978; Riach/Rich, 1991b; Cross et al. 1990; Turner/Fix/Struyk, 1991; Kenney/Wissoker, 1994).

has to be filled. Their results show that women equally qualified as men are preferred for traditionally female jobs and vice versa for male jobs. Gerdes and Garber (1983) as well as Heilman (1984) argue that this pattern only holds true as long as there is some ambiguity about the productivity of the applicants. If the candidates can clearly demonstrate to provide the required characteristics and proof their previous success via a CV, then no stereotyping is necessary and women are treated like their male competitors.

These results show that there *is* symmetrical, differential treatment of men and women in applicant selection: Men receive preferential treatment in masculine and women in feminine occupations. The last two authors suggest that this pattern is due to statistical discrimination though – i.e. due to the belief that, on average, women and men fit best into different types of jobs – and not due to a taste for discrimination: If there are no doubts about an applicant's productivity, sex apparently does not matter.

An interesting result emerged from a study by Heilman and Saruwatari (1979) who tested for the effects of physical beauty. Beauty increased men's employment chances consistently in all types of occupations. On the contrary, it proved to be an advantage for women only in feminine occupations, while their employment opportunities in male occupations were actually lowered. A covariance analysis showed that, in fact, it was the perceived *gender identity* mediated by the physical looks of female applicants, that was driving the result: An attractive woman was perceived as *more feminine* which increased her chances in a feminine but reduced them in a masculine job in comparison to a less attractive female.¹⁶

From this finding can be concluded, that *gender* as a set of personality traits plays a role in the hiring process which has been postulated earlier.

Glick et al. (1988) have tried to examine the effect of gender on applicant selection via an interesting laboratory study. By providing information on an applicant's summer job, campus work-study job and extracurricular activity experiences, they attempted to indicate that the applicant possessed either stereotypically masculine, sex-neutral, or feminine personality traits. They found that masculinity increased women's chances in male jobs, but could not completely eliminate differential treatment. Since the information given in the experimental material (resumé) was extremely brief, the possibility of mere statistical discrimination cannot be dismissed though¹⁷.

¹⁶ On the contrary, Marlowe et al. (1986) observed that women of low physical beauty received the worst treatment of all applicants even in masculine jobs.

¹⁷ All information given in the resumés was that the applicant was a recent college graduate who financed 30 % of his or her own education and a short list of activities.

Results from laboratory studies obviously cannot be reliably transferred into real life. In the laboratory the test person might be more supportive of women's equal rights, not only to give a socially desirable response, but also because the decision is "costless" - the test person does not have to live with the consequences of the own decision, i.e. does not have to work with the chosen employee he actually dislikes. On the other hand there is a financial motive in real life that does not exist in the laboratory: In real life the employer has a much stronger financial interest to correctly evaluate the true productivity of a potential candidate, and if he finds that the female is highly productive, he should hire her. On the contrary, the incentive of evaluating the productivity in a laboratory situation without any financial reward is rather low, which might lead to more traditional hiring recommendations.

Consequently, it is unclear in which direction real life results might deviate from laboratory studies (if they do) and the goal of this study is to examine how employers evaluate candidates of different sex and gender *in real life settings* for masculine and feminine jobs, when provided with *detailed information* on personal characteristics (human capital, personality), where statistical discrimination can be dismissed.

3.2 Field Experiment

Field experiments can either investigate whether equally qualified people of different demographic groups are discriminated in getting an interview (Correspondence Testing), or examine the entire hiring process (Audit Studies).

"Correspondence Testing", the method used in this paper, was first applied in 1970 by Jowell and Prescott-Clarke to measure race-discrimination (Riach/Rich, 1991b, p. 239) and later adopted by Frith (1982) and Riach/Rich (1995) to examine sex-discrimination. The procedure is the following: Matched letters of applications are sent out in response to job advertisements. The job seekers exhibit *identical productive characteristics* (e.g. age, qualification, job-experience), but have a *male* and *female name* respectively. If all applicants are invited to a job interview¹⁸, this is interpreted as a case of equal treatment, if one applicant is invited but

¹⁸ Obviously testing whether somebody gets invited for an interview captures differential treatment at the initial stage of hiring only, while some employers might delay their "discriminatory activity" until later. Still, the possibility to receive a job offer is conditional on being invited to an interview, which means that differential treatment in hiring has to be equal or larger to what is measured by Correspondence Testing (Riach/Rich, 1995). Researchers at the Urban Institute (e.g. Kenney/Wissoker, 1994) have extended this method to the next stage of the hiring process: In their "Audit Studies" they have not only sent out written applications but also matched pairs of real applicants of different ethnic groups who actually met employers for an interview. This allows to

another is not, this is assigned to "discrimination". Since both previous experiments have been conducted in England and Australia, where relatively short résumés are common, the employers were not supplied with very detailed information about the candidates and might have been forced to form expectations on the value of variables that have not been controlled for. In particular there was no information on personality traits, so the significantly differential treatment of men and women, that was found, might be due to statistical discrimination.¹⁹ In Austria, on the contrary, a more detailed set of documents is required to be considered a serious applicant. Consequently, this vast amount of information largely cancels out the possibility of statistical discrimination. Furthermore, in this study strong indicators for personality traits were given, attempting to provide an entrepreneur with complete information in *all* relevant dimensions.

3.2.1 Application material

To meet Austrian standards it was necessary to put together application material including not only a letter of application but also an elaborate curriculum vitae, a fake school report and a photograph. The failure to provide letters of references of previous employers did usually not become evident since the fake applicants were still employed in their first job after a number of years in most occupational types. Obviously the need to attach photographs of equally good looking applicants made the preparation of the application material considerably more demanding, but also served as an advantage for the research question: Physical looks are one of the clearest indicators for gendered personality traits and could be used as a signal accordingly.

To avoid any detection, names of employers were avoided in the CVs and jobexperience was formulated in a rather general way. All candidates applying for one particular occupation had identical human capital and obtained their education in exactly the same school-type only at different locations. The school marks in the attached school reports were identical for all applicants in those subjects of primary relevance for the jobs under investigation and equal on average in subjects of lower interest. The photograph was attached in the

observe discrimination in actual job-offers, although it suffers from the disadvantage that real life applicants which meet all the required criteria are hard to find. Furthermore, it is impossible to control for differences in real life interactions that might take place during an interview. Neumark et al. (1996) conducted the only small scale study adopting this method to measure sex-discrimination in restaurant hiring.

¹⁹ While Frith (1982) only examined the market for accountants, Riach/Rich (1995) examined seven different occupations in total. They found that two of the most masculine jobs (computer analyst and gardener) were also those where women received significantly unfavorable treatment.

form of a (digitally manipulated) image color-printed on the CV, which is a common costsaving practice used by Austrian job-applicants.

3.2.2 Applicants

Application material was created for three fake applicants: For one man and two women, the latter differing in their perceived gender role. While all three applicants obtain identical human capital, the two women vary in their personality: One of them is constructed as a stereo-typically feminine woman, the other masculine.²⁰

3.2.2.1 Gender Types

The different gender roles of the two female applicants were indicated by non-human-capitalrelevant items in the CV (e.g. hobbies, photograph), while all the human-capital- as well as non-gender-relevant aspects that might influence the probability of being invited to an interview (e.g. looks), were held constant.

Indicators for gender identity	masculine	feminine	
Choice of font, layout	plain	nice, playful	
Hobbies	rock-climbing, canoeing, playing	drawing, designing and making	
	drums, motorcycling	of clothes	
Physical looks	short, dark hair, broad shoulders,	long, blond hair; flowing clothes	
(indicated through photographs)	business jacket		
International experiences ²¹	motorcycle-tour through Australia for	stayed in Portland, USA, as an	
	one and a half years (jobs in Perth,	au-pair girl for one and a half	
	Alice Springs, Melbourne, Darwin)	years	

 Table 2: Indicators for female applicants' gender identity. (See Appendix: Indicators for gender identity for details)

²⁰ A number of reasons is responsible for our choice, that the gender identity of the male was not varied accordingly. Firstly, the primary interest was whether sex-stereotypes put women in a unfavorable situation in the labor market. The question whether men might be discriminated in feminine occupations seemed of less practical importance, since these are typically less attractive and of lower pay. Secondly, a feminine looking man evokes much more social rejection than a masculine women (Levinson, 1975). While there is some understanding that women might "aspire" to masculinity (since stereotypically masculine characteristics like strength and leadership abilities are commonly perceived as more positive traits), this tolerance seems to be lacking when a man renounces his male privileges to appear feminine. Last but not least "out-of-role" males are more often perceived as gay than out-of-role women as lesbian (McCreary, 1994). This association of gender and sexual identity would have made it impossible to disentangle the discriminatory effects caused by the violation of stereotypical gender and sexual orientation norms.

 $[\]overline{}^{21}$ This information was only given for applicants in accounting, since they were of older age and consequently the fact that all of them had international experiences was less striking.

The application materials allowed us to use criteria listed in Table 2 as indicators for the two females' gender identities. While the manliness of the masculine female was indicated via her good but strikingly masculine looks, hobbies and style, the feminine applicant appeared much more playful and traditionally feminine in her leisure time activities and looks.

3.2.2.2 Pretest: Bem Sex-Role Inventory

A pretest was conducted to verify the successful representation of the two females' gender identity and to ensure that the differences of all job-applicants in their self-presentation (in particular by the photograph) did not cause distortions in general favorability.

119 business students with an average age of 24 years were asked to rate one applicant each - represented by his or her CV - according to the Bem Sex-Role Inventory (BSRI) (Bem, 1974), that provided a sufficient tool to test the dimensions "femininity", "masculinity", and "social desirability". Each of the three dimensions given by the BSRI consists of 20 items which were evaluated on a 7-point scale.

Two items were important to be added to the social desirability dimension provided by the BSRI: Beauty has repeatedly been shown to have major impacts on labor market decisions (Biddle and Hammermesh, 1994, 1998; Averett and Korenman, 1996), similarly, "making a competent impression" seemed important to include in the pretest as well to ensure that photographs and other variations in the CVs did not cause one applicant look relatively more proficient than others.²²

As Table 3 indicates, the two female applicants were rated differently in the dimensions of masculinity and femininity, while the scores for social desirability are relatively similar for all candidates.

Comparing the two females along the dimensions indicating gender identity, the feminine female achieved significantly higher scores in femininity (the t-test allowing to reject the H_0 hypothesis of equal means at the 1 % level) and the masculine female in masculinity. (Since the masculine female's scores of masculinity were not normally distributed a nonparametric Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff test was conducted rejecting the hypothesis that both sam-

 $^{^{22}}$ While the BSRI was originally used to provide information about the self, it served to gain knowledge on how a particular other is perceived in the present study. Devlin (1997) adopted the test for the same purpose.

ples are drawn from the same population at the 1 % level.)²³ Comparing the scores of all three candidates on social desirability we find that we can not reject the hypothesis of equal means at the 5 % significance level.²⁴

		male	masculine female	feminine female
Masculinity	mean		5.37	4.3
	sd		(0.56)	(0.99)
	n		40	34
Femininity	mean		3.84	4.47
	sd		(0.8)	(0.71)
	n		40	34
Social	mean	4.75	4.57	4.54
Desirability	sd	(0.65)	(0.63)	(0.57)
	n	45	40	34

Table 3: mean from 7-point scale, standard deviation and number of observations for the different dimensions of the BSRI for all three job-candidates.

3.2.3 Occupations

The occupations investigated in this study where those for which a high enough labor demand existed so that a sufficient amount of standardized applications could be sent out in response to job advertisements. The possibility to create convincing application material (e.g. by providing school-reports) and to submit written applications (in many occupations phone calls are required to test the verbal fluency of applicants) further reduced the range of testable occupations.

The jobs of network-technician, computer programmer, accountant and secretary fulfilled all these requirements and allowed us to test two traditionally masculine and two femi-

²³ The values of femininity and masculinity are not reported for the man, since it seems unclear how to interpret them for different sexes. To be equally assertive might be considered more striking on a women and therefore lead to higher scores. In fact the masculine woman received significantly higher scores in masculinity and significantly lower ones in femininity than the man. This means for the experiment to be on "the safe side": If the masculine woman is treated more unfavorable than the male in masculine jobs it can not be due to a lack of masculinity!

²⁴ man - masculine female: t = -1,426; $t_{(83,5\%)} = -1,666$

man - feminine female: t = -1.243; $t_{(77;5\%)} = -1,667$

masculine - feminine female: t = 0,631; $t_{(72;5\%)} = 1,668$

Sex-type of occupation	occupation	proportion of females	unemploy- ment rate	average income in Austrian Schillings
Masculine occupations	network-technician	13 %	2 %	23,312
Wascunic occupations	computer programmer	13 %	2 %	23,312
Feminine occupations	accountant	77 %	4.3 %	15,254
r eminine occupations	secretary	97 %	6.2 %	15,605

nine jobs. Table 4 presents the sex distribution, rate of unemployment and average income of the occupations contained in this study.

Table 4: Female representation (Source: Austrian Census 1991), unemployment rate and average income of tested occupations in the Austrian labor force calculated from Austrian Micro-Census 1997. The occupations network-technician and computer programmer were not classified separately in the census, but constitute one subcategory of "computer-technicians".

3.2.4 Sending out the applications

From early 1998 to fall 1999 the Saturday issue of the Austrian newspaper "Kurier" was examined weekly for relevant job-announcements. The "Kurier" is the central source for jobadvertisements in the Greater Vienna area and beyond, which is the largest Austrian labor market. Applications of all three candidates were sent out to all vacancies that invited written applications, except those where the selection process was carried out through a personnel recruitment agency.²⁵ Enterprises advertising jobs repeatedly were contacted only once to avoid detection. For the same reason the applications of the three different applicants that were sent to one firm, were posted on different days of the week (Fr., Mo., Tue.), rotating whose application was sent out first and last to avoid any systematic error.

If an entrepreneur was interested in one of the applicants, he or she could be contacted either through a Viennese address, or by leaving a message on an answering machine. When one of the applicants was invited to an interview, the proposed appointment was canceled to avoid any inconveniences on the firm's side.²⁶

²⁵ Recruitment agencies distribute their applicants to a number of different employers which does not allow to assign their response to one identifiable vacant position.

²⁶ Correspondence Testing does impose some costs on the employer as the resumés of applicants who are actually not available have to be evaluated, but – as Riach and Rich (1995, p. 347) have put it – these costs are "... in a manner which is not infrequent in the labor market, as participants carry out the process of search and acqui-

4 Results

4.1 Unequal treatment in job offers

First, the experimentally gained data is presented to give a systematic comparison of the success-rates of different applicants (m = male, mf = masculine female, ff = feminine female) for the different tested occupations.

4.1.1 Masculine occupations

When gendered characteristics matter and masculine traits are beneficial in masculine occupations, then absent of discrimination the masculine female, who proves to obtain the classic masculine characteristics, should be treated like the male applicant. On the other hand, the feminine female should fare less successfully since she does not provide these required traits. Her unfavorable treatment would be based on a profit-maximizing decision and could not be assigned to discrimination. Consequently, when personality matters and there is no discrimination, we expect our applicants to be treated according the following order: m = mf > ff. Different results either imply, that personality traits are *not relevant* (when all people are treated the same: m = mf = ff), or that real discrimination exists (when the male and masculine female are treated differently: $m \neq mf$).

In the case of *network technicians* the man proved to be the most successful, followed by the masculine female and the feminine female. From the 117 enterprises tested, 73 % contacted the male applicant, 63 % the masculine female and 58 % the feminine female for an interview.

The results are set out in Table 5, which allows for a pairwise comparison of applicants for every occupation tested. The top line of each job category always compares the results of the male applicant with those of the masculine female, the second line the male with the feminine female and the bottom line the masculine with the feminine female.

sition of bargaining chips to negotiate with current and prospective employers." For a more exhaustive discussion on the ethical question of deception in social research see Riach/Rich (1991a) and Goode (1996).

	1						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Applicants	both failed	both succ.	1 st invited,	2 nd invited,	net-discr.	χ^2	sig.
	in %	in %	2 ^{nu} not	1 st not	against		
			(discr. ag. 2 ^{na})	(discr. ag. 1 st)	2 ^{na} in %		
			in %	in %	(4) – (5)		
A) masculine occupations							
Network technicians							
N = 117							
m – mf	20.51%	56.41%	16.24%	6.84%	9.40%	4.48	*
m – ff	22.22%	52.99%	19.66%	5.13%	14.53%	9.96	**
mf – ff	29.91%	51.28%	11.97%	6.84%	5.13%	1.64	
computer programmers							
N = 88							
m – mf	9.09 %	76.14 %	5.68 %	9.09 %	- 3.41 %	0.69	
m – ff	7.95 %	70.45 %	11.36 %	10.23 %	1.14 %	0.05	
mf – ff	10.23 %	76.14 %	9.09 %	4.55 %	4.55 %	1.33	
B) feminine occupations							
Accountants							
$N = 149^{27}$							
m – mf	46.31 %	25.50 %	14.09 %	14.09 %	0 %	-	
m – ff	42.28 %	24.83 %	14.77 %	18.12 %	- 3.36 %	0.51	
mf – ff	48.99 %	31.54 %	8.05 %	11.41 %	- 3.36 %	0.86	
secretaries							
N = 123							
m – mf	47.97 %	14.63 %	5.69 %	31.71 %	- 26.02 %	22.26	**
m – ff	49.59 %	13.82 %	6.50 %	30.08 %	- 23.58 %	18.69	**
mf – ff	44.72 %	34.96 %	11.38 %	8.94 %	2.44 %	0.36	
L	1	1	1	1	1	I	L

Table 5: Results of Correspondence Testing for all occupations.

Where there is one degree of freedom, the critical value of chi squared at the 5 % level of significance is 3.84 (at the 1 % level $\chi^2 = 6.63$). One star (*) denotes that one person is treated unfavorably more often than the other at the 5 % level, two stars (**) at the 1 % level.

²⁷ This data contains 16 observations of firms anonymously advertising by use of a chiffre-number. Since these did not allow to associate the invitation for an interview with job requirements given in the ad, they have been rejected for further analysis. Interestingly, anonymous employers show no significantly different behavior, although their hidden identity would allow them to discriminate at lower costs.

Looking at the results for network technicians and comparing column 4 and 5 in Table 5 we find, that the second person is always treated unfavorably more often than the first which leaves us with a positive net-discrimination against the second person. Nevertheless this difference is only *significant* when comparing the man with the women – but not when comparing the two women with each other. Although the masculine female appears somewhat more successful than the feminine (she suffers a lower net-discrimination in comparison to the male), the hypothesis that the two women are treated the same cannot be rejected (see line 3). This implies, the applicants have been treated in the following order: m > mf = ff.

In the occupational group of *programmers*, applicants in general turned out overwhelmingly successful. With a probability of more than 80 % an applicant was invited to a job interview, which means that our candidates were considered sufficiently attractive for almost any job-opening. Out of a number of 88 firms 81 % contacted the male, 85 % the masculine female and 81 % the feminine female for an interview. Even a systematic reduction of the applicants' human capital (from a high to a poor university degree to eventually holding a moderate high school degree only) did not cause any change in employers' behavior. No differences in treatment have been observed, all applicants have been doing equally well: m = mf = ff.

The reason for this high general acceptance is to be found in the extremely tight labor market in this occupation, caused besides others by Y2K. While with an excess supply of workers a taste for discrimination is not punished by lower profits – for each female applicant an equally qualified male is available –, profit-maximizing is more binding in a tight labor market: When employees are scarce there is no room for being choosy in terms of gender if a certain productivity is being guaranteed! This observation is compatible with the predictions of Becker's (1957) taste for discrimination model.

4.1.2 Feminine occupations

Under the assumption that feminine characteristics are particularly productive in traditionally female occupations, we argued, the feminine female should receive favorable treatment in the absence of discrimination, since she has identical human capital but scores highest in feminine traits. The masculine female as well as the male applicant should fare less successful, since they lack these required characteristics. Consequently we expect the following order: m = mf < ff.

Equal treatment of all candidates (m = mf = ff) would suggest, that personality does not matter in the occupation under investigation, differential treatment of the male and masculine female ($m \neq mf$) indicates the existence of a taste for discrimination.

For *accountants* we find no evidence for differential treatment. In total 149 firms were contacted, out of which 43 % got in touch with the feminine female, while an equal percentage of 40 % were interested in meeting the male and the masculine female applicant respectively. Even though the feminine women was slightly more successful than her competitors, the hypothesis of equal treatment could not be rejected and hence, statistically, all applicants have been treated the same: m = mf = ff.

The most severe unequal treatment is found in the occupation of *secretaries*. Out of 123 firms contacted, the masculine female was invited by 46 % of all employers followed by the feminine female with 44 %. The male applicant was clearly defeated with a success-rate of only 20 %. From Table 5 can be seen that the male was treated unfavorably significantly more often than the females at the 1 % level. In 32 % (30 %) of all cases he was not invited for an interview while the masculine (feminine) female was. On the other hand he only received beneficial treatment in 6 % (7 %), where he was invited but his female competitor was not. At the same time the hypothesis that the two women with differing gender identity received equal treatment, could not be rejected: m < mf = ff.

The question of this paper is whether it is perceived differences in personality traits that drives women's labor market outcomes relative to men's. Our experiment allows to compare the hiring chances of a man and woman, who are matched not only in human capital but even in personality. If there is no discrimination, the masculine female should be treated like the male in all cases, while the feminine female should receive preferential or unfavorable treatment depending on the sex-type of the occupation.

Here we find the opposite to be true. Significant differential treatment was found in the occupation of the network-technician and secretary – both times the two women were treated the same, while the man was significantly more or less successful. This means that differential treatment is not driven by gendered personality traits but solely by biological sex - which is an indication that it is *discrimination* that we are observing. Our finding can not be reconciled with statistical discrimination, since there was very detailed information on the applicants, not only on human capital, but even personality.

4.2 What determines differential treatment?

In the next step we tried to investigate, which factors determine the differential treatment of applicants. It might be the case that in more prestigious positions women are discriminated more severely or that they are considered as less suitable for jobs with particular requirements.

All the available information from the job-advertisements was coded in a number of variables that captured requirements on human capital and personality traits and indicated, whether the actual text of the ad was addressed to women or men specifically instead of being formulated in a sex-neutral way. Note that in German, contrary to English language, the sex of a job holder is usually explicit by sex-specific formulation (as in actor/actress).

The following information was extracted from those job-advertisements to which applications were submitted and captured mostly by dummy-variables:

- 1. *Required human capital:* special knowledge, experience, literacy in English, other qualifications;
- 2. *Required personality traits:* young and flexible, sociable, independent, powerful and dynamic, friendly and correct, other characteristics;
- 3. *Characteristics of advertisement/job:* occupation, job-prestige (combination of jobhierarchy and size of advertisement), required attachment of photograph;
- 4. *Sex-specification of advertisement:* sex-neutral, female, male formulated job announcement.

The number of firms advertising sex specifically is surprisingly large considering the equal treatment law from 1990 (BGBl.Nr. 108/1979, modified by BGBl.Nr. 410/1990) that demands sex-neutral advertising of vacancies and prohibits signaling – even implicitly - any preference for a certain sex. Nevertheless only 62 % of the advertisements investigated are in accordance with the law as Table 6 demonstrates.²⁸

²⁸ These numbers take into account not only the sex specific formulation of the job title in the advertisement but also rather rare implicit signals for a desired applicant's sex. E.g. having to be "very attractive and good-looking" was classified as implicitly searching for a female applicant, advertising sex-neutral but adding "looking for males with practical skills" on the side was coded as masculine formulation. This classification follows Szabo (1990).

	sex-neutral formulation of ad	female formulation of ad	male formulation of ad
Feminine occupation	62 %	33 %	5 %
Masculine occupation	61 %	0 %	39 %

 Table 6: Proportion of gender-neutral and sex-specific formulations of job-advertisements in feminine and masculine occupations.

As expected, the sex-type of a job strongly determines at which sex job-advertisements are directed: 33 % of the advertisements in feminine occupations are ex- or implicitly aimed at women, 39 % in masculine occupations at men.

As in the previous chapter we now compare the results of two candidates, but additionally all the variables on job requirements find consideration. To estimate the impact of these variables on the relative success-rate of two applicants we ran an ordered probit regression of the following type:

$$y_i = \alpha x_i + \varepsilon_i , \qquad (4.1)$$

where the outcome y_i is a dummy variable that analyzes the relative success of a candidate in comparison to another, x_i is a vector of control variables and α the corresponding vector of coefficients to be estimated. ε_i is an error term that is assumed to satisfy the usual assumptions.

The dependent variable was coded as follows:

- 2 ... the first person was preferred to the second
- 1 ... both received equal treatment
- 0 ... the second received preferential treatment

Consequently a positive coefficient works as an advantage for the first candidate.

Table 7 shows the results for all occupations, since they do not vary with respect to profession. Column 1 presents the pairwise comparison of male and masculine female, column 2 male and feminine female and column 3 masculine female and feminine female.

As can be seen, solely the "required sex" indicated by sex-specific formulation of a job announcement determines differential treatment – with the single exception of job prestige. Interestingly, neither requirements on human capital nor personality can explain different la-

bor market outcomes, even though the feminine female was perceived to obtain other personality traits than the others.

The variables capturing the *sex-specific formulation* of an ad clearly have the strongest impact on differential treatment. If an advertisement is using a male instead of a sex-neutral term for a vacant position, this increases the male's chances in comparison to the females', while a female term leads to a preferential treatment of women to that of men. Nevertheless these two dummy-variables have no significant impact on differential treatment of the two women with differing gender identities.

This result indicates that sex-specific terminology is not used coincidentally in jobadvertisements.²⁹ If the male form is used, an actually male applicant is looked for, while a female form implies that a female employee is wanted. Thus the common argument that male terminology is used for convenience only (not intending to exclude females), is not supported here.

Nevertheless there is an interesting effect of a female's "manliness" observable: While in column 2 there is a symmetric effect observable for the male and feminine female, when they are applying for a sex-term incongruent position³⁰, the coefficient for a male job-term explaining the differential treatment of the male and masculine female is not only considerably smaller, but also significant only at the 10 % level.³¹ This indicates that a male job-term does not lead to an equally strong unfavorable treatment of the masculine female than the feminine female in comparison to the male, even if we find no significant impact comparing the masculine with the feminine female (column 3).

From this can be concluded that signaling manliness does not decrease women's chances in a female specified vacancy, but it might slightly reduce unfavorable treatment in a male-termed job.

²⁹ Often employers and opponents of a German sex-neutral language argue that the male form of a job term does not intend to exclude women but is rather a historically grown general term including ,,all people".

 $^{^{30}}$ As the positive coefficient for "job-term male" in column 2 (m – ff) suggests, a male job-term works equally strong in favor of the male compared to the feminine female, a "job-term female" in column 1 and 2 works against him, no matter whether he competes against a feminine or masculine female (column 1).

³¹ This reminds of the results for network-technicians (Table 7), where we have found that masculinity slightly reduces the level of significance of differential treatment.

	male vs. masculine	male vs. feminine	masculine vs. feminine
	female	female	female
knowledge	-0.047	-0.086	-0.055
	(0.161)	(0.158)	(0.172)
experience	0.131	0.059	-0.084
	(0.109)	(0.107)	(0.117)
English	0.124	0.043	-0.092
	(0.139)	(0.137)	(0.150)
other	0.181	0.001	-0.202
qualifications	(0.146)	(0.145)	(0.153)
young/	-0.076	0.008	0.098
flexible	(0.117)	(0.114)	(0.123)
sociable	0.049 (0.101)	0.022(0.100)	-0.026 (0.108)
independent	0.006	0.026	0.024
	(0.096)	(0.094)	(0.102)
powerful/	-0.159	-0.118	0.045
dynamic	(0.097)	(0.096)	(0.103)
nice/good	0.018	-0.031	-0.064
(friendly/correct)	(0.108)	(0.105)	(0.115)
other personality	-0.111	-0.153	-0.078
traits	(0.217)	(0.215)	(0.234)
job-prestige	-0.037*	-0.021	0.017
	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.020)
photo	-0.014	-0.119	-0.147
	(0.167)	(0.164)	(0.179)
job-term	0.254~	0.423**	0.241
male	(0.151)	(0.150)	(0.160)
job-term	-0.433**	-0.432**	-0.029
female	(0.161)	(0.159)	(0.171)
Pseudo R-sq	0.035	0.036	0.016
# obs :	462	462	462

Table 7: Pairwise comparison of invitation probability

Ordered Probit Estimation: All Occupations (standard errors in parentheses with $p<0.10 = \sim$, p<0.05 = *, p<0.01 = **)

Required human capital: knowledge=[0,1], job specific knowledge required: no, yes; experience=[0,1,2], job experience required: no, yes: ≤ 5 years, >5 years; English=[0,1,2], English required: no, yes, fluent; otherqual: number of other required job qualifications.

Required personality traits (number of all required traits fitting into one category):

young/flexible: young, flexible, swift; sociable: sociable; independent: independent, sense of responsibility; power: committed, dedicated, self-initiative, innovative, ambitious, self-confident, dynamic, motivated; nice/good: friendly, correct, exact, reliable, loyal, sense of style, neat appearance; other characteristics: e.g. non-smoker.

Characteristics of advertisement/job: jobsize=jobhierarchy*size of advertisement; photo=[0,1], attachment of photograph required: no, yes; job-term male=[0,1], job-term female=[0,1], base: neutral job-term.

The small but significant effect of the variable job-prestige when comparing the male with the masculine female is rather unexpected. As pointed out before, this variable captures the hierarchy of the job and the advertisement size (through multiplication of the two). The reason for paying attention to ad size is the following: The more financial resources are available (leading to higher pay) and the more important a position is, the more willing an employer will be to spend money on a larger ad. So the size of an advertisement might be used in addition to hierarchy of a job as an indicator for the importance of a position. The negative coefficient means, that the more prestigious a job, the worse are the chances of the male relatively to the masculine female.

A possible interpretation of this might be that the masculine female represents a much more unconventional, almost exotic, type of personality, while still fulfilling the others' standards of beauty etc. A more prestigious position might allow for a more unconventional behavior and personal individuality - it might even be considered an integral part of a leader-ship personality, while it is sanctioned at a low status job. This might lead to the result that the more sophisticated masculine female has a relative advantage in more high-ranked positions in comparison to the traditional male.

5 Conclusions

Previous studies trying to measure discrimination could not satisfactorily prove that the observed differential treatment of men and women was actually due to discrimination and not to personal characteristics that have not been controlled for. Econometric studies but also labor market experiments have failed to consider one aspect, that might determine productivity (apart from human capital) the most: *individual personality*. Sex-segregation in the labor market could be driven by the requirement to obtain matching personality traits (masculine traits in male occupations, feminine in female jobs), when hiring decisions are made under uncertainty drawing on sex-stereotypes.

This study adopted an experimental technique called Correspondence Testing to examine whether it is presumed differences in personality traits of men and women that lead to different labor market outcomes. Using this methodology in a country like Austria, where very detailed application material is required, offers one big advantage: Detailed information on human capital minimizes the possibility of statistical discrimination concerning individuals' qualifications for a job. Furthermore, strong signals were given to indicate different personalities of applicants.

If differential treatment of the sexes in earlier studies was due to statistical discrimination, we argue, it should disappear in the setting of this experiment, where a maximum of variables is controlled for. When it is personality that leads to different labor market outcomes of men and women, then in the absence of discrimination an identically qualified male and female with observable, equally masculine personality traits should be treated the same, while a more feminine person should receive preferential treatment in feminine occupations and unfavorable treatment in masculine occupations.

We observed the contrary: Equal treatment of the two women and different treatment of the man, indicating that it is biological sex and not productivity relevant personality that is driving labor market segregation. Unfavorable treatment in masculine occupations is not significantly reduced when a woman provides a masculine identity. Similarly preferential treatment in feminine occupations is not threatened by "manliness".

Even though there are minor indicators that masculinity might slightly reduce unfavorable treatment in some cases, the unexplained residual of differential treatment remains - even after controlling for personality traits. This suggests that it is not different productivity, not different personality, but *discrimination* that leads to differential treatment and sexsegregation at the workplace.

6 Appendix: Indicators for gender identity







Name: Patricia Vorbach

My Hobbies: Drawing, designing and making of clothes

Stayed in Portland, USA, as an Au-Pair for one and a half years

NAME: ALEXANDRA AUER

Hobbies: Sports (rock-climbing, canoeing), playing drums, reading, motorcycling

Motorcycle tour through Australia for one and a half years (jobs in Perth, Alice Springs, Melbourne, Darwin)

Name: Peter Englmair

Hobbies: Travelling, sport (jogging, mountainbiking, wild-water-rafting), music (guitar)

Travelled for one and a half years (Australia, Hong-Kong, USA), various jobs

7 **REFERENCES**

- Altonji, Joseph G. and Rebecca M. Blank (1999), Race and Gender in the Labor Market. In: Ashenfelter/Card (eds.), Handbook of Labor Economics, Volume 3C, Elsevier, 3143 3259.
- Arrow, Kenneth J. (1973), The theory of discrimination. In: Ashenfelter/Rees (eds.), Discrimination in labor markets, Princeton University Press, 3 33.
- Averett, Susan and Sanders Korenman (1996), The economic reality of the beauty myth. Journal of Human Resources, 31 (2), 304-30.
- Becker, Gary S. (1957), The economics of discrimination, University of Chicago Press.
- Bem, Sandra L. (1974), The measurement of psychological androgyny. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 42 (2), 155 162.
- *Biddle, Jeff E. and Daniel S. Hamermesh* (1998), Beauty, productivity, and discrimination: Lawyers' looks and lucre. Journal of Labor Economics, 16 (1), 172-201.
- Blau, Francine D. and Marianne A. Ferber (1992), The economics of women, men, and work, Prentice Hall.
- *Blinder, Alan S.* (1973), Wage discrimination: reduced form and structural estimates. Journal of Human Recources, 14, 436 55.
- *Bovenkerk, Frank* (1992), Testing discrimination in natural experiments. A manual for international comparative research on discrimination on the grounds of "race" and ethnic origin, International Labor Organisation.
- Butler, Judith (1990), Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity, Routledge.
- *Case, Mary A.* (1995), Disaggregating gender from sex and sexual orientation: The effeminate man in the law and feminist jurisprudence. Yale Law Journal, 105 (1), 1 105.
- Cash, Thomas, Barry Gillen and Steven D. Burns (1977), Sexism and "beautyism" in personnel consultant decision making. Journal of Applied Psychology, 62 (3), 301 - 310.
- *Chiplin, Brian and Peter J. Sloane* (1976), Sex discrimination in the labor market, Macmillan Press.
- Cohen, Stephen L. and Kerry A. Bunker (1975), Subtle effects of sex role stereotypes on recruiters' hiring decisions. Journal of Applied Psychology, 60 (5), 566 - 572.
- *Cross, Harry, Genevieve Kenney, Jane Mell and Wendy Zimmermann* (1990), Employer Hiring Practices: Differential Treatment of Hispanic and Anglo Job Seekers, Urban Institute Press.
- Dekker, Rudolf and Lotte van de Pol (1990), Frauen in Männerkleidern. Weibliche Transvestiten und ihre Geschichte. Wagenbach.
- Devlin, Ann S. (1997), Architects: Gender-role and hiring decisions. Psychological Reports, 81, 667 676.
- *Dipboye, Robert L., Howard L. Fromkin and Kent Wiback* (1975), Relative importance of applicant sex, attractiveness, and scholastic standing in evaluation of job applicant resumes. Journal of Applied Psychology, 60 (1), 39 43.
- *Dipboye, Robert L. and Jack W. Wiley* (1977), Reactions of college recruiters to interviewee sex and self-presentation style. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 10, 1 12.
- *Eckes, Thomas* (1994), Exploration in gender cognition: Content and structure of female and male subtypes. Social Cognition, 12, 37 60.
- Ehrenberg, Ronald G. and Robert S. Smith (1994), Modern labor economics. Theory and public policy, Harper Collins College Publishers.
- *Fidell, Linda S.* (1970), Empirical verification of sex discrimination in hiring practices in psychology. American Psychologist, 25, 1094 - 1098.
- *Firth, Michael* (1981), Racial Discrimination in the British Labor Market. Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 34 (2), 265 272.

- *Firth, Michael* (1982), Sex discrimination in job opportunities for women. Sex Roles, 8 (8), 891 901.
- *Fiske, Susan T., Donald N. Bersoff, Eugene Borgida, Kay Deaux and Madeline E. Heilman* (1991), Social science research on trial: the use of sex stereotyping research in Price Waterhouse vs. Hopkins. American Psychologist, 46, 1049 1060.
- *Fix, Michael, George C. Galster and Raymond J. Struyk* (1993), An overview of auditing for discrimination. In: Fix/Struyk (eds.), Clear and convincing evidence. Measurement of discrimination in America, Urban Institute Press, 1 68.
- Gerdes, Eugenia P. and Douglas M. Garber (1983), Sex bias in hiring: Effects of job demands and applicant competence. Sex Roles, 9 (3), 307 - 319.
- *Glick, Peter, Cari Zion and Cynthia Nelson* (1988), What mediates sex discrimination in hiring decisions. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 55 (2), 178 - 186.
- Goldberg, Philip (1968), Are women prejudiced against women? Transaction, 5, 28 30.
- Goldin, Claudi and Cecilia Rouse (1997), Orchestrating impartiality: The impact of "blind" auditions on female musicians, NBER working paper 5903.
- *Goode, Erich* (1996), The Ethics of Deception in Social Research: A Case Study. Qualitative Sociology, 19 (1), 11 33.
- *Gray, Jeffrey S.* (1997), The fall in men's return to marriage. Declining productivity effects or changing selection? Journal of Human Resources, 32 (3), 481 504.
- Griffin, John H. (1996), Black like me, Signet Book.
- Halberstam, Judith (1998), Female Masculinities, Duke University Press.
- Hamermesh, Daniel S. and Jeff E. Biddle (1994), Beauty and the labor market. American Economic Review, 84 (5), 174 1194.
- *Heckman, James J.* (1998), Detecting discrimination. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 12 (2), 101 116.
- *Heilman, Madeline E. and Lois R. Saruwatari* (1979), When beauty is beastly: The effects of appearance and sex on evaluations of job applicants for managerial and nonmanagerial jobs. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 23, 360 372.
- Heilman, Madeline E. (1983), Sex bias in work settings: The Lack of Fit Model. Research in Organizational Behavior, 5, 269 298.
- *Heilman, Madeline E.* (1984), Information as a deterrent against sex discrimination: The effects of applicant sex and information type on preliminary employment decisions. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 33, 174 186.
- Heilman, Madeline E. (1995), Sex stereotypes and their effects in the workplace. Journal of Social Behavior and Personality, 10 (6), 3 26.
- Kenney, Genevieve M. and Douglas A. Wissoker (1994), An Analysis of the Correlates of Discrimination Facing Young Hispanic Job-Seekers. American Economic Review, 84 (3), 674 – 683.
- Laner, Mary R. and Roy H. Laner (1980), Sexual preference or personal style? Why lesbians are disliked. Journal of Homosexuality, 5 (4), 339 356.
- *Levinson, Richard M.* (1975), Sex discrimination and employment practices: An experiment with unconventional job inquiries. Social Problems, 22, 533 543.
- Marlowe, Cynthia M., Sandra L. Schneider and Carnot E. Nelson (1996), Gender and attractiveness biases in hiring decisions: Are more experienced managers less biased? Journal of Applied Psychology, 81 (1), 11 - 21.
- McCreary, Donald R. (1994), The male role and avoiding femininity. Sex Roles, 31 (9-10), 517 522.
- Muchinsky, Paul M. and Sharon L. Harris (1977), The effect of applicant sex and scholastic standing on the evaluation of job applicant resumes in sex-typed occupations. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 11, 95 108.

- Neumark, David, Roy J. Bank and Kyle D. Van Nort (1996), Sex discrimination in restaurant hiring: An Audit Study. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 111 (3), 915 941.
- Newman, Jerry M. (1978), Discrimination in Recruitment: An empirical Analysis. Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 32 (1), 15 23.
- *Oaxaca, Ronald* (1973), Male-female wage differentials in urban labor markets, International Economic Review, 693 709.
- Olian, Judy D., Donald P. Schwab and Yitchak Haberfeld (1988), The impact of applicant gender compared to qualifications on hiring recommendations. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 41, 180 195.
- *Phelps, Edmund S.* (1972), The statistical theory of racism and sexism. American Economic Review, 62 (4), 659 661.
- *Riach, Peter A. and Judith Rich* (1991a), Measuring discrimination by direct experimental methods: seeking gunsmoke. Journal of Post Keynesian Economics, Vol. 14 (2), 143 150.
- *Riach, Peter A. and Judith Rich* (1991b), Testing for racial discrimination in the labor market. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 15, 239 - 259.
- *Riach, Peter A. and Judith Rich* (1995), An investigation of gender discrimination in labor hiring. Eastern Economic Journal, 21 (3), 343 356.
- *Riehle, Dagmar* (1996), Personalbeurteilung vor dem Hintergrund sozialer Kategorisierungsprozesse, Pabst Science Publishers.
- Rosen, Benson and Thomas H. Jerdee (1974), Influence of sex role stereotypes on personnel decisions. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59 (1), 9 14.
- Schein, Virginia E. (1973), The relationship between sex role stereotypes and requisite management characteristics. Journal of Applied Psychology, 57 (2), 95 100.
- Swim, Janet, Eugene Borgida and Geoffrey Maruyama (1989), Joan McKay versus John McKay: Do gender stereotypes bias evaluations? Psychological Bulletin, 105 (3), 409 - 429.
- Szabo, Erna (1990), Sexistische Codes in Stellenanzeigen. Veränderungen der sozialen Repräsentation weiblicher und männlicher Stelleneignung zwischen 1968 und 1988, master-thesis, University of Linz.
- *Turner, Margery, Michael Fix and Raymond Struyk* (1991), Opportunities Denied, Opportunities Diminished: Discrimination in Hiring, The Urban Institute Press.
- Ussher, Jane M. (1997), Fantasies of femininity. Reframing the boundaries of sex, Rutgers University Press.
- Van Vianen, Annelies E. and Tineke M. Willemsen (1992), The employment interview: The role of sex stereotypes in the evaluation of male and female job applicants in the Netherlands. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 22 (6), 471 491.
- Wallraff, Günther (1988), Ganz Unten, Kiepenheuer u.W.
- Wheelwright, Julie (1990), Amazons and military maids: Women who dressed as men in pursuit of life, liberty and happiness, Pandora.
- Weichselbaumer, Doris (1999), Sally and Peppermint Patty looking for a job. Geschlechtsspezifische Diskriminierung am Arbeitsmarkt, Linzer Schriften zur Frauenforschung, 11, 67 105.
- *Winter-Ebmer, Rudolf* (1995), Sex discrimination and competition in product and labor markets. Applied Economics, 27, 849 857.
- Wootton, Barbara H. (1997), Gender differences in occupational employment. Monthly Labor Review, 15 - 24.
- *Yinger, John* (1986), Measuring Racial Discrimination with Fair Housing Audits: Caught in the Act. American Economic Review, 76 (5), 881 893.
- Zweimüller, Josef and Rudolf Winter-Ebmer (1994), Gender wage differentials in private and public sector jobs. Journal of Population Economics, 7, 271 285.

WORKING PAPERS 1991-2000 Department of Economics, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz

- 9101 WEISS, Christoph: Price inertia and market structure under incomplete information. Jänner 1991. in: Applied Economics, 1992.
- 9102 BARTEL, Rainer: Grundlagen der Wirtschaftspolitik und ihre Problematik. Ein einführender Leitfaden zur Theorie der Wirtschaftspolitik. Jänner 1991; Kurzfassung erschienen unter: Wirtschaftspolitik in der Marktwirtschaft, in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 17. 1991,2, S. 229-249
- 9103 FALKINGER, Josef: External effects of information. Jänner 1991
- 9104 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; Mechanik und Ökonomie: Keplers Traum und die Zukunft. Jänner 1991, in: R. Sandgruber und F. Schneider (Hrsg.), "Interdisziplinarität Heute", Linz, Trauner, 1991
- 9105 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Manpower training programs and employment stability, in: *Economica*, 63. 1995, S. 128-130
- 9106 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Partial retirement and the earnings test. Februar 1991, in: Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie / Journal of Economics, 57. 1993,3, S. 295-303
- 9107 FALKINGER, Josef: The impacts of policy on quality and price in a vertically integrated sector. März 1991. Revidierte Fassung: On the effects of price or quality regulations in a monopoly market, in: Jahrbuch für Sozialwissenschaft.
- 9108 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael, WEISS, Christoph R., ZWEI-MÜLLER, Josef: Farm income, market wages, and off-farm labour supply, in: *Empirica*, 18, 2, 1991, S. 221-235
- 9109 BARTEL, Rainer, van RIETSCHOTEN, Kees: A perspective of modern public auditing. Pleading for more science and less pressure-group policy in public sector policies. Juni 1991, dt. Fassung: Eine Vision von moderner öffentlicher Finanzkontrolle, in: *Das öffentliche Haushaltswesen in Österreich*, 32. 1991,3-4, S. 151-187
- 9110 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and LENZELBAUER, Werner: An inverse relationship between efficiency and profitability according to the size of Upper--Austrian firms? Some further tentative results, in: *Small Business Economics*, 5. 1993,1, S. 1-22
- 9111 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Wirtschaftspolitische Maßnahmen zur Steigerung der Effizienz der österreichischen Gemeinwirtschaft: Ein Plädoyer für eine aktivere Industrie- und Wettbewerbspolitik. Juli 1991, in: Öffentliche Wirtschaft und Gemeinwirtschaft in Österreich, Wien, Manz, 1992, S. 90-114
- 9112 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Unequal promotion on job ladders, in: *Journal of Labor Economics*, 15. 1997,1,1, S. 70-71
- 9113 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Bargaining with reasonable aspirations. Oktober 1991, in: *Theory and Decision*, 37, 1994, S 311-321.
- 9114 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Gender wage differentials and private and public sector jobs. Oktober 1991, in: *Journal of Population Economics*, 7. 1994, S. 271-285
- 9115 BRUNNER, Johann K., WICKSTRÖM, Bengt-Arne: Politically stable pay-as-you-go pension systems: Why the social-insurance budget is too small in a democracy. November 1991, in: Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie = Journal of Economics, 7. 1993, S. 177-190.
- 9116 WINTER-EBMER; Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Occupational segregation and career advancement. Dezember 1991, in: *Economics Letters*, 39. 1992, S. 229-234

- 9201 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Ecological objectives in a market economy: Three simple questions, but no simple answers? Jänner 1992, in: Giersch, H. (Hrsg.), *Environmental economics*, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1993
- 9202 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The federal and fiscal structures of representative and direct democracies as models for a European federal union: Some preliminary ideas using the public-

choice approach, in: *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 3. 1993,2

- 9203 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The development of the shadow economy under changing economic conditions: Some tentative empirical results for Austria. Revised version. März 1992.
- 9204 HACKL, Franz, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, WITHERS, Glenn: The public sector in Australia: A quantitative analysis. März 1992, in: Gemmell, N. (ed), *The growth of the public sector*, Aldershot, Elgar, 1993, S. 212-231
- 9205 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The federal and fiscal structures of western democracies as models for a federal union in former communist countries? Some thoughts using the publicchoice approach. April 1992, in: Wagner, H.-J. (ed.), On the theory and policy of systematic change, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1993, S. 135-154
- 9206 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Endogenous growth, human capital, and industry wages. in: *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 4/1994, 289-314.
- 9207 BARTEL, Rainer: Gleichgewicht, Ungleichgewicht und Anpassung in der komparativen Statik. August 1992; 1. Teil erschienen unter: Auf welchen Grundlagen beruhen unsere ökonomischen Aussagen? in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 19, 2, 1993, S. 153-170; 2. Teil erschienen unter: Neoklassische Rationierung, in: WiSt, 23, 3, 1993, S. 151-154
- 9208 WEISS, Christoph R.: Market structure and pricing behaviour in Austrian manufacturing. August 1992. in: *Empirica*, 21. 1994, S. 115-131.
- 9209 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Unemployment and individual pay: Wage curve or compen-sating differentials? erscheint u.d.T.: Wage Curve, Unemployment Duration and Compensating Differentials, in: *Labour Economics*, 3/1996,4, S. 425-434
- 9210 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Chaostheorie und Verkehrswissenschaft? September 1992, in: Österreichische Zeitschrift für Verkehrswissenschaft, 1-2, 38. 1992, S. 48-51
- 9211 BARTEL, Rainer, PRUCKNER, Gerald: Strukturelle und konjunkturelle Charakteristika der Budgetpolitik von Bund und Gesamtstaat in Österreich. Oktober 1992, in: Wirtschaftspolitische Blätter, 40. 1993,2, S. 134-154
- 9212 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign direct investment and exports: A time series approach. Oktober 1992
- 9213 HACKL, Franz, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Austrian economic policy since 1945: An ex-ploratory analysis. Oktober 1992, in: Paldam, M. (ed.), *Economic development of small* open economies in Europe and South America, Basingstoke, Macmillan, forthcoming 1994
- 9214 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Die Kunst als Wirtschaftsfaktor vernachlässigbar oder beach-tenswert? Oktober 1992, in: *Musicologica Austriaca*, 11. 1993,1, S. 19-29
- 9215 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Measuring the size and the development of the shadow economy: Can the causes be found and the obstacles be overcome? November 1992, in: Brandstätter, Hermann and Güth, W. (eds.), *Essays on Economic Psychology*, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1994, S. 208-211
- 9216 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Public choice economic theory of politics: A survey in selected areas. Dezember 1992, in: Brandstätter, Hermann and Güth, W. (eds.), *Essays on Economic Psychology*, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1994, S. 188-192

- 9301 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Energiepolitik im Spannungsfeld zwischen Wirtschaft und Umwelt. Jänner 1993, in: Friedrich Schneider (Hrsg.), *Energiepolitik in Österreich*, Linz, Trauner, 1993
- 9302 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Motivation to migrate and economic success. März 1993, erscheint u.d.T.: Motivation for Migration and Economic Success, in: *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 15. 1994, S. 282-284

- 9303 LANDESMANN, Michael and GOODWIN, Richard: Productivity growth, structural change and macroeconomic stability. März 1993
- 9304 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign outward direct investment and exports in Austrian manufacturing. März 1993
- 9305 BARTEL, Rainer: Zur Ökonomie der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle. April 1993, erschienen unter: Öffentliche Finanzkontrolle als politische Machtkontrolle. Eine ökonomische Fundierung, in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift*, 34. 1993,4, S. 613-639
- 9306 HACKL, Franz: Die Internalisierung von überbetrieblichen Leistungen der Landwirtschaft aus allokationstheoretischer Sicht. April 1993.
- 9307 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, FAL-KINGER, Josef: Retirement of spouses and social security reform, in: *European Economic Review*, 40/1996, S. 471-472
- 9308 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Abilities, needs, and the size of the cake: an axiomatic bargaining approach to redistributive taxation. Juli 1993.
- 9309 HACKL, Franz, PRUCKNER, Gerald: Touristische Präferenzen für den ländlichen Raum: Die Problematik ihrer empirischen Erfassung und Internalisierung. Juli 1993. Ersch. in: Gesellschaftliche Forderungen an die Landwirtschaft / Gesellschaft für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften des Landbaues (GEWISOLA), hrsg. von Konrad Hagedorn ... 1994, Schriften der GEWISOLA, Bd. 30
- 9310 NECK, Reinhard, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Steuersystem und Schattenwirtschaft. Juli 1993.
- 9311 POINTNER, Johannes und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Österreich im internationalen Writschaftssystem, August 1993, in: Ewald Nowotny und Günther Winckler (Hrsg.), Grundzüge der Wirtschaftspolitik Österreichs, 1994.
- 9312 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Relationship between efficiency and profitability with respect to the size of firms: an empirical investigation for Austria. September 1993.
- 9313 ÖTSCH, Walter: Die mechanistische Metapher in der Theoriengeschichte der Nationalökonomie. September 1993.
- 9314 BARTEL, Rainer: Wirtschaftspolitische Kontrolle und Beratung: Grundlagen, Probleme, Erfordernisse. September 1993, erschienen als: Kontrolle und Beratung in der Wirtschaftspolitik, in: Wirtschaftspolitische Blätter, 41. 1994,4, S. 442-462
- 9315 BARTH, Erling and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Relative wages under decentralized and under corporatist bargaining systems, in: Scandinavian Journal of Economics, 97. 1995,3, S. 369-384
- 9316 FALKINGER, Josef and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The impact of income inequality on product diversity and economic growth. Oktober 1993.
- 9317 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Anreizorientierte Systeme im Gesundheitswesen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des stationären Sektors. Oktober 1993.
- 9318 HORSTMANN, Winfried and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Deficits, bailout and free riders: Fiscal elements of European constitution. Oktober 1993.
- 9319 BARTEL, Rainer: Egoismus, Altruismus, Ineffizienz und Kontrolle im öffentlichen Bereich: Ein kurzer Blick auf die Argumente und ihre Implikationen. November 1993, in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 20. 1994,2, S. 231-246
- 9320 BURGER, Christina: Theorien der Koalitionsbildung und ihre Anwendbarkeit auf österreichische Regierungen. November 1993.
- 9321 BARTEL, Rainer: Konjunkturelle Selbststabiliseriung oder kompensatorische Nachfragepolitik? Ein Leitfaden für Studenten. Dezember 1993, tw. erschienen unter: Konjunkturprobleme - Selbstheilung oder Staatseingriffe?, in: WISO, 17. 1994,4, S. 111-39, erscheint tw. unter: Lohnindexierung -Effiziente Institution zur Stabilisierung der Wirtschaft?, in: WiSt, 26. 1997,3, S. 154-156

- 9401 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Immigration and the Earnings of Young Native Workers. Jänner 1994, in: Oxford Economic Papers, 48. 1996, S. 473-491
- 9402 KUNST, Robert, HAUSER, Michael: Fractionally Integrated Models With ARCH Errors. Jänner 1994.

- 9403 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Internal Markets and Firm-Specific Determination of Earnings in the Presence of Immigrant Labor, in: *Economics Letters*, 48. 1995, S. 185-191
- 9404 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Energie und Umwelt. März 1994.
- 9405 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Testing for Ownership Advantages of Direct Investing Firms. März 1994.
- 9406 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Determinanten der Steuerhinterziehung und der Schwarzarbeit im internationalen Vergleich. März 1994.
- 9407 FALKINGER, Josef: Social Stability and the Equity-Efficiency Trade-off. April 1994.
- 9408 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Do Immigrants Displace Native Workers? Mai 1994, erscheint in: Journal of Population Economics, 1998.
- 9409 FALKINGER, Josef: How to overcome free-riding: Rewarding deviations from average. Mai 1994. Revidierte Fassung: Efficient Private Provision of Public Goods by Rewarding Deviations from Average, in: *Journal of Public Economics*, 62. 1996,3, S. 413-422
- 9410 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Wealth distribution, innovations, and economic growth. Mai 1994.
- 9411 GANTNER, Manfried, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Budgetausgliederungen - eine polit-ökonomische Analyse. Juni 1994.
- 9412 AIGINGER, Karl: The use of game theoretical models for empirical research - A survey of testing non-cooperative game theory with real world data in recent industrial organization literature. Juni 1994.
- 9413 FALKINGER, Josef: The private provision of public goods when the relative size of contribution matters. Juli 1994, in: *Finanzarchiv*, 51, 1994, S. 358 - 371.
- 9414 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Sex discrimination and competition in product and labour markets, in: *Applied Economics*, 27. 1995,9, S. 849-857
- 9415 FALKINGER, Josef, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The crosscountry Engel curve for product diversification, August 1994, in: *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 7. 1996,1, S. 79-97
- 9416 FALKINGER, Josef: Tax evasion, consumption of public goods and fairness, August 1994, in: *Journal of Economics Psychology*, 16, 1995, S. 63 - 72.
- 9417 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Einige Gedanken zur Harmonisierung indirekter Steuern in der Europäischen Union, September 1994.
- 9418 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Firm size, earnings and displacement risk, Oktober 1994, erscheint in: *Economic Inquiry*, 2000.
- 9419 WEISS, Christoph: Labour market adjustment in U.S. manufacturing: Does market structure matter? Oktober 1994.
- 9420 WEISS, Christoph: State dependence, symmetry and reversibility of off-farm employment, November 1994.
- 9421 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Is there a European public choice perspective?, Dezember 1994.

- 9501 BARTEL, Rainer: Reform des öffentlichen Sektors Grundlagen und Grundsätze, Jänner 1995.
- 9502 RIESE, Martin: The GINI-index as a measure of the goodness of prediction, Jänner 1995, in: Bulletin of Economic Research, 49. 1997,2, S. 127-135.
- 9503 AIGINGER, Karl, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf und ZWEI-MÜLLER, Josef: Eastern European Trade and the Austrian Labour Market, in: Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, 132. 1996,3, S. 476-500
- 9504 WEISS, Christoph: Size, Growth, and Survival of Upper Austrian Farms in the 1980s, Februar 1995. in: Sotte, F. and Zanoli, R.: "The Regional Dimension of Agricultural Economics and Politics", forthcoming (1995).
- 9505 BARTEL, Rainer: Umweltpolitik in den Reformländern Europas. Voraussetzungen und Erfordernisse, Februar 1995.
- 9506 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign Outward Direct Investment and Exports in Austrian Manufacturing: Substitutes or Complements?, March 1995.
- 9507 BURGER, Christina, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: How Valuable is the Health of the Elderly- Evaluation of the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease; April 1995.

- 9508 BRUNNER, Johann, RIESE, Martin: Measuring the Severity of Unemployment, April 1995.
- 9509 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Volkswirtschaftliche Aspekte der Mitarbeiterbeteiligung, Mai 1995.
- 9510 ÖTSCH, Walter: Erwartungen und Framing. Keynes und die "Anomalien" der Erwartungsnutzentheorie, Mai 1995.
- 9511 ÖTSCH, Walter: Die Herausforderung des Konstruktivismus für die ökonomische Theorie, Mai 1995, in: Birger P. Priddat und Gerhard Wegner, Hrsg., Zwischen Evolution und Institution, Metropolis-Verl., Marburg, 1996, S. 35 - 55
- 9512 ÖTSCH, Walter: Kreativität und Logik im ökonomischen Handlungsmodell, Mai 1995.
- 9513 WEISS, Christoph: Determinants of Farm Survival and Growth, Mai 1995.
- 9514 BARTEL, Rainer: Zum Verhältnis von Ökonomie und Politik des öffentlichen Sektors. Einige kurze Anmerkungen, Juni 1995.
- 9515 KUNST, Robert M.: The Myth of Misspecification. Some Metaphors, Juni 1995.
- 9516 VAN DER BURG, Brigitte, SIEGERS, Jacques, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Gender and Promotion in the Academic Labour Market. Juli 1995.
- 9517 FALKINGER, Josef, FEHR, Ernst, GÄCHTER, Simon, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: A simple mechanism for the efficient private provision of public goods - experimental evidence, August 1995, erscheint in: American Economic Review, 1999.
- 9518 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Some Elements of a European Federal Union: A Public Choice Approach, September 1995.
- 9519 BRUNNER, Johann, FALKINGER, Josef: Nonneutrality of taxes and subsidies for the private provision of public goods, September 1995.
- 9520 WEISS, Christoph: Product Market Power and Dynamic Labour Demand, September 1995.
- 9521 LANDESMANN, Michael, PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Technological Competition and Trade Performance, October, 1995.

- 9601 WEISS, Christoph: Exits From a Declining Sector: Econometric Evidence From a Panel of Upper-Austrian Farms 1980-90., Jänner 1996.
- 9602 BÖS, Dieter und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Private-public partnership: Gemeinschaftsunternehmen zwischen Privaten und der öffentlichen Hand, Februar 1996.
- 9603 GÄCHTER, Simon, FEHR, Ernst, KMENT, Christiane: Does Social Exchange Increase Voluntary Cooperation?, Februar 1996.
- 9604 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, BRUNNER, Johann: Heterogeneous consumers, vertical product differentiation and the rate of innovation, März 1996.
- 9605 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Contributions of Werner W. Pommerehne to Public Choice, März 1996.
- 9606 SEDJAV, Tsagaan-Uvgun: Wissenschaftlich-technologische Entwicklungsfragen der Mongolei, April 1996, Wissenschaftlicher Betreuer: o.Univ.-Prof. Dr. Helmut Schuster, B.Com.
- 9607 KEUSCHNIGG, Christian u. KOHLER Wilhelm: Innovation, Capital Accumulation and Economic Transition, revised version April 1996.
- 9608 AIGINGER, Karl: Beyond Trade Balances: the competitive race between the US, Japan and Europe, Juni 1996.
- 9609 POMMEREHNE, Werner W., HART, Albert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Tragic Choices and Collective Decision-Making: An Empirical Study of Voter Preferences for Alternative Collective Decision-Making Mechanisms, Juli 1996.
- 9610 BARTEL, Rainer, POINTNER, Johannes, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Österreich im internationalen Wirschaftssystem, Juli 1996, erschienen in: E.Nowotny und G. Winckler (Hg.), Grundzüge der Wirtschaftspolitik Österreichs, 2. Aufl., Manz-Verlag, Wien 1997, S. 49-98.
- 9611 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, VOLKERT, Jürgen: Die Realisierung ökologisch-orientierter Wirtschaftspolitik - eine Unmöglichkeit? Überlegungen aus Sicht der Neuen Politischen Ökonomie, Juli 1996.

- 9612 AIGINGER, Karl, WEISS, Christoph R.: Does it Pay to be Flexible? Empirical Evidence on the Relation- ship between Labour Demand Flexibility and Profit Margins, Juli 1996.
- 9613 WEISS, Christoph R.: Beneficial Concentration in a Menu Cost Model: A Note, August 1996.
- 9614 GUSENLEITNER, Markus, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The Distribution of Earnings in Austria, 1972-1991, Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv, 3/98.
- 9615 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf:: Benefit Duration and Unemployment Entry: Quasi-Experimental Evidence for Austria, Oktober 1996.
- 9616 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf:: Potential Unemployment Benefit Duration and Spell Length: Lessons from a Quasi-Experiment in Austria, in: Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 60. 1998,1, S. 33-45
- 9617 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, FREY, Bruno S.: Warum wird die Umweltökonomik kaum angewendet?, November 1996.
- 9618 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Aktuelle Ergebnisse über die Schattenwirtschaft (Pfusch) in Österreich, November 1996.
- 9619 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die langfristige Entwicklung der Transformationsländer Osteuropas: Welche Rolle spielt die Integration der Märkte?, Dezember 1996.
- 9620 BRUNNER, Johann K., PRINZ, Christopher, WIRTH, Friedrich: Die Zukunft der gesetzlichen Pensionsversicherung, Dezember 1996.
- 9621 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, GAWEL, Erik: Umsetzungsprobleme ökologisch orientierter Steuerpolitik: Eine politökonomische Analyse, Dezember 1996.

- 9701 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Hält der EURO, was er verspricht? Ökonomische Überlegungen zur Stabilität und zur Einführung des EURO, Jänner 1997.
- 9702 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Welche Chancen hat Österreich als Wirtschaftsstandort im EU- und Globalisierungskontext derzeit und in Zukunft?, Jänner 1997.
- 9703 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Ökonomische Analyse des umlagefinanzierten Pensionsversicherungssystems, Jänner 1997.
- 9704 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael, WEISS, Christoph R.: On Market Power and Investment Behaviour, January 1997.
- 9705 LANDESMANN, Michael A., STEHRER, Robert: Industrial Specialisation, Catching-up and Labour Market Dynamics, January 1997.
- 9706 BARTEL, Rainer: Taking even introductory textbooks seriously. A note on the importance of a usual neglect, February 1997.
- 9707 KUNST, Robert M.: Decision bounds for data-admissible seasonal models, March 1997.
- 9708 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Intrafirm Wage Dispersion and Firm Performance, *Kyklos*, 1999.
- 9709 PRITZL, F. J. Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Korruption, März 1997.
- 9710 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Empirical Results for the Size of the Shadow Economy of Western European Countries Over Time, März 1997.
- 9711 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und VOLKERT, Jürgen: No Chance for Incentive-orientated Environmental Policies in Representative Democracies? A Public Choice Approach, März 1997.
- 9712 FALKINGER, Josef: Wachstum, Verteilung und Beschäftigung, März 1997.
- 9713 PRITZL, F. J. Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zur Politischen Ökonomie autokratischer politischer Systeme -Ein theoretischer und empirischer Ansatz, April 1997.
- 9714 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Das Phänomen der strukturellen Arbeitslosigkeit und Maßnahmen zu seiner Bekämpfung, Mai 1997.
- 9715 BARTEL, Rainer: Paradigmatik versus Pragmatik in der (Umwelt-)Ökonomie. Eine epistemologische Sicht, Mai 1997.
- 9716 BERGER, Helge und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Does the Bundesbank Yield in Conflicts? Frey and Schneider Revisited, Juni 1997.
- 9717 RIESE, Martin und BRUNNER, Johann K.: Interpreting risk with demographic statistics, Juni 1997.
- 9718 KUNST, Robert M.: Asymptotics for Unit-Root Processes with Underspecified Deterministic Structures, Juni 1997.

- 9719 GAWEL, Erik und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Implementation Problems of Eco-Taxation: A Political-Economy Analysis, Juli 1997
- 9720 PRITZL, Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Political Economy of Autocratic Political Regimes: A Theoretical and Empirical Approach, Juli 1997
- 9721 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Unknown Wage Offer Distribution and Job Search Duration, *Economics Letters*, 1998.
- 9722 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Optimal Taxation of Income and Bequests, August 1997
- 9723 KEUSCHNIGG, Christian and KOHLER, Wilhelm: Eastern Enlargement of the EU: How Much is it Worth for Austria?, November 1997
- 9724 HOFER, Helmut, KEUSCHNIGG, Christian und Wilhelm KOHLER, A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Model for the Austrian Economy With Special Emphasis on the Eastern EU Enlargement, November 1997.

- 9801 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf und Klaus F. ZIMMERMANN: East-West Trade and Migration: The Austro-German Case, Jänner 1998, erscheint in: Jaime de Melo, Riccardo Faini und Klaus F. Zimmermann (eds.): Trade and Factor Mobility, Cambridge (CUP).
- 9802 ICHINO, Andrea und Rudolf WINTER-EBMER: The Long-Run Educational Cost of World War 2: An Application of Local Average Treatment Effect Estimation, Jänner 1998.
- 9803 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Deregulierung und Privatisierung als Allheilmittel gegen ineffiziente Produktion von öffentlichen Unternehmen? Ein Erklärungsversuch mit Hilfe der ökonomischen Theorie der Politik, Jänner 1998.
- 9804 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Märkte, Moral und Umwelt: Was sagt die Ökonomie dazu?, Jänner 1998.
- 9805 LENK, Thomas, FUGE, Heidi und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zurück zu mehr Föderalismus: Ein Vorschlag zur Neugestaltung des Finanzausgleichs in der BRD unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der ökonomischen Theorie der Politik, Jänner 1998.
- 9806 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Stellt das starke Anwachsen der Schwarzarbeit eine wirtschaftspolitische Herausforderung dar? Einige Gedanken aus volkswirtschaftlicher Sicht, Jänner 1998.
- 9807 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Einige grundlegende Elemente einer europäisch-föderalen Verfassung unter Zuhilfenahme der konstitutionellen ökonomischen Theorie, Jänner 1998.
- 9808 LANDESMANN, Michael: Vertical produkt differentiation and international trade: an econometric analysis, März 1998.
- 9808a BARTEL, Rainer: Öffentliche Finanzen, Finanzkontrolle und gesellschaftliche Wohlfarht. Volkwirtschaftliche Thesen, Antithesen und mögliche Synthesen, März 1998. Erschienen in überarbeiteter Version in: F. Klug (Hrsg.), Wesen und staatspolitische Funktion der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle, Schriftenreihe des Instituts für Kommunalwissenschaften an der Universität Linz, Bd. 107, S. 85-127.
- 9809 AIGINGER, Karl und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Product quality, cost asymmetry and the welfare loss of oligopoly, Februar 1998.
- 9810 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Ost-Erweiterung der EU: Eine österreichische Perspektive, April 1998.
- 9811 BERGER, Mathias und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft und Steuerhinterziehung: Ökonomische und psychologische Aspekte, April 1998.
- 9812 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und STIEGLER, Harald: Controlling als effizienzsteigerndes Instrument der Universitätsführung – Zauber- oder Leerformel?, April 1998.
- 9813 KUNST, Robert M.: Some aspects of modeling seasonality in economic time series, Juni 1998.
- 9814 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Fifty Years Later: A New Marshall Plan for Eastern Europe?, Juli 1998.
- 9815 RAPHAEL, Steven und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Identifying the Effect of Unemployment on Crime, September 1998.
- 9816 ICHINO, Andrea und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Lower and Upper Bounds of Returns to Schooling: An Exercise in IV Estimation with Different Instruments, September 1998, erscheint in: European Economic Review, 1999.

- 9817 PÖLL, Günther und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft, Juli 1998.
- 9818 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Kapitaldeckungsverfahren versus Umlageverfahren: Grundsätzliches zur Systemdiskussion, August 1998.
- 9819 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und ENSTE, Dominik: Increasing Shadow Economies all over the world - Fiction or Reality? A Survey of the Global Evidence of its Size and of its Impact from 1970 to 1995, November 1998.
- 9820 LENK, Thomas und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zurück zu mehr Föderalismus: Ein Vorschlag zur Neugestaltung des Finanzausgleichs in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der neuen Bundesländer, November 1998.
- 9821 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Bedeutung der EU-Osterweiterung für verschiedene Sektoren der österreichichen Wirtschaft, November 1998.
- 9822 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die pan-europäische Integration: Herausforderungen für die Wirtschaftswissenschaft, November 1998.
- 9823 ATKINSON, Anthony B.: The Changing Distribution of Income: Evidence and Explanations (1. K.W. Rothschild Vorlesung), November 1998.
- 9824 PECH, Susanne und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Strategic Environmental Taxation in the Presence of Involuntary Unemployment and Endogenous Location Choice, November 1998.
- 9825 BARTEL, Rainer: Reform und Öffnung Osteuropas, November 1998.
- 9826 ÖTSCH, Walter: Zur Geschichte und Zukunft von Grundkategorien des ökonomischen Denkens: Raum, Zeit, Objekt und Ich, November 1998.
- 9827 ÖTSCH, Walter: "Äußere" und "Innere" Glücksmodelle in der Theoriegeschichte der Ökonomie, November 1998, erscheint in: Zinn, Bellebaum und Schaaf: Ökonomie und Glück, Frühjahr 1999.
- 9828 ÖTSCH, Walter: Konstruktivismus und ökonomische Theorie, November 1999, erscheint in: Lehmann und Pillath: Handbuch der Evolutorischen Ökonomik, Springer Verlag, 1999.

- 9901 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Firm Size Wage Differentials in Switzerland: Evidence from Job Changers, Jänner 1999, erscheint in: American Economic Review, Papers & Proceedings, 1999.
- 9902 BRANDSTÄTTER, Eduard, KÜHBERGER, Anton und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: "Surprise in Decision making under Uncertainty, Jänner 1999.
- 9903 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WAGNER, Alexander: "The Role of International Monetary Institutions after the EMU and after the Asian Crises: Some Preliminary Ideas Using Constitutional Economics", Februar 1999
- 9904 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Transfers zwischen den Generationen, Februar 1999.
- 9905 LACKÓ, Mária: Hidden Economy An Unknown Quantity? Comparative Analysis of Hidden Economies in Transition Countries in 1989-1995, Februar 1999
- 9906 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Trade and Wages: What Can Factor Contents Tell Us? Februar 1999.
- 9907 LANDESMANN, Michael und STEHRER Robert: The European Unemployment Problem: A Structural Approach, März 1999.
- 9908 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Das Verhältnis von Innovation und Beschäftigung aus wirtschaftlicher Sicht – Jobkiller oder Jobwunder?, Mai 1999.
- 9909 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und LENK, Thomas: Zurück zum Trennsystem als Königsweg zu mehr Föderalismus in Zeiten des "Aufbau Ost", Juni 1999.
- 9910 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Die Entwicklung der Sozialpolitik in repräsentativen und in direkten Demokratien: Königsweg oder Sackgasse? Einige Bemerkungen aus der "Public Choice"-Perspektive, Juni 1999.
- 9911 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Ist Schwarzarbeit ein Volkssport geworden? Ein internationaler Vergleich des Ausmaßes der Schwarzarbeit von 1970 bis 1997, Juni 1999.

- 9912 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel, und KOHLER, Wilhelm: Zur ökonomischen Logik spekulativer Attacken, Juli 1999.
- 9913 FERSTERER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Returns to Education - Evidence for Austria, August 1999.
- 9914 BARTEL, Rainer: Social economic issues in sexual orientation – Where do we stand?, September 1999.
- 9915 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und ENSTE, Dominik: Shadow Economies: Sizes, Causes, and Consequences, September 1999.
- 9916 BARTEL, Rainer: Ökonomische Rationalität im System der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle. Die Funktionalität des neuen Oö. Landesrechnungshofs. September 1999.
- 9917 FERSTERER, Josef und Rudolf WINTER-EBMER: Are Austrian Returns to Education Falling Over Time?, Oktober 1999.
- 9918 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WINNER, Hannes: Ein Vorschlag zur Reform der österreichischen Unternehmensbesteuerung, November 1999.
- 9919 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Induzieren ökologische Steuerreformen einen Lenkungseffekt oder nur volle Staatskassen? Einige volkswirtschaftliche Überlegungen, November 1999.
- 9920 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Wer gewinnt, wer verliert durch die Osterweiterung der EU?, November 1999.
- 9921 DRÈZE, Jacques: On the Macroeconomics of Uncertainty and Incomplete Markets, November 1999.
- 9922 STIGLBAUER, Alfred M. und WEISS, Christoph R.: Family and Non-Family Succession in the Upper-Austrian Farm Sector, Dezember 1999.
- 9923 HOLZLEITNER, Christian: Linear Profit-Sharing in Regulatory Contracts, Dezember 1999.
- 9924 ÖTSCH, Walter: Objekt, Subjekt und Wert. Zur Kulturgeschichte in Georg Simmels "Philosophie des Geldes", Dezember 1999.

- 0001 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Osterweiterung der EU aus der Sicht bestehender Mitgliedsländer: Was lehrt uns die Theorie der ökonomischen Integration?, Jänner 2000.
- 0002 FERSTERER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Smoking, Discount Rates, and Returns to Education, Jänner 2000.
- 0003 BARTEL, Rainer: Quo vadimus. Grundgedanken zum Verhältnis von Wirtschaft, Staat und Gesellschaft, Februar 2000.
- 0004 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und FREY, Bruno S.: Informal and Underground Economy, Februar 2000.
- 0005 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und FELD, Lars P.: State and Local Taxation, Februar 2000.
- 0006 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Firm-specific Training - Consequences for Job Mobility, März 2000.
- 0007 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft Tatbestand, Ursachen, Auswirkungen, April 2000
- 0008 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Increase of the Size of the Shadow Economy of 18 OECD Countries: Some Preliminary Explanations, April 2000.
- 0009 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und AHLHEIM, Michael: Allowing for Household Preferences in Emission Trading – A Contribution to the Climate Policy Debate, Mai 2000
- 0010 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Illegal Activities, but still value added ones (?): Size, Causes, and Measurement of the Shadow Economies all over the World, Mai 2000.
- 0011 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris: Is it Sex or Personality? The Impact of Sex-Stereotypes on Discrimination in Applicant Selection, Mai 2000.