

Gupta, Sanjeev

Working Paper

Causal relationship between domestic credit and international reserves: The experience of developing countries

Kiel Working Paper, No. 139

Provided in Cooperation with:

Kiel Institute for the World Economy (IfW)

Suggested Citation: Gupta, Sanjeev (1982) : Causal relationship between domestic credit and international reserves: The experience of developing countries, Kiel Working Paper, No. 139, Institut für Weltwirtschaft (IfW), Kiel

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10419/47061>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Kieler Arbeitspapiere Kiel Working Papers

Working Paper No. 139

Causal Relationship Between Domestic Credit
and International Reserves: The Experience
of Developing Countries

by

Sanjeev Gupta*

Institut für Weltwirtschaft an der Universität Kiel

Kiel Institute of World Economics
Department IV
Düsternbrooker Weg 120, 2300 Kiel 1

Working Paper No. 139

Causal Relationship Between Domestic Credit
and International Reserves: The Experience
of Developing Countries

by
Sanjeev Gupta*

April 1982

As 1297 / 82
Wirtschaft
Kiel

* This paper reports research undertaken with financial support provided by the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft". The author wishes to thank J.B. Donges and Poonam Gupta for helpful suggestions.

Abstract

Three causality tests, Haugh, Granger and Sims are used to determine the nature of causal relationship between the components of monetary base of India, Malaysia, Mexico and Taiwan. The conclusion derived is that bidirectional causality exists between the changes in domestic credit and changes in international reserves for all four countries.

Causal Relationship Between Domestic Credit and Inter-
national Reserves: The Experience of Developing Countries

Recently, Blejer (1979) tested the direction of causality between domestic credit and international reserve components of several developed countries monetary base. The objective was to establish support for one of the basic tenets of the monetary approach to the balance of payments (MBoP), that an exogenous expansion of domestic credit leads to an endogenous outflow of foreign exchange reserves. His results supported the direction of causality running from domestic credit to international reserves. However, Blejer's analysis suffered from many shortcomings. First, he used only one test of causality, namely, Sims (1972), when in fact, there are three such tests available. Second, his estimation method failed to take account of problems associated with potential serial autocorrelation in the residuals of the Sims regressions. This could easily have biased the results. Third, since contemporaneous coefficients for all countries he studied were significant, it is not clear whether the relationship between domestic credit and international reserves is mainly contemporaneous. Lastly, in order to ascertain the true direction of causation, it is advisable to use as much disaggregated data series as are available because of possible bias introduced by aggregation. Keeping this in view, it would have been more appropriate for Blejer to have used monthly rather than quarterly data series. Feige and Johannes (1981) retested the MBoP for six countries taking into account the above noted

drawbacks in Blejer's work. Their sample was, however, restricted to the developed nations, so in this paper the nature of relationship between the components of the monetary base is studied for four developing countries, India, Malaysia, Mexico and Taiwan. The widest range of techniques available are employed on monthly data series.

The MBoP has been widely tested on data from several developing countries¹ and the IMF bases its financial programme and policy recommendations for the member countries on principles that are consistent with it.² The empirical results were construed to support the MBoP when negative correlation between domestic and foreign assets was detected. But this does not provide evidence in support of the proposition that causality does indeed run from domestic to foreign assets. Empirical determination of the direction of causality requires implementation of tests geared to testing for causation. Such empirical analysis is important not only because it throws further light on the central proposition of the MBoP, but also because it could assist the national and international policy makers.

The paper is organised as follows. In the next section, the domestic and foreign asset relationship embodied in the MBoP is stated. Sections III and IV present the causality tests and the results, respectively. The concluding comments are given in the last section.

¹ For instance, see Kreinin and Officer (1978) for a survey and Aghevli and Khan (1977).

² See Rhomberg and Heller (1977), Crockett (1981) and IMF (1981, ch 7)

II The MBoP

The commonly empirically studied reserve-flow equation of MBoP is the following reduced-form version.

$$\left[\frac{R}{R + D} \right] \Delta \log R = \Delta \log P + \alpha \Delta \log y - \beta \Delta \log i - \Delta \log m - \left[\frac{D}{R + D} \right] \Delta \log D \quad (1)$$

where R is the level of the country's international reserves; D is the domestic component of the monetary base; P is the domestic price level; y is real income; i is the interest rate; and m is the domestic money multiplier. According to (1), expansion of domestic credit in excess of domestic demand for nominal balances will result in a balance of payments deficit as the public gets rid of the excess supply of money by raising its expenditure over income. Therefore, the direction of causality suggested by (1) is from domestic credit to foreign reserves.

However, it is conceivable that the causality may run from foreign reserves to domestic credit (Blejer (1979)). This is likely when central banks actively sterilize the impact of exogenous changes in foreign reserves on the domestic money supply. It could also exist when commercial banks in order to prevent fluctuations in domestic credit volume borrow from the central bank when confronted with a loss of reserves through an outflow of international reserves.

III Causality Tests

We employ three commonly used tests of causality, Granger (1969), Haugh (1976) and Sims (1972). We do not discuss the theory underlying these tests as it has been well documented elsewhere.³ Only a brief description of the tests is presented.

³ For instance, see Feige and Pearce (1979) and Feige and Johannes (1981).

In Haugh test, prewhitened data series are cross correlated and two statistics, S and S^* computed. The statistic S is defined as

$$S = N \sum_{k=-m}^m \hat{r}^2(k) \quad (2)$$

where N is the number of observations, k is the number of leads or lags and \hat{r} are the cross-correlations for k leads and lags.

When k is large relative to the number of observations, then S^* is estimated.

$$S^* = N^2 \sum_{k=-m}^m \frac{\hat{r}^2}{(N - |k|)} \quad (3)$$

Under the null hypothesis of independence, S and S^* are asymptotically distributed as chi-square with $2m+1$ degrees of freedom.

Since Haugh test is strictly valid only as a test of independence or dependence between series, Granger and Sims causality tests are needed to determine the direction of causation. The Granger procedure consists of estimating two regressions.

$$\Delta D_t = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \Delta D_{t-k} + \sum_{j=0}^n b_j \Delta R_{t-j} + u_t \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta R_t = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \Delta R_{t-k} + \sum_{j=0}^n d_j \Delta D_{t-j} + v_t \quad (5)$$

For ΔR not to cause ΔD , all b_j for $j > 0$ must be zero, while for ΔR not to cause ΔD at all, all b_j for $j \geq 0$ must be zero.

For ΔD not to cause ΔR , all d_j for $j > 0$ must be zero. ΔD does not cause ΔR at all, if all d_j for $j \geq 0$ equal zero.

The Sims test is based on the regressions

$$\Delta D_t = \sum_{i=-n}^m p_i \Delta R_{t-i} + e_t \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta R_t = \sum_{i=-n}^m q_i \Delta D_{t-i} + f_t \quad (7)$$

ΔD does not cause ΔR , if p_i for $i < 0$ equals zero and ΔD does not cause ΔR at all if p_i for $i \leq 0$ are all zero. Analogously, ΔR does not cause ΔD , if q_i for $i < 0$ are all zero, while ΔR does not cause ΔD at all, if q_i for $i \leq 0$ are all zero.

IV Data and Empirical Results

The causality tests have been carried out on monthly seasonally unadjusted changes in the domestic credit and reserve components of the monetary base in India, Malaysia, Mexico and Taiwan. The period ranges from March 1963 to July 1971 for all countries with the exception of Malaysia and Mexico. The series for Malaysia commence from April 1966 and that of Mexico end in November 1970.⁴ The data have been

⁴ The period of study has been determined by the availability of data and by the fact that the exchange rates were fixed during this period.

obtained from several editions of the International Financial Statistics (IFS).⁵ These countries were selected because empirical evidence in favour of MBoP already exists for them (Aghevli and Khan (1977)).⁶ Secondly, these countries represent varying degrees of openness to world trade. Malaysia and Taiwan are the most open of these economies, with Mexico being moderately open, and India being the least open. The degree of openness is relevant because MBoP is applicable more to open economies.

The estimated autocorrelation functions for the components of monetary base of Malaysia and Taiwan indicated that no prefiltering was required. However, ARIMA filters were judged to be necessary for Indian and Mexican series (presented in Table 1). The S and S* for each country (Table 2) suggested that the null hypothesis that ΔD and ΔR are independent can be rejected at 0.05 or 0.10 level of significance. Except for

⁵ Reserves are taken to be official international reserves (line 1, IFS) converted to domestic currency units. Domestic credit is defined as the monetary base (line 14, IFS) minus reserves.

⁶ In fact, their results for the chosen countries suggested a strong support for the MBoP.

Mexico, S and S^* are significant (in Table 2) for all leads and lags of up to 12. For Mexico, dependence is observed only at the short lags. These results, therefore indicate that dependence exists between ΔR and ΔD for all four countries.

The Granger and Sims regressions were run to determine the nature of the relationship between the components of monetary base. The Granger regressions (4) and (5) contained a constant and a trend in addition to other variables. Since seasonality was observed in the Indian and Mexican data series, monthly dummies were introduced to account for the seasonal patterns.⁶

The values for m and n were set equal to twelve for the countries studied.⁷ The Granger F statistics are reported in Tables 3 and 4. In Table 3, the null hypotheses that $b_j = 0$, for $j \geq 0$ and $d_j = 0$, for $j \geq 0$ are examined. The F statistics are significant at .05 or .10 level in both cases for all countries, implying that causality is bidirectional between ΔR and ΔD .

⁶ The regression for India also contained a dummy for devaluation of the rupee in June 1966.

⁷ The same lag lengths have been examined by Blejer (1979) for the Sims test and by Feige and Johannes (1981) for the Granger and Sims.

TABLE 1 Box-Jenkins Models for Changes in Domestic Credit and International Reserves^a

Country	Series	Model	Diagnostic Check on Residuals	
Mexico	Dom. Credit	$(1-B^{12})(1-0.21B^{12})\Delta D = U_D$ (-1.43)	Q = 27.84	d.f = 24
	Intern. Res.	$(1-0.19B^2-0.34B^5)\Delta R = U_R$ (-1.80) (-3.36)	Q = 30.53	d.f = 27
India ^b	Dom. Credit	$(1-B^{12})\Delta D = (1-0.57B^{12})U_D$ (-5.89)	Q = 20.86	d.f = 24

Note: The t values are given in parentheses under each coefficient

(a) For Malaysia and Taiwan both the ΔD and ΔR series were white noise.

(b) Since the first differences for International Reserves were found to be white noise no filter was required.

TABLE 2 Haugh Test Results

Country	K = 1	K = 6	K = 12	K = 24
Malaysia				
S	31.64*	40.68*	44.86*	57.91
S*	31.65*	41.19*	46.09*	64.675 ⁺
Taiwan				
S	36.38*	44.16*	49.42*	77.99*
S*	36.39*	44.54*	50.63*	85.42*
Mexico				
S	8.37*	14.73	29.64	48.57
S*	8.40*	15.17	33.03	58.64
India				
S	25.10*	29.07*	37.55 ⁺	51.06
S*	25.15*	29.34*	39.13*	56.67

+ Significant at 0.10 level.

* Significant at 0.05 level.

TABLE 3 Granger F Test Results

Country	$p_j = 0, j \geq 0$	$d_j = 0, j \geq 0$
Malaysia	3.38* (13,24)	3.16* (13,24)
Taiwan	5.15* (13,61)	3.59* (13,61)
Mexico	3.98* (13,42)	2.8* (13,42)
India	1.85 ⁺ (13,49)	3.19* (13,49)

* Significant at the 0.05 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

+ Significant at the 0.10 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

The contemporaneous coefficients (included in tests in Table 3) were significant in all Granger regressions. Hence, it cannot be ascertained whether the relationship between ΔD and ΔR is mainly contemporaneous in nature. In Table 4, therefore the F-statistics were computed by excluding the contemporaneous coefficients. The results show that causality runs unidirectionally from international reserves to domestic credit for Taiwan, and from domestic credit to international reserves for India. However, for Malaysia while no causality in either direction is observed, in case of Mexico the relationship is bidirectional. If these relationships held instantaneously, then it would seem that in Taiwan, there is either a sterilisation of changes in international reserves or borrowing by the commercial banks of the nature discussed earlier. In Mexico, the relationship appears to be bidirectional. However, in India, an exogenous change in domestic credit causes a change in international reserves. Because it is not possible to empirically determine the direction of causality contained in a contemporaneous relationship, the conservative result then is that domestic/reserve relationship is bidirectional for the four countries.

The Sims regressions (6) and (7) were estimated using Hannan efficient estimation procedure recommended by Sims (1974) to overcome the problem of serial correlation in the residuals. The results displayed in Table 5 test the hypotheses

that all $q_i = 0$, for $i \leq 0$ and all $p_i = 0$ for $i \leq 0$. Since all the F statistics are significant, we can reject the null hypotheses that there is no causality at all running from ΔR to ΔD and ΔD to ΔR .

All contemporaneous coefficients in Sims regressions were found to be significant. When these coefficients are excluded (Table 6), the results for Malaysia and Mexico are similar to those of Granger* test. In Taiwan and India, however, bidirectional causality is observed.

The conclusions that follow from these tests are (see Table 7): First, the Granger and the Sims tests indicate bidirectional relationship between domestic credit and international reserves for all four countries. Second, in all cases, there is a strong contemporaneous relationship between ΔD and ΔR . Third, exclusion of contemporaneous coefficients (Granger* and Sims*) show no causality for Malaysia and bidirectional for Mexico. However, Granger* and Sims* give conflicting results for India and Taiwan.

TABLE 4 Granger* F Test Results

Country	$b_j = 0, j > 0$	$d_j = 0, j > 0$
Malaysia	0.67 (12,24)	0.29 (12,24)
Taiwan	1.84 ⁺ (12,61)	0.87 (12,61)
Mexico	2.31* (12,42)	1.70 ⁺ (12,42)
India	1.20 (12,49)	1.77 ⁺ (12,49)

⁺ Significant at the 0.10 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

* Significant at the 0.05 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

Note: Granger* excludes the contemporaneous coefficients.

TABLE 5 Sims F Test Results

Country	$q_i = 0, i < 0$	$p_i = 0, i < 0$
Malaysia	5.70* (13,12)	8.06* (13,12)
Taiwan	13.56* (13,50)	17.22* (13,50)
Mexico	8.94* (13,42)	7.43* (13,42)
India	5.84* (13,49)	4.64* (13,49)

⁺ Significant at the 0.10 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

* Significant at the 0.05 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

TABLE 6 Sims* F Test Results

Country	$q_i = 0, i < 0$	$p_i = 0, i < 0$
Malaysia	1.74 (12,12)	1.40 (12,12)
Taiwan	9.95* (12,50)	8.20* (12,50)
Mexico	5.23* (12,42)	3.04* (12,42)
India	2.53* (12,49)	3.02* (12,49)

⁺ Significant at the 0.10 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

* Significant at the 0.05 level. Degrees of freedom in parentheses.

Note: Sims* excludes the contemporaneous coefficient.

TABLE 7 Comparison of the Tests

Country	Haugh	Granger	Granger*	Sims	Sims*
Malaysia	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D - \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D - \Delta R$
Taiwan	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta R \rightarrow \Delta D$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$
Mexico	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$
India	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \rightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$	$\Delta D \leftrightarrow \Delta R$

V Concluding Comment

In this paper, the nature of domestic credit/reserve relationship embodied in the MBoP approach was studied on four developing countries, India, Malaysia, Mexico and Taiwan. The results indicate that when instantaneous effects are also considered, the relationship between ΔD and ΔR for all countries is bidirectional. This type of relationship is fully consistent with the MBoP (Blejer (1979)). The sterilization and commercial bank scenarios could interact simultaneously with the direction of causality suggested by the MBoP to yield a bidirectional relationship.

Since it is uncertain whether the bidirectional causality is on account of the strong instantaneous effect, the contemporaneous coefficients were excluded in the next run of tests. The results from these tests (Granger* and Sims*) were not consistent across all countries. Only for two countries, definite conclusions could be derived. In Malaysia, for instance, the relationship between ΔR and ΔD appears to be essentially contemporaneous. A bidirectional causality is, however, observed for Mexico. The tests failed to resolve the nature of the non-instantaneous relationship in cases of India and Taiwan.

The results of this paper should aid the domestic and international policy makers. In addition, they throw further

light on the role of international reserves in attaining domestic monetary equilibrium, which is the central proposition of the MBoP.

References

- Aghevli, Bijan B. and Mohsin S. Khan. "The Monetary Approach to Balance of Payments Determination: An Empirical Test" in The Monetary Approach to the Balance of Payments. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund, 1977
- Blejer, Mario I. "On Causality and the Monetary Approach to the Balance of Payments". European Economic Review 12 (July 1979): 289-96
- Box, G.E.P. and G.M. Jenkins. Time Series Analysis, Forecasting and Control. San Francisco: Holden-Day, 1970
- Crockett, Andrew D. "Stabilisation Policies in Developing Countries: Some Policy Considerations". IMF Staff Papers, 28 (March 1981): 54-79
- Feige, Edgar L. and Douglas K. Pearce. "The Causal Relationship between Money and Income: Some Caveats for Time Series Analysis". The Review of Economics and Statistics 61 (November 1979): 521-33
- Feige, Edgar L. and James M. Johannes. "Testing the Causal Relationship between the Domestic Credit and Reserve Components of a Country's Monetary Base". Journal of Macroeconomics 3 (Winter 1981): 55-76
- Frenkel, Jacob A. and Harry G. Johnson. eds. The Monetary Approach to the Balance of Payments. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1976
- Granger, C.W.J. "Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross Spectral Methods". Econometrica 37 (July 1969): 428-38
- Grubel, Herbert G. and T.C.I. Ryan. "A Monetary Model of Kenya's Balance of Payments". Department of Economics and Commerce: Discussion Paper Series, Simon Fraser University. No. 79-09-3.
- Haugh, L.D. "Checking the Independence of Two Covariance Stationary Time Series: A Univariate Residual Cross-Correlation Approach". Journal of the American Statistical Association 71 (June 1976): 378-85

IMF Institute. Financial Policy Workshops: The Case of Kenya.
Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund, 1981

Kreinin, Mordechai E. and Lawrence H. Officer. "The Monetary Approach to the Balance of Payments: A Survey Article." Princeton Special Studies in International Finance, No 43 (1978).

Pierce, D.A. and L.D. Haugh. "Causality in Temporal Systems: Characterizations and a Survey". Journal of Econometrics 5 (May, 1977), 265-93.

Rhomberg, Rudolf R. and H. Robert Heller. "Introductory Survey". In The Monetary Approach to the Balance of Payments. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund, 1977

Sims, Christopher A. "Money, Income and Causality". American Economic Review 62 (September 1972): 540-52

_____, "Distributed Lags". In Frontiers of Quantitative Economics. M.D. Intriligator and D.A. Kendrick, eds. Vol. 2. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1974.

Kiel Working Papers

128. C. Kim, Efficiency Aspects of Fishery Management: The Case of the North Sea. Oktober 1981, 43 S.
129. P. Gupta, An Econometric Model of the World Cobalt Industry. November 1981, 123 S.
130. P. Gupta, S. Gupta, Estimates of the Unreported Economy in India. November 1981, 21 S.
131. R. Dick, Tiefseebergbau versus Landbergbau - Die Metallproduktion aus Manganknollen und Nickellateriterzen im Wirtschaftlichkeitsvergleich. November 1981, 66 S.
132. C. Kim, Alternative Management Regimes for Multiple Species Fisheries. Dezember 1981, 35 S.
133. M. Hoffmeyer, J.V. Schrader, A Medium Term Outlook for Selected Agricultural Commodities. Februar 1982, 36 S.
134. A. Boss, N. Walter, On the Economic Development in the Federal Republic of Germany in the 1980's. Dezember 1981, 84 S.
135. J. Scheide, Geldpolitik, Einkommen und Preisniveau: Kausalitätstests für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Dezember 1981, 135 S.
136. R. J. Langhammer, The Importance of "Natural" Barriers to Trade among Developing Countries. Some Evidence from the Transport Cost Content in Brazilian Imports. März 1982, 22 S.
137. M. Bruch, Lohnsatzdifferenzen zwischen großen und kleinen Industriebetrieben: Eine Untersuchung für die ASEAN-Länder. April 1982, 55 S.
138. M. Bruch, Zur Finanzierung kleiner Industriebetriebe in den ASEAN-Ländern. April 1982, S.
139. S. Gupta, Causal Relationship Between Domestic Credit and International Reserves: The Experience of Developing Countries. April 1982, 16 S.