

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Schneider, Friedrich; Torgler, Benno

#### **Working Paper**

Shadow economy, tax morale, governance and institutional quality: A panel analysis

Working Paper, No. 0701

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Department of Economics

*Suggested Citation:* Schneider, Friedrich; Torgler, Benno (2007): Shadow economy, tax morale, governance and institutional quality: A panel analysis, Working Paper, No. 0701, Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Department of Economics, Linz

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/73248

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





## DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS JOHANNES KEPLER UNIVERSITY OF LINZ

### Shadow Economy, Tax Morale, Governance and Institutional Quality:

**A Panel Analysis** 

by

SCHNEIDER, Friedrich\*) and TORGLER, Benno

Working Paper No. 0701 January 2007

> Johannes Kepler University of Linz Department of Economics Altenberger Strasse 69 A-4040 Linz - Auhof, Austria www.econ.jku.at

# SHADOW ECONOMY, TAX MORALE, GOVERNANCE AND INSTITUTIONAL QUALITY: A PANEL ANALYSIS

by

Benno Torgler\* and Friedrich Schneider\*\*

Abstract:

This paper analyses how governance or institutional quality and tax morale affect the shadow economy, using an international country panel and also within country data. The literature strongly emphasizes the quantitative importance of these factors to understand the level and changes of shadow economy. However, the limited number of investigations use cross-sectional country data with a relatively small number of observations, and hardly any paper has investigated tax morale and provides evidence using within country data. Using more than 25 proxies that measure governance and institutional quality we find strong support that its increase leads to a smaller shadow economy. Moreover, an increase in tax morale reduces the size of the shadow economy.

Key Words: Shadow economy, tax morale, governance quality, government intervention,

corruption.

JEL-Code: D73, D78, H2, H26, O17, O5

\* University of California, School of Law, Room 209 Boalt Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-7200. E-mail:

bennotorgler@berkeley.edu.

\*\* Department of Economics, Johannes Kepler University of Linz, A-4040 Linz-Auhof, Austria. E-mail: friedrich.schneider@jku.at, http://www.econ.jku.at/Schneider.

Both are research fellows of CREMA – Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts, Switzerland. We would like to thank Howard Abrams, Doris Aebi, Robert Cooter, Jesse Fried, John McNulty, Eric Talley, Luciano Benetti Timm and participants of the Law and Economics Workshop at UC Berkeley and the 2006 National Tax Association Conference in Boston for helpful comments and suggestions. Financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

15.01.2007 page 1 out of 54

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The interest in determining the causes of the shadow economy and other illegal activities has strongly increased in more recent years. However, investigating the causes is still an undeveloped area of research. The transformation of the socialist economies was one of the main reasons for the interest of governance quality as institutional weaknesses and corruption surfaced as major obstacles to market reforms (Abed and Gupta, 2002). However, the informal sector plays an important role not only in transition countries, but also in developing countries. Employment in the informal sector seems to be a relevant income source for many people. An increased interest and new datasets contributed to a rapidly growing empirical literature on illegal activities such as shadow economy or corruption (see Schneider and Enste, 2000, 2002; Treisman, 2000, and Lambsdorff, 1999 for reviews). Moreover, the relevance of investigating not only institutional or governance quality, but also social norms or tax morale - the intrinsic motivation to pay taxes - has emerged, as empirical and experimental findings indicate that deterrence models predict far too little compliance and far too much tax evasion (for an overview, see Alm, 1999; Torgler, 2002). Such findings cannot be explained by the degree of risk aversion as some studies report a large gap between the effectively reported degree of risk aversion and the amount required to guarantee compliance (Graetz and Wilde, 1985, Alm, McClelland, and Schulze, 1992, Frey and Feld, 2002).

Our paper investigates to which extent governance and institutional quality and tax morale affect the shadow economy. To check the robustness, we will use three different data sources covering more than 25 variables that measure governance and institutional quality. Although there are more and more studies that investigate the causes of shadow economic activities, there is a tendency to control illegal activities through measures such as punishment, prosecution, economic growth or education (Schneider and Enste, 2002). However, there are further instruments that merit more attention. It is highly relevant to

15.01.2007 page 2 out of 54

investigate not only the importance of objective variables such as tax burden, the sectoral composition, the richness of a country or the labor market conditions, but also institutional and governance quality and subjective perceptions, expectations, attitudes and motivations such as tax morale which we define as societal institutions. Recently developed data sources provide the basis to investigate the importance of more sophisticated theories at the micro and the macro level. Hence, our basic working hypothesis suggests that if citizens perceive that their interests (preferences) are properly represented in political institutions and consider government to be rather helpful than wasteful, their willingness to opt for staying in the official sector and comply with their obligations will increase. Moreover, in such a situation the violation of social norms when being active in the informal sector is connected with higher moral costs. In order to explain international and within country differences and changes over time in the size of shadow economies it is useful to investigate to which extent social norms and the quality of the governance matter.

An important contribution of this paper is thus to extend the previous models by establishing the extent to which societal institutions matter. In addition, in contrast to the limited number of previous studies using cross-sectional data, we provide a panel analysis, encompassing a period of 10 years, which allows to exploit the time variation in governance quality and to increase the number of observations. Finally, the literature often uses cross-country data. However, drawing conclusions from cross-cultural comparisons is difficult because institutional and cultural frameworks that typify specific countries might influence the size of the shadow economy: such features cannot always be controlled in a satisfactory manner. Our study, on the other hand, focuses also on *within* country data at the state (cantonal) level in Switzerland and thus allows to better isolate the impact of societal institutions.

In section 2 we present our theoretical approach and develop our hypotheses. Section 3 describes the data set and section 4 contains the empirical results using the international panel page 3 out of 54

and section 5 the within country panel data. Finally, section 6 concludes with a summary and discussion of the main results.

#### 2. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### 2.1 Governance and Institutional Quality

Not only the economic, but also the political system affects formal and informal economic activities. The outcome in many countries may be explainable by underlying political conditions. Bird et al. (2006) stress that "Countries may tend to achieve an equilibrium position with respect to the size and nature of their fiscal systems that largely reflects the balance of political forces and institutions, and stay at this position until 'shocked' to a new equilibrium" (p. 289). It is worthwhile to investigate whether the recent political economy literature on the importance of governance and institutions allow to understand the level and the changes of the shadow economy. If citizens perceive that their interests (preferences) are properly represented in political institutions and they receive an adequate supply of public goods, their identification with the state increases, their willingness to contribute increases. On the other hand, in an inefficient state where corruption is rampant the citizens will have little trust in authority and thus a low incentive to cooperate. A more encompassing and legitimate state increases citizens' willingness to contribute. If the government and the administration have a great discretionary power over the allocation of resources corruption is enhanced. A sustainable tax system is based on a fair tax system and responsive government, achieved with a strong connection between tax payments and the supply of public goods (Bird et al. (2006). Friedman et al. (2000) show empirically that countries with more corruption have a higher share of unofficial economy. Dreher and Schneider (2006) have also

15.01.2007 page 4 out of 54

investigated the correlation between shadow economy and corruption. They observe the tendency that shadow economy and corruption are substitutes in high-income countries, but complements in low-income countries. Agents as the political elite, administration staff, and legislators have a discretionary power if institutions are neither credible nor working well. This has the negative consequence that citizens lose their trust in the authority. In countries where corruption is systemic and the government budget lacks transparency and accountability the obligation of paying taxes cannot be assumed to be an accepted social norm. Institutional instability, lack of transparency and rule of law undermine the willingness of frustrated citizens to be active in the formal economy. Furthermore, there might be a crowding-out effect of morality among the tax administrators when there are a great number of corrupt colleagues. Moreover, regulatory restraints and bureaucratic procedures not only limit competition and the operation of markets, but also provide a better fundament for corrupt activities. If individuals and businesses believe that neither contracts will be enforced nor productive efforts protected, their incentive to be active in the shadow economy increases. Citizens will feel cheated if they believe that corruption is widespread, their tax burden is not spent well, their government lacks accountability, and that they are not protected by the rules of law. This increases the incentive to enter the informal sector.

Thus our first core hypothesis reads:

Core hypothesis 1: An increase in governance and institutional quality reduces ceteris paribus the size of shadow economies.

In the within country investigation we are going to focus on the impact of direct democracy on the size of the shadow economy. If the government is not benevolent, direct voter participation has the potential to control politicians' discretionary power. Not only can voter control help limit the abuse of political power by selfish politicians, when citizens cannot completely foresee incumbents' preferences elements of direct democracy also empower them 15.01.2007

with an instrument for controlling the government. Such control has an ex ante effect on policy formulation by elected incumbents in that they must always take into account possible voter intervention. Levi (1988) points out that a possibility to create or maintain compliance is to provide reassurance by the government. A government that precommits itself with direct democratic rules imposes itself restraints on its own power and thus sends a signal that taxpayers are seen as responsible persons. Furthermore, direct democratic rules signalize that citizens are not ignorant or uncomprehending voters, which might create or maintain a certain social capital stock. The government signalizes thus that taxpayers' preferences are taken into account in the political process. Voting possibilities also provide utility in themselves. Citizens value the right to participate, because it produces a kind of procedural utility as the opportunity set increases. It leads to a more favorable outcome compared to the situation where no such voting possibility exists. If taxpayers can vote on the way taxes will be spent, they may feel less inclined to be active in the shadow economy. The more taxpayers are able to participate in the political decision making process by popular rights, the more this contract is based on trust, and this trust in turn will foster the moral costs of behaving illegally. If participation possibilities are lacking, citizens might feel less satisfied with the system and powerless, and thus might be less inclined to comply (Alm, Jackson and McKee, 1993). Rules attained through an active involvement of people enhance rule obedience and the willingness to cooperate and to act in line with the decided rules. The more people are involved in establishing rules, the stronger is their sense of obligation (Kidder and McEwen, 1989; Cialdini, 1989; McEwen and Maiman, 1986; Lempert, 1972). Tyler's research (1990a, 1990b, 1997) also provides support for the importance of legitimacy and allegiance to authority in compliance decisions. The way people are treated by the authorities affects their evaluation of these authorities and their willingness to co-operate (see, e.g., Tyler, Casper and Fisher, 1989). Tyler (1997) argues that understanding what people want in a legal procedure helps to

15.01.2007 page 6 out of 54

explain public dissatisfaction with the law and points towards directions for building public support for the law in the future.

Using Swiss data, Pommerehne and Weck-Hannemann (1996) found that in cantons with a high degree of direct political control tax evasion is – ceteris paribus – about SFr 1500 lower than the average in the cantons without such direct influence. Feld and Frey (2002) analyzed how tax authorities treat taxpayers in Switzerland and found that tax authorities of cantons with more direct participation rights, compared to cantons with less direct democracy, treat taxpayers more respectfully and are less suspicious if taxpayers report too low incomes. On the other hand, not submitted tax declarations are more heavily fined. The experimental evidence of Alm, McClelland and Schulze (1999), Feld and Tyran (2002) and Torgler and Schaltegger (2005) shows that voting on tax issues has a positive effect on tax compliance, and according to Torgler (2005a) on tax morale as well. The more taxpayers can participate in political decision making by popular rights, the more the tax contract is based on trust and the higher is tax morale. Taxpayers are treated as "citizens" with extensive rights and obligations (Frey, 2003). They are in the position to better monitor and control politicians via referenda. Furthermore, they can set rules via initiative and are thus able to renegotiate the tax contract with the government influencing, e.g., the tax laws and the tax rates, which enhances civic virtue. Thus, the possibility for citizens to vote on fiscal issues negatively influences the size of the shadow economy. Being involved in the political decision process enhances citizens' sense of civic duty (Feld and Frey, 2002). The instrument of direct democracy helps spend taxes according to their preferences, the motivation to contribute to the society increases. Thus, the following hypothesis can be developed:

Core hypothesis 2: The more extensive the citizens' direct political participation possibilities, the lower ceteris paribus the size of the shadow economy.

#### 2.2 Tax Morale

15.01.2007 page 7 out of 54

The tax compliance literature has shown the relevance of going beyond a neoclassical approach when trying to understand why citizens pay taxes. Allingham and Sandmo's (1972) groundbreaking model which assumes that the extent of tax evasion is negatively correlated with the probability of detection and the degree of punishment has been widely criticized (e.g., Graetz and Wilde, 1985; Alm, McClelland, and Schulze, 1992; Frey and Feld, 2002). As mentioned, in many countries, the level of deterrence is too low to explain the high degree of tax compliance. To resolve this puzzle of tax compliance, many researchers have argued that tax morale can help explain the high degree of tax compliance (for an overview see Torgler, 2007). Tax morale, unlike tax evasion, measures not individual behavior but individual attitude. Tax morale—which is not a new notion but has received surprisingly little attention in the tax compliance literature—can be defined as a moral obligation to pay taxes, a belief in contributing to society by paying taxes. Tax morale is also closely linked to what have been termed as taxpayer ethics, "the norms of behaviour governing citizens as taxpayers in their relationship with the government" (Song and Yarbrough, 1978, p. 443). Values and attitudes can affect individual behavior (Ajzen and Fishbein 1980 and Lewis 1982). Spicer and Lundstedt (1974) argued that the choice between tax compliance and evasion does not result only from sanctions but also from a set of attitudes and norms. Lewis (1982) points out that

"it could be that tax evasion is the only channel through which taxpayers can express their antipathy ... we can be confident in our general prediction that if tax attitudes become worse, tax evasion will increase" (p. 165, 177).

-

15.01.2007 page 8 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Preliminary tax morale research in the 1960s (Schmölders, 1970; Strümpel, 1969) tried to bridge economics and social psychology by emphasizing that economic phenomena should be analyzed from a perspective larger than the traditional neoclassical point of view (e.g., Lewis, 1979, 1982).

A reduction of tax morale reduces the moral costs of behaving illegally and increases the incentives to work in the underground economy. It is a relevant issue to investigate whether differences in tax morale across countries are reflected in any differences in real, or observed, behaviors in these countries. Thus, we expect that tax morale has such real effects on the size of the shadow economy. Moreover, Alm, Martinez-Vazquez, and Schneider (2004) argue that the size of the underground economy can serve as a useful, if somewhat imperfect, measure of the extent of tax evasion, so that a negative correlation between the size of the shadow economy and tax morale indicates the extent to which individuals' revealed actions are related to their attitudes about paying taxes.

Thus, we put forward our third core hypothesis:

Core hypothesis 3: A higher degree of tax morale, defined as the intrinsic motivation to pay taxes, reduces the size of the shadow economy in a country, ceteris paribus.

A number of previous studies have investigated the simple correlation between tax morale and the size of shadow economy. Alm and Torgler (2006) focus on Europe and the United States. They find a strong negative correlation (Pearson r=-0.460) significant at the 0.05 level. Analyzing the linear relationship in a simple regression indicates that the variable tax morale can explain more than 20 percent of the total variance of the size of shadow economy. Thus, the degree of tax morale has consequences for real behavior, and might be responsible for the size of shadow economy: if tax morale is declining, then the shadow economy is likely to increase. A similar approach has been used by Alm, Martinez-Vazquez and Torgler (2006) focusing on transition countries. The results indicate a strong negative correlation between both variables (-0.657), significant at the 0.01 level when working with the World Values Survey data 1999-2000. After including the WVS 1995-1997 and therefore increasing the

number of observations, the correlation still remains strong and negative (Pearson r = -0.551), significant at the 0.01 level. Thus, here too countries with low tax morale show a clear tendency to have a large shadow economy. A simple linear regression suggests that a decrease of tax morale by 1 unit would lead to an increase of the shadow economy of roughly 20 percentage points, and the variable tax morale can explain more than 30 percent of the total variance of the size of shadow economy Torgler (2005b) investigates the correlation between the size of shadow economy and tax morale in Latin America using the Latinobarómetro, an annual public opinion survey carried out in 17 Latin American countries (data from 1998), as a data set to measure tax morale. It reports the opinions, attitudes, and behaviors of the around 400 million inhabitants of the region, covering most of Latin America with the exception of Cuba, the Dominican Republic, and Puerto Rico. A strong negative correlation between both variables (-0.511), significant at the 0.05 level (sign. 2-tailed: 0.043) has been found. However, these studies give information about the raw and not the partial effects. The observed correlation might be explained in terms of factors that affect the size of shadow economy. It is important to investigate the causes as a whole with their interdependencies. An investigation that focuses on a simple correlation has a somewhat limited validity. Thus, multiple regressions help us to disentangle the effects of other factors from a possible tax morale effect.

#### 3. DATA

#### 3.1 Shadow Economy

The shadow economy includes all market-based legal production of goods and services that are deliberately concealed from public authorities for the following reasons (Schneider 2005a):

15.01.2007 page 10 out of 54

- (1) to avoid payment of income, value added or other taxes,
- (2) to avoid payment of social security contributions,
- (3) to avoid having to meet certain legal labor market standards, such as minimum wages, maximum working hours, safety standards, etc., and
- (4) to avoid complying with certain administrative procedures, such as completing statistical questionnaires or other administrative forms.

Hence, in this paper, we will not deal with typical underground economic activities, which are all illegal actions with the characteristics of classical crimes like burglary, robbery, drug dealing, etc. We also do not include the informal household economy which consists of all household services and production. To measure the shadow economy as a percentage of the official GDP we will use the DYMIMIC-method to estimate the parameters for determining the size of the shadow economy and with the help of the Currency Demand Method to calibrate the estimated coefficients of the DYMIMIC procedure into absolute ones. We build a panel with values for the years 1990, 1995, and 2000. The fundament of the database has been elaborated in previous studies and is therefore not further discussed in this paper (see Schneider, 2005a, 2005b).

#### 3.2 Governance and Institutional Quality

Several data sources are used to investigate the relationship between governance or institutional quality and the shadow economy.

#### 1) International Country Risk Guide (ICRG) (see also Knack 1999)

The ICRG has a special emphasis on aspects affecting private foreign investment decisions. The rating comprises 22 variables in three subcategories of risk: political, financial, and economic. We will mainly focus on the political risk component. However, in several cases we are also going to include the COMPOSITE RISK RATING. The POLITICAL RISK 15.01.2007

RATING is provided to assess the political stability on a comparable basis using 12 different measurements that cover both political and social attributes. We will investigate the POLITICAL RISK RATING, but also 8 key sub-components that measure governance and institutional quality, namely<sup>2</sup>: BUREAUCRATIC QUALITY<sup>3</sup>, CORRUPTION<sup>4</sup>, DEMOCRATIC ACCOUNTABILITY<sup>5</sup>, GOVERNMENT STABILITY<sup>6</sup>, LAW & ORDER<sup>7</sup>, INTERNAL CONFLICT<sup>8</sup> and MILITARY IN POLITICS<sup>9</sup>. A higher number of points indicates a lower potential risk and therefore higher scores are in line with a higher institutional and governance quality.

\_

15.01.2007 page 12 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See http://www.icrgonline.com/page.aspx?page=icrgmethods#Background of the ICRG Rating System.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Institutional strength and quality of the bureaucracy: "High points are given to countries where the bureaucracy has the strength and expertise to govern without drastic changes in policy or interruptions in government services. In these low-risk countries, the bureaucracy tends to be somewhat autonomous from political pressure and to have an established mechanism for recruitment and training. Countries that lack the cushioning effect of a strong bureaucracy receive low points".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Assessment of corruption within the political system. Lower scores indicate "high government officials are likely to demand special payments" and that "illegal payments are generally expected throughout lower levels of government" in the form of "bribes connected with import and export licenses, exchange controls, tax assessment, police protection, or loans."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Measures how responsive the government is with its people.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Assessment of the government's ability to carry out its declared program(s), and its ability to stay in office. (subcomponents: government unity, legislative strength and popular support).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The 'law' sub-component measures the strength and impartiality of the legal system, while the 'order' sub-component is an assessment of popular observance of the law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Assessment of the political violence in a country and its actual or potential impact on governance (sub-groups: civil war/coup threat, terrorism/political violence, civil disorder).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> This variable measures military's involvement in politics. ICRG stresses that "its involvement in politics, even at a peripheral level, is a diminution of democratic accountability".

#### 2) Aggregate Governance Indicators

We use the Quality of Governance Index as a key proxy for governance and institutional quality (see Kaufmann, Kraay, and Mastruzzi, 2003). The disadvantage is that no data is available for the year 1990. Thus, for these variables only two time periods are available. The variables are based on several hundred variables measuring perceptions of governance and derived from 25 different data sources. Kaufmann et al. (2003) classify the six governance indicators into three groups as follows:

- 1) Process by which governments are selected, monitored and replaced
  - VOICE AND ACCOUNTABILITY: measures the political process, civil liberties, and political rights, and
  - POLITICAL STABILITY AND ABSENCE OF VIOLENCE: measures
     perceptions of the likelihood that the government will be
     destabilized/overthrown).
- 2) Capacity of the government to effectively formulate and implement sound policies
  - GOVERNMENT EFFECTIVENESS (inputs required for the government to be able to produce and implement good policies and deliver public goods), and
  - REGULATORY QUALITY (focuses more on policies, such as incidence of market/unfriendly policies, perceptions of the burdens imposed by excessive regulation).
- 3) Respect of citizens and the state for the institutions that govern economic and social interactions
  - RULE OF LAW (several indicators measuring the degree of agents' confidence in and compliance with the rules of society). According to Kaufmann et al. (2003, p.4) these indicators "measure the success of a

15.01.2007 page 13 out of 54

society in developing an environment in which fair and predictable rules form the basis of economic and social interactions", and

- CONTROL OF CORRUPTION: measures the perceived corruption (exercise of public power for private gain).

All scores estimated by Kaufmann et al. (2003) lie between –2.5 and 2.5, with higher scores corresponding to better institutions (governance outcomes). We check the robustness of the statistical results using all single sub-indexes independently.

The variables of the data sets ICRG and *Aggregate Governance Indicators* are highly correlated. For example, the correlation between the POLITICAL RISK RATING and the average of all six variables in the *Aggregate Governance* Indicators is 0.88. We will use these two sets of variables in alternative estimations to check the robustness of our first two core hypotheses.

#### 3) Economic Freedom of the World (EFW)

The objective of the index is to measure the economic freedom in an accurate and comprehensive manner (see Gwarney et al., 2006). The data is derived from third-party international sources such as the IMF, World Bank, World Economic Forum etc. The index covers a large number of countries over a certain period of time. Some data is available for all three time periods others for two or only one period. We investigate many variables that measure the legal structure, the security of property rights and the regulation of businesses (LEGAL SYSTEM<sup>10</sup>, LAW AND ORDER, JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE<sup>11</sup>, IMPARTIAL

15.01.2007 page 14 out of 54

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Integrity of the legal system and property rights (index covering JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE, IMPARTIAL COURTS and PROPERTY RIGHTS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The judiciary is independent and not subject to interference by the government or parties in disputes.

COURTS<sup>12</sup>, PROPERTY RIGHTS<sup>13</sup>, MILITARY INTERFERENCE<sup>14</sup>, ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS<sup>15</sup>). The variables in the first group measure the integrity of the legal system, the protection of intellectual property, judicial independence, impartial courts, and military interference in rule of law and the political process. The second one measures regulations that restrict businesses' entry into the market. Stricter regulations increase the incentive to be active in the shadow economy. The variables used are designed to identify the extent to which regulatory restraints and bureaucratic procedures limit competition and the operation of markets (BUREAUCRACY (TIME)<sup>16</sup>, STARTING BUSINESS<sup>17</sup>, IRREGULAR PAYMENTS<sup>18</sup>, BUSINESS REGULATIONS<sup>19</sup>). Higher values are in line with a higher level of freedom.

#### 3.3 Tax Morale

We define tax morale as the intrinsic motivation to pay taxes. It measures an individual's willingness to pay taxes, in other words, the moral obligation to pay taxes or the belief that

15.01.2007 page 15 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> A trusted legal framework exists for private businesses to challenge the legality of government actions or regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Protection of intellectual property.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Military interference in rule of law and political process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Administrative procedures are an important obstacle to starting a new business.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Time invested in government bureaucracy – senior management spends a substantial amount of time dealing with government bureaucracy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Starting a new business – starting a new business is generally easy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Irregular, additional payments connected with import and export permits, business licenses, exchange controls, tax assessments, police protection, or loan applications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Composite index measuring including all four indexes including also PRICE controls (extent to which businesses are free to set their own prices).

paying taxes contributes to society. Data for the tax morale variable are extracted from the World Values Survey (WVS) 1990-1993, 1995-1997 and 1999-2001 (see Inglehart et al., 2000). The surveys investigate socio-cultural and political change and collect comparative data on values and belief systems. They are based on representative national samples of at least 1000 individuals. The World Values Survey (WVS) is worldwide and covers quite a huge number of countries. The general question to assess the level of tax morale is:

#### (i) World Values Survey/European Values Survey:

"Please tell me for each of the following statements whether you think it can always be justified, never be justified, or something in between: (...) Cheating on tax if you have the chance (% "never justified" – code 1 from a ten-point scale where 1=never and 10=always)."

The tax morale variable is developed by recoding the ten-point scale into a four-point scale (0 to 3), with the value 3 standing for "never justifiable". The value of 0 is an aggregation of the last 7 scale points, which were rarely chosen.

Of course, the measurement of tax morale is not free of bias. First, because the available data are based on self-reports in which subjects tend to overstate their degree of compliance (Andreoni, Erard, and Feinstein 1998), and no objective or observable measure of tax morale is available. Nonetheless, because the way we define tax morale is less sensitive than asking whether a person has evaded taxes, we expect the degree of honesty to be higher. Moreover, the dataset is based on broad surveys; respondents are therefore less liable to react with suspicion and/or to be influenced by other questions touching the tax context. It can still be argued, however, that a taxpayer who has evaded in the past will tend to excuse this kind of behavior and report a higher tax morale in the survey. In general, the use of such a single question has the advantage of reducing problems of index construction complexity, especially as regards the measurement procedure or low correlation between items. It can also be argued 15.01.2007

though that tax morale is a multidimensional concept requiring a multi-item measurement tool and that the reduced likelihood of a multi-item index to be adversely affected by random errors will produce more reliable measures. However, several previous studies have found consistent results using single-item survey measurements and laboratory experiments (e.g., Cummings et al., 2005; Alm and Torgler, 2006). Despite these possible objections our approach to measuring tax morale is consistent with the previous studies in this area (for an overview see Torgler, 2007).

#### 4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

#### 4.1 Specification of the Test Equation

To test whether improvements in governance/institutional quality and tax morale foster a lower level of shadow economy, we propose the following baseline equation:

$$SHADOW_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 \ CTRL_{it} + \beta_2 \ GOVINST_{it} + \beta_3 \ TAXMORALE_{it} + \ TD_t + REGION_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$
 (1)

where i indexes the countries in the sample,  $SHADOW_{it}$  denotes countries' size of the shadow economy as a percentage of the official GDP over the periods 1990, 1995 and 2000.  $GOVINST_{it}$  are our indicators for governance and institutional quality as described in the previous section and  $TAXM_{it}$  the level of tax morale. The regressions also contain several control variables,  $CTRL_i$ , including factors such as GDP per capita, the share of agriculture in GDP, the share of urban population, the size of the population, the labor force, the marginal tax rate, price controls and labor market regulations. To control for time as well as regional

15.01.2007 page 17 out of 54

invariant factors, we include fixed time,  $TD_t$ , and fixed regional effects,  $REGION_i^{20}$ .  $\varepsilon_{it}$  denotes the error term<sup>21</sup>.

In order to fulfill the ceteris paribus conditions, we have to control for a number of other important factors, what will be discussed in turn:

#### (i) Richness of a Country

Per capita GDP is a proxy for the level of development of a country. A higher level of development goes together with a greater capacity to pay and collect taxes, as well as a higher relative demand for income elastic public goods and services (Chelliah, 1971; Bahl, 1971). In general, we would expect a negative relation between the level of per capita income and the level of the shadow economy. Our fourth hypothesis is:

(4) The higher the per capita income of a country is, the lower is the shadow economy, ceteris paribus.

#### (ii) Fiscal Burden

The fiscal burden is also expected to influence the shadow economy positively. It can be argued that a higher burden increases the attractiveness of behaving illegally. As a proxy we use the top marginal tax rate (and income threshold at which it applies) provided by the Economic Freedom of the World data base. We expect a positive correlation between the fiscal burden and the size of shadow economy. However, using the marginal tax rates has some limitations. It can be argued that it is not so much the statutory tax rates that are relevant in the decision to behave illegally, but rather their application, offering tax exceptions or concessions that affect individual decisions (Friedman et al., 2000). The authors couldn't find evidence that higher direct or indirect tax rates are associated with a larger unofficial

15.01.2007 page 18 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> We differentiate between developed, Asian, and developing or transition countries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> For summary statistics and an overview of the countries see Appendix *Table A1* and *Table A3*.

economy. On the contrary, they find some evidence that higher direct tax rates are associated with a smaller shadow economy. Such results are also supported by Dreher and Schneider (2006). In spite of the so far mixed empirical evidence we still formulate the following hypothesis:

(5) The higher the fiscal burden, the higher the shadow economy, ceteris paribus.

#### (iii) Demographic and labor characteristics

Demographic and labor characteristics such as population size or the labor force may also affect the shadow economy. As Bahl (2003, p. 13) points out, in countries with faster growing populations tax systems may lag behind in the ability to capture new taxpayers. This may increase the incentive to be active in the underground economy. Moreover the higher density of population in urban areas may further anonymity and thus reduce loyalty towards the state; this may lead to a higher level of shadow economy. As many sectors are city-based, it is expected that there the incentives to act in the underground economy are higher, especially when government activities and services are below individuals' expectations and preferences. Thus, we formulate the following hypothesis:

(6) The higher the urbanization and the population size, the higher ceteris paribus the shadow economy.

The labor force variable measures the potential pool that has the best preconditions to work in the shadow economy. On the other hand, individuals with an occupation have less leisure time at their disposal. Thus, time acts as a restriction to being active in the shadow economy. Unemployed people have an incentive not to report their additional work hours as otherwise they would lose their financial support. If the wage of illicit work and the financial aid together yield more income than regular and overtime work, taking also into account the costs of detection and punishment and assuming risk neutrality, full-time illicit work as an 15.01.2007

unemployed person yields ceteris paribus a higher utility. In such a situation, the danger that a person remains in the shadow economy and turns down job offers increases (Schneider and Enste, 2002)<sup>22</sup>. In sum, we predict the following hypothesis:

(7) The higher the labor force, the lower ceteris paribus the shadow economy.

#### (iv) Sectoral Composition of a Country

The sectoral composition of the domestic product may also affect the size of shadow economy. A traditional measure signaling the difficulty to tax domestic output is the share of agriculture in GDP. Moreover, the tax compliance literature shows the tendency that self-employed people such as farmers are more inclined to evade taxes than other professions (see, e.g., Torgler 2007). We formulate the following hypothesis:

(8) The higher the agricultural sector is, the higher is the shadow economy, ceteris paribus.

#### (v) Openness

We also measure openness focusing on trade. Trade is transparent and easier to tax and therefore more difficult to hide in the underground economy. Thus, a higher trade volume in relation to countries' GDP may lead ceteris paribus to a lower shadow economy. Thus, the next hypothesis reads:

(9) The higher the trade is, the higher is ceteris paribus the shadow economy.

#### (vi) Regulations

15.01.2007 page 20 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> We have investigated the impact of unemployment without reporting the results in the empirical part. The variable has a relatively high amount of missing values. We were not able to find a statistically significant correlation between unemployment and the size of the shadow economy.

Finally, regulations can also affect the shadow economy, especially labor regulations. Stronger restrictions are a strong incentive to choose the exit option, as they reduce the freedom of action (Schneider and Enste, 2002). We are going to investigate labor regulations (impact of minimum wage, hiring and firing practices<sup>23</sup>, share of labor forces whose wages are set by centralized collective bargaining, unemployment benefits<sup>24</sup>, use of conscripts to obtain military personnel). Moreover, we include a variable that measures the extent to which businesses are free to set their own prices. In addition, business regulations are investigated when dealing with governance and institutional quality. The Economic Freedom of the World allows to include these variables. Higher values are connected with lower restraints. Hence, our last hypothesis is:

(10) The more government interventions in the economy take place, the higher is the shadow economy, ceteris paribus.

#### 4.2 Empirical Results

In a first step we focus on the impact of governance/institutional quality on the size of the shadow economy working with the ICRG data. To maximize the number of observations we include in *Table 1* only the control variables provided by the World Development Indicator (WDI). In *Table 2* we add TAX MORALE to the specifications. *Table 1* and *Table 2* present two different types of empirical methodology: pooling and fixed effect regressions. In the pooled estimations, the *beta* or *standardized* regression coefficients compare magnitude, which reveals the relative importance of which variables are used. To obtain robust standard errors in these estimations, we use the Huber/White/Sandwich estimators of standard errors.

<sup>23</sup> Hiring and firing practices of companies are determined by private contract.

15.01.2007 page 21 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The unemployment benefit system preserves the incentive to work.

At first only the POLITICAL RISK RATING index has been included. In a next step, 8 subfactors are investigated. This allows to check in detail the robustness of the political factors. *Table 1* and 2 show that our first hypothesis cannot be rejected. In 17 out of 18 regressions, the coefficients are highly statistically significant. The strongest impact can be found for the variables BUREAUCRATIC QUALITY, CORRUPTION, and LAW & ORDER. *Table 2* also shows that hypothesis 3 - a higher tax morale leads to a smaller shadow economy – cannot be rejected. The beta coefficients also show that its quantitative impact is comparable to other determinants. Thus, tax morale clearly matters, being highly statistically significant in all 18 estimations.

Moreover, in line with our expectations *Tables 1* and 2 show that a higher GDP per capita is associated with a smaller shadow economy which is in line with hypothesis 4. In coefficient is the cases the statistical significant. The coefficient most AGRICULTURE/GDP is only statistically significant in the specifications (11), (16) and (18) with a positive correlation between the strength of the agriculture sector and the size of the shadow economy (partly confirming our hypothesis 8). Population size and labor force affect the size of the shadow economy when using the broader sample, but after including tax morale these factors are not statistically significant anymore. On the other hand, a positive correlation between URBANIZATION and the size of the shadow economy is only observable in Table 2 (no support for hypothesis 6 and 7). Similarly, the coefficient of TRADE is only statistically significant with an expected negative relationship in the specifications (13) and (14).

Table 3 also investigates ICRG's COMPOSITE RISK RATING. The coefficient is also statistically significant. Moreover, to check the robustness of the previous results we add additional factors, namely TOP MARGINAL TAX RATE, PRICE CONTROLS AND LABOR MARKET REGULATIONS in the previous specifications. For simplicity, in *Table 3* we only report the results relative to the POLITICAL RISK RATING index rather than all the 15.01.2007

sub-factors. However, it should be noted that the results remain robust when using the previous sub-factors. It is useful to include the further control factors sequentially as the number of observations decreases. In line with the previous findings we can observe that our core hypotheses cannot be rejected. The coefficients POLITICAL RISK RATING and TAX MORALE are always statistically significant. We find the tendency that an increase in the TOP MARGINAL TAX RATE reduces the size of the shadow economy. In line with hypothesis 5, a strong and statistically significant impact is observable in the specifications (20) and (21), but not after controlling for tax morale and labor market regulations leading to the conclusions that our prediction is only partly confirmed. Previous studies such as Friedman et al. (2000) and Dreher and Schneider (2006) were not able to find a robust positive correlation between the fiscal burden and the size of the shadow economy. Friedman et al. (2000) stress such proxies do not measure how the tax system is administrated. Table 3 also shows that price controls and labor market regulations are no reasons for firms to move into the unofficial economy although it should be noted that for the variable LABOR MARKET REGULATIONS many values are missing. To check the robustness, we have also investigated the sub-factors (impact of minimum wage, hiring and firing practices, share of labor forces whose wages are set by centralized collective bargaining, unemployment benefits, use of conscripts to obtain military personnel). In none of the cases the coefficients were statistically significant.

#### 4.3 Robustness Checks

In *Table 4* we provide additional robustness checks using alternative sources that measure governance and institutional quality, namely the 6 *Aggregate Governance Indicators* together with the average of all six factors, and 11 *Economic Freedom* (EFW) variables. The EFW data also covers several variables that measure business regulations. For simplicity, we only 15.01.2007

report in *Table 4* the coefficients of our core variables, but controlling for other factors in the regression. The left hand side in *Table 4* presents 18 regression results without including tax morale. Control variables are in line with specification (20) that includes also the marginal tax rate. The right hand side provides the results when adding tax morale in the specifications. The previous results are confirmed. In all 18 specifications, TAX MORALE is statistically significant. Similarly, we can conclude that governance and institutions matter. In most of the cases the coefficients are statistically significant. Less robust results are observable when investigating some business regulation variables. The strongest effects are observable for the two variables ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONS and IRREGULAR PAYMENTS. Moreover, the overall index BUSINESS REGULATIONS shows also a strong negative correlation which shows that a higher level of freedom is correlated with a lower shadow economy. GOVERNMENT EFFECTIVENESS, CONTROL OF CORRUPTION, and RULE OF LAW provide the strongest impact among the *Aggregate Governance Indicators* factors. The findings using the EFW variables also show the strength of the legal structure and the security of property rights.

In a next step we provide further robustness test. Previously, we have 1) included additional variables in the baseline equation, 2) presented estimations with a broad amount of sub-factors that measure governance and institutional quality, and 3) used three alternative data sources. In a further step, we are going to investigate in all the previous cases whether outliers are important. We run specifications that resist the pull of outliers, and make them more efficient using iteratively re-weighted least squares with Huber and bi-weight functions tuned for 95% Gaussian efficiency (Hamilton, 2004). As a consequence more extreme outliers are less heavily weighted in the regression calculations. The results are not reported, but they strongly support the previous findings. The coefficient TAX MORALE is always statistically significant showing even higher t-values (mostly statistically significant at the 1% level), as are in most of the cases the variables that measure governance or institutional quality.

15.01.2007 page 24 out of 54

#### 4.4 Causality

The causality direction of our two main hypotheses can be criticized. Do a higher tax morale or a better governance and better institutions cause a lower level of shadow economy, or do higher levels of underground activities undermine tax morale or governance and institutional quality? A substantial increase of the shadow economy can lead to a significant decrease in tax revenues and therefore to a lower quantity and quality of public goods and services. The more taxpayers believe that others work in the shadow economy, the lower their moral costs to behave dishonestly and evade taxes by transferring their own activities into the shadow economy. In this way the potential intrinsic motivation to comply and contribute to public sector activities gets crowded out. Evaluating the direct effect of tax morale or governance and institutional quality on the size of the shadow economy requires an investigation of any potential causality problems and therefore an instrumental variable technique. To check the robustness we are going to present 2SLS estimations with a variety of different instruments. In general, the choice of adequate instruments for institutions is not extensively addressed in the literature (for corruption see, e.g., Kaufmann, Kraay and Zoido-Lobatón, 1999; Bai and Wei, 2000; Kaufmann, Mehrez and Gurgur, 2002). Recent studies have also stress the relevance of considering historical and geographic features of the countries as instrumental variables as they influence the outcome through their impact on the institutional and political environment <sup>25</sup>. Studies such as those by Alesina et al. (2003) or La Porta et al. (1999) offer a broad data set to consider factors such as latitude, fractionalization (ethnic, language, and religion), religious affiliations or legal origin as instruments. Easterly and Levine (1997) find a negative correlation between per capita GDP growth and ethnolinguistic fractionalization. Alesina et al. (2003) provide support for theses results using a broader data set for

-

15.01.2007 page 25 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See e.g., Hall and Jones (1999), and Acemoglu, Johnson and Robinson, (2001).

fractionalization. Thus, in line with this literature we are going to consider linguistic FRACTIONALIZATION as an instrument for governance and institutional quality. As a further instrument we take religion. La Porta et al. (1999), Weber (1958), Putnam (1993) and Landes (1998) argue that religion can affect governance and government's performance. La Porta et al. (1997) find that "hierarchical religions" (p. 233) such as Catholicism, Islam, and Greek Orthodox – exhibit inferior government performance to that of largely Protestant countries. Referring to the cultural theories the authors argue that Muslim and Catholic countries provide inferior public goods and that these countries can be viewed as more interventionist and less efficient as a consequence of excessive power and the development of bureaucracies from religious ranks. Thus, following La Porta et al. (1999) we use the SHARE OF PROTESTANTS as an instrument for governance and institutional quality.

There is an increasing number of studies that stress that climatic conditions have an impact on countries' or regions' institutions and their development and individuals' attitudes and their behavior (see, e.g., Engerman and Sokoloff, 1997; Landes, 1998; La Porta et al. 1999; Diamond, 1999; Sachs, 2000; Hirshleifer and Shumway, 2003; Coyle, 2004). Such external situations may affect the character of inhabitants and hence their culture and institutional arrangements. According to Diamond (1999) geography and climate helps to explain different nations' economic destinies. Porta et al. (1999) investigate latitude arguing in line with Landes (1998) that temperature zones have more productive agriculture and healthier climate which helped to develop their economies and institutions. Hall and Jones (1999) argue that latitude is a proxy for the penetration of European institutions in various regions of the world. Thus, we will also consider LATITUDE as an instrument of governance and institutional quality. However, Sachs (2000) criticizes that "when latitude is tested for explanatory power against various direct climate or ecological measures, we find that latitude per se adds little if anything to the explanation of patterns of cross-country development" (pp. 4-5). The studies of Engerman and Sokoloff (1997), Landes (1998) and Sachs (2000) 15.01.2007 page 26 out of 54

investigate the connection between climate and economic development. Sachs (2000), for example, presents evidence that production technology in the tropics has lagged behind temperate zone technology in the areas of agriculture and health which opened a considerable income gap between climate zones. Roll (1992) stresses that the unambiguously observable weather is a genuinely exogenous identifying variable. Schaltegger and Torgler (2007), for example, have shown that weather conditions are valid instruments for government accountability. Temperature has also the advantage that we observe a certain variety over time and can therefore be considered in a panel analysis. Coyle (2004) stresses that a higher temperature is related to a lower performance and productivity. Still many countries, even in Europe for example, don't have air-conditioning. Thus, we are going to investigate in detail the relevance of nation's yearly mean TEMPERATURE in Celsius<sup>26</sup> as an instrument for governance and institutional quality.

Weather may also be relevant as instrument for tax morale. The psychology literature has found that sunshine is connected with a better feeling and a lack of sunshine is related to depression and suicide (see, e.g., Eagles, 1994 and Tietjan and Kripke, 1994). Several studies report that sunshine influences markets. Cloudiness is correlated with a negative stock exchange (Saunders 1993 and Hirshleifer and Shumway 2003). Thus, CLOUDINESS (cloud coverage in percentage)<sup>27</sup> may be a good instrument for tax morale. To check the robustness of our results we are going to explore a second instrument. We develop an index that measures moral values using data from the World Values Survey<sup>28</sup> (INDEX MORAL VALUES). In addition, we also use the SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS as a second instrument of governance and institutional quality. It measures general public satisfaction or

\_

15.01.2007 page 27 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Mitchell et al. (2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See Mitchell et al. (2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> We use the following questions to develop an index for moral values (mean values): justifiability of claiming government benefits to which you are not entitled, justifiability of avoiding a fare on public transport, and buying something you knew was stolen (1=never justifiable, 0=all other scales).

dissatisfaction covering also a broad spectrum of factors ranging from infant mortality and medical provision to housing and interest rates. The data is provided by the EFW.

Table 5 and 6 show 25 2SLS estimations with several diagnostic tests. In all the specifications the coefficients of GOVERNANCE/INSTITUTIONAL QUALITY and TAX MORALE are statistically significant, which supports our previous results. For simplicity we only focus on a selection of variables, namely the POLITICAL RISK RATING, the ICRG CORRUPTION, and two variables of the Aggregate Governance Indicators, namely INDEX GOVERNANCE (average value of all sub-factors) and CONTROL OF CORRUPTION. However, it should be noted that the results are also robustness when using other factors.

Table 5 presents 2SLS estimations without considering TAX MORALE. To check the robustness we will present pooled and FE regressions. In a first step we are going to consider the instruments TEMPERATURE and SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS (specifications 63 to 72). Instead of TEMPERATURE we are going to include LATITUDE as instrument in specification (73). Specification (74) adds in addition further instruments, namely LINGUISTIC FRACTIONALIZATION and SHARE OF PROTESTANTS. Due to the lack of variance over time we use only pooled 2SLS estimations. For simplicity we only use the POLITICAL RISK RATING as a proxy for governance/institutional quality. However, the results are also robust when using other factors.

In *Table 6* we include TAX MORALE in the specifications. In a first step we use CLOUDINESS as an instrument of TAX MORALE (see specifications 75 to 79, and 85 to 86). In a second step we take the INDEX MORAL VALUES as an instrument (specifications 83 AND 87). Also here we vary the instruments for governance/institutional quality. In a first step we use TEMPERATURE AND SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS, in a second step we investigate LATITUDE instead of TEMPERATURE and in a final step we consider also LINGUISTIC FRACTIONALIZATION and SHARE OF PROTESTANTS. In specification (63) and (66) we only use TEMPERATURE as an instrument for governance and institutional page 28 out of 54

quality. In a further step, the SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS is added as an instrument. The results show that in all the cases the coefficients of TAX MORALE and the quality of governance and institutions are statistically significant, which supports the previous findings. In specifications (84) to (87) we present only 2SLS estimations with the POLITICAL RISK RATING as a proxy for governance/institutional quality. However, also here the results are robust when using other proxies for institutional and governance quality.

Overall, the used instruments are effective in explaining tax morale and governance/institutional quality. In the governance/quality first stage regressions TEMPERATURE, LATITUDE, SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS, and LINGUISTIC FRACTIONALIZATION and SHARE OF PROTESTANTS are always statistically significant (except SHARE OF PROTESTANTS in Table 6). Similarly, CLOUDINESS and the INDEX OF MORAL VALUES are always statistically significant in the tax morale first stage regression. The F-tests for the instrument exclusion set in the first-stage regressions are also in all the cases statistically significant (mostly at the 1% level). In addition, Table 5 and 6 also report a test for instrument relevance using the Anderson canonical correlations LR for whether the equation is identified. The test shows that the null hypothesis can be rejected in all the cases indicating that the model is identified and the instruments are relevant (see Hall, Rudebusch and Wilcox, 1996). The Anderson-Rubin test suggests that the endogenous variables are jointly statistically significant. Such a test is robust to the presence of weak instruments. We also present the Sargan's (1958) test for over-identification for those 2SLS regressions in which we have more than two instruments to examine the validity of the exclusion restrictions. In most of the cases, this test fails to reject the null hypothesis that our instruments are valid, which supports their validity.

In sum, the empirical results provided in this section suggest that our key hypotheses cannot be rejected. Tax morale and governance and institutional quality play a significant role in the determination of the size of the shadow economy. Moreover, sub-factors also indicate page 29 out of 54

the importance of the political process, political or democratic rights and civil liberties which indicates that our second hypothesis cannot be rejected. However, in the next section the second hypothesis will be tested using within country data.

#### 5. WITHIN COUNTRY PANEL EVIDENCE

In general, drawing conclusions from cross-cultural comparisons is difficult because not all features specific to a country can always be controlled in a satisfactory manner. Thus, we extend our study, focusing on within country data from Switzerland at the state (cantonal) level to investigate the impact of tax morale and institutional quality. Analyses of Swiss data are interesting because Switzerland's institutions are not homogeneous. The degree of institutionalized political participation rights varies strongly between the 26 Swiss cantons (see Kobach, 1994). Thus, this study uses a 6-point scale index established by Frey and Stutzer (2000) that reflects the extent of direct democratic participation (1 = lowest and 6 = lowesthighest degree of participation).<sup>29</sup> In line with the previous regressions, we are going to investigate a sample period that covers the years 1990, 1995 and 2000. To control for cantonal invariant factors, we include cantonal fixed effects. The tax morale variable is derived from the World Values Survey (WVS) data 1995-1997 and the International Social Survey Programme (ISSP) data set "Religion II" (data year 1999). The question in the ISSP (year 1999) was: Do you feel it is wrong or not wrong if a taxpayer does not report all of his or her income in order to pay less income taxes? (1= not wrong, 2= a bit wrong, 3= wrong,

15.01.2007 page 30 out of 54

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The index includes four legal instruments: the popular initiative to change the canton's constitution, the popular initiative to change the canton's law, the compulsory and optional referendum to prevent a new law or change a law, and the compulsory or optional referendum to prevent new state expenditure (for a detailed discussion, see Stutzer, 1999).

*4=seriously wrong).* The similarity of this question with the one of the WVS allows to include both data sets in the specification<sup>30</sup>.

Using Swiss data allows to include also a deterrence measurement. As an approximation for the PROBABILITY OF DETECTION, we use the number of tax auditors per taxpayer (in ‰) in each canton c. This might be an indicator for the cantons willingness to search for illegal activities, although we are not able to directly investigate the number of inspectors dealing with the shadow economy<sup>31</sup>. In addition to other control variables such as LABOR FORCE ratio (share of employment of the cantonal population) URBANIZATION, or the TAX BURDEN we also consider the share of REGISTERED CANTONAL HOUSE PROPRIETORS on the cantonal population<sup>32</sup>. The commitment made by house proprietors to their jurisdiction by voluntarily increasing their opportunity costs for the exit option to migrate to another jurisdiction may support the willingness to remain honest. On the other hand, house proprietors have a strong demand for those economic sectors that have the highest rates of illicit work. Schneider and Enste (2002) report that building, renovating, repairing provide the largest share of illicit work (44% of the total illicit work) in Germany. Such results are also applicable to Switzerland. Thus, home proprietors may have a stronger incentive to take advantage of such services which increases the shadow economy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> It was not possible to consider more than one wave for both data sets for Switzerland. Only the WVS 1995-97 and the ISSP RELIGION II provide Swiss cantonal data. Moreover, it should be noted that the Swiss World Values Survey was not random-random but quota-random, based on a random sample of communes and then on quotas in terms of sex, age, etc. in the selected communes. Thus, the smallest cantons are not necessarily represented (not represented are: Appenzell a. Rh., Glarus, Jura, Nidwalden, Uri, and Zug). On the other hand, the ISSP data set contains all 26 cantons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The information about the probability of detection and the fine for tax evasion has been collected by Lars P. Feld and Bruno S. Frey with a questionnaire. The following contributions are based upon this data set: Feld and Frey (2002) and Frey and Feld (2002).

 $<sup>^{32}</sup>$  For summary statistics see *Table A2* in the Appendix. 15.01.2007

Table 7 presents the results. The first two specifications include TAX MORALE. These results should be treated with caution as only few degrees of freedom are available, and as tax morale has been measured with two different data sources. Nevertheless, in line with the previous results we find a negative correlation between tax morale and the size of the shadow economy. A higher level of direct democratic participation rights leads to a lower size shadow economy as well. The coefficient is statistically significant in all 9 regressions. In specification (80) and (83) we present 2SLS estimations. As can be seen the coefficient DEMOCRATIC PARTICIPATION RIGHTS is statistically significant at the 1% level. In line with the cross-country regression we use religion as an instrument for direct democracy building the share of Protestant population on the total cantonal population. A certain religion diversity in Switzerland allows such an approach. Table 7 shows that the instrument is effective in explaining political accountability. The coefficient SHARE OF PROTESTANTS is highly statistically significant in both first stage regressions. Similarly, the F-tests for the instrument exclusion set the first-stage regressions are statistically significant at the 1% level. In addition, Table 7 also reports a test for instrument relevance using the Anderson canonical correlations LR for whether the equation is identified. The test shows that the null hypothesis can be rejected in both cases indicating that the model is identified and the instruments are relevant.

In *Table 7* we also report a pooled estimation that shows the *beta* or *standardized* regression coefficients compare magnitude, which reveals the relative importance of which variables are used. To obtain robust standard errors in these estimations, we use the Huber/White/Sandwich estimators of standard errors. The results in specification (82) show that the coefficients of DIRECT DEMOCRATIC PARTICIPATION RIGHTS are highly relevant in explaining the shadow economy.

Looking at the control variables we find in line with Friedman et al. (2000) evidence of the tendency that the tax burden is negatively correlated with the shadow economy.

15.01.2007

page 32 out of 54

Interestingly, we also find that a higher probability of detection is correlated with a higher rather than a lower size of shadow economy, although the result is not robust in specification (83). It should be noted that other studies that focused on tax evasion, tax compliance and tax morale in Switzerland also find that deterrence does not perform as expected (see Pommerehne and Weck-Hannemann 1996, Frey and Feld, 2002; Torgler, 2005a, Torgler and Schaltegger, 2005). A higher SHARE OF REGISTERED HOUSE PROPRIETORS is correlated with a higher shadow economy. The coefficient is statistically significant in all five regressions. We also observe the tendency that URBANIZATION is correlated with a higher shadow economy, a result that supports our prediction in the theoretical section. On the other hand, a higher share of employment of the cantonal population (LABOR FORCE) is correlated with a smaller shadow economy. It seems that time acts as a restriction of being active in the shadow economy. Thus, these results are consistent with hypothesis 6 and 7.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS

The paper shows that improving governance and institutional quality and tax morale helps lessen a possible incentive to go underground. The results are quite robust using more than 25 proxies of governance and institutional quality, testing for endogeneity and running a broad variety of specifications. The paper has extended the previous empirical models of the shadow economy by showing that tax morale and a broad variety of governance/institutional factors matter quite significantly in the determination of the size of the shadow economy providing strong robustness tests using international and within country panel data<sup>33</sup>. Moreover, we go beyond previous studies that mainly use a cross-sectional analysis working not only with an international data panel, but also with within country data.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The results are summarized in *Table A1* and *Table A2*. 15.01.2007

It is important to consider the moral dimension of complying with societies' rules and the underlying legal structure and countries' security of property rights. A failure of a country's legal system undermines the official economy driving individuals and businesses to the shadow economy. Also regulatory restraints and bureaucratic procedures limit the operation of markets and enhance the incentives to act in the shadow economy. A more legitimate and responsive state appears to be an essential precondition to influence the shadow economy. If individual and business contracts are not enforced and productive efforts not protected, the incentive to be active in the shadow economy increases. Citizens feel cheated if corruption is widespread, their tax burden is not spent well, and that they are not protected by the rules of law. Such a situation increases the incentive to be in the shadow economy.

Social norms or social capital are key factors to understand why people comply. Moreover, social capital seems to be an important determinant of economic phenomena like macroeconomic performance. For example, Knack and Keefer (1997) find, in a cross-sectional analysis, a strong and significantly positive relationship between social capital variables (civic duty) and economic growth. Schaltegger and Torgler (2007), using data for a synthetic panel of Swiss cantons over the 1981–2001 period, show that accountability enhances fiscal performance. As Slemrod (1998) argues that social capital – measured as the willingness to pay taxes voluntarily – lowers the cost of government operations and of equitably assigning such cost to citizens.

Such research justifies a closer look at social capital and societal institutions. A high level of governance and institutional quality allows to express one's own preferences and involvement and participation in the political process enhances identification with a state's institutions; this counteracts the inclination to be active in the shadow economy. Participation and identification reduce therefore free-rider problems. If citizens and authorities interact with a sense of collective responsibility thanks to the institutional structures, the system may be better governed and the policies more effective, as accountability promotes effectiveness 15.01.2007

through its impact on government behavior (Schaltegger and Torgler, 2007). On the other hand, if citizens feel cheated, if they believe that corruption is widespread, that their tax burden is not spent well and that they are not well protected by the rules of law, the incentive for them to get involved in the informal sector grows. The institutional architecture and governance quality seem to be a key component in the understanding of the shadow economy.

15.01.2007 page 35 out of 54

## 7. TABLES AND APPENDIX

15.01.2007 page 36 out of 54

Table 1: Governance and Institutional Quality and the Size of Shadow Economy

<i>Table 1</i> : Governance and Institution	ıal Quality a	and the Size	of Shadow	Economy					
Dependent Variable: Shadow Economy	OLS	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE
•	$(1)^a$	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
A) GOVERN. & INSTIT.QUALITY POLITICAL RISK RATING	-0.386*** (-5.16)	-0.380*** (-5.15)							
BUREAUCRATIC QUALITY		( )	-3.699*** (-4.92)						
CORRUPTION				-3.018*** (-4.82)					
DEMOCRATIC ACCOUNTABILITY				( )	-0.622 (-1.17)				
GOVERNMENT STABILITY					(1117)	-0.894** (-1.99)			
LAW & ORDER						(1.55)	-3.346*** (-5.95)		
INTERNAL CONFLICT							(3.53)	-1.525*** (-5.25)	
MILITARY INTERFERENCE								(3.23)	-1.620*** (-3.40)
B) CONTROL VARIABLES									(3.10)
LOG (GDP PER CAPITA)	-0.503***	-4.113***	-4.550***	-5.032***	-5.649***	-5.469***	-4.343***	-4.707***	-4.938***
	(-3.54)	(-3.69)	(-4.13)	(-4.63)	(-5.03)	(-4.87)	(-4.02)	(-4.33)	(-4.40)
AGRICULTURE (% OF GDP)	-0.232**	-0.235**	-0.275***	-0.196**	-0.217**	-0.194*	-0.171*	-0.181*	-0.214**
	(-2.42)	(-2.48)	-(2.86)	(-2.07)	(-2.15)	(-1.97)	(-1.84)	(-1.92)	(-2.20)
URBANIZATION	0.006	0.004	-0.009	0.029	0.029	0.029	0.022	0.039	0.021
	(0.06)	(0.07)	(-0.18)	(0.58)	(0.57)	(0.58)	(0.45)	(0.80)	(0.42)
LOG (POPULATION)	-1.376***	-13.695***	-9.090**	-12.255***	-8.399**	-7.061*	-12.774***	-11.625***	-10.950***
LOG (LABOR FORGE)	(-3.21)	(-3.47)	(-2.39)	(-3.13)	(-2.11)	(-1.78)	(-3.35)	(-3.03)	(-2.75)
LOG (LABOR FORCE)	1.232***	12.081***	8.340**	10.507***	7.067*	5.908	11.512***	10.203**	9.400**
TD ADE (0/ CDD)	(2.81)	(3.08)	(2.19)	(2.71)	(1.78)	(1.50)	(3.02)	(2.67)	(2.37)
TRADE (% GDP)	-0.021	-0.007	0.001	-0.011	-0.012	-0.007	0.0004	0.001	-0.002
Designal Fired Fffeets	(-0.33)	(-0.39) YES	(0.06)	(-0.64)	(-0.62)	(-0.37)	(0.02)	(0.06)	(-0.12) YES
Regional Fixed Effects Time Fixed Effects	YES YES	YES	YES YES	YES YES	YES YES	YES YES	YES YES	YES YES	YES
Observations	274	274	274	274	274	274	274	274	274
R-squared	0.554	0.530	0.526	0.524	0.485	0.490	0.544	0.531	0.504
Prob > F	0.000	0.000	0.320	0.324	0.483	0.490	0.000	0.000	0.000
N	° 1 1	J.000	0.000			0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: *t*-statistics in parentheses. Significance levels: \* 0.05 , \*\* <math>0.01 , \*\*\* <math>p < 0.01. a Regressions with robust standard errors, beta coefficients reported.

Table 2: Tax Morale and the Size of Shadow Economy

Table 2: Tax Morale and the Size of Sha									
Dependent Variable: Shadow Economy	OLS	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE
	$(10)^{a}$	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)
A) GOVERN. & INSTIT. QUALITY									
POLITICAL RISK RATING	-0.366***	-0.369***							
	(-3.18)	(-3.42)							
BUREAUCRATIC QUALITY			-3.293***						
			(-3.31)						
CORRUPTION				-2.102**					
				(-2.45)					
DEMOCRATIC ACCOUNTABILITY					-2.046***				
					(-3.47)				
GOVERNMENT STABILITY						-0.201			
LAW CORDER						(-0.33)	1 0 4 4 * *		
LAW & ORDER							-1.844**		
INTERNAL CONFLICT							(-2.42)	1.000*	
INTERNAL CONFLICT								-1.000*	
MILITARY INTERFERENCE								(-1.93)	-1.209*
MILITARY INTERFERENCE									
B) WILLINGNESS TO PAY									(-1.92)
TAX MORALE	-0.176***	-5.984***	-5.242**	-5.627**	-6.121***	-5.582**	-5.063**	-4.899**	-6.852***
TAX MORALE	(-2.73)	(-2.67)	(-2.33)	(-2.45)	(-2.73)	(-2.35)	(-2.19)	(-2.09)	(-2.83)
C) CONTROL VARIABLES	(-2.73)	(-2.07)	(-2.33)	(-2.43)	(-2.73)	(-2.33)	(-2.19)	(-2.09)	(-2.83)
LOG (GDP PER CAPITA)	-0.256	-2.309	-3.848***	-4.957***	-4.578***	-5.462***	-4.361**	-3.961**	-4.514**
LOG (GDI TER CAITIA)	(-1.15)	(-1.25)	(-2.30)	(-3.01)	(-2.85)	(-3.23)	(-2.56)	(-2.16)	(-2.61)
AGRICULTURE (% OF GDP)	0.270	0.393**	0.251	0.251	0.303	0.317	0.394**	0.406**	0.323*
rigide (/v or gbr)	(1.51)	(2.07)	(1.32)	(1.28)	(1.61)	(1.59)	(2.01)	(2.02)	(1.65)
URBANIZATION	0.171*	0.125*	0.103	0.151**	0.151**	0.177**	0.181***	0.162**	0.144**
	(1.88)	(1.91)	(1.52)	(2.28)	(2.36)	(2.62)	(2.77)	(2.43)	(2.11)
LOG (POPULATION)	0.235	2.101	7.981	2.612	5.452	7.896	2.970	4.136	5.110
( )	(0.35)	(0.35)	(1.38)	(0.42)	(0.94)	(1.28)	(0.48)	(0.66)	(0.83)
LOG (LABOR FORCE)	-0.416	-3.732	-9.093	-4.680	-7.490	-9.636	-4.679	-5.857	-6.838
,	(-0.62)	(-0.62)	(-1.56)	(-0.74)	(-1.28)	(-1.56)	(-0.74)	(-0.93)	(-1.10)
TRADE (% GDP)	-0.092	-0.036	-0.032	-0.051*	-0.053*	-0.050	-0.036	-0.029	-0.047
	(-1.22)	(-1.22)	(-1.07)	(-1.71)	(-1.83)	(-1.63)	(-1.17)	(-0.89)	(-1.56)
Regional Fixed Effects	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Time Fixed Effects	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	109	109	109	109	109	109	109	109	109
R-squared	0.769	0.725	0.724	0.710	0.726	0.692	0.710	0.703	0.703
Prob > F	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: t-statistics in parentheses. Significance levels: \* 0.05 , \*\* <math>0.01 , \*\*\* <math>p < 0.01. a Regressions with robust standard errors, beta coefficients reported.

15.01.2007 page 38 out of 54

Table 3: Robustness Check Including Further Variables

Dependent Variable: Shadow Economy	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE
Dependent variable. Shadow Economy	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)
A) COVEDN & INCTIT OHALITY	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(23)	(20)
A) GOVERN. & INSTIT.QUALITY POLITICAL RISK RATING		-0.343***	-0.338***	-0.337***	0.224***	-0.465***	-0.407***	-0.509***
POLITICAL RISK RATING					-0.334***			
COMPOSITE DISK DATING	-0.340***	(-4.07)	(-3.82)	(-2.94)	(-3.22)	(-4.15)	(-3.56)	(-4.22)
COMPOSITE RISK RATING								
B) WILLINGNESS TO PAY	(-4.00)							
TAX MORALE					-5.935***	-7.759***	( 220***	0.7(7***
TAX MORALE							-6.238***	-8.767***
C) CONTROL WARLARIES					(-2.63)	(-3.29)	(-2.64)	(-3.50)
C) CONTROL VARIABLES LOG (GDP PER CAPITA)	-3.997***	-4.165***	-4.222***	-2.750	-3.554**	-0.551	-1.293	-1.371
LOG (GDP PER CAPITA)								
A CDICLIL TUDE (A) OF CDD)	(-3.41)	(-3.11)	(-3.04)	(-1.25)	(-2.08)	(-0.29)	(-0.68)	(-0.57)
AGRICULTURE (% OF GDP)	-0.252**	-0.150	-0.171	0.173	0.266	0.648***	0.612***	0.338
LIDDANIZATION	(-2.59)	(-1.24)	(-1.39)	(0.57)	(1.40)	(3.16)	(2.94)	(1.02)
URBANIZATION	-0.014	-0.007	-0.010	-0.038	0.106	0.111*	0.139**	0.073
LOC (BODIN ATION)	(-0.28)	(-0.12)	(-0.16)	(-0.55)	(1.56)	(1.69)	(2.07)	(1.07)
LOG (POPULATION)	-10.661***	-7.359	-8.095	-7.650	5.032	-2.887	-4.900	0.140
LOG (LABOR FORGE)	(-2.72)	(-1.49)	(-1.60)	(-1.15)	(0.86)	(-0.47)	(-0.79)	(0.02)
LOG (LABOR FORCE)	9.401**	5.395	6.399	5.553	-6.608	0.762	2.801	-2.408
	(2.41)	(1.10)	(1.27)	(0.83)	(-1.12)	(0.12)	(0.45)	(-0.36)
TRADE (% GDP)	-0.001	-0.013	-0.011	-0.016	-0.043	-0.046	-0.044	-0.056*
	(-0.07)	(-0.70)	(-0.57)	(-0.72)	(-1.47)	(-1.55)	(-1.53)	(-1.81)
TOP MARGINAL TAX RATE		0.673***	0.677**	0.530		0.093	0.019	-0.051
		(2.62)	(2.48)	(1.34)		(0.27)	(0.06)	(-0.13)
PRICE CONTROLS			-0.091				-0.412	
			(-0.27)				(-1.10)	
LABOR MARKET REGULATIONS				-0.639				0.448
				(-0.96)				(0.69)
Regional Fixed Effects	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Time Fixed Effects	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Observations	274	222	213	148	109	102	97	92
R-squared	0.512	0.585	0.592	0.571	0.722	0.749	0.779	0.717
Prob > F	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: *t*-statistics in parentheses. Significance levels: \* 0.05 , \*\* <math>0.01 , \*\*\* <math>p < 0.01.

15.01.2007 page 39 out of 54

Table 4: Robustness Check Including Further Governance and Institutional Variables

FE SPECIFICATIONS	Coeff.	Observ.	FE SPECIFICATIONS	Coeff.	FE SPECIFICATIONS	Coeff.	Observ.
(27) TO (44) <sup>a</sup>	t-stat.	$R^2$	(45) TO (62) <sup>b</sup>	t-stat.	(45) to (62) <sup>b</sup>	t-stat.	$R^2$
AGGR. GOVERNANCE INDIC.			AGGR. GOVERNANCE INDIC.				
INDEX GOVERNANCE	-9.417***	152	INDEX GOVERNANCE	-10.783***	TAX MORALE	-6.860**	76
	(-4.26)	0.603		(-4.86)		(-2.64)	0.798
CONTROL OF CORRUP.	-7.361***	152	CONTROL OF CORRUP.	-5.994***	TAX MORALE	-5.159*	76
	(-4.56)	0.609		(-3.34)		(-1.84)	0.765
POLITICAL STABILITY	-5.971***	152	POLITICAL STABILITY	-7.916***	TAX MORALE	-8.414***	76
	(-4.22)	0.602		(-4.50)		(-3.11)	0.790
GOVERNMENT EFFECTIV.	-9.503***	152	GOVERNMENT EFFECTIV.	-9.028***	TAX MORALE	-5.698**	76
	(-5.35)	0.627		(-4.60)		(-2.17)	0.792
VOICE AND ACCOUNT.	-0.824	152	VOICE AND ACCOUNT.	-5.505***	TAX MORALE	-8.299***	76
	(-0.46)	0.552		(-2.76)		(-2.76)	0.753
RULE OF LAW	-7.291***	152	RULE OF LAW	-8.497***	TAX MORALE	-5.270*	76
	(-3.88)	0.595		(-4.11)		(-1.95)	0.781
REGULATORY QUALITY	-1.819	152	REGULATORY QUALITY	-6.451***	TAX MORALE	-5.639**	76
	(-0.94)	0.554		(-3.36)	-	(-2.02)	0.765
ECONOMIC FREEDOM	( *** ')		ECONOMIC FREEDOM	( = = = )		( ====)	
LEGAL SYSTEM	-3.011***	224	LEGAL SYSTEM	-3.168***	TAX MORALE	-6.385***	104
EBOTE STOTEM	(-5.06)	0.600		(-4.15)	11111101111111	(-2.78)	0.740
LAW AND ORDER	-0.971**	153	LAW AND ORDER	-0.904*	TAX MORALE	-5.961*	73
ETWIND OTBET	(-2.21)	0.568		(-1.70)	11111101111111	(-1.93)	0.743
JUD. INDEPENDENCE	-2.398***	102	JUD. INDEPENDENCE	-2.206***	TAX MORALE	-9.839***	60
veb. II (bei bi (bei (ce	(-3.85)	0.577	VOD. II (BEI EI (BEI (CE	(-2.99)	TI EX MOIGILEE	(-2.85)	0.738
IMPARTIAL COURTS	-1.882***	156	IMPARTIAL COURTS	-1.670**	TAX MORALE	-6.158**	76
IVII / IICII III COOKIS	(-2.93)	0.578	INITACTIAL COOKIS	(-2.30)	THE WORLE	(-2.11)	0.745
PROPERTY RIGHTS	-3.326***	116	PROPERTY RIGHTS	-2.143**	TAX MORALE	-7.080**	66
TROLEKTT RIGHTS	(-3.87)	0.582	TROTERTT RIGHTS	(-2.07)	TAX WORALE	(-2.11)	0.713
MILIT. INTERFERENCE	-1.526***	156	MILIT. INTERFERENCE	-1.310*	TAX MORALE	-6.665**	76
WILLIT, INTERCERENCE	(-3.14)	0.581	WILLI, INTERCENCE	(-1.91)	1 AA WORALE	(-2.23)	0.738
ADMINISTR. CONDITIONS <sup>c</sup>	(-3.14) -6.169***	65	ADMINISTR. CONDITIONS <sup>c</sup>	(-1.91) -7.330***	TAX MORALE	(-2.23) -7.644**	0.738
ADMINISTR. CONDITIONS		0.653	ADMINISTR. CONDITIONS		I AA MUKALE		0.794 43
DIDEALIODACY (TIME)	(-2.98) -1.416*	110	DUDEAUCDACY (TIME)	(-3.79) -0.777	TAV MODALE	(-2.09) -7.338**	43 66
BUREAUCRACY (TIME)			BUREAUCRACY (TIME)		TAX MORALE		
CTADTING DUGNIEGO	(-1.66)	0.571	CTADTING DUGDIEGG	-0.77	TAVMODALE	(-2.09)	0.694
STARTING BUSINESS	-1.329*	110	STARTING BUSINESS	-1.172	TAX MORALE	-6.381*	66
IDDECLILAD DAVAGNIEC	(-1.86)	0.574	IDDECLILAD DAVIMENTS	-1.50	TANAODALE	(-1.86)	0.703
IRREGULAR PAYMENTS	-1.932***	110	IRREGULAR PAYMENTS	-1.981**	TAX MORALE	-7.512**	66
	(-2.70)	0.590		(-2.52)		(-2.27)	0.723
BUSINESS REGULATIONS	-2.457**	110	BUSINESS REGULATIONS	-2.801**	TAX MORALE	-7.478**	66
	(-2.52)	0.586	* 0.05 < n < 0.10 ** 0.01< n < 0.0	(-2.60)		(-2.27)	0.725

Notes: Time and regional fixed effects. Significance levels: \* 0.05 , \*\* <math>0.01 , \*\*\* <math>p < 0.01. \* Control variables in line with specification (20). 
<sup>b</sup> Control variables in line with specification (24). Cross-sectional analysis.

Table 5: 2SLS Estimations Focusing on Governance/Institutional Quality

Dependent Variable: Shadow	POOLED	POOLED	FE	FE FE	nal Qua POOLED	FE	POOLED	FE	POOLED	FE	POOLED	POOLED
Economy	(63)	(64)	(65)	(66)	(67)	(68)	(69)	(70)	(71)	(72)	(73)	(74)
A) GOVERN./INSTIT. QUALITY												
ICRG												
POLITICAL RISK RATING	-0.782**	-0.640***	-1.358**	-0.481***							-0.590***	-0.529***
	(-2.02)	(-3.43)	(-2.23)	(-3.13)							(-3.08)	(-3.06)
CORRUPTION					-8.971***	-9.540***						
					(-3.34)	(-3.13)						
AGGR. GOVERNANCE INDIC.												
INDEX GOVERNANCE							-19.830***	-16.842***				
							(-3.40)	(-3.08)				
CONTR. OF CORRUPTION									-14.848***	-12.245***		
									(-3.45)	(-3.19)		
B) CONTROL VARIABLES	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.
FIRST STAGE REGRESSIONS												
INSTR. INST./GOV. Q.												
TEMPERATURE	-0.336***	-0.394***	-0.240**	-0.295***	-0.037***	-0.037***	-0.013***	-0.013***	-0.021***	-0.021***		
	(-3.30)	(-4.17)	(-2.57)	(-3.63)	(-3.36)	(-3.44)	(-2.87)	(-2.88)	(-3.27)	(-3.32)		
SOCIOECON. CONDITIONS		2.054***		2.481***	0.122***	0.091**	0.075***	0.080***	0.094***	0.107***	2.089***	2.098***
		(6.09)		(8.35)	(3.14)	(2.31)	(4.46)	(-4.59)	(4.15)	(-4.58)	(6.15)	(6.05)
LATITUDE											11.356***	
											(3.00)	(2.14)
LINGUISTIC FRACTION.												-4.000*
												(-1.77)
SHARE OF PROTESTANTS												0.068**
												(2.41)
Test of excluded instruments	10.86***	24.93***	15.07***	39.24***	9.59***	7.99***	13.28***	13.88***	13.13***	15.07***	21.19***	13.01***
Regional Fixed Effects	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Time Fixed Effects	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	NO
Anderson canon. corr. LR statistic	11.095***	47.054***	6.760***	69.720***	19.321***	16.130***	26.223***	27.120***	25.961***	29.240***	40.612***	49.305***
Anderson Rubin test	5.15***	7.12***	8.25***	6.71***	7.12***	6.71***	6.03***	4.78***	6.03***	4.78***	5.43***	3.00**
Sargan statistic		0.200		4.096**	0.144	0.017	0.690	0.567	0.002	0.295	0.463	2.016
Prob > F	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Observations	219	219	219	219	219	219	150	150	150	150	219	218
Notes: t statistics in parenthes	as Cianifia	om oo larralar	* 0 05 < 12	< 0.10 **				1	i 1ii41.	:6:4:-	(20)	

Notes: *t*-statistics in parentheses. Significance levels: \* 0.05 , \*\* <math>0.01 , \*\*\* <math>p < 0.01. Control variables in line with specifications (20).

Table 6: 2SLS Estimations Including Tax Morale

Dependent Variable: Shadow Econom	ıy POOLED	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	POOLED	POOLED	POOLED	POOLE
	(75)	(76)	(77)	(78)	(79)	(80)	(81)	(82)	(83)	(84)	(85)	(86)	(87)
) GOVERN./INSTIT. QUALITY													
CRG													
OLITICAL RISK RATING	-0.887***	-0.686**				-0.822***				-0.729***	-0.773***	* -0.623***	-0.571*
	(-2.94)	(-2.58)				(-3.32)				(-3.33)	(-3.01)	(-2.95)	(-3.03)
ORRUPTION			-8.476**				-8.413***						
			(-2.28)				(-3.26)						
GGR. GOVERNANCE INDIC.													
NDEX GOVERNANCE				-12.496***	*			-14.834***	k				
				(-3.01)				(-3.25)					
ONTR. OF CORRUPTION					-8.805**				-9.808***				
					(-2.62)				(-3.10)				
) WILLINGNESS TO PAY													
ax Morale	-20.410**	-29.897**	*-29.003**	* -20.496**	-22.820**	·-11.139** <sup>*</sup>	* -9.699**	-14.762***	*-13.312***	*-10.489**	-15.959*	-13.842*	-10.273
	(-2.26)	(-3.00)	(-2.57)	(-2.28)	(-2.19)	(-2.54)	(-2.16)	(-3.36)	(-2.97)	(-2.53)	(-1.87)	(-1.79)	(-2.53)
C) CONTROL VARIABLES	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.	INCL.
IRST STAGE REGRESSIONS													
NSTR. INST./GOV. Q.													
EMPERATURE	-0.199**	-0.197**	-0.030**	-0.020***	-0.026***	*-0.250***	-0.030**	-0.017***	-0.024***				
EMI EMITORE	(-2.15)	(-2.19)	(-2.19)	(-3.29)	(-3.40)	(-2.61)	(-2.00)	(-2.75)	(-2.94)				
OCIOECON. COND.	2.006***	. ,	0.141**	0.107***	0.159***		0.184***	0.094***	0.142***	2.193***	2 224***	2.390***	2.312**
OCIOLEON. COND.	(5.61)	(6.04)	(2.63)	(4.85)	(5.73)	(5.46)	(3.21)	(4.24)	(5.00)	(6.24)	(6.46)	(6.91)	(6.63)
ATITUDE	(3.01)	(0.04)	(2.03)	(4.65)	(3.73)	(3.40)	(3.21)	(4.24)	(3.00)	,	11.627**	. ,	9.916*
ATTIODE										(2.85)	(2.62)	(1.85)	(2.04)
INGUISTIC FRACTION.										(2.63)	(2.02)	-6.543***	,
INGUISTIC FRACTION.													
HARE OF PROTESTANTS												(-2.70) 0.034	(-2.95)
HARE OF PROTESTANTS													0.043
	11 72+++	12 50***	2.02**	0.00***	13 07***	11 46444	4 46***	7.06***	10 24***	1450***	15 42***	(1.31)	1.59
est of excluded instruments	11./3***	13.59***	3.92**	9.90***	12.8/***	11.46***	4.46***	7.96***	10.34***	14.59***	15.43***	11.48***	11.64**
AICED EAVIORALE													
NSTR. TAX MORALE	0.010444		0.000		0.000**						0.010444		_
loudiness		-0.009***	-0.009***		-0.008**							*-0.010***	•
1 1 1	(-3.55)	(-3.14)	(-3.14)	(-2.44)	(-2.44)	0.016***	0.016***	0.016***	0.016***	0.016***	(-3.27)	(-3.24)	0.016**
ndex moral values						0.016***		0.016***	0.016***	0.016***			0.016**
	4.004444	2 50 dads	2 50 ded	0.054	2.254	(7.40)	(7.40)	(6.20)	(6.20)	(7.45)	4 50 dedete	<b>2</b> (Oaltaliali	(7.24)
est of excluded instruments	4.88***	3.58**	3.58**	2.35*	2.35*	19.72***	19.72***	14.07***	14.07***		4.52***	2.68***	11.24**
egional Fixed Effects	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
ime Fixed Effects	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO
nderson canon. corr. LR statistic		* 10.328***		7.839***	7.473***	32.225***		* 18.808***	22.616***		10.86***		
nderson Rubin test	5.57***	7.12***	7.12***	4.06**	4.06**	6.67***	6.67***	4.60***	4.60***	5.63***	4.39***	2.53**	3.31**
argan statistic	0.321	0.396	0.133	0.000	0.026	0.229	0.015	0.118	0.023	0.700	1.368	2.863	3.321
rob > F	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
bservations	102	102	102	74	74	95	95	69	69	94	101	100	93

15.01.2007 page 42 out of 54

Table 7: Evidence from Switzerland

Dependent variable: shadow	FE	FE	FE	FE	FE	2SLS	First stage	FE	OLS <sup>a</sup>	2SLS	First
economy	(88)	(89)	(90)	(91)	(92)	(FE) (93)	regr.	(94)	(95)	(FE) (96)	stage regr.
Independent variables						,					
a) WILL. TO PAY TAXES											
TAX MORALE	-0.013*	-0.011*									
	(-1.92)	(-1.79)									
b) INSTITITUTION											
DEMOCRATIC PARTIC.	-0.019*	-0.018*	-0.017**	-0.021***	-0.015**	-0.060***		-0.011**	-0.305**	-0.056**	
RIGHTS	(-1.89)	(-1.85)	(-2.36)	(-2.80)	(-2.56)	(-2.87)		(-2.00)	(-2.18)	(-2.32)	
INSTRUMENTS											
Share of Protestants							5.873***				5.276***
							(2.97)				(2.43)
Test of excluded instr.							8.85***				
c) GOVERMENT											
TAX BURDEN		-0.001	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.001***	-0.001***	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.161	-0.001***	-0.3E-03
		(-1.63)	(-2.21)	(-2.25)	(-4.39)	(-2.66)	(-0.16)	(-4.76)	(-1.34)	(-2.81)	(-0.07)
PROBABILITY OF								0.0002**	0.267**	0.4E-04	-0.001
DETECTION								(2.60)	(2.33)	(0.36)	(-0.68)
d) CONTROL VARIABLES				0.1504	0.000	0.220444	6 42 7 shahah	0.15144	0.106	0.000	6 500 alkaleale
LABOR FORCE				-0.159*	-0.233***	-0.328***	-6.437***	-0.151**	-0.106	-0.303**	-6.502***
LIDD AND A TION				(-1.78)	(-3.34)	(-3.03)	(-3.00)	(-2.07)	(-0.84)	(-2.31)	(-3.01)
URBANIZATION				0.130	0.160*	0.218*	0.897	0.155*	0.430**	0.213*	0.940
CHARE OF DECICEPED				1.19	(1.91)	(1.76)	(0.46)	(1.95)	(2.07)	(1.79)	(0.48)
SHARE OF REGISTERED HOUSE PROPRIETORS					0.688***	0.528***	6.022	0.634**	0.398*	0.525***	5.505
					(5.90)	(2.91)	(1.45)	(5.66)	(1.81)	(3.05)	(1.29)
Anderson canon. corr. LR statistic Anderson Rubin test						8.968*** 21.93***				6.270*** 13.54***	
State (canton) effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Observations	46	46	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78
R-squared	0.274	0.372	0.175	0.241	0.564	-	-	0.620	0.146	-	-

Notes: *t*-statistics in parentheses. Significance levels: \* 0.05 , \*\* <math>0.01 , \*\*\* <math>p < 0.01. a beta coefficients.

15.01.2007 page 43 out of 54

Table A1: Descriptive Statistics and a	Summa	ry of the Re	sults (Int	ernationa	l Investigation)	
VARIABLES	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Source	Results
DEPENDENT VARIABLE						
SHADOW ECONOMY	29.594	13.193	6.90	67.30	Schneider (2005a, b)	
GOVERNANCE/INSTITUTIONAL Q.					, , ,	
ICRG						
COMPOSITE RISK RATING	66.276	12.987	24.83	92.50	ICRG	-
POLITICAL RISK RATING	65.088	13.785	11.33	95.25	ICRG	-
BUREAUCRATIC QUALITY	2.319	1.167	0.00	4.00	ICRG	-
CORRUPTION	3.473	1.273	0.08	6.00	ICRG	-
DEMOCRATIC ACCOUNT.	3.932	1.471	0.00	6.00	ICRG	(-)
GOVERNMENT STABILITY	7.388	2.288	1.00	12.00	ICRG	( <del>-</del> )
LAW AND ORDER	3.938	1.501	0.00	6.00	ICRG	-
INTERNAL CONFLICT	9.092	2.629	0.00	12.00	ICRG	-
MILITARY INTERFERENCE	4.014	1.694	0.00	6.00	ICRG	-
AGGR. GOVERNANCE INDICATORS						
INDEX GOVERNANCE	0.193	0.866	-1.27	1.95	Kaufmann et al. (2003)	-
CONTROL OF CORRUP.	0.156	1.040	-1.98	2.56	Kaufmann et al. (2003)	-
POLITICAL STABILITY	0.149	0.866	-2.78	1.73	Kaufmann et al. (2003)	-
GOVERNMENT EFFECTIV.	0.222	0.971	-1.22	2.51	Kaufmann et al. (2003)	-
VOICE AND ACCOUNT.	0.161	0.891	-1.64	1.76	Kaufmann et al. (2003)	(-)
RULE OF LAW	0.194	0.989	-1.25	2.20	Kaufmann et al. (2003)	-
REGULATORY QUALITY	0.287	0.868	-2.70	2.31	Kaufmann et al. (2003)	(-)
ECONOMIC FREEDOM						
LEGAL SYSTEM	5.888	1.849	2.20	9.60	The Fraser Institute	-
LAW AND ORDER	6.862	2.448	0.00	10.00	The Fraser Institute	-
JUD. INDEPENDENCE	6.491	2.187	1.50	9.80	The Fraser Institute	-
IMPARTIAL COURTS	5.930	1.795	2.50	9.70	The Fraser Institute	-
PROPERTY RIGHTS	5.336	2.021	1.20	9.40	The Fraser Institute	-
MILITARY INTERFERENCE	6.985	2.355	0.00	10.00	The Fraser Institute	-
ADMINISTR. CONDITIONS	7.099	0.716	5.10	8.50	The Fraser Institute	-
BUREAUCRACY (TIME)	6.618	1.488	2.20	9.70	The Fraser Institute	(-)
STARTING BUSINESS	5.770	1.567	2.50	9.10	The Fraser Institute	(-)
IRREGULAR PAYMENTS	6.071	2.280	0.60	10.00	The Fraser Institute	-
BUSINESS REGULATIONS	6.214	1.478	2.60	9.40	The Fraser Institute	-
WILLIGNESS TO PAY TAXES					World Values Survey	
TAX MORALE	2.085	0.396	1.11	2.96	world values burvey	_
THE MORIEE	2.003	0.570	1.11	2.70		
CONTROL VARIABLES						
LOG (GDP PER CAPITA)	7.654	1.586	4.71	10.53	World Development Indicators	(-)
AGRICULTURE (% of GDP)	16.640	13.442	0.07	57.65	World Development Indicators	(+)
URBANIZATION	55.715	22.131	8.90	100.00	World Development Indicators	(+)
LOG (POPULATION)	16.550	1.306	14.17	20.95	World Development Indicators	(-)
LOG (LABOR FORCE)	15.705	1.315	13.15	20.42	World Development Indicators	(+)
TRADE (% GDP)	71.811	39.133	14.41	290.85	World Development Indicators	((-))
TOP MARGINAL TAX RATE	4.794	2.727	0.00	10.00	The Fraser Institute	(+)
PRICE CONTROLS	4.592	2.853	0.00	10.00	The Fraser Institute	((-))
LABOR MARKET REGULATIONS	5.145	1.375	1.80	8.90	The Fraser Institute	((+))
INSTRUMENTS	_					
ANNUAL TEMPERATURE	16.789	8.194	-5.50	29.00	Mitchell et al. (2003)	
CLOUDINESS (%)	54.621	14.302	18.90	77.50	Mitchell et al. (2003)	
SOCIO ECONOMIC CONDITIONS	5.693	1.943	1.00	11.00	ICRG	
INDEX MORAL VALUES	62.535	13.166	28.100	94.250	World Values Survey	
LATITUDE	0.343	0.195	0.011	0.711	La Porta et al. (1999)	
LINGUISTIC FRACTIONALIZATION	0.372	0.288	0.002	0.923	Alesina et al. (2003)	
SHARE OF PROTESTANTS	10.543	19.700	0.000	97.800	La Porta et al. (1999)	
	10.010	22.700	0.000	,,.000	\ /	

Notes: Tendencies: - Reduction of the shadow economy, always statistically significant.. (+) and (-) mostly or sometimes statistically significant ((+)), ((-)), (almost) never statistically significant.

15.01.2007 page 44 out of 54

Table A2: Descriptive Statistics and a Summary of the Results (Within Country Investigation)

VARIABLES	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max	Source	Results
DEPENDENT VARIABLE						
SHADOW ECONOMY	0.073	0.013	0.05	0.10	Own calculations	
INSTITUTIONS						
DEMOCRATIC PARTICIPATION	4.256	1.200	1.58	5.83	Own calculation based	
RIGHTS					on Stutzer (1999)	-
WILLIGNESS TO PAY TAXES						
TAX MORALE	1.894	0.353	1.03	3.00	WVS, ISSP	-
CONTROL VARIABLES						
TAX BURDEN	103.328	17.522	56.90	143.00	Swiss Federal Statistical Office	(-)
PROBABILITY OF DETECTION	63.188	41.433	3.14	188.98	Frey and Feld (2002)	(+)
LABOR FORCE	0.502	0.027	0.44	0.56	Swiss Federal Statistical Office	-
URBANIZATION	0.324	0.250	0.00	0.99	Swiss Federal Statistical Office	(+)
SHARE OF REGISTERED	0.412	0.111	0.13	0.61	Swiss Federal Statistical Office	+
HOUSE PROPRIETORS						
INSTRUMENT (RELIGION)						
SHARE OF PROTESTANTS	0.297	0.188	0.06	0.75	Swiss Federal Statistical Office	

Notes: Tendencies: - Reduction of the shadow economy, always statistically significant.. (+) and (-) mostly or sometimes statistically significant.

15.01.2007 page 45 out of 54

Table A3: Overvie	ew of the Countries				
19	990	19	995	20	000
countries	countries	countries	countries	countries	countries
Albania	Madagascar	Albania	Malawi	Albania	Lebanon
Algeria	Malawi	Algeria	Malaysia	Algeria	Lithuania
Argentina	Malaysia	Argentina	Mali	Argentina	Madagascar
Australia	Mali	Australia	Mexico	Armenia	Malawi
Austria	Mexico	Austria	Mongolia	Australia	Malaysia
Bangladesh	Mongolia	Bangladesh	Morocco	Austria	Mali
Belgium	Morocco	Belgium	Mozambique	Azerbaijan	Mexico
Bolivia	Mozambique	Bolivia	Netherlands	Bangladesh	Moldova
Botswana	Netherlands	Botswana	New Zealand	Belarus	Mongolia
Brazil	New Zealand	Brazil	Nicaragua	Belgium	Morocco
Bulgaria	Nicaragua	Burkina Faso	Niger	Bolivia	Mozambique
Burkina Faso	Niger	Cameroon	Nigeria	Botswana	Netherlands
Cameroon	Nigeria	Canada	Norway	Brazil	New Zealand
Canada	Norway	Chile	Pakistan	Bulgaria	Nicaragua
Chile	Pakistan	China	Panama	Burkina Faso	Niger
China	Panama	Colombia	Peru	Cameroon	Nigeria
Colombia	Peru	Costa Rica	Philippines	Canada	Norway
Costa Rica	Philippines	Cote d'Ivoire	Poland	Chile	Pakistan
Cote d'Ivoire	Poland	Czech Republic	Portugal	China	Panama
Denmark	Portugal	Denmark	Romania	Colombia	Peru
Dominican Republic	Romania	Dominican Republic	Russian Federation	Costa Rica	Philippines
Ecuador	Saudi Arabia	Ecuador	Saudi Arabia	Cote d'Ivoire	Poland
Egypt, Arab Rep.	Senegal	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Senegal	Croatia	Portugal
Ethiopia	South Africa	Ethiopia	Slovak Republic	Czech Republic	Romania
Finland	Spain	Finland	South Africa	Denmark	Russian Federation
France	Sri Lanka	France	Spain	Dominican Republic	Saudi Arabia
Germany	Sweden	Germany	Sri Lanka	Ecuador	Senegal
Ghana	Switzerland	Ghana	Sweden	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Slovak Republic
Greece	Syrian Arab Republic	Greece	Switzerland	Ethiopia	Slovenia
Guatemala	Tanzania	Guatemala	Syrian Arab Republic	Finland	South Africa
Honduras	Thailand	Honduras	Tanzania	France	Spain
Hong Kong, China	Tunisia	Hong Kong, China	Thailand	Germany	Sri Lanka
Hungary	Turkey	Hungary	Tunisia	Ghana	Sweden
India	Uganda	India	Turkey	Greece	Switzerland
Indonesia	United Arab Emirates	Indonesia	Uganda	Guatemala	Syrian Arab Republic
Iran, Islamic Rep.	United Kingdom	Iran, Islamic Rep.	United Arab Emirates	Honduras	Tanzania
Ireland	United States	Ireland	United Kingdom	Hong Kong, China	Thailand
Italy	Uruguay	Italy	United States	Hungary	Tunisia
Jamaica	Venezuela, RB	Jamaica	Uruguay	India	Turkey
Japan	Vietnam	Japan	Venezuela, RB	Indonesia	Uganda
Jordan	Yemen, Rep.	Jordan	Vietnam	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Ukraine
Kenya	Zambia	Korea, Rep.	Yemen, Rep.	Ireland	United Arab Emirates
Korea, Rep.	Zimbabwe	Lebanon	Zambia	Italy	United Kingdom
		Madagascar	Zimbabwe	Jamaica	United States
				Japan	Uruguay
				Jordan	Venezuela, RB
				Kazakhstan	Vietnam
				Kenya	Yemen, Rep.
				Korea, Rep.	Zambia
				Latvia	Zimbabwe
TOTAL	86		88		100

Note: Countries in *Table 1*(highest number of observations).

15.01.2007 page 46 out of 54

## 8. REFERENCES

- Abed, G.T, and S. Gupta (eds.), *Governance, Corruption and Economic Performance*. Washington: International Monetary Fund.
- Acemoglu, D. S. Johnson and J. Robinson (2001). The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation, *American Economic Review*. 91: 1369-1401.
- Ajzen, I. and M. Fishbein (1980). *Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behaviour*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.
- Alesina, A., A. Devleeschauwer, W. Easterly, S. Kurlat and R. Wacziarg (2003). Fractionalization, *Journal of Economic Growth*. 8: 155-194.
- Allingham, M. G. and A. Sandmo (1972). Income Tax Evasion: A Theoretical Analysis, *Journal of Public Economics*. 1: 323-338.
- Alm, J. (1996). Explaining Tax Compliance, in: S. Pozo (ed.), *Exploring the Underground Economy*. Kalamazoo W. E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research: 103-128.
- Alm, J. (1999). Tax Compliance and Administration, in: W. B. Hildreth, J. A. Richardson (eds.), *Handbook on Taxation*. New York: Marcel Dekker: 741-768.
- Alm, J. and B. Torgler (2006). Culture Differences and Tax Morale in the United States and Europe, *Journal of Economic Psychology*. 27: 224-246.
- Alm, J., J. Martinez-Vazquez and F. Schneider (2004). 'Sizing' the Problem of the Hard-To-Tax, paper presented at the "Hard to Tax: An International Perspective" in J. Alm, J. Martinez-Vazquez, and S. Wallace (eds.). *Taxing the Hard-to-Tax*, Elsevier: Amsterdam.
- Alm, J., J. Martinez-Vazquez and B. Torgler (2006). Russian Attitudes Toward Paying Taxes Before, During, and After the Transition, *International Journal of Social Economics*. 33: 832-857.
- Alm, J., G. H. McClelland, and W. D. Schulze (1992). Why Do People Pay Taxes?, *Journal of Public Economics*. 48: 21-38.

15.01.2007 page 47 out of 54

- Alm, J., G. H. McClelland and W. D. Schulze (1999). Changing the Social Norm of Tax Compliance by Voting, *KYKLOS*. 52: 141-171.
- Alm, J., B. R. Jackson and M. McKee (1993). Fiscal Exchange, Collective Decision Institutions, and Tax Compliance., *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*. 22: 285-303.
- Andreoni, J., B. Erard and J. Feinstein (1998). Tax Compliance, *Journal of Economic Literature*. 36:818-860.
- Bahl, R. W. (1971). A Regression Approach to Tax Effort and Tax Ratio Analysis, *International Monetary Fund Staff Paper*. 18: 570-612.
- Bahl, R. W. (2003). Reaching the Hardest to Tax: Consequences and Possibilities, paper presented at the "Hard to Tax: An International Perspective" conference, Andrew Young School of Policy Studies, Georgia State University, May 15-16.
- Bai, C.-E. and S-J. Wei (2000). The Quality of the Bureaucracy and Capital Account Policies, World Bank Working paper 2575.
- Bird, R., J. Martinez-Vazquez and B. Torgler (2006). Societal Institutions and Tax Effort in Developing Countries, in: J. Alm, J. Martinez-Vazquez and M. Rider (eds.), *The Challenges of Tax Reform in the Global Economy*. New York: Springer, pp. 283-338.
- Beach, W. W. and M. A. Miles (2005). Explaining the Factors of the Index of Economic Freedom, chapter 5, 2005 Index of Economic Freedom, The Heritage Foundation, Washington.
- Chelliah, R. J. (1971). Trends in Taxation in Developing Countries, *International Monetary Fund Staff Papers*. 18: 254-331.
- Cialdini, R. B. (1989). Social Motivations to Comply: Norms, Values and Principles., in J. A. Roth and J.
   T. Scholz (eds.), *Taxpayer Compliance, Volume* 2. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, pp. 200-227.
- Coyle, .D. (2004). *Sex, Drugs & Economics*. An Unconventional Introduction to Economics. Australia: Thomson Texere.

15.01.2007 page 48 out of 54

- Cummings, R. G., J. Martinez-Vazquez, M. McKee and B. Torgler (2005). Effects of Culture on Tax Compliance: A Cross Check of Experimental and Survey Evidence. CREMA Working Paper 2005-29, Basel, Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts.
- Diamond, J. (1997). Guns, Germs, and Steel. New York: W. W. Northon & Co., Inc.
- Dreher, A. and F. Schneider (2006). Corruption and the Shadow Economy: An Empirical Analysis, CREMA Working Paper 2006-01, Basel, Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts.
- Eagles, J. M. (1994). The Relationship Between Mood and Daily Hours of Sunlight in Rapid Cycling Bipolar Illness, *Biological Psychiatry*. 36: 422-424.
- Engerman, S. and K. Sokoloff (1997). Factor Endowments, Institutions and Differential Paths of Growth among the New World Economis, in S. Haber (ed.), *How Latin America Fell Behind*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Feld, L. P. and Frey, B. S. (2002). Trust Breeds Trust: How Taxpayers Are Treated. *Economics of Governance* . 3: 87-99.
- Feld L. P. and J.-R. Tyran (2002). Tax Evasion and Voting: An Experimental Analysis, *KYKLOS*. 55: 197-222.
- Frey, B. S. (1997). *Not Just for the Money*. An Economic Theory of Personal Motivation. Cheltenham, UK, Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Frey, B. S. (1999). *Economics as a Science of Human Behaviour*, Boston/Dordrecht/London: Kluwer.
- Frey, B. S. (2003). The Role of Deterrence and Tax Morale in Taxation in the European Union. Jelle

  Zijlstra Lecture, Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study in the Humanities and Social Sciences

  (NIAS).
- Frey, B. S. and L. P. Feld, 2002, Deterrence and Morale in Taxation: An Empirical Analysis, CESifo Working Paper No. 760, August 2002.

15.01.2007 page 49 out of 54

- Friedman, E., S. Johnson, D. Kaufmann, and P. Zoido-Lobaton (2000). Dodging the Grabbing Hand: The Determinants of Unofficial Activities in 69 Countries, Journal of Public Economics. 76: 459-493.
- Graetz, M. J. and L. L. Wilde (1985). The Economics of Tax Compliance: Facts and Fantasy, National Tax Journal. 38: 355-363.
- Gwarney, J., R. Lawson and W. Easterly (eds.) (2006). Economic Freedom of the World, 2006

  Annual Report. Fraser Institute, Vancouver.
- Rothschild, K. W. (2001). The Reluctant Rebel Or Glamour and Poverty of the Homo Oeconomicus, *KYKLOS*. 2/3: 445-452.
- Hall, R. and C. Jones (1999). Why Do Some Countries Produce so Much More per Worker than Others?, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 114: 83-116.
- Hall, A., G. Rudebusch, and D. Wilcox (1996). Judging Instrument Relevance in Instrumental Variable Estimation, *International Economic Review*. 37: 283-298.
- Hamilton, L. C. (2004). Statistics with STATA. Belmont: Brooks/Cole.
- Hirshleifer, D. and T. Shumway (2003). Good Day Sunshine: Stock Returns and the Weather, *Journal of Finance*. 58: 1009-1032.
- Inglehart, R. et al. (2000). *Codebook for World Values Survey*. Ann Arbor: Institute for Social Research.
- ISSP (1998). Codebook, Religion II, ZA Study 3190, Zentralarchiv für Empirische Sozialforschung, Köln.
- Jain, A. (2001). Corruption: A Review, Journal of Economic Surveys. 15: 71-120.
- Kaufmann, D., A. Kraay and M. Mastruzzi (2003). Governance Matters III: Governance Indicators for 1996-2002, World Bank, June, 30.
- Kaufmann, D., G. Mehrez and T. Gurgur (2002). Voice or Public Sector Management? An Empirical Investigation of Determinants of Public Sector Performance Based on a Survey of Public Officials, unpublished manuscript, World Bank.

15.01.2007 page 50 out of 54

- Kidder, R. and C. McEwen (1989). Taxpaying Behavior In Social Context: A Tentative Typology of Tax Compliance and Noncompliance, in: J. A. Roth and J. T. Scholz (eds). *Taxpayer Compliance, Volume* 2. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, pp. 46-75.
- Knack, S. (1999). Aid Dependence and the Quality of Governance, IRIS Center, Working Paper, University of Maryland.
- Knack, S. and P. Keefer (1997). Does Social Capital Have an Economic Payoff: A Cross-Country Investigation, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 4: 1251-1288.
- Kobach, K. W. (1994). Switzerland, in: D. Butler and A. Ranney (eds.), *Referendums around the World*. Washington: AEI Press, pp. 98–153
- La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, and R. Vishny (1999). The Quality of Government, *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*. 15: 222-278.
- Lambsdorff, J. (1999). Corruption in Empirical Research: A Review, Transparency International Working Paper, November, 1999.
- Landes, D. (1998). *The Wealth and Poverty of Nations: Why Some Are So Rich and Some So Poor*, New York: Norton.
- Lambsdorff, J. G. (2005). Methodology of the 2005 Corruption Perceptions Index. Transparency International and University of Passau, September.
- Lempert, R. O. (1972). Norm-Making in Social Exchange: A Contract Law Model, *Law and Society Review*. 1: 1-32.
- Levi, M. (1988). Rules and Revenue. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Levin, M. and G. Satarov (2000). Corruption and Institutions in Russia. *European Journal of Political Economy* 16: 113-132.
- Lewis, A. (1982). The Psychology of Taxation. Oxford: Martin Robertson.
- McEwen, C. A. and R. J. Maiman (1986). In Search of Legitimacy: Toward an Empirical Response Analysis, *Law & Policy*. 8: 257-273.

15.01.2007 page 51 out of 54

- Mitchell, T. D., T. R. Carter, P. D., Jones, M. Hulme, and M. New (2003) A Comprehensive Set of High-Resolution Grids of Monthly Climate for Europe and the Globe: The Observed Record (1901-2000) and 16 Scenarios (2001-2100), unpublished manuscript, Tyndall Centre.
- Pommerehne, W. W. and H. Weck-Hannemann (1996). Tax Rates, Tax Administration and Income Tax Evasion in Switzerland, *Public Choice*. 88: 161-170.
- Putnam, R. (1993). Making Democratic Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy. Princeton: University Press.
- Roll, R. W. (1992). Weather, in: P. Newman, M. Milgate and J. Eatwell (eds.), *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Money and Finance*. London: Macmillan Press.
- Sachs, J. (2000). Tropical Underdevelopment, NBER Working Paper, No. 8119.
- Sargan, D. (1958). The Estimation of Economic Relationships Using Instrumental Variables, *Econometrica*. 26: 393-411.
- Saunders, W. M., Jr. (1993). Stock Prices and Wall Street Weather, *American Economic Review*. 83: 1337-1347.
- Schaltegger, C. A. and B. Torgler (2007). Government Accountability and Fiscal Discipline: A Panel Analysis with Swiss Data, *Journal of Public Economics*. 91: 117-140.
- Schmölders, G. (1970). Survey Research in Public Finance: A Behavioral Approach to Fiscal Theory, *Public Finance*. 25: 300-306.
- Slemrod, J. (1998). On Voluntary Compliance, Voluntary Taxes, and Social Capital, *National Tax Journal*. 51: 485-492.
- Song, Y. and Y. E. Yarbrough (1978). Tax Ethics and Taxpayer Attitudes: A Survey, *Public Administration Review*. 38: 442-457.
- Spicer, M. W. and S. B. Lundstedt (1976). Understanding Tax Evasion. *Public Finance*. 31: 295-304.
- Stutzer, A. 1999. Demokratieindizes für die Kantone der Schweiz. Working Paper No. 23. Institute for Empirical Research in Economics, University of Zurich.

15.01.2007 page 52 out of 54

- Strümpel, B. (1969). The Contribution of Survey Research to Public Finance, in: A. T. Peacock (ed.), *Quantitative Analysis in Public Finance*. New York: Praeger Publishers: 14-32.
- Schneider, F. (2005a). Shadow Economies of 145 Countries All Over the World: What Do We Really Know?, CREMA Working Paper 2006-01, Basel, Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts.
- Schneider, F. (2005b). Shadow Economies Around the World: What Do We Really Know?, European Journal of Political Economy. 21: 598-642.
- Schneider, F. and D. Enste (2000). Shadow Economies: Size, Causes, and Consequences, *Journal of Economic Literature*. 38: 77-114.
- Schneider, F. and D. H. Enste (2002). *The Shadow Economy*. An International Survey. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tietjen, G. H. and D. F. Kripke (1994). Suicides in California (1968-1977) Absence of Seasonality in Los Angeles and Sacramento Countries, *Psychiatric Research*. 53: 161-172.
- Torgler, B. (2002). Speaking to Theorists and Searching for Facts: Tax Morale and Tax Compliance in Experiments, *Journal of Economic Surveys*. 16: 657-684.
- Torgler, B. (2005). Tax Morale and Direct Democracy, *European Journal of Political Economy*. 21: 525-531.
- Torgler, B. (2005). Tax Morale in Latin America, Public Choice. 122: 133-157.
- Torgler, B. (2007). Tax Compliance and Tax Morale: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, forthcoming in: Edward Elgar.
- Torgler, B. and C. A. Schaltegger (2005). Tax Amnesties and Political Participation, *Public Finance Review*. 33: 403-431
- Treisman, D. (2000). The Cause of Corruption: A Cross-National Study, *Journal of Public Economics*. 76: 399-457.
- Tyler, T. R. (1990a). Justice, Self-Interest, and the Legitimacy of Legal and Political Authority, in: J. J. Mansbridge (ed.). *Beyond Self-Interest*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, pp. 171-179.

15.01.2007 page 53 out of 54

- Tyler, T. R. (1990b). Why People Obey the Law. New Haven: Yale.
- Tyler, T. R. (1997). Procedural Fairness and Compliance with the Law. *Swiss Journal of Economics and Statistics*. 133: 219-240.
- Tyler, T. R., J. D. Casper and B. Fisher (1989). Maintaining Allegiance Toward Political Authorities: The Role of Prior Attitudes and the Use of Fair Procedures, *American Journal of Political Science* **33**: 629-652.
- Weber, M. (1958). *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.

15.01.2007 page 54 out of 54

## ARBEITSPAPIERE 1991-2007

## des Instituts für Volkswirtschaftslehre, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz

- 9101 WEISS, Christoph: Price inertia and market structure under incomplete information. Jänner 1991. in: Applied Economics, 1992
- 9102 BARTEL, Rainer: Grundlagen der Wirtschaftspolitik und ihre Problematik. Ein einführender Leitfaden zur Theorie der Wirtschaftspolitik. Jänner 1991; Kurzfassung erschienen unter: Wirtschaftspolitik in der Marktwirtschaft, in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 17. 1991.2. S. 229-249
- 9103 FALKINGER, Josef: External effects of information. Jänner 1991
- 9104 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; Mechanik und Ökonomie: Keplers Traum und die Zukunft. Jänner 1991, in: R. Sandgruber und F. Schneider (Hrsg.), "Interdisziplinarität Heute", Linz, Trauner, 1991
- 9105 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Manpower training programs and employment stability, in: *Econo*mica, 63, 1995, S. 128-130
- 9106 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Partial retirement and the earnings test. Februar 1991, in: Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie / Journal of Economics, 57, 1993,3, S. 295-303
- 9107 FALKINGER, Josef: The impacts of policy on quality and price in a vertically integrated sector. März 1991. Revidierte Fassung: On the effects of price or quality regulations in a monopoly market, in: *Jahrbuch für Sozialwissenschaft*.
- 9108 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael, WEISS, Christoph R., ZWEI-MÜLLER, Josef: Farm income, market wages, and off-farm labour supply, in: *Empirica*, 18, 2, 1991, S. 221-235
- 9109 BARTEL, Rainer, van RIETSCHOTEN, Kees: A perspective of modern public auditing. Pleading for more science and less pressure-group policy in public sector policies. Juni 1991, dt. Fassung: Eine Vision von moderner öffentlicher Finanzkontrolle, in: Das öffentliche Haushaltswesen in Österreich, 32. 1991,3-4, S. 151-187
- 9110 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and LENZELBAUER, Werner: An inverse relationship between efficiency and profitability according to the size of Upper—Austrian firms? Some further tentative results, in: Small Business Economics, 5. 1993,1, S. 1-22
- 9111 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Wirtschaftspolitische Maßnahmen zur Steigerung der Effizienz der österreichischen Gemeinwirtschaft: Ein Plädoyer für eine aktivere Industrie- und Wettbewerbspolitik. Juli 1991, in: Öffentliche Wirtschaft und Gemeinwirtschaft in Österreich, Wien, Manz, 1992, S. 90-114
- 9112 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Unequal promotion on job ladders, in: *Journal of Labor Economics*, 15. 1997.1.1. S. 70-71
- 9113 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Bargaining with reasonable aspirations. Oktober 1991, in: *Theory and Decision*, 37, 1994, S 311-
- 9114 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Gender wage differentials and private and public sector jobs. Oktober 1991, in: *Journal of Population Economics*, 7. 1994, S. 271-285
- 9115 BRUNNER, Johann K., WICKSTRÖM, Bengt-Arne: Politically stable pay-as-you-go pension systems: Why the social-insurance budget is too small in a democracy. November 1991, in: *Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie = Journal of Economics*, 7. 1993, S. 177-190.
- 9116 WINTER-EBMER; Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Occupational segregation and career advancement. Dezember 1991, in: *Economics Letters*, 39. 1992, S. 229-234

9201 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Ecological objectives in a market economy: Three simple questions, but no simple answers? Jänner 1992, in: Giersch, H. (Hrsg.), Environmental economics, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1993

- 9202 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The federal and fiscal structures of representative and direct democracies as models for a European federal union: Some preliminary ideas using the public-choice approach, in: *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 3, 1993.2
- 9203 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The development of the shadow economy under changing economic conditions: Some tentative empirical results for Austria. Revised version. März 1992.
- 9204 HACKL, Franz, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, WITHERS, Glenn: The public sector in Australia: A quantitative analysis. März 1992, in: Gemmell, N. (ed), *The growth of the public sector*, Aldershot, Elgar, 1993, S. 212-231
- 9205 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The federal and fiscal structures of western democracies as models for a federal union in former communist countries? Some thoughts using the public-choice approach. April 1992, in: Wagner, H.-J. (ed.), On the theory and policy of systematic change, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1993, S. 135-154
- 9206 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Endogenous growth, human capital, and industry wages. in: *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 4/1994, 289-314.
- 9207 BARTEL, Rainer: Gleichgewicht, Ungleichgewicht und Anpassung in der komparativen Statik. August 1992; 1. Teil erschienen unter: Auf welchen Grundlagen beruhen unsere ökonomischen Aussagen? in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 19, 2, 1993, S. 153-170; 2. Teil erschienen unter: Neoklassische Rationierung, in: WiSt, 23, 3, 1993, S. 151-154
- 9208 WEISS, Christoph R.: Market structure and pricing behaviour in Austrian manufacturing. August 1992. in: *Empirica*, 21. 1994, S. 115-131.
- 9209 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Unemployment and individual pay: Wage curve or compen-sating differentials? erscheint u.d.T.: Wage Curve, Unemployment Duration and Compensating Differentials, in: *Labour Economics*, 3/1996,4, S. 425-434
- 9210 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Chaostheorie und Verkehrswissenschaft? September 1992, in: Österreichische Zeitschrift für Verkehrswissenschaft, 1-2, 38. 1992, S. 48-51
- 9211 BARTEL, Rainer, PRUCKNER, Gerald: Strukturelle und konjunkturelle Charakteristika der Budgetpolitik von Bund und Gesamtstaat in Österreich. Oktober 1992, in: Wirtschaftspolitische Blätter, 40. 1993, 2, S. 134-154
- 9212 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign direct investment and exports: A time series approach. Oktober 1992
- 9213 HACKL, Franz, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Austrian economic policy since 1945: An ex-ploratory analysis. Oktober 1992, in: Paldam, M. (ed.), Economic development of small open economies in Europe and South America, Basingstoke, Macmillan, forthcoming 1994
- 9214 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Die Kunst als Wirtschaftsfaktor vernachlässigbar oder beach-tenswert? Oktober 1992, in: Musicologica Austriaca, 11. 1993,1, S. 19-29
- 9215 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Measuring the size and the development of the shadow economy: Can the causes be found and the obstacles be overcome? November 1992, in: Brandstätter, Hermann and Güth, W. (eds.), Essays on Economic Psychology, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1994, S. 208-211
- 9216 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Public choice economic theory of politics: A survey in selected areas. Dezember 1992, in: Brandstätter, Hermann and Güth, W. (eds.), Essays on

Economic Psychology, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1994, S. 188-192

\*\*\*

- 9301 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Energiepolitik im Spannungsfeld zwischen Wirtschaft und Umwelt. Jänner 1993, in: Friedrich Schneider (Hrsg.), Energiepolitik in Österreich, Linz, Trauner, 1993
- 9302 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Motivation to migrate and economic success. März 1993, erscheint u.d.T.: Motivation for Migration and Economic Success, in: *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 15, 1994, S. 282-284
- 9303 LANDESMANN, Michael and GOODWIN, Richard: Productivity growth, structural change and macroeconomic stability. März 1993
- 9304 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign outward direct investment and exports in Austrian manufacturing, März 1993
- 9305 BARTEL, Rainer: Zur Ökonomie der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle. April 1993, erschienen unter: Öffentliche Finanzkontrolle als politische Machtkontrolle. Eine ökonomische Fundierung, in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift*, 34. 1993,4, S. 613-639
- 9306 HACKL, Franz: Die Internalisierung von überbetrieblichen Leistungen der Landwirtschaft aus allokationstheoretischer Sicht. April 1993.
- 9307 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, FAL-KINGER, Josef: Retirement of spouses and social security reform, in: European Economic Review, 40/1996, S. 471-472
- 9308 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Abilities, needs, and the size of the cake: an axiomatic bargaining approach to redistributive taxation. Juli 1993.
- 9309 HACKL, Franz, PRUCKNER, Gerald: Touristische Präferenzen für den ländlichen Raum: Die Problematik ihrer empirischen Erfassung und Internalisierung. Juli 1993. Ersch. in: Gesellschaftliche Forderungen an die Landwirtschaft / Gesellschaft für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften des Landbaues (GEWISOLA), hrsg. von Konrad Hagedorn ... 1994, Schriften der GEWISOLA, Bd. 30
- 9310 NECK, Reinhard, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Steuersystem und Schattenwirtschaft. Juli 1993.
- 9311 POINTNER, Johannes und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Österreich im internationalen Writschaftssystem, August 1993, in: Ewald Nowotny und Günther Winckler (Hrsg.), Grundzüge der Wirtschaftspolitik Österreichs. 1994.
- 9312 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Relationship between efficiency and profitability with respect to the size of firms: an empirical investigation for Austria. September 1993.
- 9313 ÖTSCH, Walter: Die mechanistische Metapher in der Theoriengeschichte der Nationalökonomie. September 1993.
- 9314 BARTEL, Rainer: Wirtschaftspolitische Kontrolle und Beratung: Grundlagen, Probleme, Erfordernisse. September 1993, erschienen als: Kontrolle und Beratung in der Wirtschaftspolitik, in: Wirtschaftspolitische Blätter, 41. 1994,4, S. 442-462
- 9315 BARTH, Erling and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Relative wages under decentralized and under corporatist bargaining systems, in: Scandinavian Journal of Economics, 97. 1995,3, S. 369-384
- 9316 FALKINGER, Josef and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The impact of income inequality on product diversity and economic growth. Oktober 1993.
- 9317 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Anreizorientierte Systeme im Gesundheitswesen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des stationären Sektors. Oktober 1993.
- 9318 HORSTMANN, Winfried and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Deficits, bailout and free riders: Fiscal elements of European constitution. Oktober 1993.
- 9319 BARTEL, Rainer. Egoismus, Altruismus, Ineffizienz und Kontrolle im öffentlichen Bereich: Ein kurzer Blick auf die Argumente und ihre Implikationen. November 1993, in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 20. 1994,2, S. 231-246
- 9320 BURGER, Christina: Theorien der Koalitionsbildung und ihre Anwendbarkeit auf österreichische Regierungen. November 1993

BARTEL, Rainer: Konjunkturelle Selbststabiliseriung oder kompensatorische Nachfragepolitik? Ein Leitfaden für Studenten. Dezember 1993, tw. erschienen unter: Konjunkturprobleme - Selbstheilung oder Staatseingriffe?, in: WISO, 17.
 1994,4, S. 111-39, erscheint tw. unter: Lohnindexierung - Effiziente Institution zur Stabilisierung der Wirtschaft?, in: WiSt, 26. 1997,3, S. 154-156

\*\*\*

- 9401 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Immigration and the Earnings of Young Native Workers. Jänner 1994, in: Oxford Economic Papers, 48. 1996, S. 473-491
- 9402 KUNST, Robert, HAUSER, Michael: Fractionally Integrated Models With ARCH Errors. Jänner 1994.
- 9403 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Internal Markets and Firm-Specific Determination of Earnings in the Presence of Immigrant Labor, in: *Economics Letters*, 48. 1995, S. 185-191
- 9404 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Energie und Umwelt. März 1994.
- 9405 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Testing for Ownership Advantages of Direct Investing Firms. März 1994.
- 9406 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Determinanten der Steuerhinterziehung und der Schwarzarbeit im internationalen Vergleich. März 1994.
- 9407 FALKINGER, Josef: Social Stability and the Equity-Efficiency Trade-off. April 1994.
- 9408 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Do Immigrants Displace Native Workers? Mai 1994, erscheint in: *Journal of Population Economics*, 1998.
- 9409 FALKINGER, Josef: How to overcome free-riding: Rewarding deviations from average. Mai 1994. Revidierte Fassung: Efficient Private Provision of Public Goods by Rewarding Deviations from Average, in: *Journal of Public Economics*, 62. 1996,3, S. 413-422
- 9410 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Wealth distribution, innovations, and economic growth. Mai 1994.
- 9411 GANTNER, Manfried, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Budgetausgliederungen - eine polit-ökonomische Analyse. Juni 1994.
- 9412 AIGINGER, Karl: The use of game theoretical models for empirical research - A survey of testing non-cooperative game theory with real world data in recent industrial organization literature. Juni 1994.
- 9413 FALKINGER, Josef: The private provision of public goods when the relative size of contribution matters. Juli 1994, in: *Finanzarchiv*, 51, 1994, S. 358 371.
- 9414 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Sex discrimination and competition in product and labour markets, in: Applied Economics, 27. 1995.9. S. 849-857
- 9415 FALKINGER, Josef, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The cross-country Engel curve for product diversification, August 1994, in: Structural Change and Economic Dynamics, 7. 1996,1, S. 79-97
- 9416 FALKINGER, Josef: Tax evasion, consumption of public goods and fairness, August 1994, in: *Journal of Economics Psychology*, 16, 1995, S. 63 72.
- 9417 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Einige Gedanken zur Harmonisierung indirekter Steuern in der Europäischen Union, September 1994.
- 9418 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Firm size, earnings and displacement risk, Oktober 1994, erscheint in: Economic Inquiry, 2000
- 9419 WEISS, Christoph: Labour market adjustment in U.S. manufacturing: Does market structure matter? Oktober 1994.
- 9420 WEISS, Christoph: State dependence, symmetry and reversibility of off-farm employment, November 1994.
- 9421 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Is there a European public choice perspective?, Dezember 1994.

- 9501 BARTEL, Rainer: Reform des öffentlichen Sektors Grundlagen und Grundsätze, Jänner 1995.
- 9502 RIESE, Martin: The GINI-index as a measure of the goodness of prediction, Jänner 1995, in: *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 49. 1997,2, S. 127-135.

- 9503 AIGINGER, Karl, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf und ZWEI-MÜLLER, Josef: Eastern European Trade and the Austrian Labour Market, in: Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, 132. 1996,3, S. 476-500
- 9504 WEISS, Christoph: Size, Growth, and Survival of Upper Austrian Farms in the 1980s, Februar 1995. in: Sotte, F. and Zanoli, R.: "The Regional Dimension of Agricultural Economics and Politics", forthcoming (1995).
- 9505 BARTEL, Rainer: Umweltpolitik in den Reformländern Europas. Voraussetzungen und Erfordernisse, Februar 1995.
- 9506 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign Outward Direct Investment and Exports in Austrian Manufacturing: Substitutes or Complements?, March 1995.
- 9507 BURGER, Christina, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: How Valuable is the Health of the Elderly- Evaluation of the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease; April 1995.
- 9508 BRUNNER, Johann, RIESE, Martin: Measuring the Severity of Unemployment, April 1995.
- 9509 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Volkswirtschaftliche Aspekte der Mitarbeiterbeteiligung, Mai 1995.
- 9510 ÖTSCH, Walter: Erwartungen und Framing. Keynes und die "Anomalien" der Erwartungsnutzentheorie, Mai 1995.
- 9511 ÖTSCH, Walter: Die Herausforderung des Konstruktivismus für die ökonomische Theorie, Mai 1995, in: Birger P. Priddat und Gerhard Wegner, Hrsg., Zwischen Evolution und Institution, Metropolis-Verl., Marburg, 1996, S. 35 - 55
- 9512 ÖTSCH, Walter: Kreativität und Logik im ökonomischen Handlungsmodell, Mai 1995.
- 9513 WEISS, Christoph: Determinants of Farm Survival and Growth, Mai 1995.
- 9514 BARTEL, Rainer: Zum Verhältnis von Ökonomie und Politik des öffentlichen Sektors. Einige kurze Anmerkungen, Juni 1995
- 9515 KUNST, Robert M.: The Myth of Misspecification. Some Metaphors, Juni 1995.
- 9516 VAN DER BURG, Brigitte, SIEGERS, Jacques, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Gender and Promotion in the Academic Labour Market. Juli 1995.
- 9517 FALKINGER, Josef, FEHR, Ernst, GÄCHTER, Simon, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: A simple mechanism for the efficient private provision of public goods experimental evidence, August 1995, erscheint in: American Economic Review, 1999.
- 9518 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Some Elements of a European Federal Union: A Public Choice Approach, September 1995.
- 9519 BRUNNER, Johann, FALKINGER, Josef: Nonneutrality of taxes and subsidies for the private provision of public goods, September 1995.
- 9520 WEISS, Christoph: Product Market Power and Dynamic Labour Demand, September 1995.
- 9521 LANDESMANN, Michael, PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Technological Competition and Trade Performance, October, 1995.

- 9601 WEISS, Christoph: Exits From a Declining Sector: Econometric Evidence From a Panel of Upper-Austrian Farms 1980-90., Jänner 1996.
- 9602 BÖS, Dieter und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Private-public partnership: Gemeinschaftsunternehmen zwischen Privaten und der öffentlichen Hand, Februar 1996.
- 9603 GÄCHTER, Simon, FEHR, Ernst, KMENT, Christiane: Does Social Exchange Increase Voluntary Cooperation?, Februar 1996.
- 9604 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, BRUNNER, Johann: Heterogeneous consumers, vertical product differentiation and the rate of innovation, März 1996.
- 9605 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Contributions of Werner W. Pommerehne to Public Choice, März 1996.
- 9606 SEDJAV, Tsagaan-Uvgun: Wissenschaftlich-technologische Entwicklungsfragen der Mongolei, April 1996, Wissenschaftlicher Betreuer: o.Univ.-Prof. Dr. Helmut Schuster, B.Com

- 9607 KEUSCHNIGG, Christian u. KOHLER Wilhelm: Innovation, Capital Accumulation and Economic Transition, revised version April 1996.
- 9608 AIGINGER, Karl: Beyond Trade Balances: the competitive race between the US, Japan and Europe, Juni 1996.
- 9609 POMMEREHNE, Werner W., HART, Albert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Tragic Choices and Collective Decision-Making: An Empirical Study of Voter Preferences for Alternative Collective Decision-Making Mechanisms, Juli 1996
- 9610 BARTEL, Rainer, POINTNER, Johannes, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Österreich im internationalen Wirschaftssystem, Juli 1996, erschienen in: E.Nowotny und G. Winckler (Hg.), Grundzüge der Wirtschaftspolitik Österreichs, 2. Aufl., Manz-Verlag, Wien 1997, S. 49-98.
- 9611 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, VOLKERT, Jürgen: Die Realisierung ökologisch-orientierter Wirtschaftspolitik - eine Unmöglichkeit? Überlegungen aus Sicht der Neuen Politischen Ökonomie, Juli 1996
- 9612 AIGINGER, Karl, WEISS, Christoph R.: Does it Pay to be Flexible? Empirical Evidence on the Relation- ship between Labour Demand Flexibility and Profit Margins, Juli 1996.
- 9613 WEISS, Christoph R.: Beneficial Concentration in a Menu Cost Model: A Note, August 1996.
- 9614 GUSENLEITNER, Markus, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The Distribution of Earnings in Austria, 1972-1991, Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv, 3/98.
- 9615 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf:: Benefit Duration and Unemployment Entry: Quasi-Experimental Evidence for Austria, Oktober 1996.
- 9616 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf:: Potential Unemployment Benefit Duration and Spell Length: Lessons from a Quasi-Experiment in Austria, in: Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 60. 1998,1, S. 33-45
- 9617 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, FREY, Bruno S.: Warum wird die Umweltökonomik kaum angewendet?, November 1996.
- 9618 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Aktuelle Ergebnisse über die Schattenwirtschaft (Pfusch) in Österreich, November 1996.
- 9619 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die langfristige Entwicklung der Transformationsländer Osteuropas: Welche Rolle spielt die Integration der Märkte?, Dezember 1996.
- 9620 BRÜNNER, Johann K., PRINZ, Christopher, WIRTH, Friedrich: Die Zukunft der gesetzlichen Pensionsversicherung, Dezember 1996.
- 9621 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, GAWEL, Erik: Umsetzungsprobleme ökologisch orientierter Steuerpolitik: Eine polit-ökonomische Analyse, Dezember 1996.

- 9701 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Hält der EURO, was er verspricht? Ökonomische Überlegungen zur Stabilität und zur Einführung des EURO. Jänner 1997.
- 9702 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Welche Chancen hat Österreich als Wirtschaftsstandort im EU- und Globalisierungskontext derzeit und in Zukunft?, Jänner 1997.
- 9703 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Ökonomische Analyse des umlagefinanzierten Pensionsversicherungssystems, Jänner 1997.
- 9704 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael, WEISS, Christoph R.: On Market Power and Investment Behaviour, January 1997.
- 9705 LANDESMANN, Michael A., STEHRER, Robert: Industrial Specialisation, Catching-up and Labour Market Dynamics, January 1997.
- 9706 BARTEL, Rainer: Taking even introductory textbooks seriously. A note on the importance of a usual neglect, February 1997
- 9707 KUNST, Robert M.: Decision bounds for data-admissible seasonal models. March 1997.
- 9708 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Intra-firm Wage Dispersion and Firm Performance, Kyklos, 1999.
- 9709 PRITZL, F. J. Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Korruption, März 1997.

- 9710 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Empirical Results for the Size of the Shadow Economy of Western European Countries Over Time, März 1997
- 9711 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und VOLKERT, Jürgen: No Chance for Incentive-orientated Environmental Policies in Representative Democracies? A Public Choice Approach, März 1997.
- 9712 FALKINGER, Josef: Wachstum, Verteilung und Beschäftigung, März 1997.
- 9713 PRITZL, F. J. Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zur Politischen Ökonomie autokratischer politischer Systeme - Ein theoretischer und empirischer Ansatz, April 1997.
- 9714 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Das Phänomen der strukturellen Arbeitslosigkeit und Maßnahmen zu seiner Bekämpfung,, Mai 1997.
- 9715 BARTEL, Rainer: Paradigmatik versus Pragmatik in der (Umwelt-)Ökonomie. Eine epistemologische Sicht, Mai 1997.
- 9716 BERGER, Helge und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Does the Bundesbank Yield in Conflicts? Frey and Schneider Revisited, Juni 1997.
- 9717 RIESE, Martin und BRUNNER, Johann K.: Interpreting risk with demographic statistics, Juni 1997.
- 9718 KUNST, Robert M.: Asymptotics for Unit-Root Processes with Underspecified Deterministic Structures, Juni 1997.
- 9719 GAWEL, Erik und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Implementation Problems of Eco-Taxation: A Political-Economy Analysis, Juli 1997
- 9720 PRITZL, Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Political Economy of Autocratic Political Regimes: A Theoretical and Empirical Approach, Juli 1997
- 9721 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Unknown Wage Offer Distribution and Job Search Duration, *Economics Letters*, 1998.
- 9722 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Optimal Taxation of Income and Bequests, August 1997
- 9723 KEUSCHNIGG, Christian and KOHLER, Wilhelm: Eastern Enlargement of the EU: How Much is it Worth for Austria?, November 1997
- 9724 HOFER, Helmut, KEUSCHNIGG, Christian und Wilhelm KOHLER, A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Model for the Austrian Economy With Special Emphasis on the Eastern EU Enlargement, November 1997.

- 9801 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf und Klaus F. ZIMMERMANN: East-West Trade and Migration: The Austro-German Case, Jänner 1998, erscheint in: Jaime de Melo, Riccardo Faini und Klaus F. Zimmermann (eds.): Trade and Factor Mobility, Cambridge (CUP).
- 9802 ICHINO, Andrea und Rudolf WINTER-EBMER: The Long-Run Educational Cost of World War 2: An Application of Local Average Treatment Effect Estimation Jänner 1998
- 9803 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Deregulierung und Privatisierung als Allheilmittel gegen ineffiziente Produktion von öffentlichen Unternehmen? Ein Erklärungsversuch mit Hilfe der ökonomischen Theorie der Politik, Jänner 1998.
- 9804 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Märkte, Moral und Umwelt: Was sagt die Ökonomie dazu?, Jänner 1998.
- 9805 LENK, Thomas, FUGE, Heidi und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zurück zu mehr Föderalismus: Ein Vorschlag zur Neugestaltung des Finanzausgleichs in der BRD unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der ökonomischen Theorie der Politik, Jänner 1998.
- 9806 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Stellt das starke Anwachsen der Schwarzarbeit eine wirtschaftspolitische Herausforderung dar? Einige Gedanken aus volkswirtschaftlicher Sicht, Jänner 1998.
- 9807 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Einige grundlegende Elemente einer europäisch-föderalen Verfassung unter Zuhilfenahme der konstitutionellen ökonomischen Theorie, Jänner 1998.
- 9808 LANDESMANN, Michael: Vertical produkt differentiation and international trade: an econometric analysis, März 1998.
- 9808a BARTEL, Rainer: Öffentliche Finanzen, Finanzkontrolle und gesellschaftliche Wohlfarht. Volkwirtschaftliche Thesen, Antithesen und mögliche Synthesen, März 1998. Erschienen in

- überarbeiteter Version in: F. Klug (Hrsg.), Wesen und staatspolitische Funktion der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle, Schriftenreihe des Instituts für Kommunalwissenschaften an der Universität Linz, Bd. 107, S. 85-127.
- 9809 AIGINGER, Karl und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Product quality, cost asymmetry and the welfare loss of oligopoly, Februar 1998.
- 9810 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Ost-Erweiterung der EU: Eine österreichische Perspektive, April 1998.
- 9811 BERGER, Mathias und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft und Steuerhinterziehung: Ökonomische und psychologische Aspekte, April 1998.
- 9812 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und STIEGLER, Harald: Controlling als effizienzsteigerndes Instrument der Universitätsführung Zauber- oder Leerformel?, April 1998.
- 9813 KUNST, Robert M.: Some aspects of modeling seasonality in economic time series, Juni 1998.
- 9814 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Fifty Years Later: A New Marshall Plan for Eastern Europe?, Juli 1998.
- 9815 RAPHAEL, Steven und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Identifying the Effect of Unemployment on Crime, September
- 9816 ICHINO, Andrea und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Lower and Upper Bounds of Returns to Schooling: An Exercise in IV Estimation with Different Instruments, September 1998, erscheint in: European Economic Review, 1999.
- 9817 PÖLL, Günther und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft, Juli 1998.
- 9818 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Kapitaldeckungsverfahren versus Umlageverfahren: Grundsätzliches zur Systemdiskussion, August 1998.
- 9819 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und ENSTE, Dominik: Increasing Shadow Economies all over the world - Fiction or Reality? A Survey of the Global Evidence of its Size and of its Impact from 1970 to 1995, November 1998.
- 9820 LENK, Thomas und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zurück zu mehr Föderalismus: Ein Vorschlag zur Neugestaltung des Finanzausgleichs in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der neuen Bundesländer, November 1998
- 9821 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Bedeutung der EU-Osterweiterung für verschiedene Sektoren der österreichichen Wirtschaft, November 1998.
- 9822 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die pan-europäische Integration: Herausforderungen für die Wirtschaftswissenschaft, November 1998
- 9823 ATKINSON, Anthony B.: The Changing Distribution of Income: Evidence and Explanations (1. K.W. Rothschild Vorlesung), November 1998.
- 9824 PECH, Susanne und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Strategic Environmental Taxation in the Presence of Involuntary Unemployment and Endogenous Location Choice, November
- 9825 BARTEL, Rainer: Reform und Öffnung Osteuropas, November 1998.
- 9826 ÖTSCH, Walter: Zur Geschichte und Zukunft von Grundkategorien des ökonomischen Denkens: Raum, Zeit, Objekt und Ich, November 1998.
- 9827 ÖTSCH, Walter: "Äußere" und "Innere" Glücksmodelle in der Theoriegeschichte der Ökonomie, November 1998, erscheint in: Zinn, Bellebaum und Schaaf: Ökonomie und Glück, Frühjahr 1990
- 9828 ÖTSCH, Walter: Konstruktivismus und ökonomische Theorie, November 1999, erscheint in: Lehmann und Pillath: Handbuch der Evolutorischen Ökonomik, Springer Verlag, 1999.

\*\*\*

9901 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Firm Size Wage Differentials in Switzerland: Evidence from Job Changers, Jänner 1999, erscheint in: American Economic Review, Papers & Proceedings, 1999.

- 9902 BRANDSTÄTTER, Eduard, KÜHBERGER, Anton und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: "Surprise in Decision making under Uncertainty, Jänner 1999.
- 9903 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WAGNER, Alexander: "The Role of International Monetary Institutions after the EMU and after the Asian Crises: Some Preliminary Ideas Using Constitutional Economics", Februar 1999
- 9904 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Transfers zwischen den Generationen, Februar 1999.
- 9905 LACKÓ, Mária: Hidden Economy An Unknown Quantity? Comparative Analysis of Hidden Economies in Transition Countries in 1989-1995, Februar 1999
- 9906 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Trade and Wages: What Can Factor Contents Tell Us? Februar 1999.
- 9907 LANDESMANN, Michael und STEHRER Robert: The European Unemployment Problem: A Structural Approach, März 1999.
- 9908 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Das Verhältnis von Innovation und Beschäftigung aus wirtschaftlicher Sicht – Jobkiller oder Jobwunder?, Mai 1999.
- 9909 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und LENK, Thomas: Zurück zum Trennsystem als Königsweg zu mehr Föderalismus in Zeiten des "Aufbau Ost", Juni 1999.
- 9910 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Die Entwicklung der Sozialpolitik in repräsentativen und in direkten Demokratien: Königsweg oder Sackgasse? Einige Bemerkungen aus der "Public Choice"-Perspektive, Juni 1999.
- 9911 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Ist Schwarzarbeit ein Volkssport geworden? Ein internationaler Vergleich des Ausmaßes der Schwarzarbeit von 1970 bis 1997, Juni 1999.
- 9912 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel, und KOHLER, Wilhelm: Zur ökonomischen Logik spekulativer Attacken, Juli 1999.
- 9913 FERSTERER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Returns to Education - Evidence for Austria, August 1999.
- 9914 BARTEL, Rainer: Social economic issues in sexual orientation
   Where do we stand?, September 1999.
- 9915 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und ENSTE, Dominik: Shadow Economies: Sizes, Causes, and Consequences, September 1999.
- 9916 BARTEL, Rainer: Ökonomische Rationalität im System der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle. Die Funktionalität des neuen Oö. Landesrechnungshofs. September 1999.
- 9917 FERSTERER, Josef und Rudolf WINTER-EBMER: Are Austrian Returns to Education Falling Over Time?, Oktober 1999.
- 9918 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WINNER, Hannes: Ein Vorschlag zur Reform der österreichischen Unternehmensbesteuerung, November 1999.
- 9919 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Induzieren ökologische Steuerreformen einen Lenkungseffekt oder nur volle Staatskassen? Einige volkswirtschaftliche Überlegungen, November 1999.
- 9920 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Wer gewinnt, wer verliert durch die Osterweiterung der EU?, November 1999.
- 9921 DRÈZE, Jacques: On the Macroeconomics of Uncertainty and Incomplete Markets, November 1999.
- 9922 STIGLBAUER, Alfred M. und WEISS, Christoph R.: Family and Non-Family Succession in the Upper-Austrian Farm Sector, Dezember 1999.
- 9923 HOLZLEITNER, Christian: Linear Profit-Sharing in Regulatory Contracts, Dezember 1999.
- 9924 ÖTSCH, Walter: Objekt, Subjekt und Wert. Zur Kulturgeschichte in Georg Simmels "Philosophie des Geldes", Dezember 1999.

- 0001 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Osterweiterung der EU aus der Sicht bestehender Mitgliedsländer: Was lehrt uns die Theorie der ökonomischen Integration?, Jänner 2000.
- 0002 FERSTERER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Smoking, Discount Rates, and Returns to Education, Jänner

- 0003 BARTEL, Rainer: Quo vadimus. Grundgedanken zum Verhältnis von Wirtschaft, Staat und Gesellschaft, Februar 2000
- 0004 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und FREY, Bruno S.: Informal and Underground Economy, Februar 2000.
- 0005 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und FELD, Lars P.: State and Local Taxation, Februar 2000.
- 0006 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Firmspecific Training - Consequences for Job Mobility, März 2000.
- 0007 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft Tatbestand, Ursachen, Auswirkungen, April 2000
- 0008 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Increase of the Size of the Shadow Economy of 18 OECD Countries: Some Preliminary Explanations, April 2000.
- SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und AHLHEIM, Michael: Allowing for Household Preferences in Emission Trading – A Contribution to the Climate Policy Debate, Mai 2000
- 0010 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Illegal Activities, but still value added ones (?): Size, Causes, and Measurement of the Shadow Economies all over the World, Mai 2000.
- 0011 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris: Is it Sex or Personality? The Impact of Sex-Stereotypes on Discrimination in Applicant Selection, Mai 2000.
- 0012 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel, und KOHLER, Wilhelm: Effizienzund Verteilungswirkungen der Handelsliberalisierung, Juni 2000.
- 0013 EGGER, Peter und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Trade, Multinational Sales, and FDI in a Three-Factors Model, Juni 2000.
- 0014 LANDESMANN, Michael und STEHRER, Robert: Potential Switchovers in Comparative Advantage: Patterns of Industrial Convergence, Juni 2000.
- 0015 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WAGNER, Alexander: Korporatismus im europäischen Vergleich: Förderung makroökonomischer Rahmenbedingungen?, Juli 2000.
- 0016 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und LENK, Thomas: Grundzüge der föderalen Finanzverfassung aus ökonomischer Perspektive: Trennsystem vs. Verbundsystem, Juli 2000.
- 0017 HOLZLEITNER, Christian: Efficient Cost Passthrough, August 2000.
- 0018 HOLZLEITNER, Christian: Evolution of Regulatory Contracts in the Real World A Change for Good?, August 2000.
- 0019 KOHLER, Wilhelm: International Fragmentation: A Policy Perspective, August 2000.
- 0020 KOHLER, Wilhelm: A Specific-Factors View on Outsourcing, August 2000.
- 0021 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris: Sexual Orientation Discrimination in Hiring, September 2000.
- 0022 KOHLER; Wilhelm: Internationale Migration: Anmerkungen aus der Sicht der Außenwirtschaftstheorie, Oktober 2000.
- 0023 AIGINGER, Karl und DAVIES, S.W.: Industrial Specialisation and geographic Concentration: Two sides of the same coin? Not for the European Union, Oktober 2000.
- 0024 EGGER, Hartmut und EGGER, Peter: Outsourcing and Skill-Specific Employment in a Small Economy: Austria and the Fall of the Iron Curtain, Oktober 2000.
- 6025 KOHLER, Wilhelm: An Incumbent Country View on Eastern Enlargement of the EU - Part I: A Gerneral Treatment, November 2000.
- 6026 KOHLER, Wilhelm: An Incumbent Country View on Eastern Enlargement of the EU - Part II: The Austrian Case, November 2000.
- 0027 FREY, Bruno S.: What are the sources of happiness?, November 2000
- 0028 RIESE, Martin: Weakening the SALANT-condition for the Comparison of mean durations, Dezember 2000
- 0029 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Long-term consequences of an innovative redundancy-retraining project: The Austrian Steel Foundation, Dezember 2000.
- 0030 BRUNNER, Johann K. und PECH, Susanne: Adverse Selection in the annuity market when payoffs vary over the time of retirement, Dezember 2000.

- 0101 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Osterweiterung der EU: Die Mitgliedschaft wird teurer – Wird sie auch wertvoller?, Jänner 2001.
- 0102 STEHRER, Robert: Industrial specialisation, trade, and labour market dynamics in a multisectoral model of technological progress, Jänner 2001.
- 0103 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; SALHOFER, Klaus; SCHMID, Erwin, und STREICHER, Gerhard: Was the Austrian Agricultural Policy Least Cost Efficient?, März 2001.
- 0104 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; KIRCHLER, Erich und MACIEJOVSKY, Boris: Social Representations on Tax Avoidance, Tax Evasion, and Tax Flight: Do Legal Differences Matter?, März 2001.
- 0105 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; PITLIK, Hans, und STROTMANN, Harald: On the Politicization of Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations in Germany after Unification, März 2001.
- 0106 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Privatisierung und Deregulierung in Österreich in den 90er Jahren: Einige Anmerkungen aus Sicht der Neuen Politischen Ökonomie, März 2001.
- 0107 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; BRAITHWAITE, Valerie, and REINHART, Monika: Individual Behavior in the Cash / Shadow Economy in Australia: Facts, Empirical Findings and some Mysteries, März 2001.
- 0108 BRUNELLO, Giorgio; LUCIFORA, Claudio, und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: The Wage Expectations of European College Students, März 2001.
- 0109 BRUNNER, Johann K. und PECH, Susanne: Die Dritte Säule der Altersvorsorge Sparen und Versichern?, Juni 2001.
- 0110 STÖGER, Klaus und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Lehrlingsausbildung in Österreich: Welche Betriebe bilden Lehrlinge aus? Juli 2001
- 0111 HEIJDRA, Ben J.; KEUSCHNIGG, Christian, und KOHLER, Wilhelm: Eastern Enlargement of the EU: Jobs, Investment and Welfare in Present Member Countries, Oktober 2001
- 0112 BRUNNER, Johann und BUCHEGGER, Reiner: Gesundheitsgüter und Gesundheitsdienstleistungen in Österreich, Dezember 2001.
- 0113 MALINVAUD, Edmond: On methodolgy in macroeconomics

   with application to the demand for unskilled labour,

  November 2001.

- 0201 KOHLER, Wilhelm: The Distributional Effects of International Fragmentation, April 2002.
- 0202 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf and WIRZ, Aniela: Public Funding and Enrolment into Higher Education in Europe, April 2002.
- 0203 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Issues of US-EU Trade Policy, May 2002.
- 0204 BRUNNER, Johann K. und PECH, Susanne: Adverse selection in the annuity market with sequential and simultaneous insurance demand, May 2002.
- 0205 Stiglbauer, Alfred, Stahl, Florian, Winter-Ebmer, Rudolf and Josef Zweimüller: Job Creation and Job Destruction in a Regulated Labor Market: The Case of Austria, July 2002.
- 0206 BÖHEIM, René und TAYLOR, Mark P: Job search methods, intensity and success in Britain in the 1990s, July 2002.
- 0207 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Are stock returns a leading indicator for real macroeconomic developments?, July 2002.
- 0208 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Aspects of International Fragmentation,
- 0209 PECH Susanne: Tax incentives for private life annuities and the social security reform: effects on consumption and on adverse selection, August 2002.
- 0210 BRUNELLO, Giorgio and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Why Do Students Expect to Stay Longer in College? Evidence from Europe, August 2002.
- 0211 RIESE, Martin: A New Class of Ageing Distributions, December 2002.
- 0212 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Welfare Effects of Pension Finance Reform December 2002.

0301 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and BAJADA, Christopher: The Size and Development of the Shadow Economies in the Asia-Pacific, April 2003.

- 0302 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, CHAUDHURI, Kausik and CHATTERJEE, Sumana: The Size and Development of the Indian Shadow Economy and a Comparison with other 18 Asian Countries: An Empirical Investigation, April 2003.
- 0303 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, WAGNER, Alexander F. and DUFOUR, Mathias: Satisfaction not guaranteed - Institutions and sastisfaction with democracy in Western Europe, April 2003
- 0304 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and WAGNER; Alexander, F.: Tradeable permits Ten key design issues, April 2003.
- 0305 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Factor Price Frontiers with International Fragmentation of Multistage Production, April 2003.
- 0306 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Interest Rate Transmission to Commercial Credit Rates in Austria, May 2003.
- 0307 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: The effects of competition and equal treatment laws on the gender wage differential, July 2003.
- 0308 MAYR, Karin: Immigration and Majority Voting on Income Redistribution - Is there a Case for Opposition from Natives?, July 2003.
- 0309 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Optimum taxation of income from labour and capital in a dynamic two-person economy, September 2003.
- 0310 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Optimale direkte und indirekte Steuern bei unterschiedlicher Anfangsausstattung, September 2003.
- 0311 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: A meta-analysis of the international gender wage gap, September 2003.
- 0312 WEICHSELBAUMER, Dors and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Rhetoric in Economic Research: The Case of Gender Wage Differentials, September 2003.
- 0313 DULLECK, Uwe, FRIJTERS, Paul and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Reducing Start-up costs for New Firms. The Double Dividend on the Labor Market, October 2003.
- 0314 Aiginger, Karl: Insufficient investment into future growth: the forgotten cause of low growth in Germany. November 2003
- 0315 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel J. and LICANDRO, Omar: The underestimated virtues of the two-sector AK model, December 2003.
- 0316 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Eastern Enlargement of the EU: A Comprehensive Welfare Assessment, December 2003.
- 0317 RODRIK, Dani: Growth Strategies, December 2003.

\*\*\*

- 0401 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel and KOHLER, Wilhelm: Immigration and Native Welfare, February 2004.
- 0402 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel: Specialization on a Technologically Stagnant Sector Need Not Be Bad for Growth, March 2004.
- 0403 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and KLINGLMAIR, Robert: Shadow Economies around the World: What do we know?, April 2004.
- 0404 BELKE, Ansgar and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Privatization in Austria: Some Theoretical Reasons and Performance Measures, June 2004
- 0405 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and BURGER, Christina: Formal and Informal Labour Markets: Challenges and Policy in the Central and Eastern European new EU Members and Candidate Countries, June 2004.
- 0406 SCHOR, Juliet: Sustainable Consumption and Worktime Reduction, June 2004.
- 0407 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel: Does Trade Cause Divergence? Dynamic Panel Data Evidence, Juni 2004.
- 0408 BÜCHEGGER, Reiner and WÜGER Michael: Private Expenditures for Children in Austria - Variations in Results applying different Models, July 2004.
- 0409 MAYR, Karin: The Fiscal Impact of Immigrants in Austria A Generational Accounting Analysis, July 2004.

- 0410 HALLA, Martin: Unterhalt, Obsorge und Scheidungsanwälte: Eine ökonometrische Untersuchung der einvernehmlichen Scheidung in Österreich., August 2004.
- 0411 RAFERZEDER, Thomas and WINTER-EBMER Rudolf: Who is on the Rise in Austria: Wage Mobility and Mobility Risk, September 2004.
- 0412 PECH, Susanne: Adverse Selection with individual- and jointlife annuities, November 2004.
- 0413 LICHTENECKER, Ruperta: Gender Budget Analyse: Akademische Übung oder politische Relevanz?, December 2004
- 0414 PECH, Susanne: Portfolio decisions on life annuities and financial assets with longevity and income uncertainty, December 2004.
- 0415 HACKL, Franz, HALLA, Martin and PRUCKNER, Gerald, J.: The Fallacy of the Good Samaritan: Volunteering as a Weird Way of Making Money, December 2004.

- 0501 BUCHEGGER, Reiner and RIEDL, René: Asymmetric Information as a Cause for Market Failure - Application Service Providing (ASP) in Austria, January 2005.
- 0502 SCHNEEWEIS, Nicole and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Peer Effects in Austrian Schools, March 2005.
- 0503 BURGSTALLER, Johann: When and why do Austrian companies issue shares?, April 2005.
- 0504 BÖHEIM, René, STIGLBAUER, Alfred and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: When and how to create a job: The survival of new jobs in Austrian firms, May 2005.
- 0505 HALLA, Martin, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Taxes and Benefits: Two Distinct Options to Cheat on the State?, August 2005
- 0506 BRUNNER, Johann and PECH, Susanne: Optimum Taxation of Life Annuities, November 2005.
- 0507 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Reduktionismus, interaktionistischer Eigenschafts-Dualismus und Epiphänomenalismus, Dezember 2005
- 0508 DULLECK, Uwe and KERSCHBAMER, Rudolf: Price Discrimination via the Choice of Distribution Channels, December 2005
- 0509 DULLECK, Uwe and KERSCHBAMER, Rudolf: Experts vs. Discounters: Consumer Free Riding and Experts Withholding Advice in Markets for Credence Goods, December 2005.
- 0510 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Interest rate pass-through estimates from vector autoregressive models, December 2005.
- 0511 HACKL Franz, HALLA Martin and PRUCKNER, Gerald, J.: Coasian Payments for Agricultural External Benefits – An Empirical Cross Section Analysis, December 2005.
- 0512 BÖHEIM René and MAYR, Karin: Immigration and Public Spending, December 2005.

\*\*\*

- 0601 LICHTENECKER, Ruperta: Umwelttechnikindustrie-Zukunftsmarkt China, Jänner 2006
- 0602 BURGSTALLER, Johann: The cyclicality of interest rate spreads in Austria: Evidence for a financial decelerator?, July 2006
- 0603 DREHER, Axel and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Corruption and the Shadow Economy: An Empirical Analysis, July 2006.
- 0604 SAVASAN, Fatih and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: What Determines Informal Hiring? Evidence from the Turkish Textile Sector, July 2006
- 0605 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, SOOKRAM Sandra and WATSON,
  Patrick Kent: Characteristics of the Household Sector of the
  Hidden Economy in an Emerging Economy, July 2006.
- 0606 BELKE, Ansgar, BAUMGÄRTNER, Frank, SETZER, Ralph and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Different Extent of Privatisation Proceeds in EU Countries: A Preliminary Explanation Using a Public Choice Approach, July 2006.

- 0607 DELL'ANNO, Roberto and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Estimating the Underground Economy by Using MIMIC Models: A Response to T. Breusch's critique, July 2006.
- 0608 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and TORGLER, Benno: What Shapes Attitudes Toward Paying Taxes? Evidence from Multicultural European Countries, July 2006.
- 0609 DREHER Axel, MÉON, Pierre-Guillaume, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and WEILL, Laurent: Does the shadow economy raise observed aggregate efficiency? A cross-country comparison, July 2006.
- 0610 PROHL, Silika and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, Sustainability of Public Debt and Budget Deficit: Panel cointegration analysis for the European Union Member countries. July 2006.
- 0611 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Bank income and profits over the business and interest rate cycle, July 2006.
- 0612 BÖHEIM, René and WEBER, Karin: The effects of marginal employment on subsequent labour market outcomes. July 2006.
- 0613 DULLECK, Uwe, FRIJTERS, Paul and PODCZECK, Konrad: All-pay Auctions with Budget Constraints and Fair Insurance, July 2006.
- 0614 GLÄSER, Lars and HALLA, Martin Die EU-Zinsenrichtlinie: Ein Schuss in den Ofen?, August 2006.
- 0615 ÖTSCH, Walter: Gottes-Bilder und ökonomische Theorie: Naturtheologie und Moralität bei Adam Smith, August 2006.
- 0616 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Financial Predictors of Real Activity and the Propagation of Aggregate Shocks, September 2006
- 0617 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Shadow Economies and Corruption all over the World: What do we really know?, September 2006.
- 0618 ATTENEDER, Christine and HALLA, Martin: Bargaining at Divorce: The Allocation of Custody, September 2006.
- 0619 MAYR, Karin: Optimal Budget Deficits and Immigration, October 2006.
- 0620 SCHNEEWEIS, Nicole: How should we organize schooling to further children with migration background?, December 2006

- 0701 SCHNEIDER; Friedrich and TORGLER, Benno: Shadow Economy, Tax Morale, Governance and Institutional Quality: A Panel Analysis, January 2007.
- 0702 SCHNEIDER; Friedrich and TORGLER, Benno: The Impact of Tax Morale and Institutional Quality on the Shadow Economy, January 2007.
- 0703 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and HAMETNER, Bettina: The Shadow Economy in Colombia: Size and Effects on Economic Growth, January 2007.