

Kostka, Genia; Moslener, Ulf; Andreas, Jan G.

**Working Paper**

## Barriers to energy efficiency improvement: Empirical evidence from small-and-medium sized enterprises in China

Frankfurt School - Working Paper Series, No. 178

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Frankfurt School of Finance and Management

*Suggested Citation:* Kostka, Genia; Moslener, Ulf; Andreas, Jan G. (2011) : Barriers to energy efficiency improvement: Empirical evidence from small-and-medium sized enterprises in China, Frankfurt School - Working Paper Series, No. 178, Frankfurt School of Finance & Management, Frankfurt a. M.

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/52681>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



Frankfurt School  
UNEP Collaborating Centre  
for Climate & Sustainable Energy Finance

Frankfurt School

Working Paper Series

No. 178

**BARRIERS TO ENERGY  
EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT:  
EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM  
SMALL-AND-MEDIUM-SIZED  
ENTERPRISES IN CHINA**

Genia Kostka<sup>x+</sup>, Ulf Moslener<sup>\*</sup> and Jan G.  
Andreas<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Frankfurt School of Finance and Management,  
Sonnemannstr. 9-11, Frankfurt am Main,  
60314, Germany.

<sup>+</sup> Corresponding Author: Genia Kostka,  
[g.kostka@fs.de](mailto:g.kostka@fs.de); +49 163 50 666 66.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Abstract

This paper analyzes barriers for energy efficiency investments for small-and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in China. Based on a survey of 480 SMEs in Zhejiang Province, this study assesses financial, informational, and organizational barriers for energy efficiency investments in the SME sector. The conventional view has been that the lack of appropriate financing mechanisms particularly hinders SMEs to adopt cost-effective energy efficiency measures. As such, closing the financing gap for SMEs is seen as a prerequisite in order to promote energy efficiency in the sector. The econometric estimates of this study, however, suggest that access to information is an important determinant of investment outcomes, while this is less clear with respect to financial and organizational factors. More than 40 percent of enterprises in the sample declared that they are not aware of energy saving equipments or practices in their respective business area, indicating that there are high transaction costs for SMEs to gather, assess, and apply information about energy saving potentials and relevant technologies. One implication is that the Chinese government may assume an active role in fostering the dissemination of energy-efficiency related information in the SME sector.

**Keywords** – energy efficiency, SMEs, China, energy policies, information access

**Acknowledgements:** The authors thank Sarah Eaton, William Hobbs, and Alexander Libman for their incisive comments on earlier drafts.

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>ENERGY EFFICIENCY INVESTMENT IN CHINA .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1.	POLICIES TO PROMOTE ENERGY EFFICIENCY INVESTMENTS IN CHINA.....	3
2.2.	BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY INVESTMENTS – GLOBALLY, AND IN CHINA.....	3
<b>3.</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DATA.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>RESULTS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>11</b>

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Secure energy resources and their intelligent use are important to China's continued, rapid development. China recently overtook the United States as the world's largest energy consumer (IEA, 2010) and, as China's citizens become wealthier, rising energy consumption growth has important implications for China and the world. Furthermore, in 2002 a trend of continuous energy efficiency improvements was reversed and China's energy intensity actually increased on average five percent per year during 2002-2005 (Price et al., 2011)<sup>1</sup>, and now considerably exceeds the global average.

Current national programs and policies related to energy efficiency improvement in China target larger, predominantly state-owned, enterprises. The same holds for the corresponding body of research literature. Previous studies analyzed state-owned enterprises joining the Top-1000 program (see for example, Price et al. 2010; Yang, 2010), yet, very little is known about energy efficiency efforts by small-and-medium sized enterprises (SMEs) in China. Broadening our gaze to include SMEs is needed for several reasons. First, China's 2.4 million SMEs make up 99% of all enterprises in contemporary China, accounting for more than half of all emissions and pollutants in the country (Teng et al., 2007). Second, SMEs are typically less energy efficient than large enterprises (Cagno et al., 2010), meaning that there is a large energy saving potential in this segment of the economy.

This paper takes a first step toward filling this research gap by analyzing barriers to energy efficiency investments for SMEs in China. Based on survey data of 480 SMEs in Zhejiang Province, this study assesses financial, informational, and organizational barriers for energy efficiency investments in the SME sector. The conventional view has been that a lack of appropriate financing mechanisms particularly hinders SMEs to adopt cost-effective energy efficiency measures. As such, it has been assumed that closing the financing gap for SMEs is a prerequisite of improving energy efficiency in the sector. The results of this study, however, emphasize the role of information as an important driver of investment outcomes, while statistical evidence for the impact of financial and organizational factors is less clear. One implication is that the Chinese government may maximize its impact on energy efficiency investments by assuming an active role in fostering the dissemination of related information in the SME sector.

The paper is structured as follows: In the next section we provide an overview of current policies aiming to improve the energy efficiency of the Chinese economy and review previous studies on barriers to energy efficiency investments in general and for SMEs in China specifically. The subsequent section discusses the methodology and data and is followed by the results and a discussion. We conclude by summarizing the key barriers for SMEs in China and offering possible policy implications.

---

<sup>1</sup> Energy intensity is the energy consumption per unit GDP

## 2. ENERGY EFFICIENCY INVESTMENT IN CHINA

### 2.1. POLICIES TO PROMOTE ENERGY EFFICIENCY INVESTMENTS IN CHINA

In response to rising energy demand, the central government has rolled out a series of ambitious energy savings programs starting from 2005. In its 11th Five-Year Plan (FYP), covering the period 2006-2010, China introduced energy intensity reduction targets, including setting the self-imposed national target of a 20 percent reduction in energy intensity against 2005 levels by 2010. As one of the core measures to meet this national target, the central government initiated the Top-1000 Energy-Consuming Enterprises program in 2006. This program sets energy saving targets for China's 1,000 most energy-consuming companies, accounting for about one-third of the country's energy consumption (Lewis, 2011)<sup>2</sup>. The program required the Top-1000 enterprises to invest in energy efficiency improving measures and to develop energy reporting and auditing systems to report results quarterly to the National Bureau of Statistics. By 2010, reported outcomes show that the Top-1000 program met its national target of saving 100 Mtce energy (or 2.93 EJ) (Price et al., 2011). The Top-1000 program is generally seen as a successful program since it laid the foundation for China's largest enterprises to initiate energy saving measures.

The 12th FYP (2011-2015) builds directly on the energy intensity target and associated programs outlined in the 11th FYP, including a new national target to reduce energy intensity by an additional 16 percent by 2015 and extending the scope of the Top-1000 program to a Top-10000 program. While the latest target may seem less ambitious than the 20 percent reduction targeted in the 11th FYP, it likely represents a much more substantial challenge since many of the low cost improvement options—the 'low-hanging fruit' as it were—have already been implemented. The largest and least efficient enterprises have already undertaken substantial efficiency improvements under the Top-1000 program.

Smaller or more efficient enterprises are to be targeted in this second round. The new Top-10000 program – currently still in the planning phase and modeled after the Top 1000 program – still includes only a tiny fraction of China's 2.4 million SMEs<sup>3</sup>. Further efforts are necessary if the programs and initiatives are to be extended to an even wider scope of enterprises that includes China's large industrial SME sector. The key challenge will be to incentivize SMEs to invest in cost-effective energy saving measures while at the same time limiting the bureaucratic efforts and supervision involved.

### 2.2. BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY INVESTMENTS – GLOBALLY, AND IN CHINA

The literature has widely debated the energy 'efficiency paradox' (DeCanio, 1998), which refers to the puzzle of why business firms do not undertake energy-saving investments even though these investments would be cost-effective from the companies' economic perspective. Previous studies

---

<sup>2</sup> For an overview of the national Top-1000 Enterprise Program, see Price et al. (2010) and Price et al. (2011). For an overview of China's energy efficiency policy, see Kostka and Hobbs (2012), Zhou, Levine, and Price (2010), and Meidan et al. (2009).

<sup>3</sup> The classification system defines SMEs as enterprises with sales between 30-400 million RMB and with a workforce between 400-3,000 employees.

have identified a wide range of barriers to explain this paradox. Barriers that hinder investments in cost-effective, energy efficient practices and technologies can be classified into the following three categories: financial, informational, and organizational barriers.

Financial barriers include limited access to capital and lack of appropriate loan conditions and are often considered one of the most important investment barriers (DeCanio, 1998). That is, firms do not undertake possible investments in energy efficiency improvements because they cannot access required investment capital at prices sufficiently low to offer the necessary returns. Typically, SMEs in China have limited access to credit, especially since the banking sector in China remains dominated by four large state-owned banks that devote less than ten percent of loans to SMEs.

Informational barriers exist because high transactions costs can hinder firms from making energy saving investments. In our understanding, high transaction costs include the costs of gathering, assessing and applying information about energy saving potentials and relevant technologies. Previous studies have shown that firms did not undertake cost-effective energy efficiency measures because managers are often unaware of pertinent technologies or because managers did not recognize the savings potential as they failed to measure energy consumption systematically (Velthuisen et al., 1993; Harris et al., 2000). As a result, if firms do not have the relevant information on energy-efficient measures available to them, the potential savings remain unknown and investments are miscalculated or misjudged.

Empirical analyses exploring the nature of barriers to energy efficiency also point out the importance of organizational barriers. For instance, DeCanio's (1998) analysis of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Green Lights program shows that besides financial factors, a mix of organizational and institutional factors strongly influence firms' investment behavior.

Existing research suggests that investment barriers might vary systematically between larger and smaller enterprises. For one, since SMEs often do not have an internal structure comparable to larger enterprises, financial barriers might be higher as banks are biased in favour of larger enterprises and loan pay-back times are too long for SMEs (Nagesha et al., 2006; Thollander et al., 2007). Cagno et al. (2010) stress that SMEs, since they tend to be smaller, also have fewer options available to save energy in terms of technologies and innovative processes available to them. In comparison to larger enterprises, SMEs also lack the practical know-how of energy efficiency practices since their staff are often less well trained and less technically skilled. SME entrepreneurs often perform multiple roles within a firm, and, as a result, no one single person is in charge of energy efficiency management such that only a limited amount of time is devoted to the cause of energy efficiency.

Turning to the analysis of energy efficiency in China, several China-specific studies on barriers to energy efficiency and decision-making behavior in industry provide additional insights (Andrews-Speed, 2009; Zhou et al., 2010; Price et al., 2011). Previous studies use document analysis or company case studies to pinpoint existing barriers at the firm level. Yang (2010) examines one of the Top-1000 enterprises, a large footwear manufacturer in Guangdong Province. The in-depth analysis of one company shows that large enterprises often lack knowledge about energy efficiency benchmark standards in their relevant sectors and are also ill-informed about energy savings techniques. The company also lacked clear managerial responsibilities wherein one fulltime professional is in charge of energy efficiency. Zhao and Ortolano (2010) study one state-owned electric power generation plant and detail the difficulties large enterprises face in raising capital for energy conservation projects because local governments often prioritize economic development over energy conservation.

---

<sup>4</sup> Barriers are defined hereafter as all factors that hamper the adoption of energy-efficient investments and technologies (Sorrell et al., 2004).

<sup>5</sup> Other barriers discussed in the literature but not elaborated in detail in this paper include market barriers, policy barriers, technological barriers, uncertainty about future energy prices/economic uncertainty. For a more complete literature review, see Schleich and Gruber (2008) and Sardanou (2008).

While existing China-specific studies are informative, the basis for generalizing in a statistical sense, is still lacking. And, as noted, previous studies have focused on large, mainly state-owned enterprises. This is a significant omission given that China's industrial SME sector is one of the main energy-consuming segments of the economy. Since SMEs in China are less well connected and more localized than larger state-owned enterprises one would expect SMEs to face quite different barriers.

This study aims to illuminate the investment barriers for adopting energy-saving technologies and equipment for Chinese SMEs. Our hypotheses are derived from three themes highlighted in the academic literature—financial, informational and organizational barriers:

H1 - Financial barriers: Financial factors hamper the adoption of energy-efficient investments and technologies.

H2 - Informational barriers: Lack of information and high transaction costs hinder SMEs from adopting energy-efficient investments and technologies. Relevant information that could be used to gather, assess, and apply know-how about energy saving potentials and relevant technologies is lacking or insufficient.

H3 - Organizational barriers: Small and medium-sized firms that lack clear management responsibilities for energy efficiency show less investment activity in energy-efficient practices and technologies.

### 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DATA

Following the methodology used by previous studies (DeGroot, 2001; Sardanou, 2008) we apply cross-section analysis (OLS and LOGIT regressions) to survey data to deepen our understanding of investment barriers to energy efficiency. The data is based on questionnaires completed in September 2010 by 479 Chinese SMEs in Zhejiang Province. The province is well known as the cradle for private, smaller and medium-sized enterprises in China. In Zhejiang alone, there are more than 500 industrial clusters each of which has gross industrial output of over RMB 100 million. Within Zhejiang, we focused on SMEs in Wenzhou and Taizhou, since the majority of industrial enterprises center in these two cities. Wenzhou's private-sector driven development path has become known as the "Wenzhou Model" (Wenzhou moshi). By 2010, Wenzhou had become home to over 4,300 enterprises of shoes and leather products, 1,200 enterprises in low voltage electrical equipment enterprises, 800 enterprises engaged in processing of spectacles, and 500 enterprises in light manufacturing. Similarly, Taizhou City is also home to many small industries that have established production facilities in automobiles and automobile components, motorcycles, plastics, chemicals, home appliances, and textiles. The survey was completed in the following phases:

1. Questionnaires were administrated by managers working in a local bank using face-to-face interviews with one manager from a small or medium-sized local enterprise. Bank officials received prior training that instructed them to identify industrial SMEs, using sector-specific guidelines.
2. Enterprises were randomly selected from thousands of local enterprises in the bank's database. The 480 industrial SMEs selected span the following sectors: metal manufacturing (97 enterprises or 20 percent), transport vehicles and specialized equipment (9 percent), non-ferrous (7 percent), specialized equipment manufacturing (3 percent), glass or art manufacturing (10 percent), plastic production (12 percent), general equipment manufacturing (9 percent), and others (30 percent).
3. The questionnaire included 47 questions related to an enterprise's business and perceived core barriers to energy efficiency: type of business; company size; number of employees; years in business; energy cost as a percentage of total costs; main usage of energy; presence or not of an energy manager; existing awareness of energy-saving measures and equipment; age of existing equipment; existing energy saving projects; future energy saving projects; financing; access to loans, amongst others.
4. The final sample of fully completed surveys size includes 479 enterprises. Questionnaire data were analyzed using the STATA statistics package.

To empirically assess why certain firms have or have not invested in energy efficient practices and technologies in the past, OLS and LOGIT models were estimated. The dependent variable is a dummy (ENERGYINVEST), where 1 indicated that a company has previously invested in energy efficiency equipment, and 0 indicated that it did not. The survey data show that only 21% of surveyed enterprises have installed energy efficient equipment in their premises to date, begging the question of why this number is so low. OLS has been used since it is a simple and transparent model and the size of the resulting coefficients are easily interpreted. LOGIT (as a logistical model) has been used in parallel, since it is conceptually more appropriate, if the dependent variable is a dummy. Table 1 summarizes the selected dependent and independent variables.



**Table 1: Explanatory variables**

	PROXY	DESCRIPTION	EXPECTED SIGN
Energy efficiency investment	ENERGY INVEST	Measures if a company has previously invested in energy efficiency equipment: if yes = 1; if no = 0	Dep. variable
Access to finance	LOANFIN	Measures how whether a company typically finances existing machineries & facilities with a bank loan, if yes = 1 (loan); if no = 0 (other)	+
Access to information	INFORM	Measures if business manager is familiar with latest energy efficiency practices/equipments in their respective business area, if yes=1; if no = 0	+
Organization	ORG	Measures if SME has appointed an energy manager, if yes = 1; if no = 0	+
Company Size	SIZEREV	Actual amount of revenues in RMB.	+
Company growth	GROWTH	Measures if a company plans to expand current capacities in the new future.	+
Company age	AGE	Measures age of a company. If older than 10 years = 1, else: 0.	-
Sector	SECTOR	Measures if company is machine-intensive or labor intensive industry. If machine intensive = 1, if labor intensive = 0.	+
Energy costs	ENERGYCOST	Measures the share of energy costs relative to total production costs. 0-5%: 0; 5-10%: 1; 10-20%: 2; >20%: 3.	+
Access to energy finance	ENERGYLOAN	Measures if a company has previously received a bank loan for energy efficiency improvements, if yes = 1; if no = 0	+

## 4. RESULTS

The estimation results for OLS and LOGIT models are shown in Table 2. The coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) ranges from 9% to 12%. This is relatively high, given that the data is cross-sectional and that selected SMEs are quite heterogeneous. All variables show the expected signs, with the exception of ORG.

The estimation results lend strong support to Hypothesis 2 (information barriers) developed in Section 2. In other words, the lack of information about energy saving technologies and practices – as captured by the variable INFORM – constitutes a statistically significant barrier.

Hypotheses 1 (financial barriers) and 3 (organizational barriers) are, as such, not confirmed based on our dataset. Access to finance – captured by the variable FINANCE – and organizational barriers – captured by the variable ORG – are statistically insignificant. At first glance, it is surprising that financial barriers are not significant. Two possible factors may explain this. First, the enterprises for the survey were selected from a bank's database, i.e. they were already customers at a bank. So the dataset may just contain enterprises who actually had the choice whether to use debt finance or not. Second, 46 percent of SMEs used cash accruals and 15 percent used own funds to finance existing equipment. This suggests that many of the SMEs who took part in the survey make use of their own savings. This would, in fact, be typical for Chinese SMEs.

The finding that the presence of an energy manager is statistically insignificant is similarly surprising. But again a closer look at the realities in Chinese SME provides more insight: Of the 480 enterprises forming the dataset only 13 (less than 3 per cent) had actually appointed an energy manager. This is just too small a number from which to generate statistically significant results. The survey data show that for roughly half (7) of those 13 enterprises with an energy manager, energy costs constituted more than ten percent of the production costs. This is notable given that, in the whole sample, energy accounted for more than ten percent of costs for just 20 percent of all enterprises. The average number of employees in firms with an energy manager is only marginally higher (about 31.8) than for the whole sample (about 28.6). The low number of employees may also suggest that, most enterprises – being small and predominantly privately-owned – see organizational tasks including energy usage still manageable without specialized personnel. Whether this view is justified can actually be challenged by our data, since 43 percent of all enterprises admitted that they are not aware of energy saving equipments or practices in their respective business area, indicating that there are high transaction costs for SMEs to gather, assess, and apply information about energy saving potentials and relevant technologies. On the whole, we can conclude that energy managers are, as yet, very uncommon in Chinese small and medium sized enterprises.

The parameters associated with an enterprise's revenue size (SIZREV) or with its growth ambitions (GROWTH) are both found to be statistically significant in explaining whether a company has invested in energy efficient equipment. This stands to reason, since larger and growing firms will typically be investing more in general, and they might in fact also be more concerned with rising energy prices or applying state of the art technology in general.

Likewise, the parameter associated with energy costs (ENERGYCOST) was found to be statistically significant. Again, this is unsurprising since higher energy costs introduce an immediate incentive to care about reducing energy use and invest accordingly. The question about whether or not a company had previously accessed energy finance (ENERGYLOAN) is also plausibly significant. To test whether a correlation between previous access to energy finance (ENERGYLOAN) or sector-specific characteristics (SECTOR) with the finance-access (LOANFIN) is driving the insignificance of the latter we run three regression scenarios for each model: the regressions with all variables (column 1) but also without SECTOR and ENERGYLOAN, (columns 2 and 3, respectively). Signs and significances are robust across these scenarios.

**Table 2: Regression Results. (Note, that coefficients for LOGIT estimations cannot be interpreted as in OLS models. For our purpose, sign and statistical significance matter.)**

	OLS REGRESSION				LOGIT REGRESSION		
	(1) All variables	(2) w/o loan-access	(3) Without sector		(1) All variables	(2) w/o loan-access	(3) Without sector
HI: LOANFIN	0.017 (0.42)	0.007 (0.16)	0.014 (0.35)	LOANFIN	0.134 (0.50)	0.051 (0.20)	0.104 (0.39)
H2: INFORM	0.083 (2.23)*	0.100 (2.66)**	0.090 (2.41)*	INFORM	0.612 (2.16)*	0.712 (2.56)*	0.653 (2.31)*
H3: ORG	-0.020 (0.15)	0.020 (0.14)	-0.015 (0.12)	ORG	-0.141 (0.20)	0.070 (0.10)	-0.098 (0.14)
SIZEREV	8.7*10 <sup>-7</sup> (6.98)**	8.6*10 <sup>-7</sup> (6.56)**	8.3*10 <sup>-7</sup> (6.91)**	SIZEREV	5.8*10 <sup>-6</sup> (4.09)**	5.7*10 <sup>-6</sup> (3.98)**	5.5*10 <sup>-6</sup> (4.00)**
GROWTH	0.094 (2.55)*	0.103 (2.76)**	0.098 (2.65)**	GROWTH	0.778 (2.41)*	0.808 (2.54)*	0.803 (2.48)*
AGE	-0.054 (1.41)	-0.059 (1.50)	-0.053 (1.36)	AGE	-0.429 (1.46)	-0.440 (1.51)	-0.408 (1.39)
SECTOR	0.049 (1.38)	0.062 (1.73)		SECTOR	0.376 (1.39)	0.456 (1.72)	
ENERGYCOST	0.055 (2.76)**	0.052 (2.61)**	0.057 (2.82)**	ENERGYCOST	0.350 (2.90)**	0.314 (2.74)**	0.357 (3.00)**
ENERGYLOAN	0.356 (3.01)**		0.367 (3.08)**	Energyloan	1.639 (3.04)**		1.706 (3.13)**
Constant	0.015 (0.41)	0.013 (0.35)	0.039 (1.18)	Constant	-2.925 (7.90)**	-2.914 (8.06)**	-2.722 (8.21)**
Observations	479	479	479		479	479	479
R-squared	0.12	0.09	0.12				
Robust t statistics in parentheses				Robust z statistics in parentheses			
* significant at 5%; **significant at 1%				* significant at 5%; **significant at 1%			

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Based on survey data this study establishes insights into barriers to energy efficiency investment in Chinese small-and-medium-sized enterprises, a relatively neglected topic to date. A primary finding is that only a minority of SMEs in China have had direct involvement with any decision related to investment or implementation of energy saving projects. For example, just 21 percent of surveyed enterprises have installed energy efficient equipment in their premises to date, while only four percent of SMEs have ever taken a loan for financing energy-efficient measures, and less than three percent have appointed an energy manager. A more promising finding is that 54 percent of firms plan to either purchase additional or replace existing equipment, suggesting that there is a demand for energy-efficiency-related investments in the SME sector. The findings thus reinforce the view that there is a potential for cost-effective, energy-saving investments that is not being realized because of barriers specific to smaller enterprises. Informational barriers would seem to be the core bottleneck inhibiting energy efficiency improvements in China's SME sector.

Several policy implications can be drawn from these results. First, since energy costs are a major driver of energy efficiency investments, keeping energy subsidies to a minimum will incentivize enterprises to economize on energy use. Second, the finding that growth ambitions are a significant driver of energy-efficiency investments suggests that growing SMEs may be particularly open to introducing energy reduction measures; as such, it may be wise to target this group expressly in policy initiatives. Third, given that SME managers tend to feel poorly-informed about energy-efficient investment opportunities, policy makers may aim to find systematic means for disseminating energy-efficiency information to SMEs. Helpful efforts might include distribution of energy-efficiency handbooks, software tools, technology-specific databases, and general informational campaigns. In addition, free training could be offered to personnel in SMEs to improve their technical know-how and skill level. Fourth, the government could also work with Energy Saving Companies (ESCOs) that specialize on China's SME sector. Although ESCOs tend to have a preference for larger projects, they may be able to realize economies of scale when dealing with larger numbers of SMEs. Finally, along with efforts to help SMEs modernize their financing structure, it may also be beneficial for the government to cooperate directly with financial institutions working with the SMEs in particular geographic regions. Loan officers need a high degree of specialized local knowledge in order to offer and understand appropriate loan products for energy efficiency investments.

## REFERENCES

- Andrews-Speed, P., 2009. China's ongoing energy efficiency drive: Origins, progress and prospects, *Energy Policy*, 37 (4), 1331-1344.
- Cagno, E., Trucco, P., Trianni, A., Sala, G., 2010. Quick-E-scan: A methodology for the energy scan of SMEs, *Energy*, 35, 1916-1926.
- DeCanio, S., The efficiency paradox: bureaucratic and organizational barriers to profitable energy-saving investments, *Energy Policy*, 26 (5), 441-454.
- DeGroot, H., Verhoef, E., Nijkamp, P., 2001. Energy saving by firms: decision-making, barriers and policies, *Energy Economics*, 23(6), 717-740.
- Harris J, Anderson J, Shafron W., 2000, Investment in energy efficiency: a survey of Australian firms. *Energy Policy*. 28(12), 867-876.
- International Energy Agency (IEA), 2010. China Overtakes the United States to Become World's Largest Energy Consumer, available at [http://www.iea.org/index\\_info.asp?id=1479](http://www.iea.org/index_info.asp?id=1479) , last accessed on 20 July 2011.
- Kostka, G., Hobbs, W., 2012. Local Energy Efficiency Policy Implementation in China: Bridging the Gap between National Priorities and Local Interests. *The China Quarterly*, forthcoming.
- Lewis, J., 2011. Energy and Climate Goals of China's 12th Five-Year Plan, Pew Center on Global Climate Change, available at <http://www.pewclimate.org/docUploads/energy-climate-goals-china-twelfth-five-year-plan.pdf> , last accessed on 20 July 2011.
- Meidan, M., Andrews-Speed, P., Ma, X., 2009. Shaping China's energy policy: actors and processes. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 18, 591-616.
- Nagesha N, Balachandra P., 2006. Barriers to energy efficiency in small industry clusters: multi-criteria-based prioritization using the analytic hierarchy process, *Energy*, 31(12), 1969-83.
- Price, L., Wang, X., Yun, J., 2010. The Challenge of Reducing Energy-Consumption of the Top-1000 Largest Industrial Enterprises in China. *Energy Policy*, 38 (11), 6485-6498.
- Price, L., Levine, M., Zhou, N., Fridley, D., Aden, N., Lu, H., McNeil, M., Zheng, N., Qin, Y., Yowargana, P., 2011. Assessment of China's Energy-Saving and Emission-Reduction Accomplishments and Opportunities During the 11- Five Year Plan. *Energy Policy*, 39 (4), 2165-2178.
- Sardianou, E., 2008. Barriers to industrial energy efficiency investments in Greece. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16 (13), 1416-1423.
- Schleich, J., Gruber, E., 2008. Beyond case studies: Barriers to energy efficiency in commerce and the services sector, *Energy Economics*, 30, 449-464.
- Sorrell, S., O'Malley, E., Schleich, J., Scott, S., 2004. The economics of energy efficiency. Cheltenham: Elgar.
- Teng, F., Gu, A., 2007. Climate Change: National and Local Policy Opportunities in China, Working Paper 135, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, available at <http://www.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1136&context=feem&sei-redir=1#search=%22SME+Energy+Efficiency+China%22> , last accessed on 20 July 2011.
- Thollander P, Danestig M, Rohdin P., 2007, Energy policies for increased industrial energy efficiency: evaluation of a local energy programme for manufacturing SMEs. *Energy Policy*, 35(11), 5774-83.
- Velthuisen J., 1993. Incentives for investment in energy efficiency, an econometric evaluation and policy implications. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 3(2), 153-169.
- Yang, M., 2010. Energy efficiency improving opportunities in a large Chinese shoe-making enterprise. *Energy Policy*, 38, 452 - 462.
- Zhou, N., Levine, M., Price, L., 2010. Overview of Current Energy Efficiency Policies in China. *Energy Policy*, 38 (11), 6439 - 6452.

**FRANKFURT SCHOOL / HfB – WORKING PAPER SERIES**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Author/Title</b>	<b>Year</b>
177.	Horst Löchel / Helena Xiang Li Understanding the High Profitability of Chinese Banks	2011
176.	Prof. Dr. Carsten Herrmann-Pillath Neuroökonomik, Institutionen und verteilte Kognition: Empirische Grundlagen eines nicht-reduktionistischen naturalistischen Forschungsprogramms in den Wirtschaftswissenschaften	2011
175.	Libman, Alexander/ Mendelski, Martin History Matters, but How? An Example of Ottoman and Habsburg Legacies and Judicial Performance in Romania	2011
174.	Kostka, Genia Environmental Protection Bureau Leadership at the Provincial Level in China: Examining Diverging Career Backgrounds and Appointment Patterns	2011
173.	Durst, Susanne / Leyer, Michael Bedürfnisse von Existenzgründern in der Gründungsphase	2011
172.	Klein, Michael Enrichment with Growth	2011
171.	Yu, Xiaofan A Spatial Interpretation of the Persistency of China's Provincial Inequality	2011
170.	Leyer, Michael Stand der Literatur zur operativen Steuerung von Dienstleistungsprozessen	2011
169.	Libman, Alexander / Schultz, André Tax Return as a Political Statement	2011
168.	Kostka, Genia / Shin, Kyoung Energy Service Companies in China: The Role of Social Networks and Trust	2011
167.	Andriani, Pierpaolo / Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten Performing Comparative Advantage: The Case of the Global Coffee Business	2011
166.	Klein, Michael / Mayer, Colin Mobile Banking and Financial Inclusion: The Regulatory Lessons	2011
165.	Cremers, Heinz / Hewicker, Harald Modellierung von Zinsstrukturkurven	2011
164.	Roßbach, Peter / Karlow, Denis The Stability of Traditional Measures of Index Tracking Quality	2011
163.	Libman, Alexander / Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten / Yarav, Gaudav Are Human Rights and Economic Well-Being Substitutes? Evidence from Migration Patterns across the Indian States	2011
162.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten / Andriani, Pierpaolo Transactional Innovation and the De-commoditization of the Brazilian Coffee Trade	2011
161.	Christian Büchler, Marius Buxkaemper, Christoph Schalast, Gregor Wedell Incentivierung des Managements bei Unternehmenskäufen/Buy-Outs mit Private Equity Investoren – eine empirische Untersuchung –	2011
160.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten Revisiting the Gaia Hypothesis: Maximum Entropy, Kauffman's "Fourth Law" and Physiosemeiosis	2011
159.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten A 'Third Culture' in Economics? An Essay on Smith, Confucius and the Rise of China	2011
158.	Boeing, Philipp / Sandner, Philipp The Innovative Performance of China's National Innovation System	2011
157.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten Institutions, Distributed Cognition and Agency: Rule-following as Performative Action	2011

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

156.	Wagner, Charlotte From Boom to Bust: How different has microfinance been from traditional banking?	2010
155.	Libman Alexander / Vinokurov, Evgeny Is it really different? Patterns of Regionalisation in the Post-Soviet Central Asia	2010
154.	Libman, Alexander Subnational Resource Curse: Do Economic or Political Institutions Matter?	2010
153.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten Meaning and Function in the Theory of Consumer Choice: Dual Selves in Evolving Networks	2010
152.	Kostka, Genia / Hobbs, William Embedded Interests and the Managerial Local State: Methanol Fuel-Switching in China	2010
151.	Kostka, Genia / Hobbs, William Energy Efficiency in China: The Local Bundling of Interests and Policies	2010
150.	Umer, Marc P. / Grote, Michael H. / Frey, Rainer Europe Integrates Less Than You Think. Evidence from the Market for Corporate Control in Europe and the US	2010
149.	Vogel, Ursula / Winkler, Adalbert Foreign banks and financial stability in emerging markets: evidence from the global financial crisis	2010
148.	Libman, Alexander Words or Deeds – What Matters? Experience of Decentralization in Russian Security Agencies	2010
147.	Kostka, Genia / Zhou, Jianghua Chinese firms entering China's low-income market: Gaining competitive advantage by partnering governments	2010
146.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten Rethinking Evolution, Entropy and Economics: A triadic conceptual framework for the Maximum Entropy Principle as applied to the growth of knowledge	2010
145.	Heidorn, Thomas / Kahlert, Dennis Implied Correlations of iTraxx Tranches during the Financial Crisis	2010
144.	Fritz-Morgenthal, Sebastian G. / Hach, Sebastian T. / Schalast, Christoph M&A im Bereich Erneuerbarer Energien	2010
143.	Birkmeyer, Jörg / Heidorn, Thomas / Rogalski, André Determinanten von Banken-Spreads während der Finanzmarktkrise	2010
142.	Bannier, Christina E. / Metz, Sabrina Are SMEs large firms en miniature? Evidence from a growth analysis	2010
141.	Heidorn, Thomas / Kaiser, Dieter G. / Voinea, André The Value-Added of Investable Hedge Fund Indices	2010
140.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten The Evolutionary Approach to Entropy: Reconciling Georgescu-Roegen's Natural Philosophy with the Maximum Entropy Framework	2010
139.	Heidorn, Thomas / Löw, Christian / Winker, Michael Funktionsweise und Replikationstil europäischer Exchange Traded Funds auf Aktienindices	2010
138.	Libman, Alexander Constitutions, Regulations, and Taxes: Contradictions of Different Aspects of Decentralization	2010
137.	Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten / Libman, Alexander / Yu, Xiaofan State and market integration in China: A spatial econometrics approach to 'local protectionism'	2010
136.	Lang, Michael / Cremers, Heinz / Hentze, Rainald Ratingmodell zur Quantifizierung des Ausfallrisikos von LBO-Finanzierungen	2010
135.	Bannier, Christina / Feess, Eberhard When high-powered incentive contracts reduce performance: Choking under pressure as a screening device	2010

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

- |      |  |      |
|------|--|------|
| 134. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Entropy, Function and Evolution: Naturalizing Peircian Semiosis   | 2010 |
| 133. | Bannier, Christina E. / Behr, Patrick / Güttler, Andre<br>Rating opaque borrowers: why are unsolicited ratings lower?  | 2009 |
| 132. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Social Capital, Chinese Style: Individualism, Relational Collectivism and the Cultural Embeddedness of the Institutions-Performance Link                                      | 2009 |
| 131. | Schäffler, Christian / Schmaltz, Christian<br>Market Liquidity: An Introduction for Practitioners  | 2009 |
| 130. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Dimensionen des Wissens: Ein kognitiv-evolutionärer Ansatz auf der Grundlage von F.A. von Hayeks Theorie der „Sensory Order“  | 2009 |
| 129. | Hankir, Yassin / Rauch, Christian / Umer, Marc<br>It's the Market Power, Stupid! – Stock Return Patterns in International Bank M&A   | 2009 |
| 128. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Outline of a Darwinian Theory of Money  | 2009 |
| 127. | Cremers, Heinz / Walzner, Jens<br>Modellierung des Kreditrisikos im Portfoliofall  | 2009 |
| 126. | Cremers, Heinz / Walzner, Jens<br>Modellierung des Kreditrisikos im Einwertpapierfall  | 2009 |
| 125. | Heidorn, Thomas / Schmaltz, Christian<br>Interne Transferpreise für Liquidität   | 2009 |
| 124. | Bannier, Christina E. / Hirsch, Christian<br>The economic function of credit rating agencies - What does the watchlist tell us?  | 2009 |
| 123. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>A Neurolinguistic Approach to Performativity in Economics   | 2009 |
| 122. | Winkler, Adalbert / Vogel, Ursula<br>Finanzierungsstrukturen und makroökonomische Stabilität in den Ländern Südosteuropas, der Türkei und in den GUS-Staaten   | 2009 |
| 121. | Heidorn, Thomas / Rupprecht, Stephan<br>Einführung in das Kapitalstrukturmanagement bei Banken   | 2009 |
| 120. | Roszbach, Peter<br>Die Rolle des Internets als Informationsbeschaffungsmedium in Banken  | 2009 |
| 119. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Diversity Management und diversitätsbasiertes Controlling: Von der „Diversity Scorecard“ zur „Open Balanced Scorecard“  | 2009 |
| 118. | Hölscher, Luise / Clasen, Sven<br>Erfolgsfaktoren von Private Equity Fonds   | 2009 |
| 117. | Bannier, Christina E.<br>Is there a hold-up benefit in heterogeneous multiple bank financing?  | 2009 |
| 116. | Roßbach, Peter / Gießamer, Dirk<br>Ein eLearning-System zur Unterstützung der Wissensvermittlung von Web-Entwicklern in Sicherheitsthemen  | 2009 |
| 115. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Kulturelle Hybridisierung und Wirtschaftstransformation in China  | 2009 |
| 114. | Schalast, Christoph:<br>Staatsfonds – „neue“ Akteure an den Finanzmärkten?   | 2009 |
| 113. | Schalast, Christoph / Alram, Johannes<br>Konstruktion einer Anleihe mit hypothekarischer Besicherung   | 2009 |
| 112. | Schalast, Christoph / Bolder, Markus / Radünz, Claus / Siepmann, Stephanie / Weber, Thorsten<br>Transaktionen und Servicing in der Finanzkrise: Berichte und Referate des Frankfurt School NPL Forums 2008 | 2009 |



BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

- |      |  |      |
|------|--|------|
| 111. | Werner, Karl / Moormann, Jürgen<br>Efficiency and Profitability of European Banks – How Important Is Operational Efficiency?   | 2009 |
| 110. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Moralische Gefühle als Grundlage einer wohlstandschaffenden Wettbewerbsordnung:<br>Ein neuer Ansatz zur erforschung von Sozialkapital und seine Anwendung auf China | 2009 |
| 109. | Heidorn, Thomas / Kaiser, Dieter G. / Roder, Christoph<br>Empirische Analyse der Drawdowns von Dach-Hedgefonds   | 2009 |
| 108. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>Neuroeconomics, Naturalism and Language   | 2008 |
| 107. | Schalast, Christoph / Benita, Barten<br>Private Equity und Familienunternehmen – eine Untersuchung unter besonderer<br>Berücksichtigung deutscher<br>Maschinen- und Anlagenbauunternehmen        | 2008 |
| 106. | Bannier, Christina E. / Grote, Michael H.<br>Equity Gap? – Which Equity Gap? On the Financing Structure of Germany's Mittelstand   | 2008 |
| 105. | Herrmann-Pillath, Carsten<br>The Naturalistic Turn in Economics: Implications for the Theory of Finance  | 2008 |
| 104. | Schalast, Christoph (Hrgs.) / Schanz, Kay-Michael / Scholl, Wolfgang<br>Aktionärschutz in der AG falsch verstanden? Die Leica-Entscheidung des LG Frankfurt am<br>Main                           | 2008 |
| 103. | Bannier, Christina E./ Müsch, Stefan<br>Die Auswirkungen der Subprime-Krise auf den deutschen LBO-Markt für Small- und<br>MidCaps  | 2008 |
| 102. | Cremers, Heinz / Vetter, Michael<br>Das IRB-Modell des Kreditrisikos im Vergleich zum Modell einer logarithmisch<br>normalverteilten Verlustfunktion   | 2008 |
| 101. | Heidorn, Thomas / Pleißner, Mathias<br>Determinanten Europäischer CMBS Spreads. Ein empirisches Modell zur Bestimmung der<br>Risikoaufschläge von Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities (CMBS)   | 2008 |
| 100. | Schalast, Christoph (Hrsg.) / Schanz, Kay-Michael<br>Schaeffler KG/Continental AG im Lichte der CSX Corp.-Entscheidung des US District Court<br>for the Southern District of New York            | 2008 |
| 99.  | Hölscher, Luise / Haug, Michael / Schweinberger, Andreas<br>Analyse von Steueramnestiedaten  | 2008 |
| 98.  | Heimer, Thomas / Arend, Sebastian<br>The Genesis of the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Formula   | 2008 |
| 97.  | Heimer, Thomas / Hölscher, Luise / Werner, Matthias Ralf<br>Access to Finance and Venture Capital for Industrial SMEs  | 2008 |
| 96.  | Böttger, Marc / Guthoff, Anja / Heidorn, Thomas<br>Loss Given Default Modelle zur Schätzung von Recovery Rates   | 2008 |
| 95.  | Almer, Thomas / Heidorn, Thomas / Schmaltz, Christian<br>The Dynamics of Short- and Long-Term CDS-spreads of Banks   | 2008 |
| 94.  | Barthel, Erich / Wollersheim, Jutta<br>Kulturunterschiede bei Mergers & Acquisitions: Entwicklung eines Konzeptes zur<br>Durchführung einer Cultural Due Diligence                               | 2008 |
| 93.  | Heidorn, Thomas / Kunze, Wolfgang / Schmaltz, Christian<br>Liquiditätsmodellierung von Kreditzusagen (Term Facilities and Revolver)  | 2008 |
| 92.  | Burger, Andreas<br>Produktivität und Effizienz in Banken – Terminologie, Methoden und Status quo   | 2008 |
| 91.  | Löchel, Horst / Pecher, Florian<br>The Strategic Value of Investments in Chinese Banks by Foreign Financial Insitutions  | 2008 |

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

- |     |   |      |
|-----|---|------|
| 90. | Schalast, Christoph / Morgenschweis, Bernd / Sprengetter, Hans Otto / Ockens, Klaas / Stachuletz, Rainer / Safran, Robert<br>Der deutsche NPL Markt 2007: Aktuelle Entwicklungen, Verkauf und Bewertung – Berichte und Referate des NPL Forums 2007 | 2008 |
| 89. | Schalast, Christoph / Stralkowski, Ingo<br>10 Jahre deutsche Buyouts  | 2008 |
| 88. | Banner, Christina E./ Hirsch, Christian<br>The Economics of Rating Watchlists: Evidence from Rating Changes   | 2007 |
| 87. | Demidova-Menzel, Nadeshda / Heidorn, Thomas<br>Gold in the Investment Portfolio   | 2007 |
| 86. | Hölscher, Luise / Rosenthal, Johannes<br>Leistungsmessung der Internen Revision   | 2007 |
| 85. | Banner, Christina / Hänsel, Dennis<br>Determinants of banks' engagement in loan securitization  | 2007 |
| 84. | Banner, Christina<br>"Smoothing" versus "Timeliness" - Wann sind stabile Ratings optimal und welche Anforderungen sind an optimale Berichtsregeln zu stellen?   | 2007 |
| 83. | Banner, Christina E.<br>Heterogeneous Multiple Bank Financing: Does it Reduce Inefficient Credit-Renegotiation Incidences?  | 2007 |
| 82. | Cremers, Heinz / Löhr, Andreas<br>Deskription und Bewertung strukturierter Produkte unter besonderer Berücksichtigung verschiedener Marktszenarien  | 2007 |
| 81. | Demidova-Menzel, Nadeshda / Heidorn, Thomas<br>Commodities in Asset Management  | 2007 |
| 80. | Cremers, Heinz / Walzner, Jens<br>Risikosteuerung mit Kreditderivaten unter besonderer Berücksichtigung von Credit Default Swaps  | 2007 |
| 79. | Cremers, Heinz / Traughber, Patrick<br>Handlungsalternativen einer Genossenschaftsbank im Investmentprozess unter Berücksichtigung der Risikotragfähigkeit  | 2007 |
| 78. | Gerdesmeier, Dieter / Roffia, Barbara<br>Monetary Analysis: A VAR Perspective   | 2007 |
| 77. | Heidorn, Thomas / Kaiser, Dieter G. / Muschiol, Andrea<br>Portfoliooptimierung mit Hedgefonds unter Berücksichtigung höherer Momente der Verteilung   | 2007 |
| 76. | Jobe, Clemens J. / Ockens, Klaas / Safran, Robert / Schalast, Christoph<br>Work-Out und Servicing von notleidenden Krediten – Berichte und Referate des HfB-NPL Servicing Forums 2006   | 2006 |
| 75. | Abrar, Kamyar / Schalast, Christoph<br>Fusionskontrolle in dynamischen Netzsektoren am Beispiel des Breitbandkabelsektors   | 2006 |
| 74. | Schalast, Christoph / Schanz, Kay-Michael<br>Wertpapierprospekte: Markteinführungspublizität nach EU-Prospektverordnung und Wertpapierprospektgesetz 2005   | 2006 |
| 73. | Dickler, Robert A. / Schalast, Christoph<br>Distressed Debt in Germany: What's Next? Possible Innovative Exit Strategies  | 2006 |
| 72. | Belke, Ansgar / Polleit, Thorsten<br>How the ECB and the US Fed set interest rates  | 2006 |
| 71. | Heidorn, Thomas / Hoppe, Christian / Kaiser, Dieter G.<br>Heterogenität von Hedgefondsindizes   | 2006 |
| 70. | Baumann, Stefan / Löchel, Horst<br>The Endogeneity Approach of the Theory of Optimum Currency Areas - What does it mean for ASEAN + 3?  | 2006 |

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

69.	Heidorn, Thomas / Trautmann, Alexandra Niederschlagsderivate	2005
68.	Heidorn, Thomas / Hoppe, Christian / Kaiser, Dieter G. Möglichkeiten der Strukturierung von Hedgefondsportfolios	2005
67.	Belke, Ansgar / Polleit, Thorsten (How) Do Stock Market Returns React to Monetary Policy ? An ARDL Cointegration Analysis for Germany	2005
66.	Daynes, Christian / Schalast, Christoph Aktuelle Rechtsfragen des Bank- und Kapitalmarktsrechts II: Distressed Debt - Investing in Deutschland	2005
65.	Gerdesmeier, Dieter / Polleit, Thorsten Measures of excess liquidity	2005
64.	Becker, Gernot M. / Harding, Perham / Hölscher, Luise Financing the Embedded Value of Life Insurance Portfolios	2005
63.	Schalast, Christoph Modernisierung der Wasserwirtschaft im Spannungsfeld von Umweltschutz und Wettbewerb – Braucht Deutschland eine Rechtsgrundlage für die Vergabe von Wasserversorgungskonzessionen? –	2005
62.	Bayer, Marcus / Cremers, Heinz / Kluß, Norbert Wertsicherungsstrategien für das Asset Management	2005
61.	Löchel, Horst / Polleit, Thorsten A case for money in the ECB monetary policy strategy	2005
60.	Richard, Jörg / Schalast, Christoph / Schanz, Kay-Michael Unternehmen im Prime Standard - „Staying Public“ oder „Going Private“? - Nutzenanalyse der Börsennotiz -	2004
59.	Heun, Michael / Schlink, Torsten Early Warning Systems of Financial Crises - Implementation of a currency crisis model for Uganda	2004
58.	Heimer, Thomas / Köhler, Thomas Auswirkungen des Basel II Akkords auf österreichische KMU	2004
57.	Heidorn, Thomas / Meyer, Bernd / Pietrowiak, Alexander Performanceeffekte nach Directors' Dealings in Deutschland, Italien und den Niederlanden	2004
56.	Gerdesmeier, Dieter / Roffia, Barbara The Relevance of real-time data in estimating reaction functions for the euro area	2004
55.	Barthel, Erich / Gierig, Rauno / Kühn, Ilmhart-Wolfram Unterschiedliche Ansätze zur Messung des Humankapitals	2004
54.	Anders, Dietmar / Binder, Andreas / Hesdahl, Ralf / Schalast, Christoph / Thöne, Thomas Aktuelle Rechtsfragen des Bank- und Kapitalmarktrechts I : Non-Performing-Loans / Faule Kredite - Handel, Work-Out, Outsourcing und Securitisation	2004
53.	Polleit, Thorsten The Slowdown in German Bank Lending – Revisited	2004
52.	Heidorn, Thomas / Siragusano, Tindaro Die Anwendbarkeit der Behavioral Finance im Devisenmarkt	2004
51.	Schütze, Daniel / Schalast, Christoph (Hrsg.) Wider die Verschleuderung von Unternehmen durch Pfandversteigerung	2004
50.	Gerhold, Mirko / Heidorn, Thomas Investitionen und Emissionen von Convertible Bonds (Wandelanleihen)	2004
49.	Chevalier, Pierre / Heidorn, Thomas / Krieger, Christian Temperaturderivate zur strategischen Absicherung von Beschaffungs- und Absatzrisiken	2003
48.	Becker, Gernot M. / Seeger, Norbert Internationale Cash Flow-Rechnungen aus Eigner- und Gläubigersicht	2003
47.	Boenkost, Wolfram / Schmidt, Wolfgang M. Notes on convexity and quanto adjustments for interest rates and related options	2003

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

- |     |  |      |
|-----|--|------|
| 46. | Hess, Dieter<br>Determinants of the relative price impact of unanticipated Information in U.S. macroeconomic releases  | 2003 |
| 45. | Cremers, Heinz / Kluß, Norbert / König, Markus<br>Incentive Fees. Erfolgsabhängige Vergütungsmodelle deutscher Publikumsfonds  | 2003 |
| 44. | Heidorn, Thomas / König, Lars<br>Investitionen in Collateralized Debt Obligations  | 2003 |
| 43. | Kahlert, Holger / Seeger, Norbert<br>Bilanzierung von Unternehmenszusammenschlüssen nach US-GAAP   | 2003 |
| 42. | Beiträge von Studierenden des Studiengangs BBA 012 unter Begleitung von Prof. Dr. Norbert Seeger<br>Rechnungslegung im Umbruch - HGB-Bilanzierung im Wettbewerb mit den internationalen Standards nach IAS und US-GAAP | 2003 |
| 41. | Overbeck, Ludger / Schmidt, Wolfgang<br>Modeling Default Dependence with Threshold Models  | 2003 |
| 40. | Balthasar, Daniel / Cremers, Heinz / Schmidt, Michael<br>Portfoliooptimierung mit Hedge Fonds unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Risikokomponente   | 2002 |
| 39. | Heidorn, Thomas / Kantwill, Jens<br>Eine empirische Analyse der Spreadunterschiede von Festsatzanleihen zu Floatern im Euroraum und deren Zusammenhang zum Preis eines Credit Default Swaps                            | 2002 |
| 38. | Böttcher, Henner / Seeger, Norbert<br>Bilanzierung von Finanzderivaten nach HGB, EstG, IAS und US-GAAP   | 2003 |
| 37. | Moormann, Jürgen<br>Terminologie und Glossar der Bankinformatik  | 2002 |
| 36. | Heidorn, Thomas<br>Bewertung von Kreditprodukten und Credit Default Swaps  | 2001 |
| 35. | Heidorn, Thomas / Weier, Sven<br>Einführung in die fundamentale Aktienanalyse  | 2001 |
| 34. | Seeger, Norbert<br>International Accounting Standards (IAS)  | 2001 |
| 33. | Moormann, Jürgen / Stehling, Frank<br>Strategic Positioning of E-Commerce Business Models in the Portfolio of Corporate Banking  | 2001 |
| 32. | Sokolovsky, Zbynek / Strohhecker, Jürgen<br>Fit für den Euro, Simulationsbasierte Euro-Maßnahmenplanung für Dresdner-Bank-Geschäftsstellen   | 2001 |
| 31. | Roßbach, Peter<br>Behavioral Finance - Eine Alternative zur vorherrschenden Kapitalmarkttheorie?   | 2001 |
| 30. | Heidorn, Thomas / Jaster, Oliver / Willeitner, Ulrich<br>Event Risk Covenants  | 2001 |
| 29. | Biswas, Rita / Löchel, Horst<br>Recent Trends in U.S. and German Banking: Convergence or Divergence?   | 2001 |
| 28. | Eberle, Günter Georg / Löchel, Horst<br>Die Auswirkungen des Übergangs zum Kapitaldeckungsverfahren in der Rentenversicherung auf die Kapitalmärkte  | 2001 |
| 27. | Heidorn, Thomas / Klein, Hans-Dieter / Siebrecht, Frank<br>Economic Value Added zur Prognose der Performance europäischer Aktien   | 2000 |
| 26. | Cremers, Heinz<br>Konvergenz der binomialen Optionspreismodelle gegen das Modell von Black/Scholes/Merton  | 2000 |
| 25. | Löchel, Horst<br>Die ökonomischen Dimensionen der ‚New Economy‘  | 2000 |

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

24.	Frank, Axel / Moormann, Jürgen Grenzen des Outsourcing: Eine Exploration am Beispiel von Direktbanken	2000
23.	Heidorn, Thomas / Schmidt, Peter / Seiler, Stefan Neue Möglichkeiten durch die Namensaktie	2000
22.	Böger, Andreas / Heidorn, Thomas / Graf Waldstein, Philipp Hybrides Kernkapital für Kreditinstitute	2000
21.	Heidorn, Thomas Entscheidungsorientierte Mindestmargenkalkulation	2000
20.	Wolf, Birgit Die Eigenmittelkonzeption des § 10 KWG	2000
19.	Cremers, Heinz / Robé, Sophie / Thiele, Dirk Beta als Risikomaß - Eine Untersuchung am europäischen Aktienmarkt	2000
18.	Cremers, Heinz Optionspreisbestimmung	1999
17.	Cremers, Heinz Value at Risk-Konzepte für Marktrisiken	1999
16.	Chevalier, Pierre / Heidorn, Thomas / Rütze, Merle Gründung einer deutschen Strombörse für Elektrizitätsderivate	1999
15.	Deister, Daniel / Ehrlicher, Sven / Heidorn, Thomas CatBonds	1999
14.	Jochum, Eduard Hoshin Kanri / Management by Policy (MbP)	1999
13.	Heidorn, Thomas Kreditderivate	1999
12.	Heidorn, Thomas Kreditrisiko (CreditMetrics)	1999
11.	Moormann, Jürgen Terminologie und Glossar der Bankinformatik	1999
10.	Löchel, Horst The EMU and the Theory of Optimum Currency Areas	1998
09.	Löchel, Horst Die Geldpolitik im Währungsraum des Euro	1998
08.	Heidorn, Thomas / Hund, Jürgen Die Umstellung auf die Stückaktie für deutsche Aktiengesellschaften	1998
07.	Moormann, Jürgen Stand und Perspektiven der Informationsverarbeitung in Banken	1998
06.	Heidorn, Thomas / Schmidt, Wolfgang LIBOR in Arrears	1998
05.	Jahresbericht 1997	1998
04.	Ecker, Thomas / Moormann, Jürgen Die Bank als Betreiberin einer elektronischen Shopping-Mall	1997
03.	Jahresbericht 1996	1997
02.	Cremers, Heinz / Schwarz, Willi Interpolation of Discount Factors	1996
01.	Moormann, Jürgen Lean Reporting und Führungsinformationssysteme bei deutschen Finanzdienstleistern	1995

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

<b>No.</b>	<b>Author/Title</b>	<b>Year</b>
29.	Scholz, Peter / Walther, Ursula The Trend is not Your Friend! Why Empirical Timing Success is Determined by the Underlying's Price Characteristics and Market Efficiency is Irrelevant	2011
28.	Beyna, Ingo / Wystup, Uwe Characteristic Functions in the Cheyette Interest Rate Model	2011
27.	Detering, Nils / Weber, Andreas / Wystup, Uwe Return distributions of equity-linked retirement plans	2010
26.	Veiga, Carlos / Wystup, Uwe Ratings of Structured Products and Issuers' Commitments	2010
25.	Beyna, Ingo / Wystup, Uwe On the Calibration of the Cheyette. Interest Rate Model	2010
24.	Scholz, Peter / Walther, Ursula Investment Certificates under German Taxation. Benefit or Burden for Structured Products' Performance	2010
23.	Esquivel, Manuel L. / Veiga, Carlos / Wystup, Uwe Unifying Exotic Option Closed Formulas	2010
22.	Packham, Natalie / Schlögl, Lutz / Schmidt, Wolfgang M. Credit gap risk in a first passage time model with jumps	2009
21.	Packham, Natalie / Schlögl, Lutz / Schmidt, Wolfgang M. Credit dynamics in a first passage time model with jumps	2009
20.	Reiswich, Dimitri / Wystup, Uwe FX Volatility Smile Construction	2009
19.	Reiswich, Dimitri / Tompkins, Robert Potential PCA Interpretation Problems for Volatility Smile Dynamics	2009
18.	Keller-Ressel, Martin / Kilin, Fiodar Forward-Start Options in the Barndorff-Nielsen-Shephard Model	2008
17.	Gribsch, Susanne / Wystup, Uwe On the Valuation of Fader and Discrete Barrier Options in Heston's Stochastic Volatility Model	2008
16.	Veiga, Carlos / Wystup, Uwe Closed Formula for Options with Discrete Dividends and its Derivatives	2008
15.	Packham, Natalie / Schmidt, Wolfgang Latin hypercube sampling with dependence and applications in finance	2008
14.	Hakala, Jürgen / Wystup, Uwe FX Basket Options	2008
13.	Weber, Andreas / Wystup, Uwe Vergleich von Anlagestrategien bei Riesterrenten ohne Berücksichtigung von Gebühren. Eine Simulationsstudie zur Verteilung der Renditen	2008
12.	Weber, Andreas / Wystup, Uwe Riesterrente im Vergleich. Eine Simulationsstudie zur Verteilung der Renditen	2008
11.	Wystup, Uwe Vanna-Volga Pricing	2008
10.	Wystup, Uwe Foreign Exchange Quanto Options	2008
09.	Wystup, Uwe Foreign Exchange Symmetries	2008
08.	Becker, Christoph / Wystup, Uwe Was kostet eine Garantie? Ein statistischer Vergleich der Rendite von langfristigen Anlagen	2008
07.	Schmidt, Wolfgang Default Swaps and Hedging Credit Baskets	2007
06.	Kilin, Fiodar Accelerating the Calibration of Stochastic Volatility Models	2007

BARRIERS TO ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SMALL- AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN CHINA

05.	Griebsch, Susanne/ Kühn, Christoph / Wystup, Uwe Instalment Options: A Closed-Form Solution and the Limiting Case	2007
04.	Boenkost, Wolfram / Schmidt, Wolfgang M. Interest Rate Convexity and the Volatility Smile	2006
03.	Becker, Christoph/ Wystup, Uwe On the Cost of Delayed Currency Fixing Announcements	2005
02.	Boenkost, Wolfram / Schmidt, Wolfgang M. Cross currency swap valuation	2004
01.	Wallner, Christian / Wystup, Uwe Efficient Computation of Option Price Sensitivities for Options of American Style	2004

**HfB – SONDERARBEITSBERICHTE DER HfB - BUSINESS SCHOOL OF FINANCE & MANAGEMENT**

<b>No.</b>	<b>Author/Title</b>	<b>Year</b>
01.	Nicole Kahmer / Jürgen Moormann Studie zur Ausrichtung von Banken an Kundenprozessen am Beispiel des Internet (Preis: € 120,-)	2003

Printed edition: € 25.00 + € 2.50 shipping

Download:

Working Paper: [http://www.frankfurt-school.de/content/de/research/publications/list\\_of\\_publication/list\\_of\\_publication](http://www.frankfurt-school.de/content/de/research/publications/list_of_publication/list_of_publication)  
CPQF: [http://www.frankfurt-school.de/content/de/cpqf/research\\_publications.html](http://www.frankfurt-school.de/content/de/cpqf/research_publications.html)

**Order address / contact**

Frankfurt School of Finance & Management  
Sonnemannstr. 9–11 □ D–60314 Frankfurt/M. □ Germany  
Phone: +49 (0) 69 154 008–734 □ Fax: +49 (0) 69 154 008–728  
eMail: [e.lahdensuu@fs.de](mailto:e.lahdensuu@fs.de)

Further information about Frankfurt School of Finance & Management  
may be obtained at: <http://www.fs.de>



UNEP Collaborating Centre  
Frankfurt School of Finance & Management  
Sonnemannstrasse 9-11  
60314 Frankfurt am Main

<http://fs-unep-centre.org>  
[www.frankfurt-school.de](http://www.frankfurt-school.de)

E-Mail: [unep@fs.de](mailto:unep@fs.de)  
Phone: +49 (0)69 154008-614  
Fax: +49 (0)69 154008-670