Kosse, Fabian; Pfeiffer, Friedhelm

Working Paper
Quasi-hyperbolic time preferences and their intergenerational transmission

Discussion Paper Series, Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit, No. 7221

Provided in Cooperation with:
IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Kosse, Fabian; Pfeiffer, Friedhelm (2013) : Quasi-hyperbolic time preferences and their intergenerational transmission, Discussion Paper Series, Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit, No. 7221, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at:
http://hdl.handle.net/10419/71675

Terms of use:
Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.
You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.
Quasi-Hyperbolic Time Preferences and their Intergenerational Transmission

Fabian Kosse
Friedhelm Pfeiffer

February 2013
Quasi-Hyperbolic Time Preferences and their Intergenerational Transmission

Fabian Kosse  
BGSE, University of Bonn  
and IZA

Friedhelm Pfeiffer  
Centre for European Economic Research (ZEW),  
University of Mannheim and IZA

Discussion Paper No. 7221  
February 2013

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit organization supported by Deutsche Post Foundation. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral program. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.
ABSTRACT

Quasi-Hyperbolic Time Preferences and their Intergenerational Transmission*

This study explores the intergenerational transmission of time preferences and focuses on the question which specific aspects of mother’s time preference are related to her preschool child’s ability to delay gratification. We provide a new procedure for assessing the parameters of a “quasi-hyperbolic” discount function (Laibson, 1997) using two trade-off experiments. We apply the procedure to a sample of 213 mother-child pairs and show that especially mother’s beta parameter is related to her preschool child’s ability to delay gratification.
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1. Introduction

The drivers of individual decision-making are hypothesized to be intergenerationally transmitted (Bisin and Verdier, 2008, among others). Recent studies provide initial empirical evidence that preferences and beliefs are transferred from generation to generation in a very specific and often precise way. While relatively much is known concerning risk and trust related behaviour, less seems to be known concerning intertemporal decision making (Dohmen et al., 2012, among others).

For instance, risk attitudes towards health are strongly correlated between parents and children, whereas parents’ willingness to take risk in health aspects is not correlated to their children’s willingness to take risk in career aspects. Knowles and Postlewaite (2005) estimate an intergenerational correlation of saving behaviour in a life-cycle model and suggest that the underlying driver is the transmission of time preference. Bartling et al. (2010) and Kosse and Pfeiffer (2012) provide some initial evidence that mother’s discounting behaviour is related to their preschool children’s ability to delay gratification. Findings indicate that the mother’s short-run discounting is a better predictor for children’s self-control than her long-run discounting behaviour.

In this paper we contribute to the literature on intergenerational preference transmission by exploring the intergenerational transmission of the two fundamental parameters of a specific discrete-time discount function called “quasi-hyperbolic” (Laibson, 1997) or $\beta$-$\delta$ (“beta-delta”) discount function (McClure et al., 2004).

In a first step we propose a new straightforward procedure to assess individual values of $\beta$ and $\delta$. In a second step we explore the relationship between the two parameters of quasi-hyperbolic discounting of mothers and the intertemporal behaviour of their preschool children, measured in a short-run patience paradigm. Based on the experimental data from a
mother-child pilot study (see Bartling et al., 2010), our Probit estimates demonstrate that especially mother’s beta ($\beta$) parameter is related to her preschool children’s ability to delay gratification.

2. Assessing quasi-hyperbolic preferences with experimental data

A calculation procedure is proposed which requires information on two indifference pairs per individual to obtain individual values of $\beta$ and $\delta$. The indifference pairs should concern the choice between a fixed smaller-sooner reward (SS) which has no delay ($t=0$) and distant larger-later rewards ($LL_i^*(t)$) with two different delays ($t = \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ with $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2 > 0$). For each indifference pair it holds that $U(SS,...)=U(LL^*(t),...).$ As in Laibson (1997), a time-separable utility function for one present period ($t = 0$) and one future period ($t > 0$) may be written as $U(c_0, c_i) = u_0(c_0) + \beta \delta^t E[u_i(c_i)]$ where $u(c)$ is the instantaneous utility received from consumption of $c$. In this formulation, $\beta$ matters relatively more for short delays and represents short-run patience. The relative weight of the discount parameter $\delta$ increases with the delay and indicates long-run patience. We assume a local-linear instantaneous utility function and that a relatively moderate monetary shock provides a utility jolt in that period in which it is received. Therefore, in a situation of indifference concerning SS and LL, it holds that

$$u_0(c_0) + E[SS] \cdot u_0'(c_0) + \beta \delta^t E[u_i(c_i)]$$

$$= u_0(c_0) + \beta \delta^t \left( E[u_i(c_i)] + E[LL^*(t)] \cdot E[u_i'(c_i)] \right)$$

(1)

If there is no uncertainty concerning the rewards ($E[SS] = SS$; $E[LL^*(t)] = LL^*(t)$) and if expected instantaneous marginal utility from the future period equals the instantaneous marginal utility from the present period ($u_0'(c_0) = E[u_i'(c_i)]$), equation (1) simplifies to

$$SS = \beta \delta^t LL^*(t).$$

Using indifference pairs with two different delays $t = \{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$, there are two
equations with two unknown parameters. Solving the two equations delivers individual values of $\beta$ and $\delta$:

$$\beta_i = SS \left( \frac{LL_i^*(\tau_2)^\gamma}{LL_i^*(\tau_1)^\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}}$$

(2)

$$\delta_i = \left( \frac{LL_i^*(\tau_1)}{LL_i^*(\tau_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}}$$

(3)

How realistic are the assumptions? Irrespective of the choice, participants received the payments by cheque, which was sent by mail and administered by a highly credible and in Germany well-known agency. Thus, the experimental design ensured trustfulness in receiving the reward in a way that uncertainty is the same for all choices.

The assumption that marginal utility today equals the expected marginal utility on the future delivery date might not hold or might not hold for all participants. Yet, since a maximum delay of one year is employed in this study, fundamental changes in the instantaneous utility function or the consumption level may not occur. Therefore, we regard our procedure as an initial straightforward approximation of the different facets of quasi-hyperbolic discounting.

The pairs of indifference values are taken from classic choice experiments\(^1\). The sample used contains 213 mother-child pairs, who were visited by trained interviewers in their homes\(^2\).

The mothers went through a series of decisions between a fixed amount of 100€, available without a delay, or a higher amount, available after a delay. To implement incentive-compatible trade-offs, there was a 1-in-7 chance that the participant received the result of one

---

\(^1\) The basic experimental design was developed by Dohmen et al. (2010).

\(^2\) The sample was taken from a pretest for the German Socio-Economic Panel Study (SOEP, see Wagner et al. 2007) performed in 2008, called mother-child pilot study, see Bartling et al. (2010) and Kosse and Pfeiffer (2012). To control for confounding effects, our sample is restricted to biological mothers with German citizenship who breastfed their child and for whom all variables are available. The sample is also restricted to children who like gummy bears to ensure incentive compatibility. The restrictions reduced the number of observations from 271 to 213.
randomly selected decision. The larger-later reward, starting from 100€ plus 2.5% p.a.,
increased successively by steps of 2.5% p.a., which revealed an interval in which the mother
is indifferent between receiving the smaller-sooner or the larger-later reward\(^3\).

For the further calculations, the middle of this interval is used as the value of indifference
\((LL_i^\ast(t))\). The censored observations of mothers who either always preferred the delayed or the
non-delayed reward are replaced by their conditional expectation from Tobit estimations
using a set of survey questions and socio-economic variables\(^4\). Two versions of the
experiment were played, the first with a delay of 6 months and the second with a delay of 12
months.

Inserting the indifference values into (2) and (3) gives us a mean (standard deviation) for \(\beta_i\) of
0.948 (0.097) and for \(\delta_i\) of 0.832 (0.171). We do not want to interpret these parameters too
literally. However, they are useful to conceptually separate short-run and long-run patience
and study its intergenerational transmission.

3. Exploring the intergenerational link of quasi-hyperbolic time preferences

The experimental setting concerning the children’s patience follows the delay of gratification
experiments by Mischel et al. (1989). Similar to the mothers, a trade-off between receiving a
smaller-sooner reward and receiving a larger-later reward was imposed. The interviewer
opened a pack of gummy bears, offered it to the child and explained that she could either eat
them now or wait until the end of her mother’s interview and receive an additional pack. The
average interview duration was 47 minutes.

\(^3\) 20 steps were implemented. After the first choice of the larger-later reward it was assumed that participants
prefer all higher \(LL\).
\(^4\) Estimates are available from the corresponding author upon request.
22.1% of the children took the smaller-sooner reward and were classified as impatient. 77.9% waited for the larger-later reward and were classified as patient.\textsuperscript{5}

If there is an intergenerational relation, a patient mother is expected to have a higher probability of having a patient child. Since the delay of gratification experiment reflects a relatively short-run decision, we expect especially mother’s $\beta$ to be related to her child’s decision. We performed Probit analyses, with the child’s patience as dependent variable and mother’s $\beta$ and $\delta$ values as explanatory variables (Table 1). A set of variables was added to correct for the potential biases regarding the behaviour in the experiments, so it is controlled for mothers’ wealth and children’s hunginess, among others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Probit analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Patient Child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ (standardized)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(   )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$ (standardized)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(   )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls: Child characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls: Family characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFadden’s Pseudo R-squared</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The dependent variable is one for patient children and zero for impatient children. $\beta$ and $\delta$ are calculated according to formula (2) and (3). Controls concerning the child are age, age squared, sex, birth weight, the duration of breastfeeding, and dummies indicating the point in time since the last bigger meal. Controls concerning the family are age and age squared of the mother and dummies indicating house ownership and if the child has to share his room, both serving as proxies for wealth. The reported coefficients are average marginal effects; robust standard errors in parenthesis; ** indicate significance at the 5% level.

The results in columns 1 and 2 demonstrate that higher values of $\beta$ are associated with a higher probability that the child delays gratification. An increase of one standard deviation (0.097) of $\beta$ increases the probability that the child is patient by about 7.3% ($p=.015$) while the correlation concerning mother’s $\delta$ is also positive but not significant ($p=.177$).

\textsuperscript{5} See Bartling et al. (2010) for a detailed description of the experiment.
4. Conclusion

The transmission process concerning time preference seems to be similarly specific as for risk preference (Dohmen et al., 2012). Combining these findings with neuroscientific evidence implies that the early intergenerational transmission of intertemporal behaviour traces back to processes in the limbic system ("β areas"), which drives immediate gratification and develops early in life, while the long-run orientated frontal cortex ("δ areas") is still developing until the end of teenage age (McClure et al., 2004; Price and Willshaw, 2000, among others). Therefore, with respect to future research, a comparable study, based on a sample of adult children and their parents, is needed to investigate the relationship between the long-run oriented δ component of parents and their offspring.
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