

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Farvaque, Etienne; Lagadec, Gaël

#### **Working Paper**

Divided boards: Partisanship through delegated monetary policy

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 01-2001

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Farvaque, Etienne; Lagadec, Gaël (2001): Divided boards: Partisanship through delegated monetary policy, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 01-2001, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39646

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



#### Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

ZE

Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec

Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Policy

B 01 2001

# Divided Boards: Partisanship through Delegated Monetary Policy<sup>\*</sup>

Etienne Farvaque<sup>y</sup> Gaël Lagadec<sup>z</sup>
September 10, 2000

#### **Abstract**

While monetary policy decisions are mainly taken by Committees (as is the case for the ECB, or for the Federal Reserve), the literature largely stands on the ...ction of a single central banker, be it (or not) a conservative one. The purpose here is to consider explicitly the plural dimension of monetary policy Boards, and to investigate the consequences of such a decision structure for monetary policy rules.

Keywords: Partisan Theory, Policy Boards, Monetary Union

JEL Classi...cation: E50, E58

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>n</sup>Both authors would like to thank Claude Leostic for research assistance, Sébastien Lotz for helpful remarks and participants of the SIUTE seminar, Journées Internationales d'Economie Monétaire et Bancaire, Journée d'études Université de Lille - Banque de France and the MMF Conference 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>contact author: Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Centre Universitaire Saint Louis, 62327 Boulogne sur Mer Cedex - France; Fax: 03.21.99.41.50.; E-mail: farvaque@univ-littoral.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>Université de Nouvelle-Calédonie

#### 1. Introduction

Since the ...rst day of the European Central Bank's life, and even before, observers and commentators have been worried of political pressures on the Bank, but also of opinion divergences inside the Board. The ...rst kind of coercion on monetary policy has been prevented by the adoption of the so-called Stability Pact, even if everybody knows it does not prevent bashing (a term coined by Waller, 1991) exercised by political leaders on the Bank. That should be no surprise, as this kind of 'chicken' game between monetary and ...scal policymakers belongs to untold rules of the political scene. Yet, at least on pure theoretical ground, its implications and potential dangers are now well known (see, for example, Persson and Tabellini, 1995).

Hence for political pressures, but what about the divergences of insiders' opinion? While monetary policy decisions are mainly taken by Committees (as is the case for the ECB, or for the Federal Reserve), it appears that the literature has hardly looked upon the consequences of such a decisional structure. It is rather mainly based on the opposite assumption, of monetary policy being decided and implemented by a single policymaker, be it (or not) a conservative one. However, it is now well documented that "central banks are no uni...ed actors", as Von Hagen (1999, p.682) puts it. This author, for example, even identi...es three opposite groups inside the Bundesbank Board, each group having di¤erent objectives for monetary policy. Our objective here is thus to integrate explicitly the plural dimension of monetary policy Boards, and to investigate the consequences of such a decision structure for monetary policy rules.

Our framework is a simple one-period model with time consistency problems. We simply depart from the literature by considering delegated monetary policy to a Board where two types of agents confront. We consider the source of divergence to lie in diæerent views (due to ideological in‡uence or simply lack of knowledge) about the functioning of the economy. In the model, this comes from diæerent judgments about the slope of the Phillips curve (the relative e¢ciency of monetary policy on real variables). We show that, in this context, considering opinion divergences leads to several conclusions. First, we exhibit the possibility of a political business cycle, one that has rarely been highlighted, induced by the appointment of new central bankers at the Board. Second, we show that traditional solutions to the in‡ation bias (i.e. performance contracts, in‡ation targets and conservative central bankers) depend on the uncertainty raising from ideological divergences. As a consequence, implementing these solutions should be considered cautiously.

However, we also show, as a third result, that societies will, on average, be better ox when monetary policy is delegated to a Board than when it is left to elected leaders.

The ...rst section thus develops the model, and considers monetary policy decisions in the absence of delegation. In the second section, we expose how explicit consideration of Board's opinions heterogeneity can question traditional solutions to the time consistency problem, in part because of the bank's appointment induced business cycle. The conclusion follows with retections on further research.

#### Monetary policy by elected leaders

We start from a standard one-period model of monetary policy with time consistency and stabilization problems, as Barro and Gordon (1983) and Rogo¤ (1985) ...rst introduced. The model is extended to include electoral uncertainty, as in Alesina (1987, 1988) : two political parties compete and, once elected, the (leader of the) winning party selects the monetary policy according to its own preferences. The preferences of each party are given by a loss function assigning a penalty to deviations of in‡ation from an optimal level, ¼°, and to deviations of output from a given target y°:

$$L^{D} = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (\%_{i} \%^{n})^{2} + \pm (y_{i} y^{n})^{2}^{i}$$
 (1a)

$$L^{R} = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (\%_{i} \%^{n})^{2} + \%_{i} (y_{i} y^{n})^{2}^{i}$$
 (1b)

Thus, we assume political parties to share the same objectives, but to disagree on their relative weight. We will assume here  $\frac{1}{2}$  <  $\pm$ , hence party D is relatively more concerned by output stabilization.

Where we depart from the literature is when we consider parties to have divergent preferences because of insu¢cient (or ideologically oriented) economic knowledge: each party believes the economy to conform to its own views, i.e. each party gives output stabilization a weight conform to its vision of the economic process. We assume the weight given to output stabilization is related (for simplicity, we suppose here it is strictly equal) to the slope of the expectations-augmented Phillips curve:

$$y^{D} = \pm (\% i \%^{e}) + "$$
 (2a)

$$y^{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{4}i^{e}) +$$
 (2b)

Output is (traditionally) assumed to be a function of surprise in‡ation<sup>1</sup>, plus a random error shock, ", normally distributed with mean zero and variance equal to ¾². It is the parties' desire to stabilize output at a level greater than y" that creates the time consistency problem, with political competition just adding uncertainty. But here, uncertainty does not only emerge from the political process, but because the political process is driven by ideological perceptions of how the economy works: the bigger each party will perceive the slope of the Phillips curve, the higher it will be incited to stabilize output.

Above hypothesis has - to our knowledge - never been endorsed, either by the time consistency literature or by the political business cycles one. We think that omission drives the literature further from realism, given the existing, still controversial, economic knowledge and given the importance economic variables have in political parties' platforms, without even mentioning the question of how economic disturbances in‡uence votes. To have neglected that ideological bias, the literature may have proposed solutions to time inconsistency problems that may become critically ‡awed, as should become clear below.

Within this context, during a period, the sequence of events is the following : ...rst, wage-setters lock in wage contracts; then elections occur. Once elected, the winning party observes shocks hitting the economy and sets up monetary policy (we assume, as standard, the policymaker to control  $\frac{1}{4}$ ). When engaging in their contracts, wage-setters must make (rational) prior beliefs about elections results. We assume electoral probabilities to be exogenous, with party R elected with probability (1  $_{i}$  q) and party D with probability q. Hence, everything happens as if the economy could be described by the following process :

$$y = qy^{D} + (1_{i} q) y^{R} = {}^{1}( \%_{i} \%^{e}) + "$$
 (3)

where  $^1$  ´  $q\pm + (1; q) \%$ . As shocks cannot be expected by wage-setters, policymakers have a rationale for output stabilization. Of course, in this context, no commitment technology is exploitable, and the discretionary solution applies. Routine optimization under rational expectations (minimizing (1a) and (1b) under (3) and REH) gives the monetary policy chosen by each party, if elected :

$$\mathcal{A}^{D} = \mathcal{A}^{\pi} + y^{\pi} \frac{\pm^{1} (1 + {}^{13})}{1 + \pm^{12}} i \frac{\pm^{1}}{1 + \pm^{12}}$$
(4a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The equilibrium or natural level of output,  $\nabla$ , has been normalized at zero.

$${^{1/4}}^{R} = {^{1/4}}^{R} + y^{R} \frac{{^{1/4}}^{1} (1 + {^{1/3}})}{1 + {^{1/4}}^{1/2}} i \frac{{^{1/4}}^{1}}{1 + {^{1/4}}^{1/2}}$$
(4b)

The solutions come in the traditional form, composed of an in‡ationary bias and a stabilization policy term. As in Alesina (1987, 1988), the solution is a¤ected by both partisanship and political uncertainty. It is now well-known that increased polarization and (thus) political uncertainty increase both in‡ation and output ‡uctuations (see Alesina and Gatti, 1995), a result that also emerges from our slightly modi...ed model. Several solutions have emerged in the literature to ...ght this uncertainty and reduce both the average in‡ation bias and the politically-induced variance of in‡ation and output: these range from Rogo¤'s (1985) conservative central banker to Walsh's (1995) optimal contract and Svensson's (1997) in‡ation targets. These solutions have been shown to reduce the theoretical time consistency problem and have sometimes been empirically implemented. Yet, to date, they are still hotly debated issues, on purely theoretical grounds as well as relatively to their empirical relevance and implications (see, between others, Waller, 1995, on optimal contracts, Forder, 1998, 1999, on independence, and Mishkin, 1999, on targets).

In our view, the debate is at least partly due to the omission of two empirically important facts of monetary policy decisions: ...rst, they are taken while economists have no consensual views on how and how much money in‡uences the economy (as is clearly perceptible in Walsh, 1998). Second and, we believe, even more consequential, the proposed solutions all lie on the simplifying assumption of a single policymaker deciding on monetary policy. But, concretely, monetary decisions are taken inside Boards, that is to say are taken after being debated in deliberating assemblies. And, as the news and minutes accounts of monetary decisions show, those are sometimes hotly debated ones. Thus, a crude fact is that there is no single-minded central banker, but monetary policy committees. The next section, by endorsing the opposite assumption, shows how painful the ...ction of a single peopled / minded policy Board can be.

### 3. Delegation to a policy Board

We ...rst consider how opinions heterogeneity inside the Board can in‡uence monetary policy, even opening the door to a certain kind of political business cycle. We then derive the implications for traditional solutions to the time consistency

problem. The section ends in showing why societies may nevertheless pro...t from delegating policy to a Board.

#### 3.1. Partisanship inside the Board

We will now suppose monetary policy to be delegated to an independent central bank, whose preferences are given by the following loss function:

$$F = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (\%_{i} \%^{n})^{2} + \mu (y_{i} y^{n})^{2}^{i}$$
 (5)

which, at least apparently, stands on the traditional assumption of a single policymaker. However, as we believe the reality of policymaking Boards to be a relatively con‡icting and partly heterogeneous one, we will consider  $\mathsf{F}$  to be the aggregate function of an institution peopled by (to keep things simple) two kinds of deciders, in proportion  $\mathsf{p}$  and  $(1_{\mathsf{i}} \; \mathsf{p})$ :

$$F = pL^{k} + (1 i p) L^{c}$$
 (5')

Central bankers are thus of two kinds, sharing the same objectives but with relative preferences given by :

$$L^{k} = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (\%_{i} \%^{n})^{2} + (y_{i} y^{n})^{2}^{i}$$
 (6a)

$$L^{c} = \frac{1}{2} {\stackrel{\mathbf{h}}{(1/4)}} {\stackrel{\mathbf{h}}{(1/4)}}^{2} + {\stackrel{\circ}{(y_{i} y^{i})}}^{2}^{i}$$
 (6b)

where we assume :  $\cdot$  > °, i.e. one kind of policymaker weights more output stabilization than the other one. Hence the central bank global loss function is the weighted aggregation of (6a) and (6b). We will suppose for the moment relative proportions of each kind of deciders to be exogenously given, with p being the part of deciders of the k type. In (5), we thus have :  $\mu$  ´ p· + (1  $_i$  p) ° = p ( $\cdot$   $_i$  °)+°. As above, we assume policymakers to disagree about the functioning of the economy, each kind of central banker having in mind a di¤erent value for the slope of the Phillips curve when asked about monetary policy decisions :

$$y^{k} = \cdot (\%_{i} \%^{e}) + " \tag{7a}$$

$$y^c = {}^{\circ} (\%_i \%^e) + "$$
 (7b)

and thus:

$$y - py^k + (1; p)y^c = \mu(\%; \%^e) + "$$
 (7c)

Equations (7a) and (7b) are related to each kind of central banker, while the last one is the aggregate function applying when collegial decisions are taken.

Everything happens thus as if the preceding competing parties had adopted an independent central bank to avoid politically induced business cycle. However, the agreement included provisions giving each party a given number of seats inside the newly designed policy Board. The committee thus re‡ects, at least partly, the society's ideological divisions. The politically induced ‡uctuations have then been clustered inside the Board, while they precedingly were frontpage news.

Another way, which we believe an even more pertinent one, to think about the situation we describe here is the following: suppose letters R and D no longer signal political orientation, but countries. Then, the preceding section would have described a political and monetary union between two historically separated countries (or states or regions), while the present section simply describes an economic and monetary union, with monetary policy decisions taken inside a Board to which each country delegates its central banker (a kind of institution the European Central Bank would be a prominent example of).

While they clearly sound like realistic descriptions, both interpretations are hardly met in the literature, which generally stands on the ...ction of an omnipotent (and sometimes omniscient) central banker deciding alone on in‡ation and stabilization decisions<sup>2</sup>. When ruling out this assumption, a better description of the policy game in federations or in economic and monetary unions (EMUs) is given by equations (5) to (7c). In this simple framework, discretion still emerges as the equilibrium solution. Minimization of (5) under (7c) and rational expectations delivers:

$$^{\mu^{F}} = ^{\mu^{\pi}} + y^{\pi} \mu^{2} i \frac{\mu^{2}}{1 + \mu^{3}}$$
 (8)

Inspection of the solution shows that delegating monetary policy to a Committee nesting people with dixerent (possibly divergent) preferences does not rid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>An insight about both types of divergences we consider can be found, respectively, in Moser (1999) and in Alesina and Grilli (1992). But these authors do not derive their policy implications. Von Hagen and Süppel (1994) and Von Hagen (1995) explored possible constitutions for monetary unions, but keep the ...ction of a single-minded central banking Commitee as a reference. However, see Waller (1996) who analyzes, though in a di¤erent way, policy Boards' heterogeneity.

intation of partisanship, embedded here in  $\mu$ . As long as there can be several interpretations of the economy and / or political polarization, intation will suxer from a bias, should it be due to a lack of knowledge or coming from ideologically-oriented delegation. As we will see now, this bias may even be the source of a new kind of political business cycle, eroding further the base on which traditional solutions lie.

## 3.2. Good times, bad times: insights on an intation-induced political cycle

Remember partisanship being embedded in  $\mu$  ´ p· + (1  $_{i}$  p) °, with p the relative proportion of policymakers caring more about output (one can think, in a quite caricatural way, that they are of a 'keynesian' type, while others are of the 'neoclassical' one). As they are appointed by elected leaders, there may be swings in relative proportions when a member of the Board ends her mandate (or resigns, or dies). With divergent preferences, these appointments may give rise to a new kind of political business cycle, the paradox being that it is truly induced by the delegation process itself. This comes in contradiction with the common wisdom, inspired by Rogo¤'s (1985) in‡uential paper, that delegation may increase welfare by reducing uncertainty surrounding in‡ation and output stabilization.

As an illustration of that point, think of an episode of output contraction, leading to a burst of in‡ation, as the central bank tries to stabilize output. If some members of the Committee have to be renewed³, the renewal may be in‡uenced by recent experience, and the relative proportion of 'keynesians' versus 'neoclassics' will probably be timæ-varying. In that case, of course, relative proportions become endogenous,  $p = p \ ^{1/4}; y$ , with the following intuitive comparative static properties:  $\frac{@p}{@\sqrt{k}} < 0$  and  $\frac{@p}{@y} < 0$ : following a burst of in‡ation, preference in renewals should be denied to 'keynesians' (should it be due to a preference reversal inside the existing Board or to the appointment of a new, less 'liberal', member) and, when output grows far out of the target, fear of in‡ation will probably have the same impact. Hence, any in‡ation / output variation will have some consequences on the Board composition, and thus on in‡ation and output results. As long as there is some uncertainty about the economy, any event can lead to preferences

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It does not matter here whether the appointment process may or may not concern the same people. All that is necessary for the reasoning is the only possibility of preferences divergences in the whole society. In the extreme case, as long as at least two people's views about the economy diverge, our reasoning applies.

reversals: a government can change his view of the economy, thus selecting unlikely people to appoint. As the Board here has no more knowledge than the political parties, we cannot preclude preferences reversal inside the Board either.

Moreover, and inversely, any variation in p will have an impact on the in‡ation performance (from (8), we clearly have  $\frac{@M^F}{@p} > 0$ : the more numerous 'keynesians' are, the higher the average in‡ation). Delegating is thus not enough to forbid uncertainty in monetary policy. As long as policy will be designed by a Committee (i.e. by more than one person), reappointments will occur, opening the door to partisan in‡uence in monetary policy.<sup>4</sup>

One may ask how realistic this scenario is. A simple exercise will help here to make our case more concrete: think of the European Central Bank, with policy decisions taken by a policy Council composed of the Directoire (6 members) and the governor of the central bank of each member of the Euro-zone, 11 people as of 1999. These governors have commonly long, non-renewable, staggering appointments of about eight years on average. Average turnover should then be higher than one a year. Our simple one-period model is thus able to catch majority reversals that could occur at the ECB with, following in‡ationary (resp. de‡ationary) episodes, the appointment of a neoclassical (resp. keynesian) -minded central banker.

We should add that giving life mandate would not stabilize the process, as long as some members of the monetary policy Committee could change their minds: after an episode of in‡ation revival, for some time at least, some "doves" may turn into "hawks", leading to majority (and thus to policy) reversal. The higher the uncertainty about the way the economy functions, the less observers should ignore the probability of ideological conversions.<sup>5</sup>

To sum up, we think that one can not simply preclude political business cycles arguing of central bank independence when Committee members are heterogeneous and / or politically appointed. That conclusion looks even worse when turning to other solutions that have been recently proposed to remove the in‡ationary bias of monetary policy, as these solutions also lie on the assumption of a single central banker.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This kind of partisan in‡uence may prove less e⊄cient than pure "bashing" (Waller, 1991), while e⊄ciency in our case will depend on the quorum size of the Board. Remember also that partisanship may come from Congressional in‡uence (see Grier, 1991). Of course, all hypotheses are not mutually exclusive.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Von Hagen (1999, pp.692-694) documents such a conversion occurring at the Bundesbank.

#### 3.3. On targets and contracts

Among the proposed solutions to the in‡ationary bias of discretionary monetary policy, independence, optimal contracts and in‡ation targets are prominent. However, these solutions make reference to the delegation of monetary policy to a selected central banker taking decisions, alone, about monetary policy. The assumption may not be irrelevant in certain circumstances (when policy decisions are, concretely, taken by one person or in crisis times, when there may be a kind of "rally round the ‡ag", with members of the monetary Committee ranging behind the Governor's view). However, in general, we believe the assumption to be harmful, as it delivers solutions that may not be applicable. The "applicability" criticism has already emerged in the literature (and notably for contracts, see McCallum, 1995), but not on the same grounds.

What we will show here is that the targets and contracts solutions, far from reducing uncertainty and distortions emerging from discretionary policy, are subject to partisanship uncertainty.

So, Walsh (1995) showed that, by inticting to its central bank a penalty indexed on intation, a society could obtain from it the "right" intation rate, the optimal one. The contract was then correcting the absence of a pre-commitment technology, in an ex-post way.

Is the contracting solution relevant when monetary policy decisions are made by a Board? In this case, then, the loss function would write:

$$F^{C} = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (\%_{i} \%^{n})^{2} + \mu (y_{i} y^{n})^{2}^{i} + \%\%$$
 (9)

where ® is the penalty coe¢cient. Solving this problem in the same way as above, one obtains the in‡ation rule when a contract is in place :

$$^{\text{MFC}} = ^{\text{M}^{\text{m}}} + y^{\text{m}} \mu^{2} i \frac{\mu^{2}}{1 + \mu^{3}} "i$$

Hence, the optimal contract writes:

$$^{\circ}$$
 =  $^{\circ}$  ( $\mu$ ) =  $y^{\pi}\mu^{2}$  (10)

The optimal contract can thus be de...ned, but it depends on the Board's divergences. Moreover, due to the renewal process, the contract is now state-contingent, and can no longer be used as a pre-commitment technology. This reinforces Mc-Callum's (1995) criticism stating that the negotiation of the contract between the

bank and the government was just a re-location of the time-consistency problem, as the government always kept an incentive to disavow its (or its predecessor's) signature. When considering policy Committees with appointment process standing, without even renegotiating the contract, a government can modify its clauses, emptying it from any tenor, simply by using the (re)appointment procedure. The process is rather more subtle here, but no less harmful.

Intation targets are subject to the same criticism, of course. In that case, the bank is given an objective, 16, de...ned by the government. Her loss function thus writes:

 $F^{T} = \frac{1}{2}^{h} (\%_{i} \ \%_{i})^{2} + \mu (y_{i} \ y^{x})^{2}^{i}$  (11)

Optimization delivers the discretionary solution under a target as :

$$V_4^{FT} = V_4 + y^{\pi} \mu^2 i \frac{\mu^2}{1 + \mu^3}$$
"

To approach the optimal (committed) solution, the government should then de...ne the target as :

$$\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} (\mu) = \mathbf{1}^{\alpha} \mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{y}^{\alpha} \mu^{2}$$
 (12)

The problem still lies in the target depending on the Board's composition (and renewal process). The preceding remarks apply here as well. Targets are contingent to the Committee composition and, as a consequence, may not prove as e¢cient as claimed as a commitment technology for monetary policy.<sup>6</sup>

The preceding results raise a doubt on the e¢ciency and relevancy of proposed solutions to the in‡ation bias, but they also raise a question, lying this time on the positive side: Why does a society delegate monetary policy to a Committee if its members are politically in‡uenced and may even give birth to politically induced business cycles, hence reducing social welfare?

#### 3.4. Why do Boards exist

To understand why Boards may be a rational social choice, let us suppose that the Board reţects political polarization exactly: everything happens here as if political parties of the ...rst section are appointing partisans to the Board, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Mishkin (1999, p.591) notes that one main advantage of the targeting strategy may come from focusing the political debate on in‡ation outcomes. However, our argument still stands, as this does not prevent debate inside the Board, even if it may limit the Bank's margin of maneuver.

proportions equal to their political weight. In that case, we would have : R ´ c, D ´ k, q ´ p,  $(1_i \ q)$  ´  $(1_i \ p)$ , ½ ´ °, ± ´ · , and ¹ ´  $\mu$ . Substituting in (4a) and (4b), we have :

$$1/4^{D^{k}} = 1/4^{n} + y^{n} \frac{y^{n} + \mu^{3}}{1 + y^{2}} i \frac{y^{n}}{1 + y^{2}} i \frac{y^{n}}{1 + y^{2}}$$
(13a)

$$\mathcal{A}^{R^{c}} = \mathcal{A}^{\pi} + y^{\pi} \frac{{}^{\circ}\mu \frac{1 + \mu^{3}}{1 + {}^{\circ}\mu^{2}} i \frac{{}^{\circ}\mu}{1 + {}^{\circ}\mu^{2}}$$
(13b)

while delegation to a Committee still delivers  ${\bf 14^F}$ , which we rewrite for convenience :

$$4^{F} = 4^{\pi} + y^{\pi} \mu^{2} i \frac{\mu^{2}}{1 + \mu^{3}}$$
 (8)

To know if society is better ox with delegation than without, we have to verify that intation performance is better under delegation. Term by term comparison simply shows that we have :

$$4^{R^c} < 4^F < 4^{D^c}$$
 (14)

Hence, delivering monetary policy into the members of a Board's hands does not systematically make society better ox, as intation may sometimes be higher than with political leaders deciding, delivering higher losses. However, even when the Board retects society's political degree of polarization and uncertainty perfectly, delegating monetary policy to a Committee delivers, on average, a better intation performance. Everything happens as if policy debates were choked ox in the central bank's conditioned rooms, where policy decisions are taken, instead of being debated in the heated air of the political arena.

In brief, while monetary theory should consider more exactly how policy decisions are taken (i.e. by somewhat ideologically in uenced people), it seems that societies found in Committee delegation a way to keep policy debates alive but hardly kicking.

#### 4. Conclusion

We have used a simple framework to show how considering that policy Boards are composed of heterogeous people may be important for monetary economics.

The assumption of a single central banker should be considered as a ...ction, a sometimes useful one, but also a sometimes misleading one. We believe the latter option to be true when considering solutions to time consistency problems: all of them (the conservative central banker as well as performance contracts or in‡ation targets) are based on the ...ction, an assumption that plagues their supposed welfare enhancing properties and / or implementability. More work thus needs to be done to consider how exective they may be when people with potentially divergent preferences seat around a Board's table.<sup>7</sup>

We identi...ed a (barely mentioned) source of business cycle emerging from the appointment process or from ideological conversions of Board members. This kind of politically induced cycle is probably one of the main impediments for solutions to time consistency problems to be enforced.

On the positive side, including potential divergent preferences may also shed some light into another research area. As Clarida et al. (1999) or Taylor (1999) emphasize, interest rate smoothing is still a puzzle for monetary economists. All things equal, considering insiders divergences may help explain slow movements in interest rates. That hypothesis, at least, should deserve further exploration.

#### References

- [1] Alesina A., 1987, "Macroeconomic policy in a two-party system as a repeated game", Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol.102, n<sup>±</sup>3, August, 651-678
- [2] Alesina A., 1988, "Credibility and Policy Convergence in a Two-Party System with Rational Voters", American Economic Review, vol.78, n<sup>±</sup>4, September, 796-805
- [3] Alesina A., Gatti R., 1995, "Independent central banks: low in‡ation at no costs?", American Economic Review, vol.85, n±2, May, 196-200
- [4] Alesina A., Grilli V., 1992, "The European Central Bank: reshaping monetary politics in Europe", in M. Canzoneri, V. Grilli, P. Masson editors, Establishing a Central Bank: issues in Europe and lessons from the US, Cambridge University Press, 49-85

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Our conclusion about the relative desirability of targets and contracts joins Muscatelli's (1998), while achieved with a di¤erent set of hypotheses.

- [5] Barro R., Gordon D., 1983, "Rules, discretion and reputation in a model of monetary policy", Journal of Monetary Economics, vol.12, n±1, July, 101-121
- [6] Clarida R., Gali J., Gertler M., 1999, "The Science of Monetary Policy: a New Keynesian Perspective", Journal of Economic Literature, (forthcoming)
- [7] Forder J., 1998, "Central bank independence conceptual clari...cations and interim assessment", Oxford Economic Papers, vol.50, n<sup>±</sup>3, July, 307-334
- [8] Grier K., 1991, "Congressional In‡uence on U.S. Monetary Policy", Journal of Monetary Economics, vol.28, n<sup>±</sup>2, October, 201-220
- [9] McCallum B., 1995, "Two fallacies concerning central-bank independence", American Economic Review, vol.85, n<sup>±</sup>2, May, 207-211
- [10] Moser P., 1999, "Checks and balances, and the supply of central bank independence", European Economic Review, vol.43, n<sup>±</sup>8, August, 1569-1593
- [11] Muscatelli A., 1998, "Optimal in‡ation contracts and in‡ation targets with uncertain central bank preferences: accountability through independence?", Economic Journal, vol.108, n\*447, March, 529-542
- [12] Persson T., Tabellini G., 1995, "Double-Edged Incentives: Institutions and Policy Coordination", in G. Grossman et K. Rogo¤, editors, Handbook of International Economics, vol. 3, Elsevier, 1973-2030
- [13] Rogo¤ K., 1985, "The optimal degree of commitment to an intermediate monetary target", Quarterly Journal of Economics, vol.C, n<sup>±</sup>4, November, 1169-1190
- [14] Svensson L., 1997, "Optimal In‡ation Targets, 'Conservative' Central Banks, and Linear In‡ation Contracts", American Economic Review, vol.87, n±1, March, 98-114
- [15] Taylor J. B., 1999, "The robustness and e⊄ciency of monetary policy rules as guidelines for interest rate setting by the European central bank", Journal of Monetary Economics, vol.43, 655-679
- [16] Von Hagen J., 1995, "Reciprocity and in‡ation in federal monetary unions", CEPR Discussion Paper, n±1297, November

- [17] Von Hagen J., 1999, "Money growth targeting by the Bundesbank", Journal of Monetary Economics, vol.43, n<sup>±</sup>3, 681-701
- [18] Von Hagen J., Süppel R., 1994, "Central bank constitutions for federal monetary unions", European Economic Review, vol.38, n±3/4, April, 774-782
- [19] Waller Ch., 1991, "Bashing and Coercion in Monetary Policy", Economic Inquiry, vol.29, n<sup>±</sup>1, January, 1-13
- [20] Waller Ch., 1995, "Performance Contracts for Central Bankers", Federal Reserve Bank of Saint Louis Review, vol.77, n<sup>±</sup>5, September October, 3-14
- [21] Waller Ch., 1996, "Appointing the median voter of a policy board", Working Paper, Indiana University, Bloomington, January, forthcoming Quarterly Journal of Economics
- [22] Walsh C., 1995, "Optimal contracts for central bankers", American Economic Review, vol.85, n±1, March, 150-167
- [23] Walsh C., 1998, Monetary Theory and Policy, The MIT Press, 528p.

2008		
B01-08	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
2007		
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
D	der Europäischen Union	
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutional Residue of a Functional Residue of the Property of the Proper	Martin Seidel
2006	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
B03-06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluc-	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
D03 00	tuations	Jurgen von Hagen, Halping Zhang
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von	Martin Seidel
D10.05	Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	M .: C : I I
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05 B08-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Guntram B. Wolff Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni-
D01 03	Leonomic megration in a matteriore viola.	fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din-
	Europe	ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	and Teaching to Stay?  Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	lan Fidemus Orla Davia
B02-05 B01-05	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
2004	Mucrocconomic Adjustment in the New 20 Member States	Jurgen von Hagen, fand Haistard
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
D01 04	tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	ı
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B30-04	De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
D30-04	und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	Waltin Seider
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich-	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
	keiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem	,
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
	ses	
B27-04	Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Union with the EU	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
	Economies?	
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
D00 04	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas-	Martin Seidel
220 01	sungsvertrag	

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in an Enlarged EMU	Iulia Traistaru
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B15-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Explain Local Patterns?	Christian Volpe Martincus
B14-04 B13-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion? The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Countries	Jiri Jonas Sami Yläoutinen
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Integration	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activities: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition Countries	Laura Resmini
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Convergence	Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan
B07-04 B06-04	The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to Human Well-being in Africa Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
D00-04	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	Lutz
B05-04 B04-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	Lucjan T. Orlowski Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Operations Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	Ulrich Bindseil
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications for EU Enlargement	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Beitrittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
<b>2003</b> B29-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	Jürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta- ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version of International Finance)	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03 B23-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat- terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung	Martin Seidel
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for Inter-Firm Communication	William Pyle
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence from Asia and the Americas	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Primacy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
D17.00	Export of Ukrainian Firms	ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and Policy Challenges	Iulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
D13-03	to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	Jos van Ommeren, willians Hazans
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
D13 03	a Puzzle?	Charles Goodhart, Bons Honnann
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
_	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
Dor 00	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
D04 02	De Illusinian Firms Benefit from FD12	Chafa a II I Olalisa a da Talassa
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
		ra
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	ra Stefan H. Lutz
	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	ra
B03-03 B02-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	ra Stefan H. Lutz
B03-03 B02-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul,
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty  Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty  Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger  Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union  An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans  Martin Seidel  Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty  Lucjan T. Orlowski  Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transition Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Schaber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02 B08-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
D07.00	Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	A4 .: C : I I
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi-	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
Dor. 00	Country Evidence	
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		-
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Returns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
521 01	Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With That of the European Central Bank	Sees. C. Brada, All IVI. Natali
B20-01	An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evidence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central Bank in the Early Years	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias Brückner
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline in Latin American and Carribean Countries	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European Union	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
B15-01 B14-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	Christian E. Weller Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen
B13-01	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
B12-01	lenges The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets. Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wage Setters	Lilia Cavallar
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and Empirical Evidence	Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol
B09-01	Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?	Jan Fidrmuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate Countries and the European Union	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial Markets	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda. The Future of Banking.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac- Culloch
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from Surveys of Happiness	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac- Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Hagen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Policy	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
2000		
B20-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside	Etienne Farvaque
B19-00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, general Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	Jens Hölscher
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner, Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Gemeinschaftsrechts	Martin Seidel
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Federation	Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
B14-00	Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Economies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
B13-00	Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Economies Reconsidered	Nauro F. Campos

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitlichen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Economies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during	Jan Fidrmuc
D03-00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jan i lumuc
B04-00	Transition A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Hagen
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	Helmut Seitz
B19-99	The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies	Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor-
D10 33	else's are?	zuch
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Circumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning	Volker Wieland
B08-99	The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evidence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evidence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B16-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU-Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi-	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker
212 00	nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	der EWWU Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Study of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB	Robert L. Hetzel
B08-98	Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Analysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla-	Bernd Hayo
B07-98	den) Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: Some Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B02-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
<b>1997</b> B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Insurance or Endowline?	Kenneth Kletzer
B-03-97	urance or Federalism?  Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunications: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry.	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

#### ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Germany www.zei.de