

Phillips, Garry D. A.; Liu-Evans, Gareth

**Working Paper**

## The robustness of the higher-order 2SLS and general k-class bias approximations to non-normal disturbances

Cardiff Economics Working Papers, No. E2011/20

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Cardiff Business School, Cardiff University

*Suggested Citation:* Phillips, Garry D. A.; Liu-Evans, Gareth (2011) : The robustness of the higher-order 2SLS and general k-class bias approximations to non-normal disturbances, Cardiff Economics Working Papers, No. E2011/20, Cardiff University, Cardiff Business School, Cardiff

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/65755>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

**Cardiff Economics  
Working Papers**

Garry DA Phillips and Gareth Liu-Evans

*The Robustness of the Higher-Order 2SLS and General k-  
Class Bias Approximations to Non-Normal Disturbances*

E2011/20

Cardiff Business School  
Cardiff University  
Colum Drive  
Cardiff CF10 3EU  
United Kingdom  
t: +44 (0)29 2087 4000  
f: +44 (0)29 2087 4419  
[www.cardiff.ac.uk/carbs](http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/carbs)

ISSN 1749-6101  
August 2011

This working paper is produced for discussion purpose only. These working papers are expected to be published in due course, in revised form, and should not be quoted or cited without the author's written permission. Cardiff Economics Working Papers are available online from: <http://www.cardiff.ac.uk/carbs/econ/workingpapers>  
Enquiries: [EconWP@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:EconWP@cardiff.ac.uk)

# The Robustness of the Higher-Order 2SLS and General $k$ -Class Bias Approximations to Non-Normal Disturbances

Garry DA Phillips  
The Business School, Cardiff University

Gareth Liu-Evans  
School of Management, Liverpool University

August 2011

## Abstract

In a seminal paper Nagar (1959) obtained first and second moment approximations for the  $k$ -class of estimators in a general static simultaneous equation model under the assumption that the structural disturbances were i.i.d and normally distributed. Later Mikhail (1972) obtained a higher-order bias approximation for 2SLS under the same assumptions as Nagar while Iglesias and Phillips (2010) obtained the higher order approximation for the general  $k$ -class of estimators. These approximations show that the higher order biases can be important especially in highly overidentified cases. In this paper we show that Mikhail's higher order bias approximation for 2SLS continues to be valid under symmetric, but not necessarily normal, disturbances with an arbitrary degree of kurtosis but not when the disturbances are asymmetric. A modified approximation for the 2SLS bias is then obtained which includes the case of asymmetric disturbances. The results are then extended to the general  $k$ -class of estimators.

## 1 Introduction

Moment approximations of estimators in simultaneous equation models have a long history. The seminal paper was Nagar (1959) who derived approximations to the first and second moments of the consistent  $k$ -class of estimators in a general simultaneous equation model with exogenous regressors. In obtaining the results, it was assumed that the structural disturbances were independently and normally distributed. Later Mikhail (1972) extended Nagar's bias approximation for the 2SLS case to a higher order and under the same assumptions while Iglesias and Phillips (2010) give the higher order approximation for the consistent  $k$ -class estimator. Nagar's work led to a great deal of research concerned

with the small sample properties of simultaneous equation estimators; in particular, various writers examined conditions under which Nagar's approximations were valid, see Srinivasan (1970). The main result was given by Sargan (1974) who showed that a necessary and sufficient condition was that the estimator moments should exist. Much work has been done to explore the existence of estimator moments especially in simplified models. However, a paper which is of particular relevance, given its generality, is Kinal (1980). His results show that in the general simultaneous equation model chosen by Nagar, the *2SLS* estimator has moments up to the order of overidentification. However, *k*-class estimators behave differently depending on the value taken by *k*. In cases where  $k > 1$ , which includes the *LIML* estimator, the *k*-class estimators do not possess moments of any order while when  $k < 1$  higher moments exist and this does not depend on the order of overidentification.

In Phillips (2000) it was shown that the Nagar bias approximation for the *2SLS* estimator is correct under much less restricted conditions than assumed by Nagar. In particular, the result does not require the assumption of normality nor, indeed, symmetry. In Phillips (2007) it was noted that for the bias approximation to hold a sufficient condition is that the disturbances obey the classical Gauss-Markov assumptions which includes, in particular, the class of conditionally heteroscedastic disturbances such as *ARCH/GARCH*. Neither paper considered the higher order approximation however,

In this paper it is shown that the Mikhail higher order bias approximation is valid without assuming normality for the disturbances. It does, however, require that the disturbances are distributed symmetrically. If disturbances have a skewed distribution then the approximation has to be modified and we give the corrected form.. The results are then extended to include the consistent members of the *k*-class.

## 2 Model and Notation

We consider a simultaneous equation model given by

$$By_t + \Gamma z_t = u_t \tag{1}$$

in which  $y_t$  is a  $G \times 1$  vector of endogenous variables,  $z_t$  is a  $K \times 1$  vector of strongly exogenous variables and  $u_t$  is a  $G \times 1$  vector of independently and identically distributed structural disturbances with  $G \times G$  positive definite covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . The matrices of structural parameters,  $B$  and  $\Gamma$  are, respectively,  $G \times G$  and  $G \times K$ . It is assumed that  $B$  is non-singular so that the reduced form equations corresponding to (1) are:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= -B^{-1}\Gamma z_t + B^{-1}u_t \\ &= \Pi z_t + v_t, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Pi$  is a  $G \times K$  matrix of reduced form coefficients and  $v_t$  is a  $G \times 1$  vector of reduced form disturbances with a  $G \times G$  positive definite covariance matrix  $\Omega$ . With  $T$  observations we may write the system as

$$YB' + Z\Gamma' = U. \quad (2)$$

Here,  $Y$  is a  $T \times G$  matrix of observations on endogenous variables,  $Z$  is a  $T \times K$  matrix of observations on the strongly exogenous variables and  $U$  is a  $T \times G$  matrix of structural disturbances.

The first equation of the system is given by

$$y_1 = Y_2\beta + Z_1\gamma + u_1, \quad (3)$$

where  $y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are, respectively, a  $T \times 1$  vector and a  $T \times g$  matrix of observations on  $g + 1$  endogenous variables,  $Z_1$  is a  $T \times k$  matrix of observations on  $k$  exogenous variables,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are, respectively,  $g \times 1$  and  $k \times 1$  vectors of unknown parameters and  $u_1$  is a  $T \times 1$  vector of independently and identically distributed disturbances with positive definite covariance matrix  $E(u_1u_1') = \Sigma_{11}$ . The reduced form of the system includes  $Y_1 = Z\Pi_1 + V_1$  in which  $Y_1 = (y_1 : Y_2)$ ,  $Z = (Z_1 : Z_2)$  is a  $T \times K$  matrix of observations on  $K$  exogenous variables with an associated  $K \times (g + 1)$  matrix of reduced form parameters given by  $\Pi_1 = (\pi_1 : \Pi_2)$ , while  $V_1 = (v_1 : V_2)$  is a  $T \times (g + 1)$  matrix of reduced form disturbances. The transpose of each row of  $V_1$  is independently and identically distributed with zero mean vector and  $(g+1) \times (g+1)$  positive definite covariance matrix  $\Omega_1 = (\omega_{ij})$  while the  $T(g + 1)$  vector  $vecV_1$ , obtained by stacking the columns of  $V_1$ , has a positive definite covariance matrix of dimension  $T(g + 1) \times T(g + 1)$  given by  $Cov(vecV_1) = \Omega_1^{vec}$  and has finite moments up to fifth order. This latter condition is required to ensure that the expansion used has a remainder term of appropriate order, see Phillips (2000). It is further assumed that:

(i) Equation (3) is over-identified so that  $K > g + k$ , i.e. the number of excluded variables exceeds the number required for the equation to be just identified. This over-identifying restriction is sufficient to ensure that the Nagar expansion is valid in the case considered by Nagar and that, at least, the first estimator moment exists: see Sargan (1974).

(ii) The  $T \times K$  matrix  $Z$  is strongly exogenous and of rank  $K$  and there exists a  $K \times K$  positive definite matrix with limit matrix  $\Sigma_{ZZ} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1}Z'Z$ . Following Anderson et al (1986, p7) it will also be assumed that  $T^{-1}Z'Z = \Sigma_{ZZ} + o(T^{-1})$ .

### 3 Nagar Approximations to the bias

The *2SLS* estimator of  $\alpha = (\beta', \gamma')$  is given by

$$\hat{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_2'Y_2 - \hat{V}_2'\hat{V}_2 & Y_2'Z_1 \\ Z_1'Y_2 & Z_1'Z_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Y_2 - \hat{V}_2 \\ Z_1' \end{pmatrix}' y_1. \quad (4)$$

The Nagar approach to finding moment approximations for the 2SLS estimator, proceeds from the estimation error,

$$\hat{\alpha} - \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} Y_2'Y_2 - \hat{V}_2'\hat{V}_2 & Y_2'Z_1 \\ Z_1'Y_2 & Z_1'Z_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Y_2 - \hat{V}_2 \\ Z_1' \end{pmatrix}' u_1, \quad (5)$$

To find the expansion we first write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} - \alpha &= [Q^{-1} + X'V_z + V_z'X + V_z'P_zV_z]^{-1} [X'u_1 + V_z'P_zu_1] \\ &= [I + Q^{-1}\{X'V_z + V_z'X + V_z'P_zV_z\}]^{-1} Q [X'u_1 + V_z'P_zu_1]. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$X = (Z\Pi_2 : Z_1), \quad Q = (X'X)^{-1}, \quad P_z = Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z' \text{ and } V_z = (V_2 : 0).$$

Setting  $\Delta = X'V_z + V_z'X + V_z'P_zV_z$  and expanding the inverse  $[I + Q^{-1}\Delta]^{-1}$  in a Taylor expansion yields

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} - \alpha &= [I + Q\Delta]^{-1} Q [X'u_1 + V_z'P_zu_1] \\ &= [I - Q\Delta + Q\Delta Q\Delta - + \dots] Q [X'u_1 + V_z'P_zu_1] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where terms can be arranged in decreasing order of stochastic magnitude. In fact, if we write  $u_1 = V_1\beta_0$  and  $V_z = V_1H'$ , where  $\beta_0 = (-1, \beta')'$  and  $H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a  $(g+k) \times (g+1)$  selection matrix, then the Nagar expansion may be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} - \alpha &= QX'V_1\beta_0 + QHV_1'P_zV_1\beta_0 - QX'V_1H'QX'V_1\beta_0 - QHV_1'P_xV_1\beta_0 \\ &\quad - QHV_1'P_zV_1H'QHV_1\beta_0 - QHV_1'P_zV_1H'QX'V_1\beta_0 \\ &\quad - QX'V_1H'QHV_1'P_zV_1\beta_0 - QHV_1'XQHV_1'P_zV_1\beta_0 \\ &\quad + QX'HV_1'QXV_1H'QX'V_1\beta_0 + QHV_1'P_xV_1H'QXV_1\beta_0 \\ &\quad + QX'V_1H'QHV_1'P_xV_1\beta_0 + QHV_1'XQHV_1'P_xV_1\beta_0 + o_p(T^{-\frac{3}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The Nagar bias approximation is found by summing the expectations of the terms up to order  $T^{-1}$ . We shall later compare this expansion with an alternative representation presented in Phillips (2000). If we require the Nagar expansion for a general element of the vector  $\hat{\alpha}$ , say  $\hat{\alpha}_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, g+k$ , then we may simply extract the required terms by premultiplying the expansion for  $\hat{\alpha} - \alpha$  by  $e_i'$ , where  $e_i$  is a  $(g+k) \times 1$  unit vector.

The Nagar approximation for the bias of the 2SLS estimator for  $\alpha$  in (4) is given by

$$E(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) = [L - 1]Qq + o(T^{-1}). \quad (9)$$

where  $L = K - g - k$  is the order of overidentification,  $q = \frac{1}{T} \begin{bmatrix} E(V_2'u_1) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $Q$  is as defined above.

The Mikhail higher order approximation for the  $2SLS$  estimator for  $\alpha$  in (4), in the same framework as Nagar but extending the expansion to include terms up to  $O_p(T^{-2})$ , is given by

$$E(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) = (L - 1)[I + tr(QC)I - (L - 2)QC]Qq + o(1/T^2). \quad (10)$$

which adds two terms to Nagar's result, namely,  $(L - 1)(tr(QC)Qq)$  and  $-(L - 1)(L - 2)QCQq$ , both of which are  $O(T^{-2})$ . The  $(g + k) \times (g + k)$  matrix  $C$  above is given by

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} (1/T)E(V_2'V_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is apparent that when  $L$  is relatively large these added terms can be important. Hence in models with a large number of instruments the higher order approximation will be of particular value. Some evidence for this is given in Iglesias and Phillips (2008).

The assumptions made by Mikhail in obtaining this result were the same as those used by Nagar so that normality was assumed for the disturbances. We shall examine this approximation later in the paper; in particular, we shall show that the assumption of normality for disturbances can be relaxed. We shall also consider how the approximation is modified when the disturbances are asymmetric. It is of interest that the bias approximation is zero when  $L = 1$ , i.e. when the parameters of the equation are overidentified of order unity. The approximation may work well, see Hadri and Phillips (1999) and Iglesias and Phillips (2008) for evidence of this.

In Iglesias and Phillips (2010) Mikhail's approximation was extended, under the same assumptions as used by Mikhail, to include the general  $k$ -class of estimators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\alpha}_k - \alpha) &= (L - 1 - \theta)Qq + (L - 1 - 2\theta)tr(QC)Qq \\ &\quad - [(L - 1)(L - 2) - \theta 2(L - 2) + \theta^2]QCQq \\ &\quad + \theta \frac{K}{T}Qq + o(T^{-2}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here  $k = 1 + \frac{\theta}{T}$  and  $\theta \leq 0$  is a real negative number. Notice that this approximation reduces to that of Mikhail when  $\theta = 0$  for then the  $k$ -class estimator is just  $2SLS$ .

## 4 An Alternative Approach to Approximating The 2SLS Bias

We consider the estimation of the equation given in (3) by the method of  $2SLS$ . It is well known that the estimator can be written in the form

$$\hat{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Pi}'_2 Z' Z \hat{\Pi}_2 & \hat{\Pi}'_2 Z' Z_1 \\ Z'_1 Z \hat{\Pi}_2 & Z'_1 Z_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Pi}'_2 Z' Z \hat{\pi}_1 \\ Z'_1 Z \hat{\pi}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

where  $\hat{\Pi}_2 = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y_2$  and  $\hat{\pi}_1 = (Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y_1$ . This representation of 2SLS was considered in Harvey and Phillips (1980) and in Phillips (2000, 2007). It is apparent that, conditional on the exogenous variables, the 2SLS estimators are functions of the matrix  $\hat{\Pi}_1 = (\hat{\pi}_1 : \hat{\Pi}_2)$ ; hence we may write  $\hat{\alpha} = f(\text{vec}\hat{\Pi}_1)$ . As shown in Phillips (2000), the unknown parameter vector can be written as  $\alpha = f(\text{vec}\Pi_1)$ , so that the estimation error is  $f(\text{vec}\hat{\Pi}_1) - f(\text{vec}\Pi_1)$ . A Taylor expansion about the point  $\text{vec}\Pi_1$  may then be employed directly to find a counterpart of the Nagar expansion. In fact, Phillips considered the general element of the estimation error  $\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i = e'_i(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) = f_i(\text{vec}\hat{\Pi}_1) - f_i(\text{vec}\Pi_1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, g + k$ , where  $e'_i$  is a  $1 \times (g + k)$  unit vector, and the bias approximation to order  $T^{-1}$  was found using the first two terms of the expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_i(\text{vec}\hat{\Pi}_1) &= f_i(\text{vec}\Pi_1) + (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_i^{(1)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2!} (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_i^{(2)} (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_{i,rs}^{(3)} (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4!} F(\text{vec}((\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))) + o_p(T^{-2}). \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f_i^{(1)}$  is a  $K(g+1)$  vector of first-order partial derivatives,  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \text{vec}\hat{\Pi}_1} : f_i^{(2)}$  is a  $(K(g+1)) \times (K(g+1))$  matrix of second-order partial derivatives,  $\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial \text{vec}\hat{\Pi}_1 (\partial \text{vec}\hat{\Pi}_1)'} : f_{i,rs}^{(3)}$  is a  $(K(g+1)) \times (K(g+1))$  matrix of third-order partial derivatives defined as  $f_{i,rs}^{(3)} = \frac{\partial f_i^{(2)}}{\partial \pi_{rs}}$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, K$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, g + 1$ . The derivatives,  $f_i^{(1)}$ ,  $f_i^{(2)}$  and  $f_{i,rs}^{(3)}$  are given in Phillips(2000). The expression  $F(\text{vec}((\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)))$  represents the unknown fourth term which will involve the fourth order partial derivatives and products of four components of  $\text{vec}((\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))$ . All derivatives are evaluated at  $\text{vec}\Pi_1$ .

The bias approximation to order  $T^{-1}$  is obtained by taking expectations of the first two terms of the stochastic expansion to yield:

$$E(\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2!} \text{tr} \left[ (f_i^{(2)} (I \otimes (Z'Z)^{-1} Z') \Omega_1^{\text{vec}} (I \otimes Z (Z'Z)^{-1}) \right] + o(T^{-1})$$

When the partial derivatives  $f_i^{(2)}$  are introduced and  $\Omega_1^{\text{vec}}$  is interpreted in terms of the structural parameters, the bias approximation is readily found. It is of interest to examine this bias approximation further. Note that the approximation changes as the matrix  $\Omega_1^{\text{vec}}$  changes. When  $\Omega_1^{\text{vec}} = \Omega_1 \otimes I_T$ , which is the case where the rows of the matrix  $V_1$  are serially uncorrelated and homoscedastic, the approximation reduces to that given by Nagar;

$$E(\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i) = e'_i Q q + o(T^{-1}). \tag{14}$$

However, to obtain his approximation Nagar assumed that the disturbances were normally distributed while here we need only assume that the row vectors



of  $V_1$  obey the *Gauss Markov* assumptions so that the row vectors are serially uncorrelated and homoscedastic.

It is not immediately obvious that the above expansion in (13) is equivalent to that used by Nagar. Examining the Nagar expansion in (8), however, we note that the first term, which is  $O_p(T^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ , may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e_i' Q X' V_1 \beta_0 &= \text{tr}\{\beta_0 e_i' Q X' V_1\} = \text{tr}\{\beta_0 e_i' Q X' Z (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' V_1\} \\ &= \{\text{vec}(Z' Z)^{-1} Z' V_1\}' \text{vec}(\beta_0 e_i' Q X' Z) = (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' (\beta_0 \otimes Z' X Q e_i) \\ &= (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_i^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_i^{(1)} = (\beta_0 \otimes Z' X Q e_i)$  is derived in Phillips (2000). This is just the first term in the above expansion (8). By the same approach it may be shown that the  $O_p(T^{-1})$  part of the Nagar expansion, which is given by the second, third and fourth terms, equals the second term in (8), and so on.

To find the bias approximation to order  $T^{-2}$  we shall also need the next two terms in the expansion. It has proved possible to find an explicit representation for the third term but it is quite difficult to do so for the fourth term. Notice that the third term term

$$\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_{i,rs}^{(3)} (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)) \quad (15)$$

is a linear function of products of three components of  $\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)$  and the bounded third order derivatives which are evaluated at  $\text{vec}(\Pi_1)$ . In Appendix 1 it is shown that the third moment of the least squares regression estimator is  $O(T^{-2})$  from which we may deduce that the expectation of the third term in (13) is also  $O(T^{-2})$  and we evaluate this in Appendix 2.

While we cannot easily find an explicit representation for the fourth term in the expansion,  $F(\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))$ , it turns out that we do not need to do so. We may readily deduce that it is a linear function of fourth order products of the components of  $\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)$  and the bounded fourth order derivatives evaluated at  $\text{vec}(\Pi_1)$ . We find that not knowing its precise form is of no consequence in context because the fourth moment of the least squares regression estimator does not depend upon the kurtosis of the error distribution to the order of the approximation. This is shown in Appendix 2 where we demonstrate that the fourth moment has two components. The first of these is  $O(T^{-2})$  while the second, which involves the kurtosis of the error distribution is  $O(T^{-3})$  and, as such, it plays no role in our approximation to  $O(T^{-2})$ . Because of this the expectation of the fourth term to the order of the approximation will not depend upon the actual distribution of the errors provided the moment conditions are met. Hence the expectation based upon the normal distribution, which has already been found by Mikhail, will also be appropriate for other distributions and in finding the higher order bias approximation to order  $T^{-2}$  we shall simply add the relevant part of the Mikhail result. We shall see that the analysis can also be extended to find similar results for the  $k$ -class of estimators.

## 5 The Higher Order Bias Approximations For 2SLS

In this section we present the bias approximation under weaker conditions than those assumed by Mikhail. In case the disturbances are non-normal but symmetric, the evaluation of the expected value of the third term in (13) is trivially zero while the evaluation of the fourth term has already been done by Mikhail for the normal distribution and, as noted above, the same evaluation will apply here also. Hence the Mikhail approximation carries over directly for non-normal but symmetric distributions for which the moment conditions are met and does not depend upon kurtosis. We now state the following theorem.

### Theorem 1

In the model of Section 2 where the errors are symmetrically but not necessarily normally distributed, the bias of the  $i^{th}$  component of the 2SLS estimator in (4), is given by

$$E(\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i) = (L - 1)[e_i'Qq + tr(QC)e_i'Qq - (L - 2)e_i'QCQq] + o(T^{-2}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, g + k.$$

This is exactly the approximation found by Mikhail for the case of normally distributed errors and the proof of the theorem follows immediately from the preceding discussion. This result helps to explain the findings of Knight(1985) who, using exact finite sample theory in the context of a two equation model, found that a moderate level of kurtosis had little effect on the bias of the 2SLS estimator.

The second case of interest is where the errors are asymmetrically distributed. Now it is necessary to extend the Mikhail approximation to allow for asymmetry but, again, the approximation does not depend upon the kurtosis of the error distribution. Introducing the evaluation of the third term of (13) we find that the revised approximation is given in the following.

:

### Theorem 2

In the model of Section 2 where the errors may be asymmetrically distributed, the bias of the  $i^{th}$  component of the 2SLS estimator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\alpha}_i - \alpha_i) = & (L - 1)[e_i'Qq + tr(QC).e_i'Qq - (L - 2)e_i'QCQq] \\ & e_i'QH(\beta'_0 \otimes I_{g+1})\Omega^*H'QX'\Delta_{x,z} + e_i'((QH(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^*H' + \\ & tr(QH(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^*H').I_{g+k})QX'\Delta_{xz} \\ & - tr((I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^*H'QX'Diag(XQe_i)XQH) + o(T^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

where the effects of the asymmetry of the disturbances are indicated by the presence of the  $(g + 1)^2 \times (g + 1)$  matrix of third moments  $\Omega^*$  which is obtained by stacking the  $(g + 1) \times (g + 1)$  matrices  $\Omega_{ijs}, s = 1, \dots, (g + 1)$ . The  $T \times 1$  vector  $\Delta_{xz}$  has  $p^{th}$  component  $x_p'(X'X)^{-1}x_p - z_p'(Z'Z)^{-1}z_p, p = 1, 2, \dots, T$ . When

$\Omega^*$  is zero the bias approximation reduces to that of Mikhail (1972) The proof of the above is given in Appendix 2.

Notice that the asymmetry effect does not depend explicitly on  $L$  and so it is present whatever the order of overidentification; in particular, the asymmetry effect does not go to zero when  $L=1$ .

If it is required to express the asymmetry effect in terms of the structural parameters we can replace  $\Omega^*$  with its structural parameter representation. viz,  $\Omega^* = ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma^* ((B')_{g+1}^{-1} \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1})$  where  $(B')_{g+1}^{-1}$  comprises the first  $g+1$  columns of  $(B')^{-1}$ ,  $\Sigma^*$  is the  $G \times G^2$  matrix formed as  $\Sigma^* = (\Sigma_{ij1}, \Sigma_{ij2}, \dots, \Sigma_{ijG})$  and  $\Sigma_{ijk}$  is a  $G \times G$  symmetric matrix with general element equal to the third moment  $\sigma_{ijk}, k = 1, \dots, G$ .

It is apparent that the asymmetry effect is a complicated function of the endogenous variable parameters in the model and all the third moments of the structural disturbances. As such it is difficult to deduce its sign or magnitude in general though it is possible to calculate the value of the approximation for a given structure. The study by Knight (1985) referred to above also examined the effect of error skewness on the bias of  $2SLS$  and found that a moderate degree of skewness appeared to have only a small effect; however, we have no results for substantial departures from symmetry nor, indeed, for cases with a large number of instruments.

## 6 An extension to the general $k$ -class of estimators

In a recent paper Iglesias and Phillips (2010) have derived the higher order bias of the consistent  $k$ -class of estimators, thus extending the result for  $2SLS$  in Mikhail (1972). This class of estimators for which  $0 < k < 1$  is potentially interesting because the estimators have all necessary moments, see Kinal (1980), whereas, for example,  $2SLS$  only has moments up to the order of overidentification. To obtain the higher order bias of the general  $k$ -class estimator under skewness and kurtosis, we shall need to modify the above approach. Consider the  $k$ -class estimator given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_k &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_k \\ \hat{\gamma}_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_2' Y_2 - k \hat{V}_2' \hat{V}_2 & Y_2' Z_1 \\ Z_1' Y_2 & Z_1' Z_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} Y_2' - k \hat{V}_2' \\ X_1' \end{pmatrix} y_1 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Pi}_2' Z' Z \hat{\Pi}_2 + (1-k) \hat{V}_2' \hat{V}_2 & \hat{\Pi}_2' Z' Z_1 \\ Z_1' Z \hat{\Pi}_2 & Z_1' Z_1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Pi}_2' Z' Z \hat{\pi}_1 + (1-k) \hat{V}_2' \hat{v}_1 \\ Z_1' Z \hat{\pi}_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Here it is clear that, conditional on the exogenous variables, the  $k$ -class estimators are functions not only of  $\hat{\Pi}_1 = (\hat{\pi}_1 : \hat{\Pi}_2)$  but also of  $\hat{V}_2' \hat{V}_2$  and  $\hat{V}_2' \hat{v}_1$ .

However by suitably manipulating the estimator it is possible to express  $\mathbf{it}$  in the same form as (12) so that no new analysis, beyond that set out in Phillips (2000), is required to find the bias approximation.

To see this let  $W = (Z : \bar{Z})$  be a  $T \times T$  matrix of rank  $T$  obtained by augmenting the  $X$  matrix and adding  $T - K$  linearly independent columns  $\bar{Z}$ . Then it is possible to write

$$Y_1 = (y_1 : Y_2) = W(\pi_1^* : \Pi_2^*),$$

where

$$(\pi_1^* : \Pi_2^*) = (W'W)^{-1}W'((y_1 : Y_2) = W^{-1}(y_1 : Y_2).$$

Let

$$W^* = [I - c(I - P_Z)]W,$$

where  $c = 1 + \sqrt{1 - k}$ . The corresponding  $k$ -class estimator may then be written as

$$\hat{\alpha}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_k \\ \hat{\gamma}_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_2^{*'}(W^*)'W^*\Pi_2^* & \Pi_2^{*'}(W^*)'W_1^* \\ (W_1^*)'W^*\Pi_2^* & (W_1^*)'W_1^* \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_2^{*'}(W^*)'W^*\pi_1^* \\ (W_1^*)'W^*\pi_1^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

where  $W_1^*$  is a  $T \times k_1$  matrix forming the first  $k_1$  columns of  $W^*$ . Thus  $W_1^* = Z_1$ .

Now putting

$$\alpha_k = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_k \\ \gamma_k \end{pmatrix},$$

we may write that, conditional on  $W^*$ ,

$$\alpha_k = f^*(\text{vec}\Pi_1^*),$$

where  $E(\Pi_1^*) = \bar{\Pi}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and the 0 matrix is  $(T - K) \times (g + 1)$ .

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} W^*\bar{\Pi}_1 &= [I - c(I - P_Z)]W\bar{\Pi}_1 = [I - c(I - P_Z)](Z : \bar{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= [I - c(I - P_Z)]Z\Pi_1 = Z\Pi_1 = (Z\pi_1 : Z\Pi_2). \end{aligned}$$

On putting  $(\bar{\pi}_1 : \bar{\Pi}_2)$  in place of  $(\pi_1^* : \Pi_2^*)$  in (16), it is seen that

$$f^*(\text{vec}\bar{\Pi}_1) = f(\text{vec}\Pi_1) = \alpha.$$

Thus we have shown that  $(\alpha_k)_i = f_i^*(\text{vec}\Pi_1^*)$  and  $\alpha_i = f_1^*(\text{vec}\bar{\Pi}_1)$ .

We may now write down a Taylor Series expansion analogous to (13) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^*(\text{vec}\Pi_1^*) &= f_i^*(\text{vec}\bar{\Pi}_1) + (\text{vec}(\Pi_1^* - \bar{\Pi}_1))' f_i^{*(1)} + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2!} (\text{vec}(\Pi_1^* - \bar{\Pi}_1))' f_i^{*(2)} (\text{vec}(\Pi_1^* - \bar{\Pi}_1)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\pi_{rs}^* - \bar{\pi}_{rs}) (\text{vec}(\Pi_1^* - \bar{\Pi}_1))' f_{i,rs}^{*(3)} (\text{vec}(\Pi_1^* - \bar{\Pi}_1)) \\ &\quad + F^*((\text{vec}(\Pi_1^* - \bar{\Pi}_1)) + o_p(T^{-2})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_i^{*(1)}$  is a  $T(g+1)$  vector of first-order partial derivatives,  $\frac{\partial f_i^*}{\partial \text{vec}\Pi_1^*} = f_i^{*(2)}$  is a  $(T(g+1)) \times (T(g+1))$  matrix of second-order partial derivatives,  $\frac{\partial^2 f_i^*}{\partial \text{vec}\Pi_1^* (\partial \text{vec}\Pi_1^*)'} = f_{i,rs}^{*(3)}$  is a  $(T(g+1)) \times (T(g+1))$  matrix of third-order partial derivatives defined as  $f_{i,rs}^{*(3)} = \frac{\partial^* f_i^{*(2)}}{\partial \pi_{rs}^*}$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, T$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, g+1$ . All derivatives are evaluated at  $\text{vec}\bar{\Pi}_1$ . The bias approximation to order  $T^{-1}$  is then obtained by taking expectations of the first two terms of the stochastic expansion to yield

$$E((\hat{\alpha}_k)_i - \alpha_i) = \frac{1}{2!} \text{tr} \left[ (f_i^{*(2)} (I \otimes (W^{-1}) \Omega_1^{\text{vec}} (I \otimes (W)^{-1})) \right] + o(T^{-1}),$$

where we have used the result that  $\text{vec}(\Pi_1^* - \bar{\Pi}_1) = (I_{g+1} \otimes W^{-1}) \text{vec}V_1$ .

The introduction of the matrix  $W$  was made simply to write the  $k$ -class estimator in a form which enabled the bias approximation to be obtained directly using the same analysis as in the  $2SLS$  case. When  $f_i^{*(2)}$  is interpreted in terms of the structural parameters, and  $\Omega_1^{\text{vec}}$  is set equal to  $\Omega_1 \otimes I_T$ ,  $W$  is cancelled out and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E((\hat{\alpha}_k)_i - \alpha_i) &= \text{tr} \left[ (HQe_i\beta_0' \otimes (I - P_Z) - k(I - P_X))\Omega_1^{\text{vec}} \right] \\ &\quad - \text{tr}[(I^*(XQe_i\beta_0' \otimes H'QX'))\Omega_1^{\text{vec}}] + o(T^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which reduces to the result of Nagar(1959).

Notice that when  $k = 1$  and  $(I - P_Z) - k(I - P_X)$  is replaced by  $P_X - P_Z$ , the approximation reduces to that for  $2SLS$  given above. Previously we noted that the  $2SLS$  bias approximation was obtained under much weaker assumptions than were employed by Nagar. Here we see that we have obtained the bias approximation for the consistent  $k$ -class under the same weak assumptions; in particular, we *do not need normality nor independence* of the disturbances, merely that the row vectors of  $V_1$  should satisfy the Gauss Markov conditions. Consequently, the Nagar bias approximation for  $k$ -class estimators is valid, for example, as it is for  $2SLS$ , under assumptions such as martingale differences and *ARCH/GARCH* disturbances.

The higher order bias approximation can now be derived as in the  $2SLS$  case and the bias to order  $T^{-2}$  does not depend upon the kurtosis of the error distribution Hence the higher order bias given in Iglesias and Phillips (2010) holds also under symmetric distributions whatever the degree of kurtosis. We may now state:

### Theorem 3.

In the model of Section 2 where the errors may be asymmetrically distributed, the bias of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of the  $k$ -class estimator is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
E((\hat{\alpha}_k)_i - \alpha_i) &= (L-1)[e'_i Qq + \text{tr}(QC)e'Qq - (L-2)e'_i QCQq] \\
&\quad - [(L-1)(L-2) - \theta 2(L-2) + \theta^2]e'QCQq + \theta \frac{K}{T}e'Qq + \\
&\quad e'_i QH(\beta'_0 \otimes I_{g+1})\Omega^* H'QX'\Delta_{x,z}^{(k)} + e'_i((QH(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^* H' + \\
&\quad \text{tr}(QH(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^* H') \cdot I_{g+k})QX'\Delta_{x,z}^{(k)} \\
&\quad - \text{tr}((I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^* H'QX' \text{Diag}(XQe_i)XQH) \\
&\quad + o(T^{-2})
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The part of the approximation which relates to the effects of the asymmetric errors differs from that of 2SLS. In fact the effects of asymmetric errors involves the term  $\Delta_{xz}$  in the 2SLS case which is a  $T \times 1$  vector with  $p^{\text{th}}$  component  $x'_p(X'X)^{-1}x_p - z'_p(Z'Z)^{-1}z_p$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots, T$ . In the general  $k$ -class case examined here where  $k = 1 + \frac{\theta}{T}$  and  $\theta \leq 0$ , this is replaced by  $\Delta_{x,z}^k$  which is a  $T \times 1$  vector with  $p^{\text{th}}$  component  $1 - z'_p(Z'Z)^{-1}z_p - k(1 - x'_p(X'X)^{-1}x_p)$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots, T$ . While the asymmetry effects depend directly on  $k$  through  $\Delta_{xz}^{(k)}$ , none of the higher order terms in the  $k$ -class bias approximation is explicit in  $L-1$ ; hence, when  $L = 1$  the higher order bias does not go to zero under asymmetric disturbances. It was seen earlier that this is the case for 2SLS also.

## 7 A Simple case

In the general model it is difficult to interpret the affects of asymmetry in the disturbances on the estimators and in this section we explore the effects in a very simple simultaneous equation model in an attempt to isolate the key factors.

We consider the simple model given by

$$y_{2,t} = \beta_2 y_{2,t} + \gamma' z_t + u_{2,t}, t = 1, 2, \dots, T, \tag{19}$$

where  $z_t$  is a  $p \times 1$  vector of exogenous variables.  
reduced form for  $y_{2,t}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{2,t} &= \beta_2(\beta_1 y_{2,t} + u_{1,t}) + \gamma' z_t + u_{2,t} \\
&= \beta_1 \beta_2 y_{2,t} + \gamma' z_t + u_{2,t} + \beta_2 u_{1,t} \\
&= \pi'_2 z_t + v_t
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where  $\pi'_2 = \frac{\gamma'}{1 - \beta_1 \beta_2}$ .

Also  $y_2 = Z\pi_2 + v_2$  from which we shall write  $X = Z\pi_2$ . We shall also require,  $Q = (\pi'_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\pi'_2 Z' Z \pi_2}$ ,  $H = (0, 1)$ ,

The

$$(\Omega^*)' = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1,1,1} & \omega_{2,1,1} & \omega_{1,1,2} & \omega_{2,1,2} \\ \omega_{1,2,1} & \omega_{2,2,1} & \omega_{1,1,2} & \omega_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix}, \beta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } e_i = 1.$$

We now define the vector

$$\Delta_{x,z} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1(X'X)^{-1}x_1 - z'_1(Z'Z)^{-1}z_1 \\ x'_2(X'X)^{-1}x_2 - z'_2(Z'Z)^{-1}z_2 \\ \dots \\ x'_T(X'X)^{-1}x_T - z'_T(Z'Z)^{-1}z_T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z'_1(\pi'_2\pi_2)z_1}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - z'_1(Z'Z)^{-1}z_1 \\ \frac{z'_2(\pi'_2\pi_2)z_2}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - z'_2(Z'Z)^{-1}z_2 \\ \dots \\ \frac{z'_T(\pi'_2\pi_2)z_T}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - z'_T(Z'Z)^{-1}z_T \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $X'\Delta_{xz} = \pi'_2(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_T)$ , and  $\Delta_{xz} = \frac{\sum(z'_j\pi_2)^3}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - \sum \pi'_2 z_j z'_j (Z'Z)^{-1} z_j$ . All summations run from 1 to  $T$ .

The first of the asymmetric terms is

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i QH(\beta'_0 \otimes I_{g+1})\Omega^* H' QX' \Delta_{x,z} &= \\ \frac{1}{\pi'_2 Z' Z \pi_2} (0, 1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\beta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1,1,1} & \omega_{1,2,1} \\ \omega_{2,1,1} & \omega_{2,2,1} \\ \omega_{1,1,2} & \omega_{1,2,2} \\ \omega_{2,1,2} & \omega_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \frac{1}{\pi'_2 Z' Z \pi_2} \left[ \frac{\sum(z'_j\pi_2)^3}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - \sum \pi'_2 z_j z'_j (Z'Z)^{-1} z_j \right] & \\ = (\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}) \left[ \frac{\sum(z'_j\pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^3} - \frac{\sum \pi'_2 z_j z'_j (Z'Z)^{-1} z_j}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^2} \right] & \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

The second asymmetric term is

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i ((QH(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^* H' QX' \Delta_{x,z} &= \\ \frac{1}{\pi'_2 Z' Z \pi_2} (0, 1) \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1,1,1} & \omega_{2,1,1} & \omega_{1,1,2} & \omega_{2,1,2} \\ \omega_{1,2,1} & \omega_{2,2,1} & \omega_{1,1,2} & \omega_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\beta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\beta_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \frac{1}{\pi'_2 Z' Z \pi_2} \left[ \frac{\sum(z'_j\pi_2)^3}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - \sum \pi'_2 z_j z'_j (Z'Z)^{-1} z_j \right] & \\ = (\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}) \left[ \frac{\sum(z'_j\pi_2)^3}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - \frac{\sum \pi'_2 z_j z'_j (Z'Z)^{-1} z_j}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^2} \right] & \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

The third asymmetric term is

$$\begin{aligned} tr\{HQH'((I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^* e'_i QX' \Delta_{x,z})\} &= tr\{QH'((I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0)\Omega^* H e'_i QX' \Delta_{x,z})\} \\ \frac{1}{\pi'_2 Z' Z \pi_2} (0, 1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\beta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\beta_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1,1,1} & \omega_{1,2,1} \\ \omega_{2,1,1} & \omega_{2,2,1} \\ \omega_{1,1,2} & \omega_{1,2,2} \\ \omega_{2,1,2} & \omega_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \frac{1}{\pi_2' Z' Z \pi_2} \left[ \frac{\sum (z_j' \pi_2)^3}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} - \sum \pi_2' z_j z_j' (Z' Z)^{-1} z_j \right] \\
& = (\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}) \left[ \frac{\sum (z_j' \pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^3} - \frac{\sum \pi_2' z_j z_j' (Z' Z)^{-1} z_j}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^2} \right] \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

on noting that  $\omega_{1,2,2} = \omega_{2,2,1}$ .

Finally the fourth asymmetric term is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -tr((I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0') \Omega^* H' Q X' Diag(X Q e_i) X Q H) \\
& = -tr(X Q H)((\beta_0' \otimes I_{g+1}) \Omega^* H' Q X' Diag(X Q e_i)) \\
& = -tr \left\{ \frac{Z \pi_2}{\pi_2' Z' Z \pi_2} (0, 1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\beta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & -\beta_1 & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1,1,1} & \omega_{1,2,1} \\ \omega_{2,1,1} & \omega_{2,2,1} \\ \omega_{1,1,2} & \omega_{1,2,2} \\ \omega_{2,1,2} & \omega_{2,2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. \\
& \times \frac{\pi_2' Z'}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} \left. \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z_1' \pi_2}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z_2' \pi_2}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} & & 0 \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & \frac{z_T' \pi_2}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\
& = -tr \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi_2' Z' Z \pi_2} (\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}) \right. \\
& \times \frac{\pi_2' Z'}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} \left. \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z_1' \pi_2}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{z_2' \pi_2}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} & & 0 \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & \frac{z_T' \pi_2}{\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1' \pi_2 \\ z_2' \pi_2 \\ \cdot \\ z_T' \pi_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\
& = (\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}) \left[ \frac{\sum (z_j' \pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^3} \right] \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, summing (21)-(24), we find that the asymmetric terms

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_i' Q H (\beta_0' \otimes I_{g+1}) \Omega^* H' Q X' \Delta_{x,z} + e_i' ((Q H (I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0') \Omega^* H' + \\
& tr(Q H (I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0') \Omega^* H') \cdot I_{g+k}) Q X' \Delta_{x,z} \\
& - tr((I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0') \Omega^* H' Q X' Diag(X Q e_i) X Q H) + o(T^{-2})
\end{aligned}$$

are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3(\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}) \left[ \frac{\sum (z_j' \pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^3} - \frac{\sum \pi_2' z_j z_j' (Z' Z)^{-1} z_j}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^2} \right] \\
& - (\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}) \left[ \frac{\sum (z_j' \pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2 Z' Z \pi_2)^3} \right] \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$



in

this special case. It is seen that the above expression is of order  $T^{-2}$  as expected and the bracketed terms may go to zero quite quickly as  $T$  gets large. Clearly  $(\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2})$  plays a key role. It is helpful to interpret the disturbance skewness factors in terms of the structural parameters. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2} &= E(v_{1,t} v_{2,t}^2) - \beta_1 E(v_{2,t}^3) \\ &= E\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1,t} + \beta_1 \varepsilon_{2,t}}{1 - \beta_1 \beta_2}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_2 \varepsilon_{1,t} + \varepsilon_{2,t}}{1 - \beta_1 \beta_2}\right)^2 \\ &\quad - \beta_1 E\left(\frac{\beta_2 \varepsilon_{1,t} + \varepsilon_{2,t}}{1 - \beta_1 \beta_2}\right)^3\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

which

with some manipulation simplifies to

$$\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2} = \frac{\beta_1(\sigma_{1,1,1} - \sigma_{2,2,2})}{(1 - \beta_1 \beta_2)^3} + \frac{\sigma_{1,1,1} \beta_2^2 + 2\sigma_{1,1,2} + \sigma_{1,2,2}}{(1 - \beta_1 \beta_2)^2}\quad (27)$$

it

is clear that this term can be made large for suitable choice of the parameters, especially since  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are unrestricted other than the requirement that  $\beta_1 \beta_2 \neq 1$ .

Consider now the part not involving  $\omega_{2,2,1} - \beta_1 \omega_{2,2,2}$ . If we define  $x_j = z'_j \pi_2$  and  $\alpha_j = z'_j (Z'Z)^{-1} z_j$  then

$$\frac{\sum (z'_j \pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2' Z' Z \pi_2)^3} - \frac{\sum \pi_2' z_j z'_j (Z'Z^{-1}) z_j}{(\pi_2' Z' Z \pi_2)^2} = \frac{\sum x_j^3}{(\sum x_j^2)^3} - \frac{\sum x_j \alpha_j}{(\sum x_j^2)^2}\quad (28)$$

Upon putting  $x_j = r c_j$  where  $r = (\sum x_j^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\sum c_j^2 = 1$ , the above becomes  $\frac{1}{r^3} (\sum c_j^3 - \sum c_j \alpha_j)$ . If we allow all the  $z_j$  (and hence the  $x_j$ ) to shrink at the same rate then  $c_j$  and  $\alpha_j$  are unchanged while  $r$  becomes small. In this case in the limit, as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , of  $\frac{1}{r^3} (\sum c_j^3 - \sum c_j \alpha_j)$  is unbounded. Similarly on noting that  $\pi_2' = \frac{\gamma'}{1 - \beta_1 \beta_2}$  where the structural parameter vector  $\gamma$  can be varied independently of all the other structural parameters and, in particular, it can be varied to make  $\pi_2$  arbitrarily small (which might happen in the weak instrument case), it is clear that as  $\pi_2$  becomes small (so that  $r$  becomes small), the overall expression could become relatively large despite the fact that it is of order  $T^{-2}$ . Thus there is another situation in which the asymmetry might lead to a significant bias.

This simple case provides evidence that skewness of disturbances seems likely to cause estimation biases to differ substantially in some situations compared to when disturbances are symmetric.

The analogous result for the general  $k$ -class of estimators in the simple case is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3(\omega_{2,2,1}-\beta_1\omega_{2,2,2})\left[\frac{1-k}{(\pi_2Z'Z\pi_2)^2}+k\frac{\sum(z'_j\pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2Z'Z\pi_2)^3}-\frac{\sum\pi'_2z_jz'_j(Z'Z)^{-1}z_j}{(\pi_2Z'Z\pi_2)^2}\right] \\
& -(\omega_{2,2,1}-\beta_1\omega_{2,2,2})\left[\frac{1-k}{(\pi_2Z'Z\pi_2)^2}+k\frac{\sum(z'_j\pi_2)^3}{(\pi_2Z'Z\pi_2)^3}\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Notice that when  $k = 1$  the above reduces to the result for  $2SLS$ . We shall not give a separate analysis for the general  $k$ -class estimator: since it is clear that the same observations can be made as in the case of  $2SLS$ .

## 8 Conclusion

The  $2SLS$  estimator has an important place in the history of simultaneous equation estimation and continues to be frequently used in practice. Hence the results in this paper are of both theoretical and practical interest. As noted previously, the Mikhail  $2SLS$  bias approximation is likely to be of importance when equations are heavily overidentified since then the higher order terms will not be negligible. The fact that the approximation holds under symmetric distributions and any degree of kurtosis obviously increases its applicability in practical cases. When the errors are asymmetrically distributed we have seen that the Mikhail approximation no longer holds and we have presented the correct approximation for such cases.

The  $k$ -class of estimators where  $k < 1$  are also of interest partly because estimators in this class have all necessary moments. In Iglesias and Phillip (2008), a linear combination of  $k$ -class estimators for which  $k < 1$ , was presented which was unbiased to order  $T^{-1}$ , had all necessary moments and dominated  $2SLS$  on a  $MSE$  criterion in strongly overidentified cases. Here it has been shown that the terms in the higher order bias approximation which measured the asymmetry effects, depend directly on  $k$  while kurtosis did not play a role to the order of the approximation.

We cannot say, without further work, what the general effects of asymmetry are except that they are likely to be greater the larger the degree of skewness in the error distributions. We have examined a special case where it appears that the skewness effects can be significant. This can be explored numerically in more general cases by calculating the approximations for a variety of different structures; it can also be examined in Monte Carlo experiments. In fact some preliminary Monte Carlo results of a two-equation model indicate that when the skewness is considerable the effect on bias is far from trivial. A comprehensive study of the asymmetry effects would be a major exercise and a natural next step; however it lies outside the scope of this paper.

## References

Anderson, T.W., N. Kunitomo and K. Morimune (1986). Comparing Single Equation Estimators In A Simultaneous Equation System, *Econometric Theory*, 2, 1-32.

Harvey, A.C. and G.D.A.Phillips(1980). Testing for Serial Correlation in Simultaneous Systems, *Econometrica* 48,3,747-759.

Iglesias, E.M and G.D.A.Phillips (2008), Almost Unbiased Estimation in Simultaneous Equations with Strong and /or Weak Instruments. Paper submitted to the *Journal of Econometrics*.

Iglesias, E.M and G.D.A.Phillips (2010). The Bias to order  $T^{-2}$  for the general  $k$ -class estimator in a simultaneous model. *Economics Letters* 109,42-45.

Kinal, T. W. (1980), The Existence of Moments of  $k$ -Class Estimators, *Econometrica* 48, 1, 241-250.

Knight, J.L. (1985) The Moments Of OLS And 2SLS When The Disturbances Are Non-Normal. *Journal of Econometrics* 27,39-60

Mikhail W. M. (1972), The Bias of the Two-Stage Least Squares Estimator, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 67, 625-627.

Nagar, A. L. (1959), The Bias and Moment Matrix of the General  $k$ -class Estimators of the Parameters in Simultaneous Equations. *Econometrica* 27, 575-95.

Phillips, G. D. A. (2000), An Alternative Approach to Obtaining Nagar-Type Moment Approximations in Simultaneous Equation models, *Journal of Econometrics* 97, 2, 345-364.

Phillips, G. D. A. (2007), Nagar-type Moment Approximations in Simultaneous Equation Models: Some Further Results. In G.D.A. Phillips and E.Tzavalis (Eds.), *The Refinement of Econometric Estimation and Test Procedures: Finite Sample and Asymptotic Analysis*. Cambridge University Press.

Phillips, G.D.A. and Elias Tzavalis(2007), *The Refinement of Econometric Estimation and Test Procedures: Finite Sample and Asymptotic Analysis*. Cambridge University Press.

Sargan, J. D. (1974), The Validity of Nagar's Expansion for the Moments of Econometric Estimators, *Econometrica* 42, 169-176.

Srinivasan, T.N( 1970). Approximations to the Finite Sample Moments of Estimators Whose Finite sample Distributions are Unknown *Econometrica* 38, p533-541.

Ullah, A.(2007) *.Finite Sample Econometrics*.Oxford University Press.

## Appendix 1

In our examination of the Mikhail approximation we shall need the third and fourth moments of reduced form regression estimators where, for the general static simultaneous equation case, the reduced form model is equivalent to the classical linear regression model. In this appendix we derive the third and fourth moments of the OLS estimator in a linear regression model.

(i) The Third Moment of the OLS Estimator

In the regression model  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$  where the errors are *i.i.d.*,  $(0, \sigma^2)$  and  $X$  contains  $p$  exogenous regressors, the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$  has an estimation error

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta = (X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon$$

The general element of this vector will be written as

$$e'_i(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = \hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i = e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon.$$

Our interest centres on the third moment

$$E(\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i)^3 = E(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon)^3 \quad (30)$$

To obtain this we first consider

$$(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon)^3 = e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon \quad (31)$$

contains the stochastic component  $(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon)\varepsilon\varepsilon'$

To find the expectation of this we first note the general term of the  $T \times T$  matrix  $\varepsilon\varepsilon'$  which is  $\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_k$ ,  $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, T$ . then find the expectation of  $(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon)\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_k$ . Notice that  $e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'$  is the  $i^{th}$  row of  $(X'X)^{-1}X'$  and we denote this row vector by  $\alpha'$  so that  $e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon \equiv \alpha'\varepsilon = \sum_{r=1}^T \alpha_r\varepsilon_r$ .

Now consider  $E\{\sum_{r=1}^T \alpha_r\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_k\}$  which will contain non-zero components only

for  $r = j = k$ . Hence  $E\{\sum_{r=1}^T \alpha_r\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_j\varepsilon_k\} = \alpha_r E(\varepsilon_r^3)$ ,  $r = j = k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, T$ , and is zero otherwise.

It follows that  $E\{(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon)\varepsilon\varepsilon'\}$  is a diagonal matrix with  $r, r^{th}$  component given by  $\alpha_r E(\varepsilon_r^3)$  where  $\alpha_r$  is the  $r^{th}$  component of  $\alpha$ . Note that  $e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'$  picks out the  $i^{th}$  row of  $(X'X)^{-1}X'$  and so  $\alpha_r$  is the  $r^{th}$  component of this row vector. We now write that

$(X'X)^{-1}X' = (X'X)^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$ , where  $x_j$  is the  $j^{th}$  column of  $X'$ , from which it is seen that the  $r^{th}$  component of  $e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'$  is equal to  $e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_r$ .

It follows that

$E(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon)^3 = E(\varepsilon_i^3)e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'Diag(\alpha_r)X(X'X)^{-1}e_i$  where  $Diag(\alpha_r)$  is a  $T \times T$  diagonal matrix with the  $r, r^{th}$  component  $\alpha_r$ .

Some simplification is possible by noting that  $X'Diag(\alpha_r)X$  with  $\alpha_r$  replaced by  $e'_iX(X'X)^{-1}x_r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, T$ , may be written as

$$= \sum_{j=1}^T x_j x'_j e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_j.$$

Then  $e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'Diag(\alpha_r)X(X'X)^{-1}e_i$  is equal to

$$e'_i(X'X)^{-1} \sum x_j x'_j e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_j (X'X)^{-1}e_i = \sum (e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_j)^3$$

Hence the required third moment is

$$E(\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i)^3 = E(\varepsilon_i^3) \sum_{j=1}^T (e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_j)^3 \quad (32)$$

Finally, it is seen that  $e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_j$  is  $O(T^{-1})$ ,  $(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_j)^3$  is  $O(T^{-3})$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^T (e'_i(X'X)^{-1}x_j)^3$  is  $O(T^{-2})$  and, hence, the third moment of the OLS estimator is  $O(T^{-2})$ .

(ii) The fourth moment of the OLS Estimator

The fourth moment is given by  $E(e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon)^4$  and we commence by writing

$$\begin{aligned} (e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon)^4 &= e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon\varepsilon'X(X'X)^{-1}e_i \\ &\quad \times e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon\varepsilon'X(X'X)^{-1}e_i \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

We shall need to find the expected value of this but we can proceed by focusing on the stochastic part

$$E(\varepsilon\varepsilon'X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon\varepsilon').$$

In fact we shall write

$$E(\varepsilon\varepsilon'X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon\varepsilon') = E(\varepsilon\varepsilon' A \varepsilon\varepsilon') \quad (34)$$

where the matrix  $A$  defined as

$$A = X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e'_i(X'X)^{-1}X \quad (35)$$

is  $T \times T$  and symmetric.

Note that the  $T \times T$  matrix  $\varepsilon\varepsilon'$  has a general element  $\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j$  while, in addition, we have

$$\varepsilon' A \varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^T a_{ii}^2 \varepsilon_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^T a_{ij} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j, \quad i \neq j,$$

When  $i = j = k$ , we may write

$$E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j' \varepsilon A \varepsilon) = a_{kk} E(\varepsilon_k^4) + (tr A - a_{kk}) \sigma^4, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, T$$

whereas when  $i \neq j$  but  $i = k, j = l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j' \varepsilon A \varepsilon) &= (a_{kl} + a_{lk}) E(\varepsilon_k^2 \varepsilon_l^2) \\ &= (a_{kl} + a_{lk}) E(\varepsilon_k^2) E(\varepsilon_l^2) = (a_{kl} + a_{lk}) \sigma^4, \\ &\quad k, l = 1, 2, \dots, T, k \neq l. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varepsilon' A \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon') &= E(\varepsilon_i^4) \text{Diag} A + (tr A \cdot I_T - \text{Diag} A) \sigma^4 \\ &\quad + 2(A - \text{Diag} A) \sigma^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$= (E(\varepsilon_i^4) - 3\sigma^4)DiagA + (2A + trA.I_T)\sigma^4 \quad (36)$$

We now replace the matrix  $A$  with  $X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'$  and consider the earlier expression

$$(e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon)^4 = e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'\varepsilon\varepsilon'X(X'X)^{-1}e_i \\ \times e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon\varepsilon'X(X'X)^{-1}e_i.$$

Taking expectations.

$$E(e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X\varepsilon)^4 = (E(\varepsilon_i^4) - 3\sigma^4)e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X' \\ \times Diag[[X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'.]X(X'X)^{-1}e_i \\ + 3\sigma^4(e_i'(X'X)^{-1}e_i)^2 \quad (37)$$

where this latter term above is  $O(T^{-2})$ .

Consider the matrix  $Diag[[X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'.]$ . The first diagonal element of this matrix is

$$e_1'X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'e_1 = (e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'e_1)^2.$$

Here  $e_1$  is a  $T \times 1$  unit vector with unity in the first position and all other elements zero. Noting that  $X'e_1 = x_1$ , which is the transpose of the first row of  $X$ , we see  $e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'e_1 = e_i'(X'X)^{-1}x_1$  and  $Diag[[X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'.]$  is a diagonal matrix with  $j, jth$  component  $(e_i'(X'X)^{-1}x_j)^2$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, T$ .

The foregoing enables some simplification of the expression for the fourth moment since it is easy to see that

$$e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X' \times Diag[[X(X'X)^{-1}e_i e_i'(X'X)^{-1}X'.]]X(X'X)^{-1}e_i \quad (38)$$

reduces to  $\sum_{j=1}^T (x_j'(X'X)^{-1}e_i)^4$ .

Hence, finally it has been shown that

$$E(\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i)^4 = \sigma^4 3(e_i'(X'X)^{-1}e_i)^2 + \\ (E(u_i^4) - 3\sigma^4) \sum_{j=1}^T (x_j'(X'X)^{-1}e_i)^4 \quad (39)$$

Notice that the first term is  $O(T^{-2})$  and the second is  $O(T^{-3})$ . Thus the kurtosis of the error distribution does not affect the fourth moment of  $\hat{\beta}_i$  to order  $T^{-2}$ . If the error distribution is normal then  $E(u_i^4) - 3\sigma^4 = 0$  so that the second term disappears. In such a case  $\hat{\beta}_i$  is normally distributed and again we see that the fourth moment is just three times the squared variance as required.

One further observation is that without assuming normality,

$$lim E(T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i))^4 = 3\sigma^4((e_i'\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}e_i)^2)$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\lim T(X'X)^{-1} = \Sigma_{xx}^{-1}$ . This does not involve kurtosis and, as expected, it is equal to three times the square of the limiting variance.

## Appendix 2

In this Appendix we evaluate the expectation of the skewness term

$$\frac{1}{3!} \sum \sum (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_{i,rs}^{(3)} (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)).$$

We commence with the following:

### Lemma 1

$$E\{(\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(\text{vec}V_1)(\text{vec}V_1)'\} = \Omega_{ijs} \otimes \text{Diag}(z_r)$$

where

$$\Omega_{ijs} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{11s} & \omega_{12s} & \dots & \omega_{1,g+1,s} \\ \omega_{21s} & \omega_{22s} & \dots & \omega_{2,g+1,s} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \omega_{g+1,1,s} & \omega_{g+1,2,s} & \dots & \omega_{g+1,2,s} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Diag}(z_r) = \begin{bmatrix} z_{r1} & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & z_{r2} & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & z_{rT} \end{bmatrix}$$

### Proof

To see this we proceed from

$$\begin{aligned} E\{(\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(\text{vec}V_1)(\text{vec}V_1)'\} &= E\{e_r'(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'v_s(\text{vec}V_1)(\text{vec}V_1)'\} \\ &= E\{e_r'(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'v_s \begin{bmatrix} v_1v_1' & v_1v_2' & \dots & v_1v_{g+1}' \\ v_2v_1' & v_2v_2' & \dots & v_2v_{g+1}' \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ v_{g+1}v_1' & v_{g+1}v_2' & \dots & v_{g+1}v_{g+1}' \end{bmatrix}\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $v_j$  is a  $T \times 1$  vector forming the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $V_1$ .

We shall write  $e_r'(Z'Z)^{-1}Z' = \bar{z}_r'$  and  $e_r'(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'v_s = \bar{z}_r'v_s$  and consider  $E(\bar{z}_r'v_s v_i v_j')$  with general term  $E(\bar{z}_r'v_s v_{pi} v_{qj})$   $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, T$  which is non-zero only when the stochastic terms are of the same time period. When  $p = q$  it is seen that  $E(\bar{z}_r'v_s v_{pi} v_{pj}) = E(\bar{z}_{pr}v_{ps} v_{pi} v_{pj}) = \bar{z}_{pj}\omega_{ijs}$  where  $\bar{z}_{pj}$  is the  $p^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\bar{z}_r$  and  $E(v_{pi} v_{pj} v_{ps}) = \omega_{ijs}$ . More generally,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{z}_r'v_s v_i v_j') &= \omega_{ijs} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{z}_{r1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z}_{r2} & & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \bar{z}_{rT} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \omega_{ijs} \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r) \quad \text{for } i, j, s = 1, 2, \dots, g+1. \end{aligned}$$

In Phillips (2000), it is shown that the term of interest

$$\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_{i,rs}^{(3)} (\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)) \quad (40)$$

is equal to the sum of the following three terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(vecV_1)' \{H'Qe_i\beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' XQH \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\} vecV_1 \\
& + \sum_{r=-1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(vecV_1)' \{H'Q(X'ZE_{rs}H' + HE'_{rs}Z'X)Qe_i\beta'_0 \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\} vecV_1 \\
& - \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(vecV_1)' \{\beta_0 e'_i QX'ZE_{rs}H'QX' \otimes XQH\} I^* vecV_1
\end{aligned}$$

where  $E_{rs}$  is a  $K \times (g+1)$  matrix of rank one with unity in the  $r, s^{th}$  position and zeroes elsewhere.

It is required to find the expected value of the above and we shall do so by evaluating each of the three components in turn.

First we examine

$$(a). (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(vecV_1)' \{H'Qe_i\beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' XQH \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\} vecV_1 \quad (41)$$

$=tr[(\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(vecV_1)(vecV_1)' \{H'Qe_i\beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' XQH \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\}]$   
where  $\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}$ , which is the  $K(r-1) + s^{th}$  component of  $Vec(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1)$ , and which may be written as

$$\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs} = e'_r (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'v_s.$$

Here  $e'_r$  is a  $1 \times K$  unit vector with unity in the  $r^{th}$  position and zeroes elsewhere. Thus it picks out the  $r^{th}$  component of  $(Z'Z)^{-1} Z'v_s$  where  $v_s$  is a  $T \times 1$  vector of reduced form disturbances appearing in the  $s^{th}$  reduced form equation, i.e., the  $s^{th}$  column of  $V_1$ .

The term of interest can then be written as

$$tr[e'_r (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'v_s (vecV_1)(vecV_1)' \{H'Qe_i\beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' XQH \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\}]. \quad (42)$$

We have shown above in Lemma 1 that

$$E\{e'_r (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'v_s (vecV_1)(vecV_1)'\} = \Omega_{ijs} \otimes Diag(\bar{z}_r)$$

so it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& Etr[e'_r (Z'Z)^{-1} Z'v_s (vecV_1)(vecV_1)' \{H'Qe_i\beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' XQH \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\}] \\
& = tr[\Omega_{ijs} \otimes Diag(\bar{z}_r) \{H'Qe_i\beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' XQH \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\}] \\
& = tr\{\Omega_{ijs} H'Qe_i\beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' XQH\} tr\{Diag(\bar{z}_r)(P_X - P_Z)\}
\end{aligned}$$

Some simplification is possible by writing

$$tr\{Diag(\bar{z}_r)(P_X - P_Z)\} = \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{x,z}$$

where  $\Delta_{x,z}$  is a  $T \times 1$  vector with  $p^{th}$  component  $x'_p (X'X)^{-1} x_p - z'_p (Z'Z)^{-1} z_p$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots, T$ .

Next we shall write  $E_{rs} = e_r e'_s$  where  $e_s$  is a  $(g+1) \times 1$  unit vector with unity in the  $s^{th}$  position. On putting  $e'_s \beta_0 = \beta_{s0}$ , the  $s^{th}$  component of  $\beta_0$ , the above expression may be written as

$$e'_i QH \Omega_{ijs} H'QX'Z e_r \beta_{s0} \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{x,z} \quad (43)$$



Finally we need to find the value of

$$\sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} e'_i Q H \Omega_{ijs} H' Q X' Z e_r \beta_{s0} \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{x,z} \quad (44)$$

We shall proceed by first finding the summation for  $r = 1, \dots, K$  and so we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^K e'_i Q H \Omega_{ijs} H' Q X' Z e_r \beta_{s0} \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{x,z} \\ &= \beta_{s0} e'_i Q H \Omega_{ijs} H' Q X' Z \sum_{r=1}^K e_r e'_r (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' \Delta_{x,z} \\ &= \beta_{s0} e'_i Q H \Omega_{ijs} H' Q X' \Delta_{x,z} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\sum_{r=1}^K e_r e'_r = I_K$  and  $X' Z (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' = X'$ .

To complete the evaluation we simply need to sum over  $s$ . Hence the final expression is

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec} V_1)' \{H' Q e_i \beta'_0 E'_{rs} Z' X Q H \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\} \text{vec} V_1 \\ &= e'_i Q H \left( \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} \beta_{s0} \Omega_{ijs} \right) H' Q X' \Delta_{x,z} \\ &= e'_i Q H (\beta'_0 \otimes I_{g+1}) \Omega^* H' Q X' \Delta_{x,z} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Here we have used the result that  $\sum_{s=1}^{g+1} \beta_{s0} \Omega_{ijs}$  can be written as  $(\beta'_0 \otimes I_{g+1}) \Omega^*$  where  $\Omega^*$  is a  $(g+1)^2 \times (g+1)$  matrix obtained by stacking the matrices  $\Omega_{ijs}$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, g+1$ ,

(b). The second term of interest is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec} V_1)' \{H' Q (X' Z E_{rs} H' + H E'_{rs} Z' X) Q e_i \beta'_0 \\ & \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\} \text{vec} V_1 \end{aligned}$$

Again we shall initially disregard the summations and consider

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\text{tr}(e'_r (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' v_s \text{vec} V_1 (\text{vec} V_1)') \\ & \times \{H' Q (X' Z E_{rs} H' + H E'_{rs} Z' X) Q e_i \beta'_0 \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\}] \\ &= \text{tr}((\Omega_{ijs} \otimes \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r)) \{H' Q (X' Z E_{rs} H' + H E'_{rs} Z' X) Q e_i \beta'_0 \otimes (P_X - P_Z)\}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\Omega_{ijs} (H' Q (X' Z E_{rs} H' + H E'_{rs} Z' X) Q e_i \beta'_0)) \text{tr}(\text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r) (P_X - P_Z)) \\ &= e'_i Q H E'_{rs} Z' X Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{xz} + e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{xz} \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $E_{rs} = e_r e'_s$  the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & e'_i Q H e_s e'_r Z' X Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{xz} + e'_i Q X' Z e_r e'_s H' Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{xz} \\ &= e'_i Q H e_s \Delta'_{xz} Z (Z' Z)^{-1} e_r e'_r Z' X Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 + e'_i Q X' Z e_r \bar{z}'_r \Delta_{xz} e'_s H' Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 \\ &= e'_i Q H e_s \Delta'_{xz} Z (Z' Z)^{-1} e_r e'_r Z' X Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 + e'_i Q X' Z e_r e'_r (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' \Delta_{xz} e'_s H' Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 \end{aligned}$$

Summing over  $r = 1, \dots, K$  yields

$$e'_i Q H e_s \Delta'_{xz} X Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 + e'_i Q X' \Delta_{xz} e'_s H' Q H \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0$$

Finally, summing over  $s = 1, \dots, g+1$  gives

$$e'_i Q H \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (e_s \beta'_0 \Omega'_{ijs}) H' Q X' \Delta_{xz} + e'_i Q X' \Delta_{xz} \text{tr}(H' Q H \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 e'_s)$$

Alternatively, on noting that  $\sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (e_s \beta'_0 \Omega'_{ijs}) = \Omega'^*(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0)$  where  $\Omega^*$  is a  $(g+1)^2 \times (g+1)$  matrix obtained by "stacking" the matrices  $\Omega_{ijs}$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, g+1$ , we may write

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec} V_1)' \{ H' Q (X' Z E_{rs} H' + H E'_{rs} Z' X) \right. \\ & \left. Q e_i \beta'_0 \otimes (P_X - P_Z) \} \text{vec} V_1 \right\} \\ &= e'_i (Q H \Omega'^*(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0) H' + \text{tr}(Q H \Omega'^*(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0) H') \cdot I_{g+k}) Q X' \Delta_{xz} \quad (46) \end{aligned}$$

(c). The third and final term is

$$- \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec} V_1)' \{ \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q X' \otimes X Q H \} I^* \text{vec} V_1$$

where initially we focus on

$$\begin{aligned} & -E \text{tr} [ (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) \text{vec} V_1 (\text{vec} V_1)' \{ \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q X' \otimes X Q H \} I^* ] \\ &= -E \text{tr} [ (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) \text{vec} V_1 (\text{vec} V_1)' \{ \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q X' \otimes X Q H \} I^* ] \\ &= -\text{tr} [ (\Omega_{ijs} \otimes \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_z)) \{ \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q X' \otimes X Q H \} I^* ] \\ &= -\text{tr} [ (\Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q X' \otimes \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r) X Q H) I^* ] \\ &= -\text{tr} [ (\Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q X' \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r) X Q H) ] \\ &= -\text{tr} [ (\Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z e_r e'_s H' Q X' \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r) X Q H) ]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now  $e'_i Q X' Z e_r \bar{z}_{rj}$  in which  $\bar{z}_{rj}$  is the  $j$ th component of  $\bar{z}_r$  and  $\bar{z}_{rj} =$

$$e'_r (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' e_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, T. \quad \text{where } e_j \text{ is a } T \times 1 \text{ unit vector. Then } \sum_{r=1}^K e'_i Q X' Z e_r \bar{z}_{rj} =$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^K e'_i Q X' Z e_r e'_r (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' e_j = e'_i Q X' Z \sum_{r=1}^K e_r e'_r (Z' Z)^{-1} Z' e_j = e'_i Q X' e_j. \text{ on summing over } r = 1, \dots, K.$$

It follows that  $\sum_{r=1}^K \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r) e'_i Q X' Z e_r$  is a diagonal matrix with  $j, j^{\text{th}}$  component  $e'_j X Q e_i = x'_j Q e_i$ . We shall refer to this matrix as  $\text{Diag}(X Q e_i)$  whereupon we shall write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=1}^K \text{tr} [ (\Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z e_r e'_s H' Q X' \text{Diag}(\bar{z}_r) X Q H) ] \\ &= \text{tr} [ \Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 e'_s H' Q X' \text{Diag}(X Q e_i) X Q H ]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Summing over } s = 1, \dots, g+1 \text{ gives } \text{tr} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (\Omega_{ijs} \beta_0 e'_s) H' Q X' \text{Diag}(X Q e_i) X Q H \right].$$

which when introducing  $\sum_{s=1}^{g+1} (e_s \beta'_0 \Omega'_{ijs}) = \Omega'^*(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0)$  enables the final result as follows:

$$E \sum \sum (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs}) (\text{vec} V_1)' \{ \beta_0 e'_i Q X' Z E_{rs} H' Q X' \otimes X Q H \} I^* \text{vec} V_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{tr}\{\Omega'^*(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta_0) H'QX' \text{Diag}(XQe_i)XQH\}. \\
&= \text{tr}\{(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0) \Omega^* H'QX' \text{Diag}(XQe_i)XQH\} \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

Summing the three terms in (44),(45) and (46) above yields finally:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left\{\frac{1}{3!} \sum \sum (\hat{\pi}_{rs} - \pi_{rs})(\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))' f_{i,rs}^{(3)}(\text{vec}(\hat{\Pi}_1 - \Pi_1))\right\} \\
&= e'_i QH(\beta'_0 \otimes I_{g+1}) \Omega^* H'QX' \Delta_{x,z} \\
&\quad + e'_i (QH(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0) \Omega^* H' + \text{tr}(QH(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0) \Omega^* H') \cdot I_{g+k}) QX' \Delta_{xz} \\
&\quad - \text{tr}\{(I_{g+1} \otimes \beta'_0) \Omega^* H'QX' \text{Diag}(XQe_i)XQH\}. \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

The results are in terms of the  $(g+1) \times (g+1)^2$  matrix  $\Omega^*$  which itself is obtained by stacking the matrices  $\Omega_{ijs}$  where the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  element of  $\Omega_{ijs}$  is  $\omega_{ijs} = E[v_{it}v_{jt}v_{st}]$ . We shall now express  $\Omega^*$  in terms of the structural parameters.

First note that  $v_{it} = e'_t U(B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_i$  where  $(B')_{g+1}^{-1}$  is a  $G \times (g+1)$  matrix containing the first  $(g+1)$  columns of  $(B')^{-1}$  and  $e_t$  and  $e_i$  are  $T \times 1$  and  $(g+1) \times 1$  unit vectors, respectively. Therefore

$$v_{it} = u'_t b_i,$$

where  $u_t$  is a  $G \times 1$  vector of structural disturbances at time  $t$  and  $b_i = (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_i, i = 1, \dots, (g+1)$ , is a  $G \times 1$  vector. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{jt} &= u'_t b_j \\
v_{st} &= u'_t b_s
\end{aligned}$$

where  $b_j = (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_j$  and  $b_s = (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_s, j, s = 1, \dots, (g+1)$ . With these definitions we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{ijs} &= E[v_{it}v_{jt}v_{st}] \\
&= E[u'_t b_i u'_t b_j u'_t b_s] \\
&= E[u'_t b_i b'_j u'_t u'_t b_s], i, j, s = 1, \dots, g+1.
\end{aligned}$$

Using result (A.27) of Ullah(2005) we then have

$$\omega_{ijs} = [\text{tr}(b_j b'_i \Sigma_{ij1}), \dots, \text{tr}(b_j b'_i \Sigma_{ijG})] b_s,$$

where the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  element of the  $G \times G$  matrix  $\Sigma_{ijs}$  is  $E[u_{it}u_{jt}u_{st}] = \sigma_{ijs}$ . We can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{ijs} &= [b'_i \Sigma_{ij1} b_j, \dots, b'_i \Sigma_{ijG} b_j] b_s \\
&= \left( \sum_{p=1}^G b'_i \Sigma_{ijp} b_j e'_p \right) b_s.
\end{aligned}$$

where  $e_p$  is a  $G \times 1$  unit vector. Denoting the first column of  $\Omega_{ijs}$  by  $\Omega_{i1s}$  we have

$$\Omega_{i1s} = \begin{pmatrix} (\sum_{p=1}^G b'_1 \Sigma_{ijp} b_1 e'_p) b_s \\ \vdots \\ (\sum_{p=1}^G b'_{g+1} \Sigma_{ijp} b_1 e'_p) b_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b'_1 (\sum_{p=1}^G \Sigma_{ijp} b_1 e'_p) b_s \\ \vdots \\ b'_{g+1} (\sum_{p=1}^G \Sigma_{ijp} b_1 e'_p) b_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Noting that  $(B')_{g+1}^{-1} = (b_1, \dots, b_{g+1})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{i1s} &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \left( \sum_{p=1}^G \Sigma_{ijp} b_1 e'_p \right) b_s \\ &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' [\Sigma_{ij1}, \dots, \Sigma_{ijG}] \begin{pmatrix} b_1 e'_1 b_s \\ \vdots \\ b_1 e'_G b_s \end{pmatrix} \\ &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* (b_s \otimes b_1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma'^*$  is a  $G \times G^2$  matrix given by

$$\Sigma'^* = [\Sigma_{ij1}, \dots, \Sigma_{ijG}].$$

Generalising, the  $p^{\text{th}}$  column of  $\Omega_{ijs}$  is

$$((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* (b_s \otimes b_p),$$

so the matrix  $\Omega_{ijs}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{ijs} &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* \sum_{p=1}^G (b_s \otimes b_p) e'_p \\ &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* \sum_{p=1}^G ((B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_s \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_p) e'_p \\ &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* ((B')_{g+1}^{-1} \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1}) \sum_{p=1}^G (e_s \otimes e_p) e'_p \\ &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* ((B')_{g+1}^{-1} \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1}) (e_s \otimes I_{g+1}) \\ &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_s \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The  $(g+1) \times (g+1)^2$  matrix  $\Omega'^*$  is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega'^* &= (\Omega_{ij1}, \Omega_{ij2}, \dots, \Omega_{ij(g+1)}) \\ &= ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_1 \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1}, ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_2 \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1}, \dots, \\ &\quad ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})' \Sigma'^* (B')_{g+1}^{-1} e_{g+1} \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$=((B')_{g+1}^{-1})'\Sigma'^*((B')_{g+1}^{-1} \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1})$   
 on noting that  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{g+1}) = I_{g+1}$ . Hence finally

$$\Omega'^* = ((B')_{g+1}^{-1})'\Sigma'^*((B')_{g+1}^{-1} \otimes (B')_{g+1}^{-1}) \quad (49)$$