

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Dutta, Kabir; Perry, Jason

Working Paper A tale of tails: An empirical analysis of loss distribution models for estimating operational risk capital

Working Papers, No. 06-13

Provided in Cooperation with: Federal Reserve Bank of Boston

Suggested Citation: Dutta, Kabir; Perry, Jason (2006) : A tale of tails: An empirical analysis of loss distribution models for estimating operational risk capital, Working Papers, No. 06-13, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, Boston, MA

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/55646

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

An Appendix to

A Tale of Tails: An Empirical Analysis of Loss Distribution Models for Estimating Operational Risk Capital

Kabir Dutta and Jason Perry

The public release of *A Tale of Tails* generated many emails to the authors with questions about the research results not included in the paper. This appendix contains tables that summarize the unpublished results relevant to those emails, and is intended to enhance the reader's understanding of the research.

These tables are similar to tables included in the paper. They differ in the exposure indicators used to scale the data (gross income and total assets) and include some business lines and event types originally excluded. As in the paper, the designs of the tables are such that they anonymize the participant institutions.

The authors produced these results while they were employees of the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

	5% Threshold	10% Threshold
	Business Line 2: Trad	
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	-	1.16
	-	0.92
	-	1.75
Panel B:	Summary Statistics of	-
	Percentage of A	Assets
25th	-	15.75
Med	-	30.84
75th	-	1654.53
	Business Line 3: Reta	ail Banking
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	0.87	0.87
	1.04	0.94
	1.18	1.14
	1.25	1.18
	-	0.76
Panel B:	Summary Statistics of	Capital Estimates as a
	Percentage of A	Assets
25th	3.70	1.31
Med	7.74	1.67
75th	29.28	8.31
B	usiness Line 5: Paymen	t & Settlement
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	-	0.78
	-	0.81
	-	0.77
Panel B:	Summary Statistics of	Capital Estimates as a
	Percentage of A	Assets
25th	-	0.03
	_	0.03
Med	_	

Table 1: Power Law Capital Estimates at the Business LineLevel as a Percentage of Total Assets

	Business Line 6: Ager	icy Services
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	0.86	0.90
	1.00	1.17
	1.02	1.02
	-	0.80
	-	0.85
	-	0.93
Panel B: S	ummary Statistics of Percentage of A	Capital Estimates as a Assets
25th	9.84	0.30
Med	15.71	3.43
75th	21.17	13.23
	Business Line 9:	Other
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	-	0.90
	-	1.41
	-	1.98
Panel B: S	ummary Statistics of Percentage of A	Capital Estimates as a
25th	-	79.53

75th	-	10484.09
Med	-	158.60
25th	-	79.53

Panel A presents ξ for each business line, computed using the Hill estimator, with 5 and 10 percent of the data in the tail. Panel B presents summary statistics for capital estimates at the 99.9% level for each institution as a percentage of total assets. These capital estimates were not simulated, but instead calculated using the power law approximation method described in the paper. No goodness-of-fit tests are presented in this table.

	5% Threshold	10% Threshold
	Event Type 2: Exten	nal Fraud
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	0.71	0.76
	0.74	0.74
	0.80	0.73
	0.95	1.00
Panel B:	Summary Statistics of	Capital Estimates as a
	Percentage of A	Assets
25th	0.10	0.10
Med	0.11	0.11
75th	0.15	0.19
Event Type	e 3: Employment Pract	ices & Workplace Safety
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	0.73	0.80
	0.86	0.97
	0.89	0.96
Panel B:	Summary Statistics of	Capital Estimates as a
	Percentage of A	Assets
25th	0.20	0.39
Med	0.30	0.58
75th	0.37	0.89
Event Ty	vpe 4: Clients, Products	s & Business Practices
	Panel A: ξ Values by	Institution
	0.78	0.81
	1.56	1.21
	1.62	1.62
	-	2.98
Panel B:	Summary Statistics of	Capital Estimates as a
	Percentage of A	-
25th	734.25	27.11
N 7 1	1468.13	5305.10
Med		

 Table 2: Power Law Capital Estimates at the Event Type

 Level as a Percentage of Total Assets

Event Type 7: Execution, Del Management	•									
Panel A: ξ Values by Institution										
0.80	0.90									
0.83	0.99									
0.86	1.00									
0.89	0.98									
0.90	0.83									
1.09	0.93									
1.09	1.08									
Panel B: Summary Statistics of Capital Estimates as a										

Percentage of Assets

25th	2.10	7.59
Med	4.53	8.87
75th	6.06	15.62

Panel A presents ξ for each event type, computed using the Hill estimator, with 5 and 10 percent of the data in the tail. Panel B presents summary statistics for capital estimates at the 99.9% level for each institution as a percentage of total assets. These capital estimates were not simulated, but instead calculated using the power law approximation method described in the paper. No goodness-of-fit tests are presented in this table.

		Std. error		Std. error		Std. error		Std. error		Std. error
	g	for g	h/η_0	for h/η ₀	η_1	for η_1	η_2	for η_2	η_3	for η_3
				Panel	A: Busine	ess Line Lev	el			
2	1.6554	0.0007	0.4107	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.9613	0.0004	0.2095	0.0006	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.6413	0.0009	0.2102	0.0010	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	1.5473	0.0004	0.3250	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.5611	0.0005	0.5420	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.7750	0.0006	0.4326	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.7941	0.0001	0.2663	0.0009	-0.1199	0.0004	0.0224	0.0001	-0.0010	0.0000
	1.8615	0.0010	0.1684	0.0007	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.9410	0.0010	-0.0403	0.0006	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1.8568	0.0020	0.4831	0.0012	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.0952	0.0006	0.3298	0.0005	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.2063	0.0012	-0.1076	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	1.2487	0.0004	0.2690	0.0004	_	-	-	-	-	-
	1.5550	0.0005	0.0615	0.0005	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.6361	0.0006	-0.0173	0.0005	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.6523	0.0022	0.5079	0.0012	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	1.7197	0.0007	0.4113	0.0005	_	-	-	-	-	-
	1.7604	0.0009	0.0978	0.0005	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.7665	0.0011	0.2331	0.0006	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.8576	0.0004	0.0868	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.8861	0.0005	0.2663	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	1.3902	0.0011	0.1108	0.0015	_	-	_	-	_	-
	1.7967	0.0018	0.0331	0.0011	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.8526	0.0011	-0.0518	0.0006	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.9809	0.0014	0.0340	0.0007	_	-	-	-	-	-
8	1.4874	0.0004	-0.0825	0.0002	-	_	-	_	-	-
	1.5582	0.0003	0.1530	0.0002	-	-	_	-	-	-
	1.5896	0.0011	-0.0637	0.0009	-	-	_	-	_	_
9	1.3932	0.0006	0.1254	0.0004	_	_	_	-	_	_
-	1.4855	0.0006	0.0480	0.0004	-	-	_	-	_	_
	2.2056	0.0010	0.2418	0.0010	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3: Standard Errors for g-and-h Parameter Estimates at the Business Line and Event Type Level

TABLE CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

		Std. error		Std. error		Std. error		Std. error		Std. error
	g	for g	h/η_0	for h/η_0	η_1	for η_1	η_2	for η_2	η_3	for η_3
				Pane	el B: Even	t Type Level	l			
1	1.5363	0.0010	0.3349	0.0005	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.8990	0.0006	0.2250	0.0005	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	1.4264	0.0002	0.2471	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.5319	0.0009	0.1418	0.0008	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.5446	0.0004	0.1341	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.6084	0.0006	0.2445	0.0007	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.7683	0.0003	-0.1583	0.0016	0.0192	0.0008	0.0012	0.0001	-0.0001	0.0000
3	1.1909	0.0004	0.1943	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.4207	0.0006	0.2031	0.0010	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.4672	0.0004	0.3239	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1.5876	0.0003	0.5658	0.0006	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.6956	0.0005	0.0026	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.1618	0.0005	0.2905	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.4195	0.0017	0.2066	0.0021	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	1.9810	0.0016	0.1702	0.0010	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	0.9910	0.0005	0.9800	0.0004	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.9920	0.0003	0.9909	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.9942	0.0003	0.9933	0.0002	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.9970	0.0006	0.9910	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.9989	0.0003	0.9972	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.9992	0.0005	0.9900	0.0003	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.6600	0.0002	-0.0314	0.0017	0.1149	0.0009	-0.0068	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000
8	1.9534	0.0014	0.4146	0.0006	-	-	-	-	-	-

This table presents the g and h parameters and the bootstrap standard error estimates for the g-and-h distribution. Panel A shows the enterprise level, Panel B shows the business line Level, and Panel C shows the event type level. Standard errors were estimated using 50,000 samples bootstrapped from the original data for each institution. Some institutions were fitted using only one h parameter. For the other institutions, four h parameters were used and $h(Z^2) = \eta_0 + \eta_1 * Z^2 + \eta_2 * Z^4 + \eta_3 * Z^6$.

	Reaso	nable				Gene	erally Yiel	ded Unrea	asonable	Capital
	Resu	ılts	Rar	ely Fit the	e Data		·	Estimate		
				-		EVT	EVT		Log-	Truncated
	g-and-h	Emp	Exp	Gamma	Weibull	5%	10%	GPD	logistic	Lognormal
				Business	Line 2: T	rading &	Sales			
Panel A: Summary Stats of Capital Estimates as a Percentage of Total Assets for All N										
# Modeled	3	6	6	6	6	3	3	6	6	5
# that Fit	3	6	1	1	2	2	2	6	6	5
Mean	0.27	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01	12.75	2.32	225.72	2.85	5.83
Med	0.26	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	2.46	1.28	7.57	0.62	0.19
SD	0.09	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.01	19.34	2.65	532.98	3.91	12.77
25th	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.60	0.82	0.11	0.17	0.00
75th	0.31	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.01	18.77	3.31	22.98	6.00	0.26
	l B: Capi	ital Est	imates a	ns a Perce	ntage of T	Fotal Asset	s for Mod	lels that Fi	it (Freque	ency)
0-1.5%	3	6	1	1	2	0	1	3	4	4
1.5-3%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
3-20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
20-100%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
100-500%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
500+%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
				Business	s Line 3: l	Retail Ban	king			
Panel	A: Sumi	nary St	tats of C	Capital Es	timates as	s a Percent	age of To	tal Assets	for All M	odels
# Modeled	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	6	6	6
# that Fit	6	6	0	0	0	4	4	3	4	5
Mean	0.30	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	41.51	4.32	1.14	0.96	1.40
Med	0.11	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01	13.76	0.67	0.63	0.79	0.36
SD	0.42	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	65.14	7.71	0.99	0.48	2.73
25th	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	2.98	0.20	0.53	0.75	0.12
75th	0.29	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.02	52.29	4.79	1.42	0.94	0.59
	l B: Capi	ital Est	imates a	as a Perce	ntage of T	Fotal Asset	s for Mod	lels that Fi	it (Freque	ency)
0-1.5%	6	6	0	0	0	1	3	1	3	4
1.5-3%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
3-20%	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
20-100%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
100-500%	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
500+%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
						, r	ГАВ <u>LE С</u>	ONTINU	ED ON N	EXT PAGE

Table 4: Capital Estimates at the Business Line Level as a Percentage of Total Assets

8

 TABLE CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

	Reasor	able				Gen	erally Yield	ded Unre	asonable	Capital	
	Resu	lts	Rar	ely Fit the	e Data			Estimate	s		
						EVT	EVT		Log-	Truncated	
	g-and-h	Emp	Exp	Gamma	Weibull	5%	10%	GPD	logistic	Lognormal	
			B	usiness Li	nmercial l	Banking					
Panel A: Summary Stats of Capital Estimates as a Percentage of Total Assets for All Models											
# Modeled	3	5	5	5	5	-	-	5	5	5	
# that Fit	3	5	0	0	1	-	-	5	4	5	
Mean	0.16	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	9.09	0.64	0.22	
Med	0.20	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	5.50	0.73	0.11	
SD	0.15	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	13.54	0.54	0.29	
25th	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	0.31	0.14	0.07	
75th	0.24	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	6.83	0.94	0.18	
Panel B: Capital Estimates as a Percentage of Total Assets for Models that Fit (Frequency)											
0-1.5%	3	5	0	0	1	-	-	2	4	5	
1.5-3%	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	
3-20%	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	2	0	0	
20-100%	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	0	0	
100-500%	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	
500+%	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	
				Business	Line 6: A	gency Sei	vices				
Panel	A: Summ	nary St	tats of C	Capital Est	timates as	a Percen	tage of Tot	al Assets	for All M	odels	
# Modeled	5	6	6	6	6	4	4	6	6	6	
# that Fit	5	6	0	1	1	4	4	6	6	6	
Mean	0.59	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	1.03	0.17	2.85	2.00	0.35	
Med	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.90	0.12	2.92	1.60	0.20	
SD	0.97	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	1.00	0.19	2.57	1.98	0.39	
25th	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.05	0.52	0.28	0.04	
75th	0.48	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	1.50	0.24	5.06	3.55	0.67	
Pane	l B: Capi	tal Est	imates a	as a Perce	ntage of T	fotal Asse	ts for Mod	els that Fi	it (Freque	ency)	
0-1.5%	4	6	0	1	1	3	4	2	3	6	
1.5-3%	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	
3-20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	
20-100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
100-500%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
500+%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

This table presents a summary of the 99.9% capital estimates for each Basel business line as a percentage of total assets. The capital estimates were simulated from one million trials. Panel A presents the first, second and third quartiles, which were calculated across each model. These statistics include both capital estimates that statistically fit and do not fit. Panel B presents a frequency distribution of banks whose capital estimates fit according to one or more of the following goodness-of-fit tests: Kolmogorov-Smirnoff, Chi-Square, and Anderson-Darling. The fit for the g-and-h distribution was only tested using Q-Q plots. No goodness-of-fit tests were performed for the empirical distribution. By construction, the empirical distribution would fit the data. All empirical estimates are included in these counts. The total number of business lines modeled and the number that fit are also presented in Panel A.

	Reason	nable								
	Resu	ılts	R	arely Fit t	he Data	Gener	ally Yielde	d Unreasona	able Capital I	Estimates
						EVT	EVT		Log-	Truncated
	g-and-h	Emp	Exp	Gamma	Weibull	5%	10%	GPD	logistic	Lognorma
				Bu	siness Line 5	: Payment &	Settlement			
	Panel	A: Sun	nmary	Stats of C	apital Estim	ates as a Perc	entage of T	otal Assets f	or All Model	s
# Modeled	4	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5
# that Fit	4	5	0	0	0	3	3	5	5	5
25th	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.00
Med	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.01	0.12	0.08	0.02
75th	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.05	0.16	0.22	0.04
	Panel	B: Ca	pital E	stimates a	s a Percentag	ge of Total As	sets for Mo	dels that Fit	(Frequency))
0-50%	4	5	-	-	-	3	3	5	5	5
50-100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100-200%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
200-1000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1000%+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				E	Business Line	7: Asset Man	agement			
	Panel	A: Sun	nmary	Stats of C	apital Estima	ates as a Perc	entage of T	otal Assets f	or All Model	s
# Modeled	4	7	5	5	5	0	0	5	5	5
# that Fit	4	7	0	0	2	0	0	5	5	4
25th	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	1.33	0.56	0.01
Med	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	-	-	1.65	1.08	0.03
75th	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	-	-	3.62	1.49	0.08
	Panel	B: Ca	pital E	stimates a	s a Percentag	ge of Total As	sets for Mo	dels that Fit	(Frequency))
0-50%	4	7	-	-	2	-	-	4	5	4
50-100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100-200%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
200-1000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1000%+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					Business Lin	e 8: Retail Br	okerage			
	Panel .	A: Sun	nmary	Stats of C	apital Estima	ates as a Perc	entage of To	otal Assets f	or All Model	s
# Modeled	3	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	4	4
# that Fit	3	5	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3
25th	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.09	0.01	0.18	0.00
Med	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.10	0.06	0.35	0.01
75th	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.68	0.10	0.16	1.01	0.01
	Panel	B: Ca	pital E	stimates a	s a Percentag	ge of Total As	sets for Mo	dels that Fit	(Frequency))
0-50%	3	5	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3
50-100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100-200%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
200-1000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1000%+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5: Capital Estimates at the Business Line Level as a Percentage of Total Assets	
(Additional Business Lines Not Originally Included in Paper)	

					Busine	ess Line 9: Othe	r			
	Panel	A: Sun	ımary	Stats of C	Capital Estin	nates as a Percer	ntage of To	otal Assets fo	or All Models	
# Modeled	3	7	0	0	0	3	3	5	5	0
# that Fit	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0
25th	0.01	0.04	-	-	-	1648.21	1.33	0.10	0.26	-
Med	0.01	0.11	-	-	-	3295.69	2.19	0.56	0.70	-
75th	0.10	1.34	-	-	-	67851981.14	816.36	251.26	3.97	-
	Panel	B: Caj	pital Es	stimates a	as a Percenta	ige of Total Ass	ets for Mo	dels that Fit	(Frequency)	
0-50%	3	7	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	-
50-100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100-200%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
200-1000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
1000%+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-

This table presents a summary of the 99.9% capital estimates for each Basel business line as a percentage of total assets. The capital estimates were simulated from one million trials. Panel A presents the first, second and third quartiles, which were calculated across each model. These statistics include both capital estimates that statistically fit and do not fit. Panel B presents a frequency distribution of banks whose capital estimates fit according to one or more of the following goodness-of-fit tests: Kolmogorov-Smirnoff, Chi-Square, and Anderson-Darling. The fit for the g-and-h distribution was only tested using Q-Q plots. No goodness-of-fit tests were performed for the empirical distribution. By construction, the empirical distribution would fit the data. All empirical estimates are included in these counts. The total number of business lines modeled and the number that fit are also presented in Panel A.

	Reaso	nable									
	Res		Rai	ely Fit th	ie Data	Gener	ally Yiel	ded Unreasonab	le Capital	Estimates	
				· ·		EVT	EVT		Log-	Truncated	
	g-and-h	Emp	Exp	Gamma	Weibull	5%	10%	GPD		Lognormal	
	8				Line 5: Pa	vment &	Settlem		0	0	
Panel A: Su	nmary S	stats of						ess Line Gross II	ncome for	All Models	
# Modeled	4	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	
# that Fit	4	5	0	0	0	3	3	5	5	5	
25th	1.28	0.93	0.53	0.60	0.57	12.65	3.30	10.44	28.09	1.81	
Med	1.81	1.12	0.60	0.65	0.60	14.50	4.80	11.57	35.63	3.17	
75th	16.52	2.67	0.99	1.04	0.70	64.65	5.54	54.38	38.61	8.58	
Panel B: Capital Estimates as a Percentage of Business Line Gross Income for Models that Fit (Frequency)											
0-50%	3	5	-	-	-	2	3	3	4	5	
50-100%	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	
100-200%	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
200-1000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1000%+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					ss Line 7: A						
								ess Line Gross II			
# Modeled	4	7	5	5	5	0	0	5	5	5	
# that Fit	4	7	0	0	2	0	0	5	5	4	
25th	2.46	1.10	0.79	0.94	0.95	-	-	178.84	145.22	6.92	
Med	4.03	1.61	1.17	1.39	0.96	-	-	275.18	156.90	11.39	
75th	6.71	3.14	1.17	1.50	1.42	-	-	1064.43	362.04	18.95	
	^		as a Po	ercentage		ss Line G	ross Inc	ome for Models		.	
0-50%	4	6	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	4	
50-100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100-200%	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	
200-1000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	
1000%+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	
	0		<u> </u>		ess Line 8:				C		
			_			_		ess Line Gross I			
# Modeled	3	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	4	4	
# that Fit	3	5	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	
25th	1.15	1.54	1.17	1.24	1.13	24.83	10.51	6.80	85.09	1.96	
Med	1.24	1.76	1.38	1.44	1.27	36.45	15.32	15.01	130.02	2.04	
75th	2.68	1.91	1.49	1.57	1.44	48.08	20.14	22.05	159.82	2.31	
	î							ome for Models	that Fit (F		
0-50% 50.100%	3	5	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	3	
50-100%	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
100-200%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	
200-1000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1000%+	-	- C (1	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	-	

 Table 6: Capital Estimates at the Business Line Level as a Percentage of BL Gross Income
 (Additional Business Lines Not Originally Included in Paper)

This table presents a summary of the 99.9% capital estimates for each Basel business line as a percentage of business line gross income. The capital estimates were simulated from one million trials. Panel A presents the first, second and third quartiles, which were calculated across each model. These statistics include both capital estimates that statistically fit and do not fit. Panel B presents a frequency distribution of banks whose capital estimates fit according to one or more of the following goodness-of-fit tests: Kolmogorov-Smirnoff, Chi-Square, and Anderson-Darling. The fit for the g-and-h distribution was only tested using Q-Q plots. No goodness-of-fit tests were performed for the empirical distribution. By construction, the empirical distribution would fit the data. All empirical estimates are included in these counts. The total number of business lines modeled and the number that fit are also presented in Panel A.

	Reason	nable				Gene	erally Yie	elded Un	reasonabl	e Capital
	Resu	lts	Rar	ely Fit t	he Data			Estima	ites	
						EVT	EVT		Log-	Truncated
	g-and-h	Emp	Exp	Gamma	Weibull	5%	10%	GPD	logistic	Lognormal
Event Type 1: Internal Fraud										
Panel A: Summary Statistics of Capital Estimates as a Percentage of Total Assets for All Models										
# Modeled	2	7	4	4	4	-	-	4	4	4
# that Fit	2	7	0	2	2	-	-	4	4	4
25th	0.022	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	-	-	0.146	0.623	0.014
Med	0.028	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.004	-	-	0.379	0.886	0.019
75th	0.035	0.005	0.002	0.003	0.004	-	-	0.619	1.900	0.117
Panel I	B: Capita l	Estim	ates as	a Perce	entage of T	Fotal Asse	ts for Mo	odels tha	t Fit (Freq	[uency]
0-1.5%	2	7	-	2	2	-	-	4	3	4
1.5-3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3-20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
20-100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100%+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

 Table 7: Capital Estimates at the Event Type Level as a Percentage of Total Assets

 (Additional Event Type Not Originally Included in Paper)

This table presents a summary of the 99.9% capital estimates for each Basel event type as a percentage of total assets. The capital estimates were simulated from one million trials. Panel A presents the first, second and third quartiles, which were calculated across each model. These statistics include both capital estimates that statistically fit and do not fit. Panel B presents a frequency distribution of banks whose capital estimates fit according to one or more of the following goodness-of-fit tests: Kolmogorov-Smirnoff, Chi-Square, and Anderson-Darling. The fit for the g-and-h distribution was only tested using Q-Q plots. No goodness-of-fit tests were performed for the empirical distribution. By construction, the empirical distribution would fit the data. All empirical estimates are included in these counts. The total number of event types modeled and the number that fit are also presented in Panel A.

Table 8: Summary Statistics for Allocation of g-and-h Capital Estimates at the Business Line Level

	BL1	BL2	BL3	BL4	BL5	BL6	BL7	BL8	BL9
Mean	2.07%	12.91%	20.88%	12.88%	2.13%	32.98%	6.70%	1.14%	21.22%
SD	3.01%	14.83%	26.23%	15.55%	2.23%	38.10%	7.01%	1.21%	29.89%
25	0.03%	3.23%	3.23%	0.53%	0.20%	3.57%	0.06%	0.10%	1.38%
Med	0.06%	10.58%	10.04%	5.17%	0.91%	14.79%	5.27%	1.11%	4.54%
75	2.19%	12.32%	23.66%	26.00%	3.78%	65.82%	12.87%	1.18%	33.40%

This table gives the allocation of the 99.9% capital estimates across Basel event types (as a percentage of the total capital) for the g-and-h distribution. For the g-and-h distribution, event types with 100 or more observations were estimated. Some event types were combined in order to estimate using g-and-h. In these cases, the total capital for the combined event types was then reallocated among those event types using the empirical model results. For all other event types that could not be estimated due to limited data, estimates from the empirical model were substituted.

Table 9: Summary Statistics for Allocation of g-and-h Capital Estimates at the Event Type Level

	ET1	ET2	ET3	ET4	ET5	ET6	ET7	ET8
Mean	1.11%	1.43%	8.72%	40.03%	9.70%	2.91%	34.44%	3.91%
SD	1.49%	1.45%	20.52%	44.43%	24.35%	5.51%	40.64%	2.58%
25	0.32%	0.82%	0.52%	3.92%	0.07%	0.34%	4.98%	2.64%
Med	0.62%	0.99%	0.97%	10.14%	0.44%	0.70%	17.94%	4.06%
75	1.02%	1.21%	1.83%	85.13%	1.18%	1.79%	58.06%	5.25%

This table gives the allocation of the 99.9% capital estimates across Basel event types (as a percentage of the total capital) for the g-and-h distribution. For the g-and-h distribution, event types with 100 or more observations were estimated. Some event types were combined in order to estimate using g-and-h. In these cases, the total capital for the combined event types was then reallocated among those event types using the empirical model results. For all other event types that could not be estimated due to limited data, estimates from the empirical model were substituted.

Table 10: Summary Statistics for Allocation of g-and-h Capital Estimates
at the Business Line Level as a Percentage of Total Assets

					0	5			
	BL1	BL2	BL3	BL4	BL5	BL6	BL7	BL8	BL9
Mean	0.01%	0.07%	0.19%	0.06%	0.02%	0.20%	0.02%	0.01%	0.21%
SD	0.01%	0.07%	0.30%	0.06%	0.02%	0.28%	0.03%	0.01%	0.31%
25	0.00%	0.02%	0.03%	0.01%	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Med	0.00%	0.07%	0.07%	0.04%	0.01%	0.08%	0.02%	0.01%	0.04%
75	0.01%	0.12%	0.12%	0.11%	0.04%	0.22%	0.05%	0.01%	0.29%

This table gives the allocation of the 99.9% capital estimates across Basel business lines (as a percentage of total assets) for the g-and-h distribution. For the g-and-h distribution, business lines with 100 or more observations were estimated. Some business lines were combined in order to estimate using g-and-h. In these cases, the total capital for the combined business lines was then reallocated among those business lines using the empirical model results. For all other business lines that could not be estimated due to limited data, estimates from the empirical model were substituted.

Table 11: Summary Statistics for Allocation of g-and-h Capital Estimates at the Event Type Level as a Percentage of Total Assets

			eni Type Lev	<i>et as a i ere</i> e	intage of 101			
	ET1	ET2	ET3	ET4	ET5	ET6	ET7	ET8
Mean	0.01%	0.01%	0.04%	0.30%	0.11%	0.03%	0.20%	0.04%
SD	0.01%	0.01%	0.07%	0.39%	0.27%	0.06%	0.30%	0.03%
25	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.04%	0.02%
Med	0.00%	0.01%	0.01%	0.06%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	0.03%
75	0.01%	0.01%	0.02%	0.49%	0.01%	0.01%	0.18%	0.05%

This table gives the allocation of the 99.9% capital estimates across Basel event types (as a percentage of total assets) for the g-and-h distribution. For the g-and-h distribution, event types with 100 or more observations were estimated. Some event types were combined in order to estimate using g-and-h. In these cases, the total capital for the combined event types was then reallocated among those event types using the empirical model results. For all other event types that could not be estimated due to limited data, estimates from the empirical model were substituted.

		EVT	EVT					Log-	
	Emp	5%	10%	Exp	Gamma	g-and-h	GPD	logistic	Weib
Α	0.05%	0.04%	0.03%	0.01%	0.01%	0.41%	-6.44%	-0.59%	0.01%
В	0.05%	-324.43%	-0.37%	0.01%	0.01%	0.10%	0.22%	0.26%	0.01%
С	0.24%	-36.65%	-629.08%	0.02%	0.05%	0.25%	-80661.37%	-29.44%	0.04%
D	0.10%	-0.10%	-0.97%	0.01%	0.02%	0.49%	32.61%	-15.15%	0.01%
Ε	0.07%	-7.32%	-296.59%	0.01%	0.01%	0.05%	-120.04%	-1.02%	0.01%
F	0.07%	0.00%	0.00%	0.02%	0.03%	0.49%	-7.19%	-1.31%	0.03%
G	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.02%	-5.07%	-0.56%	0.00%
Mean	0.08%	-52.64%	-132.43%	0.01%	0.02%	0.26%	-11538.18%	-6.83%	0.02%
Std Dev	0.07%	120.59%	245.27%	0.01%	0.02%	0.21%	30480.50%	11.35%	0.01%
25th	0.05%	-21.98%	-148.78%	0.01%	0.01%	0.08%	-63.62%	-8.23%	0.01%
Med	0.07%	-0.10%	-0.37%	0.01%	0.01%	0.25%	-6.44%	-1.02%	0.01%
75th	0.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.02%	0.45%	-2.43%	-0.58%	0.02%

 Table 12: Difference Between Aggregate Capital Estimates (as a Percentage of Enterprise Assets) Under Comonotonic and Independence Structures at the Business Line Level

This table shows the difference between the 99.9% capital estimates calculated under two dependence assumptions: comonotonicity (simple addition) and independence (zero correlation), summed from the Basel business line level to the enterprise level and given as a percentage of the total assets. The mean, median, standard deviation, and interquartile statistics were calculated across all institutions. If certain business lines were not large enough to estimate under the given distribution, those business lines were left off of these calculations.

 Table 13: Difference Between Aggregate Capital Estimates (as a Percentage of Enterprise Assets) Under Comonotonic and

 Independence Structures at the Event Type Level

		EVT	EVT					Log-	
	Emp	5%	10%	Exp	Gamma	g-and-h	GPD	logistic	Weib
Α	0.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.02%	0.01%	-4.56%	-0.31%	0.02%
В	0.05%	-82.26%	-2.40%	0.01%	0.01%	0.10%	-0.56%	0.06%	0.01%
С	0.09%	1.13%	-52.17%	0.00%	0.01%	0.07%	-18.06%	-0.56%	0.00%
D	0.04%	0.05%	0.94%	0.01%	0.01%	0.11%	-850.49%	-8.60%	0.01%
Е	0.03%	-0.39%	-34.48%	0.00%	0.01%	0.11%	-0.44%	0.14%	0.01%
F	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.02%	0.03%	0.10%	-215.42%	-6.53%	0.04%
G	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-1.36%	-0.80%	0.00%
Mean	0.12%	-11.64%	-12.59%	0.01%	0.01%	0.07%	-155.84%	-2.37%	0.01%
Std Dev	0.20%	31.14%	21.63%	0.01%	0.01%	0.05%	316.25%	3.61%	0.01%
25th	0.03%	-0.20%	-18.44%	0.00%	0.01%	0.04%	-116.74%	-3.66%	0.01%
Med	0.05%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.01%	0.10%	-4.56%	-0.56%	0.01%
75th	0.08%	0.02%	0.00%	0.01%	0.01%	0.11%	-0.96%	-0.13%	0.01%

This table shows the difference between the 99.9% capital estimates calculated under two dependence assumptions: comonotonicity (simple addition) and independence (zero correlation), summed from the Basel event type level to the enterprise level and given as a percentage of the independence estimates. The mean, median, standard deviation, and interquartile statistics were calculated across all institutions. If certain event types were not large enough to estimate under the given distribution, those event types were left off of these calculations.

	Largest	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Median
	Loss	Largest	Largest	Largest	Largest	Loss
Mean	12.8	20.5	47.4	61.5	111.7	155,510.6
Std Dev	12.2	15.1	30.0	32.1	76.7	204,049.2
25th	2.2	9.4	24.2	46.7	66.0	31,233.5
Med	8.1	17.1	49.3	57.4	91.6	50,157.5
75th	21.7	28.1	66.4	80.6	154.3	194,616.3

Table 14: g-and-h Loss Multiplier at the Enterprise Level

This table presents sample statistics for the g-and-h distribution loss multipliers, where the loss multiplier is defined as the 99.9% g-and-h capital estimate divided by the given loss. These statistics are calculated across all institutions for the median and five largest losses.

	Largest	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Median
	Loss	Largest	Largest	Largest	Largest	Loss
	1035		ine 2: Tradi		Largest	1005
Mean	24.7	57.1	102.3	141.2	244.3	97,441.6
Std Dev	29.4	56.9	118.9	138.8	109.7	53,720.3
25th	7.7	25.0	34.5	61.0	181.3	78,628.1
Med	7.9	37.3	52.0	65.1	192.4	121,336.8
75th	33.2	79.3	145.1	183.3	281.4	128,202.6
/oth	55.2		Line 3: Retai		20111	120,202.0
Mean	49.8	119.3	188.4	218.2	258.4	105,407.4
Std Dev	49.8	129.4	218.6	252.7	308.0	191,654.6
25th	7.7	29.4	39.3	45.6	48.8	7,405.0
Med	40.6	60.9	84.4	105.0	121.1	20,548.4
75th	79.4	196.3	306.0	333.8	375.9	77,960.4
· oth				rcial Banking		77,900.1
Mean	67.7	321.5	355.0	419.3	715.5	67,036.7
Std Dev	81.5	423.9	428.2	505.8	998.7	74,976.9
25th	21.8	80.0	113.7	134.4	142.5	26,264.7
Med	39.2	149.0	206.8	244.9	253.5	51,453.4
75th	99.4	476.7	522.2	617.1	1,057.5	100,017.1
, oth				& Settlemer		100,017.1
Mean	153.5	185.9	276.5	316.6	343.5	7,654.4
Std Dev	298.4	341.7	496.2	555.3	582.9	12,488.6
25th	3.7	11.4	23.4	28.9	32.6	906.4
Med	5.0	19.6	32.5	45.1	62.9	1,736.1
75th	154.8	194.1	285.5	332.8	373.8	8,484.1
, oth	101.0		ine 6: Agen		575.0	0,101.1
Mean	45.7	55.3	80.3	124.9	166.2	14,809.1
Std Dev	27.4	30.8	50.2	68.1	84.3	13,145.6
25th	26.4	38.1	38.2	102.2	110.6	5,406.2
Med	34.6	45.2	81.5	121.4	216.6	10,205.4
75th	73.4	81.4	127.6	147.6	225.1	20,396.3
/0th	73.1			Anagement	220.1	20,390.3
Mean	16.0	30.8	37.0	54.3	72.5	1,311.6
Std Dev	15.0	9.0	9.5	22.1	31.8	752.0
25th	4.6	25.7	33.5	41.9	59.5	752.9
Med	15.7	28.7	37.5	58.5	81.0	1,176.8
75th	27.2	33.8	41.0	70.9	94.0	1,735.4
	_/		ine 8: Retail		2.1.0	1,700
Mean	14.9	19.8	25.1	39.3	45.7	3,014.1
Std Dev	16.8	15.1	22.0	21.1	20.6	3,918.8
25th	5.5	11.1	12.4	32.7	39.7	769.0
Med	9.1	11.5	13.9	50.5	57.6	1,254.5
75th	21.5	24.4	32.2	51.5	57.6	4,379.4
	21.0		ess Line 9: 0		27.0	1,277.1
Mean	0.4	1.9	8.1	35.6	73.8	31,501.4
Std Dev	0.4	1.3	5.8	32.3	84.6	51,313.1
25th	0.2	1.2	5.3	18.1	26.0	1,876.7
Med	0.4	1.2	8.6	29.2	43.7	2,277.8
75th	0.4	2.5	11.1	49.9	106.5	46,514.3
7.5th	0.3	2.3	11.1	サブ.ブ	100.5	40,314.3

This table presents sample statistics for the g-and-h distribution loss multipliers, where the loss multiplier is defined as the 99.9% g-and-h capital estimate divided by the given loss. These statistics are calculated across all institutions for the median and five largest losses.

	Largest	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Median	
	Loss	Largest	Largest	Largest	Largest	Loss	
Event Type 2: External Fraud							
Mean	7.3	21.6	54.1	71.4	83.9	4,572.6	
Std Dev	6.9	14.1	33.9	36.5	44.7	3,106.3	
25th	2.2	14.0	24.5	50.4	54.5	3,110.6	
Med	4.4	18.8	50.2	56.5	73.0	3,807.6	
75th	13.4	24.4	78.8	103.3	119.2	6,552.9	
Event Type 3: Employment Practices & Workplace Safety							
Mean	18.8	37.9	52.4	87.8	156.3	167,607.7	
Std Dev	27.0	51.4	50.9	41.7	97.2	182,269.3	
25th	2.8	13.7	24.3	61.4	96.0	12,761.2	
Med	4.1	18.3	24.7	85.5	148.9	118,821.0	
75th	18.9	20.3	67.3	101.6	153.1	274,325.5	
Event Type 4: Clients, Products & Business Practices							
Mean	10.2	18.1	35.0	53.0	126.0	206,165.6	
Std Dev	8.7	3.1	21.6	26.5	131.0	185,442.2	
25th	2.8	17.1	24.1	36.6	55.7	89,814.2	
Med	9.6	19.2	24.5	51.1	81.1	196,573.3	
75th	17.0	20.1	35.4	67.4	151.3	312,924.7	
Event Type 7: Execution, Delivery & Process Management							
Mean	14.5	20.9	39.7	61.7	68.1	12,715.2	
Std Dev	7.4	7.7	21.7	34.9	39.4	4,889.0	
25th	9.2	15.8	24.1	31.8	35.4	10,404.0	
Med	12.1	18.5	38.0	74.7	80.2	13,862.7	
75th	19.0	28.4	50.6	83.3	87.5	15,228.2	

Table 16: g-and-h Loss Multiplier at the Event Type Level

This table presents sample statistics for the g-and-h distribution loss multipliers, where the loss multiplier is defined as the 99.9% g-and-h capital estimate divided by the given loss. These statistics are calculated across all institutions for the median and five largest losses.

	b
Business Line 2: Trading & Sales	0.0043
Business Line 3: Retail Banking	0.0019
Business Line 4: Commercial Banking	0.0039
Business Line 5: Payment & Settlement	0.0023
Business Line 6: Agency Services	0.0028
Business Line 7: Asset Management	0.0044
Business Line 8: Retail Brokerage	0.0039
Event Type 1: Internal Fraud	0.0031
Event Type 2: External Fraud	0.0011
Event Type 3: Employment Practices & Workplace Safety	
Event Type 4: Clients, Products & Business Practices	0.0033
Event Type 6: Business Disruption & System Failures	
Event Type 7: Execution, Delivery & Process Management	0.0023
Overall	0.0024

Table 17: Average b Parameterby Business Line, Event Type and Overall