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1 Motivation

The symmetries of the foreign exchange market are the key feature that distinguishes this market from all others. With a EUR-USD exchange rate of 1.2500 USD per EUR there is an equivalent USD-EUR exchange rate of 0.8000 EUR per USD, which is just the reciprocal. Any model $S_t$ for an exchange rate at time $t$ should guarantee that $\frac{1}{S_t}$
is within the same model class. This is satisfied by the Black-Scholes model or local volatility models, but not for many stochastic volatility models.

The further symmetry is that both currencies pay interest, which we can assume to be continuously paid. The FX market is the only market where this really works.

In the EUR-USD market any EUR call is equivalent to a USD put. Any premium in USD can be also paid in EUR, any delta hedge can be specified in the amount of USD to sell or alternatively the amount of EUR to buy.

Furthermore, if \( S_1 \) is a model for EUR-USD and \( S_2 \) is a model for USD-JPY, then \( S_3 = S_1 \cdot S_2 \) should be a model for EUR-JPY. Therefore, besides the reciprocals, also products of two modeling quantities should remain within the same model class.

Finally, the smile of a foreign exchange options market is summarized by Risk Reversals and Butterflies, the skew-symmetric part and the symmetric part of a smile curve.

In no other market symmetries are so prominent and so heavily used as in FX. It is this special feature that makes it hard for many newcomers to capture the way FX options market participants think.

2 Geometric Brownian Motion Model for the Spot

We consider the model geometric Brownian motion

\[
dS_t = (r_d - r_f)S_t \, dt + \sigma S_t \, dW_t
\]  

(1)

for the underlying exchange rate quoted in FOR-DOM (foreign-domestic), which means that one unit of the foreign currency costs FOR-DOM units of the domestic currency. In case of EUR-USD with a spot of 1.2000, this means that the price of one EUR is 1.2000 USD. The notions of foreign and domestic do not refer to the location of the trading entity, but only to this quotation convention. We denote the (continuous) foreign interest rate by \( r_f \) and the (continuous) domestic interest rate by \( r_d \). In an equity scenario, \( r_f \) would represent a continuous dividend rate. The volatility is denoted by \( \sigma \), and \( W_t \) is a standard Brownian motion.

We consider this standard model, not because it reflects the statistical properties of the exchange rate (in fact, it does not), but because it is widely used in practice and front office systems and mainly serves as a tool to communicate prices in FX options. These prices are generally quoted in terms of volatility in the sense of this model.

3 Vanilla Options

The payoff for a vanilla option (European put or call) is given by

\[
F = [\phi(S_T - K)]^+,
\]  

(2)
where the contractual parameters are the strike $K$, the expiration time $T$ and the type $\phi$, a binary variable which takes the value +1 in the case of a call and −1 in the case of a put. The symbol $x^+$ denotes the positive part of $x$, i.e., $x^+ = \max(0, x) = 0 \lor x$.

### 3.1 Value

In the Black-Scholes model the value of the payoff $F$ at time $t$ if the spot is at $S$ is denoted by $v(t, S)$. The result is the *Black-Scholes formula*

$$v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, \phi) = \phi e^{-r_d \tau} [f N(\phi d_+) - K N(\phi d_-)].$$  \hfill (3)

We abbreviate

- $S$: current price of the underlying
- $\tau = T - t$: time to maturity
- $f = \mathbb{E}[S_T | S_t = S] = S e^{(r_d - r_f)\tau}$: forward price of the underlying
- $\theta_\pm = \frac{r_d - r_f}{\sigma} \pm \frac{\sigma}{2}$
- $d_\pm = \frac{\ln \frac{S}{f} + (\theta_\pm \tau)}{\sigma \sqrt{\tau}} = \frac{\ln \frac{S}{f} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \tau}{\sigma \sqrt{\tau}}$
- $n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^2} = n(-t)$
- $N(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} n(t) \, dt = 1 - N(-x)$

### 3.2 A Note on the Forward

The *forward price* $f$ is the strike which makes the time zero value of the *forward contract* $F = S_T - f$ equal to zero. It follows that $f = \mathbb{E}[S_T] = S e^{(r_d - r_f)\tau}$, i.e. the forward price is the expected price of the underlying at time $T$ in a risk-neutral setup (drift of the geometric Brownian motion is equal to cost of carry $r_d - r_f$).

### 3.3 Greeks

Greeks are derivatives of the value function with respect to model and contract parameters. They are an important information for traders and have become standard information provided by front-office systems. More details on Greeks and the relations among Greeks are presented in Hakala and Wystup [5] or Reiss and Wystup [6]. For vanilla options we list some of them now.
(Spot) Delta. The spot delta shows how many units of FOR in the spot must be traded to hedge an option with 1 unit of FOR notional.

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial S} = \phi e^{-r\tau} N(\phi d_+) \tag{5}
\]

Forward Delta. The forward delta shows how many units of FOR of a forward contract must be traded to hedge an option with 1 unit of FOR notional.

\[
\phi N(\phi d_+) = \mathbb{P} \left[ \phi S_T \leq \frac{\phi f^2}{K} \right] \tag{6}
\]

It is also equal to the risk-neutral exercise probability or in-the-money probability of the symmetric put (see Section 4.3).

Gamma.

\[
\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial S^2} = e^{-r\tau} \frac{n(d_+)}{S\sigma \sqrt{\tau}} \tag{7}
\]

Vega.

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma} = S e^{-r\tau} \sqrt{\tau} n(d_+) \tag{8}
\]

Dual Delta.

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial K} = -\phi e^{-r\tau} N(\phi d_-) \tag{9}
\]

The forward dual delta

\[
N(\phi d_-) = \mathbb{P}[\phi S_T \geq \phi K] \tag{10}
\]

can also be viewed as the risk-neutral exercise probability.

Dual Gamma.

\[
\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial K^2} = e^{-r\tau} \frac{n(d_-)}{K\sigma \sqrt{\tau}} \tag{11}
\]
3.4 Identities

Any computations with vanilla options often rely on the symmetry identities

\[ \frac{\partial d_{\pm}}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{d_{\pm}}{\sigma}, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial d_{\pm}}{\partial r_d} = \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sigma}, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial d_{\pm}}{\partial r_f} = -\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{\sigma}, \]  
\[ Se^{-\tau r_f}n(d_{\pm}) = Ke^{-r_d \tau}n(d_{\pm}). \]  

3.5 Put-Call Parity

The put-call-parity is the relationship

\[ v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, +1) - v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, -1) = Se^{-\tau r_f} - Ke^{-r_d \tau}, \]  

which is just a more complicated way to write the trivial equation \( x = x^+ - x^- \). Taking the strike \( K \) to be equal to the forward price \( f \), we see that the call and put have the same value. The forward is the center of symmetry for vanilla call and put values. However, this does not imply that the deltas are also symmetric about the forward price.

The put-call delta parity is

\[ \frac{\partial v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, +1)}{\partial S} - \frac{\partial v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, -1)}{\partial S} = e^{-\tau r_f}. \]  

In particular, we learn that the absolute value of a put delta and a call delta are not exactly adding up to one, but only to a positive number \( e^{-\tau r_f} \). They add up to one approximately if either the time to expiration \( \tau \) is short or if the foreign interest rate \( r_f \) is close to zero. For this reason, traders often prefer to work with forward deltas, because these are symmetric in the sense that a 25-delta call is a 75-delta put.

Whereas the choice \( K = f \) produces identical values for call and put, we seek the delta-symmetric strike \( \hat{K} \) which produces absolutely identical deltas (spot, forward or driftless).

This condition implies \( d_+ = 0 \) and thus

\[ \hat{K} = fe^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \tau}, \]  
in which case the absolute delta is \( e^{-\tau r_f}/2 \). In particular, we learn, that always \( \hat{K} > f \), i.e., there cannot be a put and a call with identical values and deltas. This is natural as the payoffs of calls and puts are not symmetric to start with: the call has unlimited
up-side potential, whereas the put payoff is always bounded by the strike. Note that the
strike $K$ is usually chosen as the middle strike when trading a straddle or a butterfly.
Similarly the dual-delta-symmetric strike $\hat{K} = f e^{-\frac{e^2}{2}r}$ can be derived from the condition $d_\pm = 0$.
Note that the delta-symmetric strike $\hat{K}$ also maximizes gamma and vega of a vanilla
option and is thus often considered as a center of symmetry.

4 Homogeneity based Relationships

4.1 Space Homogeneity

We may wish to measure the value of the underlying in a different unit. This will obviously
effect the option pricing formula as follows:

$$av(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, \phi) = v(aS, aK, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, \phi) \text{ for all } a > 0. \quad (19)$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to $a$ and then setting $a = 1$ yields

$$v = S v_S + K v_K. \quad (20)$$

Comparing the coefficients of $S$ and $K$ in Equations (3) and (20) leads to suggestive
results for the delta $v_S$ and dual delta $v_K$. This space-homogeneity is the reason behind
the simplicity of the delta formulas, whose tedious computation can be saved this way.

4.2 Time Homogeneity

We can perform a similar computation for the time-affected parameters and obtain the
obvious equation

$$v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, \phi) = v(S, K, \frac{T}{a}, \frac{t}{a}, \sqrt{a}\sigma, ar_d, ar_f, \phi) \text{ for all } a > 0. \quad (21)$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to $a$ and then setting $a = 1$ yields

$$0 = \tau v_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma v_S + r_d v_{r_d} + r_f v_{r_f}. \quad (22)$$

Of course, this can also be verified by direct computation. The overall use of such equa-
tions is to generate double checking benchmarks when computing Greeks. These homo-
geneity methods can easily be extended to other more complex options.
4.3 Put-Call Symmetry

By *put-call symmetry* we understand the relationship (see [1], [2],[3] and [4])

\[ v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, +1) = \frac{K}{f} v(S, \frac{f^2}{K}, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, -1). \quad (23) \]

The strike of the put and the strike of the call result in a geometric mean equal to the forward \( f \). The forward can be interpreted as a *geometric mirror* reflecting a call into a certain number of puts. Note that for at-the-money (forward) options (\( K = f \)) the put-call symmetry coincides with the special case of the put-call parity where the call and the put have the same value.

4.4 Rates Symmetry

Direct computation shows that the *rates symmetry*

\[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial r_d} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r_f} = -\tau v \quad (24) \]

holds for vanilla options. This relationship, in fact, holds for all European options and a wide class of path-dependent options as shown in [6].

4.5 Foreign-Domestic Symmetry

One can directly verify the *foreign-domestic symmetry*

\[ \frac{1}{S} v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_d, r_f, \phi) = K v(\frac{1}{S}, \frac{1}{K}, T, t, \sigma, r_d, -\phi). \quad (25) \]

This equality can be viewed as one of the faces of put-call symmetry. The reason is that the value of an option can be computed both in a domestic as well as in a foreign scenario. We consider the example of \( S_t \) modeling the exchange rate of EUR/USD. In New York, the call option \((S_T - K)^+\) costs \( v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_{\text{usd}}, r_{\text{eur}}, 1) \) USD and hence \( v(S, K, T, t, \sigma, r_{\text{usd}}, r_{\text{eur}}, 1)/S \) EUR. This EUR-call option can also be viewed as a USD-put option with payoff \( K \left( \frac{1}{K} - \frac{1}{S_T} \right)^+ \). This option costs \( K v(\frac{1}{S}, \frac{1}{K}, T, t, \sigma, r_{\text{eur}}, r_{\text{usd}}, -1) \) EUR in Frankfurt, because \( S_t \) and \( \frac{1}{S_t} \) have the same volatility. Of course, the New York value and the Frankfurt value must agree, which leads to (25).

5 Exotic Options

In FX markets one can use many symmetry relationships for exotic options.
5.1 Digital Options

For example, let us define the payoff of digital options by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DOM paying:} & \quad \mathbb{1}_{\{\phi S_T \geq \phi K\}}, \\
\text{FOR paying:} & \quad S_T \mathbb{1}_{\{\phi S_T \geq \phi K\}},
\end{align*}
\]

where the contractual parameters are the strike \( K \), the expiration time \( T \) and the type \( \phi \), a binary variable which takes the value +1 in the case of a call and −1 in the case of a put. Then we observe that a DOM-paying digital call in the currency pair FOR-DOM with a value of \( v_d \) units of domestic currency must be worth the same as a FOR-paying digital put in the currency pair DOM-FOR with a value of \( v_f \) units of foreign currency. And since we are looking at the same product we conclude that \( v_d = v_f \cdot S \), where \( S \) is the initial spot of FOR-DOM.

5.2 Touch Options

This key idea generalizes from these path-independent digitals to touch products. Consider the value function for one-touch in EUR-USD paying 1 USD. If we want to find the value function of a one-touch in EUR-USD paying 1 EUR, we can price the one-touch in USD-EUR paying 1 EUR using the known value function with rates \( r_d \) and \( r_f \) exchanged, volatility unchanged, using the formula for a one-touch in EUR-USD paying 1 USD. We also note that an upper one-touch in EUR-USD becomes a lower one-touch in USD-EUR. The result we get is in domestic currency, which is EUR in USD-EUR notation. To convert it into a USD price, we just multiply by the EUR-USD spot \( S \).

5.3 Barrier Options

For a standard knock-out barrier option we let the value function be

\[
v(S, r_d, r_f, \sigma, K, B, T, t, \phi, \eta),
\]

where \( B \) denotes the barrier and the variable \( \eta \) takes the value +1 for a lower barrier and −1 for an upper barrier. With this notation at hand, we can state our FOR-DOM symmetry as

\[
v(S, r_d, r_f, \sigma, K, B, T, t, \phi, \eta) = v(1/S, r_f, r_d, \sigma, 1/K, 1/B, T, t, -\phi, -\eta) \cdot S \cdot K.
\]

Note that the rates \( r_d \) and \( r_f \) have been purposely interchanged. This implies that if we know how to price barrier contracts with upper barriers, we can derive the formulas for lower barriers.
6 Quotation

6.1 Quotation of the Underlying Exchange Rate

Equation (1) is a model for the exchange rate. The quotation is a permanently confusing issue, so let us clarify this here. The exchange rate means how much of the *domestic* currency are needed to buy one unit of *foreign* currency. For example, if we take EUR/USD as an exchange rate, then the default quotation is EUR-USD, where USD is the domestic currency and EUR is the foreign currency. The term *domestic* is in no way related to the location of the trader or any country. It merely means the *numeraire* currency. The terms *domestic*, *numeraire* or *base currency* are synonyms as are *foreign* and *underlying*. Commonly, we denote with the slash (/) the currency pair and with a dash (-) the quotation. The slash (/) does *not* mean a division. For instance, EUR/USD can also be quoted in either EUR-USD, which then means how many USD are needed to buy one EUR, or in USD-EUR, which then means how many EUR are needed to buy one USD. There are certain market standard quotations listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>currency pair</th>
<th>default quotation</th>
<th>sample quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GBP/USD</td>
<td>GPB-USD</td>
<td>1.8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP/CHF</td>
<td>GBP-CHF</td>
<td>2.2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR/USD</td>
<td>EUR-USD</td>
<td>1.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR/GBP</td>
<td>EUR-GBP</td>
<td>0.6900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR/JPY</td>
<td>EUR-JPY</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR/CHF</td>
<td>EUR-CHF</td>
<td>1.5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD/JPY</td>
<td>USD-JPY</td>
<td>108.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD/CHF</td>
<td>USD-CHF</td>
<td>1.2800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Standard market quotation of major currency pairs with sample spot prices

6.2 Quotation of Option Prices

Values and prices of vanilla options may be quoted in the six ways explained in Table 2. The Black-Scholes formula quotes an option value in units of domestic currency per unit of foreign notional. Since this is usually a small number, it is often multiplied with 10,000 and quoted as domestic pips per foreign, in short, d pips. The others can be computed
Table 2: Standard market quotation types for option values. In the example we take FOR = EUR, DOM = USD, $S = 1.2000$, $r_d = 3.0\%$, $r_f = 2.5\%$, $\sigma = 10\%$, $K = 1.2500$, $T = 1$ year, $\phi = +1$ (call), notional = 1,000,000 EUR = 1,250,000 USD. For the pips, the quotation 291.48 USD pips per EUR is also sometimes stated as 2.9148% USD per 1 EUR. Similarly, the 194.32 EUR pips per USD can also be quoted as 1.9432% EUR per 1 USD.

\[
d \text{pips} \times \frac{1}{S} \xrightarrow{\delta_{\text{raw}}} \% f \times \frac{S}{K} \xrightarrow{\% d} f \text{pips} \times \frac{SK}{K} \xrightarrow{d \text{pips}}
\]

(30)

6.3 Delta and Premium Convention

The spot delta of a European option without premium is well known. It will be called raw spot delta $\delta_{\text{raw}}$ now. It can be quoted in either of the two currencies involved. The relationship is

\[
\delta_{\text{reverse}}^{\text{raw}} = -\delta_{\text{raw}} \frac{S}{K}.
\]

(31)

The delta is used to buy or sell spot in the corresponding amount in order to hedge the option up to first order. To interpret this relationship, note that the minus sign refers to selling DOM instead of buying FOR, and the multiplication by $S$ adjusts the amounts. Furthermore, we divide by the strike, because a call on 1 EUR corresponds to $K$ USD puts.

For consistency the premium needs to be incorporated into the delta hedge, since a premium in foreign currency will already hedge part of the option’s delta risk. To make this clear, let us consider EUR-USD. In the standard arbitrage theory, $v(S)$ denotes the value or premium in USD of an option with 1 EUR notional, if the spot is at $S$, and the raw delta $\nu_v$ denotes the number of EUR to buy for the delta hedge. Therefore, $S \nu_v$ is the number of USD to sell. If now the premium is paid in EUR rather than in USD, then we
already have $\frac{1}{2}$ EUR, and the number of EUR to buy has to be reduced by this amount, i.e. if EUR is the premium currency, we need to buy $v_S - \frac{1}{2}$ EUR for the delta hedge or equivalently sell $S v_S - v$ USD.

To quote an FX option, we need to first sort out which currency is domestic, which is foreign, what is the notional currency of the option, and what is the premium currency. Unfortunately this is not symmetric, since the counterpart might have another notion of domestic currency for a given currency pair. Hence in the professional inter bank market there is one notion of delta per currency pair. Normally it is the left hand side delta of the Fenics\textsuperscript{2} screen if the option is traded in left hand side premium, which is normally the standard and right hand side delta if it is traded with right hand side premium, e.g. EUR/USD lhs, USD/JPY lhs, EUR/JPY lhs, AUD/USD rhs, etc... Since OTM options are traded most of the time, the difference is not huge and hence does not create a huge spot risk.

Additionally, the standard delta per currency pair [left hand side delta in Fenics for most cases] is used to quote options in volatility. This has to be specified by currency.

This standard inter bank notion must be adapted to the real delta-risk of the bank for an automated trading system. For currency pairs where the risk–free currency of the bank is the domestic or base currency, it is clear that the delta is the raw delta of the option, and for risky premium this premium must be included. In the opposite case the risky premium and the market value must be taken into account for the base currency premium, such that these offset each other. And for premium in underlying currency of the contract the market-value needs to be taken into account. In that way the delta hedge is invariant with respect to the risky currency notion of the bank, e.g. the delta is the same for a USD-based bank and a EUR-based bank.

### 6.4 Example

We consider two examples in Table \ref{table3} and \ref{table4} to compare the various versions of deltas that are used in practice.

### 7 Greeks in Terms of Deltas

In Foreign Exchange markets the moneyness of vanilla options is always expressed in terms of deltas and prices are quoted in terms of volatility. This makes a ten-delta call a financial object as such independent of spot and strike. This method and the quotation in volatility makes objects and prices transparent in a very intelligent and user-friendly

\footnote{Fenics is one of the standard tools for FX option pricing, see \url{http://www.fenics.com/}}
Table 3: 1y EUR call USD put strike $K = 0.9090$ for a EUR–based bank. Market data: spot $S = 0.9090$, volatility $\sigma = 12\%$, EUR rate $r_f = 3.96\%$, USD rate $r_d = 3.57\%$. The raw delta is 49.15\%EUR and the value is 4.427\%EUR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>delta ccy</th>
<th>prem ccy</th>
<th>Fenics</th>
<th>formula</th>
<th>delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% EUR</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>lhs</td>
<td>$\delta_{raw} - P$</td>
<td>44.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% EUR</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>rhs</td>
<td>$\delta_{raw}$</td>
<td>49.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% USD</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>rhs [flip F4]</td>
<td>$-(\delta_{raw} - P)S/K$</td>
<td>-44.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% USD</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>lhs [flip F4]</td>
<td>$-(\delta_{raw})S/K$</td>
<td>-49.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: 1y call EUR call USD put strike $K = 0.7000$ for a EUR–based bank. Market data: spot $S = 0.9090$, volatility $\sigma = 12\%$, EUR rate $r_f = 3.96\%$, USD rate $r_d = 3.57\%$. The raw delta is 94.82\%EUR and the value is 21.88\%EUR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>delta ccy</th>
<th>prem ccy</th>
<th>Fenics</th>
<th>formula</th>
<th>delta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% EUR</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>lhs</td>
<td>$\delta_{raw} - P$</td>
<td>72.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% EUR</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>rhs</td>
<td>$\delta_{raw}$</td>
<td>94.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% USD</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>rhs [flip F4]</td>
<td>$-(\delta_{raw} - P)S/K$</td>
<td>-94.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% USD</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>lhs [flip F4]</td>
<td>$-\delta_{raw}S/K$</td>
<td>-123.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

way. At this point we list the Greeks in terms of deltas instead of spot and strike. Let us introduce the quantities

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_+ & \triangleq \phi e^{-r_f \tau} N(\phi d_+) \text{ spot delta,} \\
\Delta_- & \triangleq -\phi e^{-r_d \tau} N(\phi d_-) \text{ dual delta,}
\end{align*}
\]

which we assume to be given. From these we can retrieve

\[
\begin{align*}
d_+ & = \phi N^{-1}(\phi e^{r_f \tau} \Delta_+), \\
d_- & = \phi N^{-1}(-\phi e^{r_d \tau} \Delta_-).
\end{align*}
\]

7.1 Interpretation of Dual Delta

The dual delta introduced in (9) as the sensitivity with respect to strike has another - more practical - interpretation in a foreign exchange setup. It is easy to verify that for vanilla options the domestic value

\[
v(S, K, \tau, \sigma, r_d, r_f, \phi)
\]
corresponds to a foreign value

\[ v \left( \frac{1}{S}, \frac{1}{K}, \tau, \sigma, r_f, r_d, -\phi \right) \tag{37} \]

up to an adjustment of the nominal amount by the factor \( SK \). From a foreign point of view the delta is thus given by

\[
-\phi e^{-r_d \zeta N} \left( -\phi \ln \left( \frac{1/S}{1/K} \right) + \left( r_f - r_d + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \tau \right) \right) \sigma \sqrt{\tau} \\
= -\phi e^{-r_d \zeta N} \left( \phi \ln \left( \frac{S}{K} \right) + \left( r_d - r_f - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \tau \right) \right) \sigma \sqrt{\tau} \\
= \Delta_- , \tag{38} \]

which means the dual delta is the delta from the foreign point of view. We will see below that foreign rho, vega and gamma do not require to know the dual delta. We will now state the Greeks in terms of \( S, \Delta_+, \Delta_-, r_d, r_f, \tau \) and \( \phi \).

Value.

\[ v(S, \Delta_+, \Delta_-, r_d, r_f, \tau, \phi) = S \Delta_+ + S \Delta_- \frac{e^{-r_f \zeta n(d_+)}}{e^{-r_d \zeta n(d_-)}} \tag{39} \]

(Spot) Delta.

\[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial S} = \Delta_+ \tag{40} \]

Vega.

\[ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma} = S e^{-r_f \tau} \sqrt{\tau} n(d_+) \tag{41} \]

### 7.2 Vega in Terms of Delta

The mapping \( \Delta \mapsto v_{\sigma} = S e^{-r_f \tau} \sqrt{\tau} n(N^{-1}(e^{r_f \tau} \Delta)) \) is important for trading vanilla options. Observe that this function does not depend on \( r_d \) or \( \sigma \), just on \( r_f \). Quoting vega in % foreign will additionally remove the spot dependence. This means that for a moderately stable foreign term structure curve, traders will be able to use a moderately stable vega matrix. For \( r_f = 3\% \) the vega matrix is presented in Table 5.
Table 5: Vega in terms of Delta for the standard maturity labels and various deltas. It shows that one can vega hedge a long 9M 35 delta call with 4 short 1M 20 delta puts.
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