

# NOTA DI LAVORO 85.2011

Development Accounting with Intermediate Goods

By **Jan Grobovšek**, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

# Economy and Society Series Editor: Giuseppe Sammarco

# **Development Accounting with Intermediate Goods**

By Jan Grobovšek, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

# Summary

Do intermediate goods help explain relative and aggregate productivity differences across countries? Three observations suggest they do: (i) intermediates are relatively expensive in poor countries; (ii) goods industries demand intermediates more intensively than service industries; (iii) goods industries are more prominent intermediate suppliers in poor countries. I build a standard multisector growth model accommodating these features to show that inefficient intermediate production strongly depresses aggregate productivity and increases the price ratio of final goods to services. Applying the model to data for middle and high income countries, I find that poorer countries are only modestly less efficient at producing goods than services, but substantially less efficient at producing intermediate productivity gap between the lowest and highest income countries in the sample is predicted to shrink by roughly two thirds while cross-country differences in the final price ratio would virtually vanish.

Keywords: Development Accounting, Productivity, Intermediate Goods

JEL Classification: O10, O41, O47

This paper was one of the three winners of the third edition of the FEEM Award (2011), a prize organized jointly with the European Economic Association (EEA), aimed at rewarding the best research by young economists.

I would like to thank Juan Carlos Conesa, Tim Kehoe, Nezih Guner, Omar Licandro, Jordi Caballé, Fabrizio Perri, Franck Portier and Vasco Carvalho for many helpful comments. I would also like to thank participants at the XV Workshop on Dynamic Macroeconomics at Vigo, the 2011 ENTER Jamboree in Tilburg, the 2011 EEA conference in Oslo, the macro workshop at the UAB and the international trade workshop at the University of Minnesota. All errors are mine.

Address for correspondence:

Jan Grobovšek Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona Edifici B - Campus de la Autònoma 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona Spain E-mail: jankristijan.grobovsek@uab.cat

## DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTING WITH INTERMEDIATE GOODS

#### JAN GROBOVŠEK

ABSTRACT. Do intermediate goods help explain relative and aggregate productivity differences across countries? Three observations suggest they do: (i) intermediates are relatively expensive in poor countries; (ii) goods industries demand intermediates more intensively than service industries; (iii) goods industries are more prominent intermediate suppliers in poor countries. I build a standard multisector growth model accommodating these features to show that inefficient intermediate production strongly depresses aggregate productivity and increases the price ratio of final goods to services. Applying the model to data for middle and high income countries, I find that poorer countries are only modestly less efficient at producing goods than services, but substantially less efficient at producing intermediate relative to final goods and services. If all countries had the intermediate production efficiency of the US, the aggregate productivity gap between the lowest and highest income countries in the sample is predicted to shrink by roughly two thirds while cross-country differences in the final price ratio would virtually vanish.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The value of intermediate production as a ratio of total output in a typical economy is about one half. Despite their quantitative importance, intermediate goods have so far received little attention in development accounting. This should *per se* not be of any concern if the efficiency of intermediate relative to final good production were not systematically different across countries and if the structure of input-output relations were not asymmetric across broadly-defined industries. My concern in this paper is threefold. First, I document that the above conditions for intermediate good-neutrality do not hold up in the data. Second, I develop a simple growth model featuring two industries and two specializations (intermediate and final production) and propose some analytical qualitative results based on a plausible input-output structure. Third, I use the model to back out efficiency levels across countries to identify which industry-specializations pairs are particularly inefficient in poor countries.

Two observations are key for the paper's motivation. First, different broadly-defined sectors have systematically distinct technological requirements as regards the use of intermediates and vary systematically in their importance as suppliers of intermediates. More to the point, when the economy is subdivided into goods and service industries, the former consume more intermediate value per unit of output, approximately 0.57 versus 0.36. Goods industries also supply a relatively larger share of intermediates in poor

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Edifici B - Campus de la Autònoma, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

*E-mail address*: jankristijan.grobovsek@uab.cat.

*Date*: 4 October, 2011.

I would like to thank Juan Carlos Conesa, Tim Kehoe, Nezih Guner, Omar Licandro, Jordi Caballé, Fabrizio Perri, Franck Portier and Vasco Carvalho for many helpful comments. I would also like to thank participants at the XV Workshop on Dynamic Macroeconomics at Vigo, the 2011 ENTER Jamboree in Tilburg, the 2011 EEA conference in Oslo, the macro workshop at the UAB and the international trade workshop at the University of Minnesota. All errors are mine.

JEL codes: O10, O41, O47.

Key words: Development Accounting, Productivity, Intermediate Goods.

compared to rich countries. This issue has been, to the best of my knowledge, largely overlooked in the recent literature on development accounting, but proves significant in interaction with another set of empirical regularities. This is the fact that for the same industry, intermediate goods, relative to final goods, appear to be relatively expensive in poor countries. It motivates the additional dichotomy between firms specializing in either final or intermediate production.

The main theoretical results are the following. First, it is shown that the price of final goods relative to final services is expected to be lower in less efficient economies even if their efficiency in the goods industry is no lower relative to the one in the service industry. Rather, because the goods industry is a more intensive intermediate input user than the service industry, low efficiency in all industry-specialization pairs renders the goods industry relatively less productive than the service industry as intermediate resources are relatively scarce compared to labor. Second, it is shown that if relative to poor countries, rich countries were particularly more efficient at producing intermediate goods and services, then all specialization-industry pairs except for specialized intermediate goods (as opposed to service) producers are likely to increase their *real* intensity use of intermediates. This happens despite the fact that intensity is by construction identical in value terms. Third, compared to percent increases in the efficiency of final good production, a percent increase in the efficiency of intermediate input production has a relatively stronger impact on theoretical aggregate productivity in poor countries than in rich countries. This is because poor countries not only have higher final expenditure shares on goods than services, they also spend larger fractions of intermediate consumption on goods than on services. In turn, goods - in both specializations - are more sensitive to increases in intermediate production efficiency than services. In other words, observed complementarities in the production of intermediate goods strongly leverage inefficiencies of intermediate input production.

For the quantitative part I employ the EU Klems dataset for a sample of middle and high income countries which features comparable intermediate and final prices and quantities. The results suggest that compared to rich countries, poor countries are less efficient across the board in all industry-specialization pairs. More interestingly, poorer countries are only modestly less efficient at producing (final or intermediate) goods than services. Moreover, poorer countries are particularly less efficient at producing intermediate rather than final goods and services. The fact that final goods are relatively more expensive in poor countries than final services hence does not result so much from the fact that these countries are particularly worse at producing goods compared to services. Rather, it is due to the fact that poor countries are relatively inefficient at producing *intermediates*.

The model offers a straightforward method to gauge the separate effects created by the input-output structure and by efficiency differences across specializations. I find that ignoring the fact that intermediate and final good production is done at different efficiency levels substantially increases the perceived efficiency gap that poor countries have in producing goods rather than services. Also, poor countries in this context appear much less efficient at producing both final goods and services than in the benchmark case. In a similar fashion, ignoring the intermediate input demand asymmetry between goods and services also strongly exaggerates the poor countries' efficiency gap between the production of goods and services. Ignoring the supply asymmetry creates an analogous effect, though it is quantitatively less important. A development accounting exercise ignoring these features is therefore likely to underestimate poor countries' efficiency in producing final goods and services and is furthermore likely to exaggerate especially their inefficiency in creating goods vis-à-vis services. A simple counterfactual exercise stresses the impact of intermediate inputs in the accounting framework. If middle income countries were somehow able to adopt the US efficiency of intermediate good production, their aggregate productivity (compared to the richest countries) is predicted to increase from about 0.47% to 0.84%. Also, such a move would almost equalize the final good price ratios across poor and high income countries. This finding is important. It states that the efficiency of intermediate good production is responsible for the bulk of the aggregate and relative productivity differences across countries.

The paper is closely related to the literature on sectoral development accounting. Based on final expenditure price data, Herrendorf and Valentinyi (forthcoming) compute that poor countries are particularly bad at producing goods as compared to services. On the other hand, Duarte and Restuccia (2010) present evidence, based on industry growth accounting and the pattern of structural transformation, that poorer countries are particularly unproductive in the agriculture and services sectors, but not so much in manufacturing. My aim is to shed light on these conflicting pieces of evidence by stressing the importance of input-output patterns in determining relative sectoral productivities. Ngai and Samaniego (2009) similarly stress the importance of the composition of intermediate goods for productivity inferences, though their focus is on investment-specific technical change.<sup>1</sup>

The literature offers some support for the notion that the production of intermediate goods is particularly inefficient in poor countries. On the theoretical front, Acemoglu, Antràs and Helpman (2007) apply the incomplete contracts framework of Grossman and Hart (1986) and Hart and Moore (1990) to the analysis of contracts between producers and their specialized input suppliers. They find that a higher degree of contract incompleteness lowers the suppliers' incentive to invest and hence leads to underprovision of intermediate inputs. This fits well with empirical evidence provided by Nunn (2008) who argues that countries with more efficient contractual institutions tend to be richer and specialize in the production of goods that require special relationships with suppliers. An alternative reason for poor countries' low performance in producing intermediates is a lower degree of competitive pressure. Amiti and Konings (2007) provide empirical support that the lowering of trade barriers in developing countries boosts productivity by increasing import competition in the market for intermediate goods. That foreign competitive pressures strongly boost productivity in a prominent intermediate good producing sector such as mining is also empirically documented in Galdón-Sánchez and Schmitz (2002).

As intermediates are essential factors of production, a strand of the literature has focused on their underprovision as a substantial barrier to development. Jones (forthcoming) shows theoretically how generic wedges that disperse the marginal productivity of intermediate goods, coupled with these goods' complementarity in production, leads to substantial leverage effects on productivity.<sup>2</sup> His model builds on the seminal contribution of Mirrlees (1971) on the negative welfare effect of taxing intermediate inputs and the one of Kremer (1993) on the problem of complementarity in production. Ciccone (2002) is also a theoretical treatment of the process of industrialization as the deepening of intermediate good use intensity, based on some evidence to that effect reported in Chenery, Robinson and Syrquin (1986). Restuccia, Yan and Zhu (2008), based on producer price data of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), find that farms in poor countries

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The classical theoretical contributions on growth accounting with intermediate goods include amongst others Melvin (1969) and Hulten (1978).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The dispersion of productivities *within* sectors as a source of large aggregate productivity differences has recently received a lot of attention as well. See for instance Banerjee and Duflo (2005), Guner, Ventura and Yi (2008), Restuccia and Rogerson (2008) and Hsieh and Klenow (2009).

face substantially higher relative prices for intermediate goods. This lowers their agricultural productivity, which in turn strongly diminishes aggregate productivity as due to the negative income effect most resources are channeled into agriculture. Finally, the interest in (real) physical intermediate input intensity as opposed to value intensity is very similar in spirit to Hsieh and Klenow (2007). They stress that poorer countries have lower investment rates in physical capital when measured at internationally comparable prices, but not at local prices. Here I highlight a similar phenomenon by claiming that a portion of poor countries' low productivity can be 'explained' by their low investment rates in the production factor intermediate goods.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 1 presents the empirical evidence. Section 2 proposes the model environment. The theoretical results of the model are summarized in section 3 while section 4 explores the data implications following the calibration of the model. Section 5 concludes.

#### 2. Empirical motivation

#### 2.1. Relative prices

One of the most salient stylized features in development accounting is that at the level of final expenditure, goods (agricultural, industrial consumption and investment goods) are relatively more expensive than services in poorer countries. Figure (1) reproduces the data to that effect from the World Bank's International Comparisons Program.<sup>3</sup> These relative price differences are presumably informative about which are the 'problem sectors' in poor countries if one is interested in growth accounting at the final expenditure level. Herrendorf and Valentinyi (forthcoming) use similar data to construct production functions for different sectors to back out sectoral TFP series. They find that the poorest countries are particularly inefficient at producing agricultural and investment goods, and also inefficient at producing consumption goods, while much less inefficient at producing services.

The trouble with such an approach is that it does not directly imply relative productivity differences at the industry level. This information, however, would be more valuable for researchers trying to micro-found productivity differences across countries and sectors that is related to inefficiencies at the level of the production unit. To circumvent this problem, Duarte and Restuccia (2009) use a structural transformation model to measure cross-country sectoral productivity differences for OECD countries and a smaller sample of middle income countries. They infer level differences from relative employment shares at a given moment in time and then use industry-based productivity growth data to measure productivity growth and hence productivity levels through time. Interestingly, and in stark contrast, they find that rich compared to poor countries have much higher productivity levels in the production of agricultural goods and services but not so much in manufacturing.

The difference in the two results may of course only be due to the fact that Herrendorf and Valentinyi (forthcoming) measure TFP while Duarte and Restuccia (2010) infer productivity, but since the sectoral physical and human capital factor shares used by Herrendorf and Valentinyi (forthcoming) do not vary much between manufacturing and services, this seems unlikely. Rather, the conflicting evidence calls for an analysis that explicitly takes into account the input-output pattern in the economy in determining the relative sectoral productivity levels. Such an analysis could explain why in poor countries sectors producing goods appear to be relatively less productive than service sectors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The construction of all the series in the following Figures is described in the Appendix.



FIGURE 1. Relative price of expenditure items



FIGURE 2. Relative cost of intermediate to final goods

measured at final expenditure level, while the result is partially reversed at the industry level.

One indicator that intermediate goods play an essential role in development accounting is the fact that they appear to be relatively expensive in poor countries. This observation comes out of the only dataset on internationally comparable relative prices at the industry level, provided by EU Klems and covering most OECD countries and several Central and Eastern European countries (for further discussion see O'Mahony and Timmer (2009)). Figure (2) plots data for each sample country on the price of intermediate goods (services) relative to the price of final goods (services) against data on aggregate hourly productivity. The downward-sloping shape of the series suggests that in both industries - goods and services - intermediates are particularly expensive compared to final goods in poor countries.

#### 2.2. Intermediate consumption and supply shares

Figure (3) summarizes the intermediate consumption factors (value of an industry's intermediate good consumption needed for one unit of output value - the difference to one is the industry's value-added) across countries from internationally comparable inputoutput tables (for further details see Ahmad and Yamano (2006)). Each dot represents the ratio of country-year pairs for broadly defined industries, plotted against the country's GDP per capita in that year.<sup>4</sup>



FIGURE 3. Intermediate factor shares

Two apparent trends stand out. First, for both sectors the ratios seem rather uncorrelated with GDP per capita. This fact has been previously pointed out elsewhere for the overall intermediate consumption ratio in the economy (e.g. Jones (forthcoming)). It runs counter, however, to the argument expressed in Chenery, Robinson and Syrquin (1986), according to which input-output ratios may have increased during industrialization in several developing countries, possibly due to the adoption of different technological practices.<sup>5</sup> In this paper I will abstract from arguments involving changes in technology and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The sample includes OECD as well as several non-OECD countries. GDP per capita values are taken from the Penn World Tables. The years are 1995, 2000 and 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This study is related to the analysis of the economy by means of the Leontieff matrix and has its roots in the identification of optimal demand stimulus. In particular, the concern there is with the 'technical

treat the input-output ratio of an industry as depending exclusively on a time-invariant factor share of inputs in the production function. Rather, I wish to highlight the other feature that emerges from Figure (3), namely that industries vary substantially in their requirement of intermediate goods. In particular, the figure shows that the production of goods uses up relatively larger values of intermediate goods than the production of services. I claim that this is an aspect that may not have received sufficient attention in



FIGURE 4. Shares of intermediates from same own industry

The constancy of aggregate intermediate factor shares across countries and industries does not, however, extend to a finer breakdown of intermediate goods by types. Figure (4) shows that as countries grow richer, industries producing goods tend to use rather less intermediates deriving from their own sector, as a share of their total intermediate good consumption, while service industries tend to use rather more intermediates deriving from their own sector. Goods intermediates are therefore relatively more prevalent in poorer countries.

#### 3. Economic environment

#### 3.1. Model description

I consider a closed economy that is static so the firms' and households' objectives only need to be specified over intratemporal choices.

#### 3.1.1. Production

All firms operate in a competitive environment. They specialize in producing either final or intermediate goods, indexed respectively by  $j \in \{f, m\}$ . At the final good level

coefficients', i.e. the multiplier value of demand in upstream sectors due to a percentage increase in a final demand sector.

there is a representative firm indexed by  $i \in \{g, s\}$  in each of the two industries - goods and services<sup>6</sup> - producing according to the constant returns to scale production function

$$y_{fi} = A_{fi} \left( \gamma_{gi}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{gfi}^{\frac{\rho_i - 1}{\rho_i}} + \gamma_{si}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{sfi}^{\frac{\rho_i - 1}{\rho_i}} \right)^{\frac{\rho_i - 1}{\rho_i - 1}} l_{fi}^{1 - \sigma_i} \tag{1}$$

 $\sigma_i \rho_i$ 

where  $y_{fi}$ , and  $l_{fi}$  denote, respectively, firm fi's output and labor input while  $x_{jfi}$  is the firm's demand for the intermediate good supplied by industry j.  $A_{fi} > 0$  is the firm's efficiency parameter,  $\sigma_i \in (0, 1)$  the composite intermediate good factor share,  $\rho_i \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$  the elasticity of substitution between the two intermediate inputs and  $\gamma_{gi} \in (0, 1)$  their relative weights in production, with  $\sum_{j=s,g} \gamma_{ji} = 1$ . The firm's maximization of profits implies

$$\max_{x_{gfi} \ge 0, x_{sfi} \ge 0, l_{fi} \ge 0} \left( p_{fi} y_{fi} - p_{mg} x_{gfi} - p_{ms} x_{sfi} - w l_{fi} \right) \tag{2}$$

where  $p_{fi}$  is the price of the firm's output,  $p_{mj}$  the price of intermediate input j and w the wage rate.

Analogously, intermediate goods producers in each industry i produce according to

$$y_{mi} = A_{mi} \left( \gamma_{gi}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{gmi}^{\frac{\rho_i - 1}{\rho_i}} + \gamma_{si}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{smi}^{\frac{\rho_i - 1}{\rho_i}} \right)^{\frac{\rho_i - 1}{\rho_i - 1}} l_{mi}^{1 - \sigma_i},$$
(3)

 $\sigma_i \rho_i$ 

with  $A_{mi} > 0$ , and solve

$$\max_{x_{gmi} \ge 0, x_{smi} \ge 0, l_{mi} \ge 0} \left( p_{mi} y_{mi} - p_{mg} x_{gmi} - p_{ms} x_{smi} - w l_{mi} \right).$$
(4)

Notice that the technical parameters  $\sigma$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\gamma$  are assumed to vary across industries, but not across specializations or across countries. In contrast, efficiency A is specific to both industry and specialization and is thought of as the only variable that varies across countries. Also, note that specialized intermediate good producers use part of their output as an input. Market clearing implies that

$$c_i = y_{fi}, \ i \in \{g, s\},$$
 (5)

$$x_{ifg} + x_{ifs} + x_{img} + x_{ims} = y_{mi}, \ i \in \{g, s\}.$$
(6)

where  $c_i$  is consumption of final good *i*.

At this point several clarifications are necessary. First, note that the distinction between two industry is not only related to convenience and access to data. As argued in the previous section, there are grounds to believe that along the dimensions of interest here - intermediate goods trade and relative productivity - there is a clear-cut distinction between industries producing goods and those producing services. A further breakdown of the goods industry into consumption and investment goods would enrich the model by incorporating investment behavior. Similarly, a breakdown into agriculture and manufacturing would allow the model to capture better the phenomenon of structural transformation. Yet both would come at the price of less analytical tractability of the central issue here.<sup>7</sup>

Second, the Cobb-Douglas specification between composite intermediate inputs and labor can be defended empirically by the argument of stable intermediate factor shares

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Goods will have as their empircial counterpart the industry labels A-F while services industries G-Q.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>This also allows to compare results with the literature that explicitly microfounds relative sectoral efficiency differences across countries and which is usually framed within two sectors. One example is Buera, Kaboski and Shin (forthcoming) who show a theoretically how in poorer countries the efficiency of tradables suffers more from financial frictions than the one of non-tradables.

across countries as presented in Figure (3). The relative mix of industry-specific intermediate goods, however, is allowed to vary systematically with relative price changes, consistent with the discussed evidence in Figure (4).

Third, given the form of the production function (1) and (3) I interpret A as factorneutral efficiency. In this I follow Jones (2009) or the multifactor analysis in the EU Klems data, which implicitly assumes that efficiency is embedded in intermediate goods as well as in other production factors. This is opposed to the alternative specification

 $y = \left(\gamma_g^{\frac{1}{\rho}} x_g^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} + \gamma_s^{\frac{1}{\rho}} x_s^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{\sigma\rho}{\rho-1}} (Bl)^{1-\sigma} \text{ where efficiency } B = A^{1-\sigma} \text{ is purely embedded in}$ labor.<sup>8</sup> Independently of the specification, however, A is thought of as capturing both

actual (technical and organizational) efficiency as well as the use of additional production factors such as physical and human capital that are not explicitly modeled here.

#### 3.1.2. Households

A representative household solves the problem

$$\max_{c_g \ge 0, c_s \ge 0} u(c_g, c_s) = \max_{c_g \ge 0, c_s \ge 0} \left( \omega_g^{\frac{1}{\rho}} c_g^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} + \omega_s^{\frac{1}{\rho}} c_s^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} \right)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}$$
(7)

subject to

$$p_{fg}c_g + p_{fs}c_s \le w \left( l_{fg} + l_{fs} + l_{mg} + l_{ms} \right) \tag{8}$$

and

$$l_{fg} + l_{fs} + l_{mg} + l_{ms} = 1, (9)$$

where  $\rho \in [0,1) \cup (1,\infty)$  denotes the elasticity of substitution between the two final consumption goods and  $\omega \in (0, 1)$  their relative weights in production, with  $\sum_{i=s,q} \omega_i = 1$ .

The utility function is similar to the one in Ngai and Pissarides (2007), implying that observed secular changes in the expenditure composition between final goods are driven by relative price changes, the so-called Baumol disease.<sup>9</sup> A second thing to note is that calling c a consumption good is a slight abuse of language. What is meant by c is actually more the final use of the good, i.e. it can be used for investment as well as consumption. Also, in view of the subsequent data analysis, note that in an open economy context ccould equally represent an export (whether in the form of a final or an intermediate good - the crucial point is that it is not consumed as an intermediate in the home economy).

#### 3.2. Equilibrium definition

The equilibrium is a list of production,  $\{y_{ji}\}_{j\in\{f,m\},i\in\{s,g\}}$ , final consumption demand  $\{c_j\}_{j\in\{f,m\}}$ , intermediate good demand  $\{x_{nji}\}_{j\in\{f,m\},i,n\in\{s,g\}}$ , labor allocations  $\{l_{ji}\}_{j\in\{f,m\},i\in\{s,g\}}$ , prices  $\{p_{ji}\}_{j\in\{f,m\},i\in\{s,g\}}$ , and the wage rate w such that: i) households take  $\{p_{fi}\}_{i\in\{s,g\}}$  and w as given and solve (7) subject to (8) and (9);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Moro (2007) is one exception in the literature to use the alternative specification by which technology is not embedded in intermediate goods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Herrendorf, Rogerson and Valentinyi (2009) analyze the relative merits of this specification compared to one based on income effects (as for instance in Kongsamut, Rebelo and Xie (2001)) in accounting for secular changes in expenditure shares in the US. They find that it matches the data better when each consumption item is a composite of the value-added provided by its industry, while income effects are important when items are identified according to the final product classification, as I do in this paper. I nonetheless choose the above utility specification because all relevant datasets point to strong relative price differences across countries at different stages of development, something that Herrendorf, Rogerson and Valentinyi (2009) cannot identify from the historical US final product data. In any case, most of the ensuing theoretical results and empirical inferences about efficiency parameters do not depend on the utility specification (only international GDP comparisons do).

ii) the representative final good producer in industry  $i \in \{g, s\}$  takes input prices  $\{p_{mi}\}_{i \in \{s,q\}}$ , w and output price  $p_{fi}$  as given and solves (2);

iii) the representative intermediate good producer in industry  $i \in \{g, s\}$  takes prices  $\{p_{mi}\}_{i \in \{s, g\}}$  and w as given and solves (4);

iv) the goods markets clear so that (1), (3), (5) and (6) are satisfied  $\forall i \in \{g, s\}$ ;

#### 4. Theoretical implications

Assuming an interior solution, the equilibrium leads to a straightforward characterization, which is described in detail in the Appendix. This subsection identifies the qualitative theoretical general equilibrium effect of movements in the efficiency parameters Aon prices, intermediate input intensity and aggregate productivity. For this I consider a setup where efficiency levels A in all countries (or alternatively a rich benchmark country) are fixed while the ones in a relatively poor country of interest experience simultaneous positive changes, which is to say that the country converges in income. I will consider two possible scenarios, defined as follows:

**Definition 1.** Industry-neutral growth: Percent changes in efficiency across industries are identical conditional on the specialization, i.e.  $\frac{dA_f}{A_f} \equiv \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}}$  and  $\frac{dA_m}{A_m} \equiv \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}}$ .

**Definition 2.** Specialization-neutral growth: Percent changes in efficiency across specializations are identical conditional on the industry, i.e.  $\frac{dA_g}{A_g} \equiv \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}}$  and  $\frac{dA_s}{A_s} \equiv \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}}$ .

#### 4.1. Prices

Combining (23) and (24) from the Appendix results in the following price ratio between specializations:

$$\frac{p_{mi}}{p_{fi}} = \frac{A_{fi}}{A_{mi}}, \forall i \in \{s, g\}.$$
(10)

Since production functions across specializations are identically parameterized, the price ratios between final and intermediate good suppliers in each industry is fully characterized by their relative efficiency. Note that the downward sloping price ratios across specializations in Figure (2) suggest that poorer countries are relatively worse at producing intermediate goods in both industries. The final good price ratio  $p_{fs}/p_{fg}$  is implicitly pinned down by combining again (23) and (24):

$$\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} = \frac{\left(1 - \sigma_g\right)\sigma_g^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}}}{\left(1 - \sigma_s\right)\sigma_s^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_s}}} \frac{A_{fg}A_{mg}^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}}}{A_{fs}A_{ms}^{\frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s}}} \frac{\left(\gamma_{ss} + \left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}}\frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}}\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{\rho_s - 1}\gamma_{gs}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_g}{\left(1 - \sigma_g\right)\left(1 - \rho_g\right)}}}{\left(\gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg}\left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}}\frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}}\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1 - \rho_g}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_g}{\left(1 - \sigma_s\right)\left(1 - \rho_s\right)}}}.$$
 (11)

Because the two industries are cross-linked through trade in intermediate goods, the latter is independent of the specification of the utility function and only reflects underlying technological parameters. Combining (1) with (21) and (22) from the Appendix obtains an expression for the relative productivity between final good producers:

$$\frac{y_{fg}/l_{fg}}{y_{fs}/l_{fs}} = \frac{1 - \sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_g} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} \tag{12}$$

11

Comparing relative final prices across rich (R) and poor (P) countries therefore gives a one-to-one mapping to relative productivities in final goods since  $\frac{y_g^P/l_g^P}{y_s^P/l_s^P} / \frac{y_g^R/l_g^R}{y_s^P/l_s^P} = \frac{p_s^P/p_g^P}{p_s^R/p_g^R}$ . This is not to say, however, that this price ratio is also a relevant measure of relative *efficiencies* across industries, as formalized in the following Proposition.

**Proposition 1.** Assume the economy becomes more efficient across the board in the sense that  $dA_{fg}$ ,  $dA_{fs}$ ,  $dA_{mg}$ ,  $dA_{ms} > 0$ . (i) Under industry-neutral technical change the relative price of final services to final goods  $p_{fs}/p_{fg}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\sigma_g > (<) \sigma_s$ ; (ii) under specialization-neutral technical change  $p_{fs}/p_{fg}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\frac{dA_g/A_g}{dA_s/A_s} > (<) \frac{1-\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_s}$ .

# Proof. Appendix.

The data presented in the previous section (Figure 3) indicates that goods industries have higher intermediate factor shares than services ( $\sigma_g > \sigma_s$ ). The stylized fact that the relative value of  $p_{fs}/p_{fq}$  increases as a country catches up in development hence does not imply that convergence is necessarily accompanied by higher growth in the goods industry compared to services. Because goods production (versus services) is more sensitive to the cost of intermediates, (industry-neutral) increases in efficiency are likely to magnify the productivity of the goods industry more than the one of the services industry.<sup>10</sup> It need not be therefore that poor countries are particularly inefficient at producing goods. The second part of Proposition 1 states that converging countries could indeed have faster growth in services compared to goods and still experience an increase in the ratio  $p_{fs}/p_{fq}$ . One implication of this is that even if rich countries were actually relatively better at producing services than goods (as may well have resulted from the analysis in Duarte and Restuccia (2010) if they had treated agriculture and manufacturing as one industry), goods may still turn out to be relatively cheaper in these countries due to the demand side of the input-output relationship. Not taking this relationship into account by focusing only on final goods can lead to a biased diagnostic on which industries are the 'problem sectors' of poor countries.

#### 4.2. Intermediate good intensity

A common measure of interest in development accounting is the capital to output ratio. In a similar vein it is of interest to identify industry and specialization-specific intermediate good to output ratios. For this I define the composite intermediate input m demanded

by specialized industry ji as  $m_{ji} \equiv \left(\gamma_{gi}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{gji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}} + \gamma_{si}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{sji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}}\right)^{\frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i-1}}$  and by  $\tilde{p}_{ji}$  its associated price so that  $\tilde{p}_{ji}m_{ji} = p_{mg}x_{gji} + p_{ms}x_{sji}$ . From the Cobb-Douglas specification of the production function it is clear that in equilibrium the value intensity of intermediates in production is

$$\frac{p_{ji}m_{ji}}{p_{ji}y_{ji}} = \frac{p_{mg}x_{gji} + p_{ms}x_{sji}}{p_{ji}y_{ji}} = \sigma_i, \ \forall j \in \{f, m\}, i \in \{s, g\}.$$
(13)

By construction the intermediate consumption ratios in the two industries in value terms are constant across countries, which mimics the evidence in Figure (3). What does vary in value is the relative composition of the composite intermediate good. The combination

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>This is analogous to international trade theories in the tradition of Hekscher and Ohlin where poor countries are thought of as being relatively unproductive in producing goods with high capital intensity, where capital endowments are fixed. Here intermediate inputs are not fixed, but their supply is relatively less abundant than labor in poor countries because their aggregate production is lower.

of (10) with (21) and (22) obtains the relative share of the industries' intermediates that they derive from their own respective industry:

$$\frac{p_{mg}x_{gjg}}{p_{mg}x_{gjg} + p_{ms}x_{sjg}} = \frac{\gamma_{gg}}{\gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg} \left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{1-\rho_g}}, \ \forall j \in \{f, m\}$$

$$\equiv \Gamma_{gg} \in (0, 1)$$
(14)

and

$$\frac{p_{ms}x_{sjs}}{p_{mg}x_{gjs} + p_{ms}x_{sjs}} = \frac{\gamma_{ss}}{\gamma_{ss} + \gamma_{gs} \left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{\rho_s - 1}}, \ \forall j \in \{f, m\}$$

$$\equiv \Gamma_{ss} \in (0, 1).$$
(15)

The *real* intensity in the composite intermediate good, however, is expected to vary across countries depending on the relative values of A as summarized in the following Proposition.

**Proposition 2.** Assume the economy becomes more efficient across the board in the sense that  $dA_{fg}$ ,  $dA_{fs}$ ,  $dA_{mg}$ ,  $dA_{ms} > 0$ . (i) Under industry-neutral technical change the real intermediate input intensity  $m_{mg}/y_{mg}$  is decreasing (increasing) if and only if  $\sigma_g > (<)$   $\sigma_s$ ,  $m_{ms}/y_{ms}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\sigma_g > (<) \sigma_s$ ,  $m_{fg}/y_{fg}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\sigma_g > (<) \sigma_s$ ,  $m_{fg}/y_{fg}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\sigma_g > (<) \sigma_s$ ,  $m_{fg}/y_{fg}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\sigma_g > (<) \sigma_s$ ,  $m_{fg}/y_{fg} = 1$ , and  $m_{fs}/y_{fs}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\frac{(1-\sigma_g)(1-\sigma_s)+\sigma_s(1-\sigma_g)(1-\Gamma_{ss})+\sigma_g(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Gamma_{gg})}{dA_f/A_f} > (<) 1$ , and  $m_{fs}/y_{fs}$  is increasing (decreasing) if and only if  $\frac{(1-\sigma_g)(1-\sigma_s)+\sigma_g(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Gamma_{gg})+\sigma_g(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Gamma_{ss})}{(1-\sigma_g)(1-\sigma_s)+\sigma_g(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Gamma_{gg})+\sigma_s(1-\sigma_g)(1-\Gamma_{ss})}$  and  $m_{fg}/y_{fg}$  and  $m_{mg}/y_{mg}$  are increasing (decreasing) and  $m_{fs}/y_{fs}$  and  $m_{ms}/y_{ms}$  are decreasing (increasing) if and only if  $\frac{1-\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_s} \frac{dA_s/A_s}{dA_f/A_f} > (<) 1$ .

Proof. Appendix.

Under industry-neutral technical change, for  $\sigma_q > \sigma_s$  it is expected that as a countries converges in income, the use of intermediates becomes less intensive in industries producing intermediate goods and more intensive in industries producing intermediate services. The intuition for this result is that following Proposition 1, for  $\sigma_q > \sigma_s$ , industry-neutral technical change implies a fall in the relative price of final goods. By (10), this also implies a fall in the relative price of intermediate goods relative to services,  $p_{mq}/p_{ms}$ . Since the composite intermediate good is a combination of goods and services, it becomes relatively more expensive for the intermediate goods industry and relatively less expensive for the intermediate service industry. The sign of the change is unclear for final goods producers. Notice however that for  $dA_m/A_m > dA_f/A_f$  (which is also consistent with the data), the model suggests that at least the production of final services, if not of final goods as well, is likely to become more intensive in intermediate use as the economy converges. This latter point suggests that for  $dA_m/A_m$  sufficiently larger than  $dA_f/A_f$  all specialization-industry pairs but one are expected to use intermediate inputs more intensively in real terms. This is reminiscent of Hsieh and Klenow (2007) who show how richer countries use investment goods more intensively because they are more efficient at producing them.

# 4.3. Aggregate productivity

Value-added in each specialized industry ji is defined as  $VA_{ji} \equiv p_{ji}y_{ji} - p_{mg}x_{gji} - p_{ms}x_{sji}$ . Plugging the values for x from (21) and (22) into the expression for (1) results in  $VA_{ji} = (1 - \sigma_i) p_{ji}y_{ji}$ . Nominal GDP (per unit of labor) is defined as  $GDP \equiv \sum_{j,i} VA_{ji}$ . Let  $P \equiv (\omega_g p_g^{1-\rho} + \omega_s p_s^{1-\rho})^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}$  be the ideal price deflator. Replacing y in VA by the expression (1) after plugging in (21) and (22) obtains the indirect utility function, and hence the ideal real GDP measure in this economy, as either one of two alternative expressions:

$$\frac{GDP}{P} = \frac{(1-\sigma_g)\sigma_g^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_g}}A_{fg}A_{mg}^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_g}}\left(\gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg}\left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}}A_{mg}\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1-\rho_g}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_g}{(\rho_g-1)(1-\sigma_g)}}}{\left(\omega_g + \omega_s\left(\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1-\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}} \qquad (16)$$

$$= \frac{(1-\sigma_s)\sigma_s^{\frac{\sigma_s}{1-\sigma_s}}A_{fs}A_{ms}^{\frac{\sigma_s}{1-\sigma_s}}\left(\left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}}\frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}}\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{\rho_s-1}\gamma_{gs} + \gamma_{ss}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_s}{(\rho_s-1)(1-\sigma_s)}}}{\left(\omega_s + \omega_g\left(\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{\rho-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}}.$$

The differentiation of any of these expressions allows to analyze the relative impact of changes in efficiency levels on aggregate productivity. In particular, it is of interest to note which changes have more of an impact in poor versus rich countries.

**Proposition 3.** Assume the economy becomes more efficient across the board in the sense that  $dA_{fg}$ ,  $dA_{fs}$ ,  $dA_{mg}$ ,  $dA_{ms} > 0$ . (i) Under industry-neutral technical change a percent increase in intermediate good production efficiency  $A_m$  increases real theoretical GDP by a factor of  $\frac{\sigma_g(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Omega_s)+\sigma_s(1-\sigma_g)\Omega_s+\sigma_g\sigma_s(2-\Gamma_{gg}-\Gamma_{ss})}{(1-\sigma_g)(1-\sigma_s)+\sigma_g(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Gamma_{gg})+\sigma_s(1-\sigma_g)(1-\Gamma_{ss})}$  of a percent increase in final good

production efficiency  $A_f$  where  $\Omega_s \equiv \frac{p_{fs}c_s}{p_{fg}c_g + p_{fs}c_s} = \frac{\omega_s \left(\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1-\rho}}{\omega_g + \omega_s \left(\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1-\rho}} \in (0,1);$  (ii) under

specialization-neutral technical change a percent increase in goods production efficiency  $A_g$  increases real theoretical GDP by a factor of  $\frac{(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Omega_s)+\sigma_s(1-\Gamma_{ss})}{(1-\sigma_g)\Omega_s+\sigma_g(1-\Gamma_{gg})}$  of a percent increase in services production efficiency  $A_s$ .

Proof. Appendix.

Structural transformation implies that the expenditure share of services  $\Omega_s$  is increasing with rising income levels. Also, the evidence in Figure (...) suggests that in poorer countries a larger fraction of intermediate inputs used by the goods industry derives from its own sector (relatively large  $\Gamma_{gg}$ ) while the opposite is true for the service industry (relatively low  $\Gamma_{ss}$ ). As mentioned, it will be shown that industry-neutral technical change is a reasonable description of the data. The numerator in the expression of the first point in Proposition 3 suggests that poorer countries are then expected to benefit more from changes in intermediate good production efficiency (relative to final good production efficiency) than rich countries because they have a rather high value of  $\sigma_g (1 - \sigma_s) (1 - \Omega_s) + \sigma_s (1 - \sigma_g) \Omega_s$  (while the value of  $2 - \Gamma_{gg} - \Gamma_{ss}$  is qualitatively indeterminate). As goods industries are more intensive in intermediate inputs  $(\sigma_g (1 - \sigma_s) > \sigma_s (1 - \sigma_g))$ , poor countries stand more to gain from higher efficiency in intermediate production as they spend a larger fraction of income on goods. The denominator of that expression strengthens this point because by the same argument  $\sigma_g (1 - \sigma_s) (1 - \Gamma_{gg}) + \sigma_s (1 - \sigma_g) (1 - \Gamma_{ss})$  is likely to be lower in poor countries. This reflects the fact that poor countries use a higher fraction of goods in intermediate consumption while goods are more sensitive to changes in the availability of intermediates as explained in Proposition 1. Taken together, if industry-neutral technical change is a good feature of the data, there is reason to believe that poor countries are more sensitive to changes in the efficiency with which intermediates are produced. Put otherwise, inefficiencies in the production of intermediate goods are likely to strongly decrease the GDP of poor countries due to complementarities in technology and preferences.

Specialization-neutral technical change will be shown to be less good of a data description. Note, however, from the expression in the second point in Proposition 3 the effect created by the supply side of the input-output table. If poor countries spend a larger fraction of final income on goods, it is natural that changes in the efficiency of producing goods have, ceteris paribus, a relatively stronger effect (vis-à-vis improvements in producing services) than in rich countries, i.e.  $\frac{(1-\sigma_s)(1-\Omega_s)}{(1-\sigma_g)\Omega_s}$  is relatively large in poor countries. The supply side of the input-output table exacerbates this effect ( $\frac{\sigma_s(1-\Gamma_{ss})}{\sigma_g(1-\Gamma_{gg})}$  is also likely to be larger in poor countries) since poor countries spend a larger fraction on goods in intermediate consumption as well.

#### 5. Accounting and counterfactuals

In this section I infer the county-specific implied efficiencies A for the sample of countries included in the EU Klems 1997 benchmark study of cross-country price levels and quantities at the industry level. I use this dataset because it is the only one to my knowledge that provides comprehensive information on the relative cost of intermediate goods across countries.<sup>11</sup> Since the construction of the model and the discussion of the theoretical results so far involved arguments based on Figures (1)-(4) that derive from different (and broader) data sources it is in order to check that the EU Klems data have the same stylized features as the ones discusses above.

#### 5.1. Calibration

#### 5.1.1. Procedure

The method to construct the relevant data series is described in the Appendix. The calibration of the model proceeds in three steps. First, using first order conditions, I pin down the technology-related parameters  $\sigma_g$  and  $\sigma_s$  directly and infer  $\gamma_{gg}$  and  $\rho_g$  as well as  $\gamma_{ss}$  and  $\rho_s$  from minimizing the discrepancy between the data and model predictions across all countries in the sample. In the second step I back out the parameters  $A_{fg}$ ,  $A_{fs}$ ,  $A_{mg}$  and  $A_{ms}$  for all countries from first order conditions. Third, to close the model I infer the preference parameters  $\rho$  and  $\omega$  from minimizing the discrepancy between the data and model predictions.

Matching the condition (13) for both sectors with the data on intermediate good shares for all sample countries I compute average values of  $\sigma_g = 0.570$  and  $\sigma_s = 0.357$ . Using  $\gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg} = 1$  and  $\gamma_{gs} + \gamma_{ss} = 1$ , the conditions (14) and (15) can be rewritten to give

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{mg}\left(x_{gfg}+x_{gmg}\right)}{p_{ms}\left(x_{sfg}+x_{smg}\right)}\right)^{k} = \log\frac{\gamma_{gg}}{1-\gamma_{gg}} + \left(\rho_{g}-1\right)\log\left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{k} + \varepsilon_{gk}$$
(17)

and

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{ms}\left(x_{sfs}+x_{sms}\right)}{p_{mg}\left(x_{gfs}+x_{gms}\right)}\right)^{k} = \log\frac{\gamma_{ss}}{1-\gamma_{ss}} + (1-\rho_{s})\log\left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{k} + \varepsilon_{sk}$$
(18)

for each country  $k \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$  where  $\varepsilon_{gk}$  and  $\varepsilon_{sk}$  are assumed to be white noise. Using EU Klems data on the observables on the left and right hand side the two separate OLS regression across all countries deliver  $\gamma_{gg} = 0.677$  and  $\rho_g = 0.178$  as well as  $\gamma_{ss} = 0.572$  and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The EU Klems dataset provides two series of prices, output prices and input prices. As described in the Appendix, from this it is possible to construct separate series for intermediate and final good prices.

 $\rho_s = 0.223$ . Since both elasticities are less than unity, intermediate goods and intermediate services are gross complements in the composite intermediate input of both industries.

With the parameter values in hand there is sufficient information to infer the four efficiency values A for each country. The most straightforward way to do this would be to use the productivity data for each specialized industry,  $y_{ji}/l_{ji}$ . It can be checked that the optimality conditions imply

$$\frac{y_{fg}}{l_{fg}} = \frac{A_{fg}}{A_{mg}} \frac{y_{mg}}{l_{mg}} = A_{fg} A_{mg}^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_g}} \sigma_g^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_g}} \left( \gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg} \left( \frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} \right)^{1-\rho_g} \right)^{\frac{\sigma_g}{(\rho_g-1)(1-\sigma_g)}}$$
$$\frac{y_{fs}}{l_{fs}} = \frac{A_{fs}}{A_{ms}} \frac{y_{ms}}{l_{ms}} = A_{fs} A_{ms}^{\frac{\sigma_s}{1-\sigma_s}} \sigma_s^{\frac{\sigma_s}{1-\sigma_s}} \left( \gamma_{ss} + \gamma_{gs} \left( \frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} \right)^{\rho_s - 1} \right)^{\frac{\sigma_s}{(\rho_s - 1)(1-\sigma_s)}}.$$

I can construct data on  $y_{ji}$ , but because the dataset used only provides information on total hours worked by industry but not by specialization, I need to use supplementary optimality conditions from the model for the purpose of identification. In addition to the above four equations I use (10) for both industries as well as  $l_{fg}+l_{mg} = l_g$  and  $l_{fs}+l_{ms} = l_s$ . Since  $p_{fs}/p_{fg}$  is then implicitly defined in the model from (11) the solution is identified. Note, however, that as data on  $p_{fs}/p_{fg}$  is readily available, it appears more judicious to use it, and ex post check whether the model's implied final price ratio actually matches the one in the data. The set of data points used for each country are therefore  $(p_{fs}/p_{gs})^k$ ,  $(p_{mg}/p_{fg})^k$ ,  $(p_{ms}/p_{fs})^k$ ,  $y_{fg}^k$ ,  $y_{fs}^k$ ,  $y_{mg}^k$ ,  $y_{ms}^k$ ,  $l_g^k$  and  $l_s^k$ . The resulting solution consists of the four efficiency levels A and as a by-product also includes the four levels of hours worked l.

parameter	value	target
$\sigma_{g}$	0.570	$\sum_{k} \left( \frac{p_{mg}(x_{gfg} + x_{smg}) + p_{ms}(x_{sfg} + x_{gmg})}{p_{fg}y_{fg} + p_{mg}y_{mg}} \right)^{k} / K$
$\sigma_s$	0.357	$\sum_{k} \left( \frac{p_{mg}(x_{gfs} + x_{gms}) + p_{ms}(x_{sfs} + x_{gms})}{p_{fs}y_{fs} + p_{ms}y_{ms}} \right)^{k} / K$
$\gamma_{gg}, ho_g$	0.677, 0.178	$\left(\frac{p_{mg}(x_{gfg}+x_{gmg})}{p_{ms}(x_{sfg}+x_{smg})}\right)^k, \ \left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^k$
$\gamma_{ss},\rho_s$	0.572,  0.223	$\left(rac{p_{ms}ig(x_{sfs}+x_{sms}ig)}{p_{mg}ig(x_{gfs}+x_{gms}ig)} ight)^k,\ \left(rac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}} ight)^k$
$\omega_g, ho$	0.437,  0.749	$\left(rac{p_{fg}c_g}{p_{fs}c_s} ight)^k, \ \left(rac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} ight)^k$
$A_{fg}^k, A_{fs}^k, A_{mg}^k, A_{ms}^k$	-	$\frac{(p_{fs}/p_{gs})^k, (p_{mg}/p_{fg})^k, (p_{ms}/p_{fs})^k,}{y_{fg}^k, y_{fs}^k, y_{mg}^k, y_{ms}^k, l_g^k, l_s^k}$

 Table 1: Benchmark calibration

Finally, I need to pin down the utility parameters for the purpose of performing counterfactual exercises. Each country's household condition (25) can be rewritten to the identifying equation

$$\log\left(\frac{p_{fg}c_g}{p_{fs}c_s}\right)^k = \log\frac{\omega_g}{1-\omega_g} + (\rho-1)\log\left(\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^k + \varepsilon_{pk}.$$
(19)

where  $\varepsilon_{pk}$  is assumed to be white noise. I construct the left-hand side of the equation using data on  $\frac{p_{fg}c_g}{p_{fs}c_s}$  and perform an OLS regression to obtain values  $\omega_g$  and  $\rho$  that best match the household's first order condition with the data. These are 0.437 and 0.749, so households have stronger preference for services, and less than unitary substitutability between the two goods, the latter being consistent with structural transformation as a result of faster productivity growth in the goods industry.

#### 5.1.2. Model-data match

Figure (5) reports the model's deviation from the data for each country in several variables of interest. A perfect match would be such that all the countries lie on the 45 degree line. The upper left panel compares the model's measure of aggregate productivity (which is just GDP/hour) with the data. It is natural that these two measures are not identical because to measure productivity consistently across countries in the model, I evaluate it at US prices, i.e.  $(GDP/l)_{US \ price}^k = c_g^k + (p_{fs}/p_{fg})^{US} c_s^k$  while the data are based on international prices.<sup>12</sup> This notwithstanding, there is no apparent bias in the model's predictions vis-à-vis the data, suggesting that the model measure of aggregate productivity can be employed for counterfactual exercises.

As explained above, even though the data price ratio  $p_{fs}/p_{fg}$  is used in the calibration, it is not directly targeted. The model therefore predicts another price ratio, based on relative productivities between the final goods sectors. Again, it is apparent that the model's predictions do not depart widely from the data.



FIGURE 5. Model predictions versus data

What is of more concern is the amount of labor allocated to the goods sector  $l_g$ . The model clearly overestimates it. This is presumably because the preference parameters are based on consumption shares, but the relation between consumption shares and labor allocation in the data somewhat departs in the data. For the same reason, the model also over-predicts value-added in the goods industry (lower left panel). These departures should not be viewed with concern as regards the validity of the main result, which is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>In the data, aggregate productivity across countries is evaluated in international prices. Using US prices, however, is a good first order approximation of international prices. This is because the country weight used for the construction of international prices is nominal GDP and therefore the prices of large and rich countries (especially the US) are disproportionately represented.

measure of the countries' efficiency levels, since the latter are not affected by preferences. The subsequent counterfactuals, however, must be regarded with some caution.

#### 5.2. Results

Figure (6) presents the inferred efficiency levels. Each series is normalized so that the US level equals 1 and is plotted against data on the countries' aggregate hourly productivity as given by the data. Several things stand out. First, and not surprisingly, rich countries tend to be more efficient in all specialization-industry pairs. Second, in both specializations, the relationship between efficiency and aggregate productivity appears to be rather similar for goods and services, with richer countries appearing to be only slightly more efficient at producing goods. The more pronounced difference is across specializations: compared to poor countries, rich countries tend to be particularly more efficient at producing intermediate goods.



FIGURE 6. Implied efficiency levels

The first column of Table (2) presents an alternative organization of these data. It compares the mean efficiency for each category between the bottom and top quintile sample countries in terms of aggregate productivity.<sup>13</sup> Note that the efficiency gap between the least and most productive countries in the production of final goods is moderate, at about 10-20%, and is only slightly larger for goods than services. The efficiency gap is significantly larger for the production of intermediates at roughly 50%. Besides, the gap is more pronounced for goods compared to services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>The most productive countries in the sample (from top down) are: Sweden, Canada, the US, the UK, Germany and Denmark. The least productive are (from botom up) Lithuania, Estonia, Poland, Latvia, the Czech Republic and the Slovak Republic.

#### 5.3. Counterfactuals

#### 5.3.1. Counterfactual calibration

The foremost interest in the development accounting framework proposed in the present paper is the recognition that (i) the production of final and intermediate goods commands different efficiency levels across countries, that (ii) goods and services differ in their intensity of intermediate input use as well as in (iii) their prominence as suppliers of intermediates. Columns 3-5 of Table (2) present the effect of closing down any of these variations one at a time by comparing again the resulting efficiency levels between the bottom and top productive countries.

The efficiency levels inferred in column 2 result from repeating the original calibration but ignoring equation (10) and setting  $A_{mg}^k = A_{fg}^k$  and  $A_{ms}^k = A_{fs}^k$ ,  $\forall k$ . Notice that compared to the benchmark, ignoring efficiency differences across specializations implies that the efficiency gap between poor and rich countries in final goods production significantly increases while the one in intermediate goods production only slightly decreases. This also expands the efficiency gap in goods compared to services. Clearly, not allowing for the possibility that poor countries are particularly inefficient at producing intermediates overstates the overall efficiency gap between poor and rich countries to mimic their productivity differences and exaggerates in particular the gap between goods and services to mimic the price ratio differences in final goods.

	benchmark	$A_{mg}^k = A_{fg}^k, \ A_{ms}^k = A_{fs}^k$	$\sigma_g = \sigma_s = 0.5$	$\gamma_{gg}=\gamma_{ss}=0.5,\rho_g=\rho_s\to 1$
$A_{fa}^P/A_{fa}^R$	0.830	0.520	0.748	0.795
$A_{fs}^{P}/A_{fs}^{R}$	0.855	0.663	1.015	0.866
$A^{P}_{ma}/A^{R}_{ma}$	0.456	0.520	0.410	0.436
$A_{ms}^{P'}/A_{ms}^{R'}$	0.573	0.663	0.678	0.580

Table 2: Alternative calibrations, average efficiency levels of poorest to richest quintile The results in column 4 stem from repeating the calibration exercise but setting  $\sigma_g = \sigma_s = 0.5$  so that goods and services have the intensity in the composite intermediate input. Evidently, ignoring differences in the intermediate input intensity between goods and services increases the efficiency gap between rich and poor countries in the production of goods, and decreases it in the production of services. Just as argued in the theoretical section, poor countries are likely to appear less productive in producing goods than services to a large extent because goods are more intensive input users.

Finally, column 5 presents the results from the calibration that sets  $\gamma_{gg} = \gamma_{ss} = 0.5$  and  $\rho_g = \rho_s \rightarrow 1$  (i.e. the composite intermediate good is a Cobb-Douglas specification) In this way the composite intermediate good in both industries has the same value composition between goods and services. Compared to the benchmark, the qualitative effect on the implied cross-country efficiency differences of rendering the supply side of the input-output matrix symmetric is the same as the one of rendering the demand side more symmetric (column 4). Quantitatively, however, the effect is much smaller.

#### 5.3.2. Convergence scenarios

The second column of Table (3) presents the results on the aggregate productivity gap (which here is the GDP per capita gap) between the poorest and richest quintile from moving all countries in the sample to the US efficiency level for each category at a time. First, notice that according to the model's measure of aggregate productivity the poorest quintile countries are about 46% percent less productive than the richest countries, which is only slightly lower than the gap in the data (40%). Hence, the model's measure is likely to be a good gauge for aggregate productivity differences. Compared to this benchmark, it is obvious that having countries move to the US efficiency level in goods raises their income levels very significantly while the effect is more negligible for services. Also, the effect is negligible for final goods, but is very strong for intermediate goods, attaining about 84%. This is to say that if poor countries were somehow able of grow as efficient as the US, by far the most prominent impact is predicted to come from boosting the efficiency in intermediate input production.

	$\frac{\left(GDP/l\right)^{P}}{\left(GDP/l\right)^{R}}$	$\frac{\left(p_{fs}/p_{fg}\right)^{P}}{\left(p_{fs}/p_{fg}\right)^{R}}$
data	0.401	0.778
benchmark calibration	0.467	0.683
$A_{fa}^k = A_{fa}^{US}, A_{ma}^k = A_{ma}^{US}$	0.728	1.119
$A_{fs}^{k} = A_{fs}^{US}, \ A_{ms}^{k} = A_{ms}^{US}$	0.589	0.600
$A_{fg}^{k} = A_{fg}^{US}, A_{fs}^{k} = A_{fs}^{US}$	0.542	0.695
$A_{mg}^{k} = A_{mg}^{US}, A_{ms}^{k} = A_{ms}^{US}$	0.843	0.972
Table 2. Companies of com	rear an ea t	a UC officiences levels

Table 3: Scenarios of convergence to US efficiency levels

The third column of Table (3) is analogous to the second one for the final price ratio  $p_{fs}/p_{fg}$  rather than aggregate productivity. The model's prediction on the mean final price ratio of the poorest compared to the richest countries comes reasonably close to the one in the data. In light of the theoretical results on the price ratio, it is interesting to observe that poor countries are predicted to have a similar final price ratio to rich countries if they were as efficient in producing intermediates as rich countries. It confirms the intuition that the cross-country final price ratio depends as much on efficiency differences across specializations as on efficiency differences across industries.

#### 6. Concluding remarks

This paper identifies that the main driving factor behind aggregate and sectoral relative productivity differences across countries is the efficiency of intermediate good production. The technical structure of the input-output relationship is such that relatively minor inefficiencies in intermediate good production are magnified strongly. The natural question to ask is, why exactly are some countries so inefficient at producing these goods? The theory presented by Acemoglu, Antràs and Helpman (2007) on contractual difficulties with specialized input suppliers may offer an important ingredient. Other theories may center on the inefficient involvement of government in either the procurement of intermediate goods or the procurement of infrastructure that is particularly crucial for smooth trade in intermediate inputs. Yet another theory may focus on low levels of competition for specialized inputs, especially when countries suffer from natural or artificial barriers to international trade. There is interest in directing future research in combining the leverage effects discussed in this paper with an explicit theory of efficiency in intermediate input production.

#### 7. Bibliography

Acemoglu, Daron, Pol Antràs and Elhanan Helpman, 2007. "Contracts and Technology Adoption," American Economic Review, American Economic Association, vol. 97(3), pages 916-943, June.

Ahmad, Nadim and Norihiko Yamano, 2006. "The OECD Input-Output Database: 2006 Edition", OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers, 2006/8, OECD.

Amiti, Mary & Jozef Konings, 2007. "Trade Liberalization, Intermediate Inputs, and Productivity: Evidence from Indonesia," American Economic Review, American Economic Association, vol. 97(5), pages 1611-1638, December.

Banerjee, Abhijit V. & Duflo, Esther, 2005. "Growth Theory through the Lens of Development Economics," Handbook of Economic Growth, in: Philippe Aghion & Steven Durlauf (ed.), Handbook of Economic Growth, edition 1, volume 1, chapter 7, pages 473-552 Elsevier.

Buera, Francisco J. & Joseph Kaboski & Yongseok Shin (forthcombing). "Finance and Development: A Tale of Two Sectors," American Economic Review.

Chenery, H., S. Robinson and Moshe Syrquin, (1986). *Industrialization and Growth: A Comparative Study* (New York: Oxford University Press).

Ciccone, Antonio, 2002. "Input Chains and Industrialization," Review of Economic Studies, Blackwell Publishing, vol. 69(3), pages 565-87, July.

Duarte, Margarida and Diego Restuccia, 2010. "The Role of the Structural Transformation in Aggregate Productivity," Quarterly Journal of Economics, Volume 125(1), pages 129-173, February.

Galdón-Sánchez, José & James A. Schmitz, 2002. "Competitive Pressure and Labor Productivity: World Iron-Ore Markets in the 1980's," American Economic Review, American Economic Association, vol. 92(4), pages 1222-1235, September.

Guner, Nezih & Gustavo Ventura & Xu Yi, 2008. "Macroeconomic Implications of Size-Dependent Policies," Review of Economic Dynamics, Elsever for the Society for Economic Dynamics, vol. 11(4), pages 721-744, October.

Hart, Oliver and John Moore, 1990. "Property Rights and the Nature of the Firm," Journal of Political Economy, University of Chicago Press, vol. 98(6), pages 1119-58, December.

Herrendorf, Berthold & Richard Rogerson & Ákos Valentinyi, 2009. "Two Perspectives on Preferences and Structural Transformation," NBER Working Papers 15416, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.

Herrendorf, Berthold and Åkos Valentinyi, (forthcoming). "What Sectors Make the Poor Countries So Unproductive?," Journal of the European Economic Association.

Hsieh, Chang-Tai & Peter J. Klenow, 2007. "Relative Prices and Relative Prosperity," American Economic Review, American Economic Association, vol. 97(3), pages 562-585, June.

Hsieh, Chang-Tai and Pete Klenow, 2009. "Misallocation and Manufacturing TFP in China and India," Quarterly Journal of Economics.

Hulten, Charles R, 1978. "Growth Accounting with Intermediate Godos," Review of Economic Studies, Vol 45, No.3, pages 511-518.

Grossman, Sanford J & Hart, Oliver D, 1986. "The Costs and Benefits of Ownership: A Theory of Vertical and Lateral Integration," Journal of Political Economy, University of Chicago Press, vol.

Jones, Charles I., (forthcoming). "Intermediate Goods and Weak Links in the Theory of Economic Development," American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics.

Kongsamut, Piyabha & Rebelo, Sergio & Xie, Danyang, 2001. "Beyond Balanced Growth," Review of Economic Studies, Blackwell Publishing, vol. 68(4), pages 869-82, October.

Kremer, Michael, 1993. "The O-Ring Theory of Economic Development," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, MIT Press, vol. 108(3), pages 551-75, August.

Melvin, James R., 1969. "Intermediate Goods and Technological Change," Economica, Vol. 36, No. 144, pages 400-408.

Mirrlees, James A, 1971. "An Exploration in the Theory of Optimum Income Taxation," Review of Economic Studies, Blackwell Publishing, vol. 38(114), pages 175-208, April. Moro, Alessio 2007. "Biased Technical Change, Intermediate Goods and Total Factor Productivity," Working Paper 07-60, Economic Series (34), Departamento de Economia, Universidad Carlos III, Madrid.

Ngai, L. Rachel & Christopher A. Pissarides, 2007. "Structural Change in a Multisector Model of Growth," American Economic Review, American Economic Association, vol. 97(1), pages 429-443, March.

Nunn, Nathan, 2007. "Relationship-Specificity, Incomplete Contracts, and the Pattern of Trade," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, MIT Press, vol. 122(2), pages 569-600, 05.

O'Mahony, Mary & Marcel P. Timmer, 2009. "Output, Input and Productivity Measures at the Industry Level: The EU KLEMS Database," Economic Journal, Royal Economic Society, vol. 119(538), pages F374-F403, 06.

Restuccia, Diego and Richard Rogerson, 2008. "Policy Distortions and Aggregate Productivity with Heterogeneous Plants," Review of Economic Dynamics, Elsevier, vol. 11(4), pages 707-720, October.

Restuccia, Diego & Yang, Dennis Tao & Zhu, Xiaodong, 2008. "Agriculture and aggregate productivity: A quantitative cross-country analysis," Journal of Monetary Economics, Elsevier, vol. 55(2), pages 234-250, March.

#### 8. Appendix

#### 8.1. Data

#### 8.1.1. Figures

Figure (1) is based on the World Bank's International Comparisons Program 2005 benchmark data. The sample includes 147 countries. Commodity agriculture is simply Food and non-alcoholic beverages (1101). Commodity Industrial consumption good includes Alcoholic beverages and tobacco (1102), Clothing and footwear (1103), Housing, water, electricity, gas and other fuels (1104), Furnishings, household equipment and household maintenance (1105). Commodity Investment corresponds to Gross capital formation (15). Commodity Services includes Health (1106), Transport (1107), Communication (1108), Recreation and culture (1109), Education (1110) and Restaurants and hotels (1111). The constructed series are geometric averages with weights based on expenditure shares on the subsectors. GDP per capita is taken from the Penn World Tables.

Figure (2) computes relative prices from the EU Klems 1997 benchmark data in the following way. Notice that both series are ratios between intermediate and final goods prices. The series for intermediate good prices is based on the intermediate input price deflator, PPP\_IIS for services and the weighted average between the price of energy inputs (PPP\_IIE) and material inputs (PPP\_IIM) for goods. Each series is a geometric mean over the all the two-digit subsectors in the dataset, the weights being the supply shares (IIS and IIE+IIM, respectively) to each subsector. The intermediate input price is hence simply the mean over the prices that all the sectors in the economy pay for that particular intermediate input. The series for the final price is subsequently computed via the construction of the aggregate output price, based on the output deflator (PPP\_SO). The output price for goods is a weighted average over the output prices of the subsectors composing goods: agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing (AtB); Consumer manufacturing (Mcons), Intermediate manufacturing (Minter), Mining and quarrying (C) and Electricity, gas and water supply (E); Investment goods, excluding hightech (Minves), Electrical and optical equipment (30t33) and Construction (F). The composite for the service output price consists of market services, excluding post and telecommunications (MSERV), Post and telecommunication (64) and Non-market services (NONMAR). The output and intermediate price for goods and services in hand, I compute the final good and final service price simply by noting that the output price is the geometric average between the final and intermediate price. The weight of the intermediate price is simply the value of aggregate intermediate consumption on the good or service (the aggregate value of IIS and IIE+IIM, respectively) as a share of aggregate output (SO). Finally, note that aggregate productivity in the data equals the ratio between total LP\_VADD to total HOURS.

The data underlying Figures (3) and (4) are country-year pairs from the OECD 2005 international input-output data. The years are 1995, 2000 and 2005.<sup>14</sup> The sample includes OECD as well a number of poorer countries.<sup>15</sup> Intermediate consumption ratios are computed by adding the intermediate consumption of all the subsectors and dividing them by their total output. Similarly, own intermediate shares are computed by adding each subsector's intermediate consumption deriving from related subsectors and dividing by the composite sector's total intermediate consumption. The Goods and Service sectors are based on the following subsectors, respectively: 1-30 and 31-48. GDP per capita is taken from the Penn World Tables. Since these data report GDP per capita levels for each year as a ratio to the US, country-year pairs are constructed by using US GDP/capita growth between 1995 and 2005, also taken from the Penn World Tables.

#### 8.1.2. Calibration

All the series are based on 1997 EU Klems dataset. For the construction of final and intermediate good price data, please refer to the above description of the data underlying Figure (2). Also, note that the definition of the subsectors composing the goods and the service industry, respectively, is of course identical to the one used in the construction of prices. Hours worked are based on the series HOURS. The series  $l_g$  and  $l_s$  are constructed by adding the hours worked in all subsectors defined to as goods and services, respectively. The series  $y_{fg}$ ,  $y_{fs}$ ,  $y_{mg}$  and  $y_{ms}$  are built as follows. Aggregate nominal intermediate production is the aggregate value (i.e. the addition across subsectors) of IIS for services and IIE+IIM for goods. These series are then deflated by their respective intermediate good price to arrive at  $y_{ms}$  and  $y_{mg}$ . I then construct aggregate output for goods and services by adding the relevant series (SO) across the subsectors composing each of the two industries. From the resulting value I subtract IIS for services and IIE+IIM for goods to arrive at aggregate nominal consumption. Deflating the resulting series by the relevant final good price consequently gives  $y_{fs}$  and  $y_{fg}$ .

The normalization employed is the following. I set  $(p_{fs}/p_{fg})^{US} = (p_{mg}/p_{fg})^{US} = (p_{ms}/p_{fs})^{US} = 1$ , so that the price ratios of all the other countries are multiples of the US price ratio. The physical quantities allow for one normalization, which is  $y_{fg}^{US} = 1$ .

Luxembourg is excluded from the analysis because of lack of data on intermediate goods.

#### 8.2. Computations

#### 8.2.1. Solution of the theoretical model

The firms' first order conditions with respect to  $l_{ji}$  in (2) and (4) give

$$\frac{w}{p_{ji}}\frac{l_{ji}}{y_{ji}} = 1 - \sigma_i, \forall j \in \{f, m\}, i \in \{s, g\}.$$
(20)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Some countries report for dates other than the three, for instance 1997 instead of 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Amongst others Argentina, Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, and Russia.

The first order conditions with respect to  $x_{gji}$  and  $x_{sji}$  are

$$\frac{p_{mg}}{p_{ji}} = A_{ji}\sigma_i \left( \gamma_{gi}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{gji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}} + \gamma_{si}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{sji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}} \right)^{\frac{1-(1-\sigma_i)\rho_i}{\rho_i-1}} \gamma_{gi}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{gji}^{\frac{-1}{\rho_i}} |_{ji}^{1-\sigma_i}, \forall j \in \{f, m\}, i \in \{s, g\},$$

$$\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{ji}} = A_{ji}\sigma_i \left( \gamma_{gi}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{gji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}} + \gamma_{si}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{sji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}} \right)^{\frac{1-(1-\sigma_i)\rho_i}{\rho_i-1}} \gamma_{si}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{sji}^{\frac{-1}{\rho_i}} |_{ji}^{1-\sigma_i}, \forall j \in \{f, m\}, i \in \{s, g\},$$

which can be rewritten to,  $\forall j \in \{f, m\}, i \in \{s, g\},\$ 

$$x_{gji} = \left(\frac{p_{ji}}{p_{mg}}A_{ji}\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_{i}}} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_{si}}{\gamma_{gi}}\left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{1-\rho_{i}}\right)^{\frac{(1-\sigma_{i})\rho_{i}-1}{(1-\rho_{i})(1-\sigma_{i})}} \gamma_{gi}^{\frac{\sigma_{i}}{(1-\sigma_{i})(\rho_{i}-1)}} l_{ji}, \qquad (21)$$

$$x_{sji} = \left(\frac{p_{ji}}{p_{ms}}A_{ji}\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_{i}}} \left(\frac{\gamma_{gi}}{\gamma_{si}}\left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{\rho_{i}-1} + 1\right)^{\frac{(1-\sigma_{i})\rho_{i}-1}{(1-\rho_{i})(1-\sigma_{i})}} \gamma_{si}^{\frac{\sigma_{i}}{(1-\sigma_{i})(\rho_{i}-1)}} l_{ji}.$$
 (22)

Combining these two equations with (20) and (1) gives,  $\forall i \in \{s, g\}$ ,

$$\frac{w}{p_{ig}} = \left(\frac{p_{ig}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_g}} A_{ig}^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_g}} \sigma_g^{\frac{\sigma_g}{1-\sigma_g}} \left(1-\sigma_g\right) \left(\gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg} \left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{1-\rho_g}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_g}{(1-\sigma_g)(\rho_g-1)}}$$
(23)

$$\frac{w}{p_{is}} = \left(\frac{p_{is}}{p_{ms}}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_s}{1-\sigma_s}} A_{is}^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_s}} \sigma_s^{\frac{\sigma_s}{1-\sigma_s}} \left(1-\sigma_s\right) \left(\gamma_{ss} + \gamma_{gs} \left(\frac{p_{ms}}{p_{mg}}\right)^{\rho_s - 1}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_s}{(1-\sigma_s)(\rho_s - 1)}}$$
(24)

The household's maximization problem implies:

$$\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} = \frac{u_{c_s}}{u_{c_g}} = \left[\frac{\omega_s}{\omega_g} \frac{c_g}{c_s}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}.$$
(25)

These last five formulations, coupled with the clearing conditions (1),  $\forall i \in \{g, s\}$ , (3), (5), (6) and (9) fully characterize the equilibrium, leaving room for the normalization of one price.

## 8.2.2. Proof of Proposition 1

From (11) we have

$$\ln \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} = \ln A_{fg} - \ln A_{fs} + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \ln A_{mg} - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \ln A_{ms} + \frac{\sigma_g}{\left(\rho_g - 1\right) \left(1 - \sigma_g\right)} \ln \left(\gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg} \left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1 - \rho_g}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{\left(\rho_s - 1\right) \left(1 - \sigma_s\right)} \ln \left(\left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{\rho_s - 1} \gamma_{gs} + \gamma_{ss}\right).$$

#### Differentiation gives

$$\frac{d\left(p_{fs}/p_{fg}\right)}{p_{fs}/p_{fg}} = \Lambda \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}}$$
(26)  
$$-\Lambda \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}}$$
$$+\Lambda \left(\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}}$$
$$-\Lambda \left(\frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{ms}}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{gg} \equiv \frac{\gamma_{gg}}{\gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg} \left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1-\rho_g}} \in (0,1) \,,$$

$$\Gamma_{ss} \equiv \frac{\gamma_{ss}}{\gamma_{ss} + \gamma_{gs} \left(\frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{\rho_s - 1}} \in (0, 1) ,$$

$$\Lambda \equiv \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right]^{-1} \in (0, 1).$$

Industry-neutral technical change  $\left(\frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} \equiv \frac{dA_f}{A_f} \text{ and } \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \equiv \frac{dA_m}{A_m}\right)$  gives

$$\frac{d\left(p_{fs}/p_{fg}\right)}{p_{fs}/p_{fg}} = \frac{\sigma_g - \sigma_s}{\left(1 - \sigma_g\right)\left(1 - \sigma_s\right) + \sigma_g\left(1 - \sigma_s\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \sigma_s\left(1 - \sigma_g\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)}\frac{dA_m}{A_m}$$

while specialization-neutral technical change  $\left(\frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} \equiv \frac{dA_g}{A_g} \text{ and } \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \equiv \frac{dA_s}{A_s}\right)$  gives:

$$\frac{d\left(p_{fs}/p_{fg}\right)}{p_{fs}/p_{fg}} = \frac{\left(1-\sigma_s\right)\frac{dA_g}{A_g} - \left(1-\sigma_g\right)\frac{dA_s}{A_s}}{\left(1-\sigma_g\right)\left(1-\sigma_s\right) + \sigma_g\left(1-\sigma_s\right)\left(1-\Gamma_{gg}\right) + \sigma_s\left(1-\sigma_g\right)\left(1-\Gamma_{ss}\right)}$$

8.2.3. Proof of Proposition 2:

From the definition  $m_{ji} \equiv \left(\gamma_{gi}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{gji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}} + \gamma_{si}^{\frac{1}{\rho_i}} x_{sji}^{\frac{\rho_i-1}{\rho_i}}\right)^{\frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i-1}}$  and (1) and (3) results that

$$\frac{m_{fg}}{y_{fg}} = \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{fg}} \frac{m_{mg}}{y_{mg}} = \sigma_g \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{fg}} \left( \gamma_{gg} + \gamma_{sg} \left( \frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} \right)^{1-\rho_g} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho_g-1}},$$
$$\frac{m_{fs}}{y_{fs}} = \frac{A_{ms}}{A_{fs}} \frac{m_{ms}}{y_{ms}} = \sigma_s \frac{A_{ms}}{A_{fs}} \left( \gamma_{ss} + \gamma_{gs} \left( \frac{A_{fs}}{A_{fg}} \frac{A_{mg}}{A_{ms}} \frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}} \right)^{\rho_s-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho_s-1}}.$$

Differentiation and replacing  $\frac{d(p_{fs}/p_{fg})}{p_{fs}/p_{fg}}$  by (26) obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\left(m_{fg}/y_{fg}\right)}{m_{fg}/y_{fg}} &= \Gamma_{gg} \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} - \Gamma_{gg} \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} \\ &- \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) \left(\frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} - \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}}\right) \\ &- \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) \left(\frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} - \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}}\right) \\ &- \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} \\ &- \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \\ &- \left(\frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \end{aligned} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\left(m_{fs}/y_{fs}\right)}{m_{fs}/y_{fs}} = \Gamma_{ss}\frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} - \Gamma_{ss}\frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} \\
- \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right) \left(\frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} - \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}}\right) \\
+ \Lambda \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right) \left(\frac{\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}}}{- \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}}}{- \left(\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}}}{- \left(\frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}}}\right].$$

Industry-neutral growth  $\left(\frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} \equiv \frac{dA_f}{A_f} \text{ and } \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \equiv \frac{dA_m}{A_m}\right)$  delivers:  $d\left(m_{mg}/u_{mg}\right) = \left(\sigma_{e} - \sigma_{e}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ee}\right)$ 

$$\frac{d\left(m_{mg}/y_{mg}\right)}{m_{mg}/y_{mg}} = \frac{\left(\sigma_{s} - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right)}{\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right)\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right) + \sigma_{s}\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right) + \sigma_{g}\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right)}\frac{dA_{m}}{A_{m}},$$

$$\frac{d\left(m_{fg}/y_{fg}\right)}{m_{fg}/y_{fg}} = \frac{\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right) + \sigma_{s}\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right) + \sigma_{s}\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right)}{\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right) + \sigma_{s}\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right) + \sigma_{g}\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right)}\frac{dA_{m}}{A_{m}} - \frac{dA_{f}}{A_{f}}}{\frac{d\left(m_{ms}/y_{ms}\right)}{m_{ms}/y_{ms}}} = \frac{\left(\sigma_{g} - \sigma_{s}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)}{\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right) + \sigma_{g}\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \sigma_{s}\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)}\frac{dA_{m}}{A_{m}}}{\frac{dA_{m}}{A_{m}}},$$

$$\frac{d\left(m_{fs}/y_{fs}\right)}{m_{fs}/y_{fs}} = \frac{\left(1 - \sigma_{g}\right)\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right) + \sigma_{g}\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \sigma_{g}\left(1 - \sigma_{s}\right)\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)}\frac{dA_{m}}{A_{m}}}{\frac{dA_{f}}{A_{f}}},$$
while specialization-neutral growth  $\left(\frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}}$  and  $\frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}}$  is given by:

$$\frac{d(m_{fg}/y_{fg})}{m_{fg}/y_{fg}} = \frac{d(m_{mg}/y_{mg})}{m_{mg}/y_{mg}} = \frac{(1 - \Gamma_{gg}) \left[ (1 - \sigma_g) \frac{dA_s}{A_s} - (1 - \sigma_s) \frac{dA_g}{A_g} \right]}{(1 - \sigma_g) (1 - \sigma_s) + \sigma_g (1 - \sigma_s) (1 - \Gamma_{gg}) + \sigma_s (1 - \sigma_g) (1 - \Gamma_{ss})},$$

$$\frac{d(m_{fs}/y_{fs})}{m_{fs}/y_{fs}} = \frac{d(m_{ms}/y_{ms})}{m_{ms}/y_{ms}} = \frac{(1 - \Gamma_{ss})\left[(1 - \sigma_s)\frac{dA_g}{A_g} - (1 - \sigma_g)\frac{dA_s}{A_s}\right]}{(1 - \sigma_g)(1 - \sigma_s) + \sigma_g(1 - \sigma_s)(1 - \Gamma_{gg}) + \sigma_s(1 - \sigma_g)(1 - \Gamma_{ss})}.$$

#### 8.2.4. Proof of Proposition 3:

Taking logs of (16), differentiating and replacing  $\frac{d(p_{fs}/p_{fg})}{p_{fs}/p_{fg}}$  by (26) gives

$$\frac{d\left(GDP/P\right)}{GDP/P} = \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right)\right] \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} + \left[\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right)\right] \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} \\ - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) \left[\frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} - \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}}\right] \\ - \Lambda \left[\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \Omega_s\right] \\ \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s}\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} \\ - \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s}\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fs}} \\ + \left(\frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s}\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} \\ - \left(\frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s} - \frac{\sigma_g}{1 - \sigma_g}\left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{1 - \sigma_s}\left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right)\right) \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \right] \\ \omega_s \left(\frac{p_{fs}}{p_s}\right)^{1 - \rho} \end{array}$$

where  $\Omega_s \equiv \frac{\omega_s \left(\frac{r_{Js}}{p_{fg}}\right)}{\omega_g + \omega_s \left(\frac{p_{fs}}{p_{fg}}\right)^{1-\rho}}$ . Industry-neutral technical change  $\left(\frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} \equiv \frac{dA_f}{A_f}\right)$  and  $\frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \equiv \frac{dA_m}{A_m}$  gives:  $\frac{d\left(GDP/P\right)}{GDP/P} = \frac{\Lambda \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[ (1 - \sigma_g) \left(1 - \sigma_s\right) + \sigma_g \left(1 - \sigma_s\right) \left(1 - \Gamma_{gg}\right) + \sigma_s \left(1 - \sigma_g\right) \left(1 - \Gamma_{ss}\right) \right] \frac{dA_f}{A_f}}{\left[ (1 - \sigma_g) \left(1 - \sigma_s\right) \left(1 - \Omega_s\right) + \sigma_s \left(1 - \sigma_g\right) \Omega_s + \sigma_g \sigma_s \left(2 - \Gamma_{gg} - \Gamma_{ss}\right) \right] \frac{dA_m}{A_m}} \right\}}{(1 - \sigma_g) \left(1 - \sigma_s\right)},$ 

while specialization-neutral technical change  $\left(\frac{dA_{fg}}{A_{fg}} = \frac{dA_{mg}}{A_{mg}} \equiv \frac{dA_g}{A_g} \text{ and } \frac{dA_{fs}}{A_{fs}} = \frac{dA_{ms}}{A_{ms}} \equiv \frac{dA_s}{A_s}\right)$  gives:

$$\frac{d\left(GDP/P\right)}{GDP/P} = \frac{\Lambda\left\{\left[\left(1-\sigma_s\right)\left(1-\Omega_s\right)+\sigma_s\left(1-\Gamma_{ss}\right)\right]\frac{dA_g}{A_g}+\left[\left(1-\sigma_g\right)\Omega_s+\sigma_g\left(1-\Gamma_{gg}\right)\right]\frac{dA_s}{A_s}\right\}\right.}{\left(1-\sigma_g\right)\left(1-\sigma_s\right)}$$

#### NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

#### Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

#### Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/getpage.aspx?id=73&sez=Publications&padre=20&tab=1 http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/JELJOUR\_Results.cfm?form\_name=journalbrowse&journal\_id=266659

http://ideas.repec.org/s/fem/femwpa.html http://www.econis.eu/LNG=EN/FAM?PPN=505954494

http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/handle/35978

http://www.bepress.com/feem/

#### NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2011

SD	1.2011	Anna Alberini, Will Gans and Daniel Velez-Lopez: <u>Residential Consumption of Gas and Electricity in the U.S.</u> :
		The Role of Prices and Income
SD	2.2011	Alexander Golub, Daiju Narita and Matthias G.W. Schmidt: <u>Uncertainty in Integrated Assessment Models of</u>
		<u>Climate Change: Alternative Analytical Approaches</u>
SD	3.2010	Reyer Gerlagh and Nicole A. Mathys: <u>Energy Abundance, Trade and Industry Location</u>
SD	4.2010	Melania Michetti and Renato Nunes Rosa: Afforestation and Timber Management Compliance Strategies in
		Climate Policy. A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis
SD	5.2011	Hassan Benchekroun and Amrita Ray Chaudhuri: "The Voracity Effect" and Climate Change: The Impact of
		<u>Clean Technologies</u>
IM	6.2011	Sergio Mariotti, Marco Mutinelli, Marcella Nicolini and Lucia Piscitello: Productivity Spillovers from Foreign
		MNEs on Domestic Manufacturing Firms: Is Co-location Always a Plus?
GC	7.2011	Marco Percoco: The Fight Against Geography: Malaria and Economic Development in Italian Regions
GC	8.2011	Bin Dong and Benno Torgler: <u>Democracy, Property Rights, Income Equality, and Corruption</u>
GC	9.2011	Bin Dong and Benno Torgler: Corruption and Social Interaction: Evidence from China
SD	10.2011	Elisa Lanzi, Elena Verdolini and Ivan Haščič: Efficiency Improving Fossil Fuel Technologies for Electricity
		Generation: Data Selection and Trends
SD	11.2011	Stergios Athanassoglou: Efficiency under a Combination of Ordinal and Cardinal Information on Preferences
SD	12.2011	Robin Cross, Andrew J. Plantinga and Robert N. Stavins: The Value of Terroir: Hedonic Estimation of
		Vineyard Sale Prices
SD	13.2011	Charles F. Mason and Andrew J. Plantinga: Contracting for Impure Public Goods: Carbon Offsets and
		Additionality
SD	14.2011	Alain Ayong Le Kama, Aude Pommeret and Fabien Prieur: Optimal Emission Policy under the Risk of
		Irreversible Pollution
SD	15.2011	Philippe Quirion, Julie Rozenberg, Olivier Sassi and Adrien Vogt-Schilb: How CO2 Capture and Storage Can
		Mitigate Carbon Leakage
SD	16.2011	Carlo Carraro and Emanuele Massetti: <u>Energy and Climate Change in China</u>
SD	17.2011	ZhongXiang Zhang: Effective Environmental Protection in the Context of Government Decentralization
SD	18.2011	Stergios Athanassoglou and Anastasios Xepapadeas: Pollution Control with Uncertain Stock Dynamics:
		When, and How, to be Precautious
SD	19.2011	Jūratė Jaraitė and Corrado Di Maria: Efficiency, Productivity and Environmental Policy: A Case Study of
		Power Generation in the EU
SD	20.2011	Giulio Cainelli, Massimiliano Mozzanti and Sandro Montresor: Environmental Innovations, Local Networks
		and Internationalization
SD	21.2011	Gérard Mondello: <u>Hazardous Activities and Civil Strict Liability: The Regulator's Dilemma</u>
SD	22.2011	Haiyan Xu and ZhongXiang Zhang: <u>A Trend Deduction Model of Fluctuating Oil Prices</u>
SD	23.2011	Athanasios Lapatinas, Anastasia Litina and Eftichios S. Sartzetakis: <u>Corruption and Environmental Policy:</u>
		An Alternative Perspective
SD	24.2011	Emanuele Massetti: <u>A Tale of Two Countries:Emissions Scenarios for China and India</u>
SD	25.2011	Xavier Pautrel: Abatement Technology and the Environment-Growth Nexus with Education
SD	26.2011	Dionysis Latinopoulos and Eftichios Sartzetakis: Optimal Exploitation of Groundwater and the Potential for
		<u>a Tradable Permit System in Irrigated Agriculture</u>
SD	27.2011	Benno Torgler and Marco Piatti. <u>A Century of <i>American Economic Review</i></u>
SD	28.2011	Stergios Athanassoglou, Glenn Sheriff, Tobias Siegfried and Woonghee Tim Huh: Optimal Mechanisms for
		Heterogeneous Multi-cell Aquifers
SD	29.2011	Libo Wu, Jing Li and ZhongXiang Zhang: Inflationary Effect of Oil-Price Shocks in an Imperfect Market: A
		Partial Transmission Input-output Analysis
SD	30.2011	Junko Mochizuki and ZhongXiang Zhang: Environmental Security and its Implications for China's Foreign
		Relations
SD	31.2011	Teng Fei, He Jiankun, Pan Xunzhang and Zhang Chi: <u>How to Measure Carbon Equity: Carbon Gini Index</u>
		Based on Historical Cumulative Emission Per Capita
SD	32.2011	Dirk Rübbelke and Pia Weiss: Environmental Regulations, Market Structure and Technological Progress in
		Renewable Energy Technology – A Panel Data Study on Wind Turbines
SD	33.2011	Nicola Doni and Giorgio Ricchiuti: Market Equilibrium in the Presence of Green Consumers and Responsible
		Firms: a Comparative Statics Analysis

SD	34.2011	Gérard Mondello: <u>Civil Liability, Safety and Nuclear Parks: Is Concentrated Management Better?</u>
SD	35.2011	Walid Marrouch and Amrita Ray Chaudhuri: International Environmental Agreements in the Presence of
02	0012011	Adaptation
EDM	26 2011	Will Cana Anna Albarini and Albaria Lange: Smart Mater Davises and The Effort of Foodback on Devidential
LKIVI	30.2011	Will Galls, Alma Aberlin and Alberto Longo. Smart Events and the Lifect of reedback of Residential
		Electricity Consumption: Evidence from a Natural Experiment in Northern Ireland
ERM	37.2011	William K. Jaeger and Thorsten M. Egelkraut: <u>Biofuel Economics in a Setting of Multiple Objectives &amp;</u>
		Unintended Consequences
CCSD	38.2011	Kyriaki Remoundou, Fikret Adaman, Phoebe Koundouri and Paulo A.L.D. Nunes: Are Preferences for
		Environmental Quality Sensitive to Financial Funding Schemes? Evidence from a Marine Restoration
		Programme in the Black Sea
CCSD	39.2011	Andrea Ghermanti and Paulo A.L.D. Nunes: A Global Map of Costal Recreation Values: Results From a
0000	0712011	Spatially Explicit Based Meta-Analysis
CCSD	40 2011	Andrian Diplete Dasce Maria Silvest Deen van Seest and Nile Chr. Staneeth Towards the Optimal
CCSD	40.2011	Andries Richter, Anne Maria Eikeset, Daan van Soest, and Nils Chr. Stenseth: <u>Towards the Optimal</u>
		Management of the Northeast Arctic Cod Fishery
CCSD	41.2011	Florian M. Biermann: <u>A Measure to Compare Matchings in Marriage Markets</u>
CCSD	42.2011	Timo Hiller: Alliance Formation and Coercion in Networks
CCSD	43.2011	Sunghoon Hong: <u>Strategic Network Interdiction</u>
CCSD	44.2011	Arnold Polanski and Emiliya A. Lazarova: <u>Dynamic Multilateral Markets</u>
CCSD	45.2011	Marco Mantovani, Georg Kirchsteiger, Ana Mauleon and Vincent Vannetelbosch: Myopic or Farsighted? An
		Experiment on Network Formation
CCSD	46 2011	Prime Oddou: The Effect of Spillovers and Congestion on the Segregative Properties of Endogenous
CCSD	40.2011	hund international internation
CCCD	17 0011	<u>jurisdiction structure formation</u>
CCSD	47.2011	Emanuele Massetti and Elena Claire Ricci: <u>Super-Grids and Concentrated Solar Power: A Scenario Analysis</u>
		with the WITCH Model
ERM	48.2011	Matthias Kalkuhl, Ottmar Edenhofer and Kai Lessmann: <u>Renewable Energy Subsidies: Second-Best Policy or</u>
		Fatal Aberration for Mitigation?
CCSD	49.2011	ZhongXiang Zhang: Breaking the Impasse in International Climate Negotiations: A New Direction for
		Currently Flawed Negotiations and a Roadmap for China to 2050
CCSD	50 2011	Emanuele Massetti and Robert Mendelsohn: Estimating Ricardian Models With Panel Data
CCSD	51 2011	V Hossain Faret and Kelly A Gregory Socionemic Factors and Water Quality in California
CCSD	52 2011	Division and Rein A. Grogan. <u>Sociectonomic ractions and water Quarty in Camorina</u>
CCSD	52.2011	Dinko Dimitrov and Snao Chin Sung: <u>Size Wontonicity and Stability of the Core in Redonic Games</u>
ES	53.2011	Giovanni Mastrobuoni and Paolo Pinotti: <u>Migration Restrictions and Criminal Behavior: Evidence from a</u>
		Natural Experiment
ERM	54.2011	Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: On the Economic Determinants of Oil Production. Theoretical
		An abusta and Examining Existence Concerning Concerning
		Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries
ERM	55.2011	Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil
ERM	55.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: <u>Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil</u> Producing Countries
ERM	55.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M
ERM ERM	55.2011 56.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M.
ERM ERM	55.2011 56.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: <u>Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil</u> <u>Producing Countries</u> Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: <u>Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of</u>
ERM ERM	55.2011 56.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows
ERM ERM CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: <u>Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil</u> <u>Producing Countries</u> Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: <u>Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of</u> <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: <u>Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty</u>
ERM ERM CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption
ERM ERM CCSD ERM	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta
ERM ERM CCSD ERM	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil <u>Producing Countries</u> Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: <u>Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access</u> : An Assessment of <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: <u>Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty</u> <u>Consumption</u> Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: <u>Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply</u> Maria Comune, Alireza Naghayi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES ES	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty <u>Consumption</u> Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling <u>Climate Change</u>
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty <u>Consumption</u> Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling <u>Climate Change</u> Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: <u>Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An</u>
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty <u>Consumption</u> Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling <u>Climate Change</u> Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: <u>Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An</u> Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011 63.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource
ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011 63.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD ERM	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty <u>Consumption</u> Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: <u>Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change</u> Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: <u>Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An</u> Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: <u>Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource</u> <u>Curse</u> Angrelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish?
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD ERM ES	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 62.2011 63.2011 64.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: <u>Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling</u> <u>Climate Change</u> Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: <u>Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An</u> <u>Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks</u> Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: <u>Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource</u> <u>Curse</u> Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: <u>Punish and Perish?</u>
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD ERM ES	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: <u>Punish and Perish?</u> Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: <u>Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A</u> Tele selfure Flore
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD ERM ES ES	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD ERM ES ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011	Anaysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: <u>Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites</u> Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: <u>Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas</u>
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD ERM ES ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 62.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of <u>Current Flows</u> Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty <u>Consumption</u> Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling <u>Climate Change</u> Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: <u>Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An</u> Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: <u>Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource</u> <u>Curse</u> Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: <u>Punish and Perish?</u> Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: <u>Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A</u> <u>Tale of Two Elites</u> Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: <u>Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas</u> and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD ERM ES ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: Climate Change and
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD ERM ES ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: <u>Climate Change and</u> Tourism in Tuscarv. Italv. What if heat becomes unbearable?
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011	Anaiysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Catterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: <u>Climate Change and Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable?</u> Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nusshaumer. Hans-Holver Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond. Vivien Foster, Shonali
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: <u>Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites</u> Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: <u>Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas</u> and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: <u>Climate Change and Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable?</u> Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Eric Williams, Mark Howells Philipne Nivongabo Lawrence Musaba Brian Ó Gallachóir Mark
ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011 68.2011	Anaysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: <u>Climate Change and</u> Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable? Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Eric Williams, Mark Howells, Philippe Niyongabo, Lawrence Musaba, Brian Ó Gallachóir, Mark Padka and Daniah Kammeey: Energy Acceare Scenario to 2030
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD ERM	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011	Anaysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: <u>Punish and Perish?</u> Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: <u>Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites</u> Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: <u>Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: <u>Climate Change and Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable?</u> Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Eric Williams, Mark Howells, Philippe Niyongabo, Lawrence Musaba, Brian Ó Gallachóir, Mark Radka and Daniel M. Kammen: <u>Energy Access Scenarios</u></u>
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD ERM CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011 68.2011	Alassiand Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: Climate Change and Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable? Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Fric Williams, Mark Howells, Philippe Niyongabo, Lawrence Musaba, Brian Ó Gallachóir, Mark Radka and Daniel M. Kammen: Energy Access Scenarios to 2030 f
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD ERM CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011 68.2011	Alaysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: <u>Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse</u> Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: <u>Punish and Perish?</u> Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: <u>Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas</u> and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: <u>Climate Change and</u> Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable? Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Fric Williams, Mark Howells, Philippe Niyongabo, Lawrence Musaba, Brian Ó Gallachóir, Mark Radka and Daniel M. Kammen: Energy Access Scenarios
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD ERM CCSD CCSD ERM CCSD ERM CCSD ERM	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011 68.2011 69.2011	Alessandor Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish2 Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: Climate Change and Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable? Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Eric Williams, Mark Howells, Philippe Niyongabo, Lawrence Musaba, Brian Ó Gallachóir, Mark Radka and Daniel M. Kammen: Energy Access Scenarios to 2030 for the Power Sector in Sub-Saharan Africa Francesco Bosello
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD ERM CCSD ERM	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 62.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 66.2011 67.2011 68.2011 70.2011	Analysis and Empirical Evidence for Small Exporting Countries Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: Climate Change and Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable? Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Eric Williams, Mark Howells, Philippe Niyongabo, Lawrence Musaba, Brian Ó Gallachóir, Mark Radka and Daniel M. Kammen: Energy Access Scenarios to 203
ERM ERM CCSD ERM ES CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD CCSD ERM CCSD ERM CCSD ES CCSD	55.2011 56.2011 57.2011 58.2011 59.2011 60.2011 61.2011 63.2011 64.2011 65.2011 67.2011 68.2011 69.2011 70.2011 71.2011	Alessandro Cologni and Matteo Manera: Exogenous Oil Shocks, Fiscal Policy and Sector Reallocations in Oil Producing Countries Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Giorgio Gualberti, Erik Haites, Michael Levi, Judy Siegel, Daniel M. Kammen and Joergen Fenhann: Informing the Financing of Universal Energy Access: An Assessment of Current Flows Carlo Orecchia and Maria Elisabetta Tessitore: Economic Growth and the Environment with Clean and Dirty Consumption Wan-Jung Chou, Andrea Bigano, Alistair Hunt, Stephane La Branche, Anil Markandya and Roberta Pierfederici: Households' WTP for the Reliability of Gas Supply Maria Comune, Alireza Naghavi and Giovanni Prarolo: Intellectual Property Rights and South-North Formation of Global Innovation Networks Alireza Naghavi and Chiara Strozzi: Intellectual Property Rights, Migration, and Diaspora Massimo Tavoni, Shoibal Chakravarty and Robert Socolow: Safe vs. Fair: A Formidable Trade-off in Tackling Climate Change Donatella Baiardi, Matteo Manera and Mario Menegatti: Consumption and Precautionary Saving: An Empirical Analysis under Both Financial and Environmental Risks Caterina Gennaioli and Massimo Tavoni: Clean or "Dirty" Energy: Evidence on a Renewable Energy Resource Curse Angelo Antoci and Luca Zarri: Punish and Perish? Anders Akerman, Anna Larsson and Alireza Naghavi: Autocracies and Development in a Global Economy: A Tale of Two Elites Valentina Bosetti and Jeffrey Frankel: Sustainable Cooperation in Global Climate Policy: Specific Formulas and Emission Targets to Build on Copenhagen and Cancun Mattia Cai, Roberto Ferrise, Marco Moriondo, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and Marco Bindi: Climate Change and Tourism in Tuscany, Italy. What if heat becomes unbearable? Morgan Bazilian, Patrick Nussbaumer, Hans-Holger Rogner, Abeeku Brew-Hammond, Vivien Foster, Shonali Pachauri, Eric Williams, Mark Howells, Philippe Niyongabo, Lawrence Musaba, Brian Ó Gallachóir, Mark Radka and Daniel M. Kammen: Energy Access Scenarios to 2030 for the Power Sector in Sub-Saharan Africa Francesco Bosello

- CCSD
   72.2011
   Francesca Pongiglione: <u>Climate Change and Individual Decision Making: An Examination of Knowledge, Risk</u>

   Perception, Self-interest and Their Interplay

   CCSD
   73.2011
   Joseph E. Aldy and Robert N. Stavins: <u>Using the Market to Address Climate Change: Insights from Theory</u>
- CCSD 73.2011 Joseph E. Aldy and Robert N. Stavins: <u>Using the Market to Address Climate Change: Insights from Theory</u> and Experience
- CCSD 74.2011 Alexander Brauneis and Michael Loretz: <u>Inducing Low-Carbon Investment in the Electric Power Industry</u> <u>through a Price Floor for Emissions Trading</u>

CCSD75.2011Jean-Marie Grether, Nicole A. Mathys and Jaime de Melo: Unravelling the Worldwide Pollution Haven EffectES76.2011Benjamin Elsner: Emigration and Wages: The EU Enlargement Experiment

- CCSD77.2011ZhongXiang Zhang: Trade in Environmental Goods, with Focus on Climate-Friendly Goods and TechnologiesES78.2011Alireza Naghavi, Julia Spies and Farid Toubal: International Sourcing, Product Complexity and Intellectual<br/>Property Rights
- CCSD 79.2011 Mare Sarr and Tim Swanson: Intellectual Property and Biodiversity: When and Where are Property Rights Important?
- CCSD 80.2011 Valentina Bosetti, Sergey Paltsev, John Reilly and Carlo Carraro: <u>Emissions Pricing to Stabilize Global</u> <u>Climate</u>
- CCSD 81.2011 Valentina Borsetti and Enrica De Cian: <u>A Good Opening: The Key to Make the Most of Unilateral Climate</u> <u>Action</u>
- CCSD 82.2011 Joseph E. Aldy and Robert N. Stavins: <u>The Promise and Problems of Pricing Carbon: Theory and Experience</u>
- CCSD 83.2011 Lei Zhu, ZhongXiang Zhang and Ying Fan: <u>An Evaluation of Overseas Oil Investment Projects under</u> <u>Uncertainty Using a Real Options Based Simulation Model</u>
- CCSD 84.2011 Luca Di Corato, Michele Moretto and Sergio Vergalli: <u>Land Conversion Pace under Uncertainty and</u> <u>Irreversibility: too fast or too slow?</u>
- ES 85.2011 Jan Grobovšek : Development Accounting with Intermediate Goods