

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Boppart, Timo; Falkinger, Josef; Grossmann, Volker

# Conference Paper Protestantism and Education: Reading (the Bible) and Other Skills

Beiträge zur Jahrestagung des Vereins für Socialpolitik 2011: Die Ordnung der Weltwirtschaft: Lektionen aus der Krise - Session: Economics of Religion, No. D8-V2

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Verein für Socialpolitik / German Economic Association

*Suggested Citation:* Boppart, Timo; Falkinger, Josef; Grossmann, Volker (2011) : Protestantism and Education: Reading (the Bible) and Other Skills, Beiträge zur Jahrestagung des Vereins für Socialpolitik 2011: Die Ordnung der Weltwirtschaft: Lektionen aus der Krise - Session: Economics of Religion, No. D8-V2, ZBW - Deutsche Zentralbibliothek für Wirtschaftswissenschaften, Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/48732

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

# Protestantism and Education: Reading (the Bible) and Other Skills<sup>\*</sup>

Timo Boppart,<sup>†</sup> Josef Falkinger,<sup>‡</sup> Volker Grossmann<sup>§</sup>

February 23, 2011

#### Abstract

During industrialization, Protestants were more literate than Catholics. This paper investigates whether this fact may be led back to the intrinsic motivation of Protestants to read the bible and whether other education motives were involved as well. We employ a historical data set from Switzerland which allows us to differentiate between different cognitive skills: reading, numeracy, essay writing and Swiss history. We develop an estimation strategy to examine whether the impact of religious denomination was particularly large with respect to reading capabilities. We find support for this hypothesis. However, Protestants' education motives went beyond acquiring reading skills.

*Key words:* Cognitive skills; Education; Reading capability; Religious denomination, Protestant reformation.

JEL classification: I20.

<sup>\*</sup>Acknowledgements: We are grateful to Christian Dustmann, Guy Kirsch and Aderonke Osikominu for valuable discussions. We are particularly indebted to Ulrich Woitek for providing us with the data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>University of Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: timo.boppart@econ.uzh.ch.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>University of Zurich, Switzerland; CESifo, Munich; Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn. E-mail: josef.falkinger@econ.uzh.ch.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>University of Fribourg, Switzerland; CESifo, Munich; Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn. E-mail: volker.grossmann@unifr.ch.

# 1 Introduction

Recent research motivated by Max Weber (1905), who hypothesized that Protestants' work ethic is conducive to faster economic development, has delivered interesting novel insights. For instance, Becker and Woessmann (2009) argue that Protestant regions in late 19th century Prussia grew faster than Catholic areas due to higher literacy rates among Protestants. Becker and Woessmann (2010) provide evidence for pre-industrialization Prussia (the year 1816) that both the density of primary schools and primary school enrollment were higher in Protestant regions.<sup>1</sup> In a similar vein, Boppart et al. (2010) show that - on average - Protestant regions in 19th century Switzerland were associated with higher school expenditure and higher educational performance.<sup>2</sup>

This paper attempts to identify the educational motives of Protestants. Was there a particular emphasis of Protestants for reading capabilities, possibly to read the bible? Or were Protestants also better skilled in other fields like mathematics? Had they been motivated to develop cognitive skills in general, for instance, in order to achieve material well-being? Distinguishing several dimensions of cognitive skills is critical to answer these questions. The answers are potentially important to understand the fundamental sources of differential regional economic development. For instance, if Protestants reveal higher educational efforts not just in reading, but also in other fields, then in line with Weberian thoughts, we have indications that Protestants saw education also as being instrumental to economic success.

We employ a unique dataset from the second half of 19th century Switzerland (Woitek and Wüthrich, 2010), which allows to measure cognitive skills by conscripts' marks in the pedagogical examinations on reading, essay writing, numeracy and Swiss history. The pedagogical examinations were based on standardized tests and covered the whole male

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This rules out that the emphasis on schools of Protestant regions were not merely a response to higher demand for human capital during industrialization. Rather, it may have been a result of the reformation process itself, which in Germany has been led by Martin Luther. According to Painter (1886, p. 147): "Though no complete system of popular instruction was established, the foundation for it was laid. To this great result Luther contributed more than any other man of his time; and this fact makes him the leading educational reformer of the sixteenth century."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In addition, they find an important interaction effect with other cultural attitudes. Religious denomination primarily mattered for educational performance in a conservative environment, characterized by referenda results on issues which could be associated with conservative values.

population. The data set enables us to examine in which education fields there are differences in test scores between Protestant and Catholic districts and whether possible differences are more pronounced with respect to reading capabilities than for the other three fields covered by the tests. If the hypothesis is correct that bible reading was an important motivation for Protestants to acquire education, the comparatively high emphasis of Protestants on reading capabilities should materialize in high test scores for reading, relative to, say, mathematics. To our best knowledge, this is the first study of skill-specific effects of religion.

For identifying such a "Protestant reading bias" we propose a simple model of individual (effort) investment for developing different kinds of cognitive skills. The theoretical considerations provide us with a structural approach where we estimate the impact of Protestantism on reading skills while controlling for other cognitive skills, like math capability. We employ analogously to Becker and Woessmann (2009, 2010) an instrumentation strategy which relates religious denomination in a district to its distance to the centers of Protestantism in Switzerland (Zurich and Geneva) while at the same time controlling for distance to bigger cities in general (which had no important effect on cognitive skills).

Studying within-country variation of cognitive skills in Switzerland at the time of industrialization is particularly appropriate for our purpose. First, Swiss districts had a common constitution and consequently little regional differences in institutions, typically hard to control for in cross-country studies. Second, the Reformation process in the first half of 16th century spread directly from Prussia to Switzerland. The first main reformer in the Old Confederation was Ulrich Zwingli. Zwingli began to preach ideas of reforming the Catholic church after becoming pastor in 1519 at one of the major churches in Zurich (the Grossmünster), shortly after Martin Luther published his 95 theses (October 1517). The city of Zurich converted to Protestantism in 1523, followed by the rural areas in Zurich and then by the cities of St. Gall, Schaffhausen, Basel, Bienne, Mulhouse, Bern, and Geneva (in 1536). Since 1541 the French theologian John Calvin (Jean Cauvin) gradually implemented a close connection between state and church in Geneva until his death in 1564. His predestination doctrine was the main basis for the Weberian idea of a Protestant work ethic. It holds that Protestants are particularly motivated by material



Figure 1: The share of failed conscripts in math and reading 1870 by religious denomination.

well-being in order to receive a signal of God to be chosen for salvation.

The Calvinist doctrine raises the question whether higher literacy of Protestants was merely a by-product of their motive to read the bible or if education was also perceived as instrumental for economic success. Our evidence suggests that Protestants were indeed particularly motivated to develop reading skills relative to other cognitive skills. However, we also find that Protestants were better skilled in all other educational fields in our data set. Thus, our analysis suggests that Protestants were also motivated, and more so than Catholics, to invest in other types of education, for instance, for economic reasons. Hence, our findings cast severe doubt on a pure bible-reading motive of Protestants' education.

The basic messages of our paper are visualized in Fig. 1. It plots the share of those conscripts who failed the test in mathematics against the share who failed the reading test in the year  $1870.^3$  The black dots indicate a district where the majority is Catholic and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>One may, of course, also look at average test scores, which would convey similar results than the ones in this paper. In order to capture the distribution of grades we consider two measures rather than one,

the white circles one which is primarily Protestant. Not surprisingly, there is a strongly positive correlation between the fraction of low-performers in the math test and that in the reading test. Two features are striking, however. First, many Protestant districts are at the bottom-left whereas many Catholic districts are located at the upper-right part of the scatterplot. This reflects better average performance of Protestants in both educational fields. Second, most Catholic districts are above the fitted line whereas most Protestant districts are below it. This illustrates what we call "Protestant reading bias": for a given fraction of low-performers in math or other fields, Protestants have less low-performers in reading (analogously for high-performers). In fact, the districts shown in Fig. 1 in which the share of those who failed the reading test was particularly high (above 50 percent) were all districts with a Catholic population share of 97 percent or higher.<sup>4</sup> All of these districts are clearly above the fitted line.

In the next section we discuss that, consistent with Fig. 1 and our econometric findings, the main reformers Luther, Zwingli and Calvin themselves were not only concerned with enabling the masses to read the bible but also had broader educational goals. Section 3 then outlines a simple theoretical model from which we develop the estimation strategy. Section 4 describes the data. Empirical results are presented in section 5. Section 6 concludes.

# 2 Attitudes of Protestant Reformers towards Education

The Reformation process was primarily motivated by the dissatisfaction of Catholics about doctrines and malpractices like the sale of indulgence, selling and buying clerical offices, the authority of the Pope and mandatory celibacy of priests. The Protestant movement may thus be perceived as an attempt to focus on the contents of the bible and thereby limit abuses of power of the Catholic church. Thus, general knowledge of the Gospel by the

the fraction of conscripts with the best grades (1-2) and the fraction of those with the worst grades (4-5) as measures for educational performance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>These were the districts Gersau and March (canton of Schwyz), Riviera (Ticino), and Goms, Raron and Visp (Valais).

masses may have been viewed by reformers as being conducive to sustain the Protestant movement.

In this section we confirm that the main Protestant reformers in Switzerland and Germany indeed emphasized education to enable masses to read the bible. Our evidence on a Protestant reading bias is consistent with this motivation. However, as we also argue in the following, one should be cautious to conclude that higher literacy of Protestants "was an unintended side effect of Luther's exhortation that everyone be able to read the Gospel" (Becker and Woessmann, 2009, p. 581). In fact, the main reformers in Switzerland and Germany followed several educational goals. These could have particularly materialized in good reading skills but may also have led in better skills in other fields like math, consistent with our evidence.

The Swiss reformation which was initiated in the early 1520s in Zurich by Zwingli in the German speaking part of the Confederation had many similarities with the one initiated by Luther in Prussia. Zwingli preached and took action against mendicants and the mercenary service, challenging Lenten fasting, the veneration of saints and clerical celibacy (Gordon, 2002).<sup>5</sup> Moreover, importantly for our education context, as Kemp (1901, p. 164) points out:

"In 1523 he wrote [...], almost beyond a doubt, was the first Protestant treatise on education. It was entitled The Christian Education of Youth".

There, Zwingli (1523, pp. 67-69) explicitly referred to the need of the youth to be taught to read the bible:

"[..] the Gospel should be taught most diligently and, as much as may be, in all its purity. [...] the youth should, in consequence of this, order well and adorn beautifully his own heart. [...] He cannot order his mind and prepare his heart better, however, than by engaging in the study of the Word of God, day and night."

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ The reformation process met huge resistance from some cantons of the Old Confederation in Switzerland, leading to the two wars of Kappel in 1529 and 1531 between the Protestant and the Catholic cantons. Zwingli died on the battlefield on October 11, 1531, two days after the Catholic cantons declared war on Zurich.

However, what is less recognized is that Zwingli was heavily concerned with promoting education beyond the goal to enable masses to read the bible. In fact, he also mentioned mathematics. Kemp (1901, p. 165) summarizes Zwingli's treatise on education as follows:

"He outlined a course on Scriptural study [...] He advocated the study of objects in nature, regarding the beautiful structure of the world and the harmonious arrangement of its parts as revelations of wisdom, skill, and loving providence of God. He proposed also the study of the classics and Hebrew, and with them the study of arithmetic, surveying, and music."

In the Francophone part of Switzerland, the main reformer was the French theologian Calvin. Weber (1905) has argued that Calvin's doctrine about predestination of salvation helped Protestants to develop a work ethic, although in a subtle manner. Whereas in Roman Catholicism individual behavior can affect salvation, Calvinism would hold that economic success may be a signal of God of being appointed to salvation. Calvin was not only a theology professor and preacher, but during his time in Geneva also a churchruler<sup>6</sup> and superintendent of schools (Schaff, 1892). Similar to Luther and Zwingli, he emphasized the need for universal education, "for every person to be adequately equipped to 'rightly divide' God's Word" (Armstrong, 1992).

In sum, the concern for education of Zwingli and Calvin clearly went beyond promoting reading capabilities, although bible reading was an important concern. Interestingly, the same applies to Luther. On the one hand, also Luther (1520, pp. 325-326) supported the goal to enable reading the bible:

"Above all, in schools of all kinds the chief and most common lesson should be the Scriptures, and for young boys the Gospel; and would to God each town had also a girls' school, in which girls might be taught the Gospel for an hour daily, either in German or Latin!"<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Although Calvin's church organization had democratic elements, he also instituted a rigid inspection of household conduct. Many recreational activities as well as blasphemy and ribaldry were forbidden. Nonconformists were persecuted and even sentenced to death.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Becker and Woessmann (2008) show that Protestantism mitigates the gender gap in education, which may be associated with Luther's concern for the education of girls.

On the other hand, Luther emphasized the role of education for shaping society:

"In support for his plea for schools Luther clearly and vigorously set forth a number of arguments. The first was based on a moral value of education. He claimed that neither reason nor Christian love could suffer any part of the population to grow up undisciplined, and thus become [...] sources of destruction to the community. The public school plan [...] included secondary schools with courses in Latin, Greek, Hebrew, history, and mathematics. [...] His general argument for these secondary schools was the relation of education to prosperity. 'A city's prosperity', he declared, 'does not consist alone in the accumulation of treasure, in strong walls, beautiful houses, many weapons and equipments; but its greatest wealth, its health and power, does consist in [...] sensible, honest, and well-disciplined citizens'." (Kemp, 1901, p.168f.)

In a similar vein, Ornstein and Levine (2008) argue that Luther saw education as instrumental for developing character traits of children. Moreover, the authors also see economic motives behind Luther's emphasis of education:

"Luther recognized education as an important ally of religious reformation. He saw church, state, family, and school as crucial reform agencies. Believing that the family had a key role in forming children's character and behavior, Luther encouraged family bible reading and prayer. He also wanted parents to make sure that children had vocational training so that they could support themselves as adults and become productive citizens." (Ornstein and Levine, 2008, p.86)

In sum, Protestant reformers not only emphasized education based on reading the bible but also recognized that education was, inter alia, instrumental to be successful in a material sense. We now set up a simple model which captures these notions to motivate our estimation strategies.

# 3 A Simple Model

Consider a representative individual in a district. Denote cognitive skill in reading and math by R and M, respectively. Suppose R and M are functions  $f_R$  and  $f_M$  of "effort",  $e_R$  and  $e_M$ , invested in the respective type of education. Other variables which affect educational outcomes are summarized in vector  $\mathbf{x}$ . Let  $f_R$  and  $f_M$  be given by the following linear functions:

$$R = f_R(e_R, \mathbf{x}) = a_0 + a_1 e_R + \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{a}_x, \ a_1 > 0, \tag{1}$$

$$M = f_M(e_M, \mathbf{x}) = b_0 + b_1 e_M + \mathbf{x'} \mathbf{b}_x, \ b_1 > 0.$$
(2)

Suppose the individual has preferences for both consumption (c) and cognitive skills (R, M), i.e., values education per se. Moreover, suppose that religious denomination affects preferences (e.g., the marginal rate of substitution between consumption and cognitive skills and/or between different cognitive skills). Let P indicate whether the individual is a Protestant (P = 1) or not (P = 0). Preferences are represented by the utility function u(c, R, M; P).

Disposable income and thus consumption level c is given by a function F which may positively depend on cognitive skills (R, M) and is decreasing in total effort invested in education,  $e = e_R + e_M$ :

$$c = F(R, M, e). \tag{3}$$

For instance, more effort allocated to education reduces the time spent to work.

Optimal effort provision towards skills (R, M) is given by

$$(e_R^*(\mathbf{x}; P), e_M^*(\mathbf{x}; P)) \equiv$$

$$\arg\max_{(e_R,e_M)} u(F(f_R(e_R,\mathbf{x}), f_M(e_M,\mathbf{x}), e_R + e_M), f_R(e_R,\mathbf{x}), f_M(e_M,\mathbf{x}); P).$$
(4)

Inserting a co-linear approximation of function  $e_R^*$  for reading effort  $e_R$  in (1) we obtain

$$R = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 P + \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\alpha}_x. \tag{5}$$

Similarly for math. This suggests to regress measures for different cognitive skills separately on a measure for Protestantism and other controls.

The approach in (5) does not allow us, however, to compare the effects of religious denomination on different cognitive skills, like reading vs. math skills. This is because both kinds of skills are likely to be highly related to each other and differently distributed. In order to be able to identify whether Protestants were particularly motivated to develop reading skills vis-à-vis math skills (or others), we divide optimization problem (4) in two parts, finding first the optimal allocation between  $e_R$  and  $e_M$  for given total effort e and then, secondly, choosing the optimal level e. We will focus on the optimal choice in the first part to examine whether there is a "Protestant reading bias". Optimal effort choice for given e reads

$$(\tilde{e}_R(e,\mathbf{x};P),\tilde{e}_M(e,\mathbf{x};P)) \equiv$$

$$\arg\max_{(e_R, e_M)} u(F(f_R(e_R, \mathbf{x}), f_M(e_M, \mathbf{x}), e), f_R(e_R, \mathbf{x}), f_M(e_M, \mathbf{x}); P) \text{ s.t. } e_R + e_M = e.$$
(6)

Suppose function  $\tilde{e}_M$  can be inverted such that we can write  $e = E(e_M, \mathbf{x}; P)$ . We then obtain

$$e_R = e - e_M = E(e_M, \mathbf{x}; P) - e_M.$$
(7)

Let us also invert the function  $M = f_M(e_M, \mathbf{x})$  in (2) to obtain

$$e_M = \frac{M - b_0 - \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{b}_x}{b_1} \equiv g(M, \mathbf{x}).$$
(8)

When we plug  $e_M = g(M, \mathbf{x})$  into the right-hand side of (7) and the resulting expression for  $e_R$  into  $R = f_R(e_R, \mathbf{x})$  we get

$$R = f_R(E(g(M, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}; P) - g(M, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) \equiv \hat{R}(M, P, \mathbf{x}).$$
(9)

For instance, consider a linear approximation of  $\tilde{e}_M$ :

$$\tilde{e}_M(e, \mathbf{x}; P) \simeq \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 e + \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\gamma}_x - \theta P, \text{ i.e., } e = E(e_M, \mathbf{x}; P) = \frac{e_M - \gamma_0 - \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\gamma}_x + \theta P}{\gamma_1}.$$
(10)

Note that, plausibly,  $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1)$ , which means that a marginal increase in total effort e

raises both  $\tilde{e}_R$  and  $\tilde{e}_M$ . Moreover, and importantly, if Protestantism affects the educational effort structure  $(e_R, e_M)$  towards reading, then  $\theta > 0$ .

Using (8) and (10) in (7) and (1) we find that

$$R = \tilde{R}(M, P, \mathbf{x}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P + \beta_2 M + \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_x, \text{ with } \beta_1 \equiv \frac{a_1 \theta}{\gamma_1}, \beta_2 \equiv \frac{a_1 (1 - \gamma_1)}{b_1 \gamma_1}.$$
 (11)

We run this type of regression to examine a possible Protestant reading bias.<sup>8</sup> Recall that effort raises cognitive skills,  $a_1, b_1 > 0$ , and that  $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1)$ . Thus, if  $\beta_1 > 0$ , we conclude that there is an effort bias of Protestants towards reading ( $\theta > 0$ ). Moreover, we expect  $\beta_2 > 0.^9$ 

An immediate implication of the simple decision framework is the following. If there is a Protestant reading bias ( $\theta > 0$ ) but Protestants did not value education per se more than Catholics, i.e., have chosen a similar total effort level e, then their effort in other skills like math,  $e_M$ , must have have lower, according to (10). But in this case we should observe lower performance of Protestants in math skills M (or other). Thus, if we find that Protestants have performed better in all tests, they must have chosen a higher total effort e than Catholics. The theoretical considerations together with the anecdotal evidence in the previous section would hence lead us to conclude that Protestants generally valued education more, e.g. for economic reasons, although particularly emphasizing reading skills.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>As the linear approximation of function  $\tilde{e}_M$  in (10) may be too crude, we also allowed, in robustness checks, for an additional term  $\beta_3 P \times M$  on the right-hand side of (11) when examining the effects of Protestantism and math performance on reading performance (results not shown). The estimations suggest that the effect of Protestantism remains stable, although  $\beta_3$  sometimes enters significantly. In principle, there may also be a non-linear effect of Protestantism on reading performance. However, since our measure for P, the share of Protestants in a district, is concentrated on zero and one, we cannot allow for such a non-linear effect due to multicollinearity. For instance, the correlation coefficient between the fraction of Protestants and its squared value is 0.982.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The coefficients  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_x$  are unimportant and we have no prediction. They are given by  $\beta_0 \equiv a_0 - \frac{a_1 b_0 (1-\gamma_1)}{b_1 \gamma_1} - \frac{a_1 \gamma_0}{\gamma_1}, \ \beta_x \equiv \left(\mathbf{a}_x - \frac{a_1 (1-\gamma_1) \mathbf{b}_x}{b_1 \gamma_1} - \frac{\gamma_x}{\gamma_1}\right).$ 

# 4 Data and Identification

This section describes the data employed in our empirical analysis and discusses identification issues. Data sources are provided in Appendix A.

## 4.1 Cognitive Skills and Some Statistics

The fraction of Protestants in a district is our main independent variable of interest (*Protestants*). Cognitive skills are measured by the results of pedagogical examinations of conscripts in the military service. The tests were compulsory for every male citizen. They were explicitly introduced by the federal state to survey the efficiency of school systems, with high priority given to comparability of results. We use three five-year averages of test scores for the periods 1875-79, 1885-89 and 1899-1903 in panel regressions with time fixed effects. There were standardized test in four subjects: reading, essay-writing, mathematics (written and oral) as well as knowledge of Swiss history and constitution. In the first five years (1875 to 1879), the grades ranged from 1 (very good) to 4 (poor), and thereafter from 1 to 5. We use the fraction of conscripts with the best grades (1-2) in the four subjects and the fraction of pupils with insufficient grades (4-5) as measures for four kinds of cognitive skills in a district.<sup>10</sup>

#### <Table 1>

Reading capability, R, is measured in two ways: by the fraction of the best conscripts (*Best Reading*) and by the fraction who failed (*Failed Reading*). Similar measures apply to math, M, and the other two fields, essay writing and history. Tab. 1 provides summary statistics for the pooled sample (all three time periods) of the educational performance measures and the share of Protestants. The variation in the data is remarkable. The fractions of both high-performers and low-performers in an education field range from below 5 percent to above 50 percent, even up to 86 percent. Among the best grades, the highest average and the widest variability is with respect to reading capability (*Best*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Conscripts had incentive to do well. Those with grade 4-5 in more than one subject had to take repetition courses during military service. It was also common that names and grades were published in local newspapers.

*Reading*), with a mean fraction of high-performers equal to 40.3 percent and a standard deviation of 14.3 percent. On average, 11.5 percent of conscripts failed the reading test (*Failed Reading*). When all educational fields are combined, the mean fraction of high-performers is 28.3 percent and that of low-performers is 21.3 percent, with standard deviation of 10.6 and 15.5 percent, respectively.

The mean fraction of Protestants averaged over all districts was 56.4 percent. However, the distribution of the share of Protestants in the data is bipolar, with peaks close to zero and one. This is reflected in the very high standard deviation of 0.41.

#### <Table 2>

Tab. 2 provides correlation coefficients between the fractions of high- and low-performers in reading and math on the one hand and between cognitive skills in a district and the share of Protestants on the other hand. One sees that Protestants do better in all fields. They have more high-performers and less low-performers, with correlation coefficients between religion and skills of magnitudes between 0.22 and 0.30. The share of lowperformers in reading is very strongly (albeit far from perfectly) correlated with the share of low-performers in math; an analogous pattern holds for high-performers. Moreover, also not surprisingly, the share of high-performers is negatively correlated with the share of low-performers in and across fields.

## 4.2 Other Variables

We control for the economic, geographical and sociocultural environment of a district by a large set of controls suggested in the literature.<sup>11</sup> The stage of economic development is captured by the proportion of population employed in the primary sector (*Primary*) and by population density in logs (*Density*). Thereby, we address the potential concern that our results are driven by a different demand for human capital in Protestant and Catholic districts. We also control for the altitude above sea level in logs of the main town of a district (*Altitude*) as a measure of a district's remoteness. Moreover, we include dummy variables for the majority language in a district (*French*, *Italian*, *Romansh*, i.e., German

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$ For a more detailed description of the control variables, see Boppart et al. (2010).

language is the omitted category), since language may be related to religion and may affect attitudes towards schooling. Finally, we account for the ratio of children (below 16 years old) to total population (*Children*). We want to rule out that our results are driven by a correlation between religious denomination and fertility, with the number of children being negatively related to their skills (labeled "quality-quantity trade-off" in fertility choice models). For instance, it could be the case that Catholics have more children and therefore put less emphasis on education.

In some regressions we also employ various measures of primary school inputs at the district level, which have been suggested by the literature on educational production. This helps us to examine whether possible effects of religious denomination work through regional differences in school organization and public school finance. The data were collected for a prize-winning contribution to the World Exhibition in Vienna in 1873 and follow-ups in National Exhibitions. School inputs are aggregated by districts. They cover the years 1871/72 (156 observations), 1881/82 (168 observations) and 1894/95 (169 observations). We allow school inputs in 1871/72 to affect results of the pedagogical examinations in the period 1875-79, and inputs in 1881/82 and 1894/95 to affect outcomes in 1885-89 and 1899-1903, respectively. We use total annual real public school expenditure per pupil in logs (*Expenditure*), the real capital stock per pupil in logs (*Capital*), the pupil-teacher ratio (Class Size), and the number of school weeks (Weeks). Moreover, we control for the number of school days per year a pupil is absent from school in logs (Absenteeism). This measure can be interpreted as a measure for the degree of utilization of the supply of school services. We also account for characteristics of teachers: the fraction of clerical teachers which either belongs to a religious order or works in a parish (*Clerics*), the fraction of teachers who do not possess vocational education from university, teacher training seminars or grammar schools (*Poor Training*), the fraction of teachers older than 40 years (Age), the fraction of teachers with more than 20 years of service (Length)of Service), and the fraction of female teachers (*Female*).

## 4.3 Identification Strategy

We address the concern that OLS estimates (with time fixed effects) of the impact of Protestantism on cognitive skills may not represent causal effects. For instance, when we run regression (5) it could be possible that (average) unobserved ability to acquire education in a district determined, at the time of Reformation, whether a district became Protestant or remained Roman Catholic and then ability was transmitted from generation to generation. In this case, the coefficient on religion would be biased in an OLS estimation. As argued in Boppart et al. (2010), the Reformation process in Switzerland could be viewed as a truly exogenous event. Furthermore, with regard to regression (11) endogeneity seems to be less of a concern.  $\beta_1$  would be biased if Protestantism is correlated with an unobserved variable which affects the reading bias. We control in our estimations for many socio-cultural characteristics as well as for school inputs and absenteeism. Hence, such an unobserved variable is not easy to think of.

Nevertheless, we follow an instrumental variable strategy which is similar in spirit to Becker and Woessmann (2009) for Prussia (who used the distance to Wittenberg as instrument for Protestantism). In Switzerland the Reformation began in the city of Zurich under church leader Zwingli and then spread to the canton of Zurich before reaching other cities. In the Francophone part, after Geneva adopted Protestantism, the influential figure was Calvin who made Protestantism popular in the West of Switzerland. We therefore take the shorter (log) distance of the main town of a district to one of the two cities Zurich and Geneva as instrument for the share of Protestants in a district. The distances are calculated using historical sources to be able to measure the actual length of routes between the main town of a district and Zurich/Geneva.

With regard to regression (5), one potential problem with instrumenting Protestantism in that way could be that ability was generally more concentrated closer to cities. In this case the identifying assumption, that the distance to Zurich/Geneva is uncorrelated with unobserved variables which affect human capital formation, would be violated. To address the concern that there is such a "city-bias" we additionally control for the (shortest) log distance to one of the six big cities (Zurich, Geneva, St. Gall, Basel, Bern, Lucerne) in the IV regressions. Then, the identifying assumption is fulfilled as long as unobserved characteristics (as ability) are uncorrelated to the distance to Zurich and Geneva for given proximity to the next big city, population density and altitude. This assumption should be met as long as Zurich and Geneva had - compared to other big cities - no special affinity to education.<sup>12</sup> We provide first stage results for our IV estimates in Appendix B.

# 5 Empirical Results

We first present the estimation results for all four fields separated (regression (5)), before coming to the question if there was a Protestant reading bias (regression (11)).

## 5.1 Protestantism and Different Skills

In this subsection we show the results from regressing our measures for cognitive skills in reading, numeracy, essay writing and history separately on the share of Protestants and other controls. We allow for time fixed effects to take into account the panel data structure.

### <Table 3>

Tab. 3 presents the regression results when the share of high-performers in one of the four subjects is the dependent variable. We control for the stage of development (*Primary*, *Density*), geography (*Log Altitude*), family structure (*Children*) and majority language. In all non-IV estimations (columns (1)-(4)), the effect of Protestantism is significant at the one percent level. Column (1) shows that, on average, a fully Protestant district has a 10.6 percentage points higher fraction of high-performers in reading than a fully Catholic district (coefficient  $\alpha_1$  in equation (5)). The effects on math and essay writing skills are somewhat lower, the one on history much lower. The ranking of the sizes of effects corresponds to the average share of high-performers (highest in reading and lowest in history; see Tab. 1). Due to the different distribution of test scores across fields and their strong correlation it is not possible to identify a motivational bias

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>The first Swiss university was found in Basel 1460 far before the ones in Geneva and Zurich (in 1559 and 1833, respectively). Moreover, the capital of Switzerland is located in Bern.

towards certain skills from these and the following results in this subsection, however. Columns (5)-(8) show IV-results for the same regression equations. As typically the case with instrumentation, standard errors increase such that the coefficient on Protestantism sometimes becomes less significant. However, the magnitudes of the Protestant impact even increase substantially.

#### <Table 4>

Examining how Protestantism affects the fraction of low-performers gives rise to similar conclusions. According to Tab. 4, Protestantism is clearly associated with lower failure rates in all four fields, reducing them by almost 10 percentage points in the non-IV estimates. In the IV regressions, again, not only standard errors but also estimated coefficients on Protestantism increase substantially, such that significance levels remain at the one percent level.

Regarding other control variables, all regressions show that the fraction of children in a district has a highly significant and negative effect on cognitive skills, consistent with the well-known notion of a quality-quantity trade-off. A more advanced stage of development (lower agricultural labor share and higher population density) tends to positively affect the share of high-performers but has little effect on low-performers. Altitude tends to be adversely related to skills. The majority language often has no clear effect. However, reading and writing performance tends to be better when Italian is majority language.

### <Table 5>, <Table 6>

In Tab. 3 and 4 we did not control for school inputs. Tab. 5 and 6 suggest that the main results remain fairly robust to the inclusion of inputs in public schools. Hence, a substantial part of the effect of Protestantism on educational performance seems to work through "effort", rather than school inputs and absenteeism. The sizes of effects of Protestantism drop somewhat in the IV estimations compared to Tab. 3 and 4, now being closer to the non-IV estimates. Higher school expenditure is significantly related to cognitive skills in non-IV estimates (except in history), but not in the IV regressions.<sup>13</sup> In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Given that we control for school capital, class size and teacher characteristics, the effect of school expenditure may best be interpreted as the effect of higher (unobserved) salary of teachers (see Boppart et al., 2010).

contrast, higher class size significantly reduces cognitive skills in all four education fields, including in the IV regressions. Higher absenteeism from school enters in the expected fashion in all fields except in reading, where it has no effect. This indicates that learning effort at home, including that of parents, is particularly important to develop reading skills.

## 5.2 Protestant Reading Bias

We now examine the hypothesis of a Protestant reading bias. We first consider the determinants of reading performance when controlling for the performance in one other educational field separately (Tab. 7 and 8), as suggested in the simple model of section 3. Then we turn to control for more than one other educational field (Tab. 9 and 10). For most regressions, we abstain from controlling for the test results on essay-writing because writing and reading are very closely related cognitive skills.

Tab. 7 shows the results of estimating (11), i.e. regressing the share of high-performers in reading on Protestantism and on the fraction of high-performers in math and history, respectively. The results show that indeed  $\beta_1 > 0$  (the coefficient on Protestantism) and as expected also  $\beta_2 > 0$  (the coefficient on skill different to reading) hold in an estimation of equation (11). Columns (1)-(3) are non-IV estimations where we hold the fraction of high-performers in math constant. The coefficients of interest ( $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ) seem to be quite robust to the inclusion of more control variables.

## <Table 7>

Column (3) suggests that a (purely) Protestant district has 3.1 percentage points more high-performers than a (purely) Catholic district ( $\beta_1 = 0.031$ ), given that both kinds of districts have the same fraction of high-performers in math. Column (4) provides IV estimation results when all controls are included with again math skill held constant. Coefficient  $\beta_1$  more than doubles to 0.082, suggesting an even higher Protestant reading bias. Again, significance declines in the IV estimation, as standard errors are substantially enlarged by instrumentation. Moreover, as expected, math performance and reading performance are highly related ( $\beta_2 > 0$ ). Columns (5)-(8) present analogous results when holding fixed the share of highperformers in history rather than in math. The Protestant reading bias is about twice as high. Column (8) shows that the Protestant reading bias not only increases in the IV regression but also stays significant at the one percent level. It suggests that a Protestant district has 14.2 percentage points more high-performers in reading than a Catholic district when holding constant the fraction of high-performers in history. The size of the effect is remarkably high. Its magnitude corresponds to about one standard deviation of the dependent variable (see Tab. 1).

#### <Table 8>

Tab. 8 presents the analogous results for low-performers. It confirms the Protestant reading bias. Switching from a Catholic to a Protestant district reduces the fraction of low-performers by about 2 percentage points for a given fraction of low-performers in math (columns (3) and (4)). The coefficients of Protestantism are not significant in the IV estimates (columns (4) and (8)). However, again, their increased magnitude (compared with columns (3) and (7), respectively) suggests a higher Protestant reading bias than the non-IV estimates. The magnitude of  $\beta_1$  is generally smaller than for the high-performers in Tab. 8. This is understandable in the light of the low failure rates in reading tests. As seen in Tab. 1, on average, only 11.5 percent failed the test compared to a fraction of high-performers in reading of 40.2 percent.

#### <Table 9, 10>

Tab. 9 and 10 show that when we control for performance in both math and history rather than just math, the results are basically unchanged. This can be seen in columns (1)-(4) in Tab. 9 and 10 which are very similar to columns (1)-(4) in Tab. 7 and 8, respectively. In this sense, the Protestant reading bias is remarkably robust. If, however, we control for the performance in essay-writing as well (columns (5)-(8)), then the reading bias becomes much smaller and often is insignificant even in OLS estimates (although coefficients on variable *Protestants* keep the "right" sign). This is not surprising as reading and writing are highly related cognitive skills.

Again, we also find that  $\beta_2 > 0$  in Tab. 7-10 which confirms that performance in reading is correlated to performance in the other fields. There is also evidence for a positive "language-reading bias" in Italian-speaking districts and a negative one in Francophone districts (relative to German-speaking ones). But clearly, this could also reflect language specific difficulties of reading. Tab. 7 and 9 also suggest an adverse reading bias from more children. The number of children has no clear effect, however, on the share of lowperformers in reading, holding other skills constant (Tab. 8 and 10). If anything, a higher stage of development produces a positive reading bias. Both a higher population density and a lower primary sector share seems to improve reading skills when holding fixed other skills, particularly with respect to high-performers. The altitude of a district almost never has a significant effect, although point estimates suggest that it biases downward the share of high-performers (Tab. 7 and 9). Teacher characteristics sometimes do matter. A higher fraction of teachers with more than 20 years of service generally improves reading skills for given other skills. The fraction of older teachers (above age 40), however, when holding fixed teachers' experience, seem to produce an opposite bias away from reading skills. Higher absenteeism, by contrast, gives rise to a positive reading bias. Again, consistent with Tab. 5 and 6, this suggests that participation in school is more important for other fields than reading. School capital does not seem to matter. Finally, if anything, public expenditure per pupil give rise to a rather weak reading bias. In none of the regressions, a doubling of per pupil spending has a larger effect than switching from a Catholic to a Protestant district. As average spending is higher in Protestant districts (see Boppart et al., 2010), this suggests that the Protestant reading bias mainly comes from effort choices of parents or children outside school, rather than reflecting the use of public expenditure for primary schools.

# 6 Conclusion

This paper has employed a historical data set from Switzerland which allowed us to differentiate between different cognitive skills: reading, numeracy, essay writing and Swiss history. We have shown that Protestants had developed higher cognitive skills in all education fields at the time of industrialization. We also developed an estimation strategy to examine whether the impact of religious denomination was particularly large with respect to reading capabilities, consistent with a particular motivation of the Protestant movement to enable the masses to read the bible. We find support for this hypothesis of a Protestant reading bias. However, Protestants' education motives went beyond reading the bible. We documented the broad intentions of Protestant reformers with respect to education which fit well into the presented econometric evidence on the better performance of Protestants in all educational fields covered in the data set. This indicates that Protestants may have been more aware than Catholics that educational investment pays off economically, in addition to enabling them to read the bible.

# Appendix

A: Data sources (similar to Boppart et al., 2010)

- Pedagogical examinations: Statistisches Bureau des eidgenössischen Departement des Innern, Schweizerische Statistik, Lieferungen 27 (1876), 34 (1877), 36 (1878), 38 (1879), 61 (1885), 64 (1886), 67 (1886), 71 (1888), 75 (1889), 120 (1899), 124 (1900), 129 (1901), 134 (1901), 138 (1903).
- School inputs:
  - Grob, J.K. (1883). Statistik über das Unterrichtswesen in der Schweiz im Jahr 1881, Zürich: Schabelitz.
  - Huber, A. (1897). Schweizerische Schulstatistik 1894/95, Zürich: Buchdruckerei des Schweizerischen Grütlivereins.
  - Kinkelin, H. (1875). Statistik des Unterrichtswesens in der Schweiz im Jahre 1871, Zweiter Theil, Statistik der Primarschulen und Ergänzungen zum ersten Theil, Basel, Genf, Lyon: H. Georg's Verlag.
- Distance to Zurich, Geneva, Basel, Berne, Lucerne: Bundesamt für Landestopographie (2003): Topographische Karte der Schweiz (Dufour Map), CD Rom (first pub-

lication: 1845-1865); Bundesinventar der historischen Verkehrswege der Schweiz (http://www.ivs.admin.ch/).

- Altitude: Vogt, A. (1896). Ein vitalstatistisches Nivellement der 182 Bezirke der Schweiz. Zeitschrift für Schweizerische Statistik 32, 364–368.
- Census information: Statistisches Bureau des eidgenössischen Departement des Innern, Schweizerische Statistik.
  - Primary sector share, population density: Lieferungen 28 (1876), 59 (1884), 97 (1894).
  - Catholic share, majority language: Lieferungen 15 (1872), 51 (1891), 84 (1892).
  - Ratio of children (aged 0-15): Lieferungen 20 (1874), 56 (1883), 88 (1892).

For more detailed background information on the data, particularly data quality and historical context, see Woitek and Wüthrich (2010) and Boppart et al. (2010).

#### **B:** First stage results

Tab. 11 presents first-stage results for our IV estimates employed in Tab. 3-10. As expected, the share of Protestants is the higher, the closer a district lies to a center of Protestantism at the time of Reformation (Zurich/Geneva). Interestingly, given the shorter (log) distance to Zurich and Geneva, the (log) distance to the next bigger city (*Log Next City*) is positively related to Protestantism. Protestantism is thus not a general "city-phenomenon" but indeed seems to be related to the home of Zwingli and Calvin. One also sees that the number of children was higher in Protestant regions. Regarding school inputs, there were less clerical teachers, more female teachers, and more absenteeism in Protestant regions.<sup>14</sup>

#### <Table 11>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>That absenteeism is positively related to Protestantism does not necessarily mean that Protestants were attending school less frequently. It may also be explained by the behavior of Protestant teachers who may have documented absenteeism more accurately.

# References

Armstrong, B.G. (1992). John Calvin, in: J. D. Douglas (ed.), Who's Who in Christian History, Philip Wesley Comfort, Tyndale House.www.monergism.com/thethreshold/ articles/questions/Calvin bio.html

Becker, Sascha O. and Ludger Woessmann (2008). Luther and the Girls: Religious Denomination and the Female Education Gap in 19th Century Prussia, *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 110, 777-805.

Becker, Sascha O. and Ludger Woessmann (2009). Was Weber Wrong? A Human Capital Theory of Protestant Economic History, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 124, 531-596.

Becker, Sascha O. and Ludger Woessmann (2010). The Effect of Protestantism on Education before the Industrialization: Evidence from 1816 Prussia, *Economics Letters* 107, 224-228.

Boppart, Timo, Josef Falkinger, Volker Grossmann, Ulrich Woitek and Gabriela Wüthrich (2010). Under Which Conditions Does Religion Affect Educational Outcomes?, University of Zurich (mimeo); revised version of CESifo Working Paper No. 2283.

Gordon, Bruce (2002). The Swiss Reformation, Manchester University Press: Manchester, New York.

Higman, Francis (2010). Calvin, Johannes [Jean Cauvin], Historisches Lexikon der Schweiz (HLS), http://hls-dhs-dss.ch/textes/d/D11069.php.

Kemp, Ellwood L. (1901). History of Education, in: Brumbaugh, M.G. (ed.), Lippincott Educational Series, Vol. III, Philadelphia: Lippincott Company.

Luther, Martin (1520). Address to the Christian Nobility of the German Nation Respecting the Reformation of the Christian Estate, in: The Harvard Classics. New York: P.F. Collier & Son, 1909-1917, Vol. 36.

Painter, Franklin V.N. (1886). A History of Education, in W.T. Harris (ed.), International Education Series, Vol. II, New York: Appleton and Company.

Ornstein, Allen C. and Daniel U. Levine (2008). Foundations of Education, Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin. Schaff, Philip (1892). History of the Christian Church, Volume VIII: Modern Christianity. The Swiss Reformation, Christian Classics Ethereal Library,

http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/hcc8.html.

Weber, Max (1905). The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism, London: Allen and Unwin [translated 1930].

Woitek, Ulrich and Gabi Wüthrich (2010). Data Appendix to Boppart, T., J. Falkinger,V. Grossmann, U. Woitek and G. Wüthrich, Under Which Conditions Does Religion Affect Educational Outcomes?, University of Zurich, 2010.

Zwingli, Ulrich (1523). The Christian Education of Youth, in: Reichenbach, A.M. (1899), Collegeville, PA: Thompson Brothers.

Variable	Mean	S. D.	Min.	Max.
Best Reading	.4029031	.1432474	.0427046	.8618654
Best Essay	.2468622	.1044783	.0200803	.7508855
Best Math	.2994142	.1167105	.0462633	.7260921
Best History	.1820285	.0859801	.0167598	.5301062
Failed Reading	.1151478	.1193597	0	.5589623
Failed Essay	.2146129	.1731524	.007874	.759434
Failed Math	.1882927	.136435	.011811	.6556604
Failed History	.333545	.2156219	.0145631	.8564669
Protestants	.5635065	.4124564	0	.9993389
Best Grade (all topics)	.282802	.1056755	.0453737	.7172373
Failed (all topics)	.2128996	.1553381	.0133495	.6963444

Table 1: Summary statistics

**Notes:** Summary statistics of the pooled sample.

			relation		
Variable	Protestants	Best Reading	Best Math	Failed Reading	Failed Math
Protestants	1.0000				
Best Reading	0.2797*	1.0000			
Best Math	0.2964*	0.8419*	1.0000		
Failed Reading	-0.2297*	-0.5811*	-0.4702*	1.0000	
Failed Math	-0.2243*	-0.5557*	-0.5998*	0.8961*	1.0000

Table 2: Correlation

**Notes:** \* significant at the one percentage level.

	Reading	Essay	Math	History	Reading	Essay	Math	History
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Protestants	0.106***	0.079***	0.091***	0.037***	0.182***	0.111**	0.192***	0.093*
	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.068)	(0.046)	(0.070)	(0.049)
Children	-1.465***	-1.201***	-1.403***	-1.046***	-1.593***	-1.159***	-1.711***	-1.163***
	(0.154)	(0.137)	(0.158)	(0.124)	(0.299)	(0.190)	(0.314)	(0.205)
Primary	-0.231***	-0.241***	-0.063	-0.118**	-0.177**	-0.225***	0.004	-0.085
	(0.070)	(0.058)	(0.073)	(0.053)	(0.083)	(0.065)	(0.085)	(0.063)
Romansh	0.001	0.015	0.002	-0.029	-0.019	-0.011	-0.029	-0.058***
	(0.028)	(0.019)	(0.030)	(0.020)	(0.027)	(0.019)	(0.026)	(0.021)
Italian	0.051**	0.051***	-0.022	-0.036**	0.084**	0.042	0.006	-0.041
	(0.024)	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.015)	(0.037)	(0.026)	(0.035)	(0.027)
French	-0.023**	-0.003	0.004	0.004	-0.019	-0.004	0.004	0.001
	(0.010)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.009)	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.010)
Log Altitude	-0.030	-0.005	-0.013	-0.010	-0.049**	-0.033**	-0.042**	-0.044***
	(0.020)	(0.018)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.023)	(0.016)	(0.020)	(0.015)
Log Density	0.027***	0.026***	0.017**	0.011	0.005	0.009	-0.005	-0.006
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.014)	(0.009)	(0.014)	(0.010)
Log Next City					-0.009	0.010	-0.001	0.011
					(0.010)	(0.007)	(0.011)	(0.008)
Obs.	493	493	493	493	475	475	475	475
$R^2$	0.673	0.687	0.581	0.567	0.602	0.622	0.451	0.485
IV	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes

Table 3: Effect of Protestantism on the share with best grade

	Reading	Essay	Math	History	Reading	Essay	Math	History
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Protestants	-0.082***	-0.097***	-0.098***	-0.097***	-0.153***	-0.143***	-0.278***	-0.301***
	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.015)	(0.048)	(0.050)	(0.091)	(0.106)
Children	0.508***	0.634***	0.986***	1.238***	0.744***	0.755***	1.682***	1.983***
	(0.097)	(0.122)	(0.145)	(0.170)	(0.211)	(0.224)	(0.428)	(0.481)
Primary	0.055	0.030	-0.072	-0.121	-0.001	-0.010	-0.197*	-0.264**
	(0.048)	(0.060)	(0.077)	(0.094)	(0.054)	(0.064)	(0.106)	(0.125)
Romansh	-0.004	-0.002	-0.008	0.073**	0.002	0.006	0.034	0.132***
	(0.014)	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.029)	(0.022)	(0.028)	(0.040)	(0.042)
Italian	-0.009	-0.041*	0.035	0.101***	-0.056**	-0.067**	-0.040	0.037
	(0.017)	(0.021)	(0.024)	(0.023)	(0.026)	(0.030)	(0.046)	(0.054)
French	0.017**	0.010	0.005	-0.018	0.012	0.007	0.007	-0.012
	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.015)	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.015)	(0.020)
Log Altitude	0.025**	0.034**	0.037**	0.052**	0.026	0.038**	0.055*	0.088**
	(0.012)	(0.015)	(0.017)	(0.022)	(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.028)	(0.034)
Log Density	-0.007*	-0.015***	-0.010	-0.012	0.004	-0.006	0.017	0.022
	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.017)	(0.021)
Log Next City					0.018**	0.009	0.017	0.006
					(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.014)	(0.017)
Obs.	493	493	493	493	475	475	475	475
$R^2$	0.722	0.852	0.653	0.848	0.688	0.847	0.438	0.740
IV	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes

Table 4: Effect of Protestantism on the share failed

	Reading	Essay	Math	History	Reading	Essay	Math	History
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Protestants	0.097***	0.068***	0.093***	0.042***	0.169***	0.091**	0.138**	0.042
	(0.014)	(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.010)	(0.064)	(0.043)	(0.067)	(0.046)
Children	-1.138***	-0.875***	-0.765***	-0.683***	-1.211***	-0.746***	-0.795***	-0.580***
	(0.157)	(0.132)	(0.146)	(0.118)	(0.207)	(0.148)	(0.244)	(0.147)
Primary	-0.129*	-0.216***	-0.032	-0.125**	-0.098	-0.200***	-0.008	-0.110**
	(0.073)	(0.057)	(0.077)	(0.053)	(0.076)	(0.052)	(0.077)	(0.052)
Romansh	-0.026	-0.025	-0.040	-0.054**	-0.047*	-0.041**	-0.052*	-0.060***
	(0.025)	(0.020)	(0.027)	(0.021)	(0.025)	(0.019)	(0.029)	(0.022)
Italian	0.064***	0.045**	0.045**	-0.021	0.100**	0.050*	0.064	-0.035
	(0.023)	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.044)	(0.027)	(0.041)	(0.031)
French	-0.033**	-0.002	0.042***	0.018	-0.017	0.004	0.052***	0.017
	(0.015)	(0.011)	(0.014)	(0.012)	(0.020)	(0.013)	(0.019)	(0.015)
Log Altitude	-0.009	0.001	0.009	0.002	-0.024	-0.020	-0.009	-0.019
	(0.016)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.013)	(0.019)	(0.013)
Log Density	0.034***	0.030***	0.032***	0.018***	0.029***	0.016***	0.025***	0.008
	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.010)	(0.006)	(0.009)	(0.006)
Log Absenteeism	0.001	-0.019***	-0.025***	-0.031***	-0.002	-0.020***	-0.027***	-0.029***
	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.010)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.007)
Week	-0.001	-0.002**	-0.001	-0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Class Size	-0.052**	-0.038**	-0.065***	-0.044***	-0.053**	-0.033**	-0.063***	-0.035**
	(0.021)	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.016)	(0.024)	(0.015)	(0.022)	(0.016)
Capital	0.025**	0.026***	0.026***	0.022***	0.024**	0.026***	0.027***	0.023***
	(0.010)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.011)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.006)
Poor Training	-0.022	0.005	-0.034	-0.024	-0.007	0.009	-0.027	-0.026
	(0.020)	(0.015)	(0.022)	(0.017)	(0.023)	(0.017)	(0.026)	(0.019)
Female Teachers	-0.028	-0.005	-0.149***	-0.012	-0.082	-0.034	-0.179***	-0.017
	(0.038)	(0.027)	(0.038)	(0.030)	(0.054)	(0.035)	(0.050)	(0.039)
Clerics	0.128***	0.019	0.179***	0.071*	0.205***	0.037	0.216***	0.063
	(0.045)	(0.033)	(0.047)	(0.038)	(0.075)	(0.049)	(0.072)	(0.058)
Length of Service	0.183* <sup>*</sup>	0.040	0.091	0.101*	0.166	0.051	0.077	0.122*
5	(0.082)	(0.055)	(0.076)	(0.054)	(0.102)	(0.062)	(0.091)	(0.062)
Age	-0.223***	-0.046	-0.127*	-0.076	-0.244***	-0.084	-0.143 <sup>*</sup>	-0.111**
0	(0.084)	(0.053)	(0.074)	(0.053)	(0.089)	(0.052)	(0.076)	(0.053)
Expenditure	0.048***	0.034***	0.032**	0.010	0.022	0.024	0.010	0.004
·	(0.016)	(0.013)	(0.015)	(0.013)	(0.027)	(0.019)	(0.028)	(0.021)
Log Next City	× ,	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·	-0.003	0.008	-0.000	0.013
5 - 5					(0.011)	(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.008)
Obs.	493	493	493	493	475	475	475	475
$R^2$	0.710	0 740	0.658	0.636	0.663	0 694	0.621	0.617
	0.716	0.740	0.050	0.050	0.005	0.054	0.021	0.017

Table 5: Effect of Protestantism on the share with best grade

	Reading	Essay	Math	History	Reading	Essay	Math	History
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Protestants	-0.070***	-0.079***	-0.095***	-0.105***	-0.132***	-0.115**	-0.197***	-0.209**
	(0.009)	(0.011)	(0.014)	(0.018)	(0.048)	(0.050)	(0.075)	(0.084)
Children	0.171	0.237**	0.313**	0.616***	0.324**	0.272	0.590**	0.848***
	(0.105)	(0.115)	(0.151)	(0.172)	(0.163)	(0.174)	(0.264)	(0.293)
Primary	-0.024	-0.034	-0.082	-0.107	-0.053	-0.063	-0.123	-0.159
	(0.053)	(0.062)	(0.077)	(0.094)	(0.055)	(0.062)	(0.084)	(0.105)
Romansh	0.007	0.033	0.015	0.094***	0.017	0.040	0.035	0.117***
	(0.016)	(0.024)	(0.023)	(0.029)	(0.025)	(0.030)	(0.037)	(0.038)
Italian	-0.053**	-0.051**	-0.041*	0.055**	-0.093**	-0.077**	-0.096*	0.010
	(0.021)	(0.023)	(0.023)	(0.026)	(0.038)	(0.037)	(0.051)	(0.054)
French	0.006	0.016	-0.029*	-0.045**	-0.007	0.008	-0.049**	-0.063**
	(0.011)	(0.013)	(0.015)	(0.017)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.022)	(0.025)
Log Altitude	-0.001	0.010	0.004	0.024	-0.000	0.009	0.014	0.049**
	(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.023)
Log Density	-0.014***	-0.019***	-0.020***	-0.021**	-0.016***	-0.019***	-0.022**	-0.017
	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.012)
Log Absenteeism	-0.005	0.007	0.029***	0.051***	-0.001	0.011	0.035***	0.055***
	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.011)	(0.013)
Week	0.000	-0.000	-0.001	-0.002*	0.001	-0.000	-0.000	-0.002
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Class Size	0.034**	0.062***	0.073***	0.065***	0.039**	0.063***	0.078***	0.067**
	(0.015)	(0.019)	(0.021)	(0.023)	(0.018)	(0.021)	(0.026)	(0.027)
Capital	-0.016**	-0.026***	-0.017*	-0.023**	-0.017**	-0.028***	-0.017	-0.024**
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.012)
Poor Training	-0.003	-0.007	0.107***	0.058**	-0.017	-0.015	0.088**	0.037
	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.028)	(0.027)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.035)	(0.034)
Female Teachers	0.085***	0.039	0.124***	0.045	0.120***	0.061	0.180***	0.101
	(0.031)	(0.036)	(0.043)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.050)	(0.064)	(0.066)
Clerics	-0.123***	-0.049	-0.163***	-0.120**	-0.188***	-0.086	-0.267***	-0.217**
	(0.036)	(0.043)	(0.050)	(0.055)	(0.057)	(0.066)	(0.085)	(0.091)
Length of Service	-0.251***	-0.169**	-0.167*	-0.210**	-0.213**	-0.154*	-0.104	-0.156
	(0.076)	(0.081)	(0.090)	(0.087)	(0.087)	(0.091)	(0.111)	(0.112)
Age	0.234***	0.161*	0.186**	0.176**	0.219***	0.160*	0.165*	0.173*
	(0.075)	(0.082)	(0.093)	(0.087)	(0.079)	(0.084)	(0.099)	(0.095)
Expenditure	-0.032**	-0.033**	-0.033**	-0.014	-0.013	-0.023	-0.001	0.026
	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.017)	(0.022)	(0.022)	(0.030)	(0.035)
Log Next City					0.011	0.006	0.013	0.006
-					(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.013)	(0.014)
Obs.	493	493	493	493	475	475	475	475
$R^2$	0.760	0.874	0.714	0.874	0.745	0.876	0.669	0.858
IV	no	no	no	no	ves	ves	ves	Ves

Table 6: Effect of Protestantism on the share who failed

	()	(-)	(-)	( )	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Protestants	0.024**	0.041***	0.031***	0.082*	0.058***	0.078***	0.067***	0.142***
	(0.010)	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.045)	(0.012)	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.053)
Best Grade Math	0.896***	0.708***	0.713***	0.632***				
	(0.044)	(0.039)	(0.045)	(0.076)				
Best Grade History					1.038***	0.741***	0.710***	0.647***
					(0.062)	(0.058)	(0.069)	(0.091)
Children		-0.471***	-0.593***	-0.708***		-0.690***	-0.653***	-0.836***
		(0.108)	(0.107)	(0.165)		(0.131)	(0.138)	(0.203)
Primary		-0.187***	-0.106**	-0.093**		-0.144***	-0.040	-0.027
		(0.044)	(0.045)	(0.047)		(0.055)	(0.058)	(0.063)
Romansh		-0.000	0.002	-0.014		0.023	0.012	-0.007
		(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.016)		(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.022)
Italian		0.066***	0.032*	0.060**		0.077***	0.079***	0.123***
		(0.015)	(0.017)	(0.029)		(0.020)	(0.023)	(0.039)
French		-0.026***	-0.062***	-0.050***		-0.026***	-0.045***	-0.028
		(0.006)	(0.009)	(0.014)		(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.018)
Log Altitude		-0.021	-0.015	-0.019		-0.022	-0.011	-0.012
5		(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.016)		(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.021)
Log Density		0.015***	0.011**	0.013*́		0.018***	0.021***	0.023***
		(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.007)		(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.008)
Log Absenteeism			0.019***	0.015*			0.024***	0.016*
			(0.006)	(0.007)			(0.007)	(0.009)
Week			-0.001	-0.001			-0.001	-0.002*
			(0, 001)	(0, 001)			(0, 001)	(0, 001)
Class Size			-0.005	-0.014			-0.021	-0.031
01000 0120			(0.015)	(0.018)			(0.016)	(0,020)
Capital			0.006	0.007			0.009	0.009
Cupital			(0.000)	(0.008)			(0,009)	(0.010)
Poor Training			0.003	0.010			-0.005	0.010
r oor Training			(0.020)	(0.010)			(0.000)	(0.021)
Female Teachers			0.078***	0.031			-0.020	-0.071
			(0.070)	(0.001)			(0.020	(0.046)
Clerics			0.000	0.068			0.078**	0 163**
Cicilics			(0.032)	(0.060)			(0.039)	(0.068)
Length of Service			0.118*	0 117*			0.112	0.087
Length of Service			(0.060)	(0.070)			(0.068)	(0.081)
٨٥٥			(0.000)	0.152**			0.160**	0.172**
Aye			(0.061)	-0.155			(0.071)	-0.172
Evpondituro			0.001)	(0.004)			0.071)	(0.075)
Experiature			$(0.025^{\circ})$	(0.010)			(0.041)	(0.020)
Log Novt City			(0.011)	(0.017)			(0.012)	(0.020)
LOY NEXT CITY				-0.003				-0.011
Obc	102	102	102	475	102	402	402	475
ODS. D <sup>2</sup>	493	493	493	415	493	493	493	415
	0.745	0.012	0.832	0.802	0.075	0.759	0.782	0.131
IV	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes

Table 7: Dependent	variable:	share with	best	grade	in reading
				5	J

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Protestants	-0.029***	-0.028***	-0.017**	-0.025	-0.041***	-0.042***	-0.027***	-0.052
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.034)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.041)
Failed Math	0.565***	0.554***	0.564***	0.547***				
	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.034)	(0.058)				
Failed History					0.395***	0.412***	0.417***	0.385***
					(0.025)	(0.029)	(0.031)	(0.063)
Children		-0.038	-0.005	0.001		-0.002	-0.086	-0.003
		(0.067)	(0.074)	(0.111)		(0.084)	(0.090)	(0.136)
Primary		0.095***	0.022	0.015		0.105***	0.021	0.009
		(0.031)	(0.036)	(0.036)		(0.039)	(0.043)	(0.042)
Romansh		-0.000	-0.001	-0.002		-0.035**	-0.032*	-0.028
		(0.009)	(0.011)	(0.013)		(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.022)
Italian		-0.029***	-0.030**	-0.040		-0.051***	-0.076***	-0.097***
		(0.011)	(0.015)	(0.024)		(0.012)	(0.020)	(0.030)
French		0.014***	0.023***	0.019**		0.024***	0.025***	0.017
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.010)		(0.005)	(0.009)	(0.014)
Log Altitude		0.005	-0.003	-0.008		0.004	-0.011	-0.019
		(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.009)		(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.014)
Log Density		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004		-0.003	-0.005	-0.010**
		(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.004)		(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Log Absenteeism			-0.022***	-0.020***			-0.027***	-0.022***
-			(0.005)	(0.005)			(0.007)	(0.008)
Week			0.001**	0.001**			0.001**	0.002***
			(0.000)	(0.000)			(0.001)	(0.001)
Class Size			-0.007	-0.004			0.007	0.013
			(0.009)	(0.011)			(0.012)	(0.014)
Capital			-0.006	-0.007			-0.006	-0.007
			(0.005)	(0.006)			(0.006)	(0.006)
Poor Training			-0.063***	-0.065***			-0.027	-0.031*
-			(0.018)	(0.018)			(0.016)	(0.017)
Female Teachers			0.015	0.022			0.066***	0.081* <sup>*</sup>
			(0.018)	(0.031)			(0.024)	(0.037)
Clerics			-0.031	-0.042			-0.072**	-0.104**
			(0.024)	(0.045)			(0.028)	(0.049)
Length of Service			-0.157***	-0.156***			-0.163***	-0.153**
			(0.045)	(0.049)			(0.057)	(0.060)
Age			0.130***	0.129***			0.161***	0.152***
			(0.044)	(0.046)			(0.053)	(0.056)
Expenditure			-0.013	-0.013			-0.026**	-0.023
			(0.009)	(0.014)			(0.010)	(0.017)
Log Next City			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.004			<b>``</b>	0.009
-				(0.005)				(0.006)
Obs.	493	493	493	475	493	493	493	475
$R^2$	0.850	0.861	0.879	0.880	0.781	0.806	0.831	0.833
IV	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes

(1) $(2)$ $(3)$ $(4)$ $(5)$ $(6)$ $(7)$	(8)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
(0.010) (0.008) (0.000) (0.008) (0.000) (0.008) (0.0	0.035
(0.000) (0.000) (0.009) (0.009) (0.000) (0.0	(0.044)
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Dest Grade Math} & 0.706 \\ (0.066) \\ (0.062) \\ (0.062) \\ (0.061) \\ (0.062) \\ (0.062) \\ (0.061) \\ (0.062) \\ (0.062) \\ (0.061) \\ (0.062) \\ $	(0.072)
(0.000) (0.059) (0.005) (0.091) (0.055) (0.051) (0.054)	(0.072)
Best History $0.310^{-11}$ $0.176^{-11}$ $0.174^{-11}$ $0.220^{-11}$ $-0.238^{-11}$ $-0.207^{-11}$ $-0.204^{-11}$	-0.132
(0.089) $(0.074)$ $(0.080)$ $(0.082)$ $(0.075)$ $(0.076)$ $(0.081)$	(0.094)
Best Essay 0.884*** 0.770*** 0.772***	0.746***
(0.046) (0.059) (0.058)	(0.092)
Children -0.414*** -0.539*** -0.669*** -0.130 -0.247***	-0.423**
(0.109) (0.111) (0.164) (0.101) (0.093)	(0.166)
Primary -0.172*** -0.087* -0.070 -0.042 0.027	0.040
(0.044) $(0.045)$ $(0.047)$ $(0.040)$ $(0.041)$	(0.044)
Romansh 0.005 0.008 -0.006 -0.017 0.001	-0.004
(0.012) $(0.014)$ $(0.017)$ $(0.011)$ $(0.011)$	(0.014)
ltalian 0.070*** 0.040** 0.075** 0.014 0.004	0.033
(0.016) $(0.018)$ $(0.032)$ $(0.012)$ $(0.015)$	(0.031)
French -0.026*** -0.062*** -0.048*** -0.022*** -0.047***	-0.038***
(0.006) $(0.009)$ $(0.015)$ $(0.005)$ $(0.008)$	(0.012)
Log Altitude -0.020 -0.015 -0.015 -0.022** -0.013	-0.009
(0.013) (0.013) (0.016) (0.010) (0.011)	(0.014)
Log Density 0.014*** 0.011** 0.014** 0.001 -0.000	0.008
(0.005) (0.005) (0.007) (0.004) (0.005)	(0.006)
Log Absenteeism 0.023*** 0.018** 0.021***	0.019***
(0.006) (0.008) (0.005)	(0, 007)
Week -0.001 -0.001 0.001	-0.000
	(0,001)
(0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001) (0.001)	-0.010
	(0.015)
(0.014) (0.016) (0.012)	(0.013)
Capital $0.005  0.005  -0.005$	-0.003
(0.007) (0.008) (0.007)	(0.008)
Poor training 0.004 0.013 -0.015	-0.007
(0.020) $(0.019)$ $(0.016)$	(0.017)
Female Teachers         0.068***         0.015         0.043**	0.010
(0.025) $(0.040)$ $(0.020)$	(0.034)
Clerics 0.003 0.078 0.045	0.102**
(0.032) (0.061) (0.028)	(0.050)
Length of Service 0.108* 0.099 0.131**	0.114*
(0.060) $(0.069)$ $(0.052)$	(0.061)
Age -0.130** -0.145** -0.144***	-0.140**
(0.060) (0.064) (0.052)	(0.055)
Expenditure 0.026** 0.016 0.009	0.001
(0.010) (0.016) (0.009)	(0.014)
Log Next City -0.006	-0.007
(0.006)	(0.006)
Obs. 493 493 493 475 493 493 493	475
R <sup>2</sup> 0.754 0.815 0.834 0.804 0.854 0.864 0.878	0.857
IV no no no yes no no no	yes

Table 9: Dependent	variable:	share with	best	grade	in	reading
				9		

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Drotostants	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	0.011*	(7)	(0)
FIOLESLAILS	-0.029	-0.027	-0.014	-0.017	-0.014	-0.011	-0.008	-0.031
Failed Math	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.030)	0.003	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.037)
Falled Math	(0.0544)	(0.007)	(0.047)	(0.054)	(0.042)	(0.011)	(0.297)	(0.040)
	(0.052)	(0.050)	(0.047)	(0.054)	(0.043)	(0.044)	(0.046)	(0.049)
Failed History	0.025	0.063	0.092**	0.093*	-0.051*	-0.034	-0.004	-0.014
	(0.036)	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.053)	(0.030)	(0.034)	(0.035)	(0.048)
Failed Essay					0.500***	0.488***	0.442***	0.415***
					(0.042)	(0.048)	(0.053)	(0.061)
Children		-0.069	-0.041	-0.042		-0.040	-0.024	0.053
		(0.068)	(0.076)	(0.124)		(0.063)	(0.071)	(0.107)
Primary		0.099***	0.027	0.022		0.056**	0.015	0.007
		(0.032)	(0.037)	(0.037)		(0.027)	(0.032)	(0.033)
Romansh		-0.005	-0.009	-0.011		0.001	-0.012	-0.008
		(0.010)	(0.013)	(0.016)		(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.014)
Italian		-0.033***	-0.037**	-0.047*		0.004	-0.018	-0.033
		(0.011)	(0.016)	(0.024)		(0.008)	(0.013)	(0.022)
French		0.016***	0.025***	0.022**		0.010***	0.007	0.003
		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.011)		(0.004)	(0.006)	(0.010)
Log Altitude		0.003	-0.005	-0.011		0.000	-0.007	-0.007
		(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.010)		(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.010)
Log Density		-0.002	-0.002	-0.004		0.003	0.001	-0.002
Log Density		(0.002)	(0.002)	(0,004)		(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.002)
Log Absenteeism		(0.000)	-0.025***	_0 023***		(0.002)	-0.017***	-0.015***
Log / boencelon			(0.005)	(0.006)			(0.004)	(0,006)
W/ook			0.001***	0.001***			0.001*	0.001**
VVCCK			(0,000)	(0,000)			(0,000)	(0,000)
Class Size			(0.000)	(0.000)			(0.000)	(0.000)
Class Size			-0.006	-0.005			-0.015	-0.009
			(0.010)	(0.012)			(0.009)	(0.012)
Capital			-0.005	-0.006			0.000	-0.001
5			(0.005)	(0.005)			(0.004)	(0.005)
Poor Training			-0.062***	-0.063***			-0.031**	-0.035**
			(0.018)	(0.018)			(0.013)	(0.014)
Female Teachers			0.019	0.023			0.031*	0.044
			(0.019)	(0.031)			(0.016)	(0.028)
Clerics			-0.030	-0.038			-0.053**	-0.078*
			(0.024)	(0.046)			(0.020)	(0.042)
Length of Service			-0.148***	-0.148***			-0.127***	-0.121***
			(0.046)	(0.049)			(0.040)	(0.043)
Age			0.126***	0.123***			0.109***	0.107**
			(0.044)	(0.045)			(0.040)	(0.043)
Expenditure			-0.014	-0.015			-0.007	-0.003
•			(0.009)	(0.015)			(0.008)	(0.014)
Log Next City			· /	0.005			· /	0.005
				(0.005)				(0.005)
Obs.	493	493	493	475	493	493	493	475
$R^2$	0.850	0.862	0.881	0.883	0.892	0.895	0.903	0.900
IV	no	no	no	Ves	no	no	no	Ves
				,05				,

Table 10: Dependent variable:	: share failed in reading
-------------------------------	---------------------------

	(1) Table 3 and 4	(2) Table 5 and 6	(3) Table 7	(4) Table 7	(5) Table 8	(6) Table 8	(7) Table 9	(8) Table 9	(9) Table 10	(10) Table 10
Distance to 7H/GE	-0 164***	-0.161***	-0 129**	-0 152***	-0 122**	-0 119**	-0 128**	-0.120**	-0 113**	-0 116**
Distance to Ziny dE	(0.060)	(0.056)	(0.052)	(0.054)	(0.054)	(0.054)	(0.052)	(0.050)	(0.054)	(0.053)
Log Next City	0.119***	0.149***	0.120***	0.123***	0.128***	0.117***	0.120***	0.110***	0.118***	0.119***
	(0.042)	(0.038)	(0.036)	(0.038)	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.037)	(0.035)	(0.036)	(0.035)
Children	5.305***	4.018***	4.361***	4.563***	3.768***	4.026***	4.339***	4.514***	3.900***	3.836**
	(0.926)	(0.848)	(0.778)	(0.842)	(0.784)	(0.777)	(0.810)	(0.802)	(0.780)	(0.778)
Primary	-0.614	-0.297	-0.227	-0.133	-0.378	-0.419	-0.234	-0.020	-0.418	-0.402
,	(0.384)	(0.381)	(0.353)	(0.362)	(0.354)	(0.369)	(0.352)	(0.338)	(0.362)	(0.359)
Romansh	0.309*	0.217	0.248*	0.285*	0.207	0.307**	0.245*	0.234*	0.272*	0.274*
	(0.181)	(0.172)	(0.141)	(0.158)	(0.165)	(0.153)	(0.141)	(0.133)	(0.157)	(0.163)
Italian	-0.266 <sup>*</sup>	-0.391**	-0.405* <sup>**</sup>	-0.322**	-0.414***	-0.276 <sup>*</sup>	-0.409* <sup>**</sup>	-0.459* <sup>**</sup>	-0.326**	-0.355*
	(0.140)	(0.157)	(0.149)	(0.148)	(0.152)	(0.144)	(0.155)	(0.148)	(0.150)	(0.156)
French	0.031	-0.168**	-0.210***	-0.181**	-0.187* <sup>**</sup>	-0.203***	-0.210***	-0.179**	-0.201***	-0.174*
	(0.066)	(0.075)	(0.071)	(0.075)	(0.070)	(0.071)	(0.071)	(0.070)	(0.070)	(0.071
Log Altitude	0.189*	0.222* <sup>*</sup>	0.191*	0.234* <sup>*</sup>	0.185*	0.225* <sup>*</sup>	0.190*	0.191* <sup>*</sup>	0.206* <sup>*</sup>	0.204*
-	(0.113)	(0.108)	(0.097)	(0.102)	(0.100)	(0.097)	(0.097)	(0.095)	(0.097)	(0.097
Log Density	0.158***	0.015	-0.024	0.003	-0.016	-0.011	-0.025	-0.034	-0.017	-0.020
-	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.038)	(0.040)	(0.037)	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.037)	(0.038)	(0.038
Log Absenteeism	· · /	0.091* <sup>*</sup>	0.111***	0.123***	0.112***	0.136***	0.109***	0.105***	0.131***	0.121**
		(0.040)	(0.035)	(0.037)	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.036)	(0.035)	(0.034)	(0.034
Week		0.002	0.003	0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.003	0.004	-0.000	-0.000
		(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004
Class Size		0.029	0.113	0.074	0.119	0.105	0.113	0.112	0.124	0.132
		(0.094)	(0.086)	(0.093)	(0.088)	(0.090)	(0.086)	(0.083)	(0.088)	(0.089
Capital		0.015	-0.027	-0.016	-0.009	-0.019	-0.026	-0.039	-0.020	-0.029
		(0.053)	(0.049)	(0.053)	(0.047)	(0.047)	(0.050)	(0.048)	(0.046)	(0.045
Poor Training		-0.151	-0.082	-0.107	-0.005	-0.064	-0.083	-0.114	-0.022	-0.066
		(0.100)	(0.099)	(0.100)	(0.100)	(0.096)	(0.099)	(0.097)	(0.098)	(0.095
Female Teachers		0.464**	0.630***	0.460**	0.574***	0.469**	0.634***	0.585***	0.521***	0.500**
		(0.204)	(0.195)	(0.197)	(0.193)	(0.189)	(0.201)	(0.195)	(0.189)	(0.191
Clerics		-0.946***	-1.068***	-0.977* <sup>**</sup>	-1.045***	-0.969***	-1.069***	-0.959***	-1.010***	-0.968*
		(0.205)	(0.188)	(0.193)	(0.188)	(0.184)	(0.189)	(0.186)	(0.186)	(0.184
Length of Service		0.676**	0.431*	0.475*	0.382	0.303	0.437*	0.437*	0.283	0.247
		(0.291)	(0.254)	(0.273)	(0.276)	(0.273)	(0.254)	(0.252)	(0.276)	(0.275
Age		-0.238	0.015	-0.077	0.024	0.041	0.012	0.020	0.076	0.102
		(0.307)	(0.261)	(0.278)	(0.279)	(0.279)	(0.260)	(0.252)	(0.279)	(0.276
Expenditure		0.286***	0.215***	0.264***	0.215***	0.243***	0.214***	0.174**	0.223***	0.208**
		(0.080)	(0.072)	(0.079)	(0.073)	(0.074)	(0.072)	(0.070)	(0.075)	(0.075
Best Grade Math			1.437***				1.472***	1.136***		
			(0.225)				(0.255)	(0.239)		
Best Grade Math				1.334***			-0.074	-0.710*		
				(0.352)			(0.373)	(0.424)		
Failed Math					-1.236***				-0.608***	-0.308
					(0.195)				(0.201)	(0.229
Failed History						-1.253***			-0.833***	-0.683*
						(0.202)			(0.240)	(0.244
Best Grade Essay						· · · ·		1.357***	. ,	
,								(0.337)		
Failed Essay								. ,		-0.656*
-										(0.296
Obs.	475	475	475	475	475	475	475	475	475	475
D <sup>2</sup>	0 311	0 535	0 598	0 561	0 587	0 594	0 598	0.613	0.600	0 604

## Table 11: first stage regressions