

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Barrett, Alan; Goggin, Jean

Working Paper Returning to the question of a wage premium for returning migrants

ESRI Working Paper, No. 337

Provided in Cooperation with: The Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI), Dublin

Suggested Citation: Barrett, Alan; Goggin, Jean (2009) : Returning to the question of a wage premium for returning migrants, ESRI Working Paper, No. 337, The Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI), Dublin

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/50158

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Working Paper No. 337

February 2010

Returning to the Question of a Wage Premium for Returning Migrants

Alan Barrett and Jean Goggin¹

Abstract: Using data from a large-scale survey of employees in Ireland, we estimate the extent to which people who have emigrated from Ireland and returned earn more relative to comparable people who have never lived abroad. In so doing, we are testing the hypothesis that migration can be part of a process of human capital formation. We find through OLS estimation that returners earn 7 percent more than comparable stayers. We test for the presence of self-selection bias in this estimate but the tests suggest that the premium is related to returner status. The premium holds for both genders, is higher for people with post-graduate degrees and for people who migrated beyond the EU to the US, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. The results show how emigration can be positive for a source country when viewed in a longer term context.

Corresponding Author: alan.barrett@esri.ie

¹We would like to acknowledge helpful with Daniel Hamermesh and Séamus McGuinness and participants at an ESRI seminar. We would also like to acknowledge the funding provided by the Irish Research Council for the Humanities and Social Sciences under the Government of Ireland Thematic Research Project Grants Scheme. Finally, we would like to thank Mary Smyth of the Central Statistics Office for facilitating access to the data.

ESRI working papers represent un-refereed work-in-progress by researchers who are solely responsible for the content and any views expressed therein. Any comments on these papers will be welcome and should be sent to the author(s) by email. Papers may be downloaded for personal use only.

Returning to the Question of a Wage Premium for Returning Migrants

Section 1: Introduction

The basic model which underpins much of the research on the economics of immigration predicts that migration occurs if the net present value of earnings in the host country exceeds the net present value of earnings in the home country, adjusting for the costs of migration (Sjaastad, 1962). Under certain assumptions, this model suggests that migrations, once undertaken, will tend to be permanent. According to Dustmann and Weis (2007) "much of the theoretical and empirical literature on the economics of migration views migrations as permanent" and it seems reasonable to suggest that the Sjaastad model contributed to that view being taken in subsequent research.

In reality, many moves are not permanent and the extent of return migration has been shown to be significant. For the United States, Jasso and Rosenzweig (1982) have shown that 15.7 million people immigrated between 1908 and 1957 and that about 4.8 million aliens emigrated. Warren and Peck (1980) estimate that around a third of people who immigrated legally re-emigrated in the 1960s. For the UK, Dustmann and Weis (2007) estimate out-migration rates of 40 percent for men and 55 percent for women after five years, using data from the 1990s. Looking at the issue from a source country perspective, the data used in this paper show that in 2006 15 percent of the Irish native workforce had lived outside of Ireland for a period of at least one year.

Once migration is introduced in models as a temporary rather than a permanent phenomenon, a host of implications arise. For example, the incentives facing migrants as regards the accumulation of both financial and human capital may differ according to whether they see themselves as being temporary or permanent migrants. The impacts of migration on both host and home countries will also differ, depending on whether inflows and outflows are temporary or permanent and also depending on the selective nature of return flows. In the case of developing countries, concerns about "brain drain" are lessened if outflows are temporary; indeed, outflows can be seen in positive terms if they are part of a process of "brain circulation" as opposed to "brain drain".

Given the importance of return migration both in quantitative terms and in terms of our understanding of the behaviour of migrants and their impacts, contributions to the body of research looking at the issue are also important. In this paper, we aim to provide such a contribution by using a unique, large-scale dataset from Ireland which identifies both returned migrants and "stayers". The data allow us to compare the earnings of the two groups and so to assess whether returning migrants enjoy a wage premium relative to stayers. Ireland has experienced periods of both significant emigration and return migration, thereby providing a valuable setting in which to study this issue.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we review the literature on return migration, including the limited set of papers which have looked at the issue of possible wage advantages for returned migrants. In Section 3, we describe the data and the methods used in the empirical analysis. Our basic approach is to estimate Mincer-type wage equations using OLS, where we include amongst the explanatory variables a dummy variable equal to one if the individual is a returned migrant and zero otherwise. We are mindful of the possible presence of self-selection effects so we also attempt to assess if such effects are driving any observed wage premium. Given that such selection effects appear to be absent, we rely mostly on OLS estimates. In Section 4, we present both descriptive statistics and the results from the econometric analysis. Section 5 contains a summary and discussion.

Section 2: Literature

According to Dustmann and Weiss (2007), it is possible to model return migration in three ways. First, it could be that individuals place a higher value on consumption in the home country relative to the host country. In this situation, although lifetime income might be maximised by living in the host country, lifetime utility maximisation could be achieved by spending some time in the host country and then returning home (Djajic and

Milbourne, 1988). Second, it could be the case that the host country currency has a higher purchasing power in the home country. It is then possible to maximise consumption, and hence utility, by returning to the home country. Third, if human capital acquired in the host country is better rewarded in the home country, then lifetime income could be maximised through temporary migration (Dustmann, 1997a).

A number of papers have considered how the temporary nature of migration will impact upon decision-making by the individual. Dustmann (1997b) looks at the savings behaviour of migrants while Dustmann and Kirchkamp (2002) look at the duration of migration and activities after return. Dustmann (2003) explores how the likelihood of return migration impacts upon human capital investment by parents in their children.

The idea in Dustmann (1997a) that emigration and return migration could be part of process of human capital accumulation led to a numbers of papers which looked at the labour market outcomes for returning migrants relative to compatriots who had not emigrated. Were the Dustman thesis correct, this would tend to be reflected in higher wages for returning migrants. Co et al (2000) used data from Hungary to explore the issue and found a wage premium for women returners but not for men. Barrett and O'Connell (2001) looked at a sample of Irish graduates and found a wage premium for men but not for women. Hazans (2008) considers the case of Latvia and finds a wage premium of 20 per cent for men and 6 per cent for women. In the case of each paper, attempts are made to account for possible selection effects. In de Coulon and Piracha (2005), the selection issue is central and results are presented in terms of how non-migrants would have done had they chosen to migrate and return (they would have earned more than twice the wages of return migrants).

Section 3: Data and Methods

The data used in this study comes from the October 2006 National Employment Survey (NES). The 2006 NES is a workplace survey, covering both the public and private sectors, which was conducted by the Central Statistics Office (CSO). The information

contained in the NES was collected from a matched employee-employer survey. The employer sample was drawn using the CSO Central Business Register (CBR). Selected firms were asked to draw a systematic sample of employees from their payroll, following guidelines which the CSO provided to ensure that the samples of employees had desirable statistical properties. Approximately 8,000 enterprises² were contacted of which 4,200 responded resulting in employee information on 51,300 individuals. After the elimination of employees with missing earnings information, part-time students and also the restriction of our sample to those of working age, the final sample for this study was just below 50,000 employees.

October 2006 was the reference period for the survey. The employer questionnaire collected information on the earnings of employees and also hours worked and occupational details³. Employers also provided limited information on firm size, amounts of training provided to employees and on skills deficiencies within the firm. The measure for earnings used in the analysis below is the weekly rate of pay for each individual employee as derived from their employer's responses to questions on employees gross monthly pay in the reference period (October 2006)⁴.

Employees were issued with a separate survey within which they were asked to provide information on their age, gender, educational attainment, employment status (part-time or full-time), experience (measured in terms of length of time in paid employment) and also other job-related characteristics (for example, trade union membership, supervisory role, tenure with current employer). In terms of migration, each individual is asked about their nationality so we are able to restrict the sample to Irish nationals only. All individuals are also asked if they have ever lived outside of Ireland for a continuous period of one year or

² Only employers with more than three employees were surveyed and the data were collected at the enterprise level.

³ The earnings information collected in the 2006 NES represents the gross monthly amount payable by the organisation to its employees. This includes normal wages, salaries and overtime; taxable allowances, regular bonuses and commissions; and holiday or sick pay for the period in question (October 2006). It does not include employer's social insurance payments redundancy payments and back pay.

⁴ A fuller description of the sampling and survey methods can be found in Central Statistics Office (2007)

more. If they answer yes, we code that individual as being a returned former emigrant; if they answer no, the individual is coded as "stayer".

With respect to the methodology used to model the impact of migration on earnings, we begin with a standard Mincer type wage model that can be written as follows:

$$\log w = \beta_1 + \beta_2 Ex + \beta_3 Ex^2 + \beta_4 S + \beta_5 X_1 + \beta_6 Mig + \varepsilon_i$$
(1)

where Ex is experience, S is a vector of schooling dummy variables (denoting highest qualification), X is a vector of explanatory variables containing both employee and employer characteristics that relate to earnings and Mig is the returner dummy variable discussed above. The coefficient on Mig provides an estimate of the earnings disadvantage of returners relative to natives evaluated at the mean.

If returners and stayers differ in ways that are correlated with earnings, OLS may generate a biased estimate of the earnings gaps. A particular concern is that returned migrants might simply be a more motivated group relative to stayers and hence would have experienced higher earnings whether they had migrated or not. In order to assess is this is indeed an issue, we employ three approaches. First, we run a two-stage Heckman treatment model in which the probability of being a returner is estimated first and then a correction terms is added to the earnings equation to account for possible selection effects. A key element in running this procedure is the identification of a variable which is correlated with the likelihood of being a returned migrant but not with current earnings. The variable we use is the rate of unemployment is the year that the individual left full time education. As will be seen below, our use of this approach turns out to be inconclusive so we employed a second strategy, instrumental variables. Under this approach, we again use the rate of unemployment is the year that the individual left full time education as an instrument to predict whether an individual is a returner and then use the predicted values of being a returner in the wage regression. As an additional check on the issue of selectivity, we use a third strategy, propensity score matching and the Rosenbaum r-bounds test. This is discussed at greater length below.

Section 4: Results

As mentioned, we restricted our sample to Irish nationals. Following the elimination of observations due to missing values, we were left with a final sample of 42,843, of which 36,627 are stayers and 6,216 are return migrants. In Table 1 we present the mean values of all the variables used in our analysis. These are presented separately for stayers and returners, with indications of statistically significant differences between these two groups. The most interesting difference between stayers and returners is their average earnings: returners are paid almost €180 more per week. This amounts to a wage premium for returners of approximately 25 per cent and is significant at the 1 per cent level. The two groups differ significantly across a range of variables, with returners likely to be older and more experienced on average, and less likely to be male. Returners are more likely to hold a degree or postgraduate qualification than stayers, and in terms of occupation are more likely to belong to the categories of managers and senior administrators, professionals, and associate professional and technical. Returners are less likely to work in the manufacturing sector or the wholesale and retail sector than stayers are, and are significantly more likely to work in health and social work, business services, and education.

Moving on to our regression analysis, we estimated the wage equation shown above in (1), using the log of weekly earnings as the dependent variable. The results are shown in Table 2. We find a positive and significant wage premium for returned migrants relative to stayers. The coefficient on the returner dummy variable indicates that returned migrants enjoy a 7 per cent wage premium, significant at the 1 per cent level. Looking at the other variables in the regression, age, experience and tenure are all positive and significant as would be expected. Workers living in Dublin earn 16 per cent more than those living elsewhere. The coefficients on the education variables are also as expected. Relative to workers who possess just primary school education, workers with higher levels of education earn a positive and significant wage premium.

As shown in Table 1, the characteristics of stayers and returners differ significantly. If returners and stayers differ in ways that are correlated with earnings, then OLS may produce a biased estimate of the returned migrant wage premium. For example, it could be the case that a more motivated or capable individual chooses to migrate (and subsequently return), and so this individual would have been expected to earn a higher wage whether they had migrated or not. To check the robustness of our OLS estimate and to take account of any such selection issues, we employ three alternative strategies: Heckman selection correction, instrumental variables and propensity score matching.

The Heckman selection correction technique allows us to account for selection along unobserved individual characteristics. To do this we require an instrument that is a suitable predictor of being a returned migrant, but is uncorrelated with earnings in 2006. We used the rate of unemployment in Ireland in the year the individual left full time education⁵. The results from the stage one probit confirm that this is a suitable instrument – the coefficient on this variable is positive and significant at 1 per cent. In the second stage of the Heckman procedure a contradictory pair of results emerges. On the one hand we find that the estimated returner wage premium is no longer significant; this would suggest that selection was present. However, the coefficient on Lambda, the selection correction term, is also insignificant suggesting that the selection bias is not quantitatively important.

As the Heckman approach yielded an inconclusive result with regard the presence or otherwise of a self-selection bias, we moved on to assess the selection issue using instrumental variables (IV) methods. In the first stage, the returned migrant dummy variable is regressed on the instrument (again, we use the rate of unemployment in the year of graduation) and on the other control variables from equation (1). The first stage F statistic confirms that our instrument is sufficiently strong. The predicted values of the returned migrant dummy are then used as a new independent variable in the second stage.

⁵ In order to create this variable, an assumption was needed. As we had information on age and highest level of education, we could work out the year that the individuals left full-time education if we assumed that all education was acquired in a continuous period from primary school. While this assumption will be untrue in some cases, the variable does act as a useful predictor of being a returned migrant.

The results suggest that our OLS finding is robust – the IV estimate of the returned migrant wage premium is positive and significant at the 1 per cent $evel^{6}$.

As a final sensitivity check, we re-estimate the wage equation using propensity score matching (PSM) methods. The logic behind this approach is that the stage one probit will identify the over-riding observable factors that distinguish a returned migrant from a nonmigrant. The PSM framework then draws a control group from the population of nonmigrants and matches them, as much as possible, to the treatment group of returned migrants, ensuring a more comprehensive "like-with-like" comparison. We achieve an appropriately balanced dataset for PSM estimation by using a relatively basic probit specification, centred around the standard human capital framework. In Table 3 we report the estimated returner wage premium generated by the Nearest Neighbour and Kernel matching techniques. We find no significant wage premium using the Nearest Neighbour method. However, using the Kernel matching technique we find an 8 per cent wage premium, significant at 1 per cent. We also checked the PSM estimate's sensitivity to unobserved factors by applying post-estimation Rosenbaum bounds. The bounds allow us to assess the extent to which an unobserved variable must influence the selection process in order to render the PSM estimate unreliable. The results are shown in Table 4 and they suggest that our estimated wage premium is likely to be robust to such effects. As Gamma increases as high as 1.6, the p-values indicate that our estimate of the wage premium remains reliable. This implies that even in the event of an unobserved factor increasing the likelihood of an individual being a returned migrant by a factor of 60 per cent, our estimate of the wage premium remains reliable.

Based on the IV analysis and the results from the Kernel matching in particular, it seems that selection is not a major concern. Hence, we now go on to look at the wage premium for returning migrants along a number of dimensions using OLS regressions again. Returning to the results of our first OLS estimation above, returned migrants are found to

⁶ The coefficient estimate on the returner variable in the IV regression is 0.36, with a standard error of .09. As the variable in the IV regression is continuous, being a predicted value, it is not readily comparable to the coefficient estimate from the OLS model where the variable is dichotomous.

earn a wage premium of 7 per cent. A number of empirical studies have found that the wage premium can vary significantly by gender. In the previous empirical study of returned Irish migrants, Barrett and O'Connell (2001) found a wage premium for men but no wage premium for returned female migrants. In the Latvian study, Hazans (2008) reported a wage premium for both men and women, but the wage premium for male returned migrants was 20 per cent, while for females it was just 6 per cent. We reestimated the wage equation separately for men and women and in Table 5 we report the returned migrant wage premium by gender.⁷ As shown, we find no gender differences – the wage premium for both male and female returned migrants is estimated at 7 per cent.

In Table 6, we re-estimate the wage equation five times, restricting the sample to a different education category each time. While the study by Barrett and O'Connell (2001) used data from a survey of Irish college graduates, the National Employment Survey data contains information on individuals with varying levels of education. Therefore, it allows us to identify whether the returned migrant wage premium exists at all levels, or merely at the higher levels of educational attainment. If it is the case that emigration and return migration are part of a process of human capital accumulation, then we might expect to observe a wage premium only at the higher levels of education. However, as Table 6 shows, we find a significant wage premium for returned migrants relative to stayers at each education level. Returners who possess a postgraduate qualification earn a 10 per cent wage premium relative to stayers with postgraduate qualifications. This wage premium falls to 5 per cent for workers with secondary or postsecondary qualifications. The premium increases again at primary level education, with returned migrants earning 13 per cent more than stayers. However, when we tested to see if the differences among these coefficients were statistically significant, we found that while the 10 per cent premium at postgraduate level was statistically different from the 5 per cent premium at secondary or postsecondary level, the difference in premium between primary and all other education levels was not significant.

⁷ Tables 5-9 only report the coefficient estimates on the variable of interest. All standard controls were included in the estimations.

The National Employment Survey asks respondents who previously migrated to indicate the year in which they returned to Ireland. Using this information, we can examine how the earnings of returned migrants are affected by the length of time since their return. We re-estimate the wage equation, restricting the sample to returned migrants and including a continuous variable measuring the number of years since the individual returned to Ireland. As shown in Table 7, we find a negative and significant relationship between an individual's earnings and the length of time since their return, indicating that migrants who have recently returned to Ireland enjoy higher earnings than those who returned a number of years ago.

Barrett and O'Connell (2001) were able to distinguish between returners who migrated for labour reasons, and those who left for non-labour reasons (left with family, or went for adventure reasons). Using this information they found a difference in wage premium across these two groups of returners. They reported an 8 per cent wage premium for labour-related migrants, and no wage premium for those who migrated for non-labour reasons. The NES dataset also allows us to distinguish between returned migrants who worked while they were abroad and those who did not. We re-estimated the wage equation using these two returner dummy variables, rather than just one, with the reference category still being the group of non-migrants. The results are given in Table 8, and show that the wage premium is found for both groups of returners. However, those who did not work earn just 3 per cent more than stayers⁸. A simple test indicates that these premia are statistically different.

Finally, in Table 9 we examine the extent to which the returned migrant wage premium depends on the destination of the migrant. We replace the returner dummy with five separate dummies, allowing us to identify migrants returning from the UK; the USA/Canada; the European Union (excluding the UK); Australia/New Zealand and all other countries. Once again the reference category is the group of non-migrants. We find

⁸ The sample size for this regression was reduced to just under 42,000. This was due to the elimination of a number of observations relating to returned migrants who did not indicate if they worked while abroad.

no significant wage premium for migrants who have returned from the European Union. The wage premium exists for all other migrant destinations, however, the size of the premium varies considerably. Interestingly, migrants who have returned from the USA or Canada earn 11 per cent more than non-migrants, while the premium for those returning from the UK is just 5 per cent. A significance test confirms that these estimated wage premia are statistically different from each other. Migrants who returned from Australia or New Zealand earn 10 per cent more than non-migrants, and again this premium is statistically different from the 5 per cent UK premium.

Section 5: Conclusions

A growing number of studies have shown how emigration and subsequent return can be seen as part of a process of human capital formation. The observation of higher wages for returned migrants relative to comparable stayers in a number of cases is certainly consistent with this view. In this paper, we have added to the limited number of studies on this question and have again found a positive and significant effect of return migration on wages. The wage premium is about 7 percent in our analysis and appears to hold even when possible self-selection is controlled for.

In addition to estimating the premium on average, we have also shown that it is present for both genders, in contrast to some earlier studies including Barrett and O'Connell (2001). The premium is higher for those with post-graduate degrees relative to those with primary degrees and secondary schooling. It is also higher for people who travelled beyond the UK and the rest of the EU. While the premium for those who lived in the UK and the rest of the EU was 5 percent and 4 percent respectively, it was 11 percent for those who went to live in Canada or the US and 10 percent for those who went to live in Australia or New Zealand. Finally, the premium appears to diminish over time at a rate of 1 percent per year.

The results in this paper add to a growing view of emigration that it can have positive impacts on source countries. Some of the literature in this area has looked at the impact of

remittances. Other papers have looked at the relationship between skilled outward migration, i.e. a brain drain, and human capital formation across countries. In this context, Beine et al (2008) show how there seems to be a positive relationship and conclude that "the traditionally pessimistic view of the brain drain has no empirical justification *at an aggregate level*". Our results show another route through which emigration can be positive from the perspective of a source country, once the timeframe of analysis is long enough for emigration and return to occur. In the case of Ireland, this process whereby people leave and then subsequently return with enhanced levels of human capital has been described as one of a number of features that contributed to the Celtic Tiger (FitzGerald, 2000) so its quantitative impact appears to be potentially considerable. It is possible that the "brain circulation" process will begin to work soon in countries such as Poland and other Eastern European countries that experienced large population outflows following EU expansion in 2004.

References

Barrett, A. and P.J. O'Connell, (2001), "Is There a Wage Premium for Returning Irish Migrants", *Economic and Social Review* Vol. 32 No. 1 pp. 1-21

Beine, M., F. Docquier and H. Rapoport (2008), "Brain Drain and Human Capital Formation in Developing Countries: Winners and Losers", *Economic Journal* Vol. 118 pp. 631-652

Co, C.Y., I.N. Gang and Y. Myeong-Su (2000), "Returns to Returning", *Journal of Population Economics* Vol. 13 pp. 57-79

De Coulon, A. and M. Piracha (2005), "Self-selection and the Performance of Return Migrants: The Source-county Perspective", *Journal of Population Economics* Vol. 18 No. 4 pp. 779-807

Djajic, S. and R. Milbourne (1988), "A General Equilibrium Model of Guest-worker Migration: A Source-country Perspective", *Journal of International Economics* Vol. 25 pp. 335-351

Dustmann, C. (1997a), "Return Migration and the Optimal Migration Duration", Manuscript, University College London

Dustmann, C. (1997b), "Return Migration, Uncertainty and Precautionary Savings", *Journal of Development Economics* Vol. 52 No. 2 pp. 295-316

Dustmann, C. (2003), "Children and Return Migration", *Journal of Population Economics* Vol. 16 pp. 815-830

Dustmann, C. and O. Kirchkamp (2002), "The Optimal Migration Duration and Activity Choice after Re-migration", *Journal of Development Economics* Vol. 67 No. 2 pp 351-372

Dustmann, C. and Y. Weiss (2007), "Return Migration: Theory and Empirical Evidence from the UK", *British Journal of Industrial Relations* Vol. 45 No. 2 pp. 236-256

FitzGerald, J. (2000), "The Story of Ireland's Failure – and Belated Success", in Nolan, B., P. O'Connell and C.T. Whelan (eds.) *Bust to Boom: The Irish Experience of Growth and Inequality*, Dublin: Institute of Public Administration

Hazans, M. (2008), "Effect of foreign Experience on Return Migrants' Earnings: Causality and Heterogeneity", Manuscript, University of Latvia

Jasso, G. and M.R. Rosenzweig (1982), "Estimating the Emigration Rates of Legal Immigrants using Administrative and Survey Data: The 1971 Cohort of Immigrants to the United States", *Demography* Vol. 19 pp. 279-290

Sjaastad, L. A. (1962), "The Costs and Returns of Human Migration", *Journal of Political Economy* Vol. 70 pp. 80-93

Warren, R. and J.M. Peck (1980), "Foreign-born Emigration from the United States", *Demography* Vol. 17 pp. 71-84

	Stayers		Returners	
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Earnings per week (€)	719.20***	560.17	897.33	733.39
Age (years)	38.98***	12.11	41.44	10.55
Experience (years)	18.09***	11.58	19.56	10.43
Male	0.51***	0.50	0.49	0.50
Dublin	0.28	0.45	0.29	0.45
Education dummy variables:				
Primary	0.08***	0.26	0.04	0.19
Secondary	0.41***	0.49	0.24	0.43
Post-secondary	0.27	0.45	0.27	0.44
Degree	0.16***	0.37	0.27	0.44
Postgraduate	0.08***	0.26	0.18	0.38
Tenure (years)	10.46***	9.61	8.46	7.70
Occupation dummy variables:				
Managers and senior administrators	0.11***	0.31	0.13	0.34
Professionals	0.19***	0.39	0.34	0.47
Associate professional and technical	0.11***	0.31	0.12	0.33
Clerical and secretarial	0.20***	0.40	0.16	0.37
Craft and related trades	0.07***	0.25	0.05	0.22
Personal and protective services	0.08***	0.26	0.05	0.21
Sales	0.07***	0.26	0.04	0.20
Plant and machine operatives	0.09***	0.28	0.05	0.23
Other	0.09***	0.29	0.06	0.23
Sector dummy variables ⁹ :				
Manufacturing, mining	0.17***	0.37	0.13	0.34
Electricity, gas, water	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.09
Construction	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.26
Wholesale and retail	0.18***	0.38	0.11	0.31
Hotels and restaurants	0.04**	0.19	0.03	0.18
Transport, storage, communication	0.05***	0.22	0.04	0.19
Financial intermediation	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.25
Business services	0.12***	0.32	0.15	0.35
Public admin and defence	0.10***	0.30	0.08	0.28
Education	0.06***	0.24	0.08	0.28
Health and social work	0.11***	0.31	0.19	0.39
Other	0.05***	0.21	0.04	0.19
	N=36,62	27	N=6,2	16

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics on Stayers and Returners

P<0.05 *P<0.01

⁹ Electricity, gas, water is included as a sector in the data but the number of returners is too small for the percentage to be meaningful.

Table 2: OLS Wage Regressions

0.43	
4.25***	(0.04)
0.01***	(0.00)
0.51***	(0.02)
0.46***	(0.01)
0.25***	(0.01)
0.10***	(0.01)
0.00	(0.00)
0.01***	(0.00)
0.00***	(0.00)
0.08***	(0.00)
0.16***	(0.01)
0.33***	(0.01)
0.07***	(0.01)
	0.07*** 0.33*** 0.16*** 0.08*** 0.00*** 0.01*** 0.00 0.10*** 0.25*** 0.46*** 0.01*** 0.01*** 0.01*** 0.43

Dependent Variable: Weekly Earnings

N=42,843

Occupation and sector controls were also included. Standard errors in parentheses. ***P<0.01

Table 3: Propensity Score Estimates of the Returned Migrant Wage Premium

	Nearest 1	Neighbour	Kerne	el
Returner	0.01	(0.02)	0.08***	(0.01)

Standard errors in parentheses. ***P<0.01

Commo	Sig	Sig	
Gaiiiiia	51g +	51g -	
1	0.00	0.00	
1.1	0.00	0.00	
1.2	0.00	0.00	
1.3	0.00	0.00	
1.4	0.00	0.00	
1.5	0.00	0.00	
1.6	0.09	0.00	
1.7	0.76	0.00	
1.8	0.99	0.00	

Table 4:	PSM	Sensitivity	Check:	Rosenbaum	Bounds
----------	-----	-------------	--------	-----------	---------------

Gamma: Log odds of differential assignment due to unobserved factors Sig +: Upper bound p-value

Sig -: Lower bound p-value

Table 5: Returned Migrant Wage Premium by Gender

	Ν	Coefficient on	Returner Dummy
Men	21,624	0.07***	(0.01)
Women	21,219	0.07***	(0.01)

Standard errors in parentheses. ***P<0.01

Table 6: Returned Migrant Wage Premium by Educational Attainment

	Ν	Coefficient on	Returner Dummy
Postgrad	3,887	0.10***	(0.02)
Degree	7,628	0.06***	(0.02)
Post secondary	11,703	0.05***	(0.01)
Secondary	16,631	0.05***	(0.02)
Primary	2,994	0.13***	(0.04)

Standard errors in parentheses.

***P<0.01

Table 7: Returned Migrant Earnings and Number of Years Since Return

Years Since Return	-0.01***	(0.00)	
--------------------	----------	--------	--

Dependent Variable: Returned migrant earnings Standard errors in parentheses. ***P<0.01

 Table 8: Returned Migrant Wage Premium (returners who worked while abroad vs those who did not)

Returners who worked	0.06***	(0.01)
Returners who did not work	0.03**	(0.01)

Standard errors in parentheses. **P<0.05 ***P<0.01

Coefficient on Returner Dummy			
UK	0.05***	(0.01)	
US/Canada	0.11***	(0.02)	
EU	0.04	(0.03)	
Australia/New Zealand	0.10***	(0.02)	
Other	0.11***	(0.03)	

Table 9: Returned Migrant Wage Premium by Migration Destination

Standard errors in parentheses. *P<0.10 **P<0.05 ***P<0.01

Year	Number	Title/Author(s) ESRI Authors/Co-authors Italicised
2009		
	336	What Determines the Location Choice of Multinational Firms in the ICT Sector? <i>Iulia Siedschlag, Xiaoheng Zhang, Donal Smith</i>
	335	Cost-benefit analysis of the introduction of weight- based charges for domestic waste – West Cork's experience <i>Sue Scott</i> and <i>Dorothy Watson</i>
	334	The Likely Economic Impact of Increasing Investment in Wind on the Island of Ireland <i>Conor Devitt, Seán Diffney, John Fitz Gerald, Seán Lyons and Laura Malaguzzi Valeri</i>
	333	Estimating Historical Landfill Quantities to Predict Methane Emissions <i>Seán Lyons,</i> Liam Murphy and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	332	International Climate Policy and Regional Welfare Weights Daiju Narita <i>, Richard S. J. Tol,</i> and <i>David Anthoff</i>
	331	A Hedonic Analysis of the Value of Parks and Green Spaces in the Dublin Area <i>Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons, David Duffy</i> and <i>Richard</i> <i>S.J. Tol</i>
	330	Measuring International Technology Spillovers and Progress Towards the European Research Area <i>Julia Siedschlag</i>
	329	Climate Policy and Corporate Behaviour <i>Nicola Commins,</i> Se <i>án Lyons,</i> Marc Schiffbauer, and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	328	The Association Between Income Inequality and Mental Health: Social Cohesion or Social Infrastructure <i>Richard Layte</i> and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>

327	A Computational Theory of Exchange: Willingness to pay, willingness to accept and the endowment effect <i>Pete Lunn</i> and Mary Lunn
326	Fiscal Policy for Recovery John Fitz Gerald
325	The EU 20/20/2020 Targets: An Overview of the EMF22 Assessment Christoph Böhringer, Thomas F. Rutherford, and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
324	Counting Only the Hits? The Risk of Underestimating the Costs of Stringent Climate Policy Massimo Tavoni, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
323	International Cooperation on Climate Change Adaptation from an Economic Perspective Kelly C. de Bruin, Rob B. Dellink and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
322	What Role for Property Taxes in Ireland? <i>T. Callan, C. Keane</i> and <i>J.R. Walsh</i>
321	The Public-Private Sector Pay Gap in Ireland: What Lies Beneath? <i>Elish Kelly, Seamus McGuinness, Philip O'Connell</i>
320	A Code of Practice for Grocery Goods Undertakings and An Ombudsman: How to Do a Lot of Harm by Trying to Do a Little Good <i>Paul K Gorecki</i>
319	Negative Equity in the Irish Housing Market David Duffy
318	Estimating the Impact of Immigration on Wages in Ireland <i>Alan Barrett, Adele Bergin</i> and <i>Elish Kelly</i>
317	Assessing the Impact of Wage Bargaining and Worker Preferences on the Gender Pay Gap in Ireland Using the National Employment Survey 2003

Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly, Philip O'Connell, Tim Callan 316 Mismatch in the Graduate Labour Market Among Immigrants and Second-Generation Ethnic Minority Groups Delma Byrne and Seamus McGuinness 315 Managing Housing Bubbles in Regional Economies under EMU: Ireland and Spain Thomas Conefrey and John Fitz Gerald 314 Job Mismatches and Labour Market Outcomes Kostas Mavromaras, Seamus McGuinness, Nigel O'Leary, Peter Sloane and Yin King Fok 313 Immigrants and Employer-provided Training Alan Barrett, Séamus McGuinness, Martin O'Brien and Philip O'Connell 312 Did the Celtic Tiger Decrease Socio-Economic **Differentials in Perinatal Mortality in Ireland?** Richard Layte and Barbara Clyne Exploring International Differences in Rates of Return 311 to Education: Evidence from EU SILC Maria A. Davia, Seamus McGuinness and Philip, J. O'Connell 310 Car Ownership and Mode of Transport to Work in Ireland Nicola Commins and Anne Nolan 309 Recent Trends in the Caesarean Section Rate in Ireland 1999-2006 Aoife Brick and Richard Layte 308 Price Inflation and Income Distribution Anne Jennings, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol 307 Overskilling Dynamics and Education Pathways Kostas Mavromaras, Seamus McGuinness, Yin King Fok

306	What Determines the Attractiveness of the European Union to the Location of R&D Multinational Firms? <i>Iulia Siedschlag, Donal Smith, Camelia Turcu,</i> <i>Xiaoheng Zhang</i>
305	Do Foreign Mergers and Acquisitions Boost Firm Productivity? <i>Marc Schiffbauer, Iulia Siedschlag, Frances Ruane</i>
304	Inclusion or Diversion in Higher Education in the Republic of Ireland? <i>Delma Byrne</i>
303	Welfare Regime and Social Class Variation in Poverty and Economic Vulnerability in Europe: An Analysis of EU-SILC Christopher T. Whelan and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
302	Understanding the Socio-Economic Distribution and Consequences of Patterns of Multiple Deprivation: An Application of Self-Organising Maps Christopher T. Whelan, Mario Lucchini, Maurizio Pisati and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
301	Estimating the Impact of Metro North Edgar Morgenroth
300	Explaining Structural Change in Cardiovascular Mortality in Ireland 1995-2005: A Time Series Analysis <i>Richard Layte, Sinead O'Hara</i> and Kathleen Bennett
299	EU Climate Change Policy 2013-2020: Using the Clean Development Mechanism More Effectively <i>Paul K Gorecki, Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
298	Irish Public Capital Spending in a Recession Edgar Morgenroth
297	Exporting and Ownership Contributions to Irish Manufacturing Productivity Growth Anne Marie Gleeson, <i>Frances Ruane</i>
296	Eligibility for Free Primary Care and Avoidable

	Hospitalisations in Ireland Anne Nolan
295	Managing Household Waste in Ireland: Behavioural Parameters and Policy Options John Curtis, Seán Lyons and Abigail O'Callaghan-Platt
294	Labour Market Mismatch Among UK Graduates; An Analysis Using REFLEX Data Seamus McGuinness and Peter J. Sloane
293	Towards Regional Environmental Accounts for Ireland <i>Richard S.J. Tol , Nicola Commins, Niamh Crilly, Sean Lyons</i> and <i>Edgar Morgenroth</i>
292	EU Climate Change Policy 2013-2020: Thoughts on Property Rights and Market Choices Paul K. Gorecki, Sean Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
291	Measuring House Price Change David Duffy
290	Intra-and Extra-Union Flexibility in Meeting the European Union's Emission Reduction Targets <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
289	The Determinants and Effects of Training at Work: Bringing the Workplace Back In <i>Philip J. O'Connell</i> and <i>Delma Byrne</i>
288	Climate Feedbacks on the Terrestrial Biosphere and the Economics of Climate Policy: An Application of <i>FUND</i> <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
287	The Behaviour of the Irish Economy: Insights from the HERMES macro-economic model Adele Bergin, Thomas Conefrey, John FitzGerald and Ide Kearney
286	Mapping Patterns of Multiple Deprivation Using Self-Organising Maps: An Application to EU-SILC Data for Ireland Maurizio Pisati, <i>Christopher T. Whelan</i> , Mario Lucchini

and Bertrand Maître

285	The Feasibility of Low Concentration Targets: An Application of FUND <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
284	Policy Options to Reduce Ireland's GHG Emissions Instrument choice: the pros and cons of alternative policy instruments Thomas Legge and <i>Sue Scott</i>
283	Accounting for Taste: An Examination of Socioeconomic Gradients in Attendance at Arts Events <i>Pete Lunn</i> and <i>Elish Kelly</i>
282	The Economic Impact of Ocean Acidification on Coral
	Reefs Luke M. Brander, Katrin Rehdanz, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> , and Pieter J.H. van Beukering
281	Assessing the impact of biodiversity on tourism flows: A model for tourist behaviour and its policy implications Giulia Macagno, Maria Loureiro, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
280	Advertising to boost energy efficiency: the Power of One campaign and natural gas consumption <i>Seán Diffney, Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Laura Malaguzzi Valeri</i>
279	International Transmission of Business Cycles Between Ireland and its Trading Partners <i>Jean Goggin</i> and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
278	Optimal Global Dynamic Carbon Taxation David Anthoff
277	Energy Use and Appliance Ownership in Ireland Eimear Leahy and Seán Lyons
276	Discounting for Climate Change David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol and Gary W. Yohe
275	Projecting the Future Numbers of Migrant Workers in

		the Health and Social Care Sectors in Ireland Alan Barrett and Anna Rust
	274	Economic Costs of Extratropical Storms under Climate Change: An application of FUND Daiju Narita, <i>Richard S.J. Tol, David Anthoff</i>
	273	The Macro-Economic Impact of Changing the Rate of Corporation Tax <i>Thomas Conefrey</i> and <i>John D. Fitz Gerald</i>
	272	The Games We Used to Play An Application of Survival Analysis to the Sporting Life-course Pete Lunn
2008		
	271	Exploring the Economic Geography of Ireland Edgar Morgenroth
	270	Benchmarking, Social Partnership and Higher Remuneration: Wage Settling Institutions and the Public-Private Sector Wage Gap in Ireland <i>Elish Kelly, Seamus McGuinness, Philip O'Connell</i>
	269	A Dynamic Analysis of Household Car Ownership in Ireland <i>Anne Nolan</i>
	268	The Determinants of Mode of Transport to Work in the Greater Dublin Area <i>Nicola Commins</i> and <i>Anne Nolan</i>
	267	Resonances from <i>Economic Development</i> for Current Economic Policymaking <i>Frances Ruane</i>
	266	The Impact of Wage Bargaining Regime on Firm-Level Competitiveness and Wage Inequality: The Case of Ireland Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly and Philip O'Connell
	265	Poverty in Ireland in Comparative European Perspective

Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître

264 A Hedonic Analysis of the Value of Rail Transport in the Greater Dublin Area Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons, David Duffy and Richard S.J. Tol 263 Comparing Poverty Indicators in an Enlarged EU Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître 262 Fuel Poverty in Ireland: Extent, Affected Groups and Policy Issues Sue Scott, Seán Lyons, Claire Keane, Donal McCarthy and Richard S.J. Tol 261 The Misperception of Inflation by Irish Consumers David Duffy and Pete Lunn 260 The Direct Impact of Climate Change on Regional Labour Productivity Tord Kjellstrom, R Sari Kovats, Simon J. Lloyd, Tom Holt, Richard S.J. Tol 259 Damage Costs of Climate Change through Intensification of Tropical Cyclone Activities: An Application of FUND Daiju Narita, Richard S. J. Tol and David Anthoff 258 Are Over-educated People Insiders or Outsiders? A Case of Job Search Methods and Over-education in UK Aleksander Kucel, Delma Byrne 257 Metrics for Aggregating the Climate Effect of Different **Emissions: A Unifying Framework** Richard S.J. Tol, Terje K. Berntsen, Brian C. O'Neill, Jan S. Fuglestvedt, Keith P. Shine, Yves Balkanski and Laszlo Makra 256 Intra-Union Flexibility of Non-ETS Emission Reduction **Obligations in the European Union** Richard S.J. Tol 255 The Economic Impact of Climate Change

Richard S.J. Tol

254	Measuring International Inequity Aversion Richard S.J. Tol
253	Using a Census to Assess the Reliability of a National Household Survey for Migration Research: The Case of Ireland <i>Alan Barrett</i> and <i>Elish Kelly</i>
252	Risk Aversion, Time Preference, and the Social Cost of Carbon <i>David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol</i> and Gary W. Yohe
251	The Impact of a Carbon Tax on Economic Growth and Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Ireland <i>Thomas Conefrey, John D. Fitz Gerald, Laura</i> <i>Malaguzzi Valeri</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
250	The Distributional Implications of a Carbon Tax in Ireland <i>Tim Callan, Sean Lyons, Susan Scott, Richard S.J. Tol</i> and Stefano Verde
249	Measuring Material Deprivation in the Enlarged EU <i>Christopher T. Whelan, Brian Nolan</i> and <i>Bertrand</i> <i>Maître</i>
248	Marginal Abatement Costs on Carbon-Dioxide Emissions: A Meta-Analysis Onno Kuik, Luke Brander and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
247	Incorporating GHG Emission Costs in the Economic Appraisal of Projects Supported by State Development Agencies <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> and <i>Seán Lyons</i>
246	A Carton Tax for Ireland <i>Richard S.J. Tol, Tim Callan, Thomas Conefrey, John</i> <i>D. Fitz Gerald, Seán Lyons, Laura Malaguzzi Valeri</i> and <i>Susan Scott</i>
245	Non-cash Benefits and the Distribution of Economic Welfare <i>Tim Callan</i> and <i>Claire Keane</i>

244	Scenarios of Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Aviation <i>Karen Mayor</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
243	The Effect of the Euro on Export Patterns: Empirical Evidence from Industry Data <i>Gavin Murphy</i> and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
242	The Economic Returns to Field of Study and Competencies Among Higher Education Graduates in Ireland <i>Elish Kelly, Philip O'Connell</i> and <i>Emer Smyth</i>
241	European Climate Policy and Aviation Emissions <i>Karen Mayor</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
240	Aviation and the Environment in the Context of the EU-US Open Skies Agreement <i>Karen Mayor</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
239	Yuppie Kvetch? Work-life Conflict and Social Class in Western Europe <i>Frances McGinnity</i> and <i>Emma Calvert</i>
238	Immigrants and Welfare Programmes: Exploring the Interactions between Immigrant Characteristics, Immigrant Welfare Dependence and Welfare Policy <i>Alan Barrett</i> and Yvonne McCarthy
237	How Local is Hospital Treatment? An Exploratory Analysis of Public/Private Variation in Location of Treatment in Irish Acute Public Hospitals Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
236	The Immigrant Earnings Disadvantage Across the Earnings and Skills Distributions: The Case of Immigrants from the EU's New Member States in Ireland <i>Alan Barrett, Seamus McGuinness</i> and <i>Martin O'Brien</i>
235	Europeanisation of Inequality and European Reference Groups <i>Christopher T. Whelan</i> and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>

234	Managing Capital Flows: Experiences from Central and Eastern Europe Jürgen von Hagen and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
233	ICT Diffusion, Innovation Systems, Globalisation and Regional Economic Dynamics: Theory and Empirical Evidence Charlie Karlsson, Gunther Maier, Michaela Trippl, <i>Iulia</i> <i>Siedschlag,</i> Robert Owen and <i>Gavin Murphy</i>
232	Welfare and Competition Effects of Electricity Interconnection between Great Britain and Ireland Laura Malaguzzi Valeri
231	Is FDI into China Crowding Out the FDI into the European Union? Laura Resmini and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
230	Estimating the Economic Cost of Disability in Ireland John Cullinan, Brenda Gannon and Seán Lyons
229	Controlling the Cost of Controlling the Climate: The Irish Government's Climate Change Strategy Colm McCarthy, <i>Sue Scott</i>
228	The Impact of Climate Change on the Balanced- Growth-Equivalent: An Application of <i>FUND</i> <i>David Anthoff</i> , <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
227	Changing Returns to Education During a Boom? The Case of Ireland Seamus McGuinness, Frances McGinnity, Philip O'Connell
226	'New' and 'Old' Social Risks: Life Cycle and Social Class Perspectives on Social Exclusion in Ireland <i>Christopher T. Whelan</i> and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
225	The Climate Preferences of Irish Tourists by Purpose of Travel <i>Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
224	A Hirsch Measure for the Quality of Research Supervision, and an Illustration with Trade

		Economists Frances P. Ruane and Richard S.J. Tol
	223	Environmental Accounts for the Republic of Ireland: 1990-2005 <i>Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
2007	222	Assessing Vulnerability of Selected Sectors under Environmental Tax Reform: The issue of pricing power J. Fitz Gerald, M. Keeney and S. Scott
	221	Climate Policy Versus Development Aid Richard S.J. Tol
	220	Exports and Productivity – Comparable Evidence for 14 Countries <i>The International Study Group on Exports and</i> <i>Productivity</i>
	219	Energy-Using Appliances and Energy-Saving Features: Determinants of Ownership in Ireland Joe O'Doherty, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	218	The Public/Private Mix in Irish Acute Public Hospitals: Trends and Implications Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
	217	Regret About the Timing of First Sexual Intercourse: The Role of Age and Context <i>Richard Layte</i> , Hannah McGee
	216	Determinants of Water Connection Type and Ownership of Water-Using Appliances in Ireland Joe O'Doherty, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	215	Unemployment – Stage or Stigma? Being Unemployed During an Economic Boom <i>Emer Smyth</i>
	214	The Value of Lost Load <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
	213	Adolescents' Educational Attainment and School

	Experiences in Contemporary Ireland Merike Darmody, Selina McCoy, Emer Smyth
212	Acting Up or Opting Out? Truancy in Irish Secondary Schools <i>Merike Darmody, Emer Smyth</i> and <i>Selina McCoy</i>
211	Where do MNEs Expand Production: Location Choices of the Pharmaceutical Industry in Europe after 1992 <i>Frances P. Ruane</i> , Xiaoheng Zhang
210	Holiday Destinations: Understanding the Travel Choices of Irish Tourists <i>Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
209	The Effectiveness of Competition Policy and the Price- Cost Margin: Evidence from Panel Data Patrick McCloughan, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and William Batt
208	Tax Structure and Female Labour Market Participation: Evidence from Ireland <i>Tim Callan</i> , A. Van Soest, <i>J.R. Walsh</i>