

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Gustafsson, Björn

Working Paper Disparities in social assistance receipt between immigrants and natives in Sweden

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 6129

Provided in Cooperation with: IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Gustafsson, Björn (2011) : Disparities in social assistance receipt between immigrants and natives in Sweden, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 6129, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn, https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:101:1-201112136771

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/58485

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

IZA DP No. 6129

Disparities in Social Assistance Receipt between Immigrants and Natives in Sweden

Björn Gustafsson

November 2011

Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit Institute for the Study of Labor

Disparities in Social Assistance Receipt between Immigrants and Natives in Sweden

Björn Gustafsson

University of Gothenburg and IZA

Discussion Paper No. 6129 November 2011

IZA

P.O. Box 7240 53072 Bonn Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0 Fax: +49-228-3894-180 E-mail: iza@iza.org

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit organization supported by Deutsche Post Foundation. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral program. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

IZA Discussion Paper No. 6129 November 2011

ABSTRACT

Disparities in Social Assistance Receipt between Immigrants and Natives in Sweden

Social assistance receipt among immigrants in relation to receipt among natives in Sweden is investigated. A background of how the system is constructed is provided, statistical information reported, the literature surveyed and key results interpreted. Most out-payment for social assistance in Sweden refers to foreign born persons although the category makes up 14 percent of the population. While some part of the high costs can be attributed to needs to maintaining recent refugees, this is not the entire story. Immigrants tend to assimilate out of social assistance receipt. However, receipt continues to be higher than among in several characteristics identical natives many years after immigration among immigrants from not rich countries. The elevated probabilities of social assistance receipt among immigrants from not rich countries are mainly due to failures of integrating into the labor market at the destination.

JEL Classification: F22, I38, J15

Keywords: social assistance, immigrants, Sweden

Corresponding author:

Björn Gustafsson Department of Social Work University of Gothenburg P.O. Box 720 405 30 Göteborg Sweden E-mail: Bjorn.Gustafsson@socwork.gu.se

1. Introduction

The Swedish social welfare system is by many perceived as having a number of attractive characteristics. Thus in international comparisons Sweden is often found in the group of countries having the lowest incidence of financial poverty, see for example OECD (2008). Major reasons for such a favorable state are comparatively high labor force participation of men as well as by women and the existence of a relatively generous income safety net. However, the access to and the generosity of social insurance payments depend on household members qualifying by working for pay. Recently arrived immigrants as well as young adults have typically not qualified to for example unemployment compensation and are therefore more likely than others to turn to social assistance: the last income safety-net.

During the 50, 60 and first half of the 70s did most foreign born arrive as work migrant or as their relatives. They originating with few exceptions from European countries and most became well integrated into the Swedish labor market. The proportion of refugees and their family members has been much larger in the immigrant streams that arrived during the 80s and thereafter. The origin has also changed towards a larger proportion of people from middle and low income countries. Many of those "new" immigrants differ substantially from the majority population by appearance and name. The fact that many immigrants who arrived during the 80s and thereafter are not well integrated into the Swedish labor market is widely acknowledged and subject to much concern.

The seriousness of the issue shows up in that a minority rich countries now-days face a larger employment gap between foreign born and native born than Sweden. (OECD, 2009) This must also be deemed as the major reason why a much larger proportion of foreign born than native born receive social assistance. Foreign born also on average have longer periods of social assistance receipt than natives. Higher rates of receipt and longer duration lead to that since some years most public expenditures for social assistance in contemporary Sweden are the out payments to foreign born recipients.

The task for this paper is to provide an overview of the issue of social assistance receipt among immigrants to Sweden and put it in relation to receipt by natives. It is based on knowledge of relevant institutions, published statistical information and the relevant literature. We also presents previously not published estimates of social assistance receipt among natives and immigrants, as well as of the poverty reducing effects of social assistance both based on the Household Income Survey 2003.

The paper is laid out as follows: In the next section we provide a short description of the immigrant population in Sweden. We inform in Section 3 on how the Swedish social assistance system is constructed. The topic for Section 4 is the norms utilized in the social assistance provision, while Section 5 presents statistical information on the extent of social assistance receipt and policy goals. Statistical information on disparities in social assistance receipt between immigrants and natives are reviewed in Section 6, while Section 7 deals with research on the same issue. Section 8 discusses that the relatively high social assistance receipt among some immigrants can be understood from the perspectives of immigrant's lack of integration in the labor market at the destination, holes in the income safety net and possibly also other factors. Finally Section 9 ends the paper with some concluding comments.

2. Sweden's immigrant population

Sweden's experience as immigrant country is relatively recent. At the beginning of World War II very few foreign born persons lived in Sweden, but since then many waves of immigrants have crossed the boarders. While the foreign-born population numbered 1.8 percent in 1950, the proportion had grown to 7.5 percent in 1980. At the end of 2008 1 280 000 foreign born persons lived in Sweden, which constitutes 13.8 percent of a population numbering in total 9 260 000 persons. However, in the public debate is the term "immigrant" not restricted to people who are foreign born. Statistics Sweden report that at the end of 2008 17.9 percent of the population to persons being born abroad Swedish born having two foreign born parents. The numbers would have been still higher if also native born persons having one foreign born and one native born parent were included. Many foreign born persons and their children have received a Swedish citizenship. At the end of 2008 did the foreign citizens make up 562 000 persons or 6.1 percent of the population in Sweden.

/Table 1 about here/

Using information on the situation in January 2009 Table 1 list the 20 largest sending countries for foreign born to Sweden by name and number. A large heterogeneity in geographical distance to Sweden can be observed. The closest neighboring Nordic countries among which a common labor market has been in place for half of a century is well represented. Finland is the largest sender country of immigrants to Sweden and most such immigrants have a long period of residency at the destination. Furthermore, Denmark rank number eight and Norway number nine. Another group of large sender countries are other members of the European Union, an entity Sweden belongs to since the mid 90s and among which mobility nowadays faces few restrictions. To this group of sender countries counts Poland (rank 4), Germany (rank 7), Great Britain and Northern Ireland (rank 15) as well as those from the United States (rank 19), arrived for labor market reasons or for family reasons. Such a description also fit the migration population from the entity here labeled Yugoslavia, the third largest country of origin. The majority of those people arrived during the 70s.

Iraq counts nowadays the second largest sender country among immigrants to Sweden, a population that has more than doubled since 2000. Most entered Sweden as refugees, for humanitarian reasons or as relatives to family members who had been admitted for such reasons. Such a description also fit the immigration populations born in Iran (a majority arriving during the 80s) and Bosnia-Herzegovina (a majority arriving during the 90s), the fifth and sixth largest sending county. The description also applies to the somewhat less numerous migrant populations from Chile, Somalia, Lebanon and Syria. Table 1 also inform on that Turkey rank number 10 and Thailand number 12 among countries of birth among immigrants to Sweden while the most populous countries in the world, China and India rank 17 and 20.

A considerable proportion of Sweden's more recently arrived immigrants originate from low or middle income countries and have not entered as labor migrants. Few other rich countries have during the later decades admitted equally many asylum seekers and their relatives in relation to their population size as Sweden. A considerable proportion of the recent immigrants is Muslims, and many are by appearance and name easy to distinguish from the majority population. The immigrant population is younger than the native category. Metropolitan areas and larger cities have higher proportions of foreign born than smaller cities and rural areas.

3. The provision of social assistance¹

To receive social assistance ("Försörjningsstöd", "Ekonomikt bistånd" previously Socialbidrag) a person typically call the relevant Social Welfare Office. Some, but far from all, calls results in an appointment with a social worker.² The social welfare office is a branch of the local government and it is the local government (with exceptions discussed below) which finances the expenditures of social assistance. In Sweden there are 290 local governments and the rate of social assistance receipt in their population varied in 2008 from 0.4 to 10.6 percent. For followers of Swedish media it comes as no surprise that the local government with the lowest rate of social assistance receipt is Vellinge and the highest one Södertälje. The first mentioned has become infamous for not admitting refugees.³ The second has been known for although not having more than 80 000 inhabitants it has recently received a larger number of refugees from Iraq than United States and Canada together.⁴

Many local governments serve relatively small populations thus only one social welfare office is necessary, but the larger cities have several social welfare offices. While activities at the social welfare office are overseen by a board of local politicians, an applicant typically meets a social worker; a professional trained at a university or college. This can lead to the person putting in a formal claim. The applicant has to provide information on the structure of his or her family, various sources of income, assets as well as housing expenditures and in some cases other expenditures as well. A typical application refers to a period of one month. After such a meeting the social worker reviews the application which involves checking information provided by the applicant and performing calculations.

Based on the review a decision is taken and communicated to the applicant a few days after application has been handed in. The following is a simplified description of the decision-making process⁵: People with disposable incomes lower than income thresholds laid down in norms and in other guidelines who cannot make a living in any other way receive social assistance. The sum closes the gap between disposable income and the relevant threshold as specified in the norm. The applicant is allowed to appeal the decision. To receive social assistance for a second month a member of the household is required to hand in a new application and the procedure is repeated. There is no time limit for how long a period a household can receive social assistance.

The legal framework for the activities of the Social Welfare Offices consists of several layers. The parliament has passed the Social Welfare Act (originally in effect since 1982) though its present formulation came into work in 2002. This Act regulates a number of local government activities regarding social welfare. Chapter 4 of the Social Welfare Act regulates the individual's right to social assistance. The first paragraph states that persons who cannot meet their needs or have them met in other ways have a right to assistance from the Social Welfare Board for their maintenance (maintenance support, "Försörjningsstöd") or for their livelihood. This version of the act does not use the term "Socialbidrag" which was introduced when the

¹ This and the next section summarise and update Gustafsson (2003).

² For empirical studies on how the intake functions see Minas (2005, 2006 and 2009)

³ See Aftonbladet 20091122 http://www.aftonbladet.se/nyheter/article6166209.ab

⁴ See Dagens Nyheter 20071122 http://www.dn.se/nyheter/sverige/sodertalje-tar-emot-fler-irakier-an-usa-och-kanada-1.599238

⁵ Results for a series of empirical studies using hypothetical identical applications show a rather large variation in decisions. See for example Gustafsson et al. (1993) and Strantz (2007)

law first came into effect 1982 and is still in popular use. The local government is obligated to provide social assistance independent of its financial situation thereby making it illegal to reject an application due to lack of local government resources.

Please note that the Social Welfare Act does not list categories of persons entitled to social assistance. Any person residing in Sweden (regardless of nationality) is eligible with one exception. Practically indistinguishable from Försörjningsstöd / Ekonomiskt bistånd some recent immigrants are instead entitled to a specific benefit ("Introduction fee for refugees and some other foreigners", "Introduktionsersättning för flyktingar och vissa andra utlänningar") in compliance with a particular act (1992:1068). These benefits are also provided by the local government, and are harmonised with those of the primary system of social assistance. If introduction assistance is provided a contract on integration is made between the local government and the recent refugee. The local government. We will, as is usually the case, treat introduction assistance as a part of the Swedish system of social assistance.

However, we will in the following sections of the paper, as typically the case, not include the system of old age social assistance ("Äldreförsörjningsstöd") which can be claimed by persons aged 65 and older. Although it is in some aspects similar to Försörjningsstöd / Ekonomiskt bistånd it is administered by the social insurance offices and fully funded by the central government. Most recipients of old age social assistance are foreign born although some Swedish born persons who have returned after a period abroad also receive the benefit (Albertsson, 2008). This system, introduced in 2003, aims to fill holes in the basic penison system, as in its present form a residence record of at least 40 years is required to receive a full basic pension.⁶

The requirement for receipt of social assistance is that the benefit unit possesses a low income together with the inability to earn a living in any other way. A benefit unit consists of one or two adults (married or cohabiting) and their dependent children. A person is considered a child if under age of 18, or if secondary school is not completed. Parents are not legally required to support their adult children, and children are not required to support their parents.

The rules in the Social Welfare Act imply, for example, that a household should try to support itself by paid work, by drawing on savings, or by selling assets. Only if such options are exhausted do not exist is a person eligible for social assistance. The rules also imply that unemployed persons typically have to show that they are registered at the employment office and are actively searching for a job. In a country where paid work is the norm for females (and public child care is heavily subsidised) this also applies to mothers of young children. The second paragraph in chapter 4 of the Social Welfare Act states that the social welfare board is allowed to provide assistance (Ekonomiskt bistånd) in addition to the reasons stated in the first paragraph. Local government thus has the right to top up benefits.⁷

⁶ A single person over 65 with a monthly disposable income lower than 4 831 SEK per month (net of income taxes and housing costs) can be granted the benefit. Statistical information from the Social Insurance office show that in December 2008 11 854 persons received such benefits, and the costs for out-payments during 2008 as a whole was 431 million SEK.

⁷ One can see this as a throwback to the past when each local government decided on the level for the norm. This was actually the case up to January 1998 when the norm applicable to all the country first came into play.

The third paragraph of chapter 4 of the Social Welfare Act states that maintenance support (Försörjningsstöd) is given for the following costs:

- 1. Food, clothing and shoes, play and leisure, articles of consumption, health and hygiene and finally daily newspaper, telephone and TV licence. Those items are included in the norm (See Section 4).
- 2. Housing, electricity, travel to work, home insurance and finally fees for membership in a trade union and unemployment insurance society. Those are calculated on a case by case basis.

The fourth paragraph of the Social Welfare Act states that the social welfare board can demand that persons receiving social assistance take part in certain measures (trainee jobs or other measures to increase competence) if the person has not been offered a suitable labour market policy measure and:

- 1. is under age 25.
- 2. Is over age 25 but due to circumstances is in need of measures to increasse competency, or
- 3. Is studying in a program for which student financial aid is available, but needs maintenance, in-between school terms

If a person refuses to take part in activities assigned without an acceptable excuse income maintenance can be refused or reduced according to the forth paragraph of the Social Welfare Act. The same also applies if the person fails to attend assigned activities without an acceptable excuse.

Some comments can be made on the content of paragraph 4 and those following it. These paragraphs did not have counterparts in the legislation in effect during the 80s and 90s. However, during the 90s with its high unemployment, ever-larger groups of young adults became social assistance recipients, for more on the issue see Salonen (2000). For example, Andrén and Gustafsson (2003) report that at age 22, 15 percent of those native born 1970 had experience of receiving social assistance as an adult. However, among those born in 1975 as many as 30 percent had at the same age had such an experience. Thus there was a strong trend of social assistance becoming a widespread benefit for young adults during the transition to adulthood. This process was triggered by the macroeconomy as unemployment among young adults increased dramatically at the beginning of the 90s (Lundborg, 2000). The new paragraphs of the Social Welfare Act can be regarded as the Swedish version of welfare reform, that is the trend to emphasise activity measures; a trend which is observable in this field of policy in several other countries as well.⁸

While the Social Welfare Act is rather general in its formulation, it is the job of the National Board of Health and Social Welfare ("Socialstyrelsen") to oversee the activities of the social welfare boards. The National Board of Health and Welfare provides information on the norm (decided by the government) as well as detailed advice on how to take decisions on social assistance. It has published recommendations as well as books (Socialstyrelsen 2000, 2003) each filled with more than 200 pages of detailed advices.

4. Norms defining eligibility

/Table 2 about here/

⁸ Studies that deal with the issue of evaluating activity programs / rules for social assistance receipt are Milton and Bergström (1998), Milton (2000a,b), Hallsten et al (2002), Giertz (2004) and Dahlberg et al (2008).

We will in this section discuss the norms; Table 2 provides information on the levels in effect since January 2009 and applicable to at least December 2010. To compute the norm applicable to a specific benefit unit one has to add up the individual specific amounts and to it the amount defined by the number of persons. This means that the norm for a single person without children amounts to 3 680 SEK (per month) and for a couple without children to 6 050 SEK (per month).⁹ If there are dependent children the amounts increase for each additional child, but at a somewhat decreasing rate. Such increases are higher the older the child is.¹⁰

Are the norms high or low? The answer might differ as different types of comparisons can be made. Seen over a period of one or several generations, and linking the norm to it's previous equivalent, there is no doubt that the norms are much more generous than they were a long time ago, see Rauhut (2002). In contrast it might well the case that since the end of the 1980s has the purchasing power of the norms diminished. Comparisons are not straightforward as previously each local government had it's own norm. However, Stranz (2007) shows based on a study of some local governments that in 2003/2004 a hypothetical applicant would face a norm expressing a lower purchasing power compared to what was the case one decade ago. Consistent with this Johnasson (2001) finds after having investigated changes in the law, regulations from the National Board of Health and Social Welfare and court cases as well as case studies of two local government that during the 90s provision of social assistance became more restricted or at least more selective than before.

We have access to tabulations from the Household Income Survey at Statistics Sweden showing that median income for all households in 2003 was 165 913 SEK per equivalent adult.¹¹ In contrast, the median for households receiving social assistance at least during one month the same year was 106 453 SEK per equivalent adult, this means 64 percent of median for all households. The income level of social assistance recipients thus appears as relatively low.

A norm of 3 680 SEK for a single person without children is indeed low when compared to wages for a full-time earner. Statistic Sweden reports monthly gross earning for a full-time worker in 2008.¹² The median was 24 000 SEK. Looking at the lower part of the distribution one observe that Sweden has no official minimum wage. However, we can turn to the earnings level for a cleaner at hotel or office at the 10th percentile (by definition, 90 percent of the population of full-time cleaners earn more); 16 500 SEK. We can thus safely conclude that the norm for a single person without children is much lower than the earnings of a person with a low wages, see the following example. Assume that the single low earning person pays 3 200 SEK in rent. This would require him or her to have a disposable income of less than 6 880 SEK (gross of housing costs) to pass the income test for social assistance. This can be

⁹ As of January 2010 100 SEK were equal to 9.8 €or 14.3 USD.

¹⁰ The amounts reported in Table 2 are based on the assumption that children receive a free lunch five days a week when placed in day care centers or involved in primary or secondary education. The National Board of Health and Social Welfare provide breakdowns of the monthly costs covered by the norm. For example for a single adult (year 2009), 1 620 SEK is for food and beverages, 520 SEK for clothing and shoes, 400 SEK for leisure activities, and 260 SEK for hygiene. The remaining are for articles of consumption (110 SEK) as well as for daily newspaper, telephone and TV license (770 SEK).

¹¹ A commonly used equivalence scale in which the first adult person is assigned the value 1.0, each additional adult 0.7 and the value of 0.5 is added for each child under 18 is used.

¹² <u>http://www.ssd.scb.se/databaser/makro/SaveShow.asp</u> Accessed December 1, 2009. For a study on people's perception of adequate norm for social assistance see Halleröd (2004).

compared to net income for the low-income earner in the example above which is slightly over around 11 000 SEK (net of income taxes but gross of housing costs).

However, the situation can look rather different when the benefit unit has several nonworking members. With an increased number of dependent children, the gap between income from employment and the social assistance norm decreases to eventually disappear. The classic issue of conflict between providing incentives to work and support to the needy is in the Swedish context very much related to two parent families with a large number of dependent children as well as to single parents, predominately single mothers.

Two studies have modeled labor supply among single mothers in a framework where the level of the norm for social assistance is considered (Andrén 2003, Flood et al 2003) The results indicate that the level of the norm has a substantial effect on labor supply as well as receipt of social assistance among single mothers. For example a suggested reform reducing income taxes, the level of the social assistance norm and out of pocket payments for public child care (which are income related) is evaluated by Flood et al (2003). The reform would increase incentives for single mothers to work and the simulations indicate a changed labor supply of some mothers as well as a rather large drop in social assistance receipt. Many, but not all single mothers would gain from the reform as their disposable income would increase. Tax and benefit-policies can thus be judged to affect social assistance receipt among single parents. However, pay attention to that this can most likely not be generalized to single persons without children, the largest category of social assistance receiptents.

5. The number of social assistance recipients and policy goal

Table 3 provides some key numbers of social assistance receipt in Sweden for each year 1983 to 2008 based on publications from Statistics Sweden. The number of recipients, defined as persons living in a household that at least once during a year has received social assistance, has varied between 378 000 (2007) and 722 000 (1996), or from 4.1 percent of the population to 8,2 percent. While there is no long run trend in the rate of recipients, the extent of social assistance receipt in the population has been shown to be strongly influenced by the unemployment rate (Korpi, 1974, Gustafsson, 1984, Stenberg 1998. On this issue see also Brännström and Stenberg 2007). The deep downturn of the economy in the beginning of the 1990s resulted in an expansion from 1991 to 1996 when the number of recipients grew by 46 percent, average duration by 35 percent and out payments doubled. Thereafter and following the recovery of the economy did the number of recipients and outpayments decrease continuously to year 2007. However, quarterly statistics on out-payments shows that this development turned to it's opposite during 2008 as the recent deep downturn of the world economy hit the Swedish economy. Out-payments of social assistance were for the three month period July – September 2009 19 percent higher than during the same period 2008. (Socialstyrelsen, 2009)

/ Table 3 about here/

A worrisome development is that there is a clear trend in periods of receipt being longer, see Table 3. While a household that received social assistance in 1983 were on average on the welfare roles for 4.2 months during a calendar year, the corresponding number had in 2008 increased to 6.1 months, an increase by 45 percent. Almost all of the increase has taken place since the start of the deep economic downturn in the beginning of the 90s. The increased

length of receipt is an important reason for total out payments in 2008 being larger than during the 1980s.

In 2001 did the Government present the parliament with the following goal: "The government is now working to increase employment and justice in the society. As a consequence, the number of social assistance recipients should be cut in half between 1999 and 2004." The goal was accepted by the parliament, and the government stated that each year it would report on how the goal was fulfilled. Ministry of Finance (Finansministeriet, 2001, Addendum 3) provided an operational definition of the goal. The underlying idea was to transform social assistance receipt of various lengths and amounts into full equivalents (full year and full receipt). It refers to households having a head 20 - 64 years of age and does not include introductory assistance receipt from 115 200 full year equivalence in 1999 to 57 600 full year equivalence in 2004.

The first reports to parliament indicated substantial decreases in social assistance receipt since 1999. However, progresses came to a halt as the employment situation in the population worsened and in 2004 was the number of full year equivalence as high as 88 000. Thus the reduction of the number of full time equivalences since 1999 was only 24 percent, not 50 percent meaning that the goal was not fulfilled. (Finansministeriet, Addendum 3, 2006). No attempt to formulate a new explicit goal on reducing social assistance receipt has thereafter been made.¹³ However, a governmental commission has produced a more than 500 page long report with a battery of suggestions aiming to reduce social assistance receipt in the population (SOU 2007:2). In this, as well as in a new report by independent economists (Dahlberg, 2009) some of the proposed measures are directed towards immigrants receiving social assistance. At time of writing it remains to be seen if some of the suggested changes are going to be introduced.

6. Statistical information on disparities in social assistance use between immigrant and native

/ Table 4 about here/

Since some years information on social assistance receipt by nativity is reported in the official statistics. Table 4 presents foreign born and native born recipients by absolute numbers as well as rates of receipt within the relevant population category by age for 2008. It shows that the total number of foreign born recipients is actually slightly larger than the number of native born. The rate of receipt is six times higher among the foreign born than among native born. Generally, rates of receipt are the highest among young adults. As many as 32 percent of foreign born persons aged 18 to 19 were receiving social assistance in 2008, while the corresponding proportion among native born of the same age was only 5 percent. Social assistance receipt is very low among middle aged and older native born persons. The official statistics also show that in 1990 did out-payment to foreign born households amount to 56

¹³ However, for the budget year 2008, information on the number of full time equivalence receivers of government transfers among people in work active ages were reported. (Finansminsteriet, Addendum 3, 2007) Among those a minority is social assistance recipients and thereby the focus was shifted towards measures to reduce the number of receivers in the systems of unemployment compensation, sickness benefits and disability pensions.

percent of all out payments, a number that had increased to 63 percent in 2008. As much as 24 percent of total out payments for social assistance in 2008 can be attributed to what in the statistics in defined as refugee households, that is to households that have received residence permit as refugees or for humanitarian reasons during the year or the three preceding years. A still larger proportion (39 percent of the total) is the out-payments for other foreign born and not more than 37 percent are the out payment to native born.

/Table 5 about here/

Further information is provided in Table 5 where we use the Household Income Survey at Statistics Sweden for 2003 to report estimated rates of social assistance receipt for natives, second generation of immigrants and first generation of immigrants as well as the number of persons belonging to the various categories.¹⁴ Among adults do second generation immigrants, particularly those with two foreign born parents, have higher rates of receipt than native born. Among first generation immigrant adults from not rich countries stand out with a rate of receipt as high as 24 percent, to be compared to 3 percent among native born having two parents native born. Looking at rates of social assistance receipt from the perspective of children provides a supplementary view. Not more than 4 percent of native born children having two Swedish born parents lived in a household that received social assistance in 2003. However, the rate was two times as high if one of the parents was foreign born, and not less than 19 percent if both parents were foreign-born. The highest rates were found for children themselves born in not rich countries, among who as many as 38 percent lived in a household that received social assistance in 2003.

We can use the same data for an accounting exercise in order to find out to what extent social assistance reduces financial poverty as it is conceptualized and measured within the European Union (See Atkinson et al 2002). We draw poverty line at 40 alternatively 50 and 60 percent of the median income and report the proportions falling below them using two different definitions of disposable income: One excluding and one including receipt of social assistance. The difference between the two expresses the poverty reducing effect of social assistance.¹⁵

/Table 6 about here/

Reading the content of Table 6 some findings emerge. Rates of poverty (after having considered social assistance receipt) are low among native born, only 6 percent among adults as well as children in case both parents also are native born. This is not due to social assistance, as rather low proportions of native born are taken out of poverty by social assistance. The situation is relatively similar among Swedish adults born having one or two foreign born parents, the second generation of immigrants, although they have higher rates of poverty. However, adults born in not rich countries have definitively high poverty rates (after taking social assistance payments into account): 26 percent. Very similar is the proportion among children born in Sweden having two foreign born parents as well as among children themselves born abroad.

¹⁴ For the estimates sampling weights developed by Statistics Sweden are used.

¹⁵ This assumes that social assistance receipt do not affect income of households calculated as not including social assistance. Given the strictness of the means test once can argue this is a reasonably good first approximation.

Table 6 also shows that social assistance makes relatively few immigrants cross a poverty line set at 60 percent of the median income. Social assistance has a much larger influence on immigrant poverty when focusing on the 40 percent of the median income poverty line – deep poverty. Among adults born in a not rich country does social assistance reduce such a proportion from 16 percent to 7 percent. Still larger is the reduction among immigrant children. Rather dramatically the proportion children born in not rich countries that falls under the 40 percent line drops from 21 to 3 percent when social assistance is considered in the calculations. Social assistance must thus be deemed as rather successful in reducing deep poverty among this category. Still it should be remembered that one in four native born children having two foreign born parents as well as among those children themselves foreign born fall under the 60 percent poverty line (after considering receipt of social assistance). This information is important from a policy perspective. It means that measures that aim to increase incentives for immigrants to work by reducing social assistance benefits have a risk of increasing child poverty and thus be in conflict with other policy goals.

7. Research on disparities in receipt between immigrant and natives

Given the information provided in the previous section does it not comes as a surprise that the issue of social assistance receipt among immigrants to Sweden has been the subject of several research efforts, efforts that have focused on different aspects of receipt. Table 7 lists not less than 13 academic studies we are aware of that have used household data with an emphasis on shedding light on disparities of social assistance receipt between natives and immigrants.¹⁶ The table describes the studies by population studied, definition of immigrant, dimension of receipt studied, date and / or period studied as well as main results. With only one exception do all studies refer to the first generation of immigrants. Most studies have used register data for the 90s.

The two first lines of Table 7 describe the earliest studies. Gustafsson (1986) report that the number of foreign citizens receiving social assistance had been increasing since the mid 60s. During the 70s and beginning of 80s foreign born had higher rates of receipt than the majority population. Rates were comparably high shortly after arrival and among immigrant with a non-Nordic citizenship. Franzén (1997) followed arrival cohorts of foreign born from 1983 to 1992 and found rates of receipt to be high at arrival but thereafter decrease. The cohorts arriving 1988 had higher rates of receipt at arrival than the cohort arriving 1983. Studying a balanced panel for the years 1983 to 1992 it was found that while 11 percent of native born adults hade received social assistance at least once during the ten year period, the proportion was as high as 26 among foreign born.

/ Table 7 about here/

Several authors have used larger datasets of cross section information and estimated models explaining probabilities of receipt using as key explanatory variables age, years since immigration, education and origin. The third listed study, Hammarstedt (2000) is one example, the fourth listed Franzén (2001) another.¹⁷ Table 8 report some results from the

¹⁶ In addition there are studies in witch rates of receipt at the level of the local government population is studied and that have used variables indicating the proportion of immigrants residing in the jurisdiction. See Byberg (2002) and studies there cited. Generally those studies find a positive association between the rate of immigrant and the rate of social assistance receipt.

¹⁷ Hammarstedt (2000) also studied receipt of some other public transfers.

second mentioned in the form of how predicted probabilities for receipt in 1995 vary by origin, education, and years since immigration for a single woman with no children aged 40. The table reports that while among natives receipt is rather unlikely for a longer educated person, this is not the case among newly arrived foreign born with the same level of education. Probabilities of receipt diminish rapidly by years since immigration and vary by country of origin. Probabilities of receipt among immigrants originating from rich countries are comparably low, while this is not the case among immigrants from not rich countries. Particularly high are the probabilities for newly arrived immigrants from such countries.

/Table 8 about here/

Using basically the same framework and similar variables did Hammarstedt and Ekberg (2004), study number 5, investigate social assistance receipt among second generation immigrants and natives in 1997. A major finding is that a non European background led to a higher probability of receipt. Another development of the same type of analysis is to pooled cross section in order to estimate effects of not only years since migration but also of arrival cohort. This was done by Hammarstedt (2009), study number 6, using data for 1990, 1995 and 1999. Also in this study do the results indicate striking differences in probabilities of receipt between immigrants with different origins. Immigrants from rich countries were found to have rates of receipt relatively similar to the native population and they were not found to be affected by years since immigration. In contrast rates of receipt among immigrants from not rich countries are initially high, decrease by years since immigration and have increased across arrival cohorts.

Halleröd (2003), study number 7, represents another variant of the same analytical framework. It is comparative as determinants of receipt 1986-87 as well as 1996-98 are studied. Data comes not only from registers but also from interviews and are in this sense richer than for other similar studies. The results show that when including variables measuring unemployment, income and receipt of transfers do coefficients for variables indicating immigrant status diminish. Still, foreign citizenship lead to higher probabilities of receiving social assistance. The results also shown that a household with given characteristics had lower probabilities of receipt 1996-98 than in 1986-87. This development can indicate a lower propensity to apply for social assistance or that social assistance has become less generous / more stringent provided.¹⁸

In two papers have Jörgen Hansen and Magnus Löfström studied social assistance receipt among immigrants and natives using balanced panels of adults and advanced econometric methods. One advantage with the research strategy is that from the onset it is clear that possibly selective return migration, most prevalent among immigrants from rich countries, can not affect results reported (while this might be the case in cross section studies). However, pay attention to that as many as approximately 40 percent all foreign born who live in Sweden 2009 have arrived after 1996, the last year in their data, and are therefore not included in the studies.

Hansen and Löfström (2003), study number 8, estimated a random effects probit model to uncover the patter leading to social assistance receipt. In their analyses are immigrants from countries sending many refugees, all being not rich, treated as a category separated from other immigrants. The results indicate that upon arrival do immigrants from refugee sending

¹⁸ These results are in agreement with results from Arslanogullari (2001) who used cross section data for 1990 and 1995 in order to better understand reasons for the expansion of social assistance receipt across the two years.

countries have considerably higher probabilities of receipt than other immigrants who in turn have higher probabilities than natives. By increased years of residency does probability of receipt fall, most quickly among immigrants from refugee sending countries. Immigrants who arrived at the end of the 60s and beginning of 70s have probabilities of receipt similar to the ones for natives only after a relatively long residency. Later arrived cohorts of refugees are predicted to have higher probabilities of receipt compared to earlier arrival cohorts after the same duration of stay, while such differences are smaller for other immigrants.

Hansen and Löfström (2009), study number 9, define the three states receiving social assistance, receiving unemployment compensation and being employed and studied transitions into and out of the three states for males. In the analysis are in addition to natives three categories of immigrants studied: Refugees, Nordic immigrants and Other immigrants. While 8 percent of natives received some social assistance during the period 1990 to 1996, the corresponding proportion among refugee migrants was as high as 37 percent. A dynamic multinominal logit model is estimated controlling for initial conditions and unobserved heterogeneity. The results indicate that particularly refugee immigrants display a greater degree of "structural", or "true" state dependence than natives. The high degree of social assistance receipt among immigrants might be due to the existence of a "welfare trap" due to human capital depreciation or / and that social assistance receipt send a signal to potential employer indicating that the job applicant is less productive. In contrast participation among natives and non-refugee immigrants is reported to be largely due to permanent unobserved characteristics.¹⁹

All studies surveyed up to now have in common that social assistance receipt is measure using yearly data and a person is defined as a recipient if living in a household that has received assistance at least during one month during a calendar year. This means receipt that last one month is treated the same as receipt with a duration of 12 months within the calendar year. The remaining studies listed in Table 7 have applied other definitions of receipt.

Gustafsson (1998), study number 10, investigated the probability of entering social assistance receipt among males among those who became first time unemployed. Administrative data from the city of Gothenburg, and a 18 month follow up period was used. Results show that a long spell of unemployment, as well as the unemployed person not being eligible to unemployment benefits from an unemployment fund both greatly increases the probability of entering social assistance receipt. With increased age do probabilities of entering receipt decrease. Compared to those circumstances does a foreign citizenship have only small effects on receipt, see Table 9.

/Table 9 about here/

Gustafsson et al. (2002), study number 11, investigated the length of the status social assistance receipt as well as the length of the state of not reentering into receipt, measured in months for new recipients in an international comparison. Administrative data from cities located in Germany, Italy. Portugal, Spain and Sweden were harmonized and analyzed. A paradox was found: Recipients in cities with more generous benefit levels, that is cities

¹⁹ This is consistent with results reported by Nyblom (2008). This author analysed survey data from social workers concerning 372 recipients from four local governments in order to study their perception of barriers clients have to getting and receiving a job. The results showed that lack of education was most frequently often mentioned. Different from for natives barriers related to lack of motivation, lack of self-confidence or a difficult childhood were infrequently brought up for immigrants

located in the north of Europe, were found to have shorter average spells of receipt than cities in the south of Europe where benefits are lower. This is shown in Table 10 where the descriptive results are reported. In some cities did immigrants have longer spells of receipt than natives even after controlling for age and family type. This was particularly the case in the Swedish cities. In contrast, in the Italian cities did immigrants have shorter spells of receipt than natives. This study also showed that a considerable proportion of people who exit social assistance receipt in the Swedish cities re-enter after some months of non-receipt.

/Table 10 about here/

In Section 5 did we report that the average number of months a household receives social assistance has trended up since the beginning of the 90s. This is a motivation for Bergmark and Bäckman (2004), study number 12, to investigate the dynamics of long term receipt, which the authors defined as receipt that has lasted for at least 10 month during a given calendar year. ²⁰ Probability models for leaving long term receipt and for reentering long term receipt was estimated using data for 1991 to 1998. The results indicate that controlling for year, age, education, household type and regional unemployment did a short period of residence in Sweden decrease the probability of leaving long term receipt as well as increase the probability of re-entering long term receipt.

The last study for this survey, Mood (2009), study number 13, also investigates long term receipt defined in the same manner. Here the research question is: To what extent has immigrant household contributed to the expansion in the total number of long term recipients from 1990 to 2003? Using data from the capital Stockholm the author decomposes the increased long term receipt into three terms: Changes in the proportion of immigrants in the city, changes in long dependence among immigrant and changes in long dependence among natives. The results show that almost half of the increase in long term receipt can be attributed to increased long time dependence among immigrants. In contrast increased long term dependence among immigrants. In contrast increased long term dependency among natives contributed only marginally to the total increase in long-term social assistance receipt in the total population.

Results from the surveyed studies most often point in the same direction. Social assistance receipt among immigrants is relatively high shortly after immigration, but typically diminishes with years since immigration. There is much of heterogeneity in receipt between immigrants due to country of origin. The highest probabilities of receipt are found among immigrants from not rich countries from which many have entered as refugees, for similar reasons or due to family reasons. Studies that have investigated it have found that later arrival cohorts of immigrants tend to have higher rates of receipt than earlier arrived cohorts.

8. Immigrants in labor market and the income safety net

Following the literature and the general perception we claim that the relatively high use of social assistance among immigrants to Sweden can best be understood from the perspectives of immigrant's lack of integration in the labor market at the destination. To a certain degree holes in the income safety net also play roles. Additional causes for a comparatively high rate

²⁰ Since 2002 does the register of social assistance receipt at Statistics Sweden contain information on during which specific month during a calendar year social assistance is received. This will make more detailed studies on social assistance receipt possible, on this see Bergmark and Bäckman (2007)

of social assistance receipt among immigrants is the demographic composition: A larger proportion of the foreign population are young adults or live in households with many children, categories in which social assistance receipt is above average. Furthermore it seems likely that immigrants own fewer assets and have lesser access to financial support from relatives and friends.²¹ To this can be added that, disproportionally many immigrants reside in locations where relatively many households receive social assistance, and this might increase knowledge on the program as well as diminish stigma of becoming a recipient.²²

As Sweden's immigration population has grown in number and its labor market situation has become more worrisome, so has academic research on the topic expanded. For overview of the research filed see for example Ekberg (ed) (2004), Bengtsson et al. (2005) and Ekonomisk Debatt (2007). Although studies have focused on different labor market outcomes, on people of different origins and refer to different years, it is possible to tease out some key lessons from the research.

One lesson, far from unique to Sweden, is that the labor market status of immigrants typically improves with years since immigration. This is consistent with typical findings in the research surveyed in the preceding section that rates of social assistance typically decrease with years since immigration. While immigrants assimilate into the labor market at the destination, in the Swedish case they also assimilate out of social assistance receipt. Another lesson is that studies based on large sets of more recent register data show that observed individual characteristics cannot fully explain gaps in labor market position between natives and immigrants, nor the deteriorating relative situation of immigrants. In contrast, among people in any given job there seems to be very little evidence of wage discrimination. Furthermore, there are no sign of immigrants being less active in the job search. Still they have higher unemployment rates and longer spells of unemployment.

An important lesson from the research is that the main reason for immigrant's unfavorable labor market status seems to be that they are less likely to be hired than natives. Results of several new field experiments show that a foreign name substantially decreases the probability to be called to an interview with a potential employer, see for example Attström (2007), Carlsson and Rooth (2007), Bursell (2007) or Ahmed and Ekberg (2009) the latter surveying field experiments also in other markets. Consistent with this register based research indicate that when an immigrant change his or her name to one signaling nativity this leads to higher income (Arai and Skogman Thoursie, 2009). Furthermore, Åslund et al (2009) show that immigrants are severely underrepresented among managers and that hiring pattern of immigrant to a much higher extent compared to what other managers did.

While some kind of consensus seems to emerge on that the hiring decisions is a, or perhaps the, main reason for present labor market gaps between immigrants and natives in Sweden, it leads to new questions. Why do potential employers today discriminate towards job applicants with characteristics signaling foreignness, while for example during the 1950s employer's

²¹ There is clear evidence that for many households in Sweden, applying for social assistance is considered an unattractive alternative. For an empirical study of this see Gustafsson (2002)

 $^{^{22}}$ Analysing parish data for persons aged 20 – 25 1990 to 1999 living in Stockholm Mood (2004) finds that rates of outflow from social assistance receipt as well as rates of inflow into social assistance receipt are affected by the level of social assistance receipt. Using a quasi experimental design Åslund and Fredriksson (2009) finds based on register data for 1990 to 2000 that social assistance dependency among refugees increases if the individual is initially placed in a parish with high rate of social assistance receipt.

actively recruited foreign labor? One line of reasoning attributes much explanatory power to technical and organizational change. Today, there is much less demand for unqualified manual labor which is easy to transfer from one social environment to another. The knowledge based economy requires for example language skills and cultural competence which in many cases is not easily portable across national boarders.

Furthermore, collective bargain has resulted in relatively high minimum wages in Sweden, reducing the demand of for example people who do not master the Swedish language. One could argue that in a hypothetical situation with a larger number of low paid jobs, a higher proportion of immigrants would have been employed. The problem with putting this forward as a policy advice is that trade unions goals are rather the opposite; to reduce wage differences. Alternative policy strategies are therefore more in line with traits of the Swedish model, a model which to a large extent can bee seen as the outcome of trade union action. One strategy of making the immigrant labor force more attractive is to upgrade it's skills, another is to subsidized immigrant employment. Both measures incur costs for the public sector budget, and measured by in this way does the Swedish integration policy stand out as rather ambitious in international comparisons. Still most rich countries face smaller immigrant – native unemployment gap as Sweden, a paradox.

We now turn to the income safety net. The Swedish system of unemployment insurance has a long history and is strongly related to the trade unions. Although formally different from the public sector, unemployment insurance societies are mainly funded by public resources. In addition, eligibility criteria and benefit levels are decided by the parliament after proposals from the government. To be eligible to unemployment benefits a worker must have a work record, actively search for work and be registered at the employment office. Benefits can be received during a period of 300 working days (450 days for parent to children under 18), after which they are terminated.

The maximal unemployment benefit can amount up to 680 SEK (gross of income tax) per working day five days a week. This means that for some workers as much as 80 percent of income losses due to a layoff are replaced. Furthermore, workers placed in labor market programs receive benefits computed according to the same formula, although the benefit is labeled "Activity support" and is paid by the Social Insurance Office.

However, other categories unemployed receive lower benefits. Some, due to lower previous earnings, are eligible to not more than the minimum level of 320 SEK (gross of income tax) per working day five days a week, and there are people in labor market policy measures who receive as little as 233 SEK (gross of income tax) per working day a week. This means that single persons having only such incomes will earn less than the norms used when assessing applications for social assistance (see Section 4). Still other categories of unemployed do not receive any unemployment benefit at all: People who have no previous work record, those who search for a short part time job, those who have not registered at the employment office as well as those who are not active enough in their job search.

In short, one can say that the Swedish system of unemployment insurance in many respects works well for the insiders of the labor market, but not for outsiders. The latter category includes many recent immigrants, as well as school leavers. The situation is similar for the systems replacing income losses due to sickness and due to parental leave. While many native who becomes sick or a parent can count on relatively generous benefits, this is not the case for many immigrants experiencing the same events, as they have not previously established themselves in the labor market.

Furthermore, there is evidence that something similar is the case when it comes to access to labor market programs funded by the central government. (Lindmark, 2009) The shortcomings of the central government organized and funded labor market policy has since the 90s motivated many local governments to introduce their own labor market programs for social assistance recipients. (Ulmestig, 2007, Thorén, 2008). However, it appears that up to now progress in finding successful such measures that have been documented in a credible manner is lacking (Lundin, 2008).

9. Final comments

This paper has aimed to provide a review of knowledge on social assistance receipt among immigrants in relation to receipt among natives in Sweden. We have described the immigrant population, the system of social assistance, provided statistical information on social assistance use by immigrants and natives as well as surveyed academic studies. Based on research we have also discussed how to interpret the findings.

It has been shown that in present Sweden most of the out-payments for social assistance refer to foreign born persons a category make up 14 percent of the total population. This means that immigrants have considerably higher rates of receipt than natives. Immigrants also have longer periods of receipt than natives. To some extent can the high costs for social assistance received by immigrants be attributed to the need for maintaining refugees when they are newly arrived and during a few years thereafter. However, this is far from the entire story. Not only refuge immigrants have elevated rates of receipt at entry to Sweden. Although there seems to be a general pattern of immigrants to assimilate out of social assistance receipt, receipt continues to be higher than among in several characteristics identical natives many years after immigration among immigrants from not rich countries who have arrived during later decades.

All evidence point towards that the elevated probabilities of social assistance receipt among immigrants from not rich countries are mainly due to failures of integrating into the labor market at the destination. In this sense does the high share of expenditures for immigrant social assistance mirror the problems migrants from not rich countries meet when trying to find a job. Policies for integrating immigrants into the labor market are also policies for reducing social assistance receipt among immigrants. Such policies can aim to make immigrants more attractive to hire due to increasing their human capital, or by subsidizing wage costs. However, we have here referred to results from new research that convincingly indicating that at the hart of problem is also the behavior of the person who recruits workers, typically a majority person. Measures to combat discrimination of immigrants at the labor are also measures to reduce social assistance receipt among immigrants, but also a task for the majority population.

The Swedish social welfare model is a model where one qualifies by performing paid work. One could claim that in very few other countries are workers who face events like layoffs, sickness, invalidity and parenthood equally well protected from income losses. In contrast people who have no or only week work histories are referred to the last income safety net: social assistance. From this perspective it can be claimed that disparities in social assistance receipt between immigrants and the majority are due to the ambitious transfer systems. Similarly one could rightly claim that a fundamental reason for the large number of immigrant social assistance recipients is that they have been admitted to the country as refugees, for humanitarian reasons or as relatives to such persons. However, rolling back the welfare state as well as admitting dramatically fewer immigrants from not rich countries are not politically feasible measures to reduce the disparity in social assistance receipt between immigrants and the majority.

We are thus arguing that the main strategy for reducing the gaps in social assistance receipt between immigrants and the majority can not be changes in the income safety net. Still, such changes can be motivated. For example labor market policy programs could be developed and better targeted towards immigrants receiving social assistance. Among social workers processing social assistance claims there seems to be a wide spread feeling that their clients are not always given the best treatment at the employment offices. We have also presented evidence indicating that in case social assistance norms were reduced in order to increase incentives to work, there is a large risk that poverty among immigrant children would worsen from a level already high. This speaks against a strategy of reducing social assistance receipt among immigrants by only focus on the generosity in the system of social assistance.

References

Ahmed, A. and Ekberg, J. (2009) "Fältexperiment för att studera etnisk diskriminering på den svenska arbets- och bostadsmarknaden", <u>Socialvetenskaplig Tidskrift</u>, 16, (2), 105 – 122.

Albertsson, M. (2008) <u>Från socialbidrag till äldreförsörjningsstöd. En reform ur äldre invandrares perspektiv</u>, Växjö: Växjö universitet, Rapportserie i socialt arbete. Nr 2, 2008.

Andrén, T. (2003) "The choice of paid childcare, welfare, and labor supply of single mothers", <u>Labour Economics</u>, 10, 133 - 147.

Andrén, T & Gustafsson, B. (2004) "Patterns of social assistance receipt in Sweden" International Journal of Social Welfare, 13 (1), 55 – 68.

Åslund, O., Hensvik, L. and Nordström Skans, O. (2009) "Seeking Similarity: How Immigrants and Natives Manage at the Labor Market", Institute for the study of Labour, Discussion Paper no 4640.

Arrai, M. and Skogman Thoursie, P. (2009) ""Renouncing Personal Names: An Empirical Examination of Surname Chagne and Earnigns", <u>Journal of Labor Economics</u>, 27, 127–147.

Arslanogullari, S. (2000) <u>Household Adjustment to Unemployment</u>, University of Uppsala, Economic Studies 49, Uppsala.

Atkinson, T., Cantillon, B., Marlier, E. and Nolan, B. (2002) <u>Social Indicators. The EU and</u> <u>Social Inclusion</u>, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Attström, K. (2007) <u>Discrimination against Native Swedes of Immigrants Origin in Access to</u> <u>Employment</u>, Genève: International labour Office.

Bengtsson, T., Lundh, C., and Scott, K. (2005) "From Boom to Bust: The Economic Integration of Immigrants in Postwar Sweden" Chapter 2 in Zimmermann, K.F. (Ed) European Migration. What Do We Know?, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Bergmark, Å. and Bäckman, O. (2004) "Stuck with Welfare? Long-term Social Assistance Recipiency in Sweden", <u>European Sociological Review</u>, 20 (5), 425 – 443.

Bergmark, Å. and Bäckman, O. (2007) "Socialbidragstagandets dynamik – varaktigheter och utträde från socialbidragstagandet under 2000-talet", <u>Socialvetenskaplig Tidskrift</u>, 14, 153 – 134.

Brännström, L. and Stenberg, S Å (2007) "Does Social Assistance Receipiency Influence Unemployment? Macro-lvel Findings from Sweden in a Period of Turbulence", <u>Acta</u> <u>Sociologica</u>, 50 (4), 347 - 362.

Bursell, M. (2007) "What is a name? A Field Experiment Test for the Existence of Ethnic Discrimination in the Hiring Process", Working Paper, Stockholm University Linnaeus Centrum for Integration Studies, 2007:7.

Byberg, I. (2002) <u>Kontroll eller handlingsfrihet? - en studie av organiseringens betydelse i socialbidragstagandet</u>, Stockholm: Stockholms universitet: Institutionen för socialt arbete Rapporter i socialt arbete 101.

Carlsson, M. and Rooth, D-O (2007) "Evidence of Discrimination in the Swedish Labour Market using Experimental data", <u>Labour Economics</u>, 14 (4) 716 – 729.

Dahlberg, M. Johansson, K. and Mörk, E. (2008) "On the Mandatory Activation of Welfare Receivers", Uppsala: The Institute for Labour Market Policy Evaluation, Working Paper 2008:24.

Dahlberg, M., Edmark, K., Hansen, J. and Mörk, E. (2009) "Fattigdom i folkhemmet – från socialbidrag till självförsörjning", Uppsala: The Institute for Labour Market Policy Evaluation, Rapport 2009:4.

Ekberg, J. (Ed) (2004) <u>Egenförsörjning eller bidragsförsörjning?</u> Invandrarna, <u>arbetsmarkanden och välfärdsstaten</u>. Rapport till Integrationspolitiska maktutredningen, Stockholm: Statens Offentliga Utredningar (SOU 2004:21).

Ekonomisk Debatt (2007) <u>Temanummer: Invandrares integration på arbetsmarknaden</u>, No 3, Stockholm.

Finansdepartementet (2001) (Ministry of Finance) <u>Avstämning av målet om en halvering av</u> <u>antalet socialbidragsberoende mellan åren 1999 och 2004</u>. Bilaga 3 till Prop 2001/02, Stockholm.

Finansdepartementet (2006) (Minsitry of Finance) <u>Budgetpropostionen för 2007.</u> <u>Fördelningspolitisk redogörelse</u>. Bilaga 3 till Prop 2006/7:1, Stockholm.

Finansdepartementet (2007) (Ministry of Finance). <u>Fördelningspolitisk redogörelse</u>, Bilaga 3 till Prop 2007/08:1, Stockholm.

Flood, L., Pylkkänen, E. and Wahlberg, R. (2003) "From Welfare to Work: Evaluating a Proposed Tax and Benefit Reform Targeted at Single Mothers in Sweden", Journal of Human Resources.

Franzén, E. (1997) "Invandrare och socialbidrag", <u>Socialvetenskaplig Tidskrift</u>, 4, 279 – 304.

Franzén, E. (2001) "Socialbidrag bland invandrare: Erfarenheter från Sverige", <u>Sociologisk</u> Forsknig, 38, (3-4), 9 – 39.

Gustafsson, B. (1984) "Macroeconomic performance, old age security and the rate of social assistance receipt in Sweden", <u>European Economic Review</u>, 26, 319 - 338.

Gustafsson, B. (1986) "International Migration and Falling into the Income Safety Net", International Migration, 24, 461 – 483.

Gustafsson, B. (1998) "From the employment office to the social welfare office: Social asistance receipt among first-time unemployed in Sweden", <u>European Journal of Social Work</u>, 1 (2) 203 - 220.

Gustafsson, B (2002) Assessing non-use of social assistance", European Journal of Social Work, 5, (2) 149 - 158.

Gustafsson, B. (2003) "Social Assistance in Sweden", in Final Report – Volume II. Internatinal Experiences with Social Assistance Schemes – Five Country Case Studies, Astana, Internatial Labour Office (ILO) and UNDP Country Office in the Republic of Kazakhstan.

Gustafsson, B., Hydén, L -C. and Salonen, T. (1993) "Decision-making on social assistance in major cities in Swedish", <u>Scandinavian Journal of Social Welfare</u>, 2, 197 - 203.

Gustafsson, B., Mueller, R., Negri, N. and Voges, W. "Paths Through (and out of) Social Assistance" in Saracceno, C. Ed (2002) <u>Social Assistance Dynamics in Europe. National and local poverty regimes</u>, Bristol: Policy Press.

Halleröd, B. (2003) "Varför får folk socialbidrag? Analys av socialbidragstagandets bestämningsfaktorer", <u>Socialvetenskaplig Tidskrift</u>, 10 (2/3) 238 – 266.

Halleröd, B. (2004) "What I Need and What the Poor Deserves: Analyzing the gap between the minimum income needed for oneself and the view of an adequate norm for social assistance", <u>Social Forces</u>, 83 (1), 35 - 59.

Hallsten, L., Isaksson, K. and Andersson, H. (2002) "Rinkeby Arbetscentrum – verksamhetsidéer, genomförande och sysselsättningseffekter av ett projekt för långtidsarbetslösa invandrare", Rapport 2002:10. Uppsala: The Institue for Labour Market Policy Evaluation.

Hammarstedt, M. (2000) "The Receipt of Transfer Payments by Immigrants in Sweden", International Migration, 38 (2), 239-268.

Hammarstedt, M. and Ekberg, J. (2004) "Unemployment Compensation and the Use of Social assistance among Second-generation Immigrants in Sweden", <u>International Journal of Social Welfare</u>, 13 (3), 254-265.

Hammarstedt, M. (2009) "Assimilation and Participation in Social Assistance among Immigrants", <u>International Journal of Social Welfare</u>, 18 (1), 85 – 94.

Hansen, J. & Lofstrom, M. (2003) "Immigrant Assimilation and Welfare Participation.", Journal of Human Resources, XXXVIII, 74 - 98.

Hansen, J. and Lofstrom, M. (2009) "The Dynamics of Immigrant Welfare and Labour Market Behavior", Journal of Population Economics, 22, 941 – 970.

Johansson, H. (2001) I det sociala modborgarskapets skugga. Rätten till socialbidrag under 1980- och 1990-talen, Lund: Arkiv förlag (Lund Studies in Social Welfare).

Korpi, W. (1974) "Poverty, social assistance and social policy in postwar Sweden", <u>Acta</u> <u>Sociologica</u>, no 2 - 3. Lindmark, S. (2009) "Af särbehandlar arbetslösa med socialbidrag – om dolda utestängningsprocesser ovärdiga en demokrati", <u>Socialvetenskaplig Tidskrift</u>, 16, (1) 75 – 83.

Lundborg, P. (2000) "Nittiotalets förlorare", Arbetsmarkand & Arbetsliv, vol 6, 235 - 48.

Lundin, M. (2008) <u>Kommunerna och arbetsmarknadspolitiken</u>, Uppsala: The Institue for Labour Market Policy Evaluation (IFAU) 2008:13.

Milton, P. (2000a) "Mind the Gap. Translating Practice Problems into Research Questions in an Evaluation of a Welfare Program", <u>European Journal of Social Work</u>, 3(1) 25 - 28.

Milton, P. (2000b) "När man vill veta hur det går för klienterna. Att använda akter i utvärdering av socialbidragsarbetets effekter", <u>Socialvetenskaplig Tidskrift</u>, 7, (4),361 – 375.

Milton, P. & Bergström, R. (1998) <u>Uppsalamodellen och socialbidragstagarna. En effektutvärdering</u>, Stockholm: Socialstyrelsen: Centrum för utvärdering av socialt arbete.

Minas, R. (2005) "Shifting the Wheat from the Chaff – The Organization of Telephone Intake and the Selection of Social Assistance Inquires in Sweden", <u>European Journal of Social Work</u>, 8 (2), 145 – 164.

Minas, R. (2006) "Intake strategies: Organising the intake of new social assistance inquires", International Journal of Social Welfare, 15 (1), 63 – 74.

Minas, R. (2009) "Social Expenditures and Public Administration: Are Local Social Assistance Costs in Sweden a Matter of Orgnaization?", <u>International Journal of Social Welfare</u>, Published on line 24 April 2009.

Mood, C. (2004) "Social Influence Effects on Social Assistance Recipiency", <u>Acta</u> <u>Sociologica</u>, 47, 235 – 251.

Mood, C. (2009) "Lagging Behind in Good Times: Immigrants and the Increased Dependence on Social Assistance in Sweden", International Journal of Social Welfare, available on line

Nybom, J. (2008) "Hur bedömer socialarbetarna socialbidragstagares försörjningshinder?", <u>Socialvetenskaplig Tidskrift</u>, 15, 152 – 169.

Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (2008) <u>Growing Unequal?</u> <u>Income Distribution and Poverty in OECD Countries</u>, Paris.

Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (2009) <u>OECD Factbook 2009:</u> <u>Economic, Environmental and Social Statistics</u>, Paris.

Rauhut, D. (2002) <u>Fattigvård, socialbidrag och synen på fattigdom i Sverige 1918 - 1997.</u> Lund: Lund Studies in Economic History 18.

Salonen, T. (2000) "Ungdomars socialbidragstagande och försörjningssvårigheter under 1990talet in Bergmark, Å (Editor) <u>Välfärd och försörjning</u>. Antologi Kommittén Välfärdsbokslut, Stockholm: SOU 2000:40. Socialstyrelsen (National Board of Health and Social Welfare) (2000) <u>Handbok om</u> ekonomiskt bistånd, Stockholm.

Socialstyrelsen (National Board of Health and Social Welfare) (2003A) <u>Ekonommiskt</u> bistånd. Stöd för rättstillämpning och handläggning av ärenden i den kommunala socialtjänsten, Stockholm.

Socialstyrelsen (National Board of Health and Social Welfare) (2003B) <u>Ekonomiskt bistånd.</u> <u>Årsstatistik 2002</u> (Statistik Socialtjänst 2003:8) Stockholm.

Socialstyrelsen (National Board of Health and Social Welfare) (2009A) <u>Riksnormer för</u> <u>försörjningsstöd 2010 – Ändraingar i socialtjänstförordningen (2001:937).</u> Meddelandeblan, Stockholm.

Socialstyrelsen (National Board of Health and Social Welfare) (2009B) Ekonomiskt bistånd. Årsstatistik 2009 Stockhlom.

Socialstyrelsen (National Board of Health and Social Welfare) (2009C) "Ekonomiskt bistånd, kvartal 1 – 3 år 2009, Stockholm.

Statistics Sweden (2009) Tabeller över Sveriges Befolkning 2008, Stockholm.

Sveriges Offentliga Utredningar (2007) Från socialbidrag till arbete, SOU 2007:2, Stockholm.

Stenberg, S - Å (1998) "Unemployment and economic hardship - A combined macro- and micro analysis of the relationship between unemployment and means-tested social assistance in Sweden", <u>European Sociological Review</u>, 14, 1 - 13.

Stranz, H. (2007) <u>Utrymme för variationer: - Om prövning av soicalbidrag</u>, Stockholm: Stockholms Universitet, Institutiojnen för Socialt Arbete, Rapporter i socialt arbete 119.

Thorén, K. (2008) <u>"Activation Policy in Action". A Street-Level Study of Social Assistance</u> in the Swedish Welfare State", Växjö, Växjö University Press, Acta Wexionensia nr 163.

Ulmestig, R. (2007) På gränsen till fattigvård? En studie om arbetsmarknadspolitik och socialbidrag, Lund: Lund Disertations in Social Work no 27.

Number of foreign-born persons residing in Sweden January 1 2009, 20 largest sending countries

Country of birth	Number	Country of birth	Number
Finland	175 113	Chile	28 118
Iraq	109 446	Thailand	25 858
Yugoslavia	72 285	Somalia	25 159
Poland	63 822	Lebanon	23 291
Iran	57 663	Great Britain and	19 460
		Northern Ireland	
Bosnia -	55 960	Syria	18 786
Herzegovina			
Germany	46 854	China	18 256
Denmark	46 167	Rumania	17 352
Norway	44 310	United States	15 901
Turkey	39 230	India	15 263

Source: Statistics Sweden (2009).

Norms for social assistance in effect since January 2009

Age of person	SEK per
	month
< 1 year	1 520
1 - 2 years	1 710
3 years	1 370
4 - 6 years	1 640
7 - 10 years	2 100
1 - 14 years	2 4 1 0
15 - 18 years	2 710
19 - 20 years	2 740
Single adult	2 800
Married or cohabiting adults	5 060

Part dependent of household size

Number of household members (adults as well as children)	SEK per month
1	880
2	990
3	1 240
4	1 420
5	1 620
6	1 850
7	2 002
8 and more	2.002 + 170 SEK for each person on
	addition to number 7

Note: At the exchange rate prevailing in October 2003 1 SEK is equal to 0.11 EUR, alternatively 0.13 USD or 14.7 JPY.

Source: Soicalstyrelsen (2009).

Social assistance in Sweden 1983 - 2008, some key numbers

TearNumber of recipients (thousands)Rate of recipients populationAverage number of months of receiptNumber Million SEK (Prices for year 2008)1983475 5.7 4.2 5160 1984524 6.3 4.2 5787 1985536 6.2 4.4 6538 1986564 6.5 4.5 7442 1987540 6.2 4.4 7441 1988524 6.0 4.3 7090 1989505 5.7 4.3 6907 1990492 5.7 4.3 6835 1991511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 13347 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9212	Veen	Number of	Data of mainiants	A ways as your have	Total arres
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Year	Number of	Rate of recipients	Average number	Total sum
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1			
1983 475 5.7 4.2 5160 1984 524 6.3 4.2 5787 1985 536 6.2 4.4 6538 1986 564 6.5 4.5 7442 1987 540 6.2 4.4 7441 1988 524 6.0 4.3 7090 1989 505 5.7 4.3 6907 1990 492 5.7 4.3 6835 1991 511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 13347 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202		(mousands)	population	receipt	•
1984 524 6.3 4.2 5787 1985 536 6.2 4.4 6538 1986 564 6.5 4.5 7442 1987 540 6.2 4.4 7441 1988 524 6.0 4.3 7090 1989 505 5.7 4.3 6907 1990 492 5.7 4.3 6835 1991 511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10.974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9.796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9.397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8.944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9.354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9.202	1002	175	<i>с</i> 7	4.0	,
1985536 6.2 4.4 6538 1986564 6.5 4.5 7442 1987540 6.2 4.4 7441 1988524 6.0 4.3 7090 1989505 5.7 4.3 6907 1990 492 5.7 4.3 6835 1991 511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1986 564 6.5 4.5 7442 1987 540 6.2 4.4 7441 1988 524 6.0 4.3 7090 1989 505 5.7 4.3 6907 1990 492 5.7 4.3 6835 1991 511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 13347 1998 660 7.4 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1987 540 6.2 4.4 7 441 1988 524 6.0 4.3 7 090 1989 505 5.7 4.3 6 907 1990 492 5.7 4.3 6 835 1991 511 5.9 4.4 7 464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9 062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10 753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12 426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12 719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13 938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14 421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13 347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12 169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 9 977 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9 976 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9 397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8 944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9 354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9 202					
1988 524 6.0 4.3 $7\ 090$ 1989 505 5.7 4.3 $6\ 907$ 1990 492 5.7 4.3 $6\ 835$ 1991 511 5.9 4.4 $7\ 464$ 1992 560 6.5 4.6 $9\ 062$ 1993 642 7.4 4.8 $10\ 753$ 1994 696 7.9 5.1 $12\ 426$ 1995 689 7.8 5.4 $12\ 719$ 1996 722 8.2 5.7 $13\ 938$ 1997 718 8.1 5.8 $14\ 421$ 1998 660 7.4 5.8 $13\ 347$ 1999 581 6.6 5.8 $12\ 169$ 2000 522 5.9 5.8 $10\ 974$ 2001 469 5.3 5.7 $9\ 796$ 2002 434 4.9 5.8 $9\ 397$ 2003 418 4.7 5.6 $8\ 944$ 2004 417 4.6 5.7 $9\ 354$ 2005 407 4.5 5.8 $9\ 202$					
1989 505 5.7 4.3 6907 1990 492 5.7 4.3 6835 1991 511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1990 492 5.7 4.3 6835 1991 511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1991 511 5.9 4.4 7464 1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1992 560 6.5 4.6 9062 1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1993 642 7.4 4.8 10753 1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1994 696 7.9 5.1 12426 1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1995 689 7.8 5.4 12719 1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202					
1996 722 8.2 5.7 13938 1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202	1994	696	7.9	5.1	12 426
1997 718 8.1 5.8 14421 1998 660 7.4 5.8 13347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9202	1995	689	7.8	5.4	12 719
1998 660 7.4 5.8 13 347 1999 581 6.6 5.8 12 169 2000 522 5.9 5.8 10 974 2001 469 5.3 5.7 9 796 2002 434 4.9 5.8 9 397 2003 418 4.7 5.6 8 944 2004 417 4.6 5.7 9 354 2005 407 4.5 5.8 9 202	1996	722	8.2	5.7	13 938
1999 581 6.6 5.8 $12 169$ 2000 522 5.9 5.8 $10 974$ 2001 469 5.3 5.7 $9 796$ 2002 434 4.9 5.8 $9 397$ 2003 418 4.7 5.6 $8 944$ 2004 417 4.6 5.7 $9 354$ 2005 407 4.5 5.8 $9 202$	1997	718	8.1	5.8	14 421
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1998	660	7.4	5.8	13 347
20014695.35.79 79620024344.95.89 39720034184.75.68 94420044174.65.79 35420054074.55.89 202	1999	581	6.6	5.8	12 169
20024344.95.89 39720034184.75.68 94420044174.65.79 35420054074.55.89 202	2000	522	5.9	5.8	10 974
20034184.75.68 94420044174.65.79 35420054074.55.89 202	2001	469	5.3	5.7	9 796
20044174.65.79 35420054074.55.89 202	2002	434	4.9	5.8	9 397
2005 407 4.5 5.8 9 202	2003	418	4.7	5.6	8 944
	2004	417	4.6	5.7	9 354
	2005	407	4.5	5.8	9 202
2007 378 4.1 6.0 9 166					
2008 384 4.2 6.1 9 465					

Source: Socialstyrelsen (2003B) and Socialstyrelsen (2009B).

Note: There are various breaks in the times series documented in the publications. For example up until 1989 people who received social assistance in more than one local government (for example due to migration) were counted more than once. Since 1993 does the statistics include introduction compensation to refugees and some other foreigners.

Social assistance receipt by age and country of birth 2008. Number and rates among adult recipients.

Age (years)	Native born Number	Native born Percent	Foreign- born Number	Foreign born Percent
18 - 19	11 734	5	8 300	32
20 - 24	29 813	6	17 858	20
25 - 29	15 336	3	17 386	14
30 - 39	20 182	2	34 344	14
40 - 49	22 032	2	29 013	12
50 - 59	14 490	1	17 826	10
60 - 64	4 017	1	5 847	8
65 - 74	2 773	<1	3 555	3
75 -	2 126	<1	1 656	2
Total	122 503	2	135 786	12

Source: Socialstyrelsen (2009B).

	Number of persons in the population. Thousands	Rate of receipt Percent
Adults	6 574	3.9
Native born		
Both parents born in Sweden	4 363	2.9
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	309	4.0
Both parents foreign born	140	7.5
Foreign born		
Born in rich countries	542	6.9
Born in not rich countries	293	23.7
Children	2 059	7.0
Native born		
Both parents born in Sweden	1 576	3.9
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	215	8.3
Both parents foreign born Foreign born	167	19.3
Born in rich countries	48	22.4
Born in not rich countries	53	38.3

Estimated number of recipients and rates of social assistance receipt among adults and children 2003.

Note: Estimates based on the Household Income Survey conducted by Statistics Sweden.

Estimated poverty reducing effect of social assistance for various population groups, 2003. Percent of population groups under a poverty line.

Population group and assumptions	40 percent of median income	50 percent of median income	60 percent of median income
Adults			
As if no receipt of social assistance Native born			
Both parents born in Sweden	2.6	4.0	6.4
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	3.5	4.8	8.3
Both parents foreign born Foreign born	5.8	8.0	11.8
Born in rich countries	5.5	8.8	15.4
Born in not rich	16.1	22.1	31.8
countries			
When considering receipt of social assistance Native born			
Both parents born in Sweden	2.0	3.3	6.1
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	3.1	4.6	8.0
Both parents foreign born Foreign born	4.4	5.9	10.5
Born in rich countries	2.9	5.7	13.3
Born in not rich countries	7.0	13.6	26.2
Proportion of individuals removed from poverty by Social Assistance			
Native born			
Both parents born in Sweden	0.6	0.7	0.3
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	0.4	0.2	0.3
Both parents foreign born Foreign born	1.4	2.1	1.3
Born in rich countries	2.6	3.1	2.1
Born in not rich countries	9.1	8.5	5.6

Children As if no receipt of social assistance Native born			
Both parents born in Sweden	1.4	3.3	6.1
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	3.8	5.5	11.4
Both parents foreign born	11.6	18.6	30.5
<i>Foreign born</i> Born in rich countries	13.9	21.3	32.3
Born in not rich	21.4	30.9	35.9
countries	21.4	50.9	33.7
When considering receipt of social assistance Native born			
Both parents born in Sweden	1.3	2.5	5.6
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	2.0	4.2	9.4
Both parents foreign born Foreign born	3.9	11.3	25.8
Born in rich countries	4.7	11.0	24.7
Born in not rich countries	2.6	12.2	26.1
Proportion of individuals removed from poverty by Social Assistance Native born			
Both parents born in Sweden	0.1	0.8	0.5
One parent born in Sweden one parent foreign born	1.8	1.3	2.0
Both parents foreign born Foreign born	7.7	7.3	4.7
Born in rich countries	9.2	10.3	7.6
Born in not rich countries	18.8	18.7	9.8

Note: Estimates based on the Household Income Survey conducted by Statistics Sweden.

Selected academic studies of social assistance receipt among natives and immigrants in Sweden

STUDY NUMBER STUDY	POPULATION STUDIED	DEFINITIONS OF IMMIGRANT	DIMENSION OF RECEIPT STUDIED	DATE AND PERIOD OF STUDY	MAIN RESULTS
1. Gustafsson (1986)	All adult persons living in Sweden	Foreign nationality	Rates of receipt observed for a calendar year	Time series. Survey data for 1973 – 77, 1982.	The number of immigrants receiving social assistance has increased. Immigrants have higher rates of receipt.
2. Franzén (1997)	All adult persons living in Sweden	Primary: Foreign birth	Rates of receipt observed for one and several calendar years	1983 – 1992.	Given age are immigrant rates of receipt about 3 times as high. Rates are high at entry, but decrease thereafter.
3. Hammarstedt (2000)	All adult persons living in Sweden	Foreign birth	Probability of receipt observed for one calendar year	1985 and 1990.	Probabilities of receipt are high at entry particularly among immigrants from not rich countries but fall by years since immigration.
4. Franzén (2001)	All adult persons living in Sweden	Foreign birth	Probability of receipt observed for one calendar year	1983 and 1995.	Probabilities of receipt are high at entry particularly among immigrants from not rich countries but fall by years since immigration.
5. Hammarstedt and Ekberg (2004)	Second generation immigrants living in Sweden and their statistical "twins"	Born in Sweden with both parents born in the same region of the word	Probability of receipt observed for one calendar year.	1997	Keeping education and location constant a non European background increases the probability of receipt profoundly.
6. Hammarstedt (2009)	Persons aged 20 – 64 living in Sweden	Foreign birth	Probability of receipt observed for one calendar year.	1990, 1995 and 1999 pooled	Probabilities of receipt falls after entry among immigrants from not rich

7. Halleröd (2003)	Persons aged 20 – 64 living in Sweden.	Foreign birth Foreign nationality	Probability of receipt observed for one calendar year.	1986-87, 1996- 98	countries. In many cases do more recent entry cohorts have higher probabilities of receipt than earlier cohorts. Even after controlling for unemployment, household income (net of social assistance), education and family type do foreign citizenship
					positively affect probability of receipt.
8. Hansen and Lofstrom (2003)	Persons aged 18 – 65 living in Sweden 1990.	Foreign birth	Probability of receipt observed for one calendar year.	1990 – 1996	Probabilities of receipt fall with years since immigration particularly for migrants from not rich countries (here called refugee countries). Immigrants are not predicted to reach parity with natives during two decades after immigration.
9. Hansen and Lofstrom (2009)	Males aged 18 – 65 living in Sweden 1990.	Foreign birth	Transition into and out of social assistance, unemployment and employment.	1990 – 1996	Particularly immigrants from not rich countries display a greater degree of "structural" state dependency than natives. The high social assistance rate among immigrants from not rich countries may be due to the existence of a "welfare trap", while participation among others is largely due to

					permanent unobserved
					characteristics.
10	N(1, 1, 1020)	E ' '.' 1'		1002.04 1	
10.	Males born 1932 to	Foreign citizenship	Entry into social	1993-94 and	Probability of
~ .	1979 not receiving		assistance receipt	following 18	receipt is high
Gustafsson	social assistance		during the first	months	for when
(1998)	but entering		18 months after		unemployment
	unemployment		entering		has a long
	during 1993 and		unemployment		duration and the
	1994 in the city of				unemployed is
	Gothenburg.				not receiving
	-				unemployment
					benefits and is
					young.
11.	Households aged	Foreign citizenship	Duration of	1991 – 1995	Only in the
11.	under 64 entering	r orengin entizentitinp	episodes during	(Gothenburg)	Swedish and the
Gustafsson et	social assistance in		the first 42	1989 - 1993	German cities do
			months		
al. (2002)	the city of		monuns	(Helsingborg)	immigrants have
	Gothenburg April				longer periods of
	1991 to March				first time receipt
	1992 Helsingborg				than natives.
	1989 and Barcelona				Controlling for
	(ES), Bremen (D),				some
	Lisbon (P), Milan				demographic
	(IT), Turin (IT) and				factors do a
	Vitoria (ES).				foreign
					background
					prolong periods
					of receipt in
					Swedish cities,
					but reduce
					periods in
					Lisbon, Milan
					and Turin.
12.	Sweden as a whole	Foreign born	Probability of	1992 - 1999	Variation over
12.	Sweden as a whole	i oreign born	leaving long term	1))2 1)))	time is much a
Dorgmork and			receipt (defined		function of the
Bergmark and					
Bäckman			as receipt during		labour market.
(2004)			at least 10		Probabilities of
			months during a		leaving and
			calendar year),		entering are
			re-entry into long		higher among
			term receipt		recent arrived
					immigrants.
13.	Adults living in the	Foreign born	Long term receipt	1990, 2003	Nearly half of
	city of Stockholm		(defined as		the increase in
Mood (2009)			receipt during at		the proportion
` '			least 10 months		long term
			during a calendar		recipients can be
			year)		attributed to
			July		increased
					representation of
					immigrants in
					the populations,
					and most of the
					other half to
					increased
	1			1	dependency
					aspendency
					among immigrants. The

		contribution of natives to the increase in long term dependency
		is marginal.

Probabilities of receiving social assistance in 1995 for a 40 year old single women having various characteristics. Percent

Country of birth	Level of education		
and number of			
years since			
immigration			
	Primary	Secondary	University level
Born in Sweden	8	5	1
Born in a Nordic			
country			
- 5 years	24	21	13
6 – 15 years	9	6	4
16 – 27 years	3	3	1
Born in a country in			
western Europe and			
Northern America			
- 5 years	14	12	7
6 – 15 years	4	3	2
16 – 27 years	2	1	1
Born in a Eastern			
Europe			
- 5 years	32	38	33
6 – 15 years	8	6	5
16 – 27 years	3	3	3
Born in a Southern			
Europe			
- 5 years	68	73	69
6 – 15 years	24	17	13
16 – 27 years	10	9	5
Born in a Middle			
East			
- 5 years	67	72	68
6 – 15 years	29	23	17
16 – 27 years	13	11	12
Born in other not rich			
countries			
- 5 years	55	62	57
6-15 years	20	15	12
16 – 27 years	9	7	8

Source: Franzén (2001)

Note: Calculation based on a estimated probability model. It is assumed that the person is living in metropolitan area where the unemployment rate is 8 percent.

Probabilities of receiving entering social assistance receipt within 18 months after entering unemployment for persons of different characteristics and length of unemployment. Percent

Individual	Length of unemployment (months)				
characteristics	Length of unemployment (montus)				
	- 3.0	3.1 - 6.0	6.1 -		
Aged 25 – 34 years					
having compulsory					
schooling and					
- Swedish citizen and	7.4	10.0	17.9		
entitled to					
Unemployment					
Benefit					
Swedish citizen and	19.6	31.2	52.1		
not entitled to					
Unemployment					
Benefit					
- Citizen in East	13.3	17.7	29.7		
European country					
and entitled to					
Unemployment					
Benefit	22.0				
Citizen in East	32.0	46.8	67.8		
European country					
and entitled to					
Unemployment Benefit					
Aged at lest 55 years and having university					
education.					
- Swedish citizen and	1.0	1.4	2.8		
entitled to	1.0	1.7	2.0		
Unemployment					
Benefit					
Swedish citizen and	3.1	5.6	12.4		
not entitled to					
Unemployment					
Benefit					

Source: Gustafsson (1998)

Table 10Median duration of first cash episode of social assistance receipt by nationality in eightEuropean countries. Months

Country	City	Non-nationals	Nationals	Indicator of statistical significancy between non national and nationals
Spain	Barcelona	35.0	27.0	
Germany	Bremen	7.4	4.8	***
Sweden	Gothenburg	7.6	2.7	***
Sweden	Helsingborg	10.2	3.7	***
Portugal	Lisbon	23.5	34.2	**
Italy	Milan	3.9	5.0	*
Italy	Turin	4.0	6.3	**
Spain	Vitoria	14.5	11.5	

Source: Gustafsson et al. (2002 p 213).

Note: *** indicates stat sign at least 1 percent level, ** at least 5 percent level, but not at 1 percent level, * at 10 percent, but not 5 percent level.