

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Brada, Josef C.; Kutan, Ali M.; Yigit, Taner M.

Working Paper The effects of transition and political instability on foreign direct investment: Central Europe and the Balkans

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 28-2003

Provided in Cooperation with: ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Brada, Josef C.; Kutan, Ali M.; Yigit, Taner M. (2003) : The effects of transition and political instability on foreign direct investment: Central Europe and the Balkans, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 28-2003, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39650

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit

The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans

orkinc **B 28**

2003

The Effects of Transition and Political Instability

on Foreign Direct Investment:

Central Europe and the Balkans*

Josef C. Brada

Arizona State University

Ali M. Kutan

Southern Illinois University - Edwardsville and ZEI, Bonn

Taner M. Yigit

Bilkent University, Ankara

Abstract

In this paper we estimate the effects of transition and political instability in the Eastern European and Balkan transition countries on their FDI inflows. For transition countries unaffected by political instability, FDI inflows in the 1990s were around 20 to 30% of those achieved by European market economies with similar economic characteristics. Progress with transition and reform increased transition economies' ability to achieve their potential FDI inflows, but because of their progress in stabilization and macroeconomic performance, this transition gap was not closed very much in the 1990s. The Balkan countries also suffered additional shorfalls in FDI due to political instability. Our estimates show that these shortfalls were large.

JEL Classification numbers: F21, F23, P52 Key Words: foreign direct investment, transition, political instability, political risk

* Brada's and Kutan's research was supported by a grant from the William Davidson Institute at the University of Michigan. We are indebted to Xiaolin Xue for capable research assistance and to V. Tomšík and J. Šohinger for helpful comments.

I. Introduction

The transition economies of Eastern Europe have seen a large upsurge in foreign direct investment (FDI) during the past decade. These inflows have been dramatic both because of their dynamism, as these countries began the 1990s with practically no stock of FDI, and because FDI had important consequences for the transition process and for these countries' economic performance. However, the distribution of FDI among the transition economies has been highly uneven, and the timing of inflows has been sensitive to political events in individual countries, to the mode of privatization adopted as well as to the success of efforts at macroeconomic stabilization and the creation of market institutions. This suggests that there are two forces at work influencing FDI inflows to these countries. One is the process of transition itself, as progress in transition to a market economy should lead to FDI inflows that would be appropriate for a market economy. The second factor influencing FDI inflows, particularly to Balkan transition economies, is political instability. Armed conflict, inter-state or inter-ethnic; political and civil strife, including assassinations, riots, etc.; the imposition of embargoes and trade sanctions; and other forms of political conflict have characterized the region, and doubtless they have discouraged FDI inflows. In this paper we estimate both the effects of progress in transition to a market economy and of political instability on FDI inflows to a sample of Central European and Balkan transition economies. We find that, while all these economies suffer a shortfall in FDI relative to comparable market economies, progress in transition does stimulate FDI inflows. We also find political instability to be a significant barrier to FDI in the Balkans.

Figure 1 shows FDI inflows into four Central European economies. All have experienced a rapid increase in FDI. Hungary was an early leader in FDI inflows, in part because of its more sophisticated economic relations with the West before the transition, which led many foreign investors to view Hungary as a country that had the infrastructure and economic savvy to accept foreign investments. Another reason for Hungary's early lead was its privatization strategy, which made sales of state-owned firms to foreign investors the preferred path to privatization. Poland's FDI inflows began to grow

somewhat later than Hungary's, in part due to the delays in the privatization process in Poland as well as to its design. Nevertheless, for the second half of the decade, Poland experienced the largest FDI inflows of this group of countries, as it is also the largest economy in this sample group. Czech FDI inflows began to accelerate even later than Poland's due to the fact that the voucher privatization in the Czech Republic tended to favor domestic ownership over acquisitions of state-owned firms by foreigners. Thus, it took longer before foreign investors could come to own Czech firms through acquisitions, and, consequently, more foreign investment took the form of greenfield investments, which have a much longer gestation period.¹ The Slovak Republic has the lowest levels of FDI, and it was also the last country to see a sharp upsurge in investments. These lower FDI inflows reflect the Slovak Republic's smaller size as well as the negative image that foreign investors formed of Slovakia's domestic politics, its ability to manage its economy, to proceed with meaningful economic reforms, and to manage its external relations with neighboring countries and with the EU. Since the defeat of the Meèiar government, investor sentiment has improved, aided no doubt by the objective fact that the Slovak economy has performed quite well relative to its transition-economy neighbors.

Figure 1 also shows the volatile nature of FDI inflows into these countries. This volatility results from the fact that international mergers and acquisitions (M&A), a key vehicle for FDI, are greatly influenced by stock market fluctuations. In these transition economies, an additional source of volatility has been the privatization through FDI of large assets such as national telephone companies (Matav in Hungary, SPT Telekom in the Czech Republic) and other large firms and banks.

Foreign direct investment inflows into the Balkan region are lower than those to the Central European countries, and, as Figure 2 shows, there are greater inter-country differences in the volume of FDI inflows. Romania, Bulgaria and Croatia emerged as significant host countries for FDI in the second half of the decade. Progress with economic stabilization and economic and political reform no doubt

¹ Greenfield investments mean the construction of new production facilities by the foreign investor while acquisitions involve the purchase of a controlling interest in an existing local firm. There were, of course, important acquisitions in the Czech Republic as well, including VW's purchase of Škoda, the sale of SPT Telekom, the country's telephone company, and, more recently, the sale of large commercial banks such as Komerèní banka.

played a role in these trends. However, at least Bulgaria and Romania are considerably bigger than the other Balkan countries, so an inter-country comparison of the levels of FDI requires some scaling to account for country size. Figure 3 provides the cumulated FDI from 1991 to 2001 divided by GDP in 2001 for the Central European countries. With such a scaling, the Czech Republic and Hungary surpass both Poland and the Slovak Republic by a wide margin. Figure 4 provides a scaling based on population, providing cumulated 1991-2001 FDI inflows per capita. These reveal much the same picture, with the Czech Republic and Hungary leading Poland and the Slovak Republic on a per capita basis.

In the case of the Balkan countries, scaling becomes even more important given the greater differences in country size. Figure 5 provides data on cumulative 1991-2001 inflows relative to GDP, and Figure 6 provides the same information on a per capita basis. A number of conclusions can be drawn from an examination of Figures 3-6. Perhaps the most striking is the gap in FDI inflows between the Balkan countries and their counterpart transition economies in Central Europe when we account for country size. Whether scaled by GDP or by population, with the exception of Croatia and, on a per capita basis, of Slovenia, the levels of FDI in the Balkan region fall far short of those found in the Central European transition economies. Only Croatia's FDI inflows relative to GDP and population are comparable to those of Poland and the Slovak Republic, although they fall well short of the inflows achieved by Hungary and the Czech Republic. Slovenia does poorly when scaled by GDP because of high per capita GDP levels, but it does better on a per capita basis, achieving levels comparable to those of Poland and the Slovak Republic.

Nevertheless, given Slovenia's and Croatia's level of economic development, the strong influence of foreign trade with Western Europe and even of foreign investors in these countries in the 1980s, the relative sophistication of their economic and financial institutions, and the experience of managers in these countries with market mechanisms, one might have expected these countries to do at least as well as, if not better than, the Czech Republic and Hungary as hosts for foreign investors. The performance of the other former Yugoslav Republics is much worse, especially when considered on a per capita basis, and Bulgaria and Romania do not have FDI inflows that distinguish them from this latter

group of countries. Thus, the data clearly reveal what can reasonably be termed a shortfall in FDI for the Balkan countries.

The causes of this Balkan shortfall are manifold.² Some of them can be attributed to the lower levels of development of some of the former Yugoslav Republics, though even Slovenia and Croatia, which have high levels of per capita income, exhibit this shortfall. Some of the Balkan countries are small by any standard, which may limit FDI inflows relative to countries that can offer a large domestic market, but even large economies such as Bulgaria and Romania suffer shortfalls in FDI. Many, although by no means all, Balkan countries have been unable to implement or sustain cohesive reform strategies.³ Moreover, many Balkan countries, are small and on the periphery of the EU.⁴ Some of the shortfall may be caused by failures in stabilization, such as those experienced by Bulgaria and Romania, but FYROM, Slovenia and Croatia have had low levels of inflation and relatively stable exchange rates, yet they have fared no better in attracting foreign investors. There were also problems in privatizing firms, with many of the former Yugoslav Republics relying on variants of the so-called Markovic Law on privatization, which effectively put much of the productive property in these countries in the hands of insiders.⁵ Yet, different means were used in Bulgaria and Romania, with little evident effect on FDI inflows.

One common element affecting the Balkan region has been political instability, both among countries of the region and within many of the countries themselves. The early and partly violent breakup of the Republic of Yugoslavia and the continued fragmentation of what remained as Yugoslavia, culminating in the NATO bombings, is but the most visible example of political instability in the region. FYROM has suffered from inter-ethnic strife, a blockade by Greece, as well as from the enforcement of

² For a thoughtful survey, see Slaveski and Nedanovski (2002).

³ Claessens *et al.* (2001) and Lankes and Stern (1999) stress the importance of reform progress in attracting FDI to transition economies.

⁴ On the geographic handicaps faced by the Balkan countries, see Petrakos (2002).

⁵ For some telling insights into the workings of privatization in the former Yugoslav Republics, see Šuklev (1996), Slaveski, (1997), Franiæviè (1999) and Hadziè (2002).

the blockade against Serbia. Albania, too, has experienced tensions with both FYROM and Greece, while Croatia has had continuing conflicts with Serbia in addition to its involvement in Bosnia. There have also been domestic instabilities, some based on inter-ethnic tensions or assassinations of political figures, others on failures in regime change and yet others on weak or ineffective governments that were unable to deal with domestic unrest and violence.

In the next section we briefly review the literature that relates political risk to investment decisions. In Section III we propose a way of disentangling the effects of economic factors and political instability on the flow of FDI into the Balkan region, we estimate a model of FDI among European counties, and we use this model to establish a baseline for FDI into transition economies. By comparing the predictions of this model to the actual flows of FDI into transition economies that were little affected by political instability, we then can estimate the effects of economic reform and progress in transition on FDI. Using this information on the relationship between progress in transition and FDI inflows, we are able to decompose the FDI shortfall of the Balkan countries in transition into those effects that are due to a lack of progress in transition and to those that result from political instability. In Section IV, we sum up our findings, and we argue that the costs of this shortfall are likely to exceed its monetary magnitude by briefly reviewing the literature on the effects of FDI in the transition economies of Eastern Europe.

II. Political Instability as a Barrier to FDI

Investment, including FDI, is a forward-looking activity based on investors' expectations regarding future returns and the confidence that they can place on these returns. Thus, by its very nature, the FDI decision requires some assessment of the political future of the host country. There are two principal risks stemming from political instability in the host country that the investor faces. The first is that domestic instability or civil war or conflict with neighboring countries will reduce the profitability of operating in the host country because domestic sales or exports are impaired, or production is disrupted, or the facility is damaged or destroyed. The other consequence of political

instability stems from the fact that it is likely to affect the value of the host country's currency, thus reducing the value of the assets invested in the host country as well as of the future profits generated by the investment.

There is a growing literature on the effects of political stability on economic performance, both from a theoretical perspective and in terms of empirical work. Carmignam (2003) provides an excellent survey of the literature on the link between political instability and economic performance. The survey covers both theoretical modeling and empirical studies. Also, the papers in a supplement to Journal of International Money and Finance, edited by Lothian and Melvin (1991), examine the significance of political risk for investment decisions. Noteworthy individual studies include Citron and Nickelsburg (1987), who build a model of country risk for foreign borrowing that incorporates a political instability variable and Cherian and Perotti (2001), who construct a theoretical political risk model of capital investment. Fielding (2003) constructs a model of investment in Israel that incorporates indicators of political instability and unrest. There are also related studies that examine the impact of political instability on economic growth and investment. Alesina and Perotti (1996) found that an increase in the intensity of political instability decreases investment, hence slowing down economic growth. Using a political instability index based on political assassinations, revolutions and successful coups, Campos and Nugent (2002, 2003) investigated the causal link between the index and growth and investment, respectively, using pooled panel data. Their results provide only weak evidence for the negative link running from political instability to per capita GDP but stronger causality from political instability to investment. Fielding (2003) showed that political instability during the Intifada had a significant effect on Israeli investment.

The link between political instability and asset markets and investment in the literature has been studied from several angles. One important strand of the literature emphasizes the importance of political risk in emerging markets. Robin, Liew and Stevens (1996) show that political risk is a more important determinant of asset returns in emerging markets than in developed markets. Bussiere and Mulder (1999), using a sample of 23 countries, conclude that including political variables in economic models significantly improves the ability of such models to explain economic crises. They also find that countries are more vulnerable to financial crises when election results are more uncertain.

Another relevant strand of the literature examines the link between political instability and the behavior of stock markets on the not unreasonable assumption that the latter are a good mirror of investor reactions to political instability. Ketkar and Ketkar (1989) investigated the determinants of capital flight from Argentina, Brazil and Mexico and found that political risk was an important factor in all three countries. Bailey and Chung (1995) studied the impact of political risk on the Mexican stock market and found a significant link between political risk and the equity premium. Kutan and Perez (2002) examined the significance of socio-political instability and organized crime in Colombia on that country's stock market prices and found a significant connection. Political instability has also been linked to the volatility of stock markets (Han and Wei, 1996; Bittlingmayer, 1998; and Aggarwal, Inclan, and Leal, 1999). Other studies that found significant evidence that political events affect asset markets are Willard, Guinnane and Rosen (1996) and Kim and Pei (2001).

There is also a large literature on the effects of political instability on foreign exchange markets, and this provides clear evidence that political instability both causes the value of country's currency to decline and makes the exchange rate more volatile. Kutan and Zhou (1993, 1995) show that the intensity of political unrest in Poland preceding and during the economic reforms introduced during late 1980s and early 1990s affected foreign exchange returns and bid-ask spreads. They found that events that reflected political turmoil caused substantial declines in the value of the zloty on the foreign exchange market and increased the bid-asked spreads on foreign exchange transactions, making them more costly for investors. Melvin and Tan (1996) studied the effects of social unrest on foreign exchange market spreads in South Africa and across 36 industrialized and developing countries. They also found that political unrest caused larger spreads. Crowley and Loviscek (2002) assessed the impact of political risk on the currency markets of six Latin American countries, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Peru, and Venezuela, during the 1990s. They also reported a statistically significant relationship wherein instances of political unrest depressed a country's currency on foreign exchange markets for up to three months.

The link between the depreciation of the currency and the increased foreign exchange market volatility is demonstrated in the foregoing literature, and a decline in FDI inflows in response to greater volatility is clearly shown by Kogut and Chang (1996).

III. Estimating the Shortfall In Balkan FDI: Disentangling the Effects of Transition and Political Instability

All transition economies suffer a shortfall in FDI inflows relative to similar market economies due to the effects of transition, but the shortfall in the FDI inflows of the Balkan economies is related to an additional factor, the effects of political instability in the region on the decisions of potential foreign investors. The difficulty in disentangling these two effects drives our modeling strategy. One approach, appealing because it is direct and affords a clear test of the hypothesis that political instability has depressed FDI in the region, would be to specify and estimate a model of FDI in the Balkans that would have as explanatory variables not only the standard economic variables used to explain a country's FDI but also a set of variables describing the pace of system change and economic liberalization in each Balkan country as well as a final set of variables that captures the political instabilities to which each country is subject over time. The expectation would be that the coefficients associated with the political instability on each country's FDI inflows, holding reform and economic characteristics fixed.

Indeed, there is a well developed literature that examines the relationship between host country political instability and FDI inflows in precisely this fashion. For example, Bennett and Green (1972), Singh and Jun (1995), Globerman and Shapiro (2002) and Cho (2003) all add measures that reflect domestic political instability or risk as an explanatory variable to economic characteristics of host countries, and they all find that such risk variables help explain FDI inflows because increased political risk significantly reduces FDI. Deichman et al. (2003) find that indicators of the rule of law and of "general investment climate", both of which to some extent reflect political stability, are significant

factors in the determinants of FDI inflows into Eurasian transition states.

While these results are germane and instructive for our work, there is one fundamental shortcoming in the approach used by these studies. It is that the measures of political risk used in these studies refer mainly to domestic political instability as quantified by strikes, riots, civil unrest, etc. However, these studies use no risk measures that reflect external sources of political risk, such as war or border clashes between countries, foreign trade embargos, economic sanctions or blockades, war or conflict in neighboring states, etc., that are so important for the Balkan region.

Of course, it would be possible to follow in the path of the aforementioned studies by adding indicators of external conflicts among Balkan countries to our explanatory variables. However appealing such an approach may be, it also has serious drawbacks. The first of these is that there would be a large number of parameters to estimate, while, even with a panel of all Balkan transition countries, the data set available to estimate these parameters is limited because some countries lack data for the entire 1990s period. Moreover, the Balkan countries least effected by political instability, such as Romania, Slovenia and Bulgaria, have much longer sample periods than do the more impacted countries such as Bosnia. Thus, the regression results would be biased to reflect the experience of the former at the expense of the latter. Truncating the sample to a common time period would, on the other hand, exacerbate the problem of a small sample size relative to the number of parameters to be estimated. An additional problem is that of quantifying the concept of external political instability. While political scientists have developed both aggregate and bilateral measures of the goodness of relations of countries, using these measures is difficult in a situation where nation states are breaking up into constituent parts that have no "record" of external relations, and thus no data on them, and that may have relationships with their neighbors that differ considerably for those of the nation state from which they emerged. A good example of such a situation is that of Macedonia, whose relations with Greece were much more influenced by issues over its name and status that they had been when it was a constituent part of Yugoslavia.

To overcome these problems, we adopt an indirect approach to quantifying the effects of

transition and political instability on FDI in the Balkans. In the first step, we establish the relationship between FDI inflows and country characteristics for European economies that are not undergoing transition and that are not subject to serious political instability. We include in our sample Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and Switzerland for the period 1980 to 2001. This panel of countries gives us sufficient observations to develop robust estimates of the relationship between country characteristics and FDI inflows in Europe. We restrict our sample to European countries because we believe these are the appropriate reference group for both the transition economies and for the Balkan countries. In general, the sample countries have higher incomes that do the transition and Balkan countries, but we include per capita GDP as an explanatory variable to control for this fact. Our specification of the relationship between FDI inflows and a country's economic characteristics is:

$$LFDI_{i,t} = \boldsymbol{a}_0 + \boldsymbol{a}_1 LGDPCUR_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{a}_2 LGDPPC_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{a}_3 LINF_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{a}_4 LPHONE_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{a}_5 LTRADE_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{a}_6 LSECOND_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{u}_{i,t}$$
(1)

where the prefix L indicates the log operator and:

FDI_{i,t} = foreign direct investment inflow into country i in year t in current US\$

 $GDPCUR_{i,t} = GDP$ of country i in year t in current US\$

 $GDPPC_{i,t}$ = per capital GDP of country i in year t in 1995 US\$

 $INF_{i,t} = inflation in country i in year t, as % increase in the consumer price index$

 $PHONE_{i,t}$ = number of telephone lines per 1000 inhabitants in country i in year t

 $TRADE_{i,t}$ = ratio of the trade of country i to its GDP in year t

 $SECOND_{i,t}$ = secondary enrollment (% gross school enrollment) of country i in year t

 $u_{i,t} = error term.$

Current GDP is used as a scale variable to capture the size of the economy, and a coefficient greater than one would suggest that countries that offer large markets are able to attract

disproportionately higher shares of FDI inflows. Per capita income is used as a proxy for the level of development and for wages in a country, and we use constant prices in order to allow for an intertemporal ranking of the level of development of economies. The sign of this coefficient is impossible to predict a priori. On the one hand, higher wages raise production costs and discourage FDI, but they also signal higher incomes and thus a more attractive market for the investor's products. The inflation variable measures macroeconomic stability, and reflects the host country's ability to maintain a stable exchange rate. The number of telephone lines per 1000 inhabitants is a proxy for the quality of the country's communications and transportation infrastructure, both of which are factors that are important to foreign investors. The trade variable measures the openness of the country to international trade. A low value of this variable may signal high tariff barriers, which would attract FDI, or it may signal a lack of competitiveness in export trade. Finally, the proportion of students in secondary education is an indication of the quality of the country's labor force and thus its attractiveness as a place to manufacture goods.

The estimations for Equation 1, and for Equation 6 below, are carried out using feasible GLS (FGLS) pooled-panel regression.⁶ These classes of models can be estimated using pool objects

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{a} + x_{it}\mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{e}_{it}$$
(2)

where y_{it} is the dependent variable, and x_{it} and b_i are vectors of non-constant regressors and parameters for each cross-sectional unit i = 1, ..., N and time period t = 1, ..., T. We use FGLS due to the very likely cross-sectional heteroskedasticity existent in the data. The weighting and the heteroskedasticity correction, $(X'\Omega X)^{-1} X'\Omega Y$, is done by using the covariance matrix

$$\Omega = E(\boldsymbol{e}\boldsymbol{e}') = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{s}_{1}^{2}I_{T_{1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \boldsymbol{s}_{2}^{2}I_{T_{2}} & 0 & \vdots\\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \boldsymbol{s}_{N}^{2}I_{T_{N}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

⁶ Data were obtained from the World Bank's World Development Indicators 2002 CD-ROM.

Even though contemporaneous correlation is also highly likely as well, we refrain from using seemingly unrelated regression (SURE) due to possible problems unless T is considerably greater than N (Beck and Katz, 1995). In SURE weighting, the Ω matrix turns into

$$\Omega = E(\boldsymbol{e}\boldsymbol{e}') = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{s}_{11}^2 I_T & \boldsymbol{s}_{12}^2 I_T & \cdots & \boldsymbol{s}_{1N}^2 I_T \\ \boldsymbol{s}_{21}^2 I_T & \boldsymbol{s}_{22}^2 I_T & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \boldsymbol{s}_{N1}^2 I_T & \cdots & \boldsymbol{s}_{NN}^2 I_T \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

so there are N(N+1)/2 contemporaneous covariances to be estimated using NxT observations. This means that each element of the Ω matrix is estimated using 2T/N observations. This ratio is around 3 for our largest dataset, leading to significant overconfidence in the Parks standard errors. The benefits of accounting for the contemporaneous correlation are dominated by the false inference probability, which causes us to only correct for heteroskedasticity in our panel FGLS.

We also avoid the introduction of any fixed effects or lagged terms or using dynamic panel data estimation to formulate a more "universal" model of FDI. Introduction of these terms would likely add to the explanatory power of the regression models; however, the introduction of these variables make the projection of the estimated parameters on another set of countries that much more difficult.

Parameter estimates for Equation 1 are reported in Table 1. The regression achieves a satisfactory fit, with an R-squared of about 0.75, and most coefficients are statistically significant. Current GDP has a positive coefficient greater than one, so larger countries receive more FDI because the elasticity of FDI with respect to GDP is greater than one. Per capita GDP has a negative impact on FDI, which suggests that, even in Western Europe, foreign investors have favored countries with lower wages. Inflation is also negative, reflecting investor sensitivity to macroeconomic instability and the attendant risk of currency devaluation. The sign and magnitude of this coefficient will become important when we apply the coefficients of Equation 1 to transition-economy data because inflation was much

higher in transition economies than it was in West Europe. The coefficient for the telephone variable is positive, reflecting the importance of a good communications and transportation infrastructure in attracting FDI. The trade variable also has a positive and significant coefficient, suggesting that, at least in Western Europe, foreign investors are more interested in the possibility of exporting from their production facilities than in leapfrogging tariff barriers. Only the secondary education variable has an insignificant coefficient.⁷

To estimate the effects of transition on inflows of FDI, we use the parameters of Equation 1, which gives the expected FDI level for a non-transition, politically stable European market economy, to estimate the expected levels of FDI for a sample of transition economies that are experiencing less political instability than are the Balkan countries. The sample countries are the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland and the Slovak Republic, and we estimate their expected levels of FDI for the period 1993 to 2001. We then define the transition shortfall in FDI for transition economy *i* in year *t* as:

$$R_{i,t} = FDI_{i,t} / Expected (FDI_{i,t})$$
(5)

where *Expected FDI*_{*i*,*t*} is calculated using the parameters of Equation 1 and the economic characteristics of country *i* in year *t*. Note that using the ratio of actual to expected FDI makes it unnecessary to account for country size.

Table 2 reports the expected and actual yearly FDI inflows for our sample of transition economies as well as the ratio of the two values, which is $R_{i,t}$, the indicator of the shortfall in FDI due to the effects of transition. The expected levels of FDI based on the parameter estimates of Equation 2 increase steadily for all transition economies, which shows the significant economic progress these countries have achieved in terms of the variables included in Equation 1. The expected level of FDI depends exclusively on the economic characteristics of these countries, and it does not take into account the progress that these countries have made in implementing transition measures and

⁷ This may be due to the fact that secondary school enrollments say little about the current quality of the labor force.

privatizing their economies, something that appears in the actual levels of FDI achieved. That the expected level of investment rises so quickly through the 1990s thus is a clear demonstration of the improved macroeconomic and foreign trade performance and structural maturation of the Central European transition economies.

Despite the rapid growth of actual FDI into the region, the results in Table 2 also show that the effects of transition on FDI are quite large and negative. Only Hungary in 1993 and 1995 experienced FDI inflows that were greater than what would be predicted by Equation 1. Most of the other transition economies in the sample achieved inflows that ranged between 20 percent and 30 percent of those that they would have experienced if they had been West European market economies. This, then, is the measure of the shortfall in FDI due to incomplete transition to a market economy. Among the more successful countries, there is no uniformity in trend in the value of R. The values of R decline for Hungary and Poland and follow a somewhat U-shaped curve for the Czech Republic and Estonia. Lithuania and, until 2000, Slovakia experienced much greater shortfalls in FDI from those predicted by Equation 2, reflecting their lower levels of appeal to foreign investors due to slower progress in transition. Nevertheless, as all the countries in our sample recorded rapid growth of FDI, albeit from low levels, their failure to exhibit strong secular growth of R, the ratio of actual to expected FDI, reflects the impressive progress these countries made in their macroeconomic fundamentals, that is, in the explanatory variables of Equation 1.

The rapid growth of expected FDI reported in Table 2 should be interpreted with some caution because foreign investors' behavior is driven by not only the levels of the explanatory variables of Equation 1 but also by their expected trends in the future. Since the limited time span of our sample does not allow us to investigate the dynamics of investor expectations, it may be that estimates of expected investment based only on the level of contemporaneous values of the explanatory variables may understate investor sentiments based on their expectations of future progress in these economies, Even with this caveat, however, Table 2 clearly demonstrates the very high costs of transition in terms

of low levels of achieved FDI to potential FDI, but it remains to be seen whether these shortfalls can be linked to the pace and pattern of structural change and reform in these countries.

Because Equation 1 already takes into account the effect of each country's economic characteristics on FDI inflows, the difference between actual and predicted FDI for our seven transition economies should reflect the different policy paths that these countries have taken toward creating a market economy characterized by private property. The pace and success of transition are likely to have played an important role in foreign investors' decisions on whether to invest in a particular transition economy given its macroeconomic characteristics and performance. Moreover, because many investments were made in some transition economies with a view to their future entry into the EU, faster progress on reform was seen by investors as signal that a country would be at the head of the queue for EU membership. The establishment of the rule of law also played an important part in investors' considerations, since the security of their investment was likely an important locational consideration. Finally, the pace of FDI should have been strongly influenced by the measures that were taken for privatization. Thus, we would expect that a country that has made greater progress in transition to a market economy should achieve inflows of FDI that are closer to its potential FDI inflows as given by Equation 1, and thus have a higher value for R, than would a country that has made little progress in transition.

To quantify the effects of the transition process on FDI inflows we use the widely used European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) indexes of transition progress, supplemented by several other indicators, to estimate the following equation:

$$LR_{i,t} = \boldsymbol{b}_0 + \boldsymbol{b}_1 LLARGE_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{b}_2 LSMALL_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{b}_3 LPRICE_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{b}_4 LFOREX_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{b}_5 LCORR_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{b}_6 LBANK_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{b}_7 LPRIV_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{b}_8 ADJ_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{e}_{i,t}$$
(6)

where *L* is the log operator and

 $LARGE_{i,t} = EBRD$ index of large-scale privatization in country i in year t

 $SMALL_{i,t} = EBRD$ index of small-scale privatization in country i in year t

 $PRICE_{i,t}$ =EBRD index of price liberalization in country i in year t

 $FOREX_{i,t} = EBRD$ index of foreign exchange and trade liberalization

 $PRIV_{i,t}$ = Share of private sector in the economy in country i in year t

 $CORR_{i,t} = corruption index for country i in year t$

 $ADJ_{i,t} = 1$ if country i is adjacent to EU, 0 otherwise

 $BANK_{i,t} = EBRD$ index of banking sector reform in country i in year t and

 $a_{i,t} = error term.^8$

The EBRD indexes of privatization, with higher values indicating greater progress, measure progress with the privatization of large firms and of small firms, stores etc., respectively. Greater progress with large privatization could stimulate FDI, since investors will prefer to purchase the relatively large firms that such privatization would make available for sale. On the other hand, if large privatization progresses rapidly through a voucher scheme, this may serve to delay FDI inflows as domestic owners would have to organize themselves and take over their firms before being able to sell their holdings to foreigners. We also include three other EBRD indexes of progress on transition, those referring to price liberalization, foreign trade and foreign exchange, and banking and finance. As with the privatization indexes, higher values of the index signify greater progress in liberalization and reform, and progress on these should also encourage foreign investors. Not unsurprisingly, these reform indexes are highly correlated, and we thus limit the number we use in the regression.

Corruption is used as an explanatory variable because it is seen as a barrier to FDI both because it raises the cost of doing business in a country and because it creates additional uncertainty for the foreign investor. We use an index of corruption that is scaled so that higher values of the index indicate lower

⁸ The EBRD indexes and the PRIV measure were compiled from various issues of *Transition Report* and the corruption index from *Transparency International*, http://www.transparency.org/

levels of corruption. The PRIV variable captures the general property-rights orientation of the host country, in that the higher the share of the private sector in aggregate output, the greater is popular support for the market economy likely to be, and the more favorable the investment climate; it also indicates the amount of state-owned property that is potentially available for sale to foreign investors. Finally, we use a dummy variable to capture adjacency to the EU to see whether proximity to the EU market encourages foreign investors. The parameters of Equation 6 were estimated using data for the seven Central European countries listed in Table 2. The parameter estimates are reported in Table 3. The regression results yield a satisfactory R-squared and generally significant coefficients.

The only coefficient not significant is that for the large privatization index. This may reflect the fact that quick progress with the privatization of large firms through voucher privatization or by sale or lease to insiders may have hampered FDI because it put industrial property in the hands of domestic owners, thus precluding the timely sale of state-owned firms to foreign strategic investors.⁹ Progress in small privatization has a negative effect on FDI, perhaps because it serves as a proxy for the populist or insider-oriented nature of the privatization process. The share of the private sector in the economy also has a negative effect of FDI, because, as firms are increasingly in private hands, the sale of state-owned firms to foreign investors ceases to be a vehicle for FDI inflows. The coefficients for the indexes of liberalization in foreign trade and and in banking are both positive and significant. Clearly, foreign investors are concerned that they be able to move capital in and out of the host country, to repatriate profits, and to import and export inputs and products to their affiliates with a minimum of interference. The coefficient for price liberalization is negative; conceivably, investors may view price liberalization as contributing to inflation, or they may view changing prices as a source of uncertainty about the long-term profitability of their investments. The coefficient of FDI, a result consistent with most of the research on

⁹ The statistical insignificance of this coefficient may also be due to multicolinearity among the reform indexes.

the economic effects of corruption. Finally, host country adjacency to the EU has a positive influence on the volume of FDI.

With the parameters for Equations 1 and 6 at hand, we can estimate the effects of political instability on FDI inflows to Balkan countries. We first use the parameters of Equation 1 to estimate the FDI inflows into the Balkans that would be expected if they were normal European countries, undergoing no transition and no political instability. These estimated values of FDI are reported in the first row of each country's entry in Table 4. The expected FDI inflows for the Balkan countries increase over time, but, for most countries, changes in this variable are abrupt and sporadic, reflecting the fragility of economic stabilization and economic growth in the region. Many of the large changes in expected FDI can be attributed to the implementation of stabilization programs or to their collapse, both of which can have a quick impact on inflation, one of the key explanatory variables in Equation 1.

The second row for each country reports the actual FDI inflows. These also tend to vary considerably from year to year, in percentage terms much more so than do actual FDI inflows for the transition countries covered by Table 2. The source of this variability is partly the same as in other transition countries, the privatization of large state-owned assets such as banks, telecommunications companies, etc.¹⁰ Because there are fewer greenfield investments and acquisitions of medium and small-sized-sized firms by foreign investors, these one-off large privatizations tend to dominate the data in way that we do not observe in Central European countries. In the Balkan countries there are additional sources of volatility, of which political instability is only one. Note, for example, the sharp drop in Albanian FDI inflows in 1997-1999 as a reaction to the crisis caused by the collapse of the financial pyramid schemes in 1997 and 1998 and the Kosovo crisis of 1999. A similar reduction in 1999 inflows can be seen for FYROM, Romania, and Slovenia. Another important source of variability is the major changes in privatization policy, which occurred in the Balkans during the mid-1990s, not at the beginning of the decade as in the Central European countries. Thus, the decision to push ahead with large privatization

¹⁰ See Hunya (2002) and Šohinger and Harrison (forthcoming).

transactions in Albania in 2000 and the change in policy in favor of foreign investors in 1998 in Croatia and in 1997 in Romania are amply evident in the data.

Row four for each country reports the value of *R* predicted for that country on the basis of the parameters of Equation 6 and the country's performance in implementing the transition. Note that the values of *R* predicted by Equation 6 are also subject to abrupt changes. This reflects the less stable reform environment in the Balkans. The EBRD indexes, which are the main explanatory variables in Equation 6, do not provide very fine calibrations of changes in transition achievements. Thus, the implementation of a major reform or set of reforms can cause a large change in the value of *R* predicted by Equation 6.¹¹

Because row one is the expected level of FDI for a non-transition country not impacted by political instability and the value of *R* predicted by Equation 6 and reported in row four for each country represents the fraction of that predicted FDI inflow that the country should obtain given its success in implementing transition policies, we multiply the FDI predicted by Equation 1 (row one) by the *R* predicted by Equation 6 (row 4) to obtain the level of FDI expected by each Balkan country if its FDI inflows were not affected by regional political instability. The difference between the FDI calculated in this way and reported in row 3 for each country and actual FDI is thus due to the effect of political instability in the region.¹² For Bosnia, Bulgaria, FYROM and Romania the costs of political instability are amply evident for the entire sample period. The former three countries' actual FDI falls far short of what would be expected of a "normal" transition economy, and Romania falls short for most years as well. The shortfall due to political instability is quite large, as the FDI inflows expected if these countries were merely in transition would be a multiple, and in some cases a large multiple, of the FDI inflows actually observed. Croatia and Slovenia display a somewhat different pattern. Their observed FDI inflows fall short of what is expected in the early part of the sample, perhaps reflecting the effects of the breakup of Yugoslavia on FDI inflows, but from the mid-1990s onward actual FDI inflows exceed

¹¹ The R for Bosnia is based on the average corruption index for all the Balkan countries as we could not obtain corruption data for Bosnia.

expected FDI. This reflects both policy changes in favor of FDI as well as investors' perceptions that these two countries were not likely to be negatively influenced by regional instability or by domestic political strife. Albania appears to be an outlier as its actual FDI inflows exceed the predicted levels, suggesting, rather implausibly, that Albania benefited from regional political instability. A more likely explanation is that, in the early years, FDI inflows, in any case quite small, were the result of investments by the many Albanians living and working abroad. In 2000 and 2001, the FDI inflows are driven by the one-off privatization of major banks, telecommunications firms and the sale of mining concessions.

IV. Conclusions and Policy Implications

Our research has demonstrated that both economic transition and political instability, whether of domestic origin or stemming from international conflicts and tensions, both serve to reduce FDI inflows into the transition economies of Central Europe and the Balkans. Moreover, our results indicate that a large part of the shortfall in FDI into the Balkan transition economies, whether measured relative to the Central European economies or to a hypothetical European market economy, is, in fact, attributable to the effects of regional political instabilities on the willingness of foreign investors to invest in these countries. Although our estimates of expected FDI have to rely on a static view of foreign investor behavior, which may somewhat understate our estimates of the negative effects of transition and instability on FDI, the general goodness of fit achieved in Equations 1 and 6 suggests that the orders of magnitude of our estimates of the FDI shortfall are relatively robust.

While it is beyond the scope of this paper to quantify the economic costs of foregone FDI inflows for the Balkan countries, the literature on the effects of FDI on transition economies suggests that these costs must be quite high because of the important benefits that FDI brings. The most obvious one is that FDI can serve as a supplement to domestic saving and investment, and all transition economies sorely need additional investment to raise their productivity and living standards. It is true that much of the FDI

¹² Missing variables, such as changes in privatization policy or foreign assistance and time lags between changes in

that has come into transition economies has been used to purchase existing firms rather than to finance new greenfield investments. Nevertheless, even FDI for mergers and acquisitions has a positive effect on domestic capital formation (Šohinger and Harrison, forthcoming) because investors do contribute additional capitalization to their acquisitions. Moreover, as Hunya (1996) shows in the case of Hungary, foreign firms have higher profits and reinvest a much higher share of it than do domestically-owned firms, thus increasing capital formation in the future. Finally, there is little crowding out of domestic investors Misun and Tomšík (2002). Given the low savings rates in many of the Balkan countries, larger FDI inflows would thus have made an important contribution to economic growth. Another benefit of FDI is that it brings in new technology and managerial skills. Thus, foreign-owned firms are likely to be more productive (Hunya, 1996, Sgard, 2001) and to use more advanced technologies (Voicu, forthcoming). Moreover, there are likely to be important spillovers of these technologies and managerial skills form foreign-owned firms to the domestic economy.¹³

As a result, it is likely that the costs of lost FDI to the Balkan economies are of a magnitude that is much greater than the shortfalls that we have shown in our study. Consequently, the restoration of peace to the region and the elimination of tensions, both internal and among the countries of the region, should bring important economic benefits.

country characteristics and the perceptions of foreign investors also drive these differences.

¹³ Fan (2002) provides a useful survey of the literature on technology spillovers in transition economies. Aitken and Harrison (1999), Blomstrom and Persson (1983) provide useful case studies.

References

Aggarwal, R., Inclan, C. and Leal, R. (1999). Volatility in emerging stock markets. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 34(1), 33-55.

Aitken, B. J. and Harrison, A. E. (1986). Do domestic firms benefit from direct foreign investment? Evidence from Venezuela. *American Economic Review* 89(3), 605-618.

Alessina, A. and Perotti, R. (1996). Income distribution, political instability, and investment. *European Economic Review* 40, 1203-1228.

Bailey, W. and Chung, Y. P. (1995). Exchange fluctuations, political risk, and stock returns: Some evidence from an emerging market. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 30(4), 541-561.

Beck, N. and. Katz, J.N. (1995). "What to do (and not to do) with time-series cross-section data." *American Political Science Review*, 89(3), 634-647.

Bennett, P.D. and Green, R. (1972). Political instability as a determinant of direct foreign marketing investment. *Journal of Marketing Research* 9, 182-186.

Bittlingmayer, G. (1998). Output, stock volatility and political uncertainty in a natural experiment. *Journal of Finance* 53, 2243-2258.

Blomstrom M. and Persson, H. (1983). Foreign investment and spillover efficiency in an underdeveloped country: evidence from the Mexican manufacturing industry. *World Development* 11(6) 493-501.

Bussiere, M. and Mulder, C. (1999). Political instability and economic vulnerability. *IMF Working Paper*, No.46.

Campos, N.F. and Nugent, J. B. (2002). Who is afraid of political instability? *Journal of Development Economics* 67(1), 157-172.

Campos, N.F. and Nugent, J. B. (2003). Aggregate investment and political instability. *Economica* (forthcoming).

Carmignani, F. (2003). Political instability, uncertainty, and economics. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 17(1), 1-54.

Chan, Y. and Wei, J. (1996). Political risk and stock market volatility: the case of Hong Kong. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 259-275.

Cherian, J.A and Perotti, E. (2001). Option pricing and foreign investment under political risk. *Journal of International Economics* 55(2), 359-377.

Cho, H.J. (2003). Political risk, labor standards, and foreign direct investment. http://www.anderson.ucla.edu/research/ciber/intsemianr/ciber-jeecho.pdf (July 17, 2003).

Citron, J. and Nickelsburg, G. (1987). Country risk and political instability. *Journal of Development Economics* 25(2), 385-392.

Claessens, S., Oks, D., and Postari, R. (2001) Capital flows to Central and Eastern Europe and former Soviet Union. Econ. <u>http://www.Worldbank.org/439.pdf</u> (May 18, 2001).

Crowley, F.D. and Loviscek, A. L. (2002). Assessing the impact of political unrest on currency returns: a look at Latin America. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 42(1),143-153.

Deichman, J.I, Eshghi, A., Haughton, D.M., Sayek, S., Teebagy, N.C. (2003). "Foreign direct investment in the Eurasian transition states." *Eastern European Economics* 41(1), 5-34.

Fan, E. X. (2002). *Technological Spillovers from Foreign Direct Investment -A Survey*. Asian Development Bank ERD Working Paper No. 33.

Fielding, D. (2003). Modeling political instability and economic performance: Israeli investment during the Intifada. *Economica* 70, 159-186.

Franicevic, M. (1999). Privatization in Croatia: legacies and context. *Eastern European Economics* 37(2), 5-54.

Globerman, S. and Shapiro, D. (2002). National political infrastructure and foreign direct investment. Industry Canada/Industrie Canada Working Paper No. 37.

Hadzic, M. (2002). Rethinking privatization in Serbia. Eastern European Economics 40(6), 6-23.

Hunya, G. (1996). *Foreign Direct Investment in Hungary: a Key Element of Economic Modernization*. The Vienna Institute for Comparative Economic Studies, Research Report No. 226.

Hunya, G. (2002). FDI in South-Eastern Europe. The Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies.

Hymer, S. (1976). *The International Operations of National Firms: A Study of Direct Foreign Investment*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Ketkar, S.L. and Ketkar, K.W., 1989. Determinants of capital flight from Argentina, Brazil and Mexico. *Contemporary Policy Issues 7(3)*, 11-29.

Kim, H. Y and Mei, J. P. (2001). What makes the stock market jump? An analysis of political risk on Hong Kong stock returns. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 20, 1003-1016.

Kogut, B. and Chang, S.J. (1996). Platform investments and volatile exchange rates: direct investment in the U.S. by Japanese companies. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 78(2), 221-231.

Kutan, A. M. and Zhou, S. (1993). Political turmoil and financial market behavior in reforming marketoriented economies: the case of Poland. *Applied Economics* 25, 757763.

Kutan, A. M. and Zhou, S. (1995). Socio-political instability, volatility, and the bid-ask spread: Evidence from the free market for dollars in Poland. *Open Economies Review* 6, 225-236.

Kutan, A. M. and Perez, S. M. (2002). Does organized crime affect stock market development? Evidence from Colombia. The Emerging Markets Group, Working Paper 4/02, Cass Business School, London.

Lankes, H., and Stern, N. (1999) Capital flows to Eastern Europe. In M. Feldstein, ed., *International Capital Flows*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Lothian J.R. and Melvin, M.T., 1991. Political influences in international economic models. *Journal of International Money and Finance 10(1)*, supplement, S 1-S 122.

Melvin, M. T. and Tan, K. H. (1996). Foreign exchange market bid-ask spreads and the market price of social unrest. *Oxford Economic Papers* 48(2), 329-341.

Misun, J. and Tomšík, V. (2002). Does foreign direct investment crowd out or crowd in domestic investment? *Eastern European Economics* 40(2), 38-56.

Petrakos, G. (2002). The Balkans in the new European economic space. *Eastern European Economics* 40(4), 6-30.

Robin, L.D., Liew, J. M., and Stevens, R. L. (1996). Political risk in emerging and developed markets. *Financial Analysts Journal* 52, 71-76.

Rojec, M. and Svetliciè, V. L. (2000). Tobaèna Ljubjana, d.o.o.. In S. Estrin, X. Richet and J. Brada, eds., *Foreign Direct Investment in Central Eastern Europe: Case Studies of Firms in Transition*. Armonk and London: M. E. Sharpe.

Sgard, J. (2001). Direct Foreign Investments and Productivity Growth in Hungarian Firms, 1992-1999. Centre d'Etudes Prospectives et d'Informations Internationales Working Paper No. 19.

Singh, H. and Jun, K.W. (1995). Some new evidence on determinants of foreign direct investment. World Bank Working Paper 1531.

Slaveski, T. (1997). Privatization in the Republic of Macedonia. Eastern European Economics 35(1)31-51.

Slaveski, T. and Nedanovski, P. (2002). Foreign direct investment in the Balkans. Eastern European Economics 40(4), 83-99.

Sohinger, J. and Harrison, G. W. (forthcoming). Foreign direct investment and transition: challenges for the Croatian economy. *Eastern European Economics*

Šuklev, B. (1996). Privatization in the Republic of Macedonia. *Eastern European Economics* 34(6), 5-17.

Transition Report, various issues, EBRD.

Transparency International, http://www.transparency.org/

Voicu, J. (forthcoming). Are foreign subsidiaries technologically superior to local firms? Evidence from Romania. *Eastern European Economics*.

Willard, K., Guinnane, T., and Rosen, H. (1996). Turning points in the Civil War: views from the Greenback market. American Economic Review 86, 1001-1018.

Table 1: Parameter Estimates for Equation 1

	<i>L</i> GDPCURR	<i>L</i> LGDPPC	LINF	<i>L</i> SECOND	<i>L</i> PHONE	<i>L</i> TRADE
Coeff.	1.47	-2.29	-0.28	-0.20	1.50	1.92
(t-stat)	(19.66)*	(-9.64)*	(-4.32)*	(-0.49)	(4.30)*	(8.82)*
$\overline{R}^2 =$	0.75					
			Prob(F-stat.)) = 0.000		

(Dependent variable : Log FDI)

* = significant at 1% level

Table 2: Predicted and Actual FDI Inflows in Transition Economies (billion US \$)										
	FDI	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Czech Rep.	Predicted by Eq. 1	n.a.	4.17	7.16	9.41	12.56	16.16	25.42	24.56	25.45
	Actual	0.65	0.87	2.56	1.43	1.30	3.72	6.32	4.99	4.92
	Actual/Predicted (R)	n.a.	0.209	0.356	0.152	0.104	0.230	0.249	0.203	0.193
Estonia	Predicted by Eq. 1	0.40	0.68	0.92	0.77	1.20	1.52	1.81	2.15	1.71
	Actual	0.16	0.21	0.20	0.15	0.27	0.58	0.31	0.39	0.54
	Actual/Predicted (R)	0.400	0.309	0.217	0.195	0.225	0.382	0.171	0.181	0.316
		0.07			2.7		0.40	10.01	10.07	10.17
Hungary	Predicted by Eq. 1	0.85	1.32	2.32	3.5	5.75	8.48	10.96	13.25	13.67
	Actual	2.34	1.15	4.45	2.28	2.17	2.04	1.94	1.64	2.41
	Actual/Predicted (R)	2.753	0.871	1.918	0.651	0.377	0.241	0.177	0.124	0.176
Latvia	Predicted by Eq. 1	1.93	1.25	1.47	2.22	2.54	3.3	3.09	2.95	2.77
	Actual	0.04	0.21	0.18	0.38	0.52	0.36	0.35	0.41	0.20
	Actual/Predicted (R)	0.021	0.168	0.122	0.171	0.205	0.109	0.113	0.139	0.072
Lithuania	Predicted by Eq. 1	2.4	2.27	3.08	4.43	7.49	7.78	10.65	11.69	11.95
	Actual	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.15	0.35	0.93	0.49	0.38	0.45
	Actual/Predicted (R)	0.013	0.013	0.023	0.034	0.047	0.120	0.046	0.033	0.038
Poland	Predicted by Eq. 1	2.85	4.08	5.78	9.25	15.45	25.29	28.69	33.89	44.2
	Actual	1.72	1.88	3.66	4.5	4.91	6.36	7.27	9.34	8.83
	Actual/Predicted (R)	0.604	0.461	0.633	0.486	0.318	0.251	0.253	0.275	0.200
Slovak Rep.	Predicted by Eq. 1	n.a.	1.97	3.03	4.33	5.08	6.25	5.04	6.13	6.90
	Actual	0.17	0.25	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.68	0.39	2.08	1.48
	Actual/Predicted (R)	n.a.	0.127	0.066	0.058	0.043	0.109	0.077	0.339	0.214

Table 3. Parameter Estimates for Equation 6

	С	LLARGE	LSMALL	LPRICE	LCORR	LPRIV	LFOREX	LBANKIN	ADJ
Coeff.	8.93	0.83	-6.13	-7.48	1.04	-3.56	9.47	4.41	0.54
t-stat	2.12***	1.13	-3.51*	-2.72*	2.38**	-3.07*	4.68*	4.58*	2.57**
Ī	$\bar{k}^2 = 0.63$					F-stat. =	= 11.33		
			Prob (F-stat) = 0.000						

(Dependent Variable: Log R)

*= significant at 1% **= significant at 2.5% ***= significant at 5%

Table 4: Predicted and Actual FDI Inflows in Balkan Transition Economies (billion US \$)										
	FDI	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Albania	Predicted by Eq. 1	0.014	0.0128	0.016	0.03	0.023	0.038	0.289	0.566	0.277
	Actual FDI	0.068	0.053	0.070	0.090	0.048	0.045	0.041	0.143	0.181
	Predicted FDI	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.014	0.010
	R Predicted by Eq. 6	0.031	0.093	0.015	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.025	0.035
Bosnia	Predicted by Eq. 1					0.489	0.793	2.351	0.827	1.011
	Actual FDI					0.001	0.055	0.149	0.131	0.164
	Predicted FDI					0.114	0.623	1.883	0.277	0.091
	R Predicted by Eq. 6					0.234	0.786	0.801	0.335	0.090
Bulgaria	Predicted by Eq. 1					6.075	16.502	29.221	26.174	29.434
	Actual FDI					0.505	0.537	0.819	1.000	0.689
	Predicted FDI					3.542	7.228	12.419	6.988	8.801
	R Predicted by Eq. 6					0.583	0.438	0.425	0.267	0.299
Croatia	Predicted by Eq. 1	0.348	0.958	2.848	2.972	3.343	2.666	3.063	2.866	2.936
	Actual FDI	0.120	0.117	0.121	0.516	0.551	1.010	1.640	1.130	1.440
	Predicted FDI	0.003	0.251	0.652	0.196	0.157	0.125	0.168	0.662	0.716
	R Predicted by Eq. 6	0.008	0.262	0.229	0.066	0.047	0.047	0.055	0.231	0.244
FYROM	Predicted by Eq. 1		0.159	0.354	0.469	0.876	1.253	3.045	1.542	4.167
	Actual FDI		0.024	0.010	0.012	0.016	0.118	0.032	0.178	0.530
	Predicted FDI		0.024	0.194	0.163	0.305	0.311	0.755	0.382	0.758
	R Predicted by Eq. 6		0.148	0.549	0.348	0.348	0.248	0.248	0.248	0.182
Romania	Predicted by Eq. 1	2.068	2.746	6.153	7.052	6.670	7.238	11.469	17.421	20.278
	Actual FDI	0.094	0.341	0.419	0.263	1.220	2.030	1.040	1.031	1.143
	Predicted FDI	0.039	4.569	15.063	0.367	1.381	0.709	1.124	1.638	0.730
	R Predicted by Eq. 6	0.019	1.664	2.448	0.052	0.207	0.098	0.098	0.094	0.036
Slovenia	Predicted by Eq. 1	0.239	0.345	0.536	0.605	0.611	0.636	0.601	0.546	0.575
	Actual FDI	0.113	0.128	0.177	0.194	0.352	0.248	0.181	0.176	0.442
	Predicted FDI	0.270	0.256	0.351	0.359	0.142	0.148	0.213	0.121	0.120
	R Predicted by Eq. 6	1.130	0.743	0.655	0.594	0.233	0.233	0.355	0.221	0.208



Figure 1. FDI Inflows in Selected Transition Economies

Figure 2. FDI Inflows for Balkan Transition Economies



Sources for Figs. 1-6: United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, *Economic Survey of Europe, 2003.* United Nations: Geneva, 2003 and United Nations Development Program, *Human Development Report, 2003.* New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.



Figure 3. Transition Economies' Total 1991-2001 FDI to \$1000 GDP (in US\$)



Figure 4. Total 1991-2001 FDI per Head (US\$) in Central Europe



Figure 5. Total 1991-2001 FDI to \$1000 GDP In Selected Balkan Countries

Figure 6. Total FDI per Person (US\$) In Selected Balkan Countries



2008		
B01-08 2007	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
2006	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2000 B03-06	Financial Frictions Capital Reallocation and Aggregate Fluc-	lürgen von Hagen Haining Zhang
000 00	tuations	Surgen von Hugen, Hulping Zhung
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	Martin Seidel
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni- fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05 2004	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun- tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	Martin Seidel
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich-	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
B07 04	ses Firm Porformance and Privatization in Ukraine	Caluna Churananka Stafan Lutz
B27-04 B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni-	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
R25 04	on with the EU Exchange Pate Pick and Convergence to the Euro	Lucian T. Orlowski
B25-04 B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler. Guntram B.
	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas- sungsvertrag	Martin Seidel

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in	Iulia Traistaru
_	an Enlarged EMU	
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
	Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	
B10-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pedussel
	traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	VVU Christian Value Martineus
D13-04	plain Local Datterns?	Christian Voipe Martineus
B14-04	Furn Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	liri lonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of	Sami Yläoutinen
010 01	Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Coun-	
	tries	
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte-	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
	gration	
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi-	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru,
	ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition	Laura Resmini
	Countries	
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
D a a a	Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	—
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Conver-	Taner M. Yıgıt, Alı M. Kutan
	gence	Mine Delienseure Lute Stafen II
B07-04	Human Woll being in Africa	Ivina Ballamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz Stefan H
D00 04	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	l utz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucian T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for	Orla Dovle, Jan Fidrmuc
	EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera-	Ulrich Bindseil
	tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok
_	for EU Enlargement	Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Bei-	Martin Seidel
	trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Storungen	
2002	durch die neuen witgliedstaaten	
2003 R20_03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	lürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign	losef C Brada Ali M Kutan Ta-
020 00	Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version	gen
	of International Finance)	
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna lara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat-	Iulia Iraistaru, Christian Volpe
	terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Martincus Martin Cailal
D22-U3 R01 02	Reformized and the Chargest of the Chargest for	wartin Seidel William Pyla
021-03	Inter-Firm Communication	vviilidili ryle
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence	Ronald I Moomaw Mohammed
520 05	from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Pri-	Ronald L. Moomaw. Mohammed
	macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
	Export of Ukrainian Firms	ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and	lulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
	Policy Challenges	
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
	to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
	a Puzzle?	
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B04-03 B03-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul,
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B01-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi- tion Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Ac- cession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Scha- ber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Havo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-01	I NAT OF THE EUROPAEN CENTRAL BANK An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
520 01	Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
_	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
D1C 01	in Latin American and Carribean Countries	
B10-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and	Selanattin Diboogiu, Ali Wi. Kutan
	Hungary: implications for Full Membership in the European	
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OFCD	Christian F. Weller
B13-01	Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R Strauch lürgen von Hagen
01101	a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	non n. ettaden, surgen von nagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wa-	Lilia Cavallar
	ge Setters	
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Alı M. Kutan, Nıına Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
R00.01	Empirical Evidence	Ion Fidrmuc
D09-01	Wheels of Growth?	Jan Flumnuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	lürgen von Hagen Susanne
200 01		Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
	Countries and the European Union	
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
	Markets	tan
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
D04.01	The Future of Banking.	schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Kafael di Tella, Kobert J. Mac-
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from	Rafael di Tella Robert I Mac-
200 01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir-	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Ha-
	ty	gen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
	licy	
0000		
2000 R20.00	Broakin up a Nation From the Inside	Etionno Eonroque
B20-00 B10_00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process ge-	Luenne Farvaque Jens Hölscher
D19-00	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart. Rov Gardner.
		Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung	Martin Seidel
	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	
	meinschaftsrechts	
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso
		Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jurgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
R1/ 00	ration Sources of Real Exchange Rate Eluctuations in Transition Fee	Salahattin Diboogly Ali M Kutan
D14-00	SUBJES OF NEAL EXCHANGE NALE FINCTUATIONS IN TRANSITION ECO-	JEIAHALLIH DIDUUYIU, AH IVI, NULAH
	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	
B13-00	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli- chen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition	Jan Fidrmuc
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99 B10.00	Subnational Government Ballouts in Germany	Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B19-99 B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor-
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von
_	Wrong in Central European Banking?	Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Sup- ply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99 B08-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Volker Wieland Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B10-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi- nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Stu- dy of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB	Robert L. Hetzel
B08-98	Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla- den)	Bernd Hayo
B07-98	Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Ver- sion zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
1997		
B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins- urance or Federalism?	Kenneth Kletzer
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 D-53113 Bonn Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 Fax: +49-228-73-1809 www.zei.de