

Belloc, Marianna; Tilli, Riccardo

**Working Paper**

## Gender unemployment catching-up: Empirical evidence from Italian regions

CESifo Working Paper, No. 3300

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

*Suggested Citation:* Belloc, Marianna; Tilli, Riccardo (2010) : Gender unemployment catching-up: Empirical evidence from Italian regions, CESifo Working Paper, No. 3300, Center for Economic Studies and ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/46370>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

Gender Unemployment Catching-up:  
Empirical Evidence from Italian Regions

Marianna Belloc  
Riccardo Tilli

CESIFO WORKING PAPER NO. 3300

CATEGORY 4: LABOUR MARKETS

DECEMBER 2010

*An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded*

- *from the SSRN website:* [www.SSRN.com](http://www.SSRN.com)
- *from the RePEc website:* [www.RePEc.org](http://www.RePEc.org)
- *from the CESifo website:* [www.CESifo-group.org/wp](http://www.CESifo-group.org/wp)

# Gender Unemployment Catching-up: Empirical Evidence from Italian Regions

## Abstract

We study the persistence of the gender unemployment gap in the Italian regions in the 1992-2009 period. Results from unit-root tests analysis with structural break suggest that the process of gender catching-up in the unemployment rates is occurring in most of the regions but at different pace. Our findings indicate that there is substantial cross-region heterogeneity and the resulting picture does not match with that of a Center-North and South-and-Islands dichotomy in the Italian labour markets.

JEL-Code: C22, J16, J60.

Keywords: gender unemployment gap, regional disparities, unit-root tests with structural break.

*Marianna Belloc*  
*Department of Economics and Law*  
*Sapienza University of Rome*  
*Via del Castro Laurenziano 9*  
*Italy – 00161 Rome*  
*marianna.belloc@uniroma1.it*

*Riccardo Tilli*  
*Department of Economics and Law*  
*Sapienza University of Rome*  
*Via del Castro Laurenziano 9*  
*Italy – 00161 Rome*  
*riccardo.tilli@uniroma1.it*

December 29, 2010

We are grateful to Riccardo Gatto for providing us with the data on the gender unemployment rates by Italian regions, and to Massimiliano Tancioni and Giuseppe Ciccarone for useful discussion and suggestions. The usual disclaimer applies. Financial support from PRIN 2008 (Prot. 2008YBH7L) is gratefully acknowledged.

## 1. Introduction

Narrowing the gender unemployment gap in European countries is one of the goals of the European Union policy agenda. While significant progress has been made in this direction as shown by a recent strand of the labour economics literature (see below), substantial cross-country variation still characterizes the national dynamics among both old (e.g. Queneau and Sen, 2007) and new European Union member states (e.g. Bičáková, 2010). Given that diversity in economic performance in general, and in the labour market outcomes in particular, has recently revealed to be very persistent also across regions within the same nation (Eurostat, 2009), one may expect cross-region variation in the unemployment gap dynamics to be important as well. This short paper looks at the Italian labour markets from this perspective and, to the best of our knowledge, is the first to empirically investigate the persistence of the gender unemployment gap by region. Our study covers nineteen Italian regions (excluding Valle d'Aosta) in the 1992-2009 period. Despite the conventional wisdom that suggests a considerable disparity between the better-performing Center-North and the backward South-and-Islands of Italy (the so-called Mezzogiorno), the picture our results hint at is multifaceted and does not match with that of a perfect Center-North and South-and-Islands dichotomy (e.g. Brunello, Lupi and Ordine, 2000). In particular, our findings indicate that catching up in the male and female unemployment rates is occurring faster in some regions like Veneto, Trentino Alto Adige and Sardegna, than in others like Calabria, Puglia and Toscana.

Most of the empirical contributions on the gender unemployment gap existing in the literature focus on the US labour market. Among the others, we refer the reader to Niemi (1974), DeBoer and Seeborg (1989), Johnson (1983), Fosu (2000). More recently, Queneau and Sen (2009a) empirically investigate both gender and race US unemployment gaps and find that, while the race unemployment gap is still persistent, female and male unemployment

rates have substantially converged over the last two decades. Queneau and Sen (2007, 2010) also study the gender unemployment gap in a sample of eight OECD countries and find mixed results that, however, point to convergence between male and female unemployment rates in most of the countries. Some other studies consider OECD and European countries. Yet, with the only exception of Azmat, Guell and Manning (2006) (OECD) and Koutentakis (2010) (Belgium, France, Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain), they inspect the separate dynamics of female and male unemployment rates. Queneau and Sen (2008), considering a sample of eight OECD countries, show that, in most cases, men's and women's unemployment series exhibit low persistence. The authors in a more recent contribution (Queneau and Sen, 2009b) extend their previous analysis to a larger group of OECD economies and conclude that female unemployment tends to be more persistent than male one.

Finally Lanzafame (2009), using panel unit root tests, explores the nature of Italian unemployment by region testing the hypothesis of hysteresis versus that of linear or non-linear stationarity. His results, unlike conclusions reached by Brunello, Lupi and Ordine (2001), reject the hypothesis of pure hysteresis, supporting the idea that regional unemployment is a non-linear stationary process.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data and briefly sketches the methodology, section 3 discusses results and section 4 draws concluding remarks.

## **2. Data and methodology**

We use quarterly data of the Italian unemployment rates by gender, obtained by the National Institute of Statistics ([www.istat.it](http://www.istat.it)) over the sample period 1992/Q4-2009/Q3<sup>1</sup> and including

---

<sup>1</sup> Longer time series, starting from 1977/Q1, were available. Yet, given that an important revision in the survey methodology and definitions used by ISTAT has been implemented in 1992, we have chosen to adopt a break-free sample period.

nineteen regions:<sup>2</sup> (the Center-North, and namely) Piemonte, Lombardia, Trentino Alto Adige, Veneto, Friuli Venezia Giulia, Liguria, Emilia Romagna, Toscana, Umbria, Marche, Lazio, Abruzzo, Molise, (the South-and-Islands, and namely) Campania, Puglia, Basilicata, Calabria, Sicilia and Sardegna.

**Table 1: Summary statistics**

<i>Region</i>	<i>n. of obs</i>	<i>mean</i>	<i>s.e.</i>	<i>min</i>	<i>max</i>
Piemonte	68	1.9397	0.3879	1.0896	2.8039
Lombardia	68	1.7667	0.2298	1.3333	2.3846
Trentino Alto Adige	68	2.4969	1.0608	1.4400	7.6736
Veneto	68	2.3912	0.4053	1.5641	4.0625
Friuli Venezia Giulia	68	2.9198	0.9064	1.0000	4.7143
Liguria	68	1.4315	0.7055	0.6444	3.5882
Emilia Romagna	68	2.2033	0.6860	1.0500	4.1667
Toscana	68	3.3148	1.3816	1.3958	9.8750
Umbria	68	1.7860	0.7040	0.7273	3.8947
Marche	68	1.5745	0.5678	0.6727	3.6000
Lazio	68	2.0399	0.4319	1.3016	3.1087
Abruzzo	68	1.5318	0.7475	0.7016	3.7027
Molise	68	1.8879	0.4321	1.1733	3.1087
Campania	68	1.9523	0.3140	1.2353	2.7340
Puglia	68	1.7387	0.1685	1.4113	2.1964
Basilicata	68	1.5786	0.4354	0.8182	2.9444
Calabria	68	1.5536	0.2099	1.1410	2.0930
Sicilia	68	1.6883	0.1640	1.2358	2.1765
Sardegna	68	1.8266	0.2673	1.1157	2.6429

We choose to measure the gender unemployment gap by using the ratio ( $u_R$ ) between female and male unemployment rates ( $u_F$  and  $u_M$ , respectively). The reason is that the ratio has been shown by Queneau and Sen (2007, 2009a) to be more suitable, as opposed to the difference

<sup>2</sup> Data on unemployment by gender are also available for Valle d'Aosta. However, they look unreliable and so this region, that is the smallest of Italy (0.2% of the national population), has been excluded from the sample.

between the two series, for assessing the gender unemployment gap dynamics over time. Table 1 provides summary statistics. As one will notice the mean gender unemployment ratio is above 1 ( $u_F > u_M$ ) in all the regions considered. The highest mean gender unemployment ratios over the sample period are registered in Toscana (3.3148), Friuli Venezia Giulia (2.9198) and Trentino Alto Adige (2.4969).

In order to gauge the level of persistence in the gender unemployment gaps we perform a unit-root test analysis by region. Given the well-known weakness of the conventional ADF tests that may fail to reject the null hypothesis of a unit-root in the presence of a structural break in the series, we follow Queneau and Sen (2008) and implement Zivot and Andrews (1992) unit-root test that allows for the presence of a simultaneous structural break in the intercept and in the slope of the trend at an unknown point in time. Technically, given the sample period  $t=1,2\dots T$ , the null hypothesis is  $H_0 : y_t = \mu_0 + y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ , against the alternative  $H_1 : y_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 DU(\lambda) + \mu_2 t + \mu_3 DT_t(\lambda) + \alpha y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k^*} c_j \Delta y_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t$ , where  $\lambda = T_b / T$  is the break-fraction,  $T_b$  is the break-date, while the intercept-break and slope-break dummy variables are defined, respectively, as  $DU(\lambda) = 1$  if  $t > T\lambda$  ( $DU(\lambda) = 0$  otherwise) and  $DT(\lambda) = t - T\lambda$  if  $t > T\lambda$  ( $DT(\lambda) = 0$  otherwise).  $\lambda$  is determined by finding the minimum value for the one-sided  $t$ -statistic for testing  $\alpha=1$  (for details see Zivot and Andrews, 1992). The number of lags  $k^*$  is chosen by using a general-to-specific  $t$ -test procedure, that is  $k^*$  is selected such that the last included lag in the equation regression is significant at least at the 10% significance level.

Failing to reject the null hypothesis that the series is a unit-root process is equivalent to saying that the gender unemployment gap is persistent, that is random shocks have permanent effects. A rejection of the null hypothesis, on the contrary, suggests that random shocks wield transitory effects on the series that tends to return to its trend path. In the latter case an

investigation of the trend-slope before ( $\hat{\mu}_2$ ) and after ( $\hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\mu}_3$ ) the break provides information on whether the gender unemployment gap is widening, is shrinking or remains stable.

### 3. Results

Table 2 reports our findings.<sup>3</sup> As one will notice the null hypothesis of unit-root is rejected in thirteen out of nineteen cases at the 1% significance level and in two cases at the 10% level, whereas it is not rejected in the four remaining cases. The group of regions for which the unit-root hypothesis is rejected is characterized by a large degree of heterogeneity. The sign of the after-break slope parameter is negative in all the regions indicating that the gender unemployment gap is decreasing in the most recent period. The degree at which this happens, however, varies from case to case. The (in absolute value) largest slope coefficients are found to be in Trentino Alto Adige (-0.0998), Veneto (-0.1268) and Sardegna (-0.0814). On the other extreme we find Calabria (-0.0061), Puglia (-0.0073) and Toscana (-0.0071) that present the (in absolute value) smallest slope coefficients. The remaining nine regions are placed in the between.

Furthermore, the group of non unit-root regions is heterogeneous as regards the sign of the before-break trend slope. Indeed, five regions, and namely Liguria, Umbria, Marche, Molise and Sardegna, present a negative trend slope both before and after the break. The estimated value of the trend slope is in all cases larger in absolute value after the break than before it. This indicates that the catching up process has started since the beginning of the sample period considered (1992), but has proceeded at a faster pace after the (endogenously selected) break. The remaining ten regions, and namely Piemonte, Trentino Alto Adige,

---

<sup>3</sup> Our results are obtained using the econometric software RATS (Regression Analysis of Time Series) and are available from the authors upon request.



Veneto, Friuli Venezia Giulia, Emilia Romagna, Toscana, Abruzzo, Puglia, Basilicata and Calabria, on the contrary, manifest a switch in the slope sign, from positive (the gap is widening) to negative (the gap is shrinking). Yet, with the exception of Trentino Alto Adige (0.0217), Toscana (0.0535), Emilia Romagna (0.0766) and Piemonte (0.0129), the before-break coefficient is close to zero, and in particular smaller than 0.0053 (Puglia), testifying an almost stable trend. Surprisingly, thus, as it will be noticed, the before-break “least virtuous” regions (with positive and relatively greater  $\hat{\mu}_2$ ) are located in the Center-North, rather than in the South-and-Islands as one would expect.

**Table 2: Unit root tests with unknown structural break**

<i>Region</i>	$k^*$	$\hat{T}_b$	$t(\hat{\alpha})$	$\hat{\mu}_2$	$\hat{\mu}_2 + \hat{\mu}_3$	$HL_\alpha$
Piemonte	0	2001/02	-6.6247***	0.0129	-0.0128	1.1541
Lombardia	2	2005/02	-4.4820	0.0060	-0.0091	0.9779
Trentino Alto Adige	0	2001/03	-10.0622***	0.0217	-0.0998	0.8686
Veneto	2	2006/02	-8.1003***	0.0005	-0.1268	0.7400
Friuli Venezia Giulia	2	2003/01	-4.8712*	0.0041	-0.0245	0.9668
Liguria	2	2003/02	-6.6600***	-0.0116	-0.0429	1.0102
Emilia Romagna	0	1997/04	-7.5295***	0.0766	-0.0190	1.0751
Toscana	1	2003/02	-6.4183***	0.0535	-0.0071	0.9524
Umbria	0	2003/02	-6.2734***	-0.0039	-0.0078	1.2222
Marche	0	2003/03	-6.0872***	-0.0077	-0.0383	1.2821
Lazio	2	2003/04	-3.7769	-0.0027	0.0054	1.6136
Abruzzo	0	2003/02	-8.3936***	0.0011	-0.0402	1.0677
Molise	0	2001/02	-7.6420***	-0.0119	-0.0249	1.0475
Campania	2	2000/04	-4.3998	-0.0071	-0.0165	1.3884
Puglia	0	1999/02	-6.5307***	0.0053	-0.0073	1.1786
Basilicata	2	2003/03	-4.9766*	0.0039	-0.0204	1.1186
Calabria	0	1998/03	-6.4036***	0.0012	-0.0061	1.1908
Sicilia	2	2004/03	-4.5651	0.0001	-0.0147	1.3034
Sardegna	0	2007/01	-8.0264***	-0.0025	-0.0814	1.0700

\*\*\*: 1%, \*\*: 5%, \*: 10%. Critical values are obtained from Zivot and Andrews (1992, table 4): -5.57 (1%), -5.08 (5%), -4.82 (10%).

The unit-root regions are Lombardia, Lazio, Campania and Sicilia: one Northern, one Central and two Southern regions. A failure to reject the null hypothesis suggests that the gender unemployment gap tends to be persistent in these cases. Yet the degree of persistence varies from case to case. Again following, among the others, Queneau and Sen (2008, 2009a, 2009b), we have estimated the half-life ( $HL_{\alpha} = |\log(1/2)/\log(\alpha)|$ ), that is the number of periods required for the impact of a unit shock on a time series to dissipate by half (see Andrews, 1993). Results are reported in column 7 of table 2. As one will notice the computed half-lives for Campania (1.3884) and Sicilia (1.3034) are very similar (slightly more than three months) and lie between the half-lives of Lazio (1.6136) and Lombardia (0.9779).

#### **4. Concluding remarks**

In this paper, we have analyzed the persistence of the gender unemployment gap in nineteen Italian regions over the 1992-2009 period. Performing Zivot and Andrews (1992) unit-root test with unknown structural break, we have found that in fifteen out of the nineteen regions considered the gender unemployment gap is decreasing in the most recent period but at different pace: the catching up process is occurring faster in some regions like Trentino Alto Adige, Veneto and Sardegna, than in others like Calabria, Puglia and Toscana.

Our results suggest that Italy cannot be split, as conventional wisdom sometimes does, into two geographical and substantially homogeneous areas, Center-North and South-and-Islands. As a consequence, policy intervention aimed at reducing the gender unemployment gap should be designed and implemented by region rather than on a macro-territorial basis.

#### **References**

Andrews D.W.K. (1993). Exactly median-unbiased estimation of first order autoregressive/unit root models. *Econometrica* 61(1): 139–165

- Azmat G., M. Guell, and A. Manning (2006). Gender gaps in unemployment rates in OECD countries. *Journal of Labor Economics* 24(1): 1–37
- Bičáková A. (2010). Gender unemployment gaps: Evidence from the new EU member states. *CERGE-EI Working Paper Series* 20(2): 41–97
- Brunello G., C. Lupi and P. Ordine (2000). Regional disparities and the Italian NAIRU. *Oxford Economic Papers* 52: 146–177
- Brunello G., C. Lupi and P. Ordine (2001). Widening differences in Italian regional unemployment. *Labour Economics* 8: 103–129
- DeBoer L. and M. Seeborg (1989). The unemployment rates of men and women: A transition probability analysis. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 42: 404–414
- Eurostat (2009). Regional Labour Markets,  
[http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics\\_explained/index.php/Regional\\_labour\\_markets](http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/Regional_labour_markets)
- Fosu A.K. (2000). Racial and gender differences in unemployment patterns in an urban labor market: The case of Detroit. *Review of Black Political Economy* 27: 35–47
- Johnson J.L. (1983). Sex differentials in unemployment rates: A case for no concern. *Journal of Political Economy* 91: 293–303
- Koutentakis F. (2010). Gender unemployment dynamics in six European countries. *Department of Economics, University of Crete*
- Lanzafame M. (2009). The nature of regional unemployment in Italy. *Empirical Economics* (December): 1–19
- Niemi B. (1974). The female-male differential in unemployment rates. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 27: 331–350
- Queneau H. and A. Sen (2007). Evidence regarding persistence in the gender unemployment gap based on the ratio of female to male unemployment rate. *Economics Bulletin* 5(23): 1–10

- Queneau H. and A. Sen (2008). Evidence on the dynamics of unemployment by gender. *Applied Economics* 40: 2099–2108
- Queneau H. and A. Sen (2009a). Regarding the unemployment by race and gender in the United States. *Economics Bulletin* 29(4): 2749–2757
- Queneau H. and A. Sen (2009b). Further evidence on the dynamics of unemployment by gender. *Economics Bulletin* 29(4): 3162–3176
- Queneau H. and A. Sen (2010). On the persistence of the gender unemployment gap: evidence from eight OECD countries. *Applied Economics Letters* 17: 141–145
- Zivot E. and D. W. K. Andrews (1992) Further evidence on the Great Crash, the oil-price shock, and the unit root hypothesis. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 10: 251–270