

Bjøntegård, Eivind

**Working Paper**

## The composite mean regression as a tool in production studies

Memorandum, No. 1999,05

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Department of Economics, University of Oslo

*Suggested Citation:* Bjøntegård, Eivind (1999) : The composite mean regression as a tool in production studies, Memorandum, No. 1999,05, University of Oslo, Department of Economics, Oslo

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/63165>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

# MEMORANDUM

---

No 05/99

The Composite Mean Regression as a Tool in Production Studies

*By*  
*Eivind Bjøntegård*

ISSN: 0801-1117

---

Department of Economics  
University of Oslo

---

This series is published by the  
**University of Oslo**  
**Department of Economics**

P. O.Box 1095 Blindern  
N-0317 OSLO Norway  
Telephone: + 47 22855127  
Fax: + 47 22855035  
Internet: <http://www.sv.uio.no/sosoek/>  
e-mail: econdep@econ.uio.no

In co-operation with  
**The Frisch Centre for Economic  
Research**

Gaustadalleén 21  
N-0371 OSLO Norway  
Telephone: +47 22 95 88 20  
Fax: +47 22 95 88 25  
Internet: <http://www.frisch.uio.no/>  
e-mail: frisch@frisch.uio.no

---

List of the last 10 Memoranda:

---

No 23	by Tor Jakob Klette and Zvi Griliches: Empirical Patterns of Firm Growth and R&D Investment: A Quality Ladder Model Interpretation. 32 p.
No 24	by Torbjørn Haegeland and Tor Jakob Klette: Do Higher Wages Reflect Higher Productivity? Education, Gender and Experience Premiums in a Matched Plant-Worker Data Set. 37 p.
No 25	by Karl Ove Moene and Michael Wallerstein: Inequality and Redistribution. 41 p.
No 26	by Steinar Holden and Karl Ove Moene: Measures to improve Wage Formation in Sweden. 28 p.
No 27	by Frode Johansen and Tor Jakob Klette: Wage and Employment Effects of Payroll Taxes and Investment Subsidies. 27 p.
No 28	By Hilde Bojer: Equivalence Scales and Intra-household Distribution. 12 p.
No 01	Gabriela Mundaca and Jon Strand: Speculative attacks in the exchange market with a band policy: A sequential game analysis. 45 p.
No 02	By Pedro P.Barros and Tore Nilssen: Industrial Policy and Firm Heterogeneity. 18 p.
No 03	By Steinar Strøm: The Economics of Screening Programs. 8 p.
No 04	Kai Leitemo and Øistein Røisland: Choosing a Monetary Policy Regime: Effects on the Traded and Non-Traded Sectors

A complete list of this memo-series is available in a PDF® format at:  
<http://www.sv.uio.no/sosoek/memo/>

---

# The Composite Mean Regression as a Tool in Production Studies

by Eivind Bjøntegård <sup>1</sup>  
Department of Economics  
University of Oslo

## Abstract

Johansen (1972) explains how a short run macro production function can be derived on the basis of a distribution of micro production units with respect to fixed input coefficients. The present note points out that the composite mean regression, introduced by Frisch (1929), can be useful in analysing some of the production models in Johansen (1972). The focus is on complementary, alternative and marginally independent production factors at the macro level in a production model which assumes efficient allocation of given quantities of inputs.

**Keywords:** Composite mean regression, complementary, alternative and marginally independent production factors, macro production functions.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to demonstrate that the composite mean regression in Frisch (1929) can be useful in analysing a production model in Johansen (1972). Johansen explains how a short run macro production function can be derived on the basis of a distribution of micro production units with respect to fixed input coefficients. Frisch (1965) introduces the concept of marginal dependency between production factors, i.e. complementary, alternative and marginally independent factors. The composite mean regression is applicable in discussing this dependency at the macro level within a production model stated in section 4.1 in Johansen. This model assumes efficient allocation of given input quantities among the micro production units. It may be denominated the standard model. In section 3.6 Johansen formulates a generalized model where the degree of capacity utilization in the micro units is a nondecreasing function of the quasi rent

---

<sup>1</sup>

I am indebted to Per Meinich for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this note and Norsk Tekstsenter Anne Sofie Tølforsby for secretarial assistance.

the micro units are able to earn. It can be shown that the marginal productivities of the macro production function in this general model are equal to the regression coefficients in an appropriate composite mean regression. However, in order to save on symbols and formulas the presentation of this case is omitted from the present note.

The regression model is presented in section 2 and the production model in section 3 below. In this last section definitions of complementary, alternative and marginally independent factors are given together with a further discussion. The production model is restricted to three production factors and the regression model accordingly to two variables. Extension to an arbitrary number of factors and variables should be straightforward.

## 2. The composite mean regression

Frisch (1929) is working with discrete variables. Here his idea is adopted to a continuous distribution. The variables  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are assumed to be distributed on the positive orthant according to the density function

$$(2.1) \quad h(z_1, z_2).$$

The regression line whose coefficients  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are to be determined, is written as

$$g_0 + g_1 z_1 + g_2 z_2 = 0,$$

where  $g_0 \neq 0$  is an arbitrarily fixed constant. Frisch requires the regression to satisfy some invariance conditions for transformation of the variables. This requirement can be disregarded here and  $g_0$  put equal to  $-1$  without loss of generality. The regression line to be determined can thus be written as

$$(2.2) \quad -1 + g_1 z_1 + g_2 z_2 = 0.$$

The composite mean regression is obtained by choosing  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  to minimize the expression

$$(2.3) \quad \iint (-1 + g_1 z_1 + g_2 z_2)^2 h(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2,$$

where it is integrated for all positive values of  $h(z_1, z_2)$ . The first order conditions for a minimum are

$$\int \int 2(-1 + g_1 z_1 + g_2 z_2) z_1 h(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2 = 0$$

$$\int \int 2(-1 + g_1 z_1 + g_2 z_2) z_2 h(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2 = 0$$

Assuming the second order conditions fulfilled and introducing the first and second order moments

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} M_i &= M(z_i) = \int \int z_i h(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2 & i &= 1, 2 \\ M_{ij} &= M(z_i, z_j) = \int \int z_i z_j h(z_1, z_2) dz_1 dz_2 & i, j &= 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

the solutions for  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  can be obtained from the first order conditions

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1 &= \frac{1}{M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}^2} (M_1M_{22} - M_2M_{21}) \\ g_2 &= \frac{1}{M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}^2} (M_2M_{11} - M_1M_{12}) . \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Marginal dependency - the standard model

Johansen assumes fixed input coefficients in the micro production units. Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  indicate input per unit of output of the three inputs and let

$$(3.1) \quad f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$$

be a continuous capacity distribution. The domain of  $f(\cdot)$  is in the interior of the positive orthant in the  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ -space. The meaning of (3.1) is that in a 3-dimensional region  $\Delta\xi_1, \Delta\xi_2, \Delta\xi_3$  around the point  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ , is located an output capacity approximately equal to  $f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \Delta\xi_1 \Delta\xi_2 \Delta\xi_3$ . This capacity requires an amount of input no.  $i$  equal to  $\xi_i f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \Delta\xi_1 \Delta\xi_2 \Delta\xi_3$  when operated.

Letting  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  be input prices in terms of output, the quasi rent earned by micro units with input coefficient  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  can be defined as

$$(3.2) \quad r = 1 - q_1 \xi_1 - q_2 \xi_2 - q_3 \xi_3$$

and a zero quasi rent plane as

$$(3.3) \quad q_1 \xi_1 + q_2 \xi_2 + q_3 \xi_3 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{q_1} (1 - q_2 \xi_2 - q_3 \xi_3) .$$

Efficient allocation of variable inputs is obtained when micro units earning a positive quasi rent are operated at full capacity while the others are left idle. Total output,  $X$ , and total factor use,  $V_i$ , for the production sector as a whole can then be written as

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} X &= \int \int \int_0^{\frac{1}{q_1}(1-q_2\xi_2-q_3\xi_3)} f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \\ V_i &= \int \int \int_0^{\frac{1}{q_1}(1-q_2\xi_2-q_3\xi_3)} \xi_i f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\xi_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \quad i = 1, 2, 3 . \end{aligned}$$

In (3.4) the limits of integration for  $\xi_2$  and  $\xi_3$  may be set equal to 0 and  $\infty$ . After having integrated over  $\xi_1$  from 0 to  $1/q_1 (1 - q_2 \xi_2 - q_3 \xi_3)$  the integrand of the integral over  $\xi_2$  and  $\xi_3$  is zero for values of these variables close to the origin or above the plane (3.3).

Assuming that a matrix,  $M$ , to be defined later, is nonsingular (positive definite),  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  may be conceived of as functions of  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  in the last equations of (3.4). Inserting into the first equation gives

$$(3.5) \quad X = F(V_1, V_2, V_3) .$$

This is the short run macro production function in Johansen.

Frisch (1965, p. 58) defines the product accelerations as the second order derivatives of  $F$ . Two factors are complementary if an increase in the quantity of one factor increases the marginal productivity of the other, i.e. if  $\frac{\partial^2 F(V_1, V_2, V_3)}{\partial V_i \partial V_j} > 0, i \neq j$ . The factors are alternative when this second order derivative is negative and marginally independent when it is zero.

According to some remarks on page 192 and 193, Frisch (1965) considers the definitions above to be technical concepts as opposed to complementarity and

alternativity in demand. The definition will be applied here although the Johansen macro production function (3.5) is a highly economic concept.

Regarding  $X$  and  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  in (3.4) as functions of  $q_1, q_2$  and  $q_3$  and letting  $\delta X / \delta q_1$  and  $\delta V_i / \delta q_1$  denote partial derivatives, one gets

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\delta X}{\delta q_1} &= -\frac{1}{q_1} \iint \xi_1 f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \\ \frac{\delta V_i}{\delta q_1} &= -\frac{1}{q_1} \iint \xi_1 \xi_i f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\xi_2 d\xi_3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

Let us further introduce the integral

$$(3.7) \quad J = \frac{1}{q_1} \iint f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 .$$

In the integrals (3.6) and (3.7)  $\xi_1$  is to be set equal to  $1/q_1 (1 - q_2 \xi_2 - q_3 \xi_3)$  according to (3.3). Replacing (3.3) by (3.2) in (3.4)  $X$  can also be considered a function of  $r$ . Partial derivation gives

$$\frac{\delta X}{\delta r} = -J, \text{ when } r = 0.$$

$J$  can be interpreted as total capacity density along the plane (3.3).

Let us also introduce the density function.

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{q_1 J} f\left(\frac{1}{q_1} (1 - q_2 \xi_2 - q_3 \xi_3), \xi_2, \xi_3\right)$$

and first and second order moments

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} M_i &= M(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{q_1 J} \iint \xi_i f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \\ M_{ij} &= M(\xi_i \xi_j) = \frac{1}{q_1 J} \iint \xi_i \xi_j f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_1$  again is to be replaced by  $1/q_1 (1 - q_2 \xi_2 - q_3 \xi_3)$ .



Using the definitions above and differentiating in (3.4) gives

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} dX &= -JM_1 dq_1 - JM_2 dq_2 - JM_3 dq_3 \\ dV_i &= -JM_{i1} dq_1 - JM_{i2} dq_2 - JM_{i3} dq_3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

It is convenient to rewrite (3.10) with vector and matrix notations

$$(3.11) \quad dX = -Jm' dq$$

$$(3.12) \quad dV = -JM dq$$

where  $m = [M_i]_{3 \times 1}$ ,  $dq = [dq_i]_{3 \times 1}$ ,  $dV = [dV_i]_{3 \times 1}$ ,  $M = [M_{ij}]_{3 \times 3}$ , and for later use we write  $q = [q_i]_{3 \times 1}$ .

It can be shown that the matrix  $M$  is positive definite provided there is sufficient spread among the marginal input coefficients in (3.3).

Since  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  satisfy (3.3), one can derive

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &= q' m \\ m &= M q. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.12), (3.13) and the fact that  $M = M'$

$$(3.14) \quad q' dV = -Jq' M dq = -Jm' dq$$

which together with (3.11) gives

$$(3.15) \quad dX = q' dV$$

which proves that the marginal productivities are equal to the factor prices,

$$\frac{\delta F(V_1, V_2, V_3)}{\delta V_i} = q_i.$$

Since the factor prices are functions of the factor quantities, the second order derivatives of  $F$  or the product accelerations in the terminology by Frisch, can be obtained from (3.10) or (3.12) as  $\frac{\delta q_i(V_1, V_2, V_3)}{\delta V_j}$ .

Let  $W = \left[ \frac{\delta^2 F(\cdot)}{\delta V_j \delta V_i} \right] = \left[ \frac{\delta q_i(\cdot)}{\delta V_j} \right]$  be the Hessian of  $F$ . From (3.12)

$$(3.16) \quad W = -\frac{1}{J} M^{-1}.$$

Since M is positive definite, W is negative definite.

From (3.16):

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{\delta q_2}{\delta V_1} = \frac{1}{J|M|} \begin{vmatrix} M_{12} & M_{13} \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix}.$$

It is easily seen that with only two factors, no. 1 and no. 2, (3.17) becomes

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{\delta q_2}{\delta V_1} = \frac{M_{12}}{J(M_{11}M_{22} - M_{12}^2)} > 0, \quad \text{where } J \text{ and } M_{ij} \text{ are defined for the two factor case as in Johansen p 57.}$$

It is clear that in the two factor case, the factors are always complementary.

Returning to (3.17), using (3.13) and manipulating the determinant in the numerator<sup>2</sup> gives:

---

2

$$K = \begin{vmatrix} M_{12} & M_{13} \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{q_1} \begin{vmatrix} q_1 M_{12} & q_1 M_{13} \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Adding  $q_3$  times the second row to the first

$$K = \frac{1}{q_1} \begin{vmatrix} q_1 M_{12} + q_3 M_{32} & q_1 M_{13} + q_3 M_{33} \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using  $q_1 M_{12} + q_3 M_{32} = M_2 - q_2 M_{22}$  and  $q_1 M_{13} + q_3 M_{33} = M_3 - q_2 M_{23}$  from (3.13)

$$K = \frac{1}{q_1} \left( \begin{vmatrix} M_2 & M_3 \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix} - q_2 \begin{vmatrix} M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix} \right).$$

Putting  $|M_1| = M_{22}M_{33} - M_{32}^2$  outside the parenthesis

$$K = \frac{|M_1|}{q_1} \left( \frac{\begin{vmatrix} M_2 & M_3 \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix}}{|M_1|} - q_2 \right).$$

Inserting in (3.17) gives (3.19).

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{\delta q_2}{\delta V_1} = \frac{|M_1|}{q_1 J |M|} \left( \frac{\begin{vmatrix} M_2 & M_3 \\ M_{32} & M_{33} \end{vmatrix}}{|M_1|} - q_2 \right), \quad \text{where } |M_1| \text{ is the principal minor } M_{22} M_{33} - M_{23}^2 > 0.$$

Comparing the first expression in the parenthesis in (3.19) with (2.5) it is seen that this is equal to the regression coefficient  $g_2$  in the composite mean regression

$$(3.20) \quad -1 + g_2 \xi_2 + g_3 \xi_3 = 0$$

when the density function (3.8) and definitions (3.9) are applied.

(3.19) can thus be written as

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{\delta q_2}{\delta V_1} = H(g_2 - q_2) \quad \text{where } H \text{ is a positive number.}$$

The following conclusions can be drawn from (3.21): Factors no. 1 and no. 2 are complementary when  $g_2 > q_2$ . If  $g_2 < q_2$  they are alternative and they are marginally independent if  $g_2 = q_2$ .<sup>3</sup>

By computing  $\frac{\delta q_3}{\delta V_1}$  we will get similar conclusions for factors no. 1 and no. 3. However, factor no. 1 cannot be alternative to both no. 2 and no. 3. If this were the case, the regression line (3.20) will lie northeast of the line

$$1 = q_2 \xi_2 + q_3 \xi_3$$

in the  $\xi_2, \xi_3$ -plane and wholly outside the region where the density function (3.8) takes on positive values. In such a situation the measured deviations from the regression line cannot be minimized. It can thus be concluded that factor no. 1 is complementary to either no. 2 or no. 3. If factor no. 1 and no. 2 are alternative, then factor no. 3 is

---

3

Letting  $q_1$  be exogenous and  $V_1$  endogenous in (3.4) one gets  $X = F^*(q_1, V_2, V_3)$  and  $V_1 = v(q_1, V_2, V_3)$ . It can be shown that  $g_2$  in (3.21) satisfies

$$g_2 = \frac{\delta F^*(q_1, V_2, V_3)}{\delta V_2},$$

but proceeding along these lines doesn't give more insight into marginal dependency than can be derived from a general production function independently of its origin.

complementary to both no. 1 and no. 2.

The conclusion that factor no. 1 is complementary to at least one of the other factors can reasonably be assumed to hold for an arbitrary number of  $n$  factors. When every factor is complementary to at least one of the others, there must be at least  $n-1$  positive cross accelerations. This is a minimum requirement that leaves wide scope for alternativity when the number factors becomes large. The number of negative cross accelerations may then be quite large. On page 59 in Frisch (1965) the number of different cross accelerations is stated to be  $n(n-1)/2$ . Deducting the minimum number of positive accelerations according to the reasoning above, we get the highest possible number of negative cross accelerations

$$k = (n-1) \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right).$$

When  $n = 2$ ,  $k = 0$  and when  $n = 3$ ,  $k = 1$ . This is in accordance with the previous results. For  $n = 4, 5, 10$  and  $20$   $k$  becomes 3, 6, 36 and 171 respectively.

We conclude the note by repeating the results for the two and three factor cases remembering that the numbering of factors is arbitrary: When there are only two production factors, these are always complementary. In the three factor case factor no. 1 is complementary to either no. 2 or no. 3. If factor no. 1 and no. 2 are alternative, factor no. 3 is complementary to both no. 1 and no. 2.

## References:

- Frisch, Ragnar, 1929. Correlation and scatter in statistical variables, Nordisk Statistisk Tidsskrift, Vol 8, also in Nordisk Statistical Journal, Vol. 1, and Memorandum fra Universitetets socialøkonomiske institutt, Oslo, 8. mai 1951.
- Frisch, Ragnar, 1965. Theory of production, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht - Holland.
- Johansen, Leif, 1972. Production functions. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam - London.