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Abstract

In October 2002, a substitution reform was introduced in the Swedish pharmaceuticals market. In this paper, the effects of increased price competition due to the reform on the entry of new pharmaceutical products were studied. The results show that the reform did affect the entry behavior of generic manufacturers as they became more prone to enter new package sizes into the market after the reform, but also that there is considerable heterogeneity in entry behavior between different ATC-code groups for both brand name and generic products.
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1 Introduction

A substitution reform that came into effect on October 1, 2002 increased the price competition in the Swedish pharmaceuticals market. When the reform was introduced, there was a debate in Sweden that pharmaceutical firms would increase product diversity with more different types of pharmaceutical products (more drugs being sold as pills, oral fluids, etc instead of just as pills) and more different package sizes. The reason for this belief was that pharmaceutical firms by doing so could avoid the increased price competition between exchangeable products since the rules state that exchange is only possible for products of the same type and package size.

There are several studies during the 1980ties and 1990ties that directly addressed the issue of what determines generic entry into pharmaceuticals markets. In an early study, Yu (1984) found that price differences between brand name and generic manufacturers had a negative effect on entry into the market. She also found that market growth, measured as the growth in the number of prescriptions, had a positive effect on entry and that the rate of innovation had a negative impact on entry, suggesting that new drug inventions were a deterrent to entry. Grabowski and Vernon (1992) investigated generic entry for 18 brand name drugs in the U.S. pharmaceuticals market, and found that generic entry was significantly affected by profitability. In a study of 81 different medical substances between 1987 and 1994, Bae (1997) found that generic drug entry was faster in markets where the sales revenue of the brand name manufacturer was large and that entry was faster in markets for drugs that primarily treat chronic deceases. Scott-Morton (1999) studied entry by generic manufacturers into the US pharmaceuticals market between 1984 and 1994. She found that firms tend to

---

3 The reform, the characteristics of the reform that made consumers more price sensitive and the effect that this has had on pharmaceutical prices is described in detail in Granlund and Rudholm (2011) and Granlund (2009).
enter markets with characteristics similar to the markets in which the firm is already established. In addition she reports that pharmaceuticals which are characterized by high revenues from sales of the brand name product, large amount of hospital sales, and treatment of chronic conditions, attract more generic entrants compared to other markets.

More recent studies include Rudholm (2001), Kyle (2007), Ilzuka (2009) and Moreno-Torres et al (2009). Rudholm (2001) reports that potential profits have a positive effect on entry, while the length of patent protection for the incumbent brand name drug has a negative effect on generic entry. Both Ilzuka and Moreno-Torres et al. report that the level of revenues are positively correlated with generic entry, while Kyle reports that price regulations in one country might reduce generic entry in other countries.4

In this note, the Swedish substitution reform will be used as a natural experiment to study the causal effect of increased price competition on product diversity. More precisely, we study whether or not pharmaceutical firms have responded to the reform by launching products in new segments of the market, thus avoiding increased price competition due to the reform. Our focus is thus on entry of new exchange groups as defined by the Swedish Medical Products Agency, or alternatively, on entry of new package sizes into already existing exchange groups.

As mentioned above, the substitution reform will affect the profitability of pharmaceutical products since it makes consumers within an exchange group more cross-price-sensitive and this affects revenues (Granlund and Rudholm,

---

4 A number of studies have also suggested that generic competition affects brand name prices and market shares. These studies include Caves et al. (1991), Hudson (1992), Grabowski and Vernon (1992), Frank and Salkever (1997), Suh et al. (2000), Aronsson et al. (2001), and Regan (2008). One notable finding is that in several of these papers, generic entry is associated with an unexpected increase in brand name prices.
As for the costs of entering and being active in an exchange group, the application fees for entering a product into a new exchange group is SEK 70 000 and SEK 40 000 for additional products in the same application. For firms entering an already existent exchange group that fee is SEK 20 000. The yearly administrative fee for being active in the market is SEK 16 000 for each additional product in each exchange group (SFS 1993:595).

Firms will choose to enter a new product into the market (or to introduce a new package size for an already existing product) if they believe that they can make an economic profit doing so. Brand name firms can do this either before or after the patent expires. Entering new products before patent expiration has two advantages, it leaves the brand name producer as monopolist until patent expiration and it helps create consumer loyalty to the brand name product which is valuable to the firm (for a discussion regarding consumer loyalty see e.g. Granlund and Rudholm, 2009). Both of these effects increases the probability that establishing a new exchange group (i.e. entering a new product) will be profitable for the brand name firm. If entering after patent expiration, the brand name firm must incorporate the probability of rapid generic entry and price competition in the new exchange group into its entry decision. The brand name producer will thus enter a new exchange group if and only if they believe that they can make a positive economic profit (including all entry and production costs) before entry drives down price to long run marginal cost. Generic firms might also enter new exchange groups into the market, but it should be noted that the risk of rapid brand name entry into the same exchange group would be considerable bearing in mind that brand name producers have profits from the time as monopolist that can help finance entry costs.

The above reasoning leads to the following testable hypothesis. First, since the substitution reform has increased price competition in the Swedish pharmaceuticals market (Granlund and Rudholm, 2011), pharmaceutical firms could establish new exchange groups into the market to avoid the increased price competition.
competition caused by the reform. Second, brand name producers should be more
prone to establish new exchange groups before patent expiration rather than after
due to the threat of rapid generic entry after patent expiration. Third, both brand
name and generic producers should be more prone to enter new package sizes into
an already existing exchange group as compared to entering new exchange groups
altogether since there are no administrative costs associated with entering a new
package size.

2 The Swedish pharmaceuticals market and the substitution reform

The substitution reform came into effect on October 1, 2002. The reform required
that pharmacists inform the consumers if there are substitute products available, as
well as that the cheapest available substitute product would be provided within the
Swedish pharmaceuticals insurance system. The pharmacist must also inform the
consumers that they can buy the prescribed pharmaceutical product instead of the
generic if they pay the difference in price between the products themselves.
Finally, the reform requires that pharmacists substitute the prescribed
pharmaceutical product to the cheapest available generic in cases when neither the
prescribing physician prohibits the switch for medical reasons, nor the consumer
chooses to pay the price difference between the prescribed and the generic
alternative. In cases where the physician prohibits the switch due to medical
reasons the consumer is still reimbursed.

Pharmaceutical firms decide which prices they charge for pharmaceuticals in
Sweden, but for products to be included in the Swedish pharmaceuticals insurance
system the price charged by the pharmaceutical firms has to be authorized by the
Pharmaceutical Benefits Board. Pharmaceuticals are sold through a nation wide
government owned monopoly, the National Corporation of Swedish Pharmacies
(NCSP), which has a margin on the pharmaceutical products that is determined by
the Pharmaceutical Benefits Board. The regulations also imply that the NCSP is required to charge a nationwide uniform price for each pharmaceutical product in Sweden.

Before the substitution reform, a reference price system introduced in January 1993 was in effect. Under that system, the Swedish National Social Insurance Board set a reference price equal to 110 percent of the price of the cheapest available generic product, and all costs exceeding this reference price were to be borne by the consumer (RFFS 1992:20, 1996:31).

Some additional aspects of the substitution reform also deserve mentioning. First, the out-of-pocket cost for patients changed when the reference price was abolished. Under the substitution reform costs up to 100% of the cheapest generic alternative is included in the pharmaceutical insurance system, compared to 110% during the reference price system. This increased the patients out-of-pocket costs for choosing to buy the prescribed pharmaceutical with 0-10% of the price of the cheapest generic version, depending on the patient's co-payment rate in the insurance system. On average this means an extra out-of-pocket cost of approximately 12.50 SEK (≈ 1.3 EURO). Second, the transaction cost of generic substitution was lowered when the reform was introduced in 2002, which could also affect entry behavior.

---

5 The effects of the reference price system on pharmaceutical prices have been analyzed previously, see e.g. Aronsson et al. (2001), Rudholm (2001) and Bergman and Rudholm (2003).

6 The calculation is based on the fact that the average price of the prescribed products and the available substitute products in the substitution system was approximately 300 SEK and 250 SEK, respectively, and a patient co-payment rate of 50%. 9.48 SEK = 1 EURO, exchange rate 2007-08-15.

7 Before the reform physicians had to be contacted in order for a substitution to take place. We have not been able to find any studies of how common it was for prescribing physicians to allow generic substitution before the reform. However, during the first 15 months after the substitution reform, physicians choose to deny the exchange in only 3 percent of the cases (National Corporation of Swedish Pharmacies et al., 2004).
3 The empirical analysis

IMS Sweden has provided a dataset containing information on all pharmaceutical products sold in Sweden during the period January 1997 until October 2007. In this paper, our focus is on entry of new exchange groups as defined by the Swedish Medical Products Agency. As such, the data is aggregated so that an observation of our dependent variable equal to one represents the entry of one (or more) new exchange groups within a given seven-figure ATC-code\(^8\), or entry of one (or more) new package sizes into an already existing exchange group, in a given month.\(^9\)

The estimations are conducted for both brand and generics, and the total number of observations in the dataset used in the estimation for brand name drugs equals 40973, while the number of observations related to generics equals 14108. Descriptive statistics for both types of dependent variable (exchange group and package size) and the variables used in the estimation of equation (1) are presented in Table 1 for both brand name and generic products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Descriptive statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entry (new exchange group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entry (new package size)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^8\) In the World Health Organization's Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification system, the drugs are divided into different groups according to the organ or system on which they act, and their chemical, pharmacological and therapeutic properties. In the ATC-groups used here, drugs which share the same chemical substances are grouped together.

\(^9\) We choose to study the probability of one or more entrants within a specific month instead of the number of entrants since entry of more than one new exchange group within a specific month is rare in our sample.
The following equation is then estimated for both brand name products and generics;

\[ entry_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 \text{reform}_t + \beta_3 \text{patent}_{it} + u_{it} \]  

(1)

where \( entry \) represents the establishment of a new exchange group (entry of a new type of pharmaceutical product) as defined by the Swedish Medical Products Agency or entering a new package size into an existing exchange group. \( t \) is a time trend, \( \text{reform} \) is a indicator variable taking the value one after the introduction of the Swedish substitution reform in October 2002, \( \text{patent} \) represents our proxy for patent expiration\(^{10}\), and \( u_{it} \) is the residual term. The time trend is included to capture possible time trends in entry behavior (due to, for example, time-trends in revenues and/or profits, costs etc.).

One could consider other potential covariates such as the number of generic competitors, revenues etc. However, using these variables introduces econometric problems, due to the fact that these variables are endogenous in the sense that they will be correlated with the error term of the regression. Instead of including these problematic covariates, we opt for using a random effects, random coefficient model to account for heterogeneity between ATC-code groups in both average entry behavior and the effects of the reform on entry behavior. The residual (or heterogeneity) term is specified as

\[ u_{it} = \nu_i + \gamma_i \text{reform}_t + \epsilon_{it} \]  

(2)

where \( \nu_i \sim iidN(0,\sigma^2_{\nu}) \) is a ATC-code random effect, \( \gamma_i \sim iidN(0,\sigma^2_{\gamma}) \) is a ATC-code specific random coefficient term related to the introduction of the substitution reform, and \( \epsilon_{it} \sim iidN(0,\sigma^2_{\epsilon}) \) is the within ATC-code group residual.

\(^{10}\) In this paper, the time of generic entry is used as a proxy for patent expiration. Thus, the variable patent is an indicator variable taking the value one after entry of the first generic competitor into each exchange group.
The specific random effects are assumed independent of each other, and the model to be estimated can thus be written

\[
\text{entry}_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \nu_i + (\gamma_i + \beta_2 \text{patent}_i) + \varepsilon_{it} \tag{3}
\]

The main advantages of this type of model is that it accounts for ATC-code specific unobserved heterogeneity in entry behavior, while also allowing for heterogeneity in how the reform affected different ATC-code groups with respect to entry behavior.\textsuperscript{11} The results from the estimations are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_0)</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.061*</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_1(t))</td>
<td>-0.000049*</td>
<td>0.000023</td>
<td>-0.00011*</td>
<td>0.000043</td>
<td>-0.000060</td>
<td>0.000046</td>
<td>-0.000085*</td>
<td>0.00010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_2(\text{reform}))</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>-0.0017</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_3(\text{patent}))</td>
<td>-0.0033</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>-0.0024</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random effect/random coefficient parameters (variable)

| \(\nu_i\)              | 0.026*            | 0.0012 | 0.043*           | 0.0021 | 0.042*           | 0.0040 | 0.078*           | 0.0072 |
| \(\gamma_i(\text{reform})\) | 0.016*            | 0.0013 | 0.033*           | 0.0023 | 0.018*           | 0.0032 | 0.051*           | 0.0065 |
| Log-likelihood         | 42609             | 18172 | 12782            | 798   | 14108            | 14108 |
| Observations           | 40973             | 40973 | 14108            | 14108 |
| ATC-codes              | 391               | 391   | 178              | 178   |

* Significant at the 5 percent level.

The population mean coefficient for the average effect of the exchange reform on entry of new exchange groups for brand name drugs and generics are 0.0026 and -0.0017, respectively. Neither of these parameter estimates are statistically significant at conventional levels. We can also use the estimation results to calculate an interval within which 95% of the estimated coefficients \((\gamma_i + \beta_2)\) related to the reform effect are expected to lie (Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal, 2008, \textsuperscript{11}) Conventional random effects models have also been estimated. All qualitative results presented in this paper remain the same.
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p. 159). Doing this we obtain $0.0026 \pm (1.96 \times 0.016)$ for brands and $-0.0017 \pm (1.96 \times 0.018)$ for generics. As such, 95 percent of the coefficients ($\gamma_i + \beta_2$) for the effects of the reform on entry of brand name product exchange groups will be between -0.029 and 0.034. The same numbers for generics are -0.037 and 0.034. For entry of new package sizes within an already existing exchange group, the estimate of the average effect for all ATC-code groups is statistically insignificant for brands, but significant on the 5 percent level for generics. The size of the parameter estimate indicate that the probability of a generic entering a new package size into an already established exchange group is increased by 2.9 percent by the substitution reform. Calculating the same type of confidence interval as above, the results show that 95 percent of the coefficients for the effects of the reform will be between -0.071 and 0.13, respectively. As such, the results show that there is considerable heterogeneity between ATC-code groups in how the reform has affected both the establishment of new exchange groups and the entry of new package sizes in already established exchange groups. It should also be noted that the variance components for both random effects and random coefficients are statistically significant, indicating that not including these in the estimations would lead to biased parameter estimates.

4 Discussion

In this paper, the substitution reform implemented in Sweden in October 2002 has been used to study the causal effect of increased price competition on product diversity. When the reform was introduced, there was a debate in Sweden that pharmaceutical firms would increase product diversity with more different types of pharmaceutical products and more different package sizes. The reason for this belief was that the pharmaceutical firms by doing this could avoid the increased price competition due to the reform since the rules state that exchange is only possible for products of the same type and package size.
The three following hypotheses was formulated and tested in this paper. First, since the substitution reform has increased price competition in the Swedish pharmaceuticals market (Granlund and Rudholm, 2011), pharmaceutical firms was expected to establish new exchange groups to avoid the increased price competition caused by the reform. Second, brand name producers should be more prone to establish new exchange groups before patent expiration rather than after due to the threat of rapid generic entry after patent expiration. Third, both brand name and generic producers should be more prone to enter new package sizes in to an already existing exchange group as compared to entering new exchange groups altogether since there are no administrative costs associated with entering a new package size.

The results in this paper show that the reform has not, on average, affected the entry behavior of brand name pharmaceutical firms, but also that there is considerable heterogeneity between ATC-code groups in the effects of the reform. For generics, there is a positive correlation between the introduction of the reform and the average level of entry of new package sizes into already existing exchange groups. However, using the size of the ATC-code specific random coefficient to calculate a confidence interval for the effects of the reform, the results show that 95 percent of the ATC-code specific coefficients for the effects of the reform will be between -0.071 and 0.13, respectively. As such, the results show that there is considerable heterogeneity in how the reform has affected both the establishment of new exchange groups and the entry of new package sizes in already established exchange groups.
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