

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Callan, Tim; Keane, Claire; Walsh, John R.; Lane, Marguerita

Working Paper

From data to policy analysis: Tax-benefit modelling using SILC 2008

ESRI Working Paper, No. 359

Provided in Cooperation with:

The Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI), Dublin

Suggested Citation: Callan, Tim; Keane, Claire; Walsh, John R.; Lane, Marguerita (2010): From data to policy analysis: Tax-benefit modelling using SILC 2008, ESRI Working Paper, No. 359, The Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI), Dublin

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/50032

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Working Paper No. 359

October 2010

From Data to Policy Analysis: Tax-Benefit Modelling using SILC 2008



Corresponding Author: Tim.Callan@esri.ie

Paper presented at the Statistical and Social Inquiry Society of Ireland, Central Statistics Office, Cork, 30 September 2010

ESRI working papers represent un-refereed work-in-progress by researchers who are solely responsible for the content and any views expressed therein. Any comments on these papers will be welcome and should be sent to the author(s) by email. Papers may be downloaded for personal use only.

From Data to Policy Analysis: Tax-Benefit Modelling using SILC 2008

1. Introduction

Policy makers and the body politic have a strong interest in ensuring that the tax transfer system functions well. This common interest in policies that are efficient in achieving their objectives – including economic efficiency and fairness – is heightened by the scarcity of resources during the current crisis. The effects of tax and welfare policy changes are wide-ranging and complex, varying with the characteristics of individuals and their family and household situation. Changes in income tax and social welfare can alter the distribution of income and the incidence of poverty (whether measured in terms of income alone ("risk of poverty") or in terms of income and material deprivation (consistent poverty)). Tax and welfare changes can also have significant impacts on financial incentives to work, potentially affecting decisions about labour market participation and hours of work.

Tax-benefit models have been widely used as tools for the analysis of potential policy reforms - for a recent review see Bourguignon and Spadaro, 2009. Microsimulation modelling has been widely used in the UK and the US for many years in order to explore policy choices and the impact of potential or actual policy changes. In Ireland, a tax-benefit model was developed at the ESRI to undertake a similar role. The SWITCH model (Simulating Welfare and Income Tax CHanges) was initially based on the ESRI's 1987 Survey of Income Distribution, Poverty and Usage of State Services, and later was re-based to use data from the Living in Ireland Surveys. In more recent years, the model has been redeveloped to use data from the CSO's Survey on Income and Living Conditions (SILC) – first based on data for the year 2005, and now based on the most recent year currently available, 2008.

This paper looks more closely at the technical issues which arise in using SILC data as the basis for the SWITCH tax-benefit model. Some specific applications are used to illustrate particular points, but the main focus of the paper is a more technical one. However, Section 2 begins by discussing the benefits and capabilities of a tax benefit

model and the contribution it makes to policy evaluation. Section 3 discusses the broad data requirements of a tax benefit model. Section 4 documents the procedures and issues involved in creating a database for the model based on data from the CSO's Survey on Income and Living Conditions (SILC). Section 5 considers issues regarding the degree to which the database represents the income tax base and social welfare client population. The potential role of weights designed specifically to address this issue is considered. Adjustment of the survey database to represent the next budgetary year is also discussed. Some key issues are then drawn together in the concluding section.

2. Modelling Tax and Welfare Policy Options

Very often policy changes are considered in terms of their effects on a number of "hypothetical families". This approach has severe limitations. For example, less than one family in 20 falls into the category of "one-earner couple with 2 children" which attracts so much attention at budget time. Furthermore families within this category differ in terms of income, housing tenure, and other characteristics that affect their tax-benefit position. More fundamentally, analysis of hypothetical families - no matter how well chosen - simply cannot give an overall picture of the impact of a policy change on incomes and work incentives.

Up until 2007 SWITCH was based on data from the Living in Ireland (LII) Surveys. In 2007 the model was rebased using data from the 2005 wave of the CSO's Survey on Income and Living Conditions (SILC). One advantage of the SILC data over that of the LII is the size of the sample interviewed, with the SILC sample size being about 50% greater than that for the earlier surveys. By the final year of the LII Survey (2001) the sample size stood at 2,865 households with 6,518 individuals. The 2005 wave of SILC consisted of 6,085 households with 15,539 individuals. The current model has been rebased using data from the 2008 SILC containing households with 12,551 individuals.

It is not currently feasible to update the model with the most recent data available each year due to time and financial constraints. Therefore, for the purpose of budgetary analysis, these data are adjusted and uprated to represent the situation in the

current budgetary year. Even if it were possible to update the data in the model annually there would always be a need to adjust and uprate the data as data is never available for the current year. As the model is based on a large-scale nationally representative sample of households this ensures that the model represents as fully as possible the great diversity of household circumstances relevant to tax and social welfare.

At the heart of the SWITCH package is a suite of programs that simulate the disposable income each family would obtain under the current set of income tax and social welfare policies, and under an alternative policy of interest. The results are tabulated to show the patterns of gains and losses over the income distribution, or by family type, and to give an indication of the incidence of relative income poverty. The policy change under consideration could be a simple change in one tax rate; or a complex programme of tax and welfare reform. The model is used each year to assess the impact of the budget. The model can be used to explore long-term packages of reforms, and then examine alternative paths towards the selected long-term objective. As well as evaluating possible and actual policy changes SWITCH can be used to examine counterfactual situations, specifically the computation of replacement rates and marginal tax rates.

3. Data Requirements

Simulating the welfare entitlements and income tax liabilities of a given individual or family requires quite detailed information on a wide range of variables, including

- ages of family members
- marital status
- family and household composition
- labour force status of the individual
- detailed information on the components of income received by the individual and his or her spouse/partner
- information on mortgage interest payments and other housing costs

Much of the required information is gathered by SILC as part of the process of measuring disposable income, for the purposes of measuring indicators of poverty and social exclusion.

Most tax and welfare policies operate do not operate at the level of the household, though household income and household welfare are of key concern to policy. Instead, tax and welfare policies tend to operate at either individual level (e.g, contributions to social insurance, and some social insurance benefits) or at a family unit level. Detailed information on family and household composition is needed to ensure that it is possible to group individuals into family units, defined as an individual, together with his or her spouse, and dependent children. Further information on how this is done for SWITCH is given in the next section.

The CSO's SILC forms part of a set of harmonized surveys used by Eurostat to analyse issues relating to poverty, social inclusion and other issues. The income concept adopted at European level is measured in annual terms. e.g., total employee and self employed income received during the last year etc. As a result, annual incomes are the core concern of the Irish implementation of SILC. While there are good reasons to be interested in this measure of income, it is not a suitable measure for the purpose of *simulating* welfare entitlement. In order to be able to analyse policy changes, it is essential to be able to simulate welfare entitlements both under the current system and under the proposed reforms. Welfare entitlements depend in the main on *current* income and labour market status. In the transition from the use of the Living in Ireland surveys to SILC for purposes of monitoring poverty in Ireland, CSO included a number of questions designed to ensure that the Irish version of SILC would capture key elements of the current income measure as well as annual income. Further detail on specific issues related to current income will be given in the next section: in what follows here we give a flavour of some of the issues involved.

Given the need to simulate current entitlements, it is clear that annual income measures would not suffice. Think, for example, of a person who was in employment, became unemployed and received Jobseeker's Benefit. The individual might then have returned to work, or been transferred to Jobseeker's Assistance before ultimately

returning to work. But when simulating the individual's welfare entitlement, we would need to take into account his or her current situation and income. In order to do this, we construct variables relating to current employment, current income and current receipt of social welfare benefits, based on the additional detail now available in SILC 2008.

Therefore, we need to construct variables such as the current wage and current receipt of each of the social welfare schemes. This proved difficult to do with the data gathered in SILC 2005, but some key additional variables are included in the Research Microdata File for SILC 2008.¹

More generally, the value of the SILC strategy for collection of information on receipt of social welfare benefits must be acknowledged. Respondents to the survey – which is entirely voluntary and dependent on public cooperation – are offered a choice of how to provide information on their receipt of social welfare benefits. They can, in the traditional way, respond directly to a series of questions on welfare benefits. But the vast majority of respondents take up the other option, which is to provide the interviewer with their PPSN (personal public services number) and permission for CSO to use this to obtain the relevant information via the records of the Department of Social Protection.

This strategy has a number of benefits:

- For respondents, it reduces the time-cost of cooperating with the survey and the fact that upwards of 80 per cent of respondents chose this option indicates that this was preferred by most.
- It is an efficient method of data collection, using a pre-existing source
- It provides high quality information, not distorted by problems of recall or misclassification of benefits.

In our earlier experience with the direct collection of information on welfare receipt (for example, in the Living in Ireland surveys), it was clear that while many individuals gave accurate information, there were some particular benefits where respondents tended to be unclear as to which benefit was received. For example, some

5

_

¹ We are grateful to CSO for the inclusion of a small number of key variables which have greatly improved the accuracy with which current welfare incomes can be established.

widows might claim to be in receipt of an old age pension when in fact they received a widow's pension. Similarly there could be confusion as between State Contributory and Non-Contributory Pensions. When the information is provided via the administrative database, such problems are minimised.

4. Constructing a Model Database from SILC

As mentioned in Section 2 family units are created based on the information contained in the SILC survey. The basic unit of analysis in the model is either a tax unit or an income-sharing unit. A tax unit represents a married couple or single person, together with all children aged under 15, and children aged less than 18 who are in full time education. An income sharing unit is a broader family-based concept which also includes students of any age living with their parents. The difference between income-sharing units and tax-sharing units is that third-level students living with their parents are counted as separate tax units, but are included part of the same income-sharing unit as their parents.

While tax and welfare policies tend to operate, in the main, at either individual or family unit level, policy also has a keen interest in the outcomes at household level. For example, analysis of those falling below relative income poverty lines or "at risk of poverty" is based on income per adult equivalent at household level, but with each individual (adult or child) counting separately. This is the procedure most often adopted in, for example, the EU Joint Social Inclusion Report and the monitoring poverty reports produced by the ESRI for the DSFA. Similarly the national measure of "consistent poverty" is one which is defined at household level.

Once tax and income sharing units have been created the model then goes on to simulate tax liabilities and social welfare receipt. For social insurance (contributory) benefits, we model the amount of the payment, which depends on family circumstances including the earnings of a spouse. For social assistance (noncontributory) benefits, the model uses information from the survey to establish whether the individual falls into a category covered by a particular scheme; and then whether the individual is entitled to any payment, based on the means test applicable to that scheme or broad group of schemes. Similarly, information gathered in the survey is used to estimate the income tax liabilities and PRSI contributions for each

individual and/or tax unit. As well as simulating income tax and PRSI under existing rules, the model allows for policy reforms, such as the introduction of a Universal Social Charge, to be analysed. (See Callan et. al., 2010)

5. Calibration and Validation

The CSO weighting procedure² used to create household cross-sectional weights begins with household design weights, which are in inverse proportion to the probability of selection. A further adjustment is made to take account of non-response among longitudinal households, but no such adjustment is made for cross-sectional or "wave 1" households, as substitutions were made for non-responding households. Benchmark information or "control totals" are then used to estimate weights which gross up the data to population estimates. This approach is a well known one internationally, and is part of EUROSTAT's specification for SILC. Broadly speaking, the weighting estimates are derived finding the smallest adjustment to the weights which ensures that the weighted estimates reproduce the control totals or "benchmarks".

The control totals or benchmarks used by CSO are:

- population estimates by sex and age group (0-14, 15-34, 35-64, 65 and over). These are based on population projections, which draw on Census data.
- Household population estimates at regional level using the eight NUTS3 regions. These are generated from the Quarterly National Household Survey (QNHS)
- Household composition controls (6 categories, depending on numbers of adults and numbers of children) which are also drawn from the QNHS.

These controls help to ensure that SILC is broadly representative of the Irish household population in terms of key demographics (age group, sex, household composition and region). There is, however, no guarantee that this set of controls will ensure that the survey data represent the social welfare client population and/or the income tax base. These are key requirements for a tax-benefit model: the value added

7

_

² The description given here is a summary of the information in Appendix 2, Background Notes, of CSO (2009), *Survey on Income and Living Conditions (SILC)*.

by the model will be greatly enhanced if the input database provides a good representation of the welfare client population and the income tax base. In what follows we explore this issue.

We begin by considering the estimates of the social welfare client population based on SILC with the official statistics on numbers of recipients of social welfare schemes. Table 1 shows the estimated numbers of social welfare recipients by scheme type for 2008. The first column of figures shows the number of recipients by scheme as documented by the Department of Social Protections annual statistical report, *Statistical Information on Social Welfare Services* (SISWS) for 2008. These figures are based on the numbers in receipt of benefit as at 31 December 2008 (and the same end-December date is used for each year of the report). Thus, they provide a snapshot picture of the welfare population. In order to provide a comparable picture, the second column of figures shows the (grossed-up) number of persons *currently* in receipt of social welfare benefits as of the date of interview for the SILC.. As noted earlier, this involves specially constructed variables for current receipt of benefit; figures on the numbers receiving benefits at some time during the year would not be comparable with the snapshot picture provided by the administratives statistics, though they are of course of interest for other purposes.

The SILC 'current' figures refer to the number of people who state that they are currently in receipt under a particular scheme at the date of interview. The figures are not directly comparable with the end-December figures from SISWS, as the SILC interviews take place throughout the year. Data collection for SILC 2008 began in November 2007 and continued until December 2008. While there are some payments with a seasonal element (e.g., back to school, Christmas bonus and fuel allowance) these do not have a major impact on the comparison. Trends in unemployment have the potential to make a more serious impact, and the differences between unemployment averaged over November 2007 to December 2008, and the end-December figure need to be taken into account in making the comparison.

Table 1: Numbers of recipients by social welfare scheme: Estimates based on SILC 2008 compared with administrative statistics at 31 December 2008.

Scheme type ¹ :		Statistical Information on Social Welfare Services, 2008	SILC 2008, Current ²
Retired/Older people	State Contributory Pension ³	211.4	186.4
	Retirement Pension	6.8	*
	State Non-Contributory		
	Pension ³	97.7	88.7
		315.9	275.1
	Widow(er)'s Contributory		
Family	Pension	112.2	115.7
-	Widow(er)'s Non-Contributory		
	Pension	2.0	*
	Deserted Wife's Benefit	9.1	10.7
	One-parent Family Benefit	87.8	100.2
	Maternity Benefit	23.4	*
		234.6	226.6
Illness/Disability/	Illness Benefit (Disability		
Carer's	Benefit)	73.6	83.4
	Invalidity Pension	53.7	64.9
	Injury Benefit	0.8	*
	Disablement Benefit	13.2	*
	Carer's Benefit	2.2	*
	Disability Allowance	95.8	107.2
	Carer's Allowance	43.6	38.9
		282.9	294.3
Jobseeker Supports	Jobseeker's Benefit	121.8	89.5
	Jobseeker's Allowance4	122.5	145.3
		244.2	234.7
Employment Support	Back to Work	3.6	*
1 0 11	Family Income Supplement	27.8	36.0
	Farm Assist	7.5	15.7
		38.9	51.7
Supplementary Welfar	e Regular Supplementary Welfar		
Allowance	Allowance	35.5	13.9

¹ Figures for Deserted Wife's Allowance, Blind Pension, Health and Safety Benefit, Adoptive Benefit, Guardians Payment Contributory, Guardians Payment Non-Contributory Pension, Part-time Job Incentive Scheme, Back to Enterprise and Back to Education schemes are excluded due to low numbers in the population and hence low or zero numbers in the sample.

Here are the key points that emerge from the table:

² The weight used in this analysis is the one described in CSO (2009) Survey on Income and Living Conditions (SILC) 2008 i.e, it is based on demographics (4 age groups by sex), household location (8 NUTS3 regions) and household composition.

³ SISWS 2008 figures adjusted to exclude recipients living outside the state, who would be outside the scope of the SILC. (See Table B8 of Statistical Information on Social Welfare Services 2008, category 'other').

⁴ Includes Pre-Retirement Allowance, now discontinued.

^{*}Fewer than 30 cases in sample, estimates not reported

- SILC coverage of each of the groups of schemes is excellent
- Major schemes within each group of schemes are also well represented. For schemes with more than 40,000 recipients, the coverage ratio (numbers estimated from SILC as a proportion of numbers reported in SISWS) ranges from 87 per cent to 121 per cent.
- For schemes relating to old age, we should note also that about 10 per cent of those aged 65 and over are living in non-private households mainly nursing homes and hospitals.³ When this is taken into account the coverage ratio for the State Contributory and Non-Contributory Pensions is close to 100 per cent.
- For smaller schemes, the sample size is sometimes insufficient to allow an
 estimate to be published, and coverage is more variable in the cases where an
 estimate can be provided.
- The total in receipt of unemployment compensation is close to that recorded at December 2008 by SISWS, but the composition is rather different. At December 2008 there were more persons in receipt of Jobseeker's Benefit, and fewer in receipt of Jobseeker's Allowance. This reflects the fact that the onset of the recession brought new inflows onto the Live Register who had previously been in employment, and had an entitlement to the insurance-based Jobseeker's Benefit.

Overall then, SILC can be seen as providing a very good representation of the social welfare client population, making it a good base from which to conduct policy analysis and modelling.

We now turn to a comparison of the SILC survey with the income tax base, as measured by the Revenue Commissioners. Table 2 below shows that about a quarter of income tax payments come from tax units with incomes below €0,000 per annum. Over 40 per cent comes from those with incomes between €0,000 and €150,000, and a further 30 per cent from the small number of tax units with incomes above €150,000. When we compare the SILC-based estimate of numbers of tax units in these income ranges with the numbers reported by Revenue, we find that SILC has somewhat higher numbers in the lowest income band. This is not unexpected as

³ Volume 3 of Census of Population 2006.

Revenue coverage of the lowest incomes is unlikely to be complete. However, at higher income levels this consideration does not apply, and SILC appears to underrepresent the population of those with incomes above €0,000 and in particular, those with the highest incomes, above €150,000.

Table 2: Distribution of Aggregate Income Tax Payments and SILC Coverage of Taxpayers by Income Range, 2008

		% of	
		aggregate	Ratio between SILC-based
Gross annu	al income	income tax	estimate of no. of tax units and
range		payments	Revenue estimate
From	To		
0	60,000	26	107%
60,000	150,000	44	81%
Over 150,0	00	30	71%

It would be unrealistic to expect a perfect match between SILC-based estimates and Revenue figures. First, this comparison is based on annualised current income, as used in the SWITCH model. Revenue statistics, on the other hand, use income in the calendar year. Second, married couples opting for separate assessment may appear as two separate tax units in the Revenue figures, but as a single tax unit in the SILC based figures. Nevertheless, we expect that the underrepresentation of higher incomes, and in particular of the highest incomes, would be robust to corrections for these factors. Indeed, underrepresentation of higher incomes in surveys is not an uncommon finding in the international literature. There have been similar problems in surveys in other countries, and in previous surveys of income in Ireland – including the predecessors of the SILC, the ESRI's Living in Ireland Surveys (1994-2001) and the Survey of Income Distribution, Poverty and Usage of State Services (1987).

The most important factor contributing to this phenomenon is not, as is sometimes thought, the underreporting of incomes. (This tends to be more of a problem where a single income question or a small number of questions are used. SILC looks for a great deal of detail on income components – thereby prompting respondents to recall items that might be forgotten if asked a single question). Underrepresentation of higher incomes in the survey tends instead to come mainly

from lower response rates from those with higher incomes – which may be linked, among other things, to a higher value placed on time.

Given that this is so, a strategy which can be used to correct for differential response rates is to calibrate the weights using external information, such as that from the Revenue statistics. As tax-benefit models seek to represent both the income tax base and the social welfare client population, this is an important issue to which we now turn.

Essentially the procedure used is the same as that employed by CSO in constructing the benchmark weights. The difference is that some additional control totals are used, chief among these being control totals or benchmarks relating to the distribution of income taxpayers by income band.⁴ Similar approaches have been employed for many years in UK tax-benefit models (Atkinson et al., 1988) and in Germany (Merz). The CSO benchmark weights are treated as the initial weights in our procedure, and new weights are estimated using the CALMAR software⁵, which gross up the population both to the new control totals, and to the controls applied by CSO. While these weights, by design, differ as little as possible from the input weights, the differences are substantial. Part of the price for the inclusion of additonal controls is that the dispersion of the weights is increased. In our application to 2008, 80 per cent of the cases receive a weight which is between 37% and 230% of the initial weight. This means that two cases which started out with the same weight could see their final weights differ by a factor of 6; and greater differences are found for the remaining 20 per cent of cases.

Given that our procedure involves re-applying the control totals from the CSO benchmarks, results on these domains tend to be similar. However there are substantiala differences in terms of the implications of the alternative weighting

participation may not be fully captured.

⁴ There is also one additional demographic control, giving further detail on the numbers above and below 18. Without this it is possible that the numbers in the key age group for labour market

⁵ CALMAR was developed by INSEE and is widely used by national statistical agencies in Europe and by EUROSTAT. The weights are CALibrated to recapture MARginal totals.

choices for the analysis of tax policy. Table 3 reports costings of tax policy changes from the Department of Finance/Revenue pre-Budget 2008 "Ready Reckoner". These are compared with two costings based on SWITCH: one using SILC with the CSO's benchmark weights, and the other using SILC with the adjusted weights involving calibration to the income distribution among taxpayers. It is clear that when the CSO's benchmark weights are used, the costs of tax policy changes are substantially underestimated – "coverage" of the cost ranging from about 60 per cent (for a top rate tax cut) to 80 per cent (for a change in the personal tax credit). This is to be expected given the comparison of income distributions in Table 2. Using the adjusted weights, on the other hand, the costs are well represented, with the "coverage ratio" varying between 93 and 112 per cent.

Table 3: Costing of tax policy changes, 2008

Table 3: Costing of tax p		SWITCH based on	
	Pre-Budget	SILC 2008, using	SWITCH 2008 using
	2008 Ready	initial (benchmark)	SILC 2008, with
	Reckoner	weights	adjusted weights.
Personal tax credit +100	214	174	200
PAYE tax credit +50	69	52	69
Tax band +1000	124	80	130
Standard tax rate -1%	565	418	562
Top tax rate -1%	280	170	314
_		As % of ready	reckoner estimate
Personal tax credit +100		81	93
PAYE tax credit +50		76	100
Tax band +1000		64	105
Standard tax rate -1%		74	100
Top tax rate -1%		60	112

Notes: Ready reckoner estimates from

http://www.finance.gov.ie/documents/publications/taxation/Ready_Reckonerpre2008.pdf

What implication do the adjusted weights have, if any, for the estimates of "risk of poverty" based on SILC? This depends on two conflicting influences. On the one hand, the additional income captured by this method tends to raise the poverty line. Of itself, this would tend to raise the numbers falling below the income line. But the adjusted figures also imply that a smaller proportion of the population falls into low income categories, and more into the higher income categories. This works in the opposite direction, tending to reduce the incidence of poverty. The net effect cannot

be determined a priori. A full simulation of the alternative scenario, with adjusted weights, is needed. to resolve the issue of whether this will raise or lower the head count of poverty. This has been done using SWITCH.

Before we turn to these results, we must not that SILC 2008 reports an "at risk of poverty" rate of 14.4 per cent in 2008. Simulation using the SWITCH model, with the benchmark weight provided by CSO, arrives at a slightly lower figure, 13.3 per cent. Of necessity, simulation is based on the premise that all individuals obtain their maximum welfare entitlements. Simulated poverty measures tend, therefore, to be somewhat lower than the actual – but provide a good basis for simulating the impact of policy changes on risks of poverty.

What happens to the at risk of poverty measure when using the adjusted weight, taking into account the distribution of income among taxpayers as measured by the Revenue? Our results indicate that the head count would fall slightly, to 12.4 per cent. Thus, the impact of the reweighting on the composition of the population as between low and high incomes dominates the impact of the reweighting on the level of median income and hence the cut-off for "at risk of poverty".

6. Conclusion

Tax-benefit modelling is now part of the infrastructure for policy making and policy debate in Ireland, as in many other countries (see for example the work of the Institute for Fiscal Studies in the UK, and of the Tax Policy Center in the US). The use of the model to analyse current tax and welfare policy issues means there is a need for data to represent both the social welfare client base and the income tax base. SILC data provides a key input in this regard. The SILC database for tax benefit modelling has been improved by a small number of additional or more detailed questions, and by linkages to administrative data – which fully respect the requirements of anonymized data in the Research Microdata File (RMF). There are, however, issues surrounding the coverage of the income tax base; in our view, re-calibration of the data is needed to deal with this, when analysing tax-benefit policies using a microsimulation model.

What sorts of developments could help to further improve the data infrastructure for policy analysis of taxes and benefits? From our perspective, two areas could offer considerable potential. The first is the possibility of linkages on the income tax side parallel to those on the social welfare side. If income information could be provided conveniently and accurately via linkages to income tax records, this could help to impove both accuracy and perhaps coverage. Sample size is the other major area where improvements might be sought. Much larger sample sizes, such as are found in the UK's Family Resource Survey, could also improve the accuracy and quality of the database; but the costs involved are similar no matter what the size of country, meaning that the burden in terms of financing is greater in small countries.

References

A.B. Atkinson, J. Gomulka, H. Sutherland (1988), "Grossing-up FES data for tax-benefit models", in A.B. Atkinson, H. Sutherland (Eds) *Tax-Benefit Models*, London: STICERD Occasional Paper No. 10.

Bourguignon, F. and A. Spadaro (2006) "Microsimulation as a tool for evaluating redistribution policies" *Journal of Economic Inequality* (2006) 4: 77–106 DOI: 10.1007/s10888-005-9012-6

Central Statistics Office, 2009. Survey on Income and Living Conditions (SILC) 2008, Cork: Central Statistics Office

Constance F. Citro and Eric A. Hanushek (eds), *Improving Information for Social Policy Decisions: The Uses of Microsimulation Modelling Vol. I: Review and Recommendations and Vol. II: Technical Papers*, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington DC, 1991. Vol. I xiv + 346 pp, Vol. 2 vii + 351 pp. paper, £30.00 and £33.95..

Immervoll, H., Kleven, H. J., Kreiner, C. T. and Saez, E. (2007), Welfare reform in European countries: a microsimulation analysis. *The Economic Journal*, 117: 1–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0297.2007.02000.x

Merz, J., (1991) "Microsimulation -- A survey of principles, developments and applications", *International Journal of Forecasting*, Volume 7, Issue 1, May, pp.77-104, ISSN 0169-2070, DOI: 10.1016/0169-2070(91)90035-T.

Martini, A. and Trivellato, U. (1997), The Role of Survey Data in Microsimulation Models for Social Policy Analysis. *LABOUR*, 11: 83–112. doi: 10.1111/1467-9914.00030

Year	Number	Title/Author(s) ESRI Authors/Co-authors Italicised
2010		
	358	Towards a Better and Sustainable Health Care System – Resource Allocation and Financing Issues for Ireland Frances Ruane
	357	An Estimate of the Value of Lost Load for Ireland Eimear Leahy and Richard S.J. Tol
	356	Public Policy Towards the Sale of State Assets in Troubled Times: Lessons from the Irish Experience Paul K Gorecki, Sean Lyons and Richard S. J. Tol
	355	The Impact of Ireland's Recession on the Labour Market Outcomes of its Immigrants Alan Barrett and Elish Kelly
	354	Research and Policy Making Frances Ruane
	353	Market Regulation and Competition; Law in Conflict: A View from Ireland, Implications of the Panda Judgment Philip Andrews and <i>Paul K Gorecki</i>
	352	Designing a property tax without property values: Analysis in the case of Ireland Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
	351	Civil War, Climate Change and Development: A Scenario Study for Sub-Saharan Africa Conor Devitt and Richard S.J. Tol
	350	Regulating Knowledge Monopolies: The Case of the IPCC Richard S.J. Tol
	349	The Impact of Tax Reform on New Car Purchases in Ireland Hugh Hennessy and Richard S.J. Tol
	348	Climate Policy under Fat-Tailed Risk: An Application of FUND David Anthoff and Richard S.J. Tol
	347	Corporate Expenditure on Environmental Protection Stefanie A. Haller and Liam Murphy
	346	Female Labour Supply and Divorce: New Evidence from Ireland Olivier Bargain, Libertad González, <i>Claire Keane</i> and

Berkay Özcan

	345	A Statistical Profiling Model of Long-Term Unemployment Risk in Ireland Philip J. O'Connell, Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly
	344	The Economic Crisis, Public Sector Pay, and the Income Distribution <i>Tim Callan, Brian Nolan (UCD) and John Walsh</i>
	343	Estimating the Impact of Access Conditions on Service Quality in Post Gregory Swinand, Conor O'Toole and Seán Lyons
	342	The Impact of Climate Policy on Private Car Ownership in Ireland Hugh Hennessy and Richard S.J. Tol
	341	National Determinants of Vegetarianism Eimear Leahy, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
	340	An Estimate of the Number of Vegetarians in the World Eimear Leahy, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
	339	International Migration in Ireland, 2009 Philip J O'Connell and Corona Joyce
	338	The Euro Through the Looking-Glass: Perceived Inflation Following the 2002 Currency Changeover Pete Lunn and David Duffy
	337	Returning to the Question of a Wage Premium for Returning Migrants Alan Barrett and Jean Goggin
2009	336	What Determines the Location Choice of Multinational Firms in the ICT Sector? <i>Iulia Siedschlag, Xiaoheng Zhang, Donal Smith</i>
	335	Cost-benefit analysis of the introduction of weight-based charges for domestic waste – West Cork's experience Sue Scott and Dorothy Watson
	334	The Likely Economic Impact of Increasing Investment in Wind on the Island of Ireland Conor Devitt, Seán Diffney, John Fitz Gerald, Seán Lyons and Laura Malaguzzi Valeri
	333	Estimating Historical Landfill Quantities to Predict Methane Emissions Seán Lyons, Liam Murphy and Richard S.J. Tol 18

332	International Climate Policy and Regional Welfare Weights Daiju Narita, <i>Richard S. J. Tol,</i> and <i>David Anthoff</i>
331	A Hedonic Analysis of the Value of Parks and Green Spaces in the Dublin Area Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons, David Duffy and Richard S.J. Tol
330	Measuring International Technology Spillovers and Progress Towards the European Research Area <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
329	Climate Policy and Corporate Behaviour Nicola Commins, Seán Lyons, Marc Schiffbauer, and Richard S.J. Tol
328	The Association Between Income Inequality and Mental Health: Social Cohesion or Social Infrastructure Richard Layte and Bertrand Maître
327	A Computational Theory of Exchange: Willingness to pay, willingness to accept and the endowment effect Pete Lunn and Mary Lunn
326	Fiscal Policy for Recovery John Fitz Gerald
326 325	3
	John Fitz Gerald The EU 20/20/2020 Targets: An Overview of the EMF22 Assessment Christoph Böhringer, Thomas F. Rutherford, and Richard
325	John Fitz Gerald The EU 20/20/2020 Targets: An Overview of the EMF22 Assessment Christoph Böhringer, Thomas F. Rutherford, and Richard S.J. Tol Counting Only the Hits? The Risk of Underestimating the Costs of Stringent Climate Policy
325 324	John Fitz Gerald The EU 20/20/2020 Targets: An Overview of the EMF22 Assessment Christoph Böhringer, Thomas F. Rutherford, and Richard S.J. Tol Counting Only the Hits? The Risk of Underestimating the Costs of Stringent Climate Policy Massimo Tavoni, Richard S.J. Tol International Cooperation on Climate Change Adaptation from an Economic Perspective
325 324 323	The EU 20/20/2020 Targets: An Overview of the EMF22 Assessment Christoph Böhringer, Thomas F. Rutherford, and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> Counting Only the Hits? The Risk of Underestimating the Costs of Stringent Climate Policy Massimo Tavoni, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> International Cooperation on Climate Change Adaptation from an Economic Perspective Kelly C. de Bruin, Rob B. Dellink and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> What Role for Property Taxes in Ireland?

	Paul K Gorecki
319	Negative Equity in the Irish Housing Market David Duffy
318	Estimating the Impact of Immigration on Wages in Ireland Alan Barrett, Adele Bergin and Elish Kelly
317	Assessing the Impact of Wage Bargaining and Worker Preferences on the Gender Pay Gap in Ireland Using the National Employment Survey 2003 Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly, Philip O'Connell, Tim Callan
316	Mismatch in the Graduate Labour Market Among Immigrants and Second-Generation Ethnic Minority Groups Delma Byrne and Seamus McGuinness
315	Managing Housing Bubbles in Regional Economies under EMU: Ireland and Spain <i>Thomas Conefrey</i> and <i>John Fitz Gerald</i>
314	Job Mismatches and Labour Market Outcomes Kostas Mavromaras, Seamus McGuinness, Nigel O'Leary, Peter Sloane and Yin King Fok
313	Immigrants and Employer-provided Training Alan Barrett, Séamus McGuinness, Martin O'Brien and Philip O'Connell
312	Did the Celtic Tiger Decrease Socio-Economic Differentials in Perinatal Mortality in Ireland? Richard Layte and Barbara Clyne
311	Exploring International Differences in Rates of Return to Education: Evidence from EU SILC Maria A. Davia, <i>Seamus McGuinness</i> and <i>Philip, J. O'Connell</i>
310	Car Ownership and Mode of Transport to Work in Ireland <i>Nicola Commins</i> and <i>Anne Nolan</i>
309	Recent Trends in the Caesarean Section Rate in Ireland 1999-2006 Aoife Brick and Richard Layte
308	Price Inflation and Income Distribution Anne Jennings, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol

Do a Little Good

307	Overskilling Dynamics and Education Pathways Kostas Mavromaras, <i>Seamus McGuinness</i> , Yin King Fok
306	What Determines the Attractiveness of the European Union to the Location of R&D Multinational Firms? <i>Iulia Siedschlag, Donal Smith, Camelia Turcu, Xiaoheng Zhang</i>
305	Do Foreign Mergers and Acquisitions Boost Firm Productivity? Marc Schiffbauer, Iulia Siedschlag, Frances Ruane
304	Inclusion or Diversion in Higher Education in the Republic of Ireland? Delma Byrne
303	Welfare Regime and Social Class Variation in Poverty and Economic Vulnerability in Europe: An Analysis of EU-SILC Christopher T. Whelan and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
302	Understanding the Socio-Economic Distribution and Consequences of Patterns of Multiple Deprivation: An Application of Self-Organising Maps Christopher T. Whelan, Mario Lucchini, Maurizio Pisati and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
301	Estimating the Impact of Metro North Edgar Morgenroth
300	Explaining Structural Change in Cardiovascular Mortality in Ireland 1995-2005: A Time Series Analysis <i>Richard Layte, Sinead O'Hara</i> and Kathleen Bennett
299	EU Climate Change Policy 2013-2020: Using the Clean Development Mechanism More Effectively Paul K Gorecki, Seán Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
298	Irish Public Capital Spending in a Recession Edgar Morgenroth
297	Exporting and Ownership Contributions to Irish Manufacturing Productivity Growth Anne Marie Gleeson, <i>Frances Ruane</i>
296	Eligibility for Free Primary Care and Avoidable Hospitalisations in Ireland Anne Nolan
295	Managing Household Waste in Ireland: Behavioural Parameters and Policy Options John Curtis, Seán Lyons and Abigail O'Callaghan-Platt

294	Labour Market Mismatch Among UK Graduates; An Analysis Using REFLEX Data Seamus McGuinness and Peter J. Sloane
293	Towards Regional Environmental Accounts for Ireland Richard S.J. Tol , Nicola Commins, Niamh Crilly, Sean Lyons and Edgar Morgenroth
292	EU Climate Change Policy 2013-2020: Thoughts on Property Rights and Market Choices Paul K. Gorecki, Sean Lyons and Richard S.J. Tol
291	Measuring House Price Change David Duffy
290	Intra-and Extra-Union Flexibility in Meeting the European Union's Emission Reduction Targets <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
289	The Determinants and Effects of Training at Work: Bringing the Workplace Back In Philip J. O'Connell and Delma Byrne
288	Climate Feedbacks on the Terrestrial Biosphere and the Economics of Climate Policy: An Application of <i>FUND Richard S.J. Tol</i>
287	The Behaviour of the Irish Economy: Insights from the HERMES macro-economic model Adele Bergin, Thomas Conefrey, John FitzGerald and Ide Kearney
286	Mapping Patterns of Multiple Deprivation Using Self-Organising Maps: An Application to EU-SILC Data for Ireland Maurizio Pisati, <i>Christopher T. Whelan</i> , Mario Lucchini and <i>Bertrand Maître</i>
285	The Feasibility of Low Concentration Targets: An Application of FUND Richard S.J. Tol
284	Policy Options to Reduce Ireland's GHG Emissions Instrument choice: the pros and cons of alternative policy instruments Thomas Legge and <i>Sue Scott</i>
283	Accounting for Taste: An Examination of Socioeconomic Gradients in Attendance at Arts Events Pete Lunn and Elish Kelly
282	The Economic Impact of Ocean Acidification on Coral 22

	Reefs Luke M. Brander, Katrin Rehdanz, <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i> , and Pieter J.H. van Beukering
281	Assessing the impact of biodiversity on tourism flows: A model for tourist behaviour and its policy implications Giulia Macagno, Maria Loureiro, Paulo A.L.D. Nunes and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
280	Advertising to boost energy efficiency: the Power of One campaign and natural gas consumption Seán Diffney, Seán Lyons and Laura Malaguzzi Valeri
279	International Transmission of Business Cycles Between Ireland and its Trading Partners Jean Goggin and Iulia Siedschlag
278	Optimal Global Dynamic Carbon Taxation David Anthoff
277	Energy Use and Appliance Ownership in Ireland Eimear Leahy and Seán Lyons
276	Discounting for Climate Change David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol and Gary W. Yohe
275	Projecting the Future Numbers of Migrant Workers in the Health and Social Care Sectors in Ireland Alan Barrett and Anna Rust
274	Economic Costs of Extratropical Storms under Climate Change: An application of FUND Daiju Narita, <i>Richard S.J. Tol, David Anthoff</i>
273	The Macro-Economic Impact of Changing the Rate of Corporation Tax <i>Thomas Conefrey</i> and <i>John D. Fitz Gerald</i>
272	The Games We Used to Play An Application of Survival Analysis to the Sporting Life- course Pete Lunn
271	Exploring the Economic Geography of Ireland Edgar Morgenroth
270	Benchmarking, Social Partnership and Higher Remuneration: Wage Settling Institutions and the Public- Private Sector Wage Gap in Ireland Elish Kelly, Seamus McGuinness, Philip O'Connell

269	A Dynamic Analysis of Household Car Ownership in Ireland <i>Anne Nolan</i>
268	The Determinants of Mode of Transport to Work in the Greater Dublin Area Nicola Commins and Anne Nolan
267	Resonances from <i>Economic Development</i> for Current Economic Policymaking <i>Frances Ruane</i>
266	The Impact of Wage Bargaining Regime on Firm-Level Competitiveness and Wage Inequality: The Case of Ireland Seamus McGuinness, Elish Kelly and Philip O'Connell
265	Poverty in Ireland in Comparative European Perspective Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
264	A Hedonic Analysis of the Value of Rail Transport in the Greater Dublin Area Karen Mayor, Seán Lyons, David Duffy and Richard S.J. Tol
263	Comparing Poverty Indicators in an Enlarged EU Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
262	Fuel Poverty in Ireland: Extent, Affected Groups and Policy Issues Sue Scott, Seán Lyons, Claire Keane, Donal McCarthy and Richard S.J. Tol
261	The Misperception of Inflation by Irish Consumers David Duffy and Pete Lunn
260	The Direct Impact of Climate Change on Regional Labour Productivity Tord Kjellstrom, R Sari Kovats, Simon J. Lloyd, Tom Holt, Richard S.J. Tol
259	Damage Costs of Climate Change through Intensification of Tropical Cyclone Activities: An Application of FUND Daiju Narita, <i>Richard S. J. Tol</i> and <i>David Anthoff</i>
258	Are Over-educated People Insiders or Outsiders? A Case of Job Search Methods and Over-education in UK Aleksander Kucel, <i>Delma Byrne</i>
257	Metrics for Aggregating the Climate Effect of Different Emissions: A Unifying Framework

	Richard S.J. Tol, Terje K. Berntsen, Brian C. O'Neill, Jan S. Fuglestvedt, Keith P. Shine, Yves Balkanski and Laszlo Makra
256	Intra-Union Flexibility of Non-ETS Emission Reduction Obligations in the European Union Richard S.J. Tol
255	The Economic Impact of Climate Change Richard S.J. Tol
254	Measuring International Inequity Aversion Richard S.J. Tol
253	Using a Census to Assess the Reliability of a National Household Survey for Migration Research: The Case of Ireland Alan Barrett and Elish Kelly
252	Risk Aversion, Time Preference, and the Social Cost of Carbon David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol and Gary W. Yohe
251	The Impact of a Carbon Tax on Economic Growth and Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Ireland Thomas Conefrey, John D. Fitz Gerald, Laura Malaguzzi Valeri and Richard S.J. Tol
250	The Distributional Implications of a Carbon Tax in Ireland Tim Callan, Sean Lyons, Susan Scott, Richard S.J. Tol and Stefano Verde
249	Measuring Material Deprivation in the Enlarged EU Christopher T. Whelan, Brian Nolan and Bertrand Maître
248	Marginal Abatement Costs on Carbon-Dioxide Emissions: A Meta-Analysis Onno Kuik, Luke Brander and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
247	Incorporating GHG Emission Costs in the Economic Appraisal of Projects Supported by State Development Agencies Richard S.J. Tol and Seán Lyons
246	A Carton Tax for Ireland Richard S.J. Tol, Tim Callan, Thomas Conefrey, John D. Fitz Gerald, Seán Lyons, Laura Malaguzzi Valeri and Susan Scott
245	Non-cash Benefits and the Distribution of Economic Welfare

Tim Callan and Claire Keane

244	Scenarios of Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Aviation Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
243	The Effect of the Euro on Export Patterns: Empirical Evidence from Industry Data Gavin Murphy and Iulia Siedschlag
242	The Economic Returns to Field of Study and Competencies Among Higher Education Graduates in Ireland Elish Kelly, Philip O'Connell and Emer Smyth
	Liish Kelly, Fillip O Connell and Liner Sinyth
241	European Climate Policy and Aviation Emissions Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
240	Aviation and the Environment in the Context of the EU- US Open Skies Agreement Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
239	Yuppie Kvetch? Work-life Conflict and Social Class in Western Europe Frances McGinnity and Emma Calvert
238	Immigrants and Welfare Programmes: Exploring the Interactions between Immigrant Characteristics, Immigrant Welfare Dependence and Welfare Policy Alan Barrett and Yvonne McCarthy
237	How Local is Hospital Treatment? An Exploratory Analysis of Public/Private Variation in Location of Treatment in Irish Acute Public Hospitals Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
236	The Immigrant Earnings Disadvantage Across the Earnings and Skills Distributions: The Case of Immigrants from the EU's New Member States in Ireland Alan Barrett, Seamus McGuinness and Martin O'Brien
235	Europeanisation of Inequality and European Reference Groups Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
234	Managing Capital Flows: Experiences from Central and Eastern Europe Jürgen von Hagen and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
233	ICT Diffusion, Innovation Systems, Globalisation and Regional Economic Dynamics: Theory and Empirical Evidence Charlie Karlsson, Gunther Maier, Michaela Trippl, <i>Iulia</i>

	Siedschlag, Robert Owen and Gavin Murphy
232	Welfare and Competition Effects of Electricity Interconnection between Great Britain and Ireland Laura Malaguzzi Valeri
231	Is FDI into China Crowding Out the FDI into the European Union? Laura Resmini and <i>Iulia Siedschlag</i>
230	Estimating the Economic Cost of Disability in Ireland John Cullinan, Brenda Gannon and <i>Seán Lyons</i>
229	Controlling the Cost of Controlling the Climate: The Irish Government's Climate Change Strategy Colm McCarthy, <i>Sue Scott</i>
228	The Impact of Climate Change on the Balanced-Growth- Equivalent: An Application of <i>FUND</i> <i>David Anthoff, Richard S.J. Tol</i>
227	Changing Returns to Education During a Boom? The
	Case of Ireland
	Seamus McGuinness, Frances McGinnity, Philip O'Connell
226	'New' and 'Old' Social Risks: Life Cycle and Social Class Perspectives on Social Exclusion in Ireland Christopher T. Whelan and Bertrand Maître
225	The Climate Preferences of Irish Tourists by Purpose of Travel Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
224	A Hirsch Measure for the Quality of Research Supervision, and an Illustration with Trade Economists Frances P. Ruane and Richard S.J. Tol
223	Environmental Accounts for the Republic of Ireland: 1990-2005 Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
222	Assessing Vulnerability of Selected Sectors under Environmental Tax Reform: The issue of pricing power <i>J. Fitz Gerald</i> , M. Keeney and <i>S. Scott</i>
221	Climate Policy Versus Development Aid Richard S.J. Tol

	The International Study Group on Exports and Productivity
219	Energy-Using Appliances and Energy-Saving Features: Determinants of Ownership in Ireland Joe O'Doherty, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
218	The Public/Private Mix in Irish Acute Public Hospitals: Trends and Implications Jacqueline O'Reilly and Miriam M. Wiley
217	Regret About the Timing of First Sexual Intercourse: The Role of Age and Context <i>Richard Layte</i> , Hannah McGee
216	Determinants of Water Connection Type and Ownership of Water-Using Appliances in Ireland Joe O'Doherty, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and <i>Richard S.J. Tol</i>
215	Unemployment – Stage or Stigma? Being Unemployed During an Economic Boom Emer Smyth
214	The Value of Lost Load Richard S.J. Tol
213	Adolescents' Educational Attainment and School Experiences in Contemporary Ireland Merike Darmody, Selina McCoy, Emer Smyth
212	Acting Up or Opting Out? Truancy in Irish Secondary Schools Merike Darmody, Emer Smyth and Selina McCoy
211	Where do MNEs Expand Production: Location Choices of the Pharmaceutical Industry in Europe after 1992 Frances P. Ruane, Xiaoheng Zhang
210	Holiday Destinations: Understanding the Travel Choices of Irish Tourists Seán Lyons, Karen Mayor and Richard S.J. Tol
209	The Effectiveness of Competition Policy and the Price- Cost Margin: Evidence from Panel Data Patrick McCloughan, <i>Seán Lyons</i> and William Batt
208	Tax Structure and Female Labour Market Participation: Evidence from Ireland <i>Tim Callan</i> , A. Van Soest, <i>J.R. Walsh</i>