

DiTella, Rafael; MacCulloch, Robert; Oswald, Andrew J.

**Working Paper**

## Preferences over inflation and unemployment: Evidence from surveys of happiness

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 03-2001

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

*Suggested Citation:* DiTella, Rafael; MacCulloch, Robert; Oswald, Andrew J. (2001) : Preferences over inflation and unemployment: Evidence from surveys of happiness, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 03-2001, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39569>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung  
Center for European Integration Studies  
Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Rafael di Tella, Robert J. MacCulloch and  
Andrew J. Oswald

**Preferences Over Inflation  
and Unemployment:  
Evidence from Surveys of  
Happiness**

**Working Paper**

**B 03  
2001**

## Preferences over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from Surveys of Happiness<sup>1</sup>

Rafael Di Tella  
*Harvard Business School*

Robert J. MacCulloch  
*ZEI, University of Bonn*

and Andrew J. Oswald  
*University of Warwick*

Revised October 5, 1999.

Modern macroeconomics textbooks rest upon the assumption of a social welfare function defined on inflation,  $\pi$ , and unemployment,  $U$ .<sup>2</sup> Yet no formal evidence for the existence of such a function has been presented in the literature.<sup>3</sup> Although an optimal policy rule cannot be chosen unless the parameters of the presumed  $W(\pi, U)$  function are known, that has not prevented its use in a large theoretical literature in macroeconomics.

This paper has two aims. The first is to show that citizens care about these two variables, namely, that inflation and unemployment belong in a well-being function. The second is to calculate the costs of inflation in terms of unemployment -- in other words to try to measure the relative size of the weights attached to these variables in social well-being. Policy implications emerge.

---

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: Rafael Di Tella, Morgan Hall, Soldiers Field, Boston, MA 02163, USA. For helpful discussions, we thank George Akerlof, Danny Blanchflower, Andrew Clark, Ben Friedman, Duncan Gallie, Sebastian Galiani, Ed Glaeser, Berndt Hayo, Daniel Kahneman, Guillermo Mondino, Steve Nickell, Julio Rotemberg, Hyun Shin, John Whalley, three referees, and seminar participants at Oxford, Harvard Business School, and the NBER Behavioral Macro Conference in 1998. The third author is grateful to the Leverhulme Trust for research support.

<sup>2</sup> See, for example, Olivier Blanchard and Stanley Fischer (1989), Michael Burda and Charles Wyplosz (1993) and Robert Hall and John Taylor (1993). Early influential papers include Robert Barro and David Gordon (1983).

<sup>3</sup> Gregory Mankiw [1997] describes the question "How costly is inflation?" as one of the four major unsolved problems of macroeconomics.

Economists have often puzzled over the costs of inflation. Survey evidence presented in Robert Shiller (1996) shows that, when asked how they feel about inflation, individuals report a number of unconventional costs, like exploitation, national prestige and loss of morale. Yet skeptics wonder. One textbook concludes: *"we shall see that standard characterisations of the policy-maker's objective function put more weight on the costs of inflation than is suggested by our understanding of the effects of inflation; in doing so, they probably reflect political realities and the heavy political costs of high inflation."* (pp. 567-8, Blanchard and Fischer (1987)). Since reducing inflation is often costly, in terms of extra unemployment, some observers have argued that the industrial democracies' concern with nominal price stability is excessive -- and have urged different monetary policies.<sup>4</sup>

This paper proposes a new approach. It uses subjective data collected from random samples of individuals. These data cover many countries and provide self-reported measures of how happy and satisfied individual respondents are with their lives. We then correlate happiness data with inflation and unemployment in what could be called "well-being" functions to study these questions. Only a few economists have looked at patterns in reported well-being. Richard Easterlin (1974) helped to begin the literature. Later contributions include Yew-Kwang Ng (1996), Robert Frank (1985), Ronald Inglehart (1990), Andrew Oswald (1997), and David Morawetz et al (1977). More recently Ng (1997) discusses the measurability of happiness, and Daniel Kahneman, Peter Wakker and Rakesh Sarin (1997) provide an axiomatic defence of experienced utility, and propose applications to economics. Our paper also borders on

---

<sup>4</sup> A recent contribution to this debate in the U.S. is Paul Krugman's piece "Stable Prices and Fast Growth: Just Say No", *The Economist*, August 31<sup>st</sup>, 1996.

work in the psychology literature; see for example Edward Diener (1984), David Myers (1993), and William Pavot (1991).

Section I describes the main data source, the Euro-Barometer Survey Series. Partly the creation of Ronald Inglehart at the University of Michigan, this records happiness and life satisfaction information on 264,710 people living in twelve European countries over the period 1975 to 1991. We also examine the United States General Social Survey, which records similar information on 26,668 individuals over the period 1972-94. Section II obtains a regression-adjusted measure of the well-being in a particular year and country – the level not explained by an individual’s personal characteristics. This unexplained or residual macroeconomic well-being measure is the paper’s focus.

Using a panel analysis of nations, we show that reported well-being is strongly correlated with inflation and unemployment. It should be emphasised that we do not ask people whether they dislike inflation and unemployment. Instead individuals are asked in surveys how happy they are with life, and we show that -- possibly unknown to them -- their en masse answers move systematically with their nation’s level of joblessness and rate of price change.<sup>5</sup> The data seem to trace out an economy’s well-being function. Section III concludes.

## **I. Happiness Data**

---

<sup>5</sup> Our analysis complements the survey approach of, for example, Shiller (1996) who uses questions regarding inflation.

In the Euro-Barometer Survey Series, a cross-section sample of Europeans are interviewed each year. One question asks *"Taking all things together, how would you say things are these days--would you say you're very happy, fairly happy, or not too happy these days?"*. Another elicits answers to a "life satisfaction" question. This, included in part because the word happy translates imprecisely across languages, is worded, *"On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied with the life you lead?"*. We concentrate on the life satisfaction data because they are available for a longer period of time – from 1975 to 1991 instead of just 1975-86. Unsurprisingly, happiness and life satisfaction are correlated (the correlation coefficient is 0.56 for the available period 1975-86), so the focus on life satisfaction may be sufficient. The working-paper version of this paper, available on request, presents extra results using happiness statistics.

We also study happiness data from the United States General Social Survey (1972-1994). There the happiness question reads *"Taken all together, how would you say things are these days -- would you say that you are very happy, pretty happy, or not too happy?"*. The question was asked in each of 23 years. There is no life-satisfaction question for the U.S. It would be ideal if the well-being question's wordings were identical in the European and US cases, but they are not. However, most of the paper's conclusions rest upon cross-Europe results, where the wording of questions is the same.

We study well-being regressions for both Europe and the US. These are similar to the early micro-econometric happiness regressions for the US and the UK presented in David Blanchflower et al (1993) (Inglehart (1990) also documents the patterns in the

micro data, mainly by looking at cross-tabulations). Although coefficients do not have a cardinal interpretation, the following personal characteristics are positively associated with reported well-being, and are statistically significant, in both continents: being employed, female, young or old (not middle aged), educated, married (neither divorced, not separated nor a widow), with few children, or belonging to a high-income quartile. Separate happiness regressions for each of the European countries largely repeat these results. For example, being unemployed is associated with much lower reported happiness levels in every European country.

Table A1 in the appendix presents a micro-econometric life satisfaction regression for Europe. Greater family income increases the likelihood that a respondent reports a high level of well-being. This effect of income is monotonic and is reminiscent of the utility function of standard economics. The regression evidence is also consistent with the common-sense idea that unemployment is a major economic source of human distress (on psychiatric stress data see Andrew Clark and Oswald (1997)). Our working paper reports further patterns from micro well-being regressions.

## **II. The Inflation-Unemployment Trade-Off in Happiness Equations**

We study a basic regression of the form

$$LIFE\ SATISFACTION_{it} = \mathbf{a}\ INFLATION_{it} + \mathbf{b}\ UNEMPLOYMENT_{it} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{d}_t + \mathbf{m}_i$$

where *LIFE SATISFACTION* is the average life satisfaction in country *i* in year *t* that is not explained by personal characteristics, *UNEMPLOYMENT* is the unemployment rate in country *i* in year *t*, *INFLATION* is the rate of change of consumer prices in country *i*

and year  $t$ ,  $e_i$  is a country fixed effect,  $d_t$  is a time effect (a year fixed effect), and  $m_t$  is an error term. Later regressions include a country-specific time trend.

A two-step methodology is employed. In the first stage, micro-econometric OLS life satisfaction regressions are estimated for each country in the sample.<sup>6</sup> The mean residual life-satisfaction is then calculated for each nation in each year, which gives approximately 150 observations (ten countries times fifteen years) in a second-stage regression. These country-by-year unexplained life-satisfaction components are the dependent variable in a second-stage regression of the form given in the equation above. Three-year moving averages of the explanatory variables are used. This smooths out some of the noise evident in the data (and, we found, produces succinct estimating equations while leaving the substantive conclusions unaffected when compared to equations with many lagged and autoregressive terms).

For three reasons, issues of simultaneity are ignored. First, it might be believed that ‘happiness’ does not mold the levels of inflation and unemployment. Second, the aim is the simple one of documenting correlations in the data. Third, it is unclear what variable could serve as an instrument. Nevertheless, future research may have to return to this issue.

---

<sup>6</sup> Using residuals from the probit regressions introduces issues that have not been resolved in the statistical literature. The use of OLS regressions has the well-known problem that the data imply the distance between the categories very satisfied and fairly satisfied is the same as the distance between the categories fairly satisfied and not very satisfied. Experiments suggested to us that the precise cardinalization assumed did not alter the results (e.g. a binary representation of well-being led to similar equations).



### *Data Definitions*

LIFE SATISFACTION: The average of the residuals from a Life Satisfaction Ordinary Least Squares regression on personal characteristics. The residuals are averaged for each country and year in the sample (Mean=-0.010; Standard deviation=0.078).

UNEMPLOYMENT: The unemployment rate (three year moving average) from the OECD Economic Outlook (1995) (Mean=0.087; Standard deviation=0.037).

INFLATION: The inflation rate (three year moving average), as measured by the rate of change in consumer prices, from IMF World Tables (1994) (Mean=0.086; Standard deviation=0.059).

### *Results*

Regression (1) of Table 1 studies the dependence of life satisfaction on the unemployment rate and the rate of inflation. The specification includes time and country dummies. The coefficients from regression (1) in Table I imply that higher unemployment and higher inflation both decrease life satisfaction. These effects, with coefficients of  $-2.0$  and  $-1.2$ , are each significantly different from zero at the 1% level. The implicit utility-constant trade-off between these variables is therefore approximately 1.7. In order to have a meaningful discussion about a trade-off we must make implicit assumptions, such as that, over the relevant range, utility is linear (so the margin is equal to the average). It is not possible to give a cardinal answer to the question ‘how much does well-being rise as inflation and unemployment decline?’.

It is necessary to make clear within a natural rate framework what such correlations mean. The estimation may be best thought of as describing preferences. Standard economic models suggest that there is no downward-sloping Phillips Curve,

except in the short run, so knowledge of the iso-utility contours are of use to policy-makers primarily in informing choice of an optimal dis-inflationary path. The estimates described above, and more broadly this kind of methodology, may be of value to central bankers concerned with the choice of policy trajectories.

Regression (2) in Table I shows that both unemployment and inflation continue to enter negatively and significantly once we introduce country-specific time trends (as requested by a referee). The coefficients on the two variables are now more similar (equality of the coefficients, in regression 2, cannot be rejected statistically at normal confidence levels). Hence life satisfaction appears to be reasonably well-approximated by a simple linear misery function defined on the sum of inflation and unemployment,  $W=W(\pi+U)$ .

Regressions (3) and (4) in Table I divide the sample into two time periods: before 1984 and after 1983. The coefficients keep their signs, although, as is to be expected, they are not now as well-defined. Degrees of freedom here are a source of potential concern; but this approach is primarily designed as a check on robustness. Column (5) adds into the equation a squared term in inflation – to test if inflation is particularly bad at high levels – but this leaves the key result unaffected. If an additional squared term in unemployment is entered, its effect is negligible.

Table II presents some further tests of the relationship between inflation, unemployment and well-being. Regression (6) in Table II controls for a lagged dependent variable. It shows that there is a little autoregression, but that life satisfaction data continue to be strongly correlated with macroeconomic variables.

Regression (7) tests whether well-being depends on changes in the two macroeconomic variables. We use the growth in inflation (or unemployment) from one year to the next. There is some evidence that these changes matter. Both enter with the expected negative sign. Regression (8) in Table II shows that the inclusion of a lagged dependent variable reinforces these findings. But the underlying ideas remain the same.

It could be argued that the above calculations underestimate the cost of unemployment. The reason is that the first-stage regressions have already controlled for the personal cost of being unemployed. There is a simple way to take account of the first-stage cost of joblessness. We can calculate from regression (1) that an increase in the unemployment rate from 0 to 1% would have a cost equal to approximately 0.02 for the average citizen whether employed or unemployed. This number may be viewed as capturing a ‘fear of unemployment’ effect. On the other hand, from microeconomic data, a person falling unemployed experiences an actual loss equal to 0.33 in the same units. This number comes from the coefficient on being unemployed in a life-satisfaction micro regression, like the one in appendix Table A1, estimated with OLS to keep the units consistent. The average cost of a 1% point increase in the unemployment rate is therefore the sum of two components:  $0.0033+0.02=0.0233$ . According to the estimates, that well-being cost equals the loss brought about by a 1.97% inflation rate.

#### *Inflation, Unemployment and Happiness in the United States*

Since there is no question on life satisfaction in the United States General Social Survey (1972-1994), it was not possible to include the US in the panel regressions. Using GSS happiness data we estimated an OLS happiness regression – available upon request - on personal characteristics for the U.S. and obtained the mean residuals for each year. The

year-to-year changes in the "happiness residuals" were negatively correlated with the corresponding year-to-year changes in the so-called misery index. When viewed as two individual explanatory variables, the yearly changes in happiness were somewhat more strongly associated with changes in the unemployment rate than inflation. Necessarily, the US findings stem from a single time-series regression. Broadly, the US results were approximately consistent with, though a little less well-defined than, the European results.

### **III. Conclusions**

The paper presents a new approach to study standard questions in macroeconomics. It studies reported well-being data on a quarter of a million people across twelve European countries and the United States. We show that people appear to be happier when inflation and unemployment are low. Consistent with the standard macroeconomics textbook's assumption that there exists a social objective function  $W(\pi, U)$ , randomly sampled individuals mark systematically lower in well-being surveys when there is inflation or unemployment in their country. The rates of price change and joblessness affect reported satisfaction with life after controlling for the personal characteristics of the respondents, country fixed effects, year effects, country-specific time trends, and a lagged dependent variable. A function reminiscent of the textbook  $W(\pi, U)$  exists in the data.

A large literature in economics has tried to measure the losses from inflation. By examining the appropriate area under a money demand curve, Martin Bailey (1956) and

Milton Friedman (1969) originally concluded that inflation has only small costs. Similarly, Fischer (1981) and Robert Lucas (1981) find the cost of inflation to be low, at 0.3 per cent and 0.45 per cent of national income, respectively, for a 10 per cent level of inflation. The numbers implied by our happiness-equation estimates are consistent with larger welfare losses.

At the margin, unemployment depresses reported well-being more than does inflation. In a panel that controls for country fixed-effects and year effects, the estimates suggest that people would trade off a 1 percentage point increase in the unemployment rate for a 1.7 percentage point increase in the inflation rate. Hence, according to these findings, the famous ‘misery index’  $W(\pi+U)$  somewhat under-weights the unhappiness caused by unemployment.

It seems possible that the methods used in this paper -- the study of well-being regression equations -- may prove useful in other areas of macro-economics.

**Table I: Life Satisfaction Equations for Europe 1975-91**

	(1)	(2)	(3) Pre 84	(4) Post 83	(5)
Unemployment t	-2.0 (0.5)	-1.4 (0.5)	-1.4 (1.3)	-1.8 (1.2)	-1.4 (0.5)
Inflation t	-1.2 (0.4)	-1.4 (0.4)	-1.2 (0.6)	-2.4 (0.8)	-1.8 (0.9)
Inflation <sup>2</sup> t					1.9 (3.2)
Time Trends <sup>1</sup>	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Country Dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year Dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of Obs.	150	150	75	75	150
Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.13	0.51	0.61	0.59	0.51

**Notes:** [1] Standard errors are in parentheses. Time trends are country-specific. [2] Three-year moving averages of the explanatory variables are used.

**Table II: Checks on Life Satisfaction Equations for Europe 1975-91**

	(6)	(7)	(8)
Life Satisfaction t-1	0.2 (0.1)		0.2 (0.1)
Unemployment t	-1.3 (0.6)	-1.4 (0.5)	-1.4 (0.6)
Inflation t	-1.0 (0.4)	-1.5 (0.4)	-1.2 (0.4)
$\Delta$ Unemployment t		-1.2 (0.7)	-1.1 (0.7)
$\Delta$ Inflation t		-0.7 (0.4)	-1.0 (0.4)
Time Trends <sup>1</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes
Country Dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year Dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes
No. of Obs.	139	150	139
Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.50	0.53	0.53

**Notes:** [1] Standard errors are in parentheses. Time trends are country-specific.  
[2] Three-year moving averages of the explanatory variables are used.

## Appendix

**Table A1: Life Satisfaction Micro-Equation for Europe (Ordered Probit) 1975-91.**

<b>Dep Var: Reported Life Satisfaction</b>	<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>Standard Error</b>
Unemployed	-46.8	1.0
Self employed	6.1	0.8
Male	-6.7	0.5
Age	-2.8	0.1
Age Squared	3.18e-4	9.26e-6
Education to age: 15-18 years	4.8	0.6
≥ 19 years	9.0	0.7
Marital Status: Married	12.9	0.7
Divorced	-27.4	1.5
Separated	-33.8	2.2
Widowed	-15.7	1.1
Num. of children between 8 & 15 yrs: 1	-3.2	0.6
2	-4.5	0.8
3	-9.7	1.2
Income Quartiles : Second	16.8	0.7
Third	30.2	0.7
Fourth (highest)	45.8	0.7
Retired	7.9	0.9
In school	5.8	1.1
At home	4.8	0.7

**Notes:** [1] Number of Observations=264,710. Log-likelihood=269677.  $\chi^2(47)=49196$ . Cut1=-1.648, Cut2=-0.776, Cut3=0.893. [2] The regression includes country and year dummies from 1975 to 1991. The country dummies (standard errors) are: Belgium 0.494 (0.010), Netherlands 0.880 (0.010), Germany 0.358 (0.009), Italy -0.118 (0.009), Luxembourg 0.753 (0.014), Denmark 1.180 (0.010), Ireland 0.577 (0.010), Britain 0.520 (0.010), Portugal -0.260 (0.012), Greece -0.175 (0.010) and Spain 0.197 (0.013). The base country is France. [3] The exact question is: "On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the life you lead?".



## References

- Bailey, Martin (1956). "The Welfare Cost of Inflationary Finance". Journal of Political Economy, 64, 64-93.
- Barro, Robert J. and Gordon, David (1983). "A Positive Theory of Monetary Policy in a Natural Rate Model", Journal of Political Economy, 91, 589-610.
- Blanchard, Olivier and Fischer, Stanley (1989). Lectures on Macroeconomics, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Blanchflower, David, Oswald, Andrew J. and Warr, Peter B. (1993). "Well-being Over Time in Britain and the USA", London School of Economics, Mimeo.
- Burda, Michael and Wyplosz, Charles (1993). Macroeconomics: A European Text, Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York.
- Clark, Andrew and Oswald, Andrew J. (1994). "Unhappiness and Unemployment", Economic Journal, September, 104, 648-659.
- Diener, Edward (1984). "Subjective Well-Being", Psychological Bulletin, 93, 542-575.
- Easterlin, Richard (1974). "Does Economic Growth Improve the Human Lot? Some Empirical Evidence". In Nations and Households in Economic Growth: Essays in Honour of Moses Abramovitz, (ed. P. A. David and M. W. Reder). New York and London: Academic Press.
- Fischer, Stanley (1981). "Towards an Understanding of the Costs of Inflation: II, Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, 15, 5-41.
- Frank, Robert H. (1985). Choosing the Right Pond, Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford.
- Friedman, Milton (1969). "The Optimum Quantity of Money", The Optimum Quantity of Money and Other Essays, Aldine, Chicago.
- Hall, Robert E. and Taylor, John. (1997). Macroeconomics, 5<sup>th</sup> edition, Norton Press, New York.
- Inglehart, Ronald (1990). Culture Shift in Advanced Industrial Society, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Kahneman, Daniel, Wakker, Peter P. and Sarin, Rakesh (1997). "Back to Bentham? Explorations of Experienced Utility", Quarterly Journal of Economics, 112, 375-406.
- Lucas, Robert E., Jr. (1981). "Discussion of: Stanley Fischer, 'Towards an Understanding of the Costs of Inflation: II'", Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, 15, 43-52.
- Mankiw, N. Gregory (1997). Macroeconomics (3rd Edition), Worth Publishers, New York.
- Morawetz, David et al (1977). "Income Distribution and Self-Rated Happiness: Some Empirical Evidence", Economic Journal, 87, 511-22.
- Myers, David (1993) The Pursuit of Happiness, Aquarian, London.
- Ng, Yew-Kwang (1996). "Happiness Surveys: Some Comparability Issues and an Exploratory Survey Based on Just Perceivable Increments", Social Indicators Research, 38, 1-27
- Ng, Yew-Kwang. (1997). "A Case for Happiness, Cardinalism, and Interpersonal Comparability", Economic Journal, September, 107, 1848-1858.
- Oswald, Andrew J. (1997). "Happiness and Economic Performance", Economic Journal, September, 107, 1815-1831 .
- Pavot, William (1991) et al. "Further Validation of the Satisfaction with Life Scale: Evidence for the Cross-Method Convergence of Well-Being Measures", Journal of Personality Assessment, 57, 149-161.
- Shiller, Robert (1996). "Why Do People Dislike Inflation?", National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper, #5539.

<b>2008</b>		
B01-08	<b>Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame „Wirtschaftsregierung“</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2007</b>		
B03-07	<b>Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B02-07	<b>Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B01-07	<b>The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutional Basis of a European Referendum.</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2006</b>		
B03-06	<b>Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluctuations</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
B02-06	<b>Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
B01-06	<b>A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
<b>2005</b>		
B11-05	<b>Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B10-05	<b>Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B09-05	<b>Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe</b>	<i>Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B08-05	<b>Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism</b>	<i>Gabriel González-König</i>
B07-05	<b>Economic Integration in a Multicore World?</b>	<i>Christian Volpe Martincus, Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B06-05	<b>Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Dinger</i>
B05-05	<b>Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B04-05	<b>Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B03-05	<b>Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?</b>	<i>Panu Poutvaara</i>
B02-05	<b>Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle</i>
B01-05	<b>Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru</i>
<b>2004</b>		
B33-04	<b>The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Tanner M. Yigit</i>
B32-04	<b>The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries: A Multinomial Panel Analysis</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B31-04	<b>Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Analysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B30-04	<b>Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B29-04	<b>Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglichkeiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem</b>	<i>Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger</i>
B28-04	<b>Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Causes</b>	<i>Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B27-04	<b>Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine</b>	<i>Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz</i>
B26-04	<b>Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Union with the EU</b>	<i>Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz</i>
B25-04	<b>Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orlowski</i>
B24-04	<b>The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem</b>	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B23-04	<b>Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?</b>	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B22-04	<b>Non-Discretionary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?</b>	<i>Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft</i>
B21-04	<b>The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage and Employment Effects in Business R+D</b>	<i>Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B20-04	<b>Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho</i>
B19-04	<b>Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfassungsvertrag</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>

B18-04	<b>Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in an Enlarged EMU</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru</i>
B17-04	<b>Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey</b>	<i>Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel</i>
B16-04	<b>Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment</b>	<i>Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B15-04	<b>Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Explain Local Patterns?</b>	<i>Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B14-04	<b>Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?</b>	<i>Jiri Jonas</i>
B13-04	<b>The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Countries</b>	<i>Sami Yläoutinen</i>
B12-04	<b>Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Integration</b>	<i>Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B11-04	<b>Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activities: Evidence from MERCOSUR</b>	<i>Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B10-04	<b>Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition Countries</b>	<i>Laura Resmini</i>
B09-04	<b>Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Sovereign Bond Markets: A Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence</b>	<i>Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B08-04	<b>European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Convergence</b>	<i>Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B07-04	<b>The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to Human Well-being in Africa</b>	<i>Mina Balamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B06-04	<b>Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening</b>	<i>Mina Balamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B05-04	<b>Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B04-04	<b>Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda</b>	<i>Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B03-04	<b>Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Operations Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender</b>	<i>Ulrich Bindseil</i>
B02-04	<b>Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications for EU Enlargement</b>	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok Yang</i>
B01-04	<b>Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Beitrittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2003</b>		
B29-03	<b>Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann</i>
B28-03	<b>The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit</i>
B27-03	<b>The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version of International Finance)</b>	<i>Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen</i>
B26-03	<b>Sovereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)</b>	<i>Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen, Ludger Schulknecht</i>
B25-03	<b>How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?</b>	<i>Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru</i>
B24-03	<b>Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann</i>
B23-03	<b>Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Patterns: Evidence from Mercosur</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B22-03	<b>Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B21-03	<b>Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for Inter-Firm Communication</b>	<i>William Pyle</i>
B20-03	<b>Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence from Asia and the Americas</b>	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi</i>
B19-03	<b>An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Primacy Evidence from Asia and the Americas</b>	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi</i>

B18-03	<b>The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on Export of Ukrainian Firms</b>	<i>Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talavera, Sang-Min Park</i>
B17-03	<b>Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States</b>	<i>Mihails Hazans</i>
B16-03	<b>South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and Policy Challenges</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B15-03	<b>Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands</b>	<i>Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans</i>
B14-03	<b>FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence</b>	<i>Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann</i>
B13-03	<b>The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there a Puzzle?</b>	<i>Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann</i>
B12-03	<b>What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography</b>	<i>Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic</i>
B11-03	<b>Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zentralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine rechtliche Analyse</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B10-03	<b>Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík</i>
B09-03	<b>The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analysis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European Monetary Union</b>	<i>Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger</i>
B08-03	<b>The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Exchange Rate Regimes Play?</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B07-03	<b>Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und Prioritäten für die Zukunft</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B06-03	<b>Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the Stability and Growth Pact</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B05-03	<b>Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles Converging?</b>	<i>Michael Massmann, James Mitchell</i>
B04-03	<b>Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?</b>	<i>Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talavera</i>
B03-03	<b>Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz</b>	<i>Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B02-03	<b>Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains</b>	<i>Mihails Hazans</i>
B01-03	<b>Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
<b>2002</b>		
B30-02	<b>An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance</b>	<i>Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel</i>
B29B-02	<b>Trade Agreements as Self-protection</b>	<i>Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B29A-02	<b>Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets</b>	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B28-02	<b>Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth</b>	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B27-02	<b>Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference</b>	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B26-02	<b>Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orlowski</i>
B25-02	<b>Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B24-02	<b>The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B23-02	<b>Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B22-02	<b>Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems</b>	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B21-02	<b>Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit</i>
B20-02	<b>The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers on Russian Financial Markets</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan</i>

B19-02	<b>East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B18-02	<b>Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transition Countries</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B17-02	<b>Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries</b>	<i>Laura Resmini</i>
B16-02	<b>Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries</b>	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Simonetta Longhi</i>
B15-02	<b>Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?</b>	<i>Matthias Brückner, Andreas Schaber</i>
B14-02	<b>The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch</i>
B13-02	<b>De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B12-02	<b>Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis</b>	<i>Jiri Jonas</i>
B11-02	<b>The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking</b>	<i>Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger</i>
B10-02	<b>National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B09-02	<b>Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years</b>	<i>Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo</i>
B08-02	<b>Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou</i>
B07-02	<b>Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B06-02	<b>Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi-Country Evidence</b>	<i>Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B05-02	<b>Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou</i>
B04-02	<b>Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU</b>	<i>Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo</i>
B03-02	<b>The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B02-02	<b>The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges</b>	<i>Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz</i>
B01-02	<b>Does Inflation Targeting Matter?</b>	<i>Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
<b>2001</b>		
B29-01	<b>Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?</b>	<i>Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Kutan, Michael L. Wyzan</i>
B28-01	<b>Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies</b>	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B27-01	<b>Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Returns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B26-01	<b>Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries</b>	<i>Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B25-01	<b>Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B24-01	<b>Disintegration and Trade</b>	<i>Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B23-01	<b>Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B22-01	<b>Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation</b>	<i>Matthias Brückner</i>
B21-01	<b>Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With That of the European Central Bank</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B20-01	<b>An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data</b>	<i>Anna Rubinchik-Pessach</i>
B19-01	<b>Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evidence</b>	<i>R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan</i>

B18-01	<b>Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central Bank in the Early Years</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias Brückner</i>
B17-01	<b>Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline in Latin American and Caribbean Countries</b>	<i>Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier</i>
B16-01	<b>Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European Union</b>	<i>Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B15-01	<b>Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller</i>
B14-01	<b>Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experience and Possible Lessons for EMU</b>	<i>Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B13-01	<b>German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Challenges</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch</i>
B12-01	<b>The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets. Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems</b>	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B11-01	<b>Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wage Setters</b>	<i>Lilia Cavallar</i>
B10-01	<b>Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and Empirical Evidence</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol</i>
B09-01	<b>Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B08-01	<b>The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne Mundschenk</i>
B07-01	<b>The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate Countries and the European Union</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B06-01	<b>Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial Markets</b>	<i>Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B05-01	<b>Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda. The Future of Banking.</b>	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B04-01	<b>The Determination of Unemployment Benefits</b>	<i>Rafael di Tella, Robert J. McCulloch</i>
B03-01	<b>Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from Surveys of Happiness</b>	<i>Rafael di Tella, Robert J. McCulloch, Andrew J. Oswald</i>
B02-01	<b>The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty</b>	<i>Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B01-01	<b>Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Policy</b>	<i>Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec</i>
<b>2000</b>		
B20-00	<b>Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside</b>	<i>Etienne Farvaque</i>
B19-00	<b>Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, general Reflections applied to the Czech Republic</b>	<i>Jens Hölscher</i>
B18-00	<b>Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence</b>	<i>Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner, Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser</i>
B17-00	<b>Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Gemeinschaftsrechts</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B16-00	<b>The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability</b>	<i>Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso Padoa-Schioppa</i>
B15-00	<b>Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Federation</b>	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp</i>
B14-00	<b>Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Economies: The Case of Poland and Hungary</b>	<i>Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B13-00	<b>Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Economies Reconsidered</b>	<i>Nauro F. Campos</i>

B12-00	<b>Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitlichen Europäischen Wahrung</b>	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B11-00	<b>A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B10-00	<b>The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU</b>	<i>Marc Hallerberg</i>
B09-00	<b>Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis</b>	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert MacCulloch</i>
B08-00	<b>The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises</b>	<i>Kenneth Kletzer</i>
B07-00	<b>Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU</b>	<i>Deutsch-Franzosisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B06-00	<b>The Demand for Money in Austria</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo</i>
B05-00	<b>Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B04-00	<b>A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB</b>	<i>Christa Randzio-Plath</i>
B03-00	<b>Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's</b>	<i>Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B02-00	<b>Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B01-00	<b>Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism</b>	<i>Kenneth Kletzer, Jurgen von Hagen</i>
<b>1999</b>		
B26-99	<b>Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis</b>	<i>Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini</i>
B25-99	<b>Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo</i>
B24-99	<b>What Makes a Revolution?</b>	<i>Robert MacCulloch</i>
B23-99	<b>Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State</b>	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert MacCulloch</i>
B22-99	<b>Partisan Social Happiness</b>	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert MacCulloch</i>
B21-99	<b>The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?</b>	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B20-99	<b>Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany</b>	<i>Helmut Seitz</i>
B19-99	<b>The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada</i>
B18-99	<b>Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller, Bernard Morzuch</i>
B17-99	<b>Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia</b>	<i>Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc</i>
B16-99	<b>Multinational Banks and Development Finance</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller and Mark J. Scher</i>
B15-99	<b>Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Circumstances or Structural Weakness?</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller</i>
B14-99	<b>Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany</b>	<i>Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock</i>
B13-99	<b>Financial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?</b>	<i>Christian E. Weller and Jurgen von Hagen</i>
B12 -99	<b>Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work</b>	<i>Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian</i>
B11-99	<b>Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU</b>	<i>Deutsch-Franzosisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B10-99	<b>Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland</b>	<i>Christian Weller</i>
B09-99	<b>Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning</b>	<i>Volker Wieland</i>
B08-99	<b>The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies</b>	<i>Christian Weller</i>

- B07-99 **Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evidence from the OECD** *Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-Uwe Wächter*
- B06-99 **Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach** *Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-Uwe Wächter*
- B05-99 **Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria** *Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch*
- B04-99 **The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evidence for Poland from Panel Data** *Christian Weller*
- B03-99 **The Macroeconomics of Happiness** *Rafael Di Tella, Robert McCulloch and Andrew J. Oswald*
- B02-99 **The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data** *Rafael Di Tella and Robert McCulloch*
- B01-99 **The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?** *Robert B.H. Hauswald*
- 1998**
- B16-98 **Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B15-98 **Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?** *Stefan Lutz*
- B14-98 **Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU-Russian Trade** *Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell*
- B13-98 **Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing** *Jürgen von Hagen*
- B12-98 **Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nominal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero** *Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland*
- B11A-98 **Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanzlage" der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU** *Rolf Strauch*
- B11-98 **Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Study of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997** *Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas*
- B10-98 **Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union** *Martin Seidel*
- B09-98 **U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB** *Robert L. Hetzel*
- B08-98 **Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Analysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)** *Bernd Hayo*
- B07-98 **Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: Some Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program** *John W. Maxwell*
- B06-98 **Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues** *Kenneth Kletzer*
- B05-98 **Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)** *Bernd Hayo*
- B04-98 **The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B03-98 **Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System** *Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender*
- B02-98 **Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality** *Jaleel Ahmad*
- B01-98 **Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline** *Jürgen von Hagen*
- 1997**
- B04-97 **Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Insurance or Federalism?** *Kenneth Kletzer*
- B-03-97 **Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunications: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry** *Tom Lyon / John Mayo*
- B02-97 **Employment and EMU** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B01-97 **A Stability Pact for Europe** *(a Forum organized by ZEI)*



---

ISSN 1436 - 6053

---

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung  
Center for European Integration Studies  
Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3  
D-53113 Bonn  
Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732  
Fax: +49-228-73-1809  
[www.zei.de](http://www.zei.de)